

**PRESIDENT'S SECRETARIAT
(LIBRARY)**

Accn. No..... Class No.....

The book should be returned on or before the date last stamped below.

**COMPARATIVE GRAMMAR
OF THE
PRĀKRIT LANGUAGES**

BY
R. PISCHEL

TRANSLATED FROM THE GERMAN

BY
SUBHADRA JHĀ

MOTILAL BANARSIDASS

VARANASI

DELHI

PATNA

Published by

SHRI SUNDARLAL JAIN
PROPRIETOR
MOTILAL BANARASIDASS
POST BOX 75, VARANASI.

Printed by

SHRI SHANTILAL JAIN
JAINENDRA PRESS
NEPALIKHAPRA
VARANASI.

Copyright Reserved

1957

Available at :—

Motilal Banarasidass	...	Post Box 75, VARANASI.
Motilal Banarasidass	...	JAWAHARNAGAR, DELHI.
Motilal Banarasidass	...	BANKIPORE, PATNA.

TRANSLATOR'S NOTE

The following changes have been made in the system of Romanisation of Indian words :—

- (a) m has been replaced by \dot{m} ,
- (b) Small letters have been substituted for capital letters in proper nouns printed in Italics.

It is regretted that certain letters in Italics with dialectical marks have been printed with two different types joined together and that, as in the original, diacritical marks went off in course of printing in a number of places.

I must express my deep sense of gratitude to the Late Dr. Batakrishna Ghosh, whose English translation of the first chapter of the Introduction, under the title “Pischel on the Characteristics of the Prakrit Languages”, has been of great help to me.

Āśvina, 5, Śakābda 1879.

Subhadra Jhā

I. INTRODUCTION.

A. The Prākrit Languages.

§ 1. By the term Prākrit, the Indian grammarians and rhetoricians comprehend a multitude of literary languages, as the common characteristic whereof they consider Saṃskrit their origin. Therefore, they generally derive the word *prākṛta* from *prakti*, “element”, “basis”, and according to them this basis is Sanskrit. So says Hemacandra, I, 1, *prakṛtiḥ saṃskṛtam | tatra bhavam tata āgatam vā prākṛtam*, “Sanskrit is the basis, what originated from it or what is derived from it, is called Prākrit”. Likewise Mārkaṇḍeya fol. I: *prakṛtiḥ saṃskṛtam | tatrabhavam prākṛta-mucyate*; so Dhanika on Daśarūpa 2, 60: *prakṛter āgatam prākṛtam | prakṛtiḥ saṃskṛtam*; Simhadevaganī on Vāgbhatālaṃkāra, 2, 2: *prakṛteḥ saṃskṛtā-dāgatam prākṛtam*; Prākṛtacandrikā in PETERSON, Third Report 343, 7: *prakṛtiḥ saṃskṛtam | tatrabhavatvāt prākṛtam smṛtam*. Cf. Narasiṁha, Prākṛta-sabdapradipikā, p. 1: *prakṛteḥ saṃskṛtāstu vikṛtiḥ prākṛti matā*, and Prākṛtasafījivani in Vāsudeva on Karpūramāñjari, ed. Bomb. 9, 11: *prākṛtasya tu sarvameva saṃskṛtam yonih*. For other etymologies see § 16.

§ 2. The Rasikasarvasva in Nārāyaṇa on Gītagovinda, 5,2, teaches—*saṃskṛtāt prākṛtam iṣṭam tato 'pabhrāmśabhaṣṇam*, “one takes for granted that from Sanskrit originated Prākrit, whence the Apabhrāma language”. A quotation, in Śaṅkara on Śakuntalā, 9,10¹; limits in this view, *saṃskṛtāt prākṛtam śreṣṭham tato 'pabhrāmśabhaṣṇam*, “from Sanskrit originated the best Prākrit, and from which the Apabhrāma language”. According to Daṇḍin, Kāvyādarśa, I, 34, Māhārāṣṭri (§ 12) is the best Prākrit: *māhā-rāṣṭrāśrayām bhāṣām prākṛtam prākṛtam viduh*. It is further explained that Māhārāṣṭri, being nearest to Sanskrit, is the best Prākrit. When the Indians speak about Prākrit generally, they almost always mean Māhārāṣṭri². According to them Māhārāṣṭri has the credit of being the basis of the other Prākrit languages³, and in the manuals by the native grammarians Māhārāṣṭri occupies the first place. The oldest grammarian Vararuci devotes nine chapters, having 424 rules, to Māhārāṣṭri, and only one chapter to each of the other three languages treated by him, and that only with 14, 17 and 32 rules respectively, and at the end (12,32) he announces that, whatever, with regard to the lastly dealt with three Prākrits, remains unsaid, with respect to that these dialects have common characteristics with Māhārāṣṭri: *śeṣam māhāraṣṭrat*. So do the other grammarians.

1. PISCHEL, De Gr. Pr. p. 1.—2. LASSEN, Inst. p. 7, 11 f.; MUIR, OST. 2², 43 ff.—3. Mārkaṇḍeya fol. 4. Somewhat differently Vararuci 10, 2; 11, 2; but compare MUIR 1. c.

§ 3. With regard to what we are to understand broadly by the term Prākrit, the Indian grammarians differ from one another. Vr. considers Māhārāṣṭri, Paiśāci, Māgadhi and Śauraseni as Prākrit. Hc. would include in it also Ārṣa (§ 16), Cūlikāpaisāci, and Apabhrāma. He is followed by Trivikrama, Siṃharāja, Narasiṁha and Lakṣmidhara, with this difference that Trivikrama excludes Ārṣa, while Siṃharāja, Narasiṁha and Lakṣmidhara take no notice of it. Mārkaṇḍeya fol. 2ff., divides Prākrit into four classes, *bhāṣā, vibhāṣā, apabhrāma, paisāca*. He includes Māhārāṣṭri, Śauraseni, Prācyā, Avanti and Māgadhi under *bhāṣā*, whilst in a controversy against some unnamed author, he rejects Ardhamāgadhi, which, according to him,

is nothing but a form of Māgadhi, that is not very much different from Saurasenī, Dāksinātyā, which has no special characteristic, and Bālhiki which belongs to Māgadhi. Under the *vibhāṣāḥ* he includes the following five dialects: Sākāri, Cāṇḍāli, Śabari, Ābhūriki, Sākki, and denies the status of being considered as a *vibhāṣā* to Odri and Drāvidi. He then traces back the 27 kinds of Apabhramśa to three only, namely to Nāgara Viācada, and Upanāgara, the 11 Paiśāci dialects to the three Nāgaras, Kaikeya, Sauraṇena, and Pāñcāla². Rāmatarkavāgiśa³, as well, teaches in the same manner. All the grammarians consider Māhārāṣṭri, Saurasenī, Māgadhi, and Paiśāci as the different Prākrit dialects.

i. He is not Bharata, as may be concluded from the fact that the stanza dealing with the Vibhāṣāḥ is almost identical with the one given in Bhāratīyanāṭyaśāstra, 17,49. All the other stanzas show deviations from those of Bharata. The stanza is quoted also in Prākṛtacandrikā of Kṛṣṇapandita, in PETERSON, Third Report, p 346 ff. Cf. also Rāmatarkavāgiśa in LASSEN, Inst. p. 21.—2. The text was partly published in AUFRECHT, Cat. Oxon, p. 181.—3. LASSEN, Inst. p. 19-23; cf. Kramadīvara 5, 99, and Bhāratīyanāṭyaśāstra 17,48 ff.

§ 4. Vr. does not mention Apabhramśa at all (§ 3). It would, however, be wrong to conclude therefore, as was done by LASSEN¹, that this dialect is younger than Vr.² Therefore, with Bloch³, Vararuci should not needlessly be accused of inaccuracy and superfluity. The reason is that Vr., along with others, does not consider Apabhramśa to be Prakrit. As Nāmisādu on Rudrata, Kāvvālamkāra 2,11 remarks, some others postulate three languages: Prākrit, Sanskrit and Apabhramśa: *yaduktam kaiścid yathā | prākṛtam sanskr̥tam caitadapabhramśa iti tridhā*. To this group belongs Daṇḍin, who in his Kāvyādarśa 1, 32, distinguishes between four kinds of literary works, (1) those that are in Sanskrit, (2) those that are in Prākrit, (3) those that are in Apabhramśa, and finally (4) those that are written in more than one language (*miśra*)⁴. Under Apabhramśa Daṇḍin includes the language of the Ābhiras etc., when used in literary works (*kāvyeṣu*): in treatises (*śāstreṣu*) they call everything, whatever is different from Sanskrit, Apabhramśa. Mārkandeya, fol.2 in a citation includes the language of the Ābhiras under the *vibhāṣāḥ* (§3), rather than among the dialects of Apabhramśa, of which he names 26, such as Pāñcāla, Mālava, Gauda, Odra, Kāliṅga, Kārnāṭaka, Drāviḍa, Gurjara, and so on. Consequently, he uses the term Apabhramśa for the popular-languages of Aryan and non-Aryan origins. Against this, Rāmatarkavāgiśa holds that Apabhramśa should not be included under the *vibhāṣāḥ*, in view of the fact that they are used in dramas etc.: only the actually spoken languages of the people are to be called Apabhramśa. According to him, Māgadhi, as a literary language, is a *bhāṣā*, and an Apabhramśa as a popular language⁵. Ravikara, in BOLLESEN on Vkr. p. 509, distinguishes between two kinds of Apabhramśa. The one is based on Prākrit and differs slightly from it in flexion, composition and word-formation, and the other is a popular dialect, (*deśabhāṣā*)⁶. While Sanskrit and Prākrit follow the rules that are framed about their form, Apabhramśa is dominated by the speech of the common people. The older Vāgbhaṭa holds a similar opinion about Apabhramśa. In Vāgbhaṭālaṅkāra 2, 1 he names four languages: Sanskrit, Prākrit, Apabhramśa and Bhūtabhāṣita i. e. Paiśāci (§ 27) and under 2,3 he remarks that Apabhramśa is the pure language of the different states: *apabhramśastu tacchuddham tattadadeśeṣu bhāṣitam*. The younger Vāgbhaṭa in Alāmkāratilaka 15, 3 differentiates between Sanskrit, Prākrit, Apabhramśa and Grāmyabhāṣā. In an inscription from Valabhi, Guhasena is praised on account of the high degree of skilfulness shown by

him in his works written in three languages, namely Sanskrit. Prākrit and Apabhramśa⁷. I. A. 10,284 (Rudrata, Kāvyālankāra 2,12, names six languages; Sanskrit, Prākrit, Māgadhabhāṣā, Piśācabhāṣā, Śūrasenī and Apabhramśa, which has several divisions according to the difference in locality: *sāṣṭho’tra bhūribheda deśavīśeṣād apabhramśah*. The same six different classes of languages are mentioned also by Amaracandra, Kāvyakalpalatvṛtti p. 8.

1. I. Alt. 2², 1169.—2. WEBER, IStr. 2, 57; PISCHEL, KB. 8, 145.—3. Varaurci und Hemacandra (Gütersloh 1893), p. 14 f.=KZ. 33,332 f.—4. He is followed by Kavacandra in Kāvyacandrikā, in LASSEN, Inst., p. 32. The number is not clear in Bhojadeva, Sarasvatikāṇṭhbharana 2,7 ff., p. 56.—5. LASSEN, Inst., p. 21 f.; cf. Muir, OST. 2², 46.—6. Somadeva in his Kathācaritāgara, 6,148 mentions *sāṃskṛtam*, *prākṛtam* and *deśabhaṣā* as the different languages; *bhaṣātrayam yann. anuṣyeṣu saṃbhavet*. Cf. Kṣemendra, Bṛhat-kathāmañjarī, 6, 47. 52. 7.

§ 5. Accordingly we have to consider as Apabhramśa the popular languages of India. Undoubtedly there were written in it literary works of every kind already in time much earlier than that of the literary monuments, that are still preserved for us. The Bhāratīyanātyāśāstra 17,46 declares that the place of Apabhramśa in a drama is as important as that of Śauraseni, inasmuch as beside Śauraseni, a provincial language could be used in it, and that optionally: *śaurasenām samāṣṭya bhāṣā kāryā tu nātakē athavā chandataḥ kāryā deśabhaṣā prayoktṛbhiḥ*. We need not take into consideration the artificially written dramas of the classical period, rather of the popular theatres of the type of *yātrā* of Bengal¹ or that of the musical plays of Hindusthān² and the popular theatres of Almora³ and Nepal⁴, a sample whereof occurs in the Hariścandranyātā⁵. This Apabhramśa, has never been called Prākrit, but probably Apabhramśa, which, according to Dāṇḍin, can be used in literary poetry, and according to Ravikara, deviates just slightly from Prākrit (§ 4), to which it can be traced back ultimately (§ 2). It is presented before us in some of the Prākrit grammarians, who treat Apabhramśa as well, and in Pingala and some other works (§ 29). The Indians always understand by the term Prākrit, the literary languages. Pṛthividhara, in the introduction to his commentary on Mṛcchakatikā, p. v., edited by STENZLER= p. 493 of GODBOLE's⁶ edition, says expressly: *māhārāṣṭryādayaḥ kāyya eva prayujyante*. Hc. 2,174, p. 68. says that the words that are not used by the earlier poets (*pūrvaiḥ kavibhiḥ*) in Prākrit are to be avoided. Dāṇḍin, Kāvyādarśa 1,35 remarks that Śauraseni, Gaūḍī, Lāṭī and others of the kind are used in conversation under the name Prākrit, and Rāmatarkavāgiśa forbids inclusion of Apabhramśa in the *vibhāṣāḥ*, when they are used in dramas etc. (§ 4). We have, therefore, to differentiate between the Śauraseni-Apabhramśa, the old popular language of Śūrasena, from which evolved the modern Gujarāti and Mārwāri⁷ and Śauraseni Prākrit, the artificial language, that is the language of prose of theatres, and whose all the characteristics are like those of Sanskrit. But the Śauraseni-Apabhramśa would also be used in lyrical poems, now and then, and that on the model of Māhārāṣṭri, the Prākrit of lyrics and of artificial epics, remodelled for the purpose of song and recitation, with its chief dialectical peculiarities left untouched. Hc. 4, 446 gives an example: Ap. *kanṭhi pālambu kidu radie*, thus in Śauraseni Pkt. would be *kanṭhe pālambām kidam radie*, but in Māhārāṣṭri, with the elision of *d* it would be *kanṭhe pālambām kaam rae*. Erroneously Hc. lays down that Apabhramśa generally follows Śauraseni Prākrit (§ 28). Similarly be

it noted that there was one Māhārāṣṭra Apabhrāṁśa, whence has developed the modern Marāṭhi⁸, in addition to Mahārāṣṭra-Prākrit, i. e. Māhārāṣṭri of the grammarians, as well as Māgadha-Apabhrāṁśa (§ 4), which through the Lāṭa-dialect has essentially continued to this day as the dialects of Bihar and of West Bengal⁹ and a Māgadha Prākrit, i. e. Māgadhi of the grammarians¹⁰. For Paiśācī see § 27, and for Arṣa see § 16.

1. WILSON, Select Specimens of the Theatre of the Hindus 2⁸, 412 ff.; NISIKĀNTA CHATTOPĀDHYĀYA, Indische Essays (Zürich 1883) p. 1 ff.—2. F. ROSEN, Die Indarsabhā des Amānat (Leipzig 1892), Einleitung.—3. S. v. OLDENBURG, Zapiski Vostočnago Otdelenija Imperatorskago Russkago Archeologičeskago Obsšestva 5, 290 ff.—4. KLATT, De trecentis Cānakyaē poetae Indici sententiis (Halle 1873) p. 1 ff.; PISCHEL, Katalog der Bibliothek der DMG, (Leipzig 1881) 2, 5 ff.—5. Das Hariçandranṛtyam, An old Nepalese Dance, published by A. Conardy (Leipzig 1891).—6. From this springs the quotation from Lalitadikṣita in Gopbole, p. 1.—7. PISCHEL, Academy 1873, p. 398; HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. p. xxv.—8. GARREZ, JA. VI., 20, p. 203 ff. (Paris 1872); wrongly HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. p. xxxi.—9. HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. p. xxiv. In Academy 1. c. I have wrongly designated Pāli as Māgadha-Apabhrāṁśa, against which E. KUHN, Beiträge zur Pāli Grammatik (Berlin correctly 1875) p. 8. I corrected the mistake in Jenaer Literaturzeitung, 1875, p. 316.—10. The theory enunciated by me in the Academy, 1873, p. 379 f. has been here improved upon on various points. HOERNLE, Comp. gr. p. xvii ff. is substantially in agreement with me. On so many details I differ from him, as is shown in the following paragraphs. SHANKER PĀNDURANG PĀNDIT has confused Apabhrāṁśa with Prākrit in Gaūḍavaho p. 4 LV. ff.

§ 6. So the Prākrit languages are artificial dialects in so far as they are considerably altered from literary view-points by poets. But they are not artificial dialects, if thereby it is meant that they are the result of the efforts of the poets¹. What is true with these dialects is equally true with Sanskrit, which has never been the commonly spoken language of the cultured Indians. This Sanskrit was not the basis of the Prākrit dialects², which indeed go back to a certain popular spoken dialect, which, on political or religious grounds, was raised to the status of a literary medium³. But the difficulty is that it does not seem probable that all the Prākrit dialects sprang out from one and the same source. At least they could not have developed out of Sanskrit, as is generally held by Indian scholars and HOEFER⁴, LASSEN⁵, BHĀNDĀRKAR⁶ and JACOBI⁷. All the Prākrit languages have a series of common grammatical and lexical characteristics with the Vedic language, and such are significantly missing from Sanskrit. Such correspondences are: the comparatively greater freedom in sandhi rules, the change of the intervocalic *d*, *dh* to *l*, *lh*; the suffix *-ttaṇa*=Ved. *-tvana*⁸, the svarabhakti, the gen. sing. of the fem. bases in *-āe*=Ved. *-āyai*; the ins. plur. in *-ehiṁ*=Ved. *-ebhiḥ*; the imperative *hohi*=Ved. *bodhi*; *tā*, *jā*, *ēttha*=Ved. *tāt*, *yāt*, *ittāhā*; *te*, *me* as accusative; *amhe*=Ved. *asme*; Prākrit *pāso* “eye”=Ved. *pas*⁹; AMg. *vaggūhiṁ*=*vagnubhīh* *saddhim*=*sadhrīm*; A. *dive* *dive*=Ved. *dive* *dive*; J. S. A. *kidha*, AMg. A. *kiha*=Ved. *kathā*; *māim*, Ved. *ndākim*, *nāim*=Ved. *nākim*; AMg. *viū*=*viduh*¹⁰; Mg. *-āho*, *-āhu*, A. *-ahō*=Ved. *āsah*; M. JM. A. *kunāi*, JS. *kunadi*=*kṛnoti*; AMg. JM. *sakkā*=Ved. *śakyāt*; A. *sāhu*=Ved. *śāsvat*; AMg. *ghimsu*=Ved. *ghrāmsa*; M. AMg. JM. S. A. *khambha*=Ved. *skambha*; M. AMg. JM. S. *rukha* “tree”=Ved. *rukṣa*; future *sōcchām* from Ved. *śrus*; the (AMg.) infinitive in *-ae*, *-ttae*=Ved. *-tavai*; the absolute (A.) in *-ppi*, *-pi*, *-vi*=Ved. *-tvī*, in *-ppinu*=Ved. *tvīnam*, etc. what have been described in relevant places in this grammar. These alone make the hypothesis that Sanskrit was the source of the different Prākrits impossible¹¹.

1. BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 1, 201, 223; SØRENSEN, Om Sanskrits Stilling i den almindelige Sprøgudvikling i Indian (København 1894), p. 220 ff. PISCHEL De gr. Pr. p. 30 ff. should be corrected accordingly.—2. FRANKE, BB. 17, 71. I doubt if ever there was a

living language for the cultured people of the whole of Āryāvarta. Cf. also WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. p. xlII, note 7.—3. In GGA. 1884, p. 512, I had suggested that classical Sanskrit was based on the dialect of Brahmāvarta. Cf. WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gram. p. xxvi, note 11, for further literature on this topic. — 4. De Prākrita dialecto § 8.—5. Inst. p. 25 ff.; IAlt. 2², 1163, note 5.—6. JBoAS., 16, 315.—7. KZ. 24, 614, wherein he says that Pāli and Prākrit are essentially a later form of Sanskrit.—8. v. BRADKE, ZDMG. 40, 673.—9. PISCHEL and GELDNER, Ved. Stud. 1, p. xxxi, note 2.—10. Ved. 2, 235 f.—11. WEBER goes too far when he (IS.2,111) does not see in Prākrit anything, but a degenerated old Vedic dialect. Cf. § 9.

§ 7. The affinity of the Prākrit languages with the middle and new Indo-Aryan popular dialects is not weaker than that with the Vedic language. The inscriptions of Aśoka provide us with specimens of at least four middle Indian dialects. The inscriptions, dating between the 2nd and 3rd centuries A. D., that are found in caves, stūpas, plates, etc., prove that there was a popular language, that was equally intelligible in a considerably greater part of India. SENART has designated the language of these inscriptions the “monumental Piākrit¹”. This is misleading, since it suggests that Pkt. developed purely as an artificial language. We have little ground to accept this view or to agree with KERN², that Pāli is an artificial language, though both Pāli and Prākrit, like Ārṣa (§16); underwent various forms of changes as literary languages. I propose to name the Prākrit dialect of most of these inscriptions, as they are found in caves, as Lēna-dialect, after *lēna*=Skt. *layana* “hole”, which occurs so often in these inscriptions. A parallel is met with in the name of Lāṭa-dialect, from *lāṭi*=Pkt. *laṭṭhi*=Skt. *yasti* “pillar”. All these dialects are continuations not of Sanskrit, but of sister dialects thereof, and their characteristics, in a great measure, correspond to those of different Prākrits. Some examples from the concluding portion of Aśoka’s first edict may here be enough. We find in the first edict the participle of the causative of *likh*, in Girnār as *lekhāpitā*, in Shāhbāzgarhi as *likhapitū*, in Jaugḍa as *likhāpitā*, and in Mansehra as [l]ikhapita, a form that occurs in the pillar edicts as well, (SENART 2,597). The Lēna-dialect has similar formations from roots ending in a consonant: *ba[m]dhāpayati*, *kīḍāpayati*, *pīḍāpayati*, *va[m]dāpayati*, (Hāthigumphā Inscription p. 155. 158. 160. 163)³; likewise Pāli: *likhāpeti* that is very often found also in Prākrit [§ 552]; Aśoka’s *likhāpita* corresponds to JM. *lihāviya* (Erz. 63,31), Aśoka’s *lihāpāyisām* (Girnār. 14,3), Mg. *lihāvaiśām* (Mrcc. 136,21).—Girnār *prajūkītayam*, from *hu* (to offer a sacrifice) with *pra*⁴, shows an extension of the present stem, as is usual in Pāli and Prākrit.—In Girnār, *samājamhi* and *mahānasamhi*, the two forms of the nominal loc. sing. have the ending of the pronominal declension; Shāhbāzgarhi and Khālsī have *mahanasasi*, *mahānasasi*, that is *mahānasamsi*, a form that occurs very often in the pillar and stray edicts. In the Lēna-dialect are found *ja[m]budipamhi* (Karle Inscription, No. 1)⁵ *thuvamhi*=*stūpe*⁶, *anugāmimhi* (Nāsik No. 6^a)⁷, *tiranhumhi* (Nāsik No. 11^b,19)⁸, also *tiranphumi*, that is to say *tiraphummi*⁹. To these correspond in Prākrit M. JM. JS. AMg. the locative forms in -*mmi*, AMg. -*ṁsi*. One notices further the use of *asti* in the plural, as in Prākrit *atthi* (§ 498), and that of *se*, that is exactly so found in AMg. I shall, from the Lēna-dialect, refer only to the declension of stems in *i*- and *u*-, whereof the gen. sing. ends in -*no* and -*sa*, i. e. -*ssa*; it is so in Prākrit, where the gen. sing. even of the *n*-stem ends in -*sa*. On all these points, as well as on very many others, whereof no correspondence is to be found in Sanskrit, Prākrit agrees with the middle Indian popular-dialects.

1. Les inscriptions de Piyadasi, 2, 488. He is followed by SØRENSEN, l. c. p. 187.—

2. Over de Jaartelling der zuidelijke Buddhisten (Amsterdam 1873) p. 14f.—3. Actes

du Sixième Congrès International des Orientalistes (Leide 1885), 3, 2.—4. PISCHEL, GGA. 1881, 132, f. — 5. Inscriptions from the Cave-Temples of Western India. By JAS. BURGESS and BHAGWANLAL INDRAJI (Bombay 1881) p. 28.—6. SENART, 1. c. 2. 472. — 7. Arch. Survey of Western India 4, 101. — 8. Arch. S. of W. I., 4, 106. 114.—9. Arch. S. of W. I., 4, 99.

§ 8. On account of the analytical character of the new Indian dialects naturally it is no more possible to demonstrate the affinity of Pkt. in the matter of flexion, with them. But this relationship is more striking in phonology and morphology, as also in the case of the Middle Indian. Patañjali, Vyākaraṇamahābhāṣya 1, p. 5,21 f. remarks: of each correct word there are many of incorrect formations (*apabhrāṁśāḥ*); thus for example, of the word *gauḥ* (cow), the *apabhrāṁśāḥ* are *gāvī*, *goṇī*, *gotā*, *gopotālikā*¹. Of these, in Prākrit, the most usual form is *gāvī*, that in JM. is *goṇī*, which has as its masculine, the form *goṇo* (§ 393). Under Pāṇini 1,3,1 (p. 259) Kātyāyana mentions *ānapayati*, to which Patañjali adds *vattati* and *vaddhati*; Patañjali, under Pāṇini 3, 1, 91 (2, 74) records a form *supati*, and Kaiyatā expressly considers all such verbal forms as *Apabhrāṁśa*². The inscriptions of Aśoka (SENART 2,559) and the Lenādialect (Arch. S. of W. I. 4,104.110) have *ānapayati*; to it corresponds S. Mg. *ānavēdi* (§ 551), whereas Pāli has *ānāpeti*. KIELHORN has already noted that Pāli has similarly sounding equivalent forms for *vattati*, *vaddhati* and *supati*. The Prākrit equivalents to these are: M. AMg. JM. *vattai*, JŚ. S. *vattadi*, M. AMg. JM. *vaddhai*, S. *vaddhadi* (§ 289. 291), M. *suaī*, *suaī* JM. *suyaī* (§ 497). The Indian grammarians and rhetoricians divide the Prākrit words into three classes: 1) *sāṃskṛtasama*, "Sanskrit-like" (C. 1, 1; Sr. in PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 40), commonly designated as *tatsama*, "that-like (that is to say like Sanskrit)", (Triv. in PISCHEL, 1. c. p. 29; Mk. 101 2; Dandīn, Kāvyādaīśa 1,32; Dhanika on Daśīūpa 2, 60), and also referred to as *tattulya*(Vāgbhaṭālāmkāra 2, 2; and *samānaśabda* (Bhāratīyan. 17, 3); 2), *sāṃskṛtabhava*, "originated from Sanskrit" (Sr.), commonly designated as *tadbhava* (Triv.; Mk., Dandīn.; Dhanika), also as *sāṃskṛtayoni* (Hc. I, 1; C.), *tajja* (Vāgbhaṭa), and *vibhrāṣṭa* (Bhāratīyan. 17, 3); and 3) *desya* (Hc.; Triv.; Sr.; Mk.; Vāgbhaṭa) or *desī* (Desīn. p. I. 2; Dandīn; Dhanika), also *desiprasiddha* (C.) and *desimata* (Bhāratīyan. 17,37)³. The words that have the same form both in Prākrit and in Sanskrit are *tatsama* words. Thus for example, *kara*, *komala*, *jala*, *soma*, etc. The *tadbhava* words are classed as *sādhyamānasāṃskṛtabhavāḥ*, and *siddhasāṃskṛtabhavāḥ*. To the former class belong the Prākrit words, that presuppose Sanskrit words, from which they are derived in their unfinished form without an affix or suffix. This especially refers to the flexion, by which a word is, first of all, made fit, according to the rules of grammar, for use (*sādhyamāna*). BEAMES has appropriately called them "early tadbhavas"⁴. They are the independent basic elements of Prākrit. The latter class includes the Prākrit words, that are derived from grammatically complete (*siddha*) Sanskrit words, like AMg. *vandittā*=Skt. *vanditvā*. Although a large number of *tatsama* and *tadbhava* words are found in all the new Indian languages, it is not correct to presume that all such kinds of words are of Sanskritic origin only. The fact that all the new Indian languages do not go back to Sanskrit today needs no more proof.

1. WEBER, IS. 13, 365.—2. KIELHORN, ZDMG. 39, 327. SØRENSEN 1. c. p. 180 f.—3. Cf. also BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 1, 11 ff.; PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 30 f.; BB. 3, 235; HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. p. xxxviii ff. Designated as the fourth class by Bhuvanapāla in WEBER, IS. 16, 59 are the words that depend upon the *sāmānyabhāṣā*.—4. Comp. Gr. 1, 17. 5. PISCHEL on Hc. I. 1.

§ 9. The Indians include under the *desya* or *desī* class very heterogenous elements. They consider all such words to belong to this class as they cannot trace back to Sanskrit either in form or in meaning. It depends upon their knowledge of Sanskrit and ability in etymologising that some of them call a word to be *desya*, while others include it either among the tatsamas or among the tadbhavas. Besides, we have many words that are classed as *desī*, even though they go back to genuine Sanskrit roots, simply because they do not have closely corresponding Sanskrit words; thus for example *pāso* (eye; Triv. in BB. 6,104) or *pāsam* (Desin. 6,75), is connected to AMg *pāsai*=Skt. *paśati*; or *sivī* (needle; Desin. 8, 29; Triv. in BB. 3,260), Skt. *sivyati*. Further, there are the compound words whose component parts are basically true Sanskrit, nevertheless the special meaning ascribed to them in Prākrit is not to be found in that language: thus *acchivadānaṁ* (closing of the eyes; Desin. I, 39, with commentary; Triv. in BB. 13, 5) = *akṣi*+*patana*; or *sattāvīsaṁjōano* (the moon; Desin. 8,22; C. I, 1 p. 39) = Sīmhadēvagāṇin on Vāgbhāta-lāmīkāra 2, 2) = *saptāvīṁśati*+*dyotana*¹. Then there are words for which there is no Sanskrit root. Thus *jodam* (constellation; Desin. 3. 49), *jodo* (Triv. in BB. 13, 17 f.); or *tuppo* (anointed Pāīyal. 233; Desin. 5,22; Hāla 22 v.l. 289. 520), that is connected to Marāṭhi *tūpa* (clarified butter; ghee)². Lastly there are the words that exhibit some rare phonetic law; thus *gaharo* (vulture; Pāīyal. 126; Desin. 2, 84; Triv. in BB. 6. 93), what is rightly equated a= *grdhra* by Trivikrama; or *vihunduo* (Rāhu; Desin. 7, 65; Triv. in B B. 3, 252) = *vidhūṁtudha*³. Among the *desya* words are included the largely numerous verb-forms, that are designated as *dhātvādeśa* "root substitutes" by grammarians, and they cover much space in Indian grammars (Vr. 8,1 ff.; Hc. 4,1 ff.; Ki. 4, 46 ff.; Mk. fol. 53 ff.). Here Sanskrit fails miserably in rendering any help, though agreement among the new Indian languages is most rigorous⁴. As the name indicates, by *desya* people have come to understand also "provincialisms". In Hemacandra's Rāyāñāvali (§ 36), the largest collection of these provincialisms, the *dhātvādeśa* have not been mentioned (Desin. I, 3), and Hc. (I, 4) in clear terms, excludes all such provincialisms, as are not current in Prākrit. Many such *desī* words, either from Apabhramśa or from Prākrit, have been taken into Sanskrit dictionaries and Dhātupāṭhas also⁵. It is possible that stray non-Aryan words may have been got included in the *desī* words, but the bulk of such elements is Proto-Aryan, though we search for them in Sanskrit in vain. Nāmisāṁhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālāmīkāra 2,12, gives an etymology of the word Prākrit, according to which the basis (*prakṛti*) of Prākrit and Sanskrit is the natural current language of the people, that cannot be fashioned according to the rules of grammar, or this Prākrit itself is this current language (§ 16). As already shown, such an assumption is unjustified. Sanskrit forms the chief constituent of individual Prākrit dialects, especially of Māhārāṣṭri of artificial poetry, such as Gaṭīdavaho and Rāvaṇavaho, that are composed according the model of Sanskrit. In them, therefore, the number of *desī* words is diminishing, while⁷ they are very significant in JM. I agree entirely with SENART⁸, that all the Prākrits go back to popular dialects as their source and their all the essential elements originally developed from the living speeches, but 'uch of these dialects, as were raised to the status of literary languages, all like Sanskrit, underwent significant alterations.

1. Not = *yojana* The 24 *nakṣotras* are meant.—2. WEBER, ZDMG. 28,335.—3. Cf. DESIN. I. 3; BÜHLER, Pāiyalacchi p. 11 ff.; SØRENSEN I. c. 225 ff.—4. Many examples are to be found in the translation of Hc., and in WEBER's observations on Häla.—5. ZACHARIAE, Beiträge zur indischen Lexicographie (Berlin 1883), p. 53 ff. Cf. also WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. p. LI ff.—6. BENFEY, Vollständige Grammatik § 140, 2; FISCHER, BB. 3, 236, 264; 6, 84; BÜHLER, WZKM. 8, 17 ff.; 122 ff.; FRANKE, ibid. 321 ff.—7. FISCHER, GGA. 1880, p. 326, wherein it has been remarked that in the notes on Rāvaṇavaho, there is much valuable material. SHANKAR P. PANDIT, Gaūdavaho p. lvi.—8. L'épigraphie et l'histoire linguistique de l'Inde. Extracts from the reviews of the Séance de l' Académie des Inscriptions et Belles Letters (Paris 1886), p. 17 ff.; Les inscriptions de Piyadasi 2, 530 ff. SENART has not, however, as already mentioned under section 7 above, taken Prākrit in its strictly correct sense.

§ 10. The inscriptions that are preserved for us in Prākrit are the documents of gift of the Pallava king, Śivaskandavarman, those of the queens of the Pallava Yuvarāja Vijayabuddhavarman¹, the Ghaṭayāla inscription of Kakkuka, and the fragments of Somadeva's Lalitavigrabhārajanāṭaka. The first one was published by BÜHLER, EI. 1,2ff; a few improvements thereupon were made by LEUMANN, ibid 2,483ff. and by FISCHER, GN. 1895,210 ff. I indicate them by PG.—Pallava Grant. BÜHLER has pressed that in these inscriptions there were certain characteristics that appeared only in literary Prākrit. Thus the change of *ya* into *ja* in *kāravejjā*, *vatteja*, *hoia*, *jo*, *samjutto*; the more frequent change of dental *n* into a cerebral *ṇ*: the occurrence of sonants in place of surds, thus *kassava*, *apuvaṭṭhāveti*, *vi*, *bhaḍa*, *kada*; the writing of doubled consonants, such as in *aggitthoma*, *assamedha*, *dhamma*, *savatha*, *ruttihika* etc². All these peculiarities are found in a scattered manner also in one or the other inscription in the Leṇa-dialect³. But there is no other inscription in which these characteristics, all taken together, and in such large quantities, occur in so far as the language should be regarded as Prākrit. But it no way is absolutely pure Prākrit. *ya* stands beside *ja*: *na* is often retained; surds mostly remain unmodified; even the doubled consonants are written in a simple manner: *sivakhaṁdavamo*, *gumike*, *vadhanike* etc⁴. The following are gross irregularities against Prākrit: *hāmīcpurā*; (5, 1) for *kaṁcīpurā*; *ātteya°* (6, 13) for *atteya° vatsa°* (6, 22) for *vaccha°*; *cattāri*(6,39) for *cattāri*; unusual are *vitanāma*(5,7) for *vitarāmo*; *dūdhā* (6, 31) for *duddha*, *°dattām* (6, 12) for *°dīṇām*; *dattā* (7, 48), i. e. *dattā* for *dīṇā*. It can clearly be seen that the language is an artificial⁵ one. For a history of Prākrit, the inscription is not without interest and worth, and it has been, therefore, used regularly, in this grammar, whereas the Leṇa-dialect and the so called-Gāthā dialect⁶ are beyond its sphere. The inscription of Kakkuka, indicated by KI., was published by MUNISIFF DEBI PRASĀD, JRAS. 1195, p. 513 ff. It is written in Jaina Māhārāṣṭri (§ 20).

1. Published by FLEET, IA. 9, 100 ff. Almost unusable. Cf. BÜHLER. EI. 1,2, note.

—2. BÜHLER, I. c. 2. ff.—3. SENART, Piyadasi 2, 489 ff 518 ff—4 BÜHLER I. c. p. 2 ff.—5. To it applies even more accurately what SENART I. c. 2,494, says on the Leṇa-dialect: this language is, then, neither wholly popular, nor entirely regulated.—6. That this name is not appropriate has been remarked correctly by SENART, I. c. 2,460 ff. His suggestion to call the language "mixed Sanskrit" would, however, meet with little approval. Further references have been given in WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. p. xxxix f.

§ 11. The fragments of Somadeva's Lalitavigrabhārajanāṭaka are found also in two basalt-plates, discovered in Ajmir. They have been published by, KIELHORN, IA, 20, 201 ff. and again GN. 1893,552 ff. In them, occur three Prākrit dialects. Māhārāṣṭri, Sauraseni, and Māgadhi. KONOW has shown, exactly, as I had remarked in my first study

of Prâkrit², that the Prâkrit forms closely agree with the rules of Hema-candra. But Hemacandra was hardly the authority, whose views were honoured by Somadeva. Hc. 4, 271 prescribes the absolute in-*dūṇa* in Sauraseni; Somadeva has the Mâhârâstri form in-*ūṇa*; Hc. 4, 280 requires *yeva*, whereas Somadeva has *jjeva*; in consonant-groups in Mâgadhi, Somadeva has *s*, whereas Hc. 4, 289, prescribes *s*; the former lays down *śta* for *rth*, against *st* of Hc. 4, 291 and *śka* for *hka* against *ska* of Hc. 4, 296. 297³. Hence it follows that the absolute in-*ūṇa* may be an error committed by Somadeva himself; even-*dūṇa* may be wrong (§584); *śta* for *rta* as well may be an error committed in course of transmission inasmuch as in 566, 9 we find *yahastam*=*yathârtham*. But as regards *śka* for *hka*, *ska* could hardly, with KONOW⁴, be considered to be a slip on the part of a mason, when there are numerous examples. However, we cannot assess a higher value for this inscription than for any unique manuscript. The inscription is full of gross errors of the dialects concerned, just like any manuscript of a drama. To the list of errors picked up by KONOW l.c. p. 479, I add the following: S. *tijjha* (554, 4; s. §421); *jjeva* (554, 4; 555, 18) for *jeva* after *anusvâra*; *nimmâya* (554, 13; s. § 591); the passive *vilojjanti*, *pêkkhijjanti* (554, 21, 22), *kijjadu* (562, 24), *jampijjadi* (568, 6), the forms that are admitted by Hc. for *vilojanti*, *pekkhianti*, *kariadu*, *jampiadi* (§535); *kitti* (555, 4) for *kim ti*; *rayanâtm* (555, 15), *raanya* (560, 19) for *radanâim* and *radanya*; *gihida* (560, 20) for *gahida*; *eârisam* (563, 3) for *edârisam*. The dialectical inaccuracies in Mâgadhi are:—*pêškijyandi* (565, 13); *pêškianti*, *pêškijyasi* (565, 15) for *pêškiasi*, *yânijyadi* (566, 1) for *yânijadi*, *pacakkhikâdam* (566, 1) for *paccâski*⁵; *yahastam* (566, 9) for *yad hastam*; *nijjhala*, *yujjha* (566, 9, 11) for *nijjhala*, *yuyyha* (cf. § 280, 284); *eva* (567, 1) for *yeva*. These are the types of errors that always occur in manuscripts, just as *tama-pasara* (555, 11), *pacakkhâim* (555, 14), *śsaluvam* (565, 9). Moreover, most of these, if not all, would certainly disappear, if we had a few more manuscripts of the drama at our disposal. The presence of the absolute in-*ūṇa*, and the passive in S.-*iija*, and Mg.-*iyya*, may be mistakes committed by the author himself, as even Râjasekhara, (§ 22) and later poets often mix up the dialects with one another. The writing of *n* in place of *ṇ*, and interpolation of *y*⁶ suggest Jaina influence. A second fragment found in Ajmir, of Harakelinâtaka, is ascribed to Vigraharâjadeva himself, and is dated November 22, 1153⁶. The grammar of Hemacandra, at the earliest, would have been completed towards the end of the Vikrama year 1197⁷, i.e. 1140 A.D. Consequently Somadeva and Hemacandra were contemporaries. In spite of all the errors, these fragments are of the greatest importance for Mâgadhi, that, here only has been handed down to us in a form, that is in agreement with the rules laid down by the grammarians, (§ 23).

1. GGA. 1894, 478ff.—2. IA. 20, 204.—3. KONOW, l. c. p. 481.—4. l. c. p. 482.—5. KONOW, l. c. p. 480.—6. KIELHORN, IA. 20, 201.—7. BÜHLER, Über das Leben des Jaina Mönches Hemacandra (Wien 1889), p. 18.

§ 12. When one refers to the Prâkrit language in general terms it is the Mâhârâstri (§ 2), that derives its name from Mâhârâstra, the land of the Marâthas, that is thereby meant. It is considered to be the best Prâkrit. As shown by GARREZ (§ 5) Mâhârâstri, no doubt, has unmistakable points of contact with the Marâthi language.¹ No other dialect has been phonetically modified, to such an extent for artificial purposes. The dropping of consonants had, in M., been so extensive, as has been seen nowhere else, therefore, a large number of words that were phonetically quite different have assumed the same form. Thus:—M. *kaa=kaca* and *kta*;

*kai=kati, kapi, kavi, kṛti ; kāa=kāka, kāca, kāya ; gaā=gatā, gadā, gajāḥ ; maa=mata, mada, maya, mṛga, mṛta; vaa=vacas, vayas, vrata, °pada; sua=śuka, suta, śruta, etc.*² Therefore, it was not without reason that BEAMES designated M. the ‘emasculated stuff’.³ It was admitted long ago that its sound system was essentially determined by the fact that M. above all was used for musical stanzas. Such stanzas are the *Gāhās=Gāthās*, that are, for us, collected in the Satasai of Hāla, and the Vajjälagga of Jayavallabha⁴, and are scattered in the writings of authors on rhetorics, and are inserted in dramas as well. They were expressly designated as *Gāhā*, ‘song’, ‘musical stanza’ thus for example H. 3, 500. 600. 698. 708, 709. 815 ; Vajjälagga 3.4. 9.10 ; p, 326,6. The stanza that is written in the purest M. in Mudrār. 83,2-3, and is addressed by Virādhagupta, who appears as a snake-charmer and Prākrit poet, to the minister Rākṣasa, is called by him *Gāthā*, and Viśvānātha, Sāhityadarpana 432 says that the women that are not of lowly origin speak Śaurasenī in dramas, and that in their songs (*āsameva tu gāthāsu*) they should speak Māhārāṣtri. Priyārvadā calls the stanzas, 55, 15. 16 of Śak. *gīdaam=gītakam* in 54, 8 ; Śakuntalā calls it *gīdīā=gītikā* (55,8) : the verses recited by the spy in Mudrārāksasa (34,6ff.) are called, after 35,1, *gīdīām*, “songs”. The actress sings (*gāyati*) her song in M. : thus for example Śak. 2, 13 ; Mallikām. 19, 1 ; Kāleyak. 12, 6 (*vinām vādayantī gāyati*) ; Unmattar. 2, 17 ; cf. Mukund. 4, 20 ff ; about the stanzas that are recited from behind the slage, it is said *nepathyē gīyate* ; e. g. Śak. 95, 17 ; Viddhaś. 6, 1 ; Kāleyak. 3, 6 ; Karnas. 3. 4. This application of M. in lyrics destined for musical purposes is undoubtedly the oldest, and in these the dropping of consonants in such large proportions is, in the first place, to be attributed to this cause.⁵

1. I do not think that it is correct to say, like E. KUHN (KZ. 33, 478), that the oldest form of Māhārāṣtri Prākrit is to be found in Pāli.—2. Some examples have been given by SHANKAR P. PANDIT, Gaūḍavaho p. lvi. lviii.—3. Comp. Gram. 1, 223. 4. BHANDARKAR, Report 1883, 84 (Bombay 1887), p. 17, 324 ff. The correct name is *Vajjälagga* (3. 4. 5. ; p. 326, 9) from which originated *Vajjälaya* (p. 326, 5). The word is derived from *vajjā=vrajā* (B. R. s. v.) ; WEBER, Hāla², p. xxxviii ; PISCHEL, Die Hofdichter des Lakṣmaṇasena (Göttingen, 1893), p. 30 f.), and *lagga* (indication, mark ; Desin. 7, 17)=Skt. *lagna*. Its translation by *Padyälaya* is wrong.—5. WEBER, I. Str. 3, 159 f. ; 279 ; Hāla² p. xx

§ 13. For a knowledge of M., the most essential work is the Sattasai of Hāla. The first 370 stanzas were already published by WEBER, under the title “Über das Saptaśatakam des Hāla, Leipzig, 1870.” WEBER brought out the addenda and carringenda to his edition of the work in ZDMG. 26, 735 ff ; 28, 345 ff., which were followed by a complete edition with a German translation and word-index. Das Saptaśatakam des Hāla, Leipzig, 1881. WEBER dealt upon Bhuvanapāla’s commentary on Chekotivicārālilā in IS. 16, 1ff. DURGA PRASAD and KASINATH PANDURANG PARAB, have, besides, furnished us with an edition of the work that is indispensable on account of the complete printed commentary and several good readings, under the title The Gāthāsaptaśati of Sātavāhana with the commentary of Gaṅgādharabhaṭṭa, Bombay, 1889 (Kāvyamālā 21). WEBER fixes as the earliest limit to the date of the collection, at the 3rd. century A. D., and in any case, according to him, it was compiled earlier than the 7th century, and he has, in the introduction to his complete edition, dealt elaborately with the six different recensions of the work, of which the recension of Bhuvanapāla is the seventh (p. xxxvii ff.). We observe from the Sattasai that there was in M. a literature that was very extensive. Originally, the name of the author of each of the stanza was appended to it (H. 709). Of these names unfortunately, we know only a few, many, whereof are in corrupt

form, and the tradition varies a great deal in the matter of assignment of the verses. The commentators of the vulgata have given 112 names; Bhuvanapāla gives 384, considering Sātavāhana, (Sātavāhana, Sālivāhana, Sālāhana) and Hāla to be one and the same person. Two of these poets, Harivṛddha (Hariuddha) and Pōttisa were mentioned also by Rājaśekhara, Karp. 19. 2, where, moreover, occur the names of Nandiuddha = Nandivṛddha and Hāla as well," and in the v. l. Pālittaa, Campaarāa and Malaa-sehara are also named.² By Bhuvanapāla, of them, Pālittaa is credited with the authorship of ten verses of this anthology. In case Pālitta is to be equated to Pādalipta, as was done by WEBER³; this Pālitta might be identical with Pādalipatcārya, who is referred to by Hc., Deśin. 1, 2, as the author of a manual of Deśi (*deśisāstra*). The actual name of the last mentioned poet, is now proved by the v. l., with certainty, to be Mallasehara in Konow's edition and Malayaśekhara, i.e. "sekharā in Bhuvanapāla. According to Bhuvanapāla, Abhimāna, whose full name was Abhimānacihna, who was perhaps the author of H. 518, was, like Pādalipta, also the author of a *deśisāstra*, that had a *vṛtti* to each *sūtra*, and in which Abhimāna gave his own examples, (Deśin. 1, 144 ; 6, 93 ; 7, 1 ; 8, 12.17). This holds good equally for Devarāja, who according to Bhuvanapāla, was the author of H. 220. 369, and according to Deśin. 6. 58. 72 ; 8, 17, was a writer on Deśi, and also for Sātavāhana, who is mentioned among the sources of Hc. on Deśi in Deśin. 3, 41 ; 5, 11 ; 6, 15. 18. 19. 112. 125. Aparājita, whom Bhuvanapāla describes as the author of H. 756, is different from Aparājita, who, according to Karp. 6, 1, wrote Mrgāṅkalekhākathā, and was a contemporary of Rājaśekhara. It remains still undecided whether this younger Aparājita did never use Sanskrit : because it is likely that Rājaśekhara himself might have translated into Prākrit the passage under reference, and in fact, we find in the Subhāṣitāvali, a Sanskrit strophe, i.e. no. 1024, cited from him. Sarvasena, to whom, according to the authority of Bhuvanapāla, belong the verses, H. 217 and 234, composed, according to Ānandavardhana, Dhvanyāloka, 148, 9, Harivijaya, wherefrom is quoted a stanza, namely 127, 7, that is cited also by Hemacandra, Alāṅkāracūḍāmaṇi, fol. 7^b (Ms. KIELHORN, Report, Bombay 1881, p. 102, Nr. 265).⁴ In both the lists, one of the famous poets is Pravarasena. Further, in Bhuvanapāla occurs also the name of Vākpatriāja. None of the quoted passages, however, is found either in Rāvaṇavaho or in Gaūḍavaho. Because this Vākpatriāja had written a second artificial poem, i.e. Mahumahaviaa according to G. 69, and Madhumathānavijaya, according Anandavardhana, Dhvanyāloka, 152, 2 ; Someśvara, Kāvyādarśa, fol. 31. (Ms. KIELHORN, Report, p 87, No. 66. Hemacandra, Alāṅkāracūḍāmaṇi fol. 7^b) ; it is likely that both of the stanzas might have been derived from the latter source. But the two lists often go against each other, and are not reliable. However, the fact remains that the Sattasai presupposes a very rich literature in Prākrit, in the formation of which women too took active part. Only the futurity will tell whether or not stray stanzas were taken only occasionally into Sanskrit literature, as in the dramas.⁵

1. An excellent review thereof has been published by GARREZ in JA. VI, 20, 197ff. —2. PISCHEL, GGA. 1891, 365 ; v. l. of Karp. 19, 2.—3. IS. 16, 24 note 1.—4. PISCHEL, ZDMG. 39, 316.—5. The two editions by WEBER are respectively indicated as H¹ and H²; H, however, indicates the second edition.

§14. That Prākrit has had a rich literature is presupposed also by the second anthology, the Vajjālagga of Jayaballabha (§ 12), a Jaina belonging to the Śvetāmbara sect. According to BHANDARKAR, l.c. p. 17, it is divided

into 48 sections and contains 704 stanzas, whereof the authors are unfortunately not named. Stanza 2 = H. 2 ; none of the stanzas, nos. 6 to 10, found at p. 325 occurs in H. ; an immediate publication of Vajjālagga is very much desirable. A chāyā thereof was written by Ratnadeva in the Vikrama-year 1393=1336 A.D. Moreover, the actual name of the collection is Jaavallahari, according to p. 324, 26. A large number of stanzas in M. are further quoted by the writers on rhetorics. Of the 67 stanzas, that WEBER collected in the supplement to H.¹, p. 202 ff., from Dhanika's Commentary on Daśarūpa, Sāhityadarpaṇa and Kāvyaprakāśa, 32 are found in the different recensions of Sattasai, so that H² p. 509 ff. has yet the remaining 35. Of them the one bearing no. 968 *de ā pasia* is quoted also in Dhvanyāloka, 22, 2 ; in Alāṅkāracandrikā fol. 4^b and also elsewhere: the one bearing no. 969 *aṇṇaam laḍahattapāam* (so it is to be read) is cited by Ruyyaka, Alāṅkārasarvasva 67, 2; Alāṅkārac. fol. 37 etc. ; the stanza no. 970 is quoted by Jayaratha, Alāṅkāravimarśini fol. 24^b (Ms. BÜHLER, Detailed Report Nr. 230) ; the stanza no. 971 is cited by Śobhākara, Alāṅkāraratnākara fol. 20 (Ms., BÜHLER, Det. Rep. Nr. 227), and so also are the other stanzas quoted by this or that writer on poetics. The stanzas, nos. 979 *jo* (so it is to be read) *parharium*, 988 *tām tāṇa*, the oft-quoted 989, *tāṭa jāanti*, and 999 *homi vahattiareho* were taken from Ānandavardhana's Viśamabāṇalilā, a poem which Ānandavardhana himself quotes in Dhvanyāloka, 62, 3; 111, 4; 152, 3; 241, 12.20, and which according to 241, 19, was written for the instruction of the poets. (*kavivyutpattaye*). Cf. 222, 12 with the commentary of Abhinavagupta. The origin of stanza no. 979, is given by Someśvara, Kāvyādarśa, Fol. 52 (Ms., KIELHORN, Report 1880/91, p. 87, No. 60) and Jayanta, Kāvyaprakāśadipikā, fol. 65 (Ms. BÜHLER, Det. Rep. Nr. 244), both of them designate this poem as a Pañcabāṇalilā ; 988 and 989 are cited by Ānandavardhana himself, Dhvanyāloka p. 111. 62¹ ; stanza no. 999 is quoted by Abhinavagupta on Dhvanyāloka 152, 18 (quite mutilated in the printed text) ; and it is noted by Someśvara l.c. fol. 62, and by Jayanta l.c. fol. 79, that this is from Viśamabāṇalilā. Further Ānandavardhana, Dhvanyāloka 241, 13, directly quotes from it also the stanza *na a tāṇa ghādai*. The stanza no. 243, 20² proves that he wrote also in Apabhramṣa. Abhinavagupta on Dhvanyāloka p. 223, 13, cites also a Prākrit stanza of his teacher, Bhātterendrāja, who has been well-known as a Sanskrit poet from a very long time³. The Sarasvatikanṭhbharāṇa, a treatise on Rhetorics, contains the largest number of Prākrit stanzas ; they number nearly 350, according to ZACHARIAE⁴, of which nearly 150, and according to JACOB⁵ nearly 113, are taken from Sattasai, nearly 30⁶ are from Rāvaṇavaho ; besides there are stanzas in M. from Kālidāsa, Śriharṣa, Rājasekhara, etc. Moreover, there are in it many stanzas from sources that are still unknown. The statement of BOROOAH⁷, that there, in it, existed particularly a poem Satyabhāmāśāmīvāda or a similar poem on the same subject is apparently based on the stanzas *kuvīā ca saccabāmā*, 322, 15 and *surakusumehi kalusiaṁ*, 327, 25, which are addressed by Satyabhāmā to Rukmini according to the following elucidation. Cf. also 340, 9 ; 369, 21 ; 371, 8. But according to all that we know up till now these stanzas might have been taken from Hari-vijaya of Sarvasena or from Madhumathanavijaya of Vākpatirāja (§ 13). It has already been noted above (§ 12) that even the dramas contain Gāthās in M.

^{1.} 989 also Jayanta, l. c. fol. 25 ; cf. PISEHEL, BB. 16, 172, ff—2. It is very much mutilated in the Kāvyamāla edition. On the evidence of the mss. it approximately reads as *mahu mahu tti bhaṇṭiāho vajjai kālu jaṇassu to vi na deu jaṇaddāṇai goarihoi maṇassu*.

3. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. I. 59, s. v. Indurāja Bhaṭṭa.—4. GGA. 1884, p. 309.—
 5. JRAS. 1897, p. 304. AUFRECHT in WEBER, Hāla,² p. xlii, note 1, has identified
 no. 78.—6. ZACHARIAE, l. c.—7. In his edition (Calcutta 1883), Preface p. iv f.

§ 15. Māhārāṣṭri is also the language of artificial epics of which up till now two have been published, the Rāvaṇavaho, and the Gaūḍavaho. The author of Rāvaṇavaho, designated also as Dasamuhavaho, mostly referred to by its Sanskrit title Setubandha, is unknown. According to tradition the author is Pravarasena, whereby probably they mean¹ king Pravarasena II of Kashmir, under whose patronage the poem might have been composed. This work had already become famous by the time of Bāṇa, that is to say, by the 7th century A.D.; so it is proved by Harṣacarita 14 of the introduction. The fact that it has been referred to by Daṇḍin, Kāvyādarśa I, 34, would seem to suggest a still earlier date. Rāvaṇavaho has been handed down to us in three recensions : the existence of a fourth one is presupposed by its Sanskrit translation Setusarani². The vulgata was commented upon by Rāmadāsa, who lived under Akbar (1556-1605); he has very often misunderstood the text. HOEFER was the first scholar to have occupied himself with this work. Already in 1846 he had announced an edition of it.³ But it was PAUL GOLDSCHMIDT who edited all the poems contained in the first two of the 15 Āśvāsas, in 1873. The complete text with a German translation was published by SIEGFRIED GOLDSCHMIDT : Rāvaṇavaha or Setubandha appeared at Strassburg in 1880 (and the translation in 1883)⁴. A new edition, based upon that of SIEGFRIED GOLDSCHMIDT but containing the complete commentary of Rāmadāsa, and therefore, quite indispensable, has been published by ŚIVADATTA and PARAB : The Setubandha of Pravarasena. Bombay 1895 (=Kāvyamālā 47). The author of Gaūḍavaho is Bappaīrāa, in Sanskrit Vākpatirāja. He lived under Yaśovarman, the king of Kanyākubja, therefore, is to be dated towards the end of the seventh or the beginning of the 8th century A. D.⁵. He mentions in 799 ff. Bhavabhūti, Bhāsa, Jvalanamitra, Kāntideva, Kālidāsa, Subandhu and Haricandra as his predecessors. Among all the mahākāvyas, the Gaūḍavaho is all alone in enumerating continuously its stanzas whereof it contains 1209 in the Āryā-metre. Even this poem is represented in several recensions that often differ from one another to a great extent in number and order of sequence of the stanzas.⁶ The commentary of Haripāla, explains merely the principal points, on account of which it is also called Gaūḍavadhasāraṭikā.⁷ Very often it is nothing better than its Sanskrit paraphrase. Gaūḍavaho with the commentary of Haripāla and a word-index has been published under the title “The Gaūḍavaho, a Historical Poem in Prākrit, by Vākpati, Bombay 1887 (=Bombay Sanskrit Series No. XXXIV)⁸ by SHANKAR PANDURANG PANDIT. It has already been mentioned above (§ 13) that Vākpatirāja composed another artificial epic in Prākrit, i. e. Mahumahavīa. One of its stanzas is found in the commentary of Abhinavagupta on Dhvanyāloka 152, 15 ; and two others perhaps in Sarasvatik. 322, 15 ; 327, 25 (§ 14). The text, in PANDIT’s edition, as of course in Hemacandra, is prepared in accordance with the Jaina mode of writing where initially *na* occurs, and there are cases of doubling, as well as of *yaśruti*, because the MSS. are Jaina manuscripts. Similarly is quoted the text of Sattasai in Bhuvanapāla’s commentary. This mode of orthography has not been taken into consideration in this grammar, and here the words have been quoted in pure Māhārāṣṭri form. The Rāvaṇavaho and the Gaūḍavaho are very strongly influenced by Sanskrit patterns (§ 9) and are written in thoroughly high-flown and artificial language, sometimes with unending compounds, as

into 48 sections and contains 704 stanzas, whereof the authors are unfortunately not named. Stanza 2 = H. 2 ; none of the stanzas, nos. 6 to 10, found at p. 325 occurs in H. ; an immediate publication of Vajjälalga is very much desirable. A chāyā thereof was written by Ratnadeva in the Vikrama-year 1393=1336 A.D. Moreover, the actual name of the collection is Jaavallahām, according to p. 324, 26. A large number of stanzas in M. are further quoted by the writers on rhetorics. Of the 67 stanzas, that WEBER collected in the supplement to H.¹, p. 202 ff., from Dhanika's Commentary on Daśarūpa, Sāhityadarpaṇa and Kāvyaprakāśa, 32 are found in the different recensions of Sattasai, so that H² p. 509 ff. has yet the remaining 35. Of them the one bearing no. 968 *de ā pasia* is quoted also in Dhvanyāloka, 22, 2 ; in Alāṅkāracandrikā fol. 4^b and also elsewhere: the one bearing no. 969 *aṇṇaam ladahattanaam* (so it is to be read) is cited by Ruyyaka, Alāṅkārasarvasva 67, 2; Alāṅkārac. fol. 37 etc. ; the stanza no. 970 is quoted by Jayaratha, Alāṅkāravimarśini fol. 24^b (Ms. BÜHLER, Detailed Report Nr. 230) ; the stanza no. 971 is cited by Śobhākara, Alāṅkāraratnākara fol. 20 (Ms., BÜHLER, Det. Rep. Nr. 227), and so also are the other stanzas quoted by this or that writer on poetics. The stanzas, nos. 979 *jo* (so it is to be read) *parharium*, 988 *tam tāṇa*, the oft-quoted 989, *tāṭa jāanti*, and 999 *homi vahathiareho* were taken from Anandavardhana's Viṣamabāṇalilā, a poem which Anandavardhana himself quotes in Dhvanyāloka, 62, 3; 111, 4; 152, 3; 241, 12.20, and which according to 241, 19, was written for the instruction of the poets. (*kavivyutpattaye*). Cf. 222, 12 with the commentary of Abhinavagupta. The origin of stanza no. 979, is given by Someśvara, Kāvyādarśa, Fol. 52 (Ms., KIELHORN, Report 1880/91, p. 87, No. 60) and Jayanta, Kāvyaprakāśadipikā, fol. 65 (Ms. BÜHLER, Det. Rep. Nr. 244), both of them designate this poem as a Pañcabāṇalilā ; 988 and 989 are cited by Anandavardhana himself, Dhvanyāloka p. 111. 62¹ ; stanza no. 999 is quoted by Abhinavagupta on Dhvanyāloka 152, 18 (quite mutilated in the printed text) ; and it is noted by Someśvara l.c. fol. 62, and by Jayanta l.c. fol. 79, that this is from Viṣamabāṇalilā. Further Anandavardhana, Dhvanyāloka 241, 13, directly quotes from it also the stanza *na a tāṇa ghadaī*. The stanza no. 243, 20² proves that he wrote also in Apabhramśa. Abhinavagupta on Dhvanyāloka p. 223, 13, cites also a Prākrit stanza of his teacher, Bhāṭṭendurāja, who has been well-known as a Sanskrit poet from a very long time³. The Sarasvatikāṇthābharaṇa, a treatise on Rhetorics, contains the largest number of Prākrit stanzas ; they number nearly 350, according to ZACHARIAE⁴, of which nearly 150, and according to JACOB⁵ nearly 113, are taken from Sattasai, nearly 30⁶ are from Rāvaṇavaho ; besides there are stanzas in M. from Kālidāsa, Śriharsa, Rājaśekhara, etc. Moreover, there are in it many stanzas from sources that are still unknown. The statement of BOROOAH⁷, that there, in it, existed particularly a poem Satyabhāmāśarhvādā or a similar poem on the same subject is apparently based on the stanzas *kuvī ca saccahāmā*, 322, 15 and *sūrakusumehi kalusiam*, 327, 25, which are addressed by Satyabhāmā to Rukmini according to the following elucidation. Cf. also 340, 9 ; 369, 21 ; 371, 8. But according to all that we know up till now these stanzas might have been taken from Hari-vijaya of Sarvasena or from Madhumathanavijaya of Vākpatirāja (§ 13). It has already been noted above (§ 12) that even the dramas contain Gāthās in M.

^{1..} 989 also Jayanta, l.c. fol. 25 ; cf. PISEHEL, BB. 16, 172, ff—2. It is very much mutilated in the Kāvyamālā edition. On the evidence of the mss. it approximately reads as *mahu mahu tii bhāṇtiāho vajjāi kālu jaṇassu to vi ḍeu jaṇaddanāū goarihoi maṇassu*.

3. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. I. 59, s. v. Indurāja Bhaṭṭa.—4. GGA. 1884, p. 300.—
5. JRAS. 1807, p. 304. AUFRECHT in WEBER, Hāla,² p. xliii, note 1, has identified no. 78.—6. ZACHARIAE, l. c.—7. In his edition (Calcutta 1883), Preface p. iv f.

§ 15. Māhārāṣṭri is also the language of artificial epics of which up till now two have been published, the Rāvaṇavaho, and the Gaūḍavaho. The author of Rāvaṇavaho, designated also as Dasamuhavaho, mostly referred to by its Sanskrit title Setubandha, is unknown. According to tradition the author is Pravarasena, whereby probably they mean¹ king Pravarasena II of Kashmir, under whose patronage the poem might have been composed. This work had already become famous by the time of Bāṇa, that is to say, by the 7th century A.D.; so it is proved by Harṣacarita 14 of the introduction. The fact that it has been referred to by Dāṇḍin, Kāvyaḍarśa I, 34, would seem to suggest a still earlier date. Rāvaṇavaho has been handed down to us in three recensions : the existence of a fourth one is presupposed by its Sanskrit translation Setusarani². The vulgata was commented upon by Rāmadāsa, who lived under Akbar (1556-1605); he has very often misunderstood the text. HOEFER was the first scholar to have occupied himself with this work. Already in 1846 he had announced an edition of it.³ But it was PAUL GOLDSCHMIDT who edited all the poems contained in the first two of the 15 Āśvāsas, in 1873. The complete text with a German translation was published by SIEGFRIED GOLDSCHMIDT : Rāvaṇavaho or Setubandha appeared at Strassburg in 1880 (and the translation in 1883)⁴. A new edition, based upon that of SIEGFRIED GOLDSCHMIDT but containing the complete commentary of Rāmadāsa, and therefore, quite indispensable, has been published by ŚIVADATTA and PARAB : The Setubandha of Pravarasena. Bombay 1895 (=Kāvyaṁalā 47). The author of Gaūḍavaho is Bappaīrāa, in Sanskrit Vākpatirāja. He lived under Yaśovarman, the king of Kanyakubja, therefore, is to be dated towards the end of the seventh or the beginning of the 8th century A. D.⁵. He mentions in 799 ff. Bhavabhūti, Bhāsa, Jvalanamitra, Kāntideva, Kālidāsa, Subandhu and Harīcandra as his predecessors. Among all the mahākāvyas, the Gaūḍavaho is all alone in enumerating continuously its stanzas whereof it contains 1209 in the Āryāmetre. Even this poem is represented in several recensions that often differ from one another to a great extent in number and order of sequence of the stanzas.⁶ The commentary of Haripāla, explains merely the principal points, on account of which it is also called Gaūḍavadhasāraṭikā.⁷ Very often it is nothing better than its Sanskrit paraphrase. Gaūḍavaho with the commentary of Haripāla and a word-index has been published under the title "The Gaūḍavaho, a Historical Poem in Prākrit, by Vākpati, Bombay 1887 (=Bombay Sanskrit Series No. XXXIV)"⁸ by SHANKAR PANDURANG PANDIT. It has already been mentioned above (§ 13) that Vākpatirāja composed another artificial epic in Prākrit, i. e. Mahumahaviaa. One of its stanzas is found in the commentary of Abhinavagupta on Dhvanyāloka 152, 15 ; and two others perhaps in Sarasvatik. 322, 15 ; 327, 25 (§ 14). The text, in PANDIT's edition, as of course in Hemacandra, is prepared in accordance with the Jaina mode of writing where initially *na* occurs, and there are cases of doubling, as well as of *yaśruti*, because the MSS. are Jaina manuscripts. Similarly is quoted the text of Sattasai in Bhuvanapāla's commentary. This mode of orthography has not been taken into consideration in this grammar, and here the words have been quoted in pure Māhārāṣṭri form. The Rāvaṇavaho and the Gaūḍavaho are very strongly influenced by Sanskrit patterns (§ 9) and are written in thoroughly high-flown and artificial language, sometimes with unending compounds, as

are found also in the dramas of Bhavabhūti and occasionally in Mṛcchakaṭikā⁹ as well. The Gaūḍavaho, the Sattasai of Hāla, and the Rāvana-vaho are the most important sources of our knowledge of Māhārāṣṭri. Because they are furnished with excellent word indexes they will be referred, mostly in the section on phonology, by G. H. R. In H¹ (§ 13, note 5) p. 29 ff., WEBER has given an outline of the grammar of M., as far as it was possible with the parts of Sattasai published till that time.

1. MAX MÜLLER, Indien in seiner Weltgeschichtlichen Bedeutung (Leipzig 1884) p. 272 ff. The assumption that Kālidāsa was the author of the Rāvaṇavaho is based entirely on recent sources.—2. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Rāvaṇavaho, Introduction p. v ff.—3. Annual Report of DMG of the year 1845, (Leipzig 1846) p. 176 : Zeitschrift für die Wissenschaft der Sprache 2, 488 ff.—4. Cf. also the recension by PISCHEL, GGA. 1880, p. 321 ff.—5. PANDIT, Gaūḍavaho, Introduction p. LXIV ff. The research of JACOBI, GGA. 1888, p. 68 f., with regard to the solar eclipse mentioned in the stanza no. 829 that took place in 733 A.D., as indicative of the downfall of Yaśovarman is wrong. The context does not permit us to consider it a reference to Yaśovarman's defeat. The type of description is very common with the writers of mahākāyyas and is of not of any historical value.—6. PANDIT, Gaūḍavaho p. viii f.; 345 ff.—7. Other, but wrong, explanations are given in PANDIT, Gaūḍavaho p. viii f.; JACOBI, GGA. 1888, p. 63.—8. Review by JACOBI, GGA. 1888, p. 61 ff.—9. Vāktipatirāja is very much overrated by PANDIT, Gaūḍavaho, p. lxx ff., and JACOBI, GGA. 1888, p. 65.

§ 16. The two dialects used by the Jainas are usually considered to be closely connected to Māhārāṣṭri. They have, from one another, been differentiated by JACOBI¹ by calling them Jaina Māhārāṣṭri and Jaina Prākrit. By Jaina Māhārāṣṭri (JM.) he means the language of the commentators and the poets² and by Jaina Prākrit the language of the older books of the Jaina canons³ and that of the older Sūtras.⁴ The name Jaina Prākrit that was first used by E. MÜLLER⁵ is not a happy one, and the assertion that this Jaina Prākrit is an older or archaic Māhārāṣṭri is wrong.⁶ The Indian grammarians usually call the language of the old Jainasūtras Āṛsam, i. e. "the speech of the ṛṣis". Hc. I, 3, announces that for Āṛṣa, all the rules of his grammar are subjected to exceptions, and in 2, 174 he states that the limitations recorded above do not hold good for Āṛṣa, and there all the types of forms are permissible. Trivikrama⁷ excludes Āṛṣa, like Deśya (§ 9), from his grammar altogether, because it is of independent origin (*rūdhatvāt*, i.e. because it does not have Sanskrit as its source and as it abides mostly by its own rules (*svatantravacca bhūyasa*). In a quotation in Premacandra Tarkavāgiśa on Dandin, Kāvyādarśa I, 33, two types of Prākrit are distinguished : the one is that which originated from Āṛṣa, and the other is that which is almost exactly the same as Āṛṣa: *āṛṣotham āṛsatulyaṇca dvividham prākṛtam viduh*. Nāmisadhu on Rudrata, Kāvyālankāra⁸ 2, 12, thus derives the word Prākrit : that its basis (*prākṛtiḥ*) is the natural language of intercourse of all beings and it is not regulated according to grammar, etc. : it is called Prākrit because it is derived from this language or is itself the same. Or it may be that *prākṛta* stands for *prākkṛtā*, "created before, in earlier period (*pūrvam*)", for it is called *prākṛta*. The Prākrit of the Āṛṣa canon, i. e. the Ardhamāgadhi, is the language of gods: *ārisavayane siddham devanām addhamāgahā vāni*. Accordingly Prākrit is the language that is easily understood by children, women, etc. and is the basis of all the languages. Like rain-water it had one and the same form in former times but it has become diversified on account of difference in locality and grammatical modifications, and has come to be known as Sanskrit and other languages mentioned by Rudrata 2, 12, (§ 4). Therefore, Prākrit has been made the source of Sanskrit as well. It is to be explained by the fact that like the Buddhists, the Māgadhi⁹, the Jainas consider Ardhamāgadhi, the Āṛṣa of the grammarians,

the original language from which all the other languages have sprung up, because Mahāvīra is supposed to have preached in that language. Thus describes the Samavāyāṅgasutta 98⁹ *bhagavam ca naṁ addhamāgahie bhāsāe dhammām āikkhai l sā vi ya naṁ addhamāgahi bhāsā bhāsijjamāpi tesim savvesim āriya-m-añāriyānaṁ duppayaceūppayamiyapasupakkhisarīsuñānaṁ appappaṇo hiyasivasuhadaya bhāsattā parināmaī*. "The Lord propagated, the law in the Ardhamāgadhi language : this peace, happiness-and-bliss-giving Ardhamāgadhi undergoes modifications when it is spoken by the Aryans, the non-Aryans, the bipeds, the quadrupeds, the wild and the tamed animals, the birds and the worms". Vāgbhāta, Alāṅkāratilaka 1, 1 : *sarvārdhamāgadhiṁ sarvabhāsāsu parināminūm l sārvīyām¹⁰ sarvato vācam sārvajñim pranidādhaṁe* "we salute *Vāc* that is fully Ardhamāgadhi and who modifies herself into all the different languages, and is perfect and omniscient". In the Pañṇavāyāṅgasutta 59f. the Aryans are divided into nine classes ; of them the sixth one is the *bhāsāriyā*, "those that are Aryans by language". About them it is said, p. 62¹¹ : *se kiṁ tam bhāsāriyā | bhāsāriyā je naṁ addhamāgahae bhāsāe bhāsanti jattha vi ya naṁ bambhi līvī pāvatai*, "what is meant by 'Aryans by speech'? Aryans by speech are they who speak the Ardhamāgadhi language, and who use the Brāhmī alphabet". That Mahāvīra preached in Ardhamāgadhi is mentioned, besides in the passage quoted above from the Samavāyāṅgasutta, also in the Ovavāiyasutta § 56; it runs thus : *tæ naṁ samane bhagavān mahāvīre.....addhamāgahae bhāsāe bhāsāi | arihā dhammām parikāhei | tesim savvesim āriya-m-añāriyānaṁ agilāe dhammām āikkhai | sā vi ya naṁ addhamāgahā bhāsā tesim savvesim āriya-m-añāriyānaṁ appano sabhāsāe parināmeṇām parināmaī*. The same idea is emphasized also by Abhayadeva on Uvāsagadasāo p. 46 and Malayagiri on the Sūriyapannatti, in WEBER, Bhagavati, 2, 245; cf. also Hc., Abhidhānacintāmāpi 59 with commentary. In a passage quoted by Hc. 4, 287, it is said that the older Suttas are composed in Addhamāgahabhbāsā¹² : *porāṇam addhamāgahabhbāsāniyayam havaī suttam*. Thereon, remarks Hc.—although this is the tradition also of the older people, Ardhamāgadhi follows its own rules, and not the rules he would frame later for Māgadhi.¹³ The second of the illustrative examples, cited by him, *se tārise dukkhasahe jiindie=Dasavyāliyasutta 633, 19*, would read in Māgadhi as *śe tāliśe dukkaśahe yidindie*.

1. Kalpasūtra p. 17 ; Ausgewählte Erzählungen in 'Māhārāṣṭri' (Leipzig. 1886), p. xi f.—2. Kalpasūtra p. 17.—3. Erzählungen p. xii.—4. Kalpasūtra p. 17.—5. Beiträge zur grammatischen des Jaina-Präkrit (Berlin 1876).—6. See under sec. 18.—7. First in PISCHEL, De Gr. Pr. p. 29.—8. D' ALWIS, An Introduction to Kachchāyana's Grammar of the Pali Language (Colombo 1863) p. cvii ; MUIR, OST, 2², 54 ; FRYER, Proc. ASB. 1879, 155f.—9. The text has been published by WEBER also ; Index, 2, 2, 406 ; cf. further below the passage quoted from the Ovavāiyasutta.—10. So do the MSS. ; the printed edition (Bombay 1894=Kāvyamāla 43) reads *sarvapām*.—11. The text was published by WEBER also, IS. 16, 399, and Index 2, 2, 562.—12. LEUMANN, Das Aupapātika Sūtra (Leipzig 1883), p. 96 s. v. Addhamāgahā bhāsā suggests *nīyamā=nījaka*, "to hold". But Hc. himself explains it by *nīyata*, and that is demanded by the sense.—13. Wrongly explained by HOERNLE. The Prākrita-Lakshānam or Chāṇḍa's Grammar of the Ancient (Ārsha) Prākrit (Calcutta 1880). p. xix, note.

§ 17. It follows, therefore, that Ārṣa and Ardhamāgadhi are identical, and that according to tradition, the language of the older Jaina-Suttas was Ardhamāgadhi¹, and in fact, as demonstrated by the passage from Dasavyāliyasutta quoted by Hc. § 16, it was used not merely in prose but also in poetry. But in spite of all the correspondences there is a greater amount of difference, between the language of prose and that of poetry. The chief characteristics of Māgadhi are :—change of *r* into *l* and *s* into *ś*, and the nom. sing. ending in—*e* instead of in—*o* of *a*-systems

as well as of the consonant stems that are reduced to *a*-stems in declension. Ardhamāgadhi retains both *r* and *s*, but it has the nom. in—*e*; and according to Abhayadeva on Samav. p. 98² and Uvās. p. 46 it derives its name “Half Māgadhi” on that account; *ardhamāgadhi bhāsā yasyām rasor laśau māgadhyām³ ityādikam māgadha-bhāṣālakṣaṇam paripūrṇam nāsti*. As already suggested by STEVENSON⁴, WEBER⁵ has proved that the contact of Ardhamāgadhi with Māgadhi is “not very close”. Besides the nom. in—*e*, the only feature that is common to both is *da*=Skt. *ta* in the past passive participle of roots in—*r*, but that too is not the only possible form in Mg. (§ 219). The preponderance of *ya* must be considered as a characteristic common to both, although the conditions under which it comes in are not quite similar. Further we may consider the change of *ka* into *ga* (§ 202), that occurs in Mg. in sporadic cases only, and the frequent *pluti* in the voc. sing. of *a*-stems (71), that takes place in Dh. as well as in A. Had the literary Mg. monuments been more numerous and handed down to us in a better condition the contact could undoubtedly be further illustrated. At the present time, however, it is the chance that decides the issue. Thus AMg. *usīṇa*=Skt. *usṇa*, is equivalent to Mg. *kośīṇa*=*koṣṇa* (§ 133), and it is very much remarkable that both AMg. and Mg. use the Sanskrit genitive form *tava*, that is wanting in other dialects (§ 421). From the Lāt-dialect, comes the loc. sing. in -*msi*⁶ of *a*-stem. In poetry even in Ardhamāgadhi, in the nomin. sing. of *a*-stem, the forms in -*o* abound instead of those in -*e*; in our texts forms in both are retained near one another. Thus Āyār. p. 41, 1, *abhiyāmine*, but 2 *hayapuutto*, 3 *lūsiyapuutto*; p. 45, 19, *nāo*, but 20 *se mahāvīre*, 22 against *aladdhapuutto* and *gāmo*; p. 46, 3 *dukkhasahe*, *apadinne*, 4 *sūro*, 5 *saṁvude*, 6 *padisevamāno*, 7 *acale*, 14 *aputthe*, 15 *puttho*, *aputtho*. In such cases the manuscript tradition is faultily which should have been corrected by the editors. The Calcutta edition has, 45, 22, *gāme*, 46, 6, *padisevamāne*, besides the forms in -*o*. Here, in all these places —*e* must be written. But in other metrical passages undoubtedly, the original form is the nomin. sing. in—*o*, as in Āyār. p. 127ff.; instead of **maūde*, p. 128, 3, as in ms. B. it should have been written **maūdo*. It is repeated in all the pieces written in verse, as in Sūyagadāṅgasutta, in Uttarajjhāyanasutta, in Dasaveyāliyasutta, etc. Further, the language of poetry shows many other remarkable variations in phonology and morphology, from that of prose, and very often approaches M. and JM., the second dialect of the Jainas, but, however, without coinciding with either. Thus for example the Skt. word *mleccha* is written as *milakkhu* in AMg. in prose, but in poetry, as in M., JM., S., and A., it is written as *mecca* (§ 84); AMg. has only in verses, like M. and JM. the verbal form *kupāi*, from *√ky* [§ 508]. In AMg. the absol. forms in -*tūra* and -*una* are almost, if not all exclusively, limited to poetry, though they are supreme in M. and JM. (§ 584). In construction of Sandhi, in flexion, and in vocabulary the metrical works stand in contrast with those in prose. Consequently many of the grammatical peculiarities may be noticed only in works like Dasav., Uttar., and Sūyag. The characteristics of the poetical dialect almost tally with those laid down by Kramadiśvara, who in 5, 98, says that AMg. is a mixture of Māhārāṣṭri and Māgadhi *māhārāṣṭri-miśrārdhamāgadhi*.⁷ This may, therefore, be considered to be the third separate dialect of the Jainas. Further, it is noteworthy, that though in Pāli, the language of poetry, has a series of archaic and peculiar forms unknown in prose, they are not sufficient to give the former the status of a separate dialect. In the same way, as the dialect of poetry doubtlessly agrees with that of prose fundamentally, I have designated both of them with the traditiona

Ardhamāgadhi (AMg.). In Bhāratīyanātyasāstra 17,48, Ardhamāgadhi is mentioned by the side of Māgadhi, Āvanti, Prācyā, Śuraseni, Bāhlikā and Dāksinātyā, as one of the seven bhāṣās, and again in the same work 17,50—Sāhityadarpana, p. 173, 3, it is said that it is used in dramas, as the language of menials, Rājputs and bankers : *cetānām rājaputrānām śreṣṭhinām cārdhamāgadhi*. But our dramas do not conform to this, and Mārkandeya rejects, as already stated above (§ 3), the existence of Ardhamāgadhi as an independent dialect beside Māgadhi. We may expect that in dramas wherein the Jainas may appear, they speak AMg. LASSEN, Inst. pp. 410 ff., has even attempted to describe the characteristics of Ardhamāgadhi, on the basis of Prab. and Murdār, and he holds the view that the language of the barber in Dhūrtas. is Amg. In Mudrār. pp. 174-78 ; 183-187 ; 190-194, there appears the beggar monk (*ksapanāka*) Jivasi-dhhi, about whom Dhundhirāja, in his commentary on this work, p. 40, explicitly remarks—*ksapanāko jainākṛtiḥ* : in the dialect of this Kṣapanaka, the nominative sing. forms ending in *e* agree with those of AMg. : thus *kuvide*, *bhadante* (178,4), also in the neuter *adakkhīṇe nakkhatte* (to be read as **hkh* ; 176,1.2) ; further therein occurs also the change of *ka* into *ga*, in *sāvagānām* (175,1 ; 185,1 : 190, 10) in the voc. sing. *sāvagā* (175,3 ; 177,2 ; 183,5 etc.), wherein has to be noted also the lengthening of the final vowel (§ 71), in the nom. sing. *sāvage* (178,2 ; 193,1, it is to be so read), and in *hage* = **ahakah* (§ 142. 19†. 417). Otherwise, however, his dialect is Māgadhi, and Hc 4,302 cites from it examples of Mg. In Prab. also appears a Kṣapanaka, who is designated as a Digambara, pp. 46-64. Rāmadāsa correctly remarks that his dialect is Mg., and notes that Māgadhi is the language spoken by the Bhiksus, the Kṣapanakas, the Rāksasas, and the servants employed in female apartments. Then there appears a Digambara also in Laṭakamelaka, pp. 12-15, 25-28, who likewise speaks Mg. It must not be overlooked that wherever a Digambara appears his dialect does not immaterially differ from that of a Śvetāmbara, and it agrees with Mg., in an essential phonological characteristic (§21). We do not find any trace of AMg. in the dramas.

1. WILSON, Select Works 1,289 ; WEBER, Bhagavati 1,392.-2. Published by WEBER, Verzeichniss 2,2,406, note 8.—3 WEBER, l. c., correctly remarks that the quotation is from same hitherto unknown grammar. Nāmisādhu on Rudrāta, Kāvyalānkāra 2,12, also quotes it, but he reads *māgadhikāyām* for *māgadhyām*. Canda, 3, 39, reads it as *māgadhikāyām rasayorlasau*. WEBER's view (Verzeichniss 2, 3, XIV, note 7) that *addhamāgahābhāsā* "expresses too little and is qualitatively inaccurate" is wrong.—4. The Kalpasūtra, and Navatatva (London 1848), pp. 137 ff.—5 Bhagavati 1, 393 ff.—6. E. MÜLLER, Beiträge, p. 3. The other points of resemblance mentioned by MÜLLER are found also in other dialects.—7. HOERNLE, Canda, p. xix, wrongly assumes Ardhamāgadhi + Māhāśāstri = Arṣa.

§ 18. COLEBROOKE¹ called the language of the canons of the Jainas to be Māgadhi, and opined that this Prākrit did not differ much from the language used by the dramatical authors in their works and which they assign to women. It is considered to be derived from Sanskrit, like Pāli in Ceylon. LASSEN² considered it to be identical with Māhārāṣtri, and HOEFER³ asserted that many of the particularities, found in the Prākrit of the Jaina books, are generally foreign to the common Prākrit, and that on the whole the former appears to be one and same language as the latter. JACOBI finds it to be older or archaic Māhārāṣtri,⁴ and remarks further that on a comparison of the Jaina Prākrit, particularly in its earliest available form, with Pāli, on one hand, and with the Prākrit of Hāla, Setubandha, etc., on the other, we find that the Jaina Prākrit is more akin to Pāli, than it is to the later Prākrit,⁵ and that it is an old Indian

dialect, that is closely allied to Pāli, but is decidedly later than it.⁶ Against this WEBER denies the existence of any close relationship between AMg. and M., and is more critical about its kinship with Pāli, and holds, as was already said before by SPIEGEL,⁸ and after him by JACOBI,⁹ that AMg. is considerably later than Pāli. AMg. differs from M. in phonetics, morphology and vocabulary so significantly that it is impossible to regard it as an older M. JACOBI¹⁰ himself had collected together a number of points of such differences and E. MÜLLER¹¹ has collected still more. He rejects the idea of inclusion of AMg. under M. and will like to connect it with Māgadhi of the inscriptions. The nom. sing. in-*e* alone will fully suffice to distinguish AMg. from M. It is not a case of phonetic change that can be explained on the hypothesis of difference in time, but is due to local dialectical peculiarity as the history of Indian Linguistics clearly shows. It assigns AMg. much further to the east than M. It is likely that that at the time of the compilation of the canons, either in the council held at Valabhi under Devardhigāṇin or in the council of Mathurā under Skandilācārya, the original dialect got a western colouring. At Valabhi particularly the influence of M.¹² might have been quite considerable. But such an influence could not have been significant, because even by it the basic character of AMg. was not touched. To M. are unknown, thus for example, the phonetic laws like the lengthening of—*am* into—ām before ēva (§ 68), the weakening of *iti* into *i* (§ 93), the dropping of—*i* of the prefix *prati* in cases like *paducca*, *paduppanna*, *padoyāra*, etc. (§ 163), the substitution of dentals for palatals (§ 215), the dropping of *ya* in *ahā=yathā* (§ 335) and the use of the sandhi-consonants (§ 353); further the dative in—*ttāe* (§ 364), the instrumental in—*sā* (§ 364), the locative in—*msi* (§ 366^a), the nom. sing. of the *t*-stem in—*m* (§ 396), the instrumental forms like *kammunā* and *dhammunā* (§ 404), the peculiar types of numerals, the inflection of many verbs like *ākkhai* from *khyā* (§ 492), *pāṇai* from *āp* with *pra* (§ 504), *kuvvai* from *kr* (§ 508), the strong and the *s*-aorists (§ 516 ff.), the infinitives in—*tu*,—*itu* (§ 577), the absolutives in—*ttā* (§ 582),—*ttāṇam* (§ 583),—*ccā*, *ccānaṁ*,—*ccāṇa* (587),—*yāṇam*,—*yāṇa* (§ 592). The process of cerebralization has in AMg. a wider sphere than in M. (§ 219. 222. 289. 333), and likewise the change of *ra* into *la* (§ 257). The phonetic laws that are usual in AMg. are found to hold good only rarely in M.: such are the use of the separation-vowel -*a* (§ 132), the retention of long vowels and the simplification of consonant groups in the case of the suffix-*tra* (§ 87), and in that of *kṣa* (§ 323), the change of *ka* into *ga* (§ 202), and of *pa* into *ma* (§ 248), etc. These, the *yaśruti*, (§ 187), the often wholly different vocabulary and many other grammatical peculiarities irrefutably demonstrate that AMg. and M. have been fundamentally different dialects from the beginning. Due to its elevation to the status of a literary language AMg. did not escape the fate of the other (literary) dialects, and on account of the dropping of consonants it has become fundamentally changed. The nom. in-*e* shows that in the matter of fixation of the linguistic boundary of AMg., we need not go further in the west beyond Allāhbād.¹³ At present it is not possible to determine its region more accurately.

1. Misc. Essays 2¹, 213.—2. Inst. pp. 1.42.43.—ZWSpr. 3, 371.—4. Kalpasūtra, p. 18; cf. p. 19 and Erz. p. xii; WEBER, Verzeichniss 2,3, XIV, note 7.—5. SBE. XXII, p. XLII.—6. Ayārāṅga Sutta p. VIII.—7. Bhag. I, 396 f.—8. Münchener Gelehrte Anzeigen 1849, p. 912.—9. Kalpasūtra p. 17 f., Erz. p. xii.—10. Beiträge pp. 3 ff.—11. JACOBI, Kalpasūtra, pp. 15 ff.; SBE XII, pp. XXXVII ff.; WEBER, IS. 16, 218.—12. Suggestion of JACOBI, Erz. p. XXII.—13. See §. 24.

§ 19. WEBER, in IS. I, 6, 211-479; 17, 1-90 has studied in detail the holy scriptures of the Śvetāmbaras written in AMg. These essays were further

supplemented by the copious extracts that WEBER included in the catalogue of Sanskrit and Prākrit manuscripts preserved in the Royal Library of Berlin, 2, 2, 355-825 ; therein he has also specified all the Indian and European editions of all such works¹ as were published by that time. In this grammar the entire literature that has been published has been utilized. Unfortunately there do not still exist many strictly critical editions: many of the published texts are almost unusable for grammatical purposes. The most important prose text for our purpose is the first Āṅga, the Āyārāṅgasutta², which has the most antiquated language of all. After it comes into consideration particularly the second Āṅga, the Sūyagadāṅgasutta, of which the first book, predominantly metrical, is for the poetic language what Āyār., is for prose. The fourth Āṅga, the Saṁavāyaṅgā, is essential for a knowledge of the numerals. The sixth, the Nāyādhammakahāo, the seventh Uvāsagadāśāo,³ the eleventh, the Vivāgasuya, as well as particular portions of the fifth, the Vivāhapannatti, contain continuous narratives, and, therefore, offer much more information with regard to declension and conjugation than do others. The same is true also of two of the Upāṅgas, namely the Ovavāiyasutta and the Nirayāvaliyāo, and also the first section of the Kappasuya among the Chedasūtra. Among the Mūlasūtras the Uttarajjhayaṇasutta, that is written almost entirely in verse, is of the highest importance ; it contains plenty of peculiar and archaic forms : and of significance is the Dasaveyāliysutta, although its language is very much degenerated. The endless repetitions of the same words and phrases render it possible to ascertain the correct reading even of the corrupt texts, in several cases ; but in other cases it was not possible to reach any certainty and much has to be left out of consideration, because the sources were inadequate. Nevertheless, it is now possible to draw a clear and correct picture of AMg., and it is now proved that AMg., is the most important of all the Prākrit dialects,⁴ because it is best preserved and is most copious. First of all, it was STEVENSON, Kalpasūtra, pp. 131 ff., who gave the incomplete and erroneous information about AMg. ; HOEFER, ZWSpr. 3, 364 ff., furnished something additional ; herein he pointed out some of the chief characteristics of the language such the yaśurti, the anaptyctic vowels, the change of *ka* into *ga*, etc. Of fundamental importance for AMg., was the work of WEBER ; Über, ein Fragment der Bhagavatī, Theil 1.2 (Berlin 1866. 1867)=Abhandlungen der Kgl. Akademischer Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1865, p. 367-444 ; 1866, p. 155-352. It was here that WEBER, first of all, treated the peculiar orthography of the Jaina manuscripts, and attempted to determine (of course, in many places wrongly) the sound value of certain letters of the alphabet, and gave the outlines of a grammar, which is still valuable, and also specimens of the language. It may be noted here that the Bhagavatī, is the fifth Āṅga, and its canonical name is the Vivāhapannatti, by which name it will be referred to in this grammar : it will be quoted simply as Bhagavatī whenever the reference is to WEBER's above mentioned essay. The work of E. MÜLLER, the author of Beiträge zur Grammatik des Jainaprākrit, Berlin 1876, does not take us much further, although the writer, in it, has made several improvements upon WEBER in respect of phonology. JACOBI in Āyār., pp. viii-xiv, gave a cursory resumé of the grammar in comparison with of Pāli.

1. A list of the utilized editions and translations along with the abbreviations has been given at the end of this grammar.—2. It is due to the objectionable practice that has become a customary that the Jaina texts like Kalpasūtra Aupapātikasūtra, Daśavikālikasūtra, Bhagavatī, Jitakalpa, etc., are designated by their Sanskrit titles. Only HOERNLE in his edition of Uvāsagadasāo made a noteworthy exception. In this grammar, the Sanskrit titles will be used only when reference will be made to the remarks of the editors concerned

who have named them as such, and this has been done with a view to avoid error.—3. The edition of HOERNLE (Calcutta 1890, Bibl. Ind.), remains up to the present day the only edition of a Jaina work with its text and the commentary critically edited. Without extracts from the commentaries, the text often remains unintelligible.—4 PISCHEL, ZDMG. 52, p. 95.

§ 20. The dialect used in the non-canonical writings of the Śvetāmbaras differs from AMg. significantly. As already stated above (§ 16) JACOBI has called it Jaina Māhārāṣṭri. More suitable perhaps would have been Jaina Saurāṣṭri, that was suggested by JACOBI¹ earlier, if it could be assumed that Māhārāṣṭri and Saurāṣṭri were closely allied dialects. But that, however, is a hypothesis that cannot be established and, therefore, the name Jaina Māhārāṣṭri may be retained, because undoubtedly this dialect is very close to Māhārāṣṭri, even though in no way it is fully identical with it. JACOBI² wrongly supposes that M. is fully identical with M. of Hc., and not with that of Hāla, Śetubandha and the dramas. All the traceable quotations in Hc. go back to Hāla, Rāvaṇavaho, Gaūdavaho, Viśamabāṇalilā and Karpūramajjari. Hc. had simply extended the orthography of the Jainas also to these works as it was so in the original Jaina manuscripts (§ 15). But in fact, it appears that Hc. had taken into consideration some specific works in JM. besides those in AMg. At least, it must be noted that not a small number of his rules may as yet be instanced only in JM. AMg. too has not remained without any influence on JM. Of the characteristics of AMg. enumerated under § 18, many are to be found also in JM.: thus for example, the saṁdhī consonants, the nom. of *t*-stems *in-m*, the infinitives *in-itū*, the absolute in-*tiā*, and the change of *ka* into *ga*. Therefore, JM. is not pure M., but in fact it is so close to it that, after all, it may be called M. The most important text in JM. is the Āvaśyaka legends edited by ERNST LEUMANN, Heft I, Leipzig, 1897. Lack of a commentary makes the understanding of the text extremely difficult, and much remains completely obscure. But even these few sheets of paper show that out of these JM. texts we may expect many new and important things notably lexicographical, for in this respect JM. is perhaps the most promising dialect. The later texts, in greater part, are contained in the Ausgewählte Erzählungen in Māhārāṣṭri, zur Einführung in das studium des Prākrit. Grammatik, Text, Wörterbuch, Herausgegeben von HERMANN JACOBI, Leipzig 1886. The introductory elementary grammar contains also a section on syntax, but that is confined only to the forms that occur in the stories concerned. Besides we have to take into consideration the Kakkuka inscription (§ 10), and the smaller pieces, like the Kālakācāryakathānaka, ZDMG. 34, 247 ff.; 35, 675; 37, 493ff., the legend of the fall of Dvāravati, ZDMG. 42, 493ff., and the stūpa of Mathurā SWA 137, the Rśabhapāñcāśikā, ZDMG. 33, 415 ff., and in the Kāvyamālā, Part VII (Bombay, 1890), pp 124ff., and the extracts in the Reports that are mostly unfit for use. Probably in JM. was written also the rhetorical work of Hari, from whom Nāmisādu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālani-kāra, 2,19 cities a stanza.³

1. Kalpasūtra, p. 18—2. Kalpasūtra, p. 19.—3. PISCHEL, ZDMG. 39, p. 314. In the commentary on 1, 2, for Rudra read Hari.

§ 21. We are not as yet sufficiently acquainted with the language of the canons of the Digambaras. This language does not insignificantly differ from that of the canons of the Śvetāmbaras. If a conclusion has to be drawn on the basis of the non-canonical writings, it will be said that in one important aspect, as it respects phonology, i.e., so far as the change of *ta* into *da*, and of *tha* into *dha*, is concerned, it is closer to Māgadhi, than to the AMg. of the Śvetāmbaras. In any case, the later day writings of the Di-

gambaras as well observe this phonetic law. For instance the Gāthās, in the Gurvāvali, referred to by JACOBI,² and the extracts from Kundakundācārya's Pavayanasāra, and Kārtikeyavāmin's Kattigeyāñupēkkhā, that has been published by BHĀNDĀRKAR³ (§ 203). Śauraseni also observes the same phonetic law; and as the nom. sing. of *a*-stem ends in-*o*, in the language of the scriptures of the Digambaras, this dialect may be designated as the Jaina Śauraseni. But here we have to be more cautious than even in the case of JM., so as always to remember that this designation merely serves as a convenient term even though it is by no means accurate. Even a preliminary examination of the dialect will show that it has such forms and words as are altogether foreign to the Śauraseni, though, however, they are found partly in M. and partly in AMg. Thus typically M. is the loc. sing. of *a*-stems ending in-*mmi*, as in *dānammi*, *suhammi*, *asuhammi*, *nānammi*, *damsanamuhammi* (Pav. 383, 69 ; 385, 61 ; 387, 13), *kālammi* (Kattig. 400, 322), and the use of—*vva*=*iva* (Pav. 383, 44). The root *kr.* is inflected, always showing *da*=*ta*, partly like M. *kunādi* (Kattig. 399, 310, 319 ; 402, 359, 367, 370, 371 ; 403, 385 ; 404, 388, 389, 391), and partly like AMg. *kuvvadi* (Kattig. 399, 313 ; 400, 329 ; 401, 340) and *kuvvade* (403, 384), side by side with forms that are Š. e. g. *karedi* (Pav. 384, 59 ; Kattig. 400, 324 ; 402, 369 ; 403, 377, 378, 383) and M., JM. AMg. *kāradi* (400, 332). The form of the passive is *kirādi* (Kattig. 399, 320 ; 401, 342, 350,) as in M. JM. The absolute mostly ends in—*ttā*, as in AMg. *cattā*=*tyaktvā* (Pav. 385, 64 ; Kattig. 403, 374); *jānittā* (Pav. 385, 68 ; Kattig. 401, 340, 342, 350); *vijānittā* (Pav. 387, 21); *namarūṣitā*, *niruṇīhitā* (Pav. 386, 6, 70); *nihānittā* (Kattig. 401, 339); also in—*ya* as in *bhaviya* (Pav. 380, 12 ; 387, 12); *āpiccha*=*āprcchya* (Pav. 386, 1); *ādāya* (Pav. 386, 6); *āsijja*, *āsējja*=*āsādya* (Pav. 386, 1, 11); *samāsijja* (Pav. 379, 5); *gahiya* (Kattig. 403, 373); *pappa* (Pav. 384, 49), and in—*ccā* as in *kiccā* (Pav. 379, 4; Kattig. 402, 356, 357, 358, 375, 376); *thiccā* (Kattig. 402, 355); *sōccā* (Pav. 386, 6). Side by side with such forms are found also the absolute in—*dūṇa*; *kādūṇa*, *nedūṇa* (Kattig. 403, 374, 375), wrongly⁴ also in—*ūṇa*: *jāiūṇa*, *gamiūṇa*, *gahūṇa*, *bhuñjāvūṇa* (Kattig. 403, 373, 374, 375, 376). Such Digambara texts may have been the source, according to which Hc. allows even in Š forms in—*ttā* and—*dūṇa* and such other forms as are not found in Śauraseni of the dramas, (§ 22. 266, 365, 475, 582, 584). Side by side with the AMg. *pabbodi*=*prāpnoti* occurs the commonly found *pāvadi* (Pav. 380, 11, Kattig. 400, 326; 403, 370); by the side of Š. *jāṇādi* (Pav. 382, 25) is seen *jāṇadi* (Kattig. 38, 302, 303; 400, 323) as well as *nādi* (Pav. 382, 25); moreover, here are used the forms like *mūṇadi* (Kattig. 398, 303; 399, 313, 316, 337), *munedavvo* (the MS. has °*eya*°, Pav. 380, 8) that are unknown in Š. Mg. In this way there occur many other forms from M. AMg. S. side by side. From what has seen up till now it seems that JS. has much more of common characteristics with AMg. than with JM., and is partly more archaic. Both the texts are in verse.

1. BHĀNDĀRKAR, Report on the Search for Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Bombay Presidency during the year 1883-84 (Bombay 1887), pp. 106 ff.; WEBER, Verzeichniss 2, 2, 823 f.—2. Kalpasūtra pp. 30.—3. I.c. pp. 379-389; 398-404. The references are given according to page and verse: cf. also PETERSON, Fourth Report pp. 142 ff.—4. In the manuscripts, exactly as in those of the dramas, very often the M. forms are given in lieu of those of Š.

§ 22. Among the Prākrit dialects that are used in the prose of the dramas, Śauraseni occupies the first place.¹ As its name indicates, its basis is the dialect of Śurasena, of which the capital city was Mathurā.² According to Bhāratīyanātyāśāstra 17,46 the dialect of the dramas should be based on the Śurasena dialect, and according to 17,51, the heroines

of the drama and their lady—friends should speak Śūrasenī. According to Sāhityadarpana, p. 172, 21, the cultured women, not of lowly origin, speak Ś. and according to p. 173, 11f, it is spoken also by the maid-servants who are not too low, eunuchs, children, lower grade-astrologers, the insane and the sick. Śaurasenī is mentioned as the language of women also by Daśarūpa 2,60. According to Bharata. 17, 51 : Sāhityadarpana 173 4 ; Pṛthvīdhara on Mṛcchakaṭikā p. V, ed. STENZLER = p. 493, ed. GODABOLE the Vidūṣaka speaks Prācyā³, which according to MK. fol. 72, is prescribed also for other amusing characters. MK. refers to Bharata and remarks—Prācyā is grammatically derived from Śaurasenī ; *prācyāyah siddhih śaurasenyāh*. The manuscripts are so corrupt that it is not possible to decipher, all that MK. describes as the characteristics of Prācyā. They are very meagre and that mostly of lexicographical nature. It is said that for *mūrkhā* should be used *murukkha* ; the voc., sing. of *bhavati* is *bhodi* ; for *vakra* is prescribed a form that differs from that of Ś.⁴: the voc. sing. of *a* stems may have *pluti* : the Vidūṣaka uses *hi*, *hī*, *bho* to express his joy,—*hī*, *māṇahe* to express wonder (*adbhute*) and *avida* 'o express dismay. There appears to be some special rules to regulate *nam*, *va*, and perhaps also for the future. Pṛthvīdhara refers, as a characteristic, to the repeated use of *kah svārhe*. Hc. 4, 285, *hī hī vidūṣakasya*, prescribes Ś. directly for the Vidūṣaka, and 4, 282, *hī māṇahe vismayanirvede*, is likewise recorded as Ś., and that is certainly correct. Śaurasenī is the language of the Vidūṣaka as well as of a number of other characters that appear in the dramas. The older grammarians deal with Śaurasenī very briefly. Vr. 12,2 says :—its basis is Skt. For it he gives 29 rules with which the texts mostly agree,⁵ and under 12, 32 he remarks that in other respects it agrees with M. *sesam māhārāṣṭrīvat*. Hc. 4, 260-286 has 27 rules of which the last one reads of *sesam prākṛtavat* and corresponds to Vr. 12, 32. In other respects Hc. and Vr., differ strongly from one another, a thing which, to a great extent, is to be explained by the fact that Hc., has taken into consideration also the Śaurasenī of the Digambaras (§ 21), the peculiarities of which the Jainas have carried over to Śaurasenī of the dramas with the result that the Śaurasenī texts of the latter have become corrupt and later writers have been misled.⁶ Even Ki. 5, 77-85 says little on this topic. Contrary to this, the later grammarians like MK., fol. 65-72, and Rv., fol. 34 ff., say much more thereon. The manuscripts of these works that are available in Europe are so corrupt that in this case as well we can use only a part of the material contained in them. Their verification is rendered difficult on account of most of the editions of the dramas being uncritical. Of the editions that have appeared in India, only a few are of any use : one such is BHĀNDARKAR'S edition of Mālatīmādhava (Bombay 1876) ; and even among the texts printed in Europe not many are of any use for the purpose of linguistic study.⁷ In every cases, the new editions do not show any improvements upon the older ones. Thus : the edition of Mudrākṣasa by TELANG (Bombay 1884), is worse than the one printed in the Majumdār's series and edited by TARANATHA TARKAVACASPATI (Calcutta Samvat 1926) ; BOLLENSEN'S edition of Mālavikāgnimitra (Leipzig 1879) is deplorably a retrograde step. In spite of all this, I have cited from both of them, because in them the readings are often correctly reproduced from the MSS. and, therefore, they are indispensable. Very often it has been possible to arrive at a decision only after consulting the highest possible number of texts of one and the same drama.⁸ Many of the editions show a noteworthy admixture of dialects. It is in this way that the first Prākrit words in Kāleyakutūhala, 2,4 *bho kim ti tue hakkārido hage maṇi khu ephim* (text *eḥpiṁ*) *chuhā bāhei* contain three dialects : *hakkārido* is Ś, *hage* is Mg., *ephim* and *bāhei* are M.; subsequently the

text is much more in M. than in Š. In Mukundān. 58,14.15, both Š., *kadua* M. *kāṭṇa* stand near one another in the same stanza. Here this may have been due to editor's error. In other cases it is clear that poets themselves did not know how to distinguish between the different dialects. For instance Somadeva (§ 11) and Rājaśekhara. The critical edition of *Kāpūrāmañjari* by KONOW shows that the manuscripts are not always responsible for the dialectical errors committed by Rājaśekhara, particularly when the same mistakes are repeated also in the Bālārāmāyaṇa and Viddhaśālabhañjikā. Thus, all the MSS. of *Karpūrāmañjari*, 7,6 ed. KONOW=11,2 ed. Bombay, have *ghēṭtūna* in place of the only Š. form *genhia*, an error that recurs also elsewhere (§ 584) : 9,5=13,5 (ed. Bom.), has the dative form *suhāa*, that is wrong in Š. (§ 361) ; further the following are the dialectical irregularities *tujjha* 10,9=14,7 and *majjha* 10,10=14,8 (§ 421.418), *vva* 14,3=17,5 for *via* (§ 143), locatives like *majjhhammi* 6,1=9,5 for *majjhe kavvammi* 16,8=19, 10 for *kavve* (§ 366^a), ablatives like *pāmarāhiṇto* 20,6=22,9 for *pāmarādo* (§ 365), etc. In Rājaśekhara, we further find many dialectical errors in the use of *desi* words. According to MK. fol. 50, Rājaśekhara's M. had its own peculiarities. He says *rājaśekharasya māhārāṣīryāḥ prayoge ślokeśvapi drṣyata iti kecit*, whereby, as it appears, is meant the substitution of *da* for *ta* in lieu of its dropping. The manuscripts of his dramas show repeatedly many of the forms with elision instead of those with *da* in Š. Due to the mixing up of dialects in the Devanāgarī and the south Indian recensions of the Śakuntalā and in the south Indian recension of the Vikramorvaśi,⁹ these works do not serve any purpose in settling critical questions. In spite of all these difficulties, it is, however, possible to obtain on the whole a fairly correct picture of Š. In phonology, the most striking characteristic is the change of *ta* into *da* and of *tha* into *dha* (§ 203) ; in declension and conjugation, a host of forms of M. AMg. JM. JS. have been very much simplified. Similarly in the case of the *a*-stems only the abl. sing. *in-do* and the loc. sing. *in-e* are used : in the plur., only such forms of all the stems are nasalised at the end as are found to have been used in the ins., in the gen., as well as in the loc.; the *i*-and the *u*-stems have in the gen. sing. only *-no*, and not also *-ssa* ; in respect of verbs, the Ātmanep. forms had by then disappeared almost completely : the opt. had only the endings *-eāṁ* (I. sing.) and *-e* ; many verbs have stem forms that deviate from those of M. ; the future is formed only from *i*-stems; the passive is obtained only in *-ia*; the absolute, against M., is found almost exclusively in *-ia*=Skt.-*ya*, etc.¹⁰ In flexion and in vocabulary, in which Š. greatly deviates from M., it approaches Skt. very closely, as rightly observed by Vr.

1. Even Śūrasenī is often wrongly called Śūraseni.—2 LASSEN, IAlt. 1², 158, note 2; 796 Note 2 2², 512; CUNNINGHAM, The Ancient Geography of India (London 1871) 1,374.—3. PISCHEL, Die Recensionen der Śakuntalā (Breslau 1875), pp. 16f.—4. PISCHEL on Hc. 1, 26.—5. PISCHEL, KB. 8, 129 ff.—6. That Hc. utilized the texts of the Di-gambaras, even though he was a Śvētāmbara Jaina, has been shown by LEUMANN, IS. 17, 123, note 1.—7. PISCHEL, Hemacandra, 1,xif. Since 1877 unfortunately there has been little change in the circumstances. For the purpose of grammar, primarily the material can be drawn only from Mṛcchakaṭikā, edited by STENZLER, Śakuntalā, edited by PISCHEL, and Vikramorvaśi, edited by BOLLENSEN; in the second line comes the Ratnāvali, edited by CAFFELLER, which in fact, is the best edition of the drama, although it gives no variants it has been planned somewhat very systematically. I could use the excellent edition of *Karpūrāmañjari* by KONOW, when the present work was in the press. But Rājaśekhara, as already pointed out above, is no authority for Š.—8. A list of texts used along with necessary notes is given at the end of this grammar.—9. PISCHEL, KB. 8, 129 ff. Die Recensionen Śakuntalā, pp. 19 ff. Monatsberichte der Kgl. Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin 1875, pp. 613 ff. Wrongly WEBER, IS. 14, 39 ff., 161 ff. A collection of incorrect forms was made by BURKHARD, Flexiones Prácriticae quas editioni sueae Śācuntalī Pro Supplemento adjecit. Vratislaviae 1874.—10. PISCHEL, Jenaeer Li-

teraturzeitung 1875, pp. 794 ff.; JACOBI, Erzählungen, pp. lxx ff. Further details have been furnished in the relevant paragraphs of this grammar.

§ 23. Māgadhi has been transmitted to us in a worse condition than Śaurasenī. According to Kohala, in MK fol. 74, Mg. is spoken by Rākṣasas, beggars Kṣapaṇakas, servants, etc.; according to Bharata 17, 50 =Sāhyadarpāṇa p. 173, 2, Mg. is used also by people frequenting the ladies' apartments of the princes, among whom were, according to Daśarūpa 2, 42; Sāhyad. 81, eunuchs, Kirātas, dwarfs, Mlecchas, Ābhīras, Śakāras, and chamberlains; and according to Bharata, 24, 50-59 eunuchs, snātakas, and chamberlains. According to Daśarūpa 2, 60, the Piśācas and all the low-class people speak Mg., and according to Sarasvatik., 56, 18 the people of lower status speak it. In the dramas, the chamberlains always speak Skt. (Śak. 93 ff.; Vikr. 37 ff.; Venīs. 17 ff.; Nāgān. 61 ff.; Mudrār. 78.; 110 ff.; Anarghar. 109 ff.; Pārvatīp. 36 ff.; Priyad. 2f.; 28ff.; Pratāpar. 132ff.) The following speak Mg.: in Mṛcch., the Śakāra, his servant, Sthāvaraka, the masseur, who later becomes a Bhikṣu, Kumbhilaka, the servant of Vasantasenā, Vardhamānaka, the servant of Cāruduṭta, the two Cāndālas, Rohasena, the little son of Cārudatta²; in Śak. 113ff., both the constables and the fishermen, 154 ff., Sarvadamana, the little son of Śakuntalā; in Prab. 28-32, the disciple of Cārvāka and the messenger from Oriśa; in 46-64, the Digambara Jaina; in Mudrār. 153f., the servant who prepares seats; in 174-178, 183-187, 190-194 the Jaina monk; in 197 the messenger³; in 256-269 Siddhārthaka and Samiddhārthaka as Cāndālas, while they, in another rôle in pp. 224 ff., speak Ś.; in Lalitav. 565-567, the bards and the spy who, however, also speaks Ś., in another rôle at p. 567 f.; in Venīs. 33-36 the Rākṣasa and his wife; in Mallikām. 143.144 the elephant drivers; in Nāgān. 67-68, and Cait. 149f., the servant; in Cāndak. 42-43, the scoundrel, in 60-72, the Cāndālas; in Dhūrtas. 16, the barber; in Hāsyārṇava 31, Sādhuhimśaka; in Laṭakam. 12ff., 25ff., the Digambara Jaina; in Kāmsavadha 48-52, the humpbacked; and in Amṛtodaya 66, the Jaina monk. With the exception of Mṛcchakaṭikā only short pieces are written in Mg., and they, in the Indian editions, have come down in such a mutilated form that often it is hardly possible to recognize the dialect. It is unfortunate that even after such a long time, Prabodhacandrodaya has not appeared though it was announced long ago by the Bombay Sanskrit Series. The edition of BROCKHAUS is worthless, and I have used the Pūṇā, the Madras and the Bombay editions throughout as they are better. Of all these texts only the Lalitav. appears to be essentially in agreement with the rules of the grammarians; among the other stray texts, like those of Mṛchh. and Śak. the MSS. show agreement with the rules of different dialects. Usually they are so strongly influenced by Ś., which according to Vr. 11, 2, is the basis of Mg. and according to Hc. 4, 302, mostly coincides with it, that the character of the dialect is very much effaced. The rule, Hc. 4, 288, *ravarlaśau* is followed rigorously; according to 4, 287, the nom. sing. of a-stem ends in -e; according to 4, 301, =Vr. 11, 9, *hage* is substituted for *ahām*, and more rarely also for *vayām*. On the other hand no text other than Lalitav. conforms to Hc. 4, 292 =Vr. 11, 4.7, that prescribes the retention of *ya*, and substitution of *ya* for *ja*, of *yya* for *dya*, *rya* and *rja*. There can be no doubt that this, like all other rules of grammarians, has to be followed against the manuscripts. All the grammarians from Vr. downwards are substantially in agreement,⁴ on the essential points. Hc. finds these peculiarities, according to 4, 302, in Mudrār., Śak., and Venīs., though in our manuscripts only a part of them is found, and even the manuscripts

of Hc. are lacking at this place. The more the manuscripts become available to us the more the variants become noticeable that go against our existing texts. In Mrcch. 22,4, for instance, in STENZLER's edition, which, as usual, is followed here in 61,5 in GODABOLE's edition, occurs the passage *tava jjēvva hāste ciṣṭadu*: according to the grammarians it should be read as *tava yyevva hāste ciṣṭadu*. The MSS. DH in GODABOLE read *ēvva*, C. *jjeva*; almost all the MSS. have *hāste* and *ciṣṭadu*, i. e. *ciṣṭadu* as in J. It is repeated throughout. Mudrār. 154, 3, E, reads (as in Hc. 4, 302) *yyeva*, and 264, 1 the majority of the MSS. has *ēvva*; likewise Venis. 35. 7; 36,5. The rule, Hc. 4,295, whereafter for an intervocalic *cha* is substituted *śca*, I have strictly observed in the Śakuntalā, for it was suggested by the MSS. and also because the MSS. of the Mrcch. prove this (§ 233), which also partially conform to Hc. 4,291 prescribing the change of *stha* and *rtha* into *sta* (§ 310. 290). The characteristics of Mg. in phonology, are the transformation of *ra* into *la*, of *sa* into *śa*, the retention of *ya*, the change of *ja* into *ya*, of *dya*, *rja* and *rya* into *yya*, of *nya*, *nya*, *jñā*, *jñā* into *ññā*, of *ccha* into *śca*, of *ṭṭa* and *śṭha* into *ṭṭa* etc. (§ 24), and in the inflection, especially of nouns, the formation of the nom. sing. of *a*-stem in-*e*; in other respects, as regards flexion, Mg. strictly corresponds with S. with which it agrees also in the transformation of *ta* into *da* and of *tha* into *dhā*.

1. It is not clear as to what was meant by Bharata by *upasthāyikanirmundāh*.—2. It is attested by Pr̥thividhara, in STENZLER, p. v and GODABOLE, p. 493. In the printed edition he speaks S.; but the MSS. throughout indicate Mg. as in 161, 9, they have *ale ale*, in 161,16, *māleḍha*, in 165, 25, *al*, and in DH in GODABOLE, p. 449 9 also there is *māleḍha*. In the introductory scene, there is, 327, 10=484, 12 ed. GODABOLE H, *avutte*. Wrongly analysed by BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p. 4. Cf. § 42.—3. Cf. HILLEBRANDT, ZDMG. 39, 130. 4. Cf. § 24 and the relevant paragraphs of this grammar.

§ 24. According to Pr̥thividhara on Mrcch., ed. STENZLER, p. V=p. 494, GODABOLE's edition, the brother-in-law of the king speaks Śākārī, a dialect that is mentioned as a form of Apabhramśa. It is mentioned also by Ki. 5,99; Rv. in LASSEN, Inst. p. 21; Mk. fol. 76; Bharata 17,53; and Śāhityad. p. 173,6. LASSEN, Inst., pp. 422 ff, has attempted to determine the peculiarities of this dialect and has come to the conclusion (p. 435) that Śākārī is a Māgadhi dialect. This conclusion is quite correct, as Mk., fol. 76, also derives it from Mg.: *māgadhyāḥ śākārī | sādhayatīti śeṣāḥ*. Further Pr̥thividhara on Mrcch. 9,22, ed. STENZLER, p. 240 = p. 500 of GODABOLE's edition, has shown that in this dialect a *y* was pronounced before the palatals, viz. *yciṣṭha=tīṣṭha* (§ 217). This *y* was so weak that it could not gain: therefore, any importance from the view point of metre. According to Mk. this phonetic rule was valid for Mg. and Vrācada Apabhramśa as well (§ 28). Other peculiarities like the change of *ta* into *da* in certain past passive participial forms (§ 219), and the genitive sing. of *a*-stems in-*āha*, beside in-*āśā* (§ 366) are found in the language of other persons also; the loc. in-*āhūm* (366^a) and the voc. plur. in-*āho* (§ 372) are met with in the dialect of Śākāra, but perhaps this is so merely by accident. In respect of the last three forms this dialect coincides with Apabhramśa, and consequently the classification of Pr̥thividhara is not without justification. The grammarians and the rhetoricians mentioned above name Cāndālī as a separate dialect, which, according to Mk., fol. 82, is derived from Māgadhi and Śauraseni, and is rightly considered likewise by LASSEN, Inst. p. 420, to be a form of Māgadhi. Mk., fol. 81, derives again Śābari from Cāndālī. Accordingly the basis of the former would be S., Mg. as well as Śākārī. Cf. LASSEN, Inst. § 162. According to Mk., fol. 3, to the Māgadhi dialects belongs also Bāhlīki, which is assigned by Bharata, 17,52 = Śāhit-yad. p. 173,7 to gamesters, and by others to the Piśāca countries. (§ 27).

Undoubtedly Mg. was not a homogeneous language, on the other hand, it was dialectically diversified. It is thus that we can explain the development of *ksa* sometimes as *hka* and sometimes as *śka*, of *rtha* sometimes as *sta* and sometimes as *śta*; and of *ska*, sometimes as *śka* and sometimes as *śka*. All the dialects that have *ya* for *ja*, *la* for *r* and *ś* for *s*, and form the nom. sing. of *a*-stems in — *e* should be regarded as Mg. It has already been shown above (§ 17, 18) that the boundary of the — *e* dialect extended beyond the frontiers of Magadha. Bharata 17,58 asserts that the languages of all the provinces lying between the Gaṅgā and the sea are full of — *e* forms. But we can conclude nothing from such a vague statement. HOERNLE¹ has divided all the Präkrits into two groups : "the Sauraseni Präkrit tongue" and the "Māgadhi Präkrit tongue" and has drawn a line of demarcation between tow the groups from Khālsī, extending in the north over Bairāt, and Allahabad, and in the south through Ramgarh upto Jaugada². GRIERSON³, who is in agreement with HOERNLE, assumes that the two groups of Präkrit gradually come closer and calls the resultant obtained from a mixture of the two a third Präkrit, namely Ardhamāgadhi, and he assigns it to the land lying about Allāhābad and that of the Marāthās. I do not believe that these assumptions are tenable. The Lāṭ-dialect shows significant vestiges of dialects, so much so that even between Dhaulī and Jaugada,⁴ there exist dialectical differences; but generally it seems to be a homogeneous language, which, perhaps was also the language of the empire and was, therefore, understood rather than spoken over a wide area in the north, the west and the south.⁵ Consequently the inscriptions of Aśoka found at Khālsī, Delhi, and Mirāt, and the inscription of Bairāt as well as others furnish us with no information about the language of the country. In earlier days, as it happens today, certain individual dialects, which need not be called Präkrit, (§ 5), it is true, gradually shaded into each other. Ardhamāgadhi is a strong proof of this. There is no connecting link between the modern Mg.⁶ and the old Mg.

1. Comp. Grammar, p xvii ff.—2. Canda, p. XXI.—3. Seven Grammars of the Dialects and Sub-dialects of the Bihari Language, Part I (Calcutta 1883), p. 5. ff.—4. SENART, Piyadasī 2,433 ff.—6. GRIERSON, Seven Grammars, Part III, (Calcutta 1883).

§ 25. In Mṛcchakaṭikā pp. 23-39, Māthura, the keeper of the house of gambling, and his fellow player speak the dialect, called Dhakki, named after Dhakka that is in the Eastern Bengal. Mk. fol. 8!, Rv. LASSEN, Inst. p. 5, and PR̄HVIDHĀRA on Mṛcch. p. V=p. 493, ed. GODABE, record Dhakki, along with Sākāri, Cāṇḍāli, and Sābāi among the dialects of Apabhramṣa. Corresponding to its geographical situation, it seems that Dhakki was a transition dialect between Māgadhi and Apabhramṣa. According to PR̄HVI dhara this dialect is phonetically characterized by preponderance of *la*, and by the use of the two sibilants, namely the dental *s* and the palatal¹ *ś*; *lakāraprāyā*¹ *dhakkavibhāśā* *saṁskritaprāyavatē* *dantyatālavasyaśakāradvayayukti ca*. It is to be understood from this that in it, as in Mg., *ra* is changed into *la*, and *śa* into *sa*, which, as well as *ś*, remains, however, unchanged in places where it occurs in Sanskrit. In the texts the mode of writing is not always consistent, but the manuscripts preserve very often the fairly correct reading. STENZLER reads in 29, 15 and 30, 1 *are* *re*; in 30, 7 *re*; in 30, 11, *are*, but GODABOKE reads in 82, 1; 84, 4; 86, 1, *ale*; in 85, 5 *le* according to the most of his manuscripts, and it is read otherwise everywhere as in STENZLER (30, 16; 31, 4. 9. 15; 39, 16). Further this rule is corroborated by *luddhu* = *ruddhah* (29, 15; 30, 1), *palivevida* = *parivepita* (30, 7), *kulu* *kulu* = *kuru* *kurn* (31, 16), *dhāledi* = *dhārayati* (34, 9; 39, 13), *puliso* = *puruṣah* (34, 12). But in

more cases *ra* remains in the texts and the manuscripts. So throughout we have *jūdiara* (29, 15 ; 30, 1. 12 ; 31, 12 ; 36, 18), but only in 36, 18 = 106, 4 ed. GODABOLE, the word is found with *la* (v. l.); likewise in the Calcutta edition (*Saka* 1792) at p. 85, 3, there is *jūdakalassa*, and in the Calcutta edition (1829), p. 74, 3, *mutthipahālenā* against *reṇā* found in all others; while all the editions in the following line have *ruhirapahām anusarēṁha* in stead of the expected reading *luhilapadham anusalēṁha*. In the verse 30, 4, 5, by the side of *salanām* for which the Calcutta edition of 1792 rightly has *śalanām*, there is *ruddo rakkhidum tarai* in lieu of *luddo lakhhidum taladi*. Other cases are : *anusarēṁha* (30, 13) *māthuru* (32, 7 ; 34, 25), *pidaram*, *mādarām* (32, 10, 12), *pasaru* (32, 16), *jajjara* (34, 11, beside *puliso!*), *uārodheṇa* (36, 24), *ahareṇa rai* (39, 8). Likewise the manuscripts oscillate in the use of the sibilants. Beside the correct forms *daśasuvannāha* (29, 15 ; 30, 1), *daśasuvannām* (31, 4 ; 32, 3 ; 34, 9, 12 etc.), *śunnu* (30, 11), *śela* (30, 17) there occur the wrong forms like *jasam* (30, 9), *ādāṁsaāmī* (34, 25), *padissudia* (35, 5); the palatal sibilant has been wrongly used in forms like *śamavisa-mām*, *sakaluśāam* (30, 8, 9), where GODABOLE has correctly used *samavisamām*, *aikasanam* (read *adi°*); but in spite of this he has erred in using *kassā* (114, 9) for *kassa*, as found in the ed. of STENZLER (39, 8). With *la* and *śa* Dhakki becomes close to Mg., and with the endings *u=aḥ*, and *-aṁ*, as well as in the second person singular of the imperative it comes close to Apabhramsha. Here also the manuscripts are not wholly dependable. Beside *deulu* (30, 11) there occurs *deulam* (30, 12); by the side of *esu = esāḥ* (31, 12 ; 34, 17 ; 35, 15) there is *eso* (30, 10); beside *pasalu = prasara* (32, 16) there is *genha* (29, 16 ; 30, 2) as well as *paaccha = prayaccha* (31, 4. 7. 9 ; 32, 8. 12. 14 ; 34, 24 ; 35, 7); by the side of the nom. in-*u*, as in *luddhu = ruddhah* (29, 15 ; 30, 1), *vippadivu pādu = vīpratipah pādah* (30, 11), *dhutiu*, *mādhulu*, *nīnu = dhūrīto māthuro nīpunaḥ* (32, 7), *vihavu = vibhavah* (34, 17), the texts have the nominatives in-*o*, as in *baddho* (31, 12), *°ppāvudo puliso = °prāvṛtah purusah* (34, 12), *ācakkhanto* (§ 499) (34, 24), *°vutto = °vṛttah* (31, 3), and also in-*e*, such as *pādhe = pāthah* (30, 25 ; 31, 1), *laddhe gohe = labdhah purusah* (31, 3). That here we have just the cases of blunders committed in the manuscript-tradition and that such forms do not present any special dialectical peculiarities, are clearly demonstrated by the presence of the word *baddho* (31, 14) in Mg. for the correct word *baddhe* that is not to be found in any of the editions. Certainly erroneous is *tha* in *māthuru* (32, 7 ; 34, 25), for for which should be read *mādhulu*; as for *pāthe* found in all the editions (30, 25 ; 31, 1), and also in Mg. (31, 2), the manuscripts DH of GODABOLE, p. 88, read *pāde*, K has *pādhe*, a form which including the ending is correct only in Dh. Thus we have in 30, 16 *kadham = katham*, but in 36, 19 *ruhirapahām = ruhirapatham*. The correct form would be *luddhilapadham*. As in S. and Mg., so also in the case of Dh. as well, the manuscripts are not reliable, and there is no hope that, with the limited number of the texts that we have, we shall ever be able to obtain a clear description of this dialect.³ Cf. § 203.

1. So correctly reads STENZLER ; GODABOLE reads at p. 2 and p. 494 *vakāraprāyā*.
 —2. So correctly reads GODABOLE.—3. LASSEN, Inst. p. 414 ff., holds that the gambler speaks Dāksinātyā and Māthura, the Āvanti dialect. See § 26. BLOCH's decision is wrong, in Vr. und Hc. p. 4.

§ 26. Likewise it is difficult to get a clear idea about the other dialects mentioned by the Grammarians. According to Pṛthvidhara, in Mṛcchakaṭikā, the two police officers, Viraka and Candanaka, pp. 99-106, speak the dialect of Avanti. About this dialect all that he says is that it has *sa* and *ra*, and that it is full of proverbial expressions : *tathā*

śauraseny-avantijā prācyā | etāsu dantyasakārālā | tatrāvanījā rephavati lokokti-vahulā. The quotation from Pṛthīdhara is =Bharata 17, 48. According to Bharata 17, 51=Sāhityad. p. 173, 4, Avantijā is to be spoken in dramas by the *dhūrtiāḥ*, whereby according to the scholiast, in LASSEN, Inst. p. 36, are meant the dice-players. LASSEN, Inst. pp. 417-419, therefore, considers Āvanti to be the language of Māthura, but this is wrong. Mk. fol. 3, like Ki. 5, 99, considers Āvanti as a *bhāṣā* and defines it in fol. 73, as the admixture of Māhārāṣṭri and Śaurasenī. This admixture is found in one and the same sentence: *āvanī syānmāhārāṣṭri śaurasenyāstu samkarāt | anayoh samkarād āvantibhāṣāsiddhāsyāt | samkaraścaikasminneva vākye bodhavyah.* In this dialect are found, for instance *hoi=bhavati, pechadi=prekṣate, darisedi=darśayati*, etc. This description is in accord with the dialect of the two police officers, as it is given in the MSS. In the stanzas 99, 16, 17 there are found Š. *acchadha* and M. *bhetiṇa* and *vaccāi*, side by side; similarly in 99, 24, 25, Š. *āacchadha* and M. *turiām, jattha, karejjāha* and *pahavāi*; in 100, 4 there is *darisēi*; in 100, 12 M. *jaha* and Š. *khuḍido* occur side by side: again in 100, 19; 101, 7; 105, 9, is found *vaccadi*, a mixture of M. *vaccāi* (99, 17) and Š. *vajjadi*, as well as *vajjai* (100, 15); in 103, 15 there is *kahijjādi* and in 16 *sāsijjāi*; the second one is a pure M. form and the first one an admixture of M. *kahijjāi* and Š. *kadijādi*; many other examples are to be found in prose and poem. Accordingly the definition enunciated by Pṛthīdhara might appear to be correct. But so far as it respects Candanaka, the latter's own statement as given in 103, 5 goes against him: *vaam dakkhiṇattā avvattabhbāsiṇo mlecchajālinām anskadesabhbābhijñā yathesiṁ mantrayāmah*: we southerners speak unintelligibly; because we are expert in languages of many barbarian countries we speak just as we like. Candanaka, therefore, describes himself as a southerner (*dāksinātya*) that is proved also by his own statement found in 103, 16: *kanṇādakalahappaoam karemi*, "I proceed to fight in the manner of Karṇāṭa. Therefore, it is very much improbable that the language in what he should have spoken is Āvanti, on the other hand, it is to be assumed that his language is Dāksinātyā, which Bharata 17, 148 calls as one of the seven *bhāṣāḥ*, and which according to 17, 52=Sāhityad. p. 173, 5, is spoken by hunters and police officers in the drama. Mk., fol. 3 (cf. fol. 76) rejects it as an independent language because it does not have any distinctive characteristic (*laksanākaranāt*). LASSEN, Inst. pp. 414-416, assigns the Dāksinātyā language to the unnamed gambler in Mṛcch. and he is inclined to believe that in the language of the police officers in Śak. pp. 113-117, there are vestiges of this dialect. Both of his views are wrong. The dialect used by the gambler is Dhakki (§ 25) and the language of the police officers in Śak. does not differ on any point from the common Š, as already observed by BÖHTLINGK¹. As some of the manuscripts of the Bengali recension show doubling of the aspirates, I believed earlier² that this must have been the distinctive characteristic of Dāksinātyā. But the doubling is found also in Mg. in one of the manuscripts and it is in no way linguistically important, rather it is just an orthographical device (§ 193). So far as we have been able to see up till now it seems that Dāksinātyā must have been very close to Āvanti which is spoken by Viraka, and that both of them were very much akin to Š. Apart from the dialectical admixture, there are a number of forms that are against idiomatic usage in Š. Thus: *vaam* for *amhe*, *do=dvau*, and remarkable is the change of *tva* into *ta* in *dakkhiṇattā* (§ 281). The form *darisaanti* occurs also in Š. in Mṛcch. 70, 25.

1. In his edition of Śak., p. 240.-2.GN. 1873, pp. 212 ff.

§ 27. One of the very archaic dialects is Paiśācī. Vr., 10, 1 ff. knows only one dialect of this name; likewise Kī., 5,96, and Simhadeva-ganin on Vāgbhaṭālāmkāra, 2, 3, where this dialect is called Paiśācīka, and Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālāmkāra, 2, 12 calls it Paiśācīka and in a grammatical quotation he refers to it by the name Paiśācīki: Hc. 4,303-324 deals with Paiśāci and appends to it, 325-328, Cūlikāpaiśācīka. Hc. is followed by Triv. 3, 2, 43 ff., and Sr. fol. 63 ff., and both of them call the second dialect Cūlikāpaiśāci. An unknown author (§ 3 note 1) referred to by Mk., fol. 2, describes eleven types of P. *kāñcideśiyapāndye ca pāñcālagaudamāgadham | vrācadām dāksinātyām ca śaurasenām ca kaikayam | śābarām drāvīdām caiva ekādaśa piśācakāh*. Mk. himself, as per fol. 3, treats only 3 Paiśācis., namely Kaikeya, Śaurasena and Pāñcāla; because they alone were used as literary dialects: *kaikeyām śaurasenāñca pāñcālam iti ca tridhā | paiśācyo nāgarā yasmāt tenāpy anyāna na lakṣitāḥ ...* Kaikeya, according to Mk. fol. 86, is based on Sanskrit, and Śaurasena upon Śauraseni: the only difference that Pāñcāla maintains in comparison with Śaurasena consists in the former having as its characteristic the change of *ra* into *la*. RV., in LASSEN, Inst., p. 22, recognizes only two classes of Paiśācī: Kaikeyapaiśācam and the second one whose name has been handed down to us in the corrupt form Caska. In any case, in accordance with the grade of purity, these are again divided into sub-classes, of which, according to LASSEN, Inst., Appendix p. 6, Māgadha and Vrācaḍa (read thus for Brāvda) are called Paiśācikas. Lakṣmīdhara, in LASSEN, Inst. p. 13, derives the name P. from the countries, of the Piśācas, in which it is spoken, and is named as such according to the opinion of the people of the earlier age: these countries are Pāṇḍya, Kekaya, Bāhlika, Sahya, Nepāla, Kuntala, Gāndhāra, and four others whose names have been transmitted to us in their corrupt forms: Sudeṣa, Bhota, Hajva, and Kanojana. These names point towards the north and the west of India. A nation is referred to as Piśācas in the Mahābhārata 7, 121, 14. But the Indians understand by the word Piśāca only demons, (*bhūta*) of this name (Kathāsaritsāgara, 7,26.27). Thus it is referred to by Bhāmaha in his commentary on Vr. 10, 1: *piśācānām bhāsā paisācī*, and, therefore, he calls it also *bhūtabhāsā* "the language of the demons", (Dandin, Kāvyādarśa 1,38; Sarasvatik. 95, 11. 13; Kathāsaritsāgara 7, 29; 8, 30; HALL, Vāsavadattā p. 22 note) or even *bhūtabhāṣita* as well as *bhautika* (Vāgbhaṭālāmkāra 3, 1. 3), *bhūtavacana* (Bālarāmāyaṇa 8, 5=Sarasvatik. 57, 11). There is the popular belief that the unmistakable identifying characteristic of a *bhūta* is that he speaks with a nasal accent, and thereupon CROOKE¹ assumes that like modern English this language too for that reason has been called a Piśācabhāsā. The grammarians make no mention of this phonetic characteristic and I deem it probable that originally P. also like M. S. Mg. was named as such after the name of some people or country and the name of this country or people was similar in sound to *piśāca* and that on account of this the language as well began to be referred to as the dialect of the Piśācas, meaning demons. The nation of the Piśāca or Paiśāca, except in the passage of the Mahābhārata referred to above, is nowhere mentioned, even though individual tribes like the Kekaya and Bāhlika, to whom Mk. clearly assigns as their language a Mg. dialect (§ 24), Kuntala and Gāndhāra are mentioned very frequently. According to Daśarūpa, 2, 60, the Piśāca or Māgadha language is especially spoken by the Piśācas, the people of lowly origin, etc. According to Sarasvatik. 56, 19; Sāhiyad. p. 173, 10, P. is the language of the Piśāca; Bhojadeva, Sarasvatik. 57, 25, forbids the use of pure P. by high class characters: *nātyuttamaphātraprayoyjā paisācī śuddhā*. The example

given by him is found in Hc. 4, 236 as that of Cūlikāpaisācīka. High characters, who do not appear in the highest rôles, according to Sarasvatik. 58, 15, speak in a language that is Sanskrit and Paisācī at the same time, by means of the popular play of words *bhāṣāśesa*, which is comparatively easier in Paisācī than in any other Prākrit dialect inasmuch as P., of all the Pkt. dialects, is most akin to Sanskrit. Vr. 10, 2, it is true, says that Sauraseni is the basis of P., and Hc. 4, 323 agrees with him; but as shown by Hc. 4, 324, in phonology, it stands closer to Skt., Pāli and the dialect of PG. The characteristic of P., and in addition to that, of CP. as well, the two dialects that the grammarians do strictly differentiate, is the change of the voiced consonants into the voiceless ones, e. g. P. CP. *matana*=*modana*; *tāmotara*=*dāmodara*; P. *patesa*=*pradeśa*; CP. *nakara*=*nagara*; *kiri*=*giri*; *mekha*=*megha*; *khamma*=*gharma*; *rācā*=*rajā*; *cimūta*=*jimūta* etc. (§ 190. 191). Another characteristic feature is the retention in unchanged form of most of the consonants including *na* into which is transformed also *na* and the change *la* into *la*. HOERNLE², on account of mutation of the voiced mutes and the change to *na* into *na* and *la* into *la*, assumes that P. is a form of the Aryan language that developed in the mouth of the Dravidian people when the latter wanted to speak the Aryan Language. SENART³ was perfectly correct in rejecting this view. Against the hypothesis of HOERNLE that in none of the Aryan dialects of India even a trace of the devocalization of voiced mutes is visible it has to be noted that in a number of sporadical cases this mutation is noticeable in the dialect of Shābhāzgarhi⁴, in the Lāt⁵-dialect and in the Lena-dialect⁶; in the NIA. languages the mutations of the aspirate voiced mutes takes place in the Dardū, Kāfir, and in the Gypsy languages⁷ and this suggests that the home of P. has to be searched for in the northwest in India.⁸ P. is so much peculiar and independent that it began to be considered as the fourth language, besides Sanskrit, Prākrit and Apabhraṃśa (Kathāsarits. 7, 29; cf. 6, 148, Brhatkathām. 6, 52; Bālārāmāyaṇa 8, 4.5; Vāgbhaṭālāmkāra 2, 1). Perhaps P. is understood also by grāmyabhbāṣā, in which, according to Vāgbhaṭa, Ālāmkāratilaka 15, 13, was composed Bhīmakāvya. It is deplorable that we have to depend exclusively on a few and insufficient statements of the grammarians for a knowledge of P. Brhatkathā was written in P. by Guṇāḍhya,⁹ whose time has been calculated by BÜHLER¹⁰ as the first or second century A.D. The only connected fragments in this dialect are found in Hc. 4, 310, 316. 320. 322. 323¹¹ and perhaps also in 326. According to the annals of the northern Buddhists there lived 116 years after the death of Buddha four Sthaviras who belonged to four different castes and spoke four different languages, namely Sanskrit, Prākrit, Apabhraṃśa and Paisācī respectively. The Sthaviras, forming one of the four chief schools of Vaibāśikas, are said to have used Paisācī¹²

1. An introduction to the Popular Religion and Folklore of Northern India (Allahabad 1894) p. 149.—2. Comp. Grammar p. XIX f.—3. Piyadasi 2, 501, (note 1). 4. JOHANSSON, Shābhāzgarhi 1, 172 ff.—5. SENART, Piyadasi 2, 375 (*kaṁboca*, 376 (*paṭī-pāṭayachām*, etc.); 397 (*tuphe*, etc.)). 6. HULTZ, ZDMG. 37, 549; 40, 66, note 5.—7. MIKLOSICH Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Zigeunermandarten I, II (Wien 1874) p. 15ff.; IV. (Wien 1878) p. 51. Cf. also Gyp. *khul*=Hindi *ghūr* in PISCHEL, Beiträge Zur Kenntnis der deutschen Zigeuner (Halle a. S. 1894) p. 42 and Kalasha *khās*=Gyp. *khās*=Hindi *ghās*=Skt. *ghāsa*.—8. PISCHEL, Deutsche Rundschau XXXVI (Berlin 1883) p. 368. The hypothesis that Guṇāḍhya was a Kashmirian is incorrect. He was a South-Indian, but his work was popular in Kashmir as is proved by its abridgments by Somadeva and Kṣemendra. HALL.—Vāsavadātā (Calcutta 1859) p. 22f., note; BÜHLER, IA. 1, 302ff., LEVI, JA., 1885, VI, 412ff. Cf. Nāmisādhu on Rudrāta, Kāvyālāmkāra, 2, 12—10. Detailed Report p. 47.—11. PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 33. I am unable to find the passage in Somadeva's work. Kathāsarits. 11, 48. 49 agrees in

certain places but not sufficiently accurately.—12. WASSILJEW, Dr. Buddhismus, Seine Dogmen, Geschichte and Literatur. Translated from Russian (by TH. BENEY) 1,248, note 3. (St. Patersburg, 1860).

§ 28. Apabhramśa, in the widest sense of the term is indicative of any language that in any manner deviates from the correct one,—i.e. Sanskrit. Consequently it is the common name for all the Indian popular dialects (§ 4), and only remotely does it signify particular form of the Prākrit dialects that were remodelled from the popular dialects to the status of literary languages according the usual practice that obtained in Prākrit (§ 5). Hc., 4, 329-4+6, treats A. as a uniform language. But from his rules it appears that under the name A., have been included together a number of several different dialects. The forms *dhrum*, *tram* (4,360) *tudhra* (4,372), *prassadi* (4,393), *bröppinu*, *bröppi* (4,391), *grhanti*, *grhēppinu*, (4,341. 394. 438), *vrāsu* (4,399) with their *r* and *r̥* belong to a dialect altogether different from the one that has been described in most of the other rules. The rule 4, 396, which prescribes that in A. the sounds *ka*, *kha*, *ta tha*, *pa*, *pha* generally become *ga*, *gha*, *da*, *dha*, *ba*, *bha* respectively, stands as much in contradiction to by far most of the other rules and examples : thus for instance 4, 446, according to which A. should mostly be dealt with like Ś.¹ The language of Piṅgala has gone much further than A. towards disintegration in Vikramorvaśi of Kālidāsa and in Hc. The anonymous author cited by Mk., fol. 2, recognizes 27 different dialects of A. among which are included all the sub-classes mentioned under Paiśāci (§ 27). Similarly Rv. in LASSEN, Inst. App. p. 5. Mk., on account of the differences being of insignificant character (*sūkṣmabhedatvāt*), Mk. confines A. only to three varieties, *nāgara*, *vrācada* and *upanāgara*, a classification that is adopted also in Ki. 5, where the second variety has been designated as *vrācāta*. The chief variety of A. is Nāgara, and according to Mk. this was the language of Piṅgala as well, as is evident from the fact that he (Mk.) cites passages from this work under it. From Nāgara is derived Vrācada, which according to Mk., fol. 85, originated in Sindh: *sindhudeśdbhavo vrācadopabhramśah*. Among its peculiarities, Mk. notes the development of *y* before *c* and *j*, and the change of *śa* and *sa* into *śa*-the phonetic characteristics that are ascribed by him also to Mg. and by Pṛthividhara to the dialect of Śakāra, (§ 24). Further the optional change of the initial *ta*, *da* into *ṭa*, *ḍa*, and as it seems, the retention of *r̥*, with the exception of *bṛtya*, etc. occur as other characteristics. The rest is all corrupt in the MSS. From an admixture of Nāgara and Vrācada springs Upanāgara. Ki. 5, 70 is not clear. According to Mk., fol. 81, Hariścandra considered also Śākī or Śakī as an Apabhramśa dialect, but Mk. himself describes it as an admixture of Skt. and Ś., and includes it in his list of vibhāsāh in fol. 3. The words *ehu² je=esa jadi*, found in Piṅgala 1, 4^a, according to Ravikara in BOLLENSEN on Vikra. 527, belong to Vārendri bhāsā, which, therefore, is located behind Bengal.³ On Dhakkī, see §25. Thus it is clear that A. extended from Sindh to Bengal, and this is in conformity with its nature as a popular language. Only a small portion of the many A. has again been turned into Prākrit. Lakṣmināthaḥbhatta on Piṅgala 1.1.29.61 calls the language of Piṅgala to be Avahaṭṭhabhāsā⁴=Apabhraṣṭabhāsā, but at p. 22, 15, he says that he would like to describe, in short, Varṇamarkaṭi, that has been overlooked by Piṅgala and other teachers, by śabdaiḥ prākṛtaih avahaṭṭha-kaiḥ. Hc., in Deśin. 1,37 says that *avajjhō=upādhyāyah* has not been mentioned by him as it has *prākṛtam apabhraṣṭam iva rūpam*; in 1, 67, he quotes the view of some teacher according to whom the Apabhramśa word *āsiao* is equivalent to *āyakah*, and in Kathāsaritsāgara, 17, 141, the pure

Māhārāṣṭri words *eso thiō kkhu majjāro⁵* are said to be *apabhraṣṭa*. The literary A., therefore, actually is *prakṛito'pabhrāṁśah*, "Prākrit—Apabhram-śah". In spite of the phonetic disorder and the incredible freedom with which the poets, at their sweet will for, the sake of rhyme, alter the vowels, drop the endings, suppress the entire syllables, confuse gender, case, number, and voice with one another A. has remained extraordinarily important and interesting, and it shows affinities with the Vedic language not in a small measure (§ 6).

i. PISCHEL, Hc. I, IX.—2. BOLLENSEN has *eho* in the text and *eha* in the commentary; in the Bombay edition the text has *eo*.—3. B. R. s. v. Varendra and Vārendra.—4. The Bombay edition has everywhere. ^o*hafo*. Cf. Sarasvatik. 59.9.—5. BROCKHAUS gives the wrong form *majjhāo*; DURGAPRASAD and PARAB correctly read *khu*.

§ 29. For a knowledge of A. up to this date the most important source is Hc., 4,329-446, whom follows Triv. 3,3, 1ff. Besides the materials utilized in my edition of this work I have used also the Vyutpattidipikā of Udayasaubhāgyaganin, and that both in the Pūṇā MSS¹. The work is designated as Haimaprākṛtavṛttidhūṇḍhikā and is confined to the etymological explanations of individual words on the basis of Hc.'s rules. Therefore, it is worthless for the most part. Unfortunately even the text is not essentially improved by these two MSS., because they too have all the defects of the manuscripts² used by me earlier. Like Trivikrama, Udayasaubhāgyaganin has added Sanskrit equivalents to the examples of A.; this makes the understanding of the subject extraordinarily easy and has proved to be of great advantage to me. We can say nothing about the sources whence Hc. collected his examples. They, however, create the impression of having been drawn from an anthology of the type of Sattasai. As already noted by ZACHARIAE³, the stanzas quoted under Hc. 4,357, 23 are found along with an elaborate Skt. gloss also in Sarasvatik p. 76; further, Hc. 4,353 is found also in Caṇḍa 1 11^a (p. 36), 4,330,2, also in Caṇḍa 2, 17^b (p. 47), and in 2,27ⁱ (p. 47) he quotes an A. stanza of his own (see § 34 note 4). Hc. 4,420,5 is found also in Sarasvatik., p. 158, and Hc. 4,367,5 is found even in Śukasaptai, p. 160. Next after Hc. comes into consideration the A. stanzas found in Vikramavaśi pp. 55-72. Unfortunately SHANKAR P. PANDIT⁴ and BLOCH⁵ have declared these metrical passages to be spurious. But they are found in all the manuscripts which are not of the South Indian source, in which even other works have been systematically abridged,⁶ and, as shown by KONOW,⁷ are thoroughly free from suspicion as it respects their genuineness. The Piṅgalachandahśūtra will make rich materials available to us when we shall have a critical edition of it. A beginning of the work had already been made by BOLLENSEN in the appendix to his edition of the Vikramorvaśi, pp. 520ff. The materials collected by him have been taken over to Berlin by SIEGFRIED GOLDSCHMIDT,⁸ who had planned an edition of the work, and other materials are to be found abundantly in India.⁹ The Bombay edition (1894), in the Kāvyamālā (41), of Prākrita-Piṅgala-Sūtras with the commentary of Lakshminatha Bhaṭṭa by ŚIVADATTA and KASINĀTH PANDU-RĀNG PARAB is hardly usable. I have compared the text of Piṅgala of this edition with that of GOLDSCHMIDT upto 2, 140.¹⁰ In several places this text has been found useful to me. Generally it agrees with the Bombay edition, even in respect of errors, and this shows that the material found in Europe, is not sufficient for a critical edition. It is true, that the text of GOLDSCHMIDT was not meant for printing, and it is evident from the MS. itself that it was the result of researches carried for private use. There still remains much to be done here, and without an edition furnished with all the

critical materials and the oldest and the best commentaries, for this A., the work is useful to a very limited extent. Besides detached A. stanzas are met with here and there; thus in Erz. edited by JACOBI, pp. 157f.; in Kk. 260, 43 ff.; 272, 34-38; in Dvār. 504, 26-32; in Sarasvatik. p. 34.59. 130. 139. 140.165. 166. 167. 177. 214. 216. 217. 219. 254. 260f.; in the commentary on Daśarūpa 139, 11; 162,3; in Dhvanyāloka 243, 20 of Ānandavardhana (§ 14 with note 2); in Śukasaptati. Textus simplicior. Edited by RICHARD SCHMIDT (Leipzig 1893) pp. 32. 49. 76. 122. 136. 152 note; 160 with note; 170 note; 182 note; 199; in Vetālapaṇicavimśatikā, edited by UHLE., p. 217, No. 13: 220 No. 20; in Śīṁhāsanadvātrimśikā, IS. 15, 394; in Prabandhacintāmaṇi (Bombay 1888) pp. 17. 46. 56. 59. 61. 62. 63. 70. 80. 109. 112. 121. 141. 157. 158. 159. 204. 228. 236. 238. 248., and in BEAMES, Comp. Grammar 2, 284. Most of these stanzas are so much corrupt than we can utilize only particular words from them. In Alarikāratilaka, 15, 13, Vāgbhaṭa mentions Abdhima-thana as a work written in A.

1. SHRIDHAR R. BHANDARKAR. A Catalogue of the Collections of Manuscripts deposited in the Deccan College (Bombay 1888), p. 68, No. 276; p. 118, No. 788.—
2. Hc. 1-IX.—3. GGA. 1884, p. 309.—4. Vikramorvaśiyam (Bombay 1879) p. 9 ff.—5. Vararuci und Hemcandra p. 15ff.—6. PISCHEL, GN. 1874, 214; Monatsberichte der Akad. zu Berlin 1875, 613. Even Pañcatantra and the Mahābhārata are abridged in the south Indian recensions, but they are by no means the oldest.—7. GGA. 1894, 475.—8. WEBER, Verzeichniss, 2, 1, 269ff.—9. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 336 ff; 2, 75, where it has been correctly remarked that in our texts spurious passages are found in quite a good number. Thus for example a quotation from Karpūramāñjari p. 199. 200. 211.—10. WEBER, Verzeichniss 2, 1, 270, Nr. 1711.

§ 30. According to Bhāratīyanātyāśāstra 17, 31-44¹; Das'arūpa 2, 59.60; Sāhityad. 432 in the drama men of high position and, among women, the nuns, the chief queens, the ministers' daughters, and the courtesans, according to Bharata also the female artists speak Sanskrit; and all other women speak Prākrit; the apparas on the earth can speak the language of their choice. Generally these statements are in conformity with the practice obtaining in the dramas. Yet everywhere the chief queen speaks Prākrit; Mālati and Madayantikā, the daughters of the minister, speak Prākrit in Mālatīmādhava; the harlot Vasantasenā speaks mostly in Prākrit in Mṛcchakatikā, but at pages 83-86, she speaks in verses in Sanskrit. It was assumed in the case of harlots that they were well versed in both the languages; it is noteworthy that in order to become a fully qualified harlot she must be adept in 64 arts, be endowed with all the 64 kinds of accomplishments, and be conversant with the 18 popular languages:² *ganiyā... caūsatthikalāpandiā caūsatthi ganiyāguṇovavejā... atīhārasadesibhāsā-viśāroyā* (Nāyādh. 480; Vivāgas. 55 ff.). Prosperity in the profession was concomitant with this. In Kumārasambhava 7, 90, the priest Sarasvati praises the married pair, Śiva and Pārvati, in two languages, Śiva, in Sanskrit, and Pārvati, in an easily intelligible language, i. e. in Prākrit. Rāja-śekhara, Karp. 5.3.4 says that a work in Skt. is rough and that it is sweet in Pkt.; accordingly the difference between the two languages is the same as that between a man and a woman. In Mṛcch. 44, 1ff., the Vidūṣaka says that two things make him laugh, one is a Sanskrit-speaking woman and the other is a man who sings in a low voice; the woman who speaks Sanskrit makes the sound *su su* like a heifer through whose nose a cord has been passed and the man who sings in a low voice resembles an aged family priest who mutters his prayer with a dry garland of flowers worn over his head. The director of the play, in Mṛcch., who subsequently plays the rôle of the Vidūṣaka, speaks in Sanskrit in the beginning, but as soon as he begins to talk to a woman he (2,14), "according to the circumstance and

usage", expresses his readiness to speak in Prākrit. In support of the statement that a man should speak to a woman in Prākrit Pṛthivīdhara (495, 13) gives a quotation : *stiśu nā prākṣṭaiḥ vad-त*. From all this it is gathered that Prākrit is characterized as the proper language of women, as has been prescribed by the authors on rhetorics. Besides, they not only understand Skt. but they speak it as well the moment they have to say something in verse. So speak Vicaksṇā in Viddhaś. pp. 75, 76; Mālatī in Mālatīm. pp. 81, 84; Lavaṅgikā p. 253; Sītā in Prasannarāghava, pp. 116-118 even in prose, and pp. 120, 121, 155 in verses; Kalahaiśikā in Anarghar. p. 113; the girl friend of the heroine in Karṇas. p. 30, and the heroine herself, p. 32; Sindūrikā in Bālar. pp. 120, 121; in Chardī, Jivān. 20, in Subhadrā, the actress, p. 2 and Subhadrā, p. 13; in Mallikām., Mallikā, pp. 71, 17; 75, 4; 81, 12; 82, 1; 85, 9; Navamālikā 72, 8; 75, 10; Sārasikā 78, 14; 251, 3; Kāliṇdi 82, 24; 84, 10; 91, 15; in Dhūrtas. p. 11f., Anaigasenā, also in prose; and sometimes also women in Cait. Buddharakṣitā cites Mālatīm p. 242, Kāmasūtra 199, 17f. Even the men who speak usually Pkt. now and then cross over to Skt. when they have to say in verses. So the Vidūṣaka, in Viddhaś. p. 25, who declares Pkt. to be the proper medium of expression for people of his class (*amhārisajapnjogge pāudamagge*), in Karṇas. p. 14, and Jivān. pp. 53, 83; similarly in Kāmasavadha p. 12, the gate-keeper, in Dhūrtas. p. 9, and in Hāsy p. 23, 33, 38, the snātaka, and at p. 28 also the barber. In Jivān. pp. 6ff. Dhāraṇā speaks to herself in Pkt but in the guise of a female ascetic she speaks to the minister in Skt.; in Mudrār., Virādhagupta, in the guise of a snake-charmer, pp. 70ff., speaks Pkt., but to himself, pp. 73ff. 84, and to the minister Rāksasa, p. 85, he speaks in Skt.; and at p. 82, 2 he introduces himself as a Prākrit poet. An unknown poet complains that even in his time many people are not capable of reading a Prākrit poem³, and another one (H. 2=Vajjālalga, 324, 20) asks why should they not be ashamed of themselves who cannot read and understand the nectar-like poetry in Prākrit and still trouble themselves about true love. We as yet do not know if in Sarasvatik. 57, 8, Nāṭyārājasya is the correct reading, and the person who has been designated by this term is also unknown. Likewise we are not sure about the identity of Sāhasāṅka referred to in 57, 9. Perhaps in the kingdom of the former there was nobody who could speak in Pkt. and in that of the latter there was none who did not speak Skt.⁴ Notwithstanding the praise of Prākrit expressed here and there it has always, in comparison with Skt., been considered to be a language of inferior status, and perhaps for that reason the word Prākrta, even as the name of the language, signifies very appropriately "common", "general", "inferior" which is also commonly denoted by the word. It is useless to carry an investigation into the antiquity and succession of the dialects (\$32).

1. Bharata gives more of details, and here I have entered into them only to a limited extent, because the text is very often doubtful.-2. The number of the popular dialects is mentioned to be 18 also in Ovav. § 90; Nāyādh. § 121; and Rāyap. § 291. In Kāmasūtra 33, 9 only the desabhaśāḥ in general are referred to.—3. PISCHEL, Hc. 2, p. 44, on Hc. 1, 181.—4. Both of the following verses, i.e. 57, 10, 11, literally are=to Bālar. 8, 4, 5; 57, 13, resemble Bālar. 8, 7. Since Rajaśekhara lived a century earlier than Bhoja, it is the author of Sarasvatik. who has borrowed it from him.

B. THE PRĀKRIT GRAMMARIANS.

§ 31. At the top of the list of the names of native workers in the field of Prākrit must be placed the name of Bharata, in case, by this name, we, with the Indians, mean the mythical author of Indian dramaturgy. Mk. fol. 1 refers to Bharata among his sources. Neither the quotation found at

fol. 4 nor the others, cited by the scholiasts¹, are to be found in our text of the Bhāratiyāñātāśāstra²; in adhyāya 17 thereof, the different languages have been treated, and in stanzas 6-23 there is a short and very faulty presentation of Prākrit grammar. Besides, in chapter 32 of the work there is a number of examples in Prākrit, and all these are thoughtlessly distorted. A second work, that is ascribed to Bharata, is Saṅgītanṛtyākara.³ According to DEVIPRASĀDA⁴ this work is very rare. There are found hardly any citations from that work, on the other hand, they are all from another recension of Nātāśāstra. Mk. fol. 1 mentions Sākalya and Kohala side by side with Bharata. According to Mk. fol. 48 Sākalya, beside *tujhesu* and *tumbhesu*, reads also *tujhisum* and *tumbhisum*, which were not acceptable to many (*etat tu na bahusonmatam*) and according to fol. 71, in Š., beside *bhodi*, occurs also *hodi*⁵. The quotation from Kohala is given in § 23. It cannot be affirmed if both of them are identical with the ancient grammarians⁶. Likewise nothing can be said with certainty with regard to Pāṇini, who is credited with the authorship of Prākṛtalakṣaṇa, a Prākrit grammar, by Kedārabhaṭṭa in Kavikāṇṭhapāśa⁷ and Malayagiri⁸. He is credited also with the authorship of two artificial epics, namely Pātālavijaya and Jāmbavaṭivijaya⁹. In view of the fact that from the first are cited the forms *grhya* and *paśyāti* that go against Pāṇini's own rules 7, 1, 37. 81 KIELHORN¹⁰ and BHĀNDARKAR¹¹ are suspicious about the identity of the poet with the grammarian Pāṇini. But we know that the antiquity of the Indian artificial epics goes back to a period, far earlier than hitherto supposed¹² to be. The word *grhya* is quite common in the Mahābhārata and the Rāmāyaṇa, and likewise the forms of the participle ending in-*atī*, instead of those in-*anti*, are not rare.¹³ It is highly improbable to hypothesize that Pāṇini might not have known the Mahābhārata. His grammar does not codify the language of poetry, but the correct Skt. of the Brāhmaṇas and the Sūtras,¹⁴ and it does not follow from this that many of the forms, that have not been mentioned in his grammar, either did not exist from before his time or that he, as a poet, could not use them. According to tradition Pāṇini, the poet, was identical with Pāṇini, the grammarian¹⁵, and I do not see any reason to doubt its correctness. It seems probable that Pāṇini, might have written a grammar of Prākrit as well, and that perhaps as a supplement to his Skt. grammar which was the original work. However, the Prākrit grammar of Pāṇini is said to be very bad. Along with the names of earlier writers Mk. fol. 171 mentions also one Kapila.

1. PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 1f.—2. Besides the edition of ŚIVADATTA and PARAB (Bombay 1894—Kāvyamāla 42), I have used both the MSS. of Pūnā; they are transcriptions from the same archetype and constitute the basis of the printed edition. The edition of GROSSET (Lyon 1897) extends up to the end of the fourteenth adhyāya only.—3. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 396. 686—4. A Catalogue of Sanskit Manuscripts existing in Oudh for the year 1883 (Allahabad 1884) p. 110f.—5. PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 2. 3.—6. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 130 mentions one Kohala, who was a writer on music. He might have been identical with the Prākrit grammarian. Cf. also WEBER IS. 8,272; I Str. 2,59 ; B. R. s. v.—7. A work bearing this title is often referred to without any mention of its author (AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1,86: 2,:16). It is ascribed to Kedārabhaṭṭā by D'ALWIS; An introduction to Kachchāyana's Grammar of the Pāli Language (Colombo, 1863) p. xxv. Cf. WEBER, I Str. 2,325, note 2.—8. WEBER, IS. I Str. 2, 325, note 2 ; IS. 10, 227, note 1; KLATT, ZDMG. 33, 472 ; LEUMANN, Actes du Sixième Congrès International des Orientalistes (Leide 1885) 3,2, 557 f.—9. AUFRECHT, ZDMG. 14,581 f ; 28, 113; 36, 366 ff ; Halāyudha s. v. srkvan ; PETERSON, Subhāshitāvali (Bombay 1886) p. 54ff, who has rightly remarked that both of them may have been the names of the same epic; PISCHEL, ZDMG. 39, 95ff. 316.—10. GN. 1885, 185ff.—11. JBoAS. 16,343ff.—12. BÜHLER, Die indischen inschriften und das Alter der indischen Kunstpoesie. Wien 1890. Cf. BHĀNDARKAR 1.c.—13. HOLTZMANN, Grammatisches aus dem Mahābhārata (Leipzig. 1884) ; BÖHTLINGK. BKSGW. 1887, 213ff. on WHITNEY §449. 990.—14. LIEBICH,

Panini Leipzig 1891) p. 47 ff. The conclusion drawn by him from the Bhagvadgītā, p. 32 ff., as shown above, cannot be proved.—15. AUFRECHT, ZDMG. 36, 365 ff; PISCHEL, ZDMG. 39, 97f.

§ 32. The oldest of the Prākrit grammars that we possess is Prākrta-prakṛśa of Vararuci. Of the several persons bearing this name¹, the grammarian is distinguished from others by his gotra name, Kātyāyana. This appellation was given to him by the unknown² author of the commentary Prākṛtamāñjari, who in his introduction interchanges Kātyāyana and Vararuci, one for the other, throughout, and under 2,2 uses the name Kātyāyana in lieu of Vararuci.³ This sort of inconsistency is noticeable also in the case of the name of Vararuci, the author of the Vārttika. That he also was called Vararuci is reported by Somadeva, Kathāsaritsāgara 2, 1, and Kṣemendra, Br̥hatkathāmañjari, 1, 68; 2, 15. Besides, the tradition goes as back as Gūḍādhyā⁴ and reaches down upto Sāyana⁵, and the lexicographers⁶ fix it in the middle course. The anthology, Sāduktikarnāmṛta contains a stanza composed by the Vārttikakārā⁷, a term that can denote Kātyāyana and Kātyāyana alone⁸, and Patañjali, on Pāṇini, 4, 3, 101 (2, 315 ed. KIELHORN) mentions vārurucam kāryam⁹. From this it appears probable that our Vārttikakārā was not a simple dry grammarian, but also a poet like his successor Patañjali¹⁰ and his predecessor Pāṇini (§ 31). This makes it clear that Kātyāyana could be confused with Vararuci, who, according to the tradition, was a contemporary of Kālidāsa and was one of the nine jewels in the court of Vikramāditya¹¹. WEBER¹² has attributed the same sort of confusion also with regard to the author of Prākṛtamāñjari, and he¹³, WESTERGAARD¹⁴ and BLOCH¹⁵ have expressed the opinion on the question of identity of Vārttikakāra with the Prākrit grammarian, that is against the view of COWELL¹⁶, MAXMÜLLER¹⁷, PISCHEL¹⁸ and KONOW¹⁹. When even Vr. could be surpassed by Hc. and the south Indian grammarians in the art of criticism there is no reason to push him upwards, just on account of his critical acumen, upto Vārttikakāra, referred to above, “the stubborn castigator of Pāṇini”²⁰. By the time of Hc Prākrit grammar had already made great progress as compared with the time of Vr., and it is one thing to improve upon a work that has been ready from before and it is quite another to plan a work all new. After all it was a fact that Patañjali found one Kātyāyana to criticize and improve upon him. That the dialects taught by Vr., more particularly Māhāraṣṭri, have forms that are phonetically much later than those of the language of the inscriptions of Aśoka and that of the Nāsika inscriptions proves nothing at all²¹. Because the Prākrits are merely artificial dialects and existed by the side of the popular and the official dialects it is wholly wrong to draw a chronological sketch of the Prākrit languages on the basis of the inscriptions. If the Sattasai be the work of a single author, it is wholly wrong to suppose, as opined by JACOBI and BLOCH, that Māhāraṣṭri did not come into common use before the beginning of the 3rd century A. D. The names of 318 poets that have been transmitted to us through the medium of this anthology alone presuppose that there existed from before a rich literature in Prākrit (§13), which up to the time of Govardhanācārya, and therefore, till up to the 12th century A. D. was the only literary dialect employed in composing aphoristic musical stanzas, mostly depicting love themes, and written in the Āryā metre, that might have later been collected together in the form of an anthology.²² The Gitagovinda of Jayadeva goes back to an original work written in Apabhraṃśa²³; besides, it may be noted that several Sanskrit works, of course not rarely, are found to be just imitations of some earlier works in Prākrit²⁴. The assumption of

BLOCH that Vr. could not have lived before the 5th century A. D. is not important on linguistic grounds. On the other hand, the possibility is not altogether ruled out that the author of Prākṛtamāñjari, confused the two Vararucis, although a reference in his work to the grammarian is to be taken less lightly than that in the work of the Tibetan Tārānātha. Then there is the tradition that Kātyāyana was a Prākrit grammarian as well, and it seems to me that the name Vārttikārṇavabhāṣya, a work taken to be a grammar of Prākrit and mentioned by Appayadikṣita, Prākṛtamāñdīpa 5, among his sources of information, immediately after *vāraručā granthāḥ*, corroborates it. The identity of Kātyāyana with Vararuci can not naturally be looked upon as certain.

1. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1,551.—2. In OPPERT, Lists of Sanskrit Manuscripts in Private Libraries of Southern India, (Madras 1880. 1885) 1,290, No. 3426 and 2, 371, No. 6341 Kātyāyana is mentioned as the author.—3. PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. 10 f—4 Konow, GGA. 1894, 473—5. COWELL, The Prākrita-Prakāśa, Second Issue. Advertisement; PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 9. Cf. also Prakriyākaumudiprāśāda in BHĀNDĀRKAR, Report 1883/84, p. 362, 18.—6. B. R. s. v. Kātyāyana.—7. AUFRECHT, ZDMG. 36, 524—8. PISCHEL, ZDMG. 39,98. The Prākṛtamāñjari speaks about *mahākavi Kātyāyana*.—9. In what respect does this sort of reference to this *kātyam* in the Mahābhāṣya warrant the statement, "that this reference to bhagavān Kātyāḥ, as the author of this Kāvya, does not hold good for Vararuci as its author" WEBER, Istr. 3,227, I do not understand.—10. AUFRECHT, ZDMG. 36, 370.—11. KONOW, GGA. 1894, 474.—12. Istr. 3,378.—13. Istr. 2, 53ff ; 3,27,ff.—14. Ueber den ältesten Zeitraum der indischen Geschichte mit Rücksicht auf die Litteratur (Breslau 1862) p. 86.—15. Vr. und Hc. p. 9 ff.—16. The Prākrita-Prakāśa², p. VI.—17. HASL. p. 148. 239ff.—18.—De gr. Pr. p. 9. ff.—19. GGA. 1894, 473.—20. WEBER, Istr. 3,278—21. WESTERGAARD l. c.; JACOBI, Erzählungen p. xiv f ; BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p. 12f.—22. PISCHEL, Hofdichter p. 30.—23. PISCHEL, l. c. p. 21.—24. PISCHEL, Rudrata's Śrṅgāratilaka (Kiel 1886) p. 13 note 1.

§ 33. In any case, if Vararuci is not the oldest, he is one of the oldest Prākrit grammarians. The title of his grammar is Prākṛtaprakāśa, that was edited with notes and translation by COWELL : The Prākrita Prakāśa : or The Prākrit Grammar of Vararuci, with the Commentary Manoramā) of Bhāmaha. Second Issue. London 1868 (printed for the first time, Herford, 1854). A reprint of the text has recently been brought out by RAMA SHASTRI TAILANGA (Benares 1899). Vr. treats in paricchedas 1-9, M., in 10, P., in 11, Mg., and in 12, Š. In parts, the text has come down to us in a very mutilated condition and in several places its recensions differ strongly, and this goes to prove its antiquity¹. The oldest of its commentators was Bhāmaha, an inhabitant of Kashmir, who was also a rhetorician as well as poet². His time has been determined only to this extent that he was older than Udbhaṭa, who lived under the king Jayapīda of Kashmir (779—813 A. D.) and wrote a commentary on the rhetorical work of Bhāmaha³. Bhāmaha's commentary on the Prākṛtaprakāśa bears the title Manoramā⁴ and is lacking in pariccheda 12. Undoubtedly Bhāmaha misunderstood Vr. now and then. The most striking proof of this is furnished by 4, 14.⁵ It is uncertain if he had ever understood the *gaṇas*⁶ exactly as they were conceived by Vr. A distinction, that is to be seen throughout, has to be rigorously maintained between the *sūtras* and the commentary. We can just have an idea as regards the sources of information of Bhāmaha from the significant passages quoted by him. Such passages occur under Vr. 8,9; 9,2. 4-7. 9-17 ; 10, 4, 14; 11, 6. Of them, under 9,2 is given *hūṁ sāhasu'* *sabbhāvāṁ*=H. 453 (cf. the v. l.), where none of the MSS. has *hūṁ*. According to Bhuvanapāla (IS. 16, 153) the writer of this stanza is Viṣṇunātha : under 9, 9 occurs *kīṇo dhuvasi*=H. 369, it is also cited in Hc. 2,216, and according to Bhuvanapāla (IS. 16, 120) it is of Devarāja. I cannot trace the remaining ones : the examples given under 10,4.14 have been taken from Br̥hatkathā. In 9, 4 the Gāthās are generally referred to. A later

commentary is the Prākṛtamañjari of which the unknown author (cf. § 32, note 2) wrote it in verses and who was evidently a South Indian. The manuscript containing these verses utilized by me is in the Malayālam character : it belongs to the Royal Asiatic Society, London⁸ and is incomplete and extends upto Vr. 6,18. The author evidently knew Bhāmaha. The examples given by both of them mostly tally, nevertheless the number of examples quoted by the anonymous writer is often smaller than that of those given by Bhāmaha, though sometimes a few are added by him here and there ; his text differs in many places from that of COWELL.⁹ The commentary is not of a high value.

i. COWELL p. 97 ; PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 10, 13 ; BÜHLER, Detailed Report p. 75 ; HOERNLE, Proc. ASB. 1879, 79f.—2. AUFRECHT, IS. I 6, 207f : Cat. Cat. 1.405f.; PETERSON Subhāsitāvali p. 79; PISCHEL, Rudraṭa p. 6f.—3. PISCHEL, Rudraṭa p. 13—4. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 360, wrongly calls it Prākṛtamānoramā. Wrong is also the statement that it is called Prākṛtacandrikā as well. Both the statements are based upon KIELHORN, A Catalogue of Sanskrit MSS. existing in the Central Provinces (Nagpur 1874) p. 84, No. 44. All the other sources quoted by AUFRECHT have Manoramā. The manuscript referred to by HOERNLE, Proc. ASB. 1879, 79f. ascribes it to Vararuci himself.—5. BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p. 28.—6. It is not, therefore, certain that the gaṇas did never have a fixed number of words as was supposed by BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p. 31. As in Sanskrit, so in Prākṛit as well, the schools were differing from one another.—7. We must read as such in lieu *sāhusu* in COWELL and *kadhehi sāhusu* in TAILANGA, and in the translation it is to be changed into *sādhusu*.—8. Noted by AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 360.—The more important ones in PISCHEL, De. Gr. Pr. p. 10-16.

§ 34. The opinions differ very widely with regard to Caṇḍa, whose Prākṛtalakṣaṇam has been published by HOERNLE : The Prākṛita-Lakṣaṇam or Caṇḍa's Grammar of the Ancient (Arsha) Prākṛit. Part I. Text with a critical introduction and indexes. Calcutta, 1880. HOERNLE is of the view that Caṇḍa had treated Ārṣa (§ 16, 17). He bases his edition on the manuscripts AB, which give the least of the texts : he is of the opinion that MSS. CD contain later interpolations. He considers C. to be older than Vr. and Hc., and as such, generally speaking, he considers him to be the oldest of the known Prākṛit grammarians. Against this BLOCH¹ thinks "the grammar of Caṇḍa is an inaccurate and superficial abridgement of another work, may be perhaps even of Hemacandra." Both the views are incorrect. That Caṇḍa is not so old as he is considered to be by HOERNLE is proved by the introductory stanza 1, in which the author states that he will fashion his work on the basis of the views of the earlier writers (*vṛddhimatāt*). This stanza is found in all the manuscripts of HOERNLE as well as in PETERSON, Third Report (Bombay 1887) p. 265, and in BHANDARKAR, Lists of Sanskrit Manuscripts in Private Libraries in the Bombay Presidency. Part I (Bombay 1893) p. 58, and, therefore, cannot presumably be considered, in agreement with HOERNLE, p. 1, note to be a later adaptation. It is doubtful as to how far the work can generally be considered to be an adaptation. It is true that the manuscript C, in the commentary, appears to have received many additions. But all the rules that C and D, rather B, C. and D, have in common, cannot be denied to be the original work. C evidently deals with M., JM., AMg. and JS. side by side. This is demonstrated by the rules; thus in 1, 5, the genitive *in-āṇam* is mentioned by the side of that *in-āham*; in 2, 10, the nominative *in-e* is taught close to that *in-o*; in 2, 19, the absolute in M., JM., AMg., JM. and JS' as well as in A. is treated; in 3, 11, 12 the rules relating to M. AMG., and JS' are combined into one². This peculiarity is noticeable to a far greater extent in C and D. Thus in 1, 26³ (p. 42) occurs A. *haū* by the side of *ham* and *aham*; in 2, 19, C and D add forms of M. and A. to those of the absolute of M. AMG., JM., JS' and

A; likewise in 2, 27^{a-l}. the forms of A. are preponderant and in 27^{i-k}, also of JS'; in 3, 6 (p. 48) the forms from JS', AMG., and JM. are mixed up together; 3, 11^a furnishes supplementary forms from CP. in addition to those given in 3, 11, 12. Hence the assertion that 3, 6 (p. 48) is fully in keeping with the characteristics of the work and that 3, 39^a is wrong may be an impossibility. Sometimes the additions appear be going back to Hc. as the source: Thus the definition of Prākṛta in C. on 1, 1 is verbally Hc. 1, 1, but the former gives just the beginning; 1, 11^a (p. 36) is=Hc. 4. 353; 2, 1^c (p. 37) is=Hc. 1, 6, but the former is shorter; 3, 11^a (p. 48) corresponds to Hc. 4, 325, but here also the former is shorter. Thus everywhere C. is shorter, and in certain cases, such as in 3, 34 (p. 51)=Hc. 1, 177, in spite of so much of correspondences the distinction is so great that C. cannot be considered to be the borrower. As against what has been said above, it is noteworthy that Hc. 3, 81 seems to have referred to C. 1, 17 as noted by HOERNLE, p. XXII. There C. teaches that *se* is used also in the genitive plural, and Hc., 3, 81 remarks that some author desires the use of *se* in the genitive plural as well : *idantador āmāpi se ādeśam kaścid icchatī*. BLOCH³, in fact, is of the opinion that reference to C. by the singular form *kaścit* in Hc. is indicative of the fact that no great importance is to be attached to him. But the entire thesis of BLOCH with regard to the manner of giving citations in Hc. and in other Indian grammarians is essentially wrong. When Hc. says *kaścit* thereby he means to refer to one more authority. Besides, there is no grammarian other than C. who is known to have framed an identical rule; thus the greatest possibility is that in places where C. and Hc. have an identical rule the borrower is not C. but Hc. All other such cases have been correctly put together by HOERNLE p. XXIIff.⁴ I shall, however, just add the example *cauvīśam . . . pi . . .* given under C. 2, 12^a (p. 44) which is much more detailed in C. than in Hc. 3, 137. Further, the terminology employed by both of them is not identical throughout. Thus in C. 2, 1^b (p. 37) the vowel that remains after elision of a consonant is called *uddhrīta*, but in Hc. 1, 8 it is designated as *udvṛtta*; C. 2, 10 has Visarjaniya, but Hc. 1, 37 has Visarga; C. on 2, 15 (p. 45) uses Ardhānusvāra, but Hc. in 3, 7, Anunāsi-ka; etc. Moreover, sometimes C. gives us quotations that Hc. does not have. Thus 2, 21, 22, 24; 3, 38, 39 where the second example given under 1, 1 (p. 39) is quoted also by Śimhadevaganin on Vāgbhāṭālāṅkāra, 2, 2 (§9); further those given under 2, 24 (p. 46), under, 2 27^b and 2, 27ⁱ (p. 47). In no way C. had desired to treat the Ārṣa dialect all alone. The so called archaisms, such as retention of *t*, *th*, the lengthening of the vowels in the endings-*āṁ*,-*īṁ*,-*ūṁ*, etc. are errors committed by the scribe like the so many errors that are quite common in Jaina MSS.⁵ Even in the case of the manuscripts of C. it is note-worthy that all of them do not mostly have such errors in them and seldom do they have these all in one and the same places. The dialect mainly taught by C. is M., and along with it he himself mentions expressly Apabhramśa in 3, 37, Paiśāciki in 3, 38, Māgadhikā in 3, 39, Ārṣa in 2, 13^{a-b} (p. 44), which as already noted is referred to several times also in the A and B recensions; Śauraseni is mentioned 3, 39^a (p. 52). The example quoted in D 2, 1^c (p. 37) is= Gaūḍavaho (p. 220) and is found also in Hc. 1, 6; the second example given in C and D under 1, 26^a (p. 42) *ten*ahām viddho* is clearly Hāla 441^b. Because these examples are not found in all the manuscripts, it is risky to depend on them for determination of the age of C. The text has been handed down to us in such a mutilated condition that it can be used only with great caution and that also after a careful examination of the variants. In any case this much seems to be certain that C. was older than Hc. and

was one of his authorities. The very fact that C. is preserved in several recensions goes to suggest his comparatively earlier antiquity. Differing from all other grammarians, C. begins with the nominal and pronominal flexion (*vithaktividhāna*): in the second section he treats the vowels (*svaravidhāna*) and in the third, the consonants (*rvañjanavidhāna*). The C and D recensions bifurcate the third section after 3, 36, and designate the remaining portion beginning from 3, 37 up to 3, 39^a the fourth section and give to it the heading *bhāṣāntaravīdhāna* "Rules on the other languages"; corresponding to this name this section deals with the other Prākrit dialects like M., JM., AMg, and JS., and therefore, it was wrong to consider this alone to be an entire work *Prākṛtabhāṣāntaravīdhānā* as in BÜHLER (ZDMG. 42, 556) and BHĀNDĀRKAR (Lists p. 58); BÜHLER and BHĀNDĀRKAR call the author of this to be Candra.⁷ The extract quoted in BHĀNDĀRKAR shows that they meant by it the same work. The introduction to C and D is certainly correct. Now there remains little scope for the doubt about this as the manuscript of BHĀNDĀRKAR has the same colophon as in A and B. C. does not at all treat the verb; perhaps this is just the legacy of transmission of the text. That the grammar was really very short is suggested by one of its name, *Prākṛtasāroddhārvṛttih*, which is given in the MS. in PETERSON, Third Report, p. 265.

1. Vr. und Hc. p. 8.—2. This could be applied to S. also in lieu of JS. But the very nature of the grammar, particularly 3, 6 in C and D (p. 48) refers to JS.—3. Vr. und Hc. p. 8.—4. That the forms were comprehended under 2, 27¹ (p. 47) by C. as those of common Prākrit, as was considered by HOERNLE p. XXIII, is wrong. The stanza is composed in pure Apabhraṁśā. It has to be read as:—*kālu, lahevinu joiā jīvā jīvā mohu galei tīvā tīvā dāpānu lahaī jo niāmē appu muni*, "the measure in which, with the passage of time he dissolves his delusion, the yogin, who comes to know the self by penance, attains enlightenment". *joīā* is not = *jāyāyah*, but = *yogikah* = *yogi* from *yogin*.—5. On *t* cf. § 203.—6. cf. § 417, note 2.—7. Candra is the most authentic form of the name: by it we must never understand that it refers to Candra, i.e. Candragomin, (LIEBICH, Panini p. 11), since it has been proved that according to KIELHORN, IA. 15, 184 the terminology used by Candragomin is quite different from the one used by Canda.

§ 35. As a lexicographer of Pkt. there was the energetic Dhanapālā, whose dictionary of synonyms, the Pāiyalacchī, that is Prākṛtalakṣmī, has been published by BÜHLER: The Pāiyalacchi Nāmamālā, a Prakrit Kosha, by Dhanapāla, Edited with critical notes, an introduction and a glossary by GEORG BÜHLER, Göttingen, 1878 (=BB. 4, 70-166^a). According to his own statement (stanzas 276-278) Dhanapāla composed his work at Dhārā in the year 1029 of the Vikrama era = 972 AD., that is during the period when Mānyakheṭa was sacked in a series of attacks by a Mālava prince, for his younger sister Sundari.¹ In stanza 1, he calls his work a Nāmamālā, and in 278 he designates it as Desi = Deśī. As already pointed out by BÜHLER (p. 11), the Desis constitute only a quarter of the words of the lexicon, all others are either tatsamas or tadbhavas (§ 8), and, therefore, this work is not of any great value.² It contains 279 stanzas in the Āryā metre, and the first one is the maṅgalācaraṇa, and the last four constitute a statement of the event referred to above. Each object takes one complete strophe in stanzas 1-19, a verse in 20-94, a half verse in 95-202; the stanzas 203-275 contain single words that are explained sometimes by means of synonyms and sometimes by half of a verse. Dhanapāla is acknowledged by Hc., Deśin. 1, 141; 3, 22; 4, 30; 6, 101; 8, 17 as one of the sources of his information. But sometimes the citations are not traceable at all in Pāiyalacchi (3, 22; 4, 30; 8, 17), or partly they do not accord with the statement of Hc. (1, 141; 6, 101). Therefore, rightly conjectures BÜHLER (p. 15) that Dhanapāla had written another work of the same³ type as well. After his conversion to Jainism Dh. wrote R̥ṣabhapāñcāśikā.

1. Details in BÜHLER p. 5 ff.—2. BÜHLER p. 13ff.—3. Vide §20; BÜHLER p. 9; KLATT, ZDMG. 33, 445. On Dh.'s other literary activities see BÜHLER p 10; AUFRECHT, ZDMG. 27, 41; Cat. Cat. I, 267.

§ 36 Of the Prākrit grammars that have as yet come to light by far the most important is that of Hemacandra (1088-1172 A. D.). His Prākrit grammar constitutes the eighth section of the Siddhahemacandra, i. e. grammar dedicated to Siddharāja and written by Hemacandra¹, whereof the first seven sections are devoted to the Sanskrit language. Hc. himself wrote thereupon a commentary in two recensions, one, the Br̥hatī—and the other, the Laghu-vṛtti²; of them the Laghuvṛtti bears the name Prakāśikā and has been printed in the editions of Mahābala Kṛṣṇa, Bombay, Samvat 1929, and PISCHEL : Hemacandra's Grammatik der Prākritsprachen (Siddhahemacandram Adhyāya VIII), herausgegeben, übersetzt und erläutert, Teil I. II. Halle a. S. 1877. 1880. On the Vṛtti, Udayasaubhāgyaganin wrote an etymological commentary, Haimaprākrtavṛttidhūndhikā, under the title Vyutpattidipikā, (§29), and on the eighth chapter, Narendracandasūri wrote a running commentary named Prākṛtaprabodha³. Hc. has divided his grammar into four sections : of them the first two are devoted to Phonetics, the third one contains rules on Morphology, in the fourth, under 1-258, he gives root-substitutes, the classification of roots that differ in conjugation from those of Sanskrit and detached rules for forming the passive, in rule No. 259 he makes certain observation on the meaning of roots: he deals with Śauraseni in 260-286, Māgadhi in 287-302, Paiśāci in 303-324, Cūlikāpaiśācikā in 325-328, Apabhraṃśa in 329-446, and finally in 447-448 he passes some general remarks. The language taught by Hc. in 1,1-4,259 is preponderantly M. But by the side of it he carries by force also JM. and occasionally even JS., and that without differentiating strictly between these dialects everywhere. In 260-286, he has in view also JS. (§21). Nothing can as yet be said about his predecessors whose works were utilized by Hc. in his grammar. He refers by name only to Hugga 1,186, not as a grammarian, but as a lexicographer⁴, and that with reference to Skt. only; otherwise he refers to his sources simply in general terms such as *kaścit* (2,80 : 3,81); *kecit* (1, 67. 209; 2, 80. 128. 138. 145. 188; 3, 19. 25. 103. 153); *anyāḥ* (3, 103. 117); *anye* (1, 35. 88; 2, 163. 174. 207; 3, 177); *anyaiḥ* (4, 2); *anyesām* (4, 327) *eke* (1, 35). JACOBI⁵ is of the opinion that Hc. used the sūtras of Vr. as the basis of his grammar in the same manner as Bhatṭojidikṣita had the sūtras of Pāṇini the basis of his Siddhāntakaumudi. The sūtras of Vr. were irrefutably held in high esteem, and their exposition, relatively restricted, had become the main problem for Prākrit grammarians. That Hc. stands to Vr. in a relation similar to that of Kātyāyana to Pāṇini is all wrong⁶, as has been shown in detail by BLOCH⁷. We cannot determine with certainty if Hc. has even utilized the work Vr. at all. It is just probable that he may have done so, but it cannot be proved. It has already been noted above (§34) that presumably he knew Caṇḍa. Besides his grammar, Hc. has written also a dictionary of Deśī, the Deśināmamālā or the Deśīabdasaṅgraha, that was designated as Rayanāvali = Skt. Ratnāvali, by the author himself (8,77). According to the evidence available at pp. 1, 4ff. he wrote it after his grammar, and according to 1, 3 he wrote a supplement also to it. The text has been published by PISCHEL : The Deśināmamālā of Hemchandra, Part I. Text and critical notes. Bombay, 1880⁸. Like Dhanapāla (§35) Hc. also included tatsamas and tadbhavas under Deśī, but in proportion to the volume of the work their number is very small, and for a knowledge of Prākrit it is extraordinarily essential. The Deśināmamālā is divided into alphabetically

arranged eight vargas. The words are divided into two classes. In the beginning occur the words arranged according to the number of syllables that have one meaning (*ekārthāh*), then follow the similarly arranged ones having more than one meaning (*anekārthāh*). To the first class of words are added illustrative examples in verses which were composed by Hc. himself; most of them are silly : it was so because Hc. was obliged to collect together in single verses words of different meanings. They serve as mnemotechnical auxiliaries and contain sometimes, by the side of Deśis, furthermore, the words and forms that are not usually included in Pkt. Besides, it is not rarely that this book helps us in ascertaining the exact meaning of several Deśi words⁹. Hc. has written a commentary to his Deśin. as well. He makes no claim that his work is not based on other sources, on the other hand, he admits that his work is nothing but a compilation from earlier works of similar pattern. In 1, 37 he leaves the problem of deciding whether *ambasāmi* or *ambamāsi* is the correct form to the lea ned, (*ambamasiti kecit paṭhanti tatra kesām cid bhramobhrahmo veti bahudṛśvāna eva pramāṇam*) ; in 1, 41 he is not clear either with regard to the form or the meaning of *acchiharullo* and that because even authors differ from one another he leaves it to the well informed ones to decide (*tad evam granihakṛdipratipattau bahuñāḥ pramāṇam*) ; in 1, 47 he distinguishes between the words *avadāhia* and *avadakkia*, which others have explained as synonyms on the basis of observation of the materials found in the best writings on Deśis (*asmābhīs tu sāraḍeśinirikṣanena vivekah kṛtāḥ*) ; in 1, 105 he firmly holds that *uddūhia* in lieu of *uttuhia* remains due to scribe's error; likewise in 6, 8 *bora* occurs in lieu of *cora*. In support of his own decision he refers to many works in Des'i in 2, 28; to the best writings in it in 3, 12. 33; in 8, 12 he scolds modern writers on Des'i and their commentators; in 8, 13 he leaves again the decision to judicious people (*kevalam sahṛdayāḥ pramāṇam*). After 1, 2 the merit of the work lies in its alphabetical arrangement. According to 1, 49 he does it for students. He refers by name to A b h i m ā n a - c i h n a (1, 144; 6, 93; 7, 1; 8, 12. 17); A v a n t i s u n d a r i (1, 81. 157); D e v a r ā j a (6, 58. 72; 8, 17); D r o ṇ a or D r o ṇ ā c ā r y a (1, 18. 50; 6, 7; 8, 17); D h a n a p ā l a (1, 141; 3, 22; 4, 30; 6, 101; 8, 17); G o p ā l a (1, 25. 31. 45; 2, 82; 3, 47; 6, 26. 58. 72; 7, 2. 76; 8, 1. 17. 67) P ā d a l i p t a (1, 2); R ā h u l a k a (4, 4); S ī l ā n k a (2, 20; 6, 96; 8, 40); S ā t a v ā h a n a (3, 41; 5, 11; 6, 15. 18. 19. 112. 125). Of them, Abhimānacihna, Devarāja, Pādalipta and Sātavāhana appear also as Prākrit poets in the Sattasai (§13) as well; about Avantisundari BÜHLER¹⁰ conjectures that she might have been identical with Sundari, the younger sister of Dhanapāla, for whom he wrote Pāiyalacchī. When she herself could write and actually wrote on Deśi it appears improbable. Rather she might have been identical with A v a n t i s u n d a r i , the wife of Rājaśekhara, on whose inducement, according to Karp. 7, 1, the Karpūramāñjari, a drama wholly written in Prākrit, was presented on the stage and in fact it is she who is cited by Hc. in his grammar. Rāhulaka is referred to as a Sanskrit poet in Śāraṅgadharapaddhati and Subhāsitāvali. From among the writers in Sanskrit Hc. refers by name to K ā l ā p ā h (1, 6), B h a r a t a (8, 72), B h ā m a h a (8, 39), and without naming him he cites H a l ā y u d h a (1, 5; 2, 98). Mostly he refers to his sources in some general terms: thus *anye* (1, 3. 20. 22. 35. 47. 52. 62. 63. 65. 66. 70. 72. 75. 78. 87. 89. 99. 100. 102. 107. 112. 151. 160. 163; 2, 11. 12. 18. 24. 26. 29. 36. 45. 47. 50. 51. 66. 67. 69. 77. 79. 89. 98; 3, 3. 6. 8. 28. 40. 41. 58. 59.; 4, 3. 4. 6. 7. 18. 22. 23. 26. 33. 44. 47; 5, 9. 30. 3. 36. 40. 45. 50. 61;

6, 14. 15. 16. 21. 24. 25. 26. 28. 42. 48. 53. 54. 61. 63. 75. 81. 86. 88. 91. 93. 94. 97. 99. 105. 106. 116. 121. 132. 134. 140. 145; 7, 2. 16. 17. 18. 21. 31. 33. 37. 44. 45. 48. 62. 68. 69. 74. 75. 76. 88. 91; 8, 10. 15. 18. 22. 27. 35. 36. 38. 44. 45. 59. 67); *eke* (2, 89; 4, 5. 12; 6, 11; 7, 35; 8, 7); *kaścit* (1, 43; 2, 18; 3, 51; 5, 13; 8, 75); *kecit* (1, 5. 26. 34. 37. 41. 46. 47. 67. 79. 103. 105. 117. 120. 129. 131. 153; 2, 13. 15. 16. 17. 20. 29. 33. 38. 58. 87. 89; 3, 10. 12. 22. 23. 33. 34. 35. 36. 44. 55; 4, 4. 10. 15. 45; 5, 12. 21. 44. 58; 6, 4. 55. 80. 90. 91. 92. 93. 95. 96. 110. 111; 7, 2. 3. 6. 47. 58. 65. 75. 81. 93; 8, 4. 51. 69. 70); *pūrvācāryāḥ* (1, 11. 13); *yad āha* (1, 4. 5 [Halāyudha]. 37. 75. 121. 171; 2, 33. 48. 98 [Halāyudha]; 3, 23. 54 [Skt.]; 4, 4. 10. 21. 24. 45; 5, 1. 63; 6, 15. 42. 78. 81. 93. 140. 142; 7, 46. 58. 84; 8, 1. 13. 43. 68); *yad āhuḥ* (1, 5; 3, 6; 4, 15); by some other expressions (1, 18. 94. 144. 174; 3, 33; 4, 37; 6, 8. 58. 93; 8, 12. 17. 28). In spite of so much of care taken by him in utilization of the writings of his so many predecessors Hc. could not always avoid gross blunders, for the simple reason that he drew his materials from secondary sources: Thus in 2, 24 he says that *kanthadīnāra* means "an opening in a fence" (*vṛtvivara*), and again in 6, 67 he teaches that *paero* also means "an opening in a fence" (*vṛtvivara*), in addition to "a particular ornament" (*kanthadīnāra*). Clearly he read somewhere in some verse referred to in 6, 67 the nom. *kanthadīnāro*, in lieu of the locative *'re*, and with the help thereof he construed 2, 24; but later he found the correct reading of 6, 67 and gave the right meaning, but he did not take notice of the error committed by him earlier. *kanthadīnāra* is certainly an ornament made from Denar that is worn about the neck. *pōalo* "steer" (6, 62) is really the same word as *vovālo* (7, 79) which as a second member of a compound needed its preceding form.¹¹ As far as I can see the Deśin. constitutes an "authority of the first rank"¹² that shows to us that there still remains much to be known about the valuable contributions made in Indian languages and that we still do not know much about the rich literature that exists in Prākrit.

i. BÜHLER, Ueber das Leben Das Jaina Mönches Hemachandra (Wien 1889) p. 15.—2. BÜHLER, l.c. p. 72, note 34.—3. In AUFRICHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 360 the author is said to be Naracandra. According to PETERSON, Det. Report (1883) p. 127. No. 300 and BHĀNDĀRKAR, A Catalogue of the Collections of MSS. deposited in the Deccan College (Bombay 1888) p. 328, No. 300 he calls himself Narendracandrasūri. The MS. was lent out when I wanted to utilize it.—4. PISCHEL on Hc. 1, 186; ZACHARIAE, GGA. 1889, 996, note 1; Die indischen Wörterbücher (*Kos'a*) (Strassburg 1897; Grundriss 1. 38) p. 7; Epilegomena zu der Ausgabe des Mañkakośa (Wien 1899) p. 17 ff. 5. Jenaer Literaturzeitung 1876, 797.—6. PISCHEL on Hc. 2, 145.—7. Vr. und Hc. p. 21 ff.—8. Found by BÜHLER, IA. 2, 17 ff. 9. BÜHLER had desired to publish the second part that would have contained the dictionary, PISCHEL Deśin. p. 8.-10. Pāiyalacchi-p. 7ff.—11. Another example in SIEGFRIED GOEDSCHEIMDT, Deutsche Litteraturzeitung 2, 1109.—12. SIEGFRIED GOEDSCHEIMDT, l.c.

§37. Undetermined remains the age of Kramadiśvara. Scholars have attempted to place him between Hc. and Bopadeva. ZACHARIAE¹ has correctly remarked that we cannot prove that Ki. lived later than Hc. and that we do not find any strong reason to support the untenable proposition that Ki. had written his grammar before Hc. Ki.'s grammar Samksiptasāra, like that of Hc., is divided into eight chapters (*pādas*), whereof the last chapter deals with the Pkt. languages. This is a thing that also is in agreement with Hc. But in other respects the two grammars have nothing in common. The arrangement and the technical terms are altogether different.² As regards the antiquity of Ki. it is noteworthy that a quotation in his Skt. grammar cannot be dated earlier than the eighth and later than the beginning of the ninth century A.D. The latest of the authors cited by him is Murāri,³ about whom we now definitely know that he was anterior to Ratnākara,⁴ the author of Haravijaya,⁴ who lived in about the middle of the ninth century A.D. With respect to finding the latest limit

to the age of Ki. it may be noted that he, like some later grammarians, gives names of more dialects than those mentioned by Hc. LASSEN, Inst. p. 15 ff. 393. 440 ff. 449 ff., Appendix 39-58, was the first scholar to give a detailed account of Ki. The chapter on the Root has been published by DELIUS: Radices Pracriticae (Bonnae ad Rhenum 1839) pp. 10-12. A complete edition of the Prākṛtapāda is said to have been published by RĀJENDRALĀLA MITRA in the Bibliotheca Indica-Series.⁵ It could not be possible for me to procure it. I have before me pp. 17-24 of the text and pp. 141-172 of the Word-Index, from *bhāduko* up to *saddāviadi*,⁶ and pp. 1-8 of the English translation. If I am allowed to draw my conclusion on the basis of the proof-sheets I shall say that the edition had been very bad. A new edition of the eighth pāda had been printed in Calcutta, 1889. I got it through the courtesy of BLOCH, and it is from this that I have given the quotations in this grammar. This too is a very bad edition, and therefore, I have constantly taken into consideration the extracts given in LASSEN. Ki. closely follows Vr., but has, as the review given in LASSEN. Inst., App. pp. 40. ff. demonstrates, certain deviations that point to some other source, thus for instance his treatment of A. is well in contrast to that of Vr. Ki. himself wrote a short commentary to his Saṃkṣiptasāra, wherof the Rasavati of Jumaranandin is a careful exposition and elucidation.⁷ The Prākṛtadipikā of Candidevaśarman⁸ is a second commentary on the Prākṛtapāda only. RĀJENDRALĀLA MITRA⁹ names a third one: The Prākṛtapaṭaṭikā of Vidyāvinoda "son of Nārāyaṇa, grand'son of Vāneśvara, and great grand'son of Jatādhara". He is followed by AUFRECHT,¹⁰ who, as already remarked elsewhere¹¹, calls the author Vidyāvinodācārya. I had utilized the Oxford MS. as the Saṃkṣiptasāra had, by then, not been printed.¹² The introduction, that RAJENDRALĀLA MITRA has published on the basis of a MS. that is better than that of AUFRECHT, seems to suggest that at the end of the different chapters and in the colophon the author calls himself Vidyāvinodācārya and admits that he has annotated upon an older writing of Nārāyaṇa, son of Bāneśvara, who was a son of Jatādhara, and perhaps whose brother's name was Sumeru. Nārāyaṇa had written a bigger work, but that was destroyed by a wicked man: the work that is before us is an abridgement of the work of Vidyāvinoda.¹³ It has nowhere been mentioned in the work itself that the Prākṛtapāda is a commentary on Ki. In the subtitles the author always calls himself Vidyāvinodācārya¹⁴ and his work Prākṛtapāda; thus it is still doubtful if the edition of RĀJENDRALAL MITRA is authentic. The author follows Vr. most closely, but his work is devoid of any of his merits.

1. BB. 5, 26.—2. ZACHARIAE, BB. 5, 26. At the end of the eighth Pāda Ki. treats briefly Metrics and Rhetorics.—3. ZACHARIAE, BB. 5, 58.—4. PETERSON, Subhāsītāvali p. 91.—5. cf. RĀJENDRALĀLA MITRA, A Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit MSS. in the Library of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Part first. Grammar. (Calcutta 1877) p. 75; BHĀNDĀRĀR, JBoAS. 16, 250.—6. This note does not belong to the book proper, rather it contains a number of Prākṛit words quoted from Vr., Mṛcch., Śāk., Vīkr., Mālav., Ratn., Venīs., Mālatīm., Uttar., Mahāv., Cait., Pingala., and Sāhityadarpana.—7. LASSEN, Inst. p. 15; ZACHARIAE, BB. 5, 22 f.; AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 684.—8. LASSEN, Inst. p. 16; AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 684.—9. Notices of Sanskrit MSS. 4, 162 ff. (Calcutta 1878).—10. Cat. Cat. 1, 684.—11. Cat. Oxon. p. 181.—12. De gr. Pr. p. 19.—13. The introduction is very much obscure, and it is doubtful if the foregoing explanation is correct. Cf. AUFRECHT, Cat. Oxon. p. 181. In the Cat. Cat. 2, 212, AUFRECHT has the explanation quoted by me, and that clearly in following PETERSON's Ulwar Catalogue that is not available. The work is there designated simply as Prākṛtavyākaraṇa.

§38. Fully depending on Hc. is Trivikramadeva in the commentary to his Prākṛtavyākaraṇa. He was a son of Mallinātha and grand'son of Ādityavarman. I have utilized two MSS. of his work, the one, a transcription of the Tanjore MS. preserved in the India Office Library, Burnell

Collection. No. 84 in Grantha, and the other, a Devanāgarī transcription of the Tanjore MS. No. 10006 collated in the Sūtra with 10004¹, that BURNELL had arranged to be prepared for me, besides the printed edition in the Granthapradarśanī Series, Nos. 1-32. It extends up to the end of the first adhyāya only. In his grammar² Triv. has employed an especial type of technical terms that he has explained in the beginning of the work.³ From 1,1,17 he, in his Vṛtti to the Sūtras, has everywhere verbally followed Hc. whom in the introduction he mentions as his authority, so much so that I have referred to him very rarely. In my edition of Hc., I have sometimes quoted the corresponding rules from his grammar in the margin. His special contributions are the topics 1, 3, 106; 1, 4, 121; 2, 1, 30; 3, 1, 132 and 3, 4, 71 in which he has collected together the words that cannot be regulated according to the rules of grammar and, in a great measure, belong to the category of Deśi; thus for instance in 3, 4, 71 they are expressly designated deśyāḥ. I have published and reviewed the first two chapters in BB. 325 ff.; 6, 84 ff.; 13, 1 ff. The age of Trivikrama has been determined to the extent that he lived after Hc. (+1172 A. D.) and before Kumārasvāmin, the son of Kolācala Mallinātha inasmuch as he in his commentary on Pratāparudriya of Vidyānātha (ed. Madras 1668) refers to him by name in 218, 21 and without naming in 62, 19 ff.; 201, 21; 214, 4⁴ Pratāparudra II, to whom Vidyānātha dedicated his work, reigned from 1295 to 1323 A.D.⁵ In 123, 1 ff. Kumārasvāmin describes the coronation of Pratāparudra as having taken place long ago (*purā kila*). His father Kolācala Mallinātha cites Bopadeva,⁶ who lived in the court of Mahādeva of Devagiri,⁷ who ruled from 1260 to 1271 A.D.⁸ Thus we see that the hypothesis of AUFRICHT that Mallinātha could not have lived before the 14th century A.D.⁹ is confirmed. Therefore, Trivikrama may well be placed in the 13th century.

1. BURNELL, Classified Index 1,43.—2. Trivikrama is the author of the Sūtras as well. In De gr. Pr. p. 29, I had wrongly interpreted *nīja* in *nījasūtramārgam* as referring to Trivikrama himself. The title of the work is Prākṛtavyākaraṇa and not ⁶Vṛtti as is shown by an inscription that occurs in the commentary itself.—3. Communicated by FISCHER, De gr. Pr. pp. 34-37.—4. FISCHER, De gr. Pr. p. 38.—5. SEWELL, A Sketch of the Dynasties of Southern India (Madras 1883), p. 33.—6. AUFRICHT, Cat. Oxon. p. 113.—7. AUFRICHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 616.—8. SEWELL, l.c. p. 114.—9. Cat. Oxon p. 113.

§39. Simharāja, the son of Samudrabandhayajvan, based his Prākṛtarūpāvatāra on the grammar of Trivikramadeva. Of this work, I have utilized two manuscripts belonging to the Royal Asiatic Society in London: one of them, i.e. No. 159, is a palm-leaf manuscript in the Mālayālam character, and the other, i.e. No. 57, is a paper manuscript in the same character: the latter is a transcription of the former. Simharāja, following the style of Kaumudi, has arranged the grammar of Trivikrama. It begins, after a brief description of the technical terms (*samjñāvibhāga*, *paribhāṣāvibhāga*) and a *samhitāvibhāga* on the *samdhī* and *lōpa*, immediately with declension (*subantavibhāga*; fol. 4-46), whereunder he treats the indeclinables as well; then follows the conjugation (*tiññatavibhāga*; fol. 46-59) and the appendix on *dhātuvādeśa*, to which are added the rules for Š., Mg., P. CP. A. (*śaurasenyādīvibhāga*; fol. 59-74). He provides with a paradigm for each class of noun: *vrksa* for the *a*-stems, *agni* for the *i*-stems, *taru* for the *u*-stems, *khalapū* for the *ü*-stems and *bhair* for the *r*-stems. He further demonstrates the method of forming Prākṛit stems from these words and teaches the endings and the process of combining them. He treats the feminine and neuter nouns ending in vowels and consonants, the pronouns *yusmad* and *asmad*, the verbs whereof he gives *has* and *sah* as paradigms in the same manner.¹ The Prākṛtarūpāvatāra is not an unimportant treatise for a knowledge of declension and conjugation, particularly because some-

times Sr. gives more forms than do Triv. and Hc., though it is true that many of such forms are possible in theory only, but in view of the fact that they are formed strictly according to the rules they are not devoid of interest. Following the method of Sr. on the sūtras of Triv., Raghunāthaśarman has rearranged the sūtras of Vr. in the Prākṛtānandī.² Similar is the treatment of Lakṣmidhara in Śadbhīśācandrikā³ and of the wholly recent writer Nāgobā in Śadbhāśā-subantarūpādarśa, a “superficial tract”⁴ on declension.

1. Details in PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 39-43.—2. HOERNLE, Proc. ASB. 18880, 100 ff.—3. BURNELL, Classified Index p. 43. Cf. LASSEN, Inst. p. 11-15.—4. BURNELL l.c. p. 44.

§40. For a knowledge of the dialects other than M. JM. AMg. JS'. important is the Prākṛtasarvasva of Mārkaṇḍeya Kavindra. I have utilized two of its manuscripts. One of them belongs to the India office and is written on palm-leaves in the Nāgarī character. It is Mackenzie MS. No. 70, foll 98. It has wooden binding whereupon was formerly inscribed Piṅgalavyākaraṇa (Nāgarī script) and Pengale Prakrota Surva Bhala Vakaranaḥ (Roman script). There was also an endorsement that has by now disappeared: it read as Pengala praukrota Surva Bhausha Vyacaranum. The following line occurs on the first leaf in the Nāgarī script: śīrāmāḥ¹ piṅgalaprākṛtasarvasvabhbāśāyākaraṇam. The second is the Oxford MS. mentioned in AUFRECHT, Cat. Oxon. p. 181 No. 412. Both the manuscripts are of the same source and are hopelessly so much corrupt that they can be utilized only in parts. Particularly for S. it is deplorable that it is so in view of the fact that Mk. has given more details about this dialect than they are to be found in the grammar of any other writer and that Mk. has drawn a line of sharp distinction between S. and M. MK. has been referred to according to the London MS. that I could use once again at Halle through the courtesy of TAWNEY. From the colophon of the MS. that has been published by AUFRECHT, l.c. it is proved that MK. wrote this work in Orissa during the period of reign of one Mukundadeva. AUFRECHT conjectures that this refers to Mukundadeva, who, according to STERLING, ruled in 1664 A.D. But we can say nothing about his age with certainty. MK. in the introduction mentions as an authority one Vasantarāja in addition to Śākalya, Bharata, Kohala, Vararuci and Bhāmaha (§§31-33). This refers to Vasantarāja, the author of Prākṛtamāñjari, which, as assumed by COWELL¹ and AUFRECHT,² is not a commentary on Vr., but is an independent work that follows Vr. It has been cited by Vāsudeva on Karp. 9, 11, ed. Bombay: *taduktam prākṛtasamīvinyām prākṛtasya tu sarvameva saṃskṛtam yoniḥ* (§1). That this Vasantarāja is identical with the king Kumāragiri Vasantarāja,³ the brother-in-law of Kātyayavema⁴, seems to me⁵ to be very much possible. This Kātyayavema is mentioned as the author of a Nātyāśāstra, Vasantarājīyam,⁶ hence his occupation with Pkt. seems to be very much probable. We have the inscriptions of Kātyayavema dated 1391, 1414 and 1416 A.D.⁷ If the two Vasantarājas were identical in all events the age of MK. must have been later than the first quarter of the 15th century. Vasantarāja, who wrote Śākuna, is, with HULTZSCH,⁸ to be differentiated from the Prākrit grammarian. MK. mentions in his work one Aniruddhabhaṭṭa, the Bhāttikāvya, Bhojadeva, Dāṇḍin, Harīścandra, Kapila, Piṅgala, Rājaśekhara, Vākpatirāja, the Saptasati and the Setubandha⁹ as well. Chronologically the youngest of the above-mentioned authors is Bhojadeva, the writer of Rājamrgānika, the famous treatise on Karāṇa. He lived in about Saka-Samvat 964=1042-3 A.D.¹⁰ MK. begins with a preamble containing an introduction on the Prākrit dialects from which all that is essential has been detailed in the foregoing paragraphs; in the beginning

he describes M. in 8 pādas (fol. 4^b—65^b). This section, that is the largest one, is divided on the model of Vr., is less exhaustive than Hc. and is in many respects independent. Then follows Ś. in the 9th pāda (fol. 65^b—72^b) that is divided into 9 prakaraṇas. Prācyā is described in the 10th pāda, Āvanti and Bāhliki in the 11th, and Mg. with a reference to AMg. in the 12th (§3). The entire section, pādas 9-12, bears the title Bhāśāvi-vecanam. It is followed in pādas 13-16 by vibhāsāh (§3¹, in 17.18 by A, and in 19. 20 by P. A portion of the 17th pāda has been anticipated in the 13th pāda, and this has not altered the numbering of the folios. Next to Śauraseni the language described most accurately is Apabhraṃśa. Unfortunately the condition of the MS. is so deplorable that it is not possible to utilize fully the valuable materials contained in it.

1. Vr. p. x f.—2. Cat. Cat. 1, 360.—3. That Kumāragiri was the name of the king and his surname was Vasantarāja shows EI. 4, 318 ff. Cf. particularly HULTRZSCH, p. 327.—4. The name Kātyayavema was established by me first of all, GN. 18/3, 201 f. AUFRICHT, Cat. Cat. 2, 237 changes the correct name into a wrong one, i.e. to Kātavema. The inscriptions, EI. 4, 318 ff., do not leave any doubt about the name.—5. De gr. Pr. p. 18. From the fact that Kātyayavema, in his commentary on the drama Piākrtamāñjari, does not mention this it can not be concluded that in case both the persons are identical Vasantarāja wrote his commentary after his treatise on Rhetorics and later than K.—6. De gr. Pr. p. 18; EI. 4, 327, strope 17.—7. HULTRZSCH, EI. 4, 328.—8. Prolegomena zu des Vasantarāja Śākuna nebst Textproben (Leipzig 1879) p. 29.—9. FISCHEL. De gr. Pr. p. 17.—10. THIBAUT, Astronomie, Astrologie und Mathematik (Strassburg 1899; Grundriss III. 9) § 37.

§41. Very much similar to the grammar of Mārkandeya, particularly in the section on the dialects other than M., is the Prākṛtakalpataru of Rāmatarkavāgiśa. The only known MS. of this work, the Bengali manuscript No. 1106 of the India Office, is so much mutilated that it can scarcely be utilized. LASSEN has dealt with it in Inst. p. 19-23. From p. 20 it is proved that Rv worked on the model of an earlier treatise of Laṅkeśvara, whereby is meant the Piākṛtakāmadhenu of Rāvaṇa, that is known also as Prākṛtalaṅkeśvararāvana, or shortly also as Laṅkeśvara.¹ Of the Prākṛtakāmadhenu we have as yet found only fragments². If this Laṅkeśvara be identical with the author of Śivastuti which has been published in the Kāvyamālā 1, 6-8, he is older than Appayadikṣita, who in the Kuvalayānanda, 73, 1 (ed. Benares saṃvat 1928), cites³ its fifth stanza; therefore, his age must have been earlier than the close of the 16th century A.D.⁴ and later than that of Rāmatarkavāgiśa. A worthless selection from Trivikrama is Prākṛtaśabdapradipikā of Narasiṁha, whereof the beginning⁵ has been published as Nos. 3.4 in the Grantha-pradarśani Series. Besides the famous treatises there exist a large number of manuscripts. We know nothing about many of them except their titles and the names of the authors, or only one of the two. Subhacandra wrote one Śabdacintāmaṇi, which, according to HOERNLE⁶ contains two adhyāyas, each of which has four pādas, and essentially follows Hc. Like the South Indian Trivikramadeva and Siṁharāja (§38. 39) he begins his grammar with a number of Saṁjñāsūtras. Perhaps it is this very work that is referred to by RĀJENDRALĀLA MITRA⁷ as Audāryacintāmaṇi and is ascribed to one Śubhasāgara.⁸ An incomplete work in verses with a prose commentary is the Prākṛtacandrikā of Kṛṣṇapāṇḍita alias Śeṣakṛṣṇa, from which PETERSON, Third Report p. 342-348, has given extracts. According to 343, 5 his teacher was Nṛsiṁha, or according to 348, 21 Narasiṁha, whereby perhaps the author of Prākṛtaśabdapradipikā is to be understood. According to 343, 6 it was written for children (*śiśuhitān kurve prākṛtacandrikām*), according to 343, 19 he seems to hold Ārṣam to be identical with M., because he does not mention them there notwithstanding the fact that

he treats them all separately. The illustrative examples quoted by him show that he had utilized Hc. thoroughly well. His quotations from different dialects and their assignment to individual characters, pp. 346-348, are in the greatest measure taken word for word from earlier authors, like Bharata and Bhojadeva. Recent is Bhāradvāja p. 348. A Prākṛtacandrikā was written by Vāmanācārya, who calls himself Karañjakavisārvabhauma and is the author of a commentary on the Prākṛtapiṅgala as well.⁹ A compendium for beginners is Prākṛtamāṇidipa of Appayadikṣita,¹⁰ the polyhistor, who lived in the second half of the 16th century. He mentions Trivikrama, Hemacandra, Lakṣmidhara, Bhoja, Puspanātha, Vararuci, a Vārtikārnavabhāṣya and also Appayajvan (§32) as his authorities. In fact, however, his work is nothing better than an all incomplete extract from Trivikrama devoid of all of its merits; a small portion of it, i.e. up to 1, 4, 99 has been published as Nos. 3. 5. 6 8-10. 13 in the Granthapradarśani Series. Elsewhere are referred to Prākṛtakāmudi,¹¹ a Prākṛtavyākaraṇa of Samantabhadra¹², etc. A Bhāṣārṇava was written by Candraśekhara, the father of Viśvanātha, according to Sāhityadarpaṇa 174, 2: Candraśekhara refers to one Prākṛtasāhityaratnākara in his commentary on Śakuntalā 175, 24, ed. PISCHEL, where under 180, 5 he quotes one Bhāṣabheda, which perhaps was a work on Prākrit. From Deśiprakāśa, quotes Pṛthividhara on Mṛcch. 14, 5 p. 244, ed. STENZLER=40, 5 p. 503, ed. GODABOLE: *kānelī kanyakāmātā*. In the works of the scholiasts are found here and there Prākrit Sūtras whereof it is not possible to trace the source.

1. To me this seems to be a reasonable statement. In RĀJENDRALĀLA MITRA, Notices 9, 239, No. 3157 the author is explicitly mentioned in the introduction as Rāvana, and the colophon reads as *iti rāvanakṛtā piḍkyakāmadhenuḥ samāptā*. In No. 3158 in the colophon the author calls it Prākṛtalāṅkeśvarārāvāna. LASSSEN, Inst. p. 9, considers according to COLEBROOKE, Prākṛtalāṅkeśvara to be the name of the work, which according to him is different from Prākṛtakāmdhenu, whose authorship, he, in agreement with LEYDEN, ascribes to Vidyāvinoda. In Rāmatarkavāgiśa (1 ASSEN. Inst. p. 20) the author is said to be Lahkesvara. The author of Sīvastuti and Kālagnitropaniṣad (AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 542) is also so named. It is clearly a synonym of Rāvana. That this Rāvana "is obviously distinct from the ten-headed monster" as he is usually believed to be can with RĀJENDRALĀLA MITRA be easily accepted. 2. The Nos. 3157 and 3158 of the Notices 9, 238 f. clearly contain different parts of the same work, which, according to 239, 5. 6, seems to be a selection from a larger work. The first section seems to be treating the Apabhraṃśa of Piṅgala — 3. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB, Kāvyamālā 1, 7, note 1.—4. Kāvyamālā 1, 91 note 1; EI. 4, 271.—5. According to AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 2, 81 it could be considered that the work existed in a complete form. Up till now only 8 pages have been printed.—6. I A. 2, 29.—7. Proc. ASB. 1875, 77.—8. Cf. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 952.—9. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 337. 360. 564. From RĀJENDRALĀLA MITRA, Notices 4, 172, No. 1608 it is proved that Prākṛtacandrikā is an earlier and more voluminous work.—10. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 22; 2, 5, who has erred in respect of time. HUTZSCH, Reports on Sanskrit Manuscripts in Southern India 1, 67, No. 265 assigns the work to Cinabhoṁmabhbūpāla, as is proved also by the Colophon. Cf. also the printed edition pp. 21. 27.—11. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 360.—12. AUFRECHT, Cat. Cat. 1, 361.

§42. With regard to the merit of the native grammarians of Prākrit BLOCH has arrived at a quite wrong decision that he has summarized in four sentences: "1) Since we do not possess any manuscript of so earlier a time and presumably because we shall never come by any of them we alone can utilize the grammarians of Pkt. for our purpose 2) The only means to check the correctness of their statements are our MSS. 3) In places where our MSS. differ from their statement it is the latter that is to be considered wrong till a verification is made with the help of some good MSS. 4) We should not admit that such forms of our MSS. as they pass over in silence did not exist in their time. The argumentum ex

silentio is not valid for any of the Pkt. grammarians.¹ It is only the fourth hypothesis that is partly correct, the other three are basically wrong. The grammarians are not to be corrected with the help of the MSS.; but the MSS. are to be improved upon with the help of the grammarians. In this connection I may refer to the remarks made above (§§ 22-25) with regard to Ś. Mg. Śākāri and Dhakki. Only with the help of the statements of the grammarians we shall be able to draw an outline sketch of these dialects: the manuscripts are mostly disappointing. According to BLOCH², for example, the circumstance that Rohasena, the son of Cārudatta, speaks Mg. in Mṛcch., according to Pṛthividhara, but Ś. in STENZLER, should prove how little the scholiasts are to be relied upon. But it has already been shown above (§ 23, note 2) that such vestiges in the MSS. are not contributions of the scholiasts. Up to the time of publication of my edition of the Śakuntalā it was accepted that Sarvadamana, pp. 155-162 spoke Ś. if no notice was taken of 155, 15; 158, 15 ed. CHE'ZY. The critical notes in my edition show the vagueness of these vestiges of Mg., and notwithstanding this, nobody doubts to-day that against the majority of the MSS. Mg. has to be reconstructed here. CAPPELLER³, therefore, had quite correctly drawn a parallel between Sarvadamana and Rohasena. Surely it is true that the teachings of the grammarians require a detailed examination and further elaboration⁴. Besides, I do not find any reason to revise my opinion on Hc.⁵ Moreover, we should never forget that up to the present time only a small portion of the literature that the grammarians had before them has come to be known and that works like those of Hc. are based upon several earlier works. The few specimens of JŚ. (§21) make forthwith so much of disclosure for us regarding the sources from which Hc. came by the forms, in the section on Ś., that were not known to the older grammarians and were not found in the dramas. LASSEN, in 1837, could cover an endless number of forms from the grammarians alone, the forms that, to-day in a great measure, are abundantly found in literature, and I think that in course of time we, in our turn, shall have the same experience about ourselves. It is true that through carelessness the grammarians had committed monstrous blunders that have been corrected in the exegesis of the Veda with the help of tradition and in the case of Sanskrit-lexicography with the assistance of the native lexicographers. It is necessary that, instead of undervaluing them, efforts should be made to bring out critically edited texts as much as possible.

1. Vr. und Hc. p. 48.—2. Vr. und Hc. p. 4.—3. Jenaer Literaturzeitung 1877, 124.—4. JACOBI, GGA. 1888, 71—5. Hc. 2, p. vi.

§ 43. HOEFER was the first scholar to handle the grammar of Pkt. in *De Prakrita dialecto libri duo*, Berolini 1836¹. Almost about the same time appeared LASSEN's *Institutiones linguae Pracriticæ*, Bonnae ad Rhenum 1837, based on richly more abundant materials. A supplement thereto, die *Radices Pracriticæ* was published by DELIUS, Bonnae ad Rhenum 1839. Up to that time none of the native grammars had been published and of the entire Pkt. literature there had appeared only a small number of dramas, the Mṛcch., Śak., Vikr., Ratn., Prab., Mālatim., Uttarar., Mudrār., besides Kāvyaprakāśa and Sāhityadarpana, all in very bad uncritical editions. Therefore, LASSEN was able to describe in detail Ś. only, M. in accordance with the statements of the grammarians and Mg. on the basis of Mṛcch., Śak., and Prab. Under the conditions, LASSEN's work is an admirable performance. He effected improvements in a very large number of places

in the corrupt texts, correctly utilized them and planned the ground work on which the edifice of later research could be raised up. These he did with great acumen and in an excellent manner. In spite of all such qualities up till now he has found no follower. The works of WEBER on M.A. Mg., of EDUARD MÜLLEB on AMg., of JACOBI on JM. are devoted to individual dialects : they will be referred to in appropriate places. COWELL, A short Introduction to the Ordinary Prákrit of the Sanskrit Dramas, with a list of common irregular Prákrit words, London 1875 is based upon Vr.; it is all elementary and does not go a long way towards attainment of its goal². RISHIKESI (rather more correctly Hṛṣikeśa) SASTRI, A Prakrita Grammar with English translation, Calcutta 1883, attempts to re-arrange the indigenous grammars on European pattern. But as he has used very defective texts and retained the forms he found in the manuscripts without working at them critically his grammar is not at all usable, especially because he has quoted only the well known rules. All new are his extracts from the Prákrtakalpatrī that is almost unknown. HAAG, in his Vergleichung des Prakrit mit den Romanischen Sprachen, Berlin 1869, discusses a number of phonetical phenomena that are common to the known languages. HOERNLE³ has given a general survey of the history of Prákrit—Philology and WEBER⁴ of the recent publications (1870-1881).

1. Cf. BENARY, Jahrbücher für wissenschaftliche Kritik 1876, 863 ff.—2. Cf. PISCHEL, Jenaer Literaturzeitung 1875, 794 ff.—3. A Sketch of the History of Prakrit Philology, Calcutta Review, October 1880. Cf. Centenary Review of the ASB. Calcutta 1885) II, p. 157 ff. —4. Hāla² (Leipzig 1881) p. vii f. with note.

§44. In this grammar an attempt has been made for the first time to treat the different Prákrit dialects beside one another and to utilize the entire available materials. Subsequent to LASSEN, we have come to know of AMg. JM. and almost the whole of M., and as a matter of that, all the most important dialects with correlated literature. I have introduced all new Dh. D. Ā. JS. whereof we have up till now come by a few textual specimens. S. and M. have undergone a thorough revision. As already remarked above (§§19. 20. 23), most of the texts in AMg. S. Mg. have not as yet been critically edited and published; therefore, a greater part of them is not useful for the purpose of grammar. Hence the main task, at least with regard to S. and Mg., has been to collect trustworthy materials, and for this purpose I have utilized three to four different editions of many dramas, a task that has been tedious, painful and not always fruitful. But this has not been possible for AMg., and as it respects this dialect, the critical remarks will later require many improvements. Nevertheless I hope to have paved the way, in spite of the materials being very often faulty. As already remarked above, although Sanskrit is not the only source of Prákrit a complete description of the latter has to be independently based upon it. Pāli, the Aśokan dialects, the Lena dialect and the modern Indian languages have been quoted very sparingly for the purpose of comparison, though I have had plenty of materials in hand as the present volume, which exceeds much beyond the scope of the scheme of the Grundriss, would have become still bigger. Consequently linguistic hypotheses have been scrupulously avoided: In the first place it provides a sure philological grounding, and I think that I have succeeded in my efforts for most of the dialects. Necessary quotations have been amply furnished. But the knowledge of Pkt. and its literature is limited to such a small circle of scholars that to me it appears wistful to shift the evidences accurately

in support of the rules and to make at the same time a beginning of a lexicon.

II. PHONETICS.

§45. The phonetic system of Pkt. differs from that of Classical Skt. to the extent that there exist in Pkt. *ē*, *ō*¹, *la* (§226), and dialectically also the independent *ñ* (§237), *lha* (§242) and the composite sounds *ñña* (§282), *yca*, *yja* (§217), *yha* (§331), *lha* (§330), *śka*, *śkh*, *hka* (§§302.324), *śta* (§310), *śṭa*, *śṭha* and *ṣṭa* (§303), and that on the contrary in all the Pkt. dialects the sounds *r*, *l*, *ai*, *au*², *sa* except in Mg. *cīṣṭhadi=tīṣṭhati* (§303), *h*, voiceless non-conjunct consonants are wanting, besides most of them do not have *r*, *na*, *ya*, *śa* and conjunct consonants of non-homogeneous types. Generally conjunctions of homogeneous consonants occur only when the first member is a nasal.³ In places of consonants that drop inside a word, between two vowels, there develops as a glide sound *ya*, that is written in all the dialects in Jaina manuscripts, and it is a distinctive feature of AMg., JM. and JS. (§187). In this grammar it has been transcribed by *ya*.

i. S. GOLDSHMIDT denies the existence of *ē* and *ō*, Prakrtica p. 28 ff. Against that JACOBI, KZ. 25, 292 ff.; PISCHEL, GGA., 1880, 325—2. In Pkt. *ai* has been retained only in the interjection *ai*. see §60.—3. C. 2. 14p. 18. 44; Hc. 1,1; Triv. and Sr. in PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 34 ff.; Krṣṇapandita in PETERSON, Third Report 344, 1 ff.; Kalpacūrṇi in LEUMANN, Av. 6, Note 4; Lakṣmīnāthabhaṭṭa on Pingala 1, 2, p. 3, 4 ff., where read in the fifth line *bha* for *ma*, besides *saārapuṭhe hi b̄ vi*, and in the sixth line something like *pāue ṣathī* for *pādave ya huavanti* because very often *athī* stands for the plural *santi* (§498). Neither *huavanti* nor *h̄ṇti-bhavanti* fits in metrically. In line 6 read *bha* for *ma* and in line 7 read *au ah ba ya*. Accordingly *ba* as well should be wanting in Pkt. On this see §201.

§46. The accent-system of M. AMg. JM., of poetical A. and presumably also of JS. corresponds to that of the language of the Vedas essentially. In Pkt. takes place weakening, dropping as well as raising of vowels; besides there occurs doubling of consonants in certain cases; therefore, it could not be purely musical, on the other hand it must have been preponderantly expiatory. In S. Mg. Dh., besides, the system of accent is the same as that in Classical Skt. which mostly corresponds to that of Latin.¹ The relevant paragraphs furnish the details.

i. PISCHEL, KZ. 34, 568 ff.; 35, 140 ff. Besides JACOBI, ZDMG. 47, 574 ff.; KZ. 35, 578 ff.; GRIERSON, ZDMG. 49, 395 ff.

A. SONANTS AND VOWELS

1. SONANTS

§47. *r* was retained just dialectically only in A. (Hc. 4, 329; Ki. 5, 16; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvylānikāra 2. 12, p. 15, 9); *trnu=trnam* (Hc. 4, 329; Nāmisādhu 1.c.); *sukṛdu* (Hc. 4, 329), *sukṛdam* (Ki. 5, 16) = *sukṛtam*; *gr̄nhai=gṛhṇāti*, *gr̄hantī=gṛhṇāntī*, *gr̄nhēppinu=**⁴*gṛhṇitvīnam* (§588) = *gṛhītvā* (Hc. 4, 336. 34¹, 2, 394. 438, 1) *kṛyantahō=kṛytāntasya* (Hc. 4, 370, 4). In most of the Apabhrahma dialects *r* is unknown as in all the Prakrit languages. CP. *khṛta=gṛhta* in Ki. 5. 102 may be an incorrect reading for *khata*, as *tat̄hahitapaka=dr̄dhahṛdayaka* (5, 102) shows. In LÄSSEN Inst. p. 441 the example does not occur. As a sonant *r* might be pronounced with a tinge of *a*—, *i*— and *u*—¹. Like the consonantal *r* (§287-295) the sonantal *r* is assimilated to the preceding consonant, so that only the vowel remains. Thereafter, *r* following a consonant is transformed into *a*, *i*, and *u*. On initial *r*, see §56. 57, and on *ē* for *r*, §53.

i. MAHLOW, Anzeiger für deutsches Altertum und deutsche Litteratur 24, 10. Cf. JOHANNES SCHMIDT, Zur Geschichte des indogermanischen Vocalismus 2, 2 f.; Kritik der Sonantentheorie p. 175 ff.; BECHTEL, Die Hauptprobleme der indogermanischen Lautlehre seit Schleicher p. 128 ff. Further literature in WACKERNAGEL, Alt. gram. 28, shows that the original pronunciation was a *r*—vowel.

§48. As the vowel pronounced with *r* was not determined it was weakened in the same words not only in different dialects but even within the same dialect. The native grammarians consider the change of *r* to *a* as usual and they have enumerated under *gaṇas* the words that show a change of *r* into either *i* or *u* (Vr. 1, 27-29; Hc. 1, 126-139; Ki, 1, 27.30. 32; Mk. fol. 9, 10; Pkl. p. 31 ff.; no classification in C. 2, 5 p. 15. 43) instead of into *a*. Generally the texts illustrate their statements with examples taken from M., and they are to be reviewed accordingly. The examples, as far as possible, have been given in the following pages in conformity with the practice adopted by the grammarians.

§ 49. *a* develops for *r*, e.g. in M. *ghaa=ghṛta* (H. 22 ; read so with the variant reading), AMg. JM. *ghaya* (C. 2. 5) [read so with the variant reading] ; Hc. 1, 126 ; Pāiyāl. 123 ; Āyār. 2, 1, 4, 5 ; 2, 6, 1, 9. 12 ; 2, 134 ; Vivāhap. 910 ; Uttar. 170. 432 ; Kappas ; Āv. 12, 12 ; T. 6, 4. 7 ; Erz.), but S. Mg. *ghida* (Mṛcch. 3, 12 ; 117, 8 ; 126, 5 [read so for *ghīa*]).—PG. *tāṇa=tīṇa* (6.33 , likwisc M. (Bh. 1, 27 ; Hc. 1, 126 ; Ki. 1, 27 ; G. H. R.), AMg. (Āyār. 1, 1, 4, 6 ; 1, 6, 3, 2 ; Sūyag. 129, 810. 812 , Vivāhap. 120. 479. 500. 645. 658. 1245. 1250 ; Uttar. 106. 219. 371. 582. 695. 1048 ; Jiv. 356. 464. 465 ; Pannav. 33. 43 etc.), *tāṇaga=tīṇaka* (Āyār. 2, 2, 3, 18 ; Dasav. 623,1), *tāṇalla* (fatty ; Jiv. 355) ; JM. (Ki. 12 ; Dvār. 502, 31 ; 504, 13 ; Erz.), S. (Śak. 106. 13), A. (Hc. 4, 329. 334. 339) ; but also AMg. *tīṇa* (Vivāhap. 1526), JM. (Erz.), JS. (Kattig. 399, 313), S. (Vikr. 15,11), A. (Hc. 4, 329. 358, 2.—M. *kaa=kṛta* (Bh. 1, 27 ; Hc. 1, 126 ; Pāiyāl. 77 ; G. H. R.) ; PG. *adhiķate=adhiķṛtān* (5, 5), *kāda* (7, 51) ; AMg. *kāya* (Uvās ; Ovav.) and *kada* (Āyār. 1, 8, 4, 11 ; Sūyag. 46. 74. 77. 104. 106. 133. 136. 151. 282. 368. 465 ; Nirāyāv. ; Bhag. ; Kappas.), also in compounds, such as in *akada* (Āyār. 1, 2, 1, 3. 5, 6), *dukkāda* (Āyār. 1, 7, 1, 3 ; Sūyag. 233 f. 275. 284. 359 ; Uttar. 33), *vigāda*, *vīyāda* (Āyār. 1, 8, 1, 7 ; Sūyag. 344 ; Uttar. 53), *sukada* (Āyār. 1, 7, 1, 3 ; 2, 4, 2, 3 ; Uttar. 76), *sāṃkhāya=sāṃskṛta* (Sūyag. 134. 150 ; Uttar. 199), *purekāda=puraskṛta* (§ 306. 345), *āhākāda=**yāthākṛta (§335) ; JM. *kāya* (Erz. ; Kk.), *dukkāya* (Pāiyāl. 53 ; Erz.) ; JS. S. *kada* (Pav. 384, 36 [Text *kāyal*] Mṛcch. 3, 19 ; 41, 18 ; 52, 12 ; Śak. 36, 16 ; 105, 15 ; 140, 13 ; Vikr. 16, 12 ; 21, 9 ; 23, 8), Mg. *kada* (Mṛcch. 40, 5 ; 133, 8 ; 159, 22) and *kada* (Mṛcch. 17, 8 ; 32, 5 ; 27, 23. 24 etc.), *kala* (Mṛcch. 11, 1 ; 40, 4) ; P. *kata* (Hc. 4, 322. 323) ; A. *kaa* (Hc. 4, 422, 10), *kaaū=kṛtakah=kṛtah* (Hc. 4, 429, 1). But in S. Mg. the best texts and MSS. have very often *kida*, e.g. S. (Mṛcch. 2, 21 ; 36, 4 ; 63, 12 ; Śak. 124, 7 ; 154, 9 ; 161, 5 ; Vikr. 33, 11 ; 35, 6 ; 72, 16 ; 84, 21), Mg. (Mṛcch. 112, 16 ; 121, 6 ; 16 5, 2), a form, that is correct perhaps only for these dialects and in any case that must remain in cases where *kṛta* constitutes the second member of compounds, thus S. *siddhikida* (Mṛcch. 6, 11. 13 ; 7, 5), *purākida* (Śak. 162, 13), *paccakkhikida* Vikr. 72, 12), Mg. *dusikida* (Mṛcch. 125, 1. 4). So also M. in *dohāia=dvidhākṛta* (Hc. 1, 126 ; R. 8, 106), *dohāia* (R.), whereas elsewhere *kīa* in M. is incorrect. A. has also *i* beside *a* for *r* : *akīa=akṛta* (Hc. 4, 396, 4), *kīaū=kṛtakam=kṛtam* (Hc. 4, 371), *kidu* (Hc. 4, 446). Cf. § 219.—*vasāha=vṛṣabha* (Bh. 1, 27 ; C. 2, 5 p. 43 ; 3, 13 ; Hc. 1, 126 ; Pāiyāl. 151) ; So. M. (G. R.) ; AMg. Vivāhap. 225 ; Uttar. 338 ; Kappas. § 4. 32. 61 ; Nāyādh. § 47), also *vasabha* (Āyār. 2, 10, 12 ; 2, 11, 7. 11 ; Vivāhap. 1048 ; Pannav. 122 ; Anueg. 502 ; Kappas. § 114. 118) ; JM. *vasāha* (Dvār. 498, 24 Kk., Erz.) and *vasabha* (Erz.) ; JS. *vasāha* (Pav. 382, 26. 43) ; but S. always has *vasabha* (Mṛcch. 6. 7 ; Mālav. 65, 8 ; Bālar. 73. 18 ; 93, 10 ; 287, 15 ; Pras.

44, 13), which occurs wrongly also in M. (H. 460. 820 ; in the first place in the variant reading and in the Bombay ed. there occurs correctly *va^o*).—AMg. *ghattha=ghṛṣṭa* (Hc. 1, 126 ; Āyār. 2, 2, 1, 3 ; 2, 5, 1, 3 ; 2, 10, 5 ; Pañṇav. 96, 110 ; Jīv. 439. 4+5. 447. 449. 453. 483 ff.; Ovav.)—AMg. JM. *mattījā=mṛttikā* (Āyār. 2, 1, 6, 6 ; 2, 1, 7, 3 ; 2, 3, 2, 13 ; Vivāhap. 331. 447. 810. 1253. 1255 ; Thān. 321 ; Panhāv. 419. 494 ; Uttar. 758 ; Nāyādh. 621 ; Rāyap. 176 ; Uvās. ; Ovav. ; Erz. ; Mṛcch. 94, 16 ; 9, 8. 9 ; Śak. 79, 1 ; 155 ; 10 ; Bhārtṛharinirveda 14, 5).—AMg. *vatṭa=vṛtta* (round ; Hc. 2, 29 ; Āyār. 1, 5, 6, 4 ; 2, 4, 2, 7. 12 ; Sūyag. 590 ; Thān. 20 ; Vivāhap. 942 ; Uttar. 1022 ; Pañṇav. 9 ff. ; Uvās. ; Ovav. ; Kappas.).—AMg. *vāñhi=vṛṣṇi* (Uttar. 666 ; Nāyādh. 1262), *andhagavañhi=andhakavṛṣṇi* (Uttar. 678=Dasav. 613, 33 ; Vivāhap. 1394 ; Antag. 3).

§ 50. In all the dialects *r* is most frequently represented by *i*, which corresponds today to *ri*, the most usual pronunciation of *r* in India. Such words are grouped under the *ṛsyādi* class in Vr. 1, 28 ; Ki. 1, 32 ; Mk. fol. 9 f. ; Pkl. p. 31 and under the *kṛpādi* class in Hc. 1, 128, without an attempt to enumerate them exhaustively. Thus: M. AMg. Ś. *kiśa=kṛśa* (Hc. 1, 128 ; H. ; Uttar. 750 ; Uvās. ; Śak. 53, 9).—M. AMg. Ś. Mg. *kivīna=kṛpāṇa* (Hc. 1, 128 ; G. H. ; Kappas. ; Kāleyak. 26, 1 [text *°va*] ; Mṛcch. 19, 6 ; 136, 18. 19).—AMg. *giddha=gṛdhra* (greedy ; Sūyag. 105 ; Vivāhap. 450. 1128 ; Uttar. 593 ; Nāyādh. 433.606) ; JM. Ś. Mg. (vulture ; Vr. 12, 6 ; Mk. fol. 9 ; Erz. ; Vikr. 75,11 ; 79,15 ; 80,20 ; Mālav. 28,12 ; Śak. 116,3).—AMg. *giddhi=graddhi* (Hc. 1, 128 ; Sūyag. 363.371.406 ; Uttar. 933 939.944. 954 etc.), *giddhiya=gṛddhika* (Panhāv. 150).—M. AMg. JS. Ś. A. *dīṭṭhi=dṛṣṭi* (Bh. 1,28 ; Hc. 1,128 ; Ki. 1,32 ; Mk. fol. 10 ; G. H. R. ; Bhag. ; Uvās. ; Erz. ; Kk. ; Pav. 388,5 ; Mṛcch. 57,3.10.17 ; 59,24 ; 68,22 ; 152,25 ; Śak. 53,8 ; 59,7 ; 77,10 etc. ; Hc. 4,330,3).—M. *viṁchua* (Bh. 1,28 ; H. 237), *viṁcua* (C. 2,15 ; Hc. 1,128 ; 2,16.89 ; Ki. 2,68 [text *viñcaō*, ed. Rāj. *viccuo*]), *viṁchia* (Hc. 1, 26 ; 2,16) ; *vicchua* (Mk. fol. 10), AMg. *vicchuya* (Thān. 311.312 ; Panhāv. 47.537 ; Nāyādh. 755 ; Vivāhap. 522 ; Jīv 257), *vicchiya* (Uttar. 1064)¹=*vṛśīka*.—M. *sīlā=sṛgāla* (Bh. 1,28 ; Hc. 1,128 ; Ki. 132 ; Mk. fol. 9) ; AMg. JM. *sīyāla* (Āyār. 2,1,5,3 ; Sūyag. 296 ; Pañṇav. 49.367.369 ; Jīv. 356 ; Kk.), *sīyālaga* (Nāyādh. 511), *sīyālattāe* (Thān. 296), *sīyālī* (Pañṇav. 368) ; Ś. *sīlā* (Mṛcch. 72,22 ; Śak. 85,9) ; Mg. *sīlā* (Mṛcch. 22,10 ; 113,20 ; 120,12 ; 122,8 ; 127,5 ; Śak. 116,3), *sīlī* (Mṛcch. 11,20).—M. AMg. JM. A. *sīṅga=sṛṅga* (Hc. 1,130 ; Paiyāl. 210 ; G.H. ; Vivāhap. 326.1042 ; Uvās. ; Ovav. ; Kappas. ; Erz. ; Hc. 4,337), according to Hc. 1,130 also *sāṅga*.—M. Ś. Mg. A. *hīa=hṛdaya* (Bh. 1,28 ; Hc. 1,128 ; Ki. 1,32 ; Mk. fol. 10 ; G. H. R. ; Mṛcch. 17,15 ; 27,4.19.21 ; 37,16 etc. Mg. Mṛcch. 29,21 ; 128,2 ; 169,6 ; Prab. 63,15 [so read with M.] ; Hc. s. v.) ; AMg. JM. *hīyaya* (Bhag. ; Uvās. ; Nāyādh. ; Kappas. ; Ovav. ; etc. Erz. ; Kk.) ; Mg. mostly *hadakka* (§ 194), also *halaka*, *halaa* (§ 244) ; P. *hitapa*, *hitapaka* (§ 191).

i. It will be possible to determine accurately in individual cases the correct form of this word when more critical editions will come into existence.

§ 51. We find *u* for *r* preferably before a labial consonant, or (§57) when there is *u* in the following syllable. All the grammarians group together the words which have *u* under the *ṛtvādi* class. Thus: M. *nīhuua=nibhrta* (Hc. 1, 131 ; Deśin. 5, 50 ; Mk. fol. 10 ; H.R.) ; AMg. JM. *nīhuja=nibhrta* (Hc. 1, 131 ; Deśin. 5, 50 ; Mk. fol. 10 ; H.R.) ; AMg. JM. *nīhuda* (Śak. 53, 4. 6 ; Mudrār. (Paiyāl. 15 ; Uttar. 627 ; Ovav. ; Erz.)) ; Ś. *nīhuda* (Śak. 53, 4. 6 ; Mudrār. (Paiyāl. 15 ; Uttar. 627 ; Ovav. ; Erz.)) ; Ś. *nīhvua=nīrvṛta* (Bh. 1, 29 ; Hc. 1, 131 ; 44, 6 ; Karnas 18, 19, 37, 16).—M. *nīvvua=nīrvṛta* (Bh. 1, 29 ; Hc. 1, 131 ; 44, 6 ; Karnas 18, 19, 37, 16).—M. *nīvvuya* (Kappas. ; Erz.); Ś. *nīvvuda* (Śak. G.H. R. ; Śak. 96, 2) ; AMg. JM. *nīvvuya* (Kappas. ; Erz.); Ś. *nīvvuda* (Śak. 70, 4 ; 98, 7 ; Vikr. 53, 1 [read so with the South Indian recension 651, 2

ed. PISCHEL]; Mudrār. 103, 7). Cf. §219.—M. AMg. JM. *pucchāī*=*prcchati* and other forms of the root (Hc. 4, 97; H. R.; Uvās.; Bhag.; Kappas.; etc.; Erz.); S. *pucchadi* (Mrcch. 27, 17; 105, 8; 142, 9; Vikr. 18, 8); Mg. *puścadi* (Hc. 4, 295), *puścāmī* Prab. 51, 1; 62, 6); A. *pucchimi* (Vikr. 65, 3), *pucchahu* (Hc. 4, 364. 422. 9).—M. *puhāī*, *puhavī*=*prthvī* (§115. 139; Bh. 1, 29; C. 3, 30 p. 50; Hc. 1, 131; Ki. 1, 30; Mk. fol. 10; G. H. R.); AMg. JŚ. *pudhavī* (Thān. 135; Uttar. 1034. 1036; Sāyag. 19. 26. 325. 332; Āyār. 1, 1, 2, 2, ff.; Vivāhap. 920. 1099; Pañnav. 742; Dasav. 630, 17; Uvās.; etc.; Kattig. 401, 346); so also JM. (Erz.); S. (Śak. 59, 12) beside *puhavī* (Erz.; Kk.; Dvār. 501, 23; Vikr. 11, 4; Prab. 39, 6); as Mg. (Mrcch. 38, 7) and A. (Piṅgala 1, 30; Vikr. 55, 18) also have.—AMg. *phusāī*=*sprśai* (§486).—M. AMg. S. A. *munāla*=*mṛṇāla* (Bh. 1, 29; Hc. 1, 131; Ki. 1, 30; Mk. fol. 10; G. H. R.; Śak. 88, 2; Jiv. 290; Rāyap. 55; Ovav.; Mrcch. 68, 24; Śak. 63, 2. 15; Karp. 41, 1; Vṛṣabh. 50, 1; Hc. 4, 444, 2).—M. *muīṅga*=*mṛdanga* (Hc. 1, 46. 137; Mk. fol. 10); AMg. JM. *muīṅga*, *muīṅga* (Pañhāv. 512; Thān. 481; Vivāhap. 797 [also the commentary]. 920; Rāyap. 20, 231; Jiv. 251; Pañnav. 99. 101; Erz.); S. *midaṅga* (Mālav. 19, 1; v. l. *miāṅga*); according to Hc. 1, 137; Mk. fol. 10 also *muīṅga*; Mg. *midaṅga* (Mrcch. 122, 8; variant reading *mudāṅga* and also GoDBOLE 337, 7).—JM. S. *vuttanta*=*vṛtlā̄la* (Bh. 1, 29; Hc. 1, 131; Erz.; Kk. Śak. 43, 6; Vikr. 52, 1; 72, 12; 81, 2).—AMg. JM. *vutthi*=*vṛṣti* (Hc. 1, 137; Pāiyāl. 227; Vivāhap. 331; Kappas.; Erz.); M. also *vīṭhi* (Hc. 1, 1, 7; Ki. 1, 32; H. 261); *vuttha vṛṣta* (Hc. 1, 137); M. *uvuṭṭha* (G. 375); AMg. *silāvutṭha* (Dasav. 630, 21); S. *pavuṭṭha* Śak. 139, 15).—M. JM. A., sporadically in AMg., *kunāī* JŚ. *kunādi*=**kṛṇāti*=Vedic **kṛṇoti* (§508). On *mūsāō*, *mosāō*, beside *musāō*=*mṛṣāō* see §78.

§52. The vowel varies in the same words in many other cases as well as in those mentioned above. So M. AMg. JM. S. Mg. *dadha* and JŚ. S. A. *diḍha*=*dṛḍha* (§242).—*dhaṭṭha* (Hc. 1, 130) and *dhiṭṭha*=*dhrṣṭa* (Hc. 1, 130; C. 1, 24 p. 41).—M. *niatta*=*nivṛita* (Hc. 1, 132; G. H. R.) and *nirutta* (Hc. 1, 132).—AMg. JM. *maccu*=*mṛlyu* (Hc. 1, 130; Sūyag. 45; Pañhāv. 401; Dvār. 501, 25; Erz.) and S. *miccu* (Hc. 1, 130; Mālav. 54, 16; Karṇas. 32, 17).—M. AMg. JM. S. *masina*=*masṇa* (Hc. 1, 130; Ki. 1, 32; Mk. fol. 10; Pāiyāl. 261; G. H. R.; Ovav.; Erz.; Uttarar. 11, 8; 163, 4) and *masaṇa* (Hc. 1, 130).—AMg. S. *miu*=*mrdu* (Vivāhap. 943. 949; Ovav.; Kappas.; Vṛṣabh. 13, 13 [Text *midu*]), but always M. *maūa* AMg. *maūja*=*mṛduka* (Hc. 1, 127); H. R.; Vivāhap. 943. 954; Uttar. 1022; Jiv. 350. 549); (Anuog. 268; Nāyādh.); AMg. *maūga* (Jiv. 508); M. *maūia*=**mṛdukitā*, *maūi*=*mṛdui* (G.)—*vandāraa* (Hc. 1, 132) and *vundāraa*=*vṛndāraka* (Hc. 1, 132; Ki. 1, 30).—AMg. *vaga*=*vṛka* (Āyār. 2, 1, 5, 3; Vivāhap. 282. 484 [text *vagga*, commentary *viga*]; Pañnav 367), *vagi*=*vṛki* (Pañnav. 368) and AMg. *viga* (Āyār. 2, 1, 8, 12 [cf. the variant reading]; Nāyādh. 344), S. *via* (Uttarar. 105, 12). Cf. §219. According to Hc. 2, 110. *kṛṣṇa* in the sense of “black” becomes *kasāṇa*, *kasiṇa*, *kanha* and as a proper name it becomes only *kanha*, according to Bh. 3, 61 in the sense of “black” it always becomes *kasāṇa*, in the sense of “Kṛṣṇa” it becomes *kanha* only; according to Kalpal. p. 33 as a proper noun it becomes *kanha* and *kinha*; Mk. fol. 29 and Ki. 2, 56 make no distinction between *kasāṇa* and *kanha*. In the sense of “black” it occurs as M. S. *kasāṇa* (G. H. R.; Pracandap. 47, 4; Mrcch. 2, 21; Vikr. 21, 8; 51, 10; 67, 18; Ratn. 311, 21; Mālatim. 103, 6; 224, 3; Mahāv. 98, 4) Venis. 61, 10), AMg. JM. *kasina* (Pañnav. 101; Pañhāv. 285; Sūyag. 282; Uttar. 644; Ovav., Bhag.; Dvār. 503, 6; Erz.; Rṣabhap.), also probably wrong, in M. (G. 563, the variant reading excepted), and S. (Mallikām. 122, 6); M. AMg. S. *kanha* (G.; Āyār. 2, 4, 2, 18; Pañnav. 496 ff.; Jiv. 320; Caṇḍak. 86, 8. 9).

10 [in *kanhāhi*; text *kahṇa* and *kahva*]), AMg. also *kinha* (Āyār. 2, 5, 1, 5; Vivāhap. 1033; Rāyāp. 50. 51. 104. 120. 126. 228; Panhāv. 285 (beside *kasīna*) Panṇav 496 ff. [always interchanging with *kanha*]; Jiv. 255. 272. 453. 457); as a proper noun M. AMg. JM. Ś. *kaṇha* (H.; Āyār. p. 126, 1; Panṇav. 61; Nirayāv. §2, where also in the proper nouns *sukāṇha*, *mahākāṇha*, *vīrakāṇha*, *rāmakanha* *senakāṇha*, *māhāsenakāṇha*; p. 80; Ovav.; Kappas.; Dvār. 497, 6, 33; 498, 34; 499; 37, etc. cait. 75, 14; 77, 3; 78, 10; 79, 6. 4; 92, 13 [mostly printed as *kanha*, °*da*, *kahn̄a*]; Vṛṣabha. 9, 4; 18, 15; 23, 18 etc. (mostly printed as *kanha*]). Wrong is *kisana* (Bālar. 141, 3; Karp. 50, 12 ed. Bomb., where the variant reading in KONOW p. 48 has only *kasana*] and *kinha* (Nirayāv. 79). Cf. also *kasaniyā=kṛṣṇāyita*, *kasānapakkha=kṛṣṇapakṣa* (Pāīyāl. 198. 268), *kasānasiā=***kṛṣṇasiā* “the black-white” = Balabhadra (Deśin. 2, 23).—*vrddhi* in the sense of “growth” becomes *vuddhi* (Hc. 1, 131; 2, 40; Mk. fol. 24; AMg. Uvās. §50), and in the sense of “interest” it becomes AMg. *vāḍhi* (Uvās.). There are found also M. *parivaddhi* (Mk. fol. 24; R. 5, 2) and JM. *viddhi* (growth : Ki. 20). Cf. §53.

§53. Sometimes dialectically the three vowels occur even in the same words. Thus: *prākṛta* becomes *pāyāya* in AMg. (Hc. 1, 67; Nāyādh. §145; variant reading *pāgjya*), *pāgaya* in JM. (Erz., 2, 28) and *pāyāya* (Hc. 1, 67; Kalpacūrṇi on Av. 6, 29), *pāia* in M., *pāiya* in JM. (cited under Hc. 1, 181; Vajjālagga 325, 2 Pāīyāl. 1) and *pāua* in M. (H. 2 [v 1. *pāia*, Vajjālagga 324]. 698; Karp. 5, 3), *pāuda* in Ś. (Karp. 5, 1; Mudrār. 82, 2. 5; Viddhaś. 25, 8 [read so everywhere], *pākida* in Mg. (Venīs. 34, 20).—*pṛṣṭha* becomes in M. *paṭṭhi* (Hc. 1, 131; G.), *puṭṭha* (Bh. 4, 20; R.), *putṭhi* (Bh. 4, 20; H. R.; Karp. 57, 6), in AMg. *piṭṭha* (Hc. 1, 35, Sūyag. 180. 285. 286; Nāyādh. §65; p. 938 958. 959. 964. 1107; Uttar. 29, 69; Uvās.; Ovav.), *piṭṭhi* (Hc. 1, 35. 129. Āyār. 1, 1, 2, 5; Nāyādh. 940; Dasav. 632. 24), *putṭha* (Nirayāv. §17), *putṭhi* (Sūyag. 292), in JM. *pitṭha*, *piṭṭhi*, *putṭhi* (Erz.), in Ś. D. *piṭṭha* (Vikr. 39, 3; Mālav. 33, 2; 59, 3; 69, 9; Mallikām. 145 21; 191, 5; Mudrār. 254, 1; Mrcch. 105, 25), *piṭṭhi* (Karīsav. 57, 9), *putṭha* (Pras. 44, 14; Ratn. 316, 22), *putṭhi* (Bālar. 238, 10), in Mg. *pīṭha* (Mrcch. 99, 8; 130, 1; Venīs. 30, 5. 10), *pīṭi* (Mrcch. 165, 9 and in A. *paṭṭhi*, *piṭṭhi*, *putṭhi* (Hc. 4, 329). According to Hc. 1, 129, in *pṛṣṭha*, *r* is changed to *a*, only, when the word occurs at the end of a compound. So M. JM. *mahivatṭha* (Hc. 1, 129); Pratāpar. 214, 9 [°*paṭ̄a*]; Av. 12, 23); Ś. *dharanīvatṭha* (Uttarar. 63, 12; Bālar. 248, 5; 287, 16); however, also, probably wrong is JM. *dharanīvittha* (Sagara 7, 12), Ś. *dharanīpiṭṭha* [text. °*nīpiṭṭha*; Bālar. 245, 15). The printed text and the MSS. of Venīs. 64, 18 oscillate between *kālapuṭṭha*, *vuṭṭha*, *piṭṭha*.—*brhaspati* forms *bahappai*, *bihappai*, *buhappai* (C. 2, 5 p. 43; Hc. 1, 138; Sr. fol. 36) and a number of other forms with a similar variation in vowels (§212). Here a mention should be made of AMg. *bahassai* (Sūyag. 709 [text *va*°], Thān. 82; Panṇav. 116 [text *vi*°]), *bihassai* (Anuog. 356 [text *vi*°], Ovav. §36 [text *vi*°]); Ś. *bahappai* (Mallikām. 57, 3; 184, 3 [text *va*°]); *bihappadi* (Ratn. 310, 29).—In all the dialects *vrddha* is changed to *vuddha* (C. 2, 5; 3, 16 p. 49; 3, 26; Hc. 1, 131; 2, 40. 90; Mk. fol. 24; H.; Āyār. 2, 2, 3, 24; Ovav.; Erz., Ś. Mrcch. 44, 4; 69, 20; 71, 22; Anarghar. 156, 5; Mg. Mrcch. 117, 23; 120, 9; 124, 4 etc.), according to Bh. 1, 27 also to *vaddha*, and according to Hc. 1, 128; 2, 40 also to *viddha*.—*vṛṇta* forms AMg. *vinta* (Hc. 1 139, Samav. 98); Panṇav 40 [text *bi*°], *tālavinta* (Panhāv. 33), *pattavinta* (Jiv. 681); commonly also *vēṇta* with change of *i* to *e* before a double consonant (§ 119); so M. *vēṇta* (Hc. 1, 139; 2, 31; Mk. fol. 26; H.; Šak. 119, 6), *tālaveṇṭa* (Karp. 82, 2); AMg. Jiv. 329 [text *be*°]; Panṇav. 40 [text *be*°], *tālaveṇṭa* Nāyādh. § 136), *pattaveṇṭa* (Jiv. 549 [text °*be*°]); Ś. Viddhaś. 14, 13), *tālaveṇṭa* (Vikr. 75,

10; Uttarar. 16,7; Viddhaś. 61, 1; Venis. 92, 22 [so it is to be read]; Balar 131, 13 [so it is to be read], *tālavēṇṭaa* (Mrcch. 38, 4; 59, 7; Mg. (Mrcch. 21, 16). Hc. [1, 67] has *talavēṇṭa* also by the side of *tālavēṇṭa* (2, 31), Bh. (,10) has *talavēṇṭaa* by the side of *tālavēṇṭaa*. Further Hc. 1, 139 teaches also *vōṇṭa* 1,67; he has *talavōṇṭa* along with *talavōṇṭa* i.e., with the change of *u* to *o* before a double consonant (§ 125). In AMg. abundant is *tāliyānta* (Āyār. 2, 1, 7, 5; Pañhāv. 236 533; Anuttar. 10; Nāyādh. 277; Vivāhap. 807. 831. 964; Ovav. § 52 [so it is to be read]; Dasav. 616, 38; 626, 3) *tāliyāntaka* (Pañhāv. 488 =**tālivṛnta* with a change of *r* into *a*, as in Pāli *vāṇṭa*.

§ 54. BOLLENSEN' has propounded the law of assimilation, according to which, for example, only *mīatiṇhiā* or *maataṇhiā=mīgalāṇikā* has to be declared as the correct form. PAUL GOLDSCHMIDT', in his turn, has postulated dissimilation in cases like *mīānka=mīgānka* beside *māīndā=mīgendra*, *risamīkhala=viśīnkhala* beside *sīnkhala=śīnkhala* (§ 213). Taking into consideration the great divergence between statements of the grammarians and the manuscripts it is not possible to frame any accurate rule. In M., they say *maataṇhā* (R.) *maataṇhiā* (Sarasvatik. 172, 18), besides *mudhamia*, S. *mīatānīhā* (Dhūrtas. 16, 6; v. l. *mīatānīhā*), *mīatiṇhā* (Anaraghār. 60, 4), *maataṇhīā* (Vikr. 17, 1), *mīatiṇhīā* (Viddhaś. 47, 9; but ed. Calc. 36, 1 *mīatānīhīā*), *mīatiṇhīā* (Viddhaś. 115, 5); further, indeed M. *māīndā* (G. R.), and M. S. *maalañchāna*, JM. *mējalañchāna=mīgalāñchāna* (H.; Karp. 65, 10; 105, 7; Mrcch. 169, 14, Vikr. 43, 11; 45, 20³, Pāiyāl 5, Dvār. 500, 18, Erz.), but beside *maānka* (Hc. 1, 130; also in A. 4, 396, 1), JM. *mīyanīka* (Erz.), in M. D. S. Mg. common *mīānka* (Hc. 1, 130, G. H. R.; Karp. 60, 1; 84, 8; D. Mrcch. 101, 11, S. Vikr. 58, 10; Viddhaś. 109, 5; Karp. 105, 7; Mg. Mrcch. 37, 25); JM. has also *mīyanīka* (Erz.). Beside S. *maa=mīga* stand *mīāa=mīgayā* (Śak. 29, 2, 3) and M. S. *mai=mīgi* (Śak. 85, 2, Prab. 67, 12); S. *maavahū=mīgavadhū* (Śak. 86, 4), beside S. *sāhāmīa=sākhāmīga* (Mrcch. 69,11, Vikr. 81,13), AMg. *iḥāmīya* (Jiv. 481. 492. 508; Nāyādh. 721; Rāyāp 58 [^oga]); likewise AMg. generally only *mīga*, *mīya* (Āyār. 2, 3, 3, 3; 2, 5, 1, 5; Vivāhap. 119 ff; Uttar. 338. 412. 499. 595 601; Dasav. N. 649, 7; Sūyag. 52. 54. 56. 317, Ovav. §37) *mīyasirāo=mīgasirāh* (Thān. 81) *mīgarva=mīgavya* (Uttar 498), speak against JM. *maya* (Dvār. 501, 13), *mayačchī=mīgākṣī* (Rśabhap. 26), M. *māacchī* (Karp. 65, 4). In compounds it is the euphony that has undoubtedly assisted in the choice of vowels.

§ 1. On vikr. 17, 1, p. 216.—2. Specimen des Setubandha (Göttingen 1873) p. 83 on 2, 2.—3. The v. l. has *mīo* and the same occurs in Viddhaś. 63,4 also.

§ 55. The *r* of nouns ending in *r* is changed into *u*, before the suffix—*ka*, and also when such a noun is the first member of a compound (Hc. 1,134); PG. *jāmātukasa=jāmātṛkasya* (6,14), *bhātukāṇā=bhātṛkāṇām* (6,18); M. *jāmāuā=jāmātṛka* (Bh. 1,29; Hc. 1,131; Mk. fol. 10; H.); JM. *jāmāuja* (Erz.); S. *jāmādua* (Mahāv. 27,22; Mallikām. 209,22); *jāmādusadda=jāmātṛśabda* (Mallikām 209,1); JM. *bhātūacchala=bhātṛvatsala* (Dvār. 503, 38; 507,30), *bhāughāyaga=bhātṛghātaka*, *bhāuya=bhātṛtyka* (Erz.), S. *bhādusaa=bhātṛśāta* (Venis. 59,3); S. *bhādua* (Vikr. 75,8); Mg. *vañcidabhāduka=vañciabhrātṛka* (Mrcch. 129,6); AMg. *puttanattuparijāra=putranapīparivāra* (Vivāhap. 482). *ammāpiusantis* (Āyār 2,15,15), *ammāpiusussūsaga* (Vivāhap. 60), *māupiusujāya* (Sūyag. 585; Ovav. § 11), *māujo*, *piusukka=mātroyah*, *pītśukra* (Sūyag. 817.822; Thān. 159; Vivāhap. 111), *māuja* (Nāyādh. 1430); S. *mādughara* (Mrcch. 54,4); Mg. *mādukā* (Mrcch. 122,5); M. *piuvaha=pitṛvadha* (G. 484), JM. *nātuya=nāptṛka* (Āv. 8,31); AMg. *nātūi=nāptṛki* (Kappas. § 109). How-

ever, *i* as well, does not occur rarely: M. *natiia=napt̄ika* (Hc. 1,137; Sarasvatik. 8,13), *taṭṭhighadāna=tvaṣṭṛghaṭanā* (G. 704), *māihara* (Hc. 1, 135); AMg. *māimarana*, *bhāimaranā* (Sūyag. 787), *māirakkhiya* (Oav. § 72).; Š. *mādivachala* (Šak. 158,12); AMg. *peiyā=paitrka* (Vivāhap 113); JM. *bhāivachala*, *bhāighājaya* (Dvār. 501, 3, 38), *bhāivahaga=bhrāṭṛ-pavdhaka* (Erz. 14,28; 23,19), *bhāisoga=bhrāṭṛ-soka* (Erz. 53,11); AMg. *am-māpiisamāna*, *bhāisamāna* (Thān. 284); A. *pīmāimosana=pīlmātm̄mosana* (Erz. 158,3); AMg. *bhaṭṭidāraja=bhārtidāraka* (Pannav. 366), S. *bhaṭṭidāraa* (Mahāv. 28,2;32,22); Š. *bhaṭṭidāriā* (Lalitav. 560, 9; 561, 6, 12; 562,22; 563,5; Mālatīm. 72, 2,4.8; 73,5; 85,3; Nāgān. 10,9.13; 12,5.10; 13,4, etc.) In declension, the masculine nouns are inflected like *a*—, *i*—and *u*—stems, the feminine ones, like *ā*—stems; and *māṭi* also is declined like *i*—and *ū*—stems (§ 389—392).

§ 56 Generally the initial *r* becomes *ri* (Vr. 1,30; C. 2,5; Hc. 1, 140; Ki. 1,28; Mk. fol. 11), and *lī* in Mg. So M. AMg. JM. Š. S. A. *riddhi=rddhi* (Pāīyal. 62; G. H.; Sūyag. 954; Oav., KI. 12; Erz.; Kk.; Rśabhap.; Kattig. 400, 325; 403, 370; Mṛcch. 6, 4; 2¹, 7; 77, 10; 94, 19; Hc. 4,418,8).—M. AMg. JM. Š. *rikha* (Hc. 2,19; Pāīyal. 96; H.; Nāyādh.; Oav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Bālar. 221,5; 250,18) and M. AMg. Š. *riccha=rksa* (Vr. 1, 30; 3, 30; Hc. 1, 140; 2, 19; Pāīyal. 128; R.; Rāyap. 124; Šak. 35, 9; Anarghar. 156, 5).—M. JM. *rina*. (Bh. 1, 30; C. 2, 5; Hc. 1, 141; Mk. fol. 11; H.; Kk.); Š. *arīna=anrṇa* (Mṛcch. 64, 22; Šak. 24, 13; 141, 10); Mg. *līna* (Mṛcch. 21, 19) with metrical lengthening of *i* (§ 73).—AMg. *riu=r̄tu* (Hc. 1, 111. 209; Pāīyal. 208; Samav. 119; Nirayāv. 81); Š. *ridu* (Bālar. 131,12).—AMg. *riurvejya=r̄gveda* (Thān. 166; Vivāhap. 149. 787; Nirayāv. 44; Oav. § 77 [so to be read]; Kappas. § 10).—M. AMg. *risaha=r̄sabha* (C. 2, 5 v. 43; Hc. 1, 141; R. [as a proper noun]; Panhāv. 270; Vivāhap. 10; Uvās.; Oav.), AMg. Š. also. *risabha* (Thān. 266 [as a proper noun]; Šak. 95, 7).—Š. *ricāñ=r̄cah* (Ratn. 302, 11).—AMg. JM. Š. *risi=r̄si* (Hc. 1, 141; Pāīyal. 32; Sūyag. 202; Erz.; Mṛcch. 326, 14 [interpolation]); Mg. *lisī* (Prab. 46, 15, 16; 47, 1); AMg. *māhārisi* (Sūyag. 203; Nāyādh. 1475). In cases, like AMg. *rāyārisi=rājarsi* (Vivāhap. 908.915.916; Nāyādh. 600 ff. 1022; Uttar. 279 ff. 563), *māhanarisi=brahmarṣi* (§ 250; Nirayāv. 48, 50 ff.), JM. *mīharisi=maharsi* (Erz.) Š. *sattari:i=saptarsi* (Viddhaś. 49, 4.6.8), JM *divāyanarisi=dvīpāyanarsi* (Dvār. 496, 7. 38; 497, 3) the existence of a separation—vowel (§ 137) has to be accepted; all these forms, therefore, directly go back to Sanskrit.

§ 57. An initial *r* develops, not rarely, into *a*, *i*, *u*, besides into *ri*. So M. AMg. JM. Ā. A. *acchai* P. *acchatī=r̄chāi* (§ 480).—AMg. *accha=r̄ksa* (Āyār. 2, 1, 5, 3; Vivāhap. 282.484; Nāyādh. 345, by the side of *riccha*; Pannav. 49.367), *acchi* (Pannav. 368); cf. Skt. *acchaballa*.—AMg. *ana=r̄na* (Hc. 1, 141; Panhāv. 150).—AMg. JM. *iddhi=rddhi* (Thān. 80.178; Uttar. 116.666; Vivāhap. 55.221; Nāyādh. 990; Oav. § 33. 69; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nirayāv. § 16; Dasav. 635,38; 640,5; Dasav. N. 652, 28). As LEUMANN rightly remarks (Aup. S. s. v. *riddhi*) *idḍhi* is the form that occurs in older texts and *riddhi*, in later texts. The same holds good for AMg. as well in the case of other forms with *ri*° that are found by the side of such as have some vowel.—AMg. Š. *isi=r̄si* (Vr. 1, 28; C. 2, 5; Hc. 1, 141; Ki. 1, 32; Mk. fol. 10; Panhāv. 448 [*suīsi*]; Uttar. 375. 377. 630); Vivāhap. 795.851; Šak. 41, 1; 61, 11; 70, 6; 79, 7; 98, 8; 155, 9; Vikr. 80, 17; Uttar. 123, 10; Unmattar. 3, 7 etc.); in proper nouns AMg. *isigutta*, *isiguttija*, *isidatta*, *isipālija* (Kappas.), and in compounds like AMg. Š. *mahesi=maharṣi* (Sūyag. 74.137; Uttar. 717.720.815; Anarghar. 151, 10; Unmattar. 4, 18); M.Š. *rāesi=rājarsi*

G.; Śak. 19, 5; 20, 12; 21, 4; 50, 1; 52, 16; 57, 12; Vikr. 6, 13. 16. 7. 2; 8, 14; 10, 2.4.14 etc.).—AMg. *uu=ṛtu* (Hc. 1, 131.141.209; Vivāhap. 423.798; Panhāv 464.534; Nāyādh. 34.91² 916.918; Anuog 242.432; Dasav. 627, 11; Dasav. N.648, 14); Ś. *udu* (Śak 2, 8). Cf. § 157. On the likely M. *uu* see § 04.—AMg. Ś. *ujju=ṛju*, (Hc. 1, 131.141; 2, 98; Pannav. 847; Anuog. 541.542 552 533; Uttar. 698.698; Ovav.; Kaiśav. 57,20), AMg. *ujukada=rjukta* (Āyār. 1,1,3,1); usually found *ujju=ṛjuka* (Vr. 3, 52); so M. (H.R.); Ś. (Mṛcch. 88, 18; 90,21); Śak. 30, 4; 130, 5; Ratn. 302, 19; 308, 7; Mudrār. 192, 13; Anarghar. 113, 9; Karnas. 20, 13, etc.), *adiujju* (Ratn. 309, 24; Priyad. 43, 15); AMg. *ujugū* Panhāv. 381; Uvās.), *ujjuja* (Pāīyal. 175; Āyār. 2, 1, 5, 3; 2, 3, 2, 14. 16; Uttar. 170; Ovav.; Kappas.), *anujjuja* (Uttar. 990).—*usaha=rṣabha* (C.2, 5 p. 43; 3,34 p. 51; Hc. 1, 131.133); AMg. *usabha* (Āyār. 2,15,21; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.); AMg. JM. *usabha* (Hc. 1, 24; Kappas.; Āv. 46, 21; Erz.); JM. *usabhaya* (Āv. 46, 21), AMg. *usabhadatta* (Āyār. 2, 15, 2; Kappas.); AMg. *usabhaseṇa* (Kappas.).—According to Ki. 1, 31 *rṇa* always becomes *uṇa*. A mention ought to be made of *riṇa* (§ 56) and *aṇa* (§ 57) only.

i. So it is to be read; cf. PISCHEL on Hc. 2, 98. CODABOLE 249, 9; 256, 1 writes *ujjaa*, what the scholiast translates by *ujjala* and *udyata*.

§ 58. Corresponding to the development of *ı* into *i*, *u*, in the declension of *r*-stems, *ī*—develops into *ī*, *ū*: AMg. *ammāpiñnam*, *ammāpiñnam* (§ 391. 392). Skt. *īr* and *īr* originating from earlier *ī* are regularly treated according to the phonetic law of Pkt: M. JM. *tīraī*, *tīrae* *tīryate* (§ 537); M. *pāīna=pārakīṇa* (G.H.R.), *vīṇna=vikīṇa* (H.), *vivāṇna=viprakīṇa* (H.R.); JM. *vīṇna=vitīṇa* (Erz.); M. *pūraī=pūryate* (§ 537); M. AMg. JM. Ś. *pūṇna=pūrṇa* (H. R.); Uvās.; Kappas.; Kk.; Prab. 57, 2). By the side of M. Ś. *jīṇna=jīrṇa* (Hc. 1, 102; H.; Pratāp. 201, 13; Mṛcch. 93, 9), MG. *yīṇna* (Mṛcch. 162, 23), there is found very abundantly M. AMg. JM. Ś. *jūṇṇa=Vedic jūrṇa* (Hc. 1, 102; G.H.; Karp. 88, 3; Āyār. 2, 16, 9, Vivāhap. 1308; Nāyādh. 321. 983. 985. 987; Uttar. 440; Rāyāp. 258f.; Anuog. 292; Āv. 37, 26; 40, 16; Erz.; Śak. 35, 9; Karp. 53, 5; Viddhaś. 114, 6; Mallikām. 88, 23; Hāsy. 25, 5); AMg. *parijūṇna* Āyār. 1, 7, 6, 1; Thān. 540; Uttar. 63); AMg. *jūṇṇiya* (Nāyādh. 348); JM. *jūṇṇaga* (Āv. 41, 1). By the side of *tīttha=tīrtha*, M. has *tūha=***tūrtha* (Hc. 1, 104; H.; Sarasvatik. 44, 12); *uttūha=***uttūrtha* (steep, fountain; Desin. 1, 94); PG. *tūthike=***tūrthikān=tīrthikān* (5, 5); AMg. *annaūtthija=***anyatūrthika*¹ (Vivāhāp. 129. 130. 137. 139. 142. 178. 323. 324 etc.; Nāyādh. 984 ff.; Thān. 147; Ovav.), *paraūtthija=***paratūrthika*². It is wrong³ to derive⁴ *tūha* directly from earlier **tītha*.

i. WEBER, IS. 16, 46. 299. Note 2; LEUMANN, Aup. S. p. 95.—2. LEUMANN Lc.—3. BARTHOLOMAE, ZDMG. 50, 680.—4. WACKERNAGEL, Alt. Gr. 24.

§ 59. Following a consonant *l* develops into *ili* : *kilitta=klptā* (Vr. 1, 33; Hc., 145; Ki. 1, 33; Mk. fol. 11); *kilitti=klpti* (Ki. 1, 33; Mk. fol. 11). According to Ki. 5, 16, in A., it either remains or develops into *a* : *klta*, *katta=klptā*. Hc. (1, 145; 4, 329) accepts the presence of *l* in *klinna* “moistened” (PISCHEL on Hc. 1, 145) also. The forms *klinna* and A. *kiṇṇa*, quoted by him, are explained as having originated from *klinna* (§ 136). Single *l* develops into *li* in *liāra* (Mk. fol. 11), *likāra* (Kalpal. p. 36)=*lkāra*.

2. VOWELS.

a) THE DIPHTHONGS *ai* AND *au*

§ 60. In Pkt., *ai* has been retained as an interjection only, and that also in poetry only (Hc. 1, 169); commonly, in lieu of it, is also used M. Ś. *ai=Skt. ayi* (Vr. 9, 12; Hc. 1, 169 ;2, 205; H.; Mṛcch. 63,

13; 64, 25; 87, 21; Vikr. 28, 10; 42, 19; 45, 2; Mālatīm. 74, 5; 247, 1; 264, 3 etc). Some scholars, according to Hc. 1, 1—Prākrta-candrikā 344, 5; C. 2, 14 p. 37, even in Pkt., permit *ai* in words like *kaiava*=*kaitava*, *airāvana* (Bhāttikāvya 13, 33). In fact, however, the places where *ai* is found to exist the text gives an incorrect reading (PISCHEL on Hc. 1, 1). Mk. fol. 12 rejects it outright. Generally *ai* develops into *e*, and before a doubled constant, into *ē*: PG. *vijayavejayike*=*vijayavaijayikān* (6, 9).—M. AMg. JM. S. *erāvana*=*airāvana* (Bh. 1, 35; Vr. 2, 11; Hc. 1, 148 20); Ki. 2, 31; Mk. fol. 15; R.; Sūyag. 317; Kappas.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 68, 14); A. *erāvai*=*airāvata* (Pingala 1, 24); cf. § 246.—AMg. *esajja*=*aisvarya* (Thān. 450). JŚ. *ejagga*=*aikāgrya* (Pav. 388, 1).—S. *edihāśia*=*aithāśika* (Lalitav. 555, 2).—M. *kedhaba*=*kaiṭhaba* (Vr. 2, 21. 29; Hc. 1, 148. 196. 240; Ki. 2, 11; Mk. fol. 16).—M. *geria*=*gairika* (Karp. 80, 10), AMg. *geruya*=**gairuka* (Āyār. 2, 1, 6, 6; Sūyag. 834; Pañnav. 26; Dasav. 619, 41).—AMg. *nejāuya*=**naijāuka*=*nayāyika* (Sūyag 11/. 361; 994 ff. [*ne'*]); Nāyādh. § 144; Uttar. 158. 180. 238. 324; Ovav.), *aneyāuya* (Sūyag 736).—AMg. *mehuṇa*=*maithuna* (Āyār. 2, 1, 3, 2. 9, 1; 2, 2, 1, 12. 2, 10; Sūyag. 409. 816. 822 f. 923. 994; Bhag.; Uvās.; Ovav.), JM. *mehuṇaya* (Erz.), JŚ. *medhuṇa* (Kattig. 399, 306; text **hu'*).—M. *vehavva*=*vaidhavya* (G.H.R.).—AMg. JM. *vejaddha*=*vaitādhyā* (C. 2, 6; Vivāhap. 479; Thān. 73; Vivāgas. 91; Nirāyāv. 79; Erz.).—M. AMg. JM. *selā*=*saila* (Bh. 1, 35; Pāiyāl. 50; G. R.; Mṛcch. 41, 16; Karp. 49. 6; Āyār. 2, 2, 2, 8; 2, 6, 1, 2; Kappas.; Ovav.; Erz.; Rśabhap.), but CP *saila* (Hc. 4, 326).—M. AMg. JM. S. Mg. *t'ella*=*taila* (§90).—M. JM. AMg. *cetta*=*caitra* (Karp. 12, 4. 9; Viddhaś. 25, 2; Ki. 19; Āyār. 2, 15. 6. Kappas.).—M. JM. *me'tti*=*matri* (H. R.; Ki. 7; Erz.).—M. JM. S. *ve'jja*=*vaidya* (Hc. 1, 148; 2, 24; H.; Āv. 16, 8; Erz.; Vikr. 47, 2; Mālav. 26, 5; Karp. 104, 7).—M. S. *senna*=*sainya* (§282).—On the development of *i* for *ē* from *ai*, see §84.

§61. The grammarians permit the use of *ai* compulsorily in the case of some words and optionally in that of others in lieu of *e*. The words in which there must be *ai* have been grouped by them under the *daityādi* class (Vr. 1, 36; Hc. 1, 151; Ki. 1, 37; Mk. fol. 12; Pkl. p. 36). But common to all of them are the words M. *daucca*=*daitya* (Pāiyāl. 26, 99; G.); *vāideha* (Ki. **hi*)=*vāideha*; AMg. *vaisāha*=*vaiśākha* (Āyār. 2, 15, 25 [beside *ve'*!]; Vivāhap. 1426; Nirāyāv. 10; Uttar. 768; Kappas.). Further Hc. and C. [2, 6], in addition, have *aśaria*=*aisvarya*, wherefor AMg. has *esajja* (§60); Hc. alone has *dainna*=*dainya*, *vaijāvana*=*vaijavana*; *dāvaya*=*daivata*; *vaiśāla*=*vaitāliya*; *vāidabbha*=*vādarbha*; *vaiśāṇara*=*vaiśvānara*; *vaiśāla*=*vaiśāla*. Bh. Hc. Mk. Pkl. add also *saira*=*svaira*, which Pāiyāl. 13. 15 also has; Bh. Hc. Mk. have *vaisesa*=*vādeśa*, Bh. Hc. Mk. Pkl. M. *kaiava* (G. H.), *kaiyava* (Pāiyāl. 157; Erz.). Ki. and Pkl. have in addition, AMg. *vaissa*=*vaiśya* (Vivāgas. 152; Uttar. 754), by the side whereof AMg. has *ve'ssa* (Sūyag. 373), further *vāidesia*=*vādeśya* and *vesaia*=*vaiśayika*; besides Ki. alone has *vaisamma*=*vaiśanya*, Pkl. *khaitta*=*ksātra*. In the case of all other words the statements of the grammarians are at variance. Vr. 1, 37 and Ki. 1, 38 permit both *ai* and *e*, side by side, only in *daiva*. Hc. 1, 153 has a special rule with regard to this word, and he knows yet others with the similar phonetic variation; besides like Pkl. p. 37 and Triv. 1, 2, 102 he includes all such words in the *vairādi* group, wherein Triv. includes also *daiva*. Mk. fol. 12 has a *daivādi* class. According Bh. on Vr. 1, 37 the word *daiva* is pronounced as *daiva*, but according to Vr. 3, 52 the word, on account of development of *e* along with reduplication of *v*, becomes *dē'vva*. Both of them are found in Ki. as well, whereas Hc. has *dē'vva*, *dāvva* and *daivva*; Mk., as it seems, prescribes *dē'vva* and *daivva*. But *dē'vva* and *daivva* are=*daiyya*; A. *daiva* (Hc. 4, 331; 340,

1; 389). According to Mk. fol. 66 and Rv. (PISCHEL on Hc. 1, 153) *aī* is not used in this word in S. that is mainly implied by the exclusion of *aī* from S. by Rv. And in fact, according to the best MSS. (PISCHEL on Hc. 1, 148) *aī* in S. and Mg. develops into *e* only, and never into *ai*, not even in the words in which the other dialects must have only *aī*. Thus: *kedava*=*kaitava* (Śak. 106, 6), *vesāha* (Viddhaś. 77, 7), *sera*=*svaira* (Mṛcch. 143, 15; Mukund. 70, 18, 19). In the words, showing variation between *aī* and *e*, S. and Mg. always have *e*. So S. Mg. *dēvva* (Mṛcch. 20, 24; Śak. 60, 17; 71, 4; 161, 12; Mālav. 57, 19; Ratn. 317, 32; Mṛcch. 140, 10).—According to Bh. 1, 35 *kailāsa* becomes *kelāsa*, but according to Hc., Mk. and Pkl. it becomes *kailāsa* or *kelāsa*; Pāīyal. 97 has *kailāsa*, M. (G.R. Bālar. 181, 14) and S. (Vikr. 41, 3; 52, 5; Viddhaś. 25, 9) has *kelāsa*. According to Bh. 1, 36, C. 2, 6 *vaira* becomes *vairā*, and according to Hc., Mk. and Pkl. it becomes also *vera*. So JM. *vāīra* (Erz.), *vaiīi*=*vairin* (Erz.; Kk.) by the side of M. AMg. JM. S. *vera* (R.; Sūyag. 16, 359. 375. 406. 872. 891; Āyār. 1, 2, 5, 5; Bhag.; Erz.; Kk.; Mṛcch. 24, 4; 148, 1; Mahāv. 52, 18, 19; Prab. 9, 16); Mg. *vela* (Mṛcch. 21, 15. 19; 133, 8; 165, 2); M. JM. *veri* (G.; Erz.; Kk.); JM. *veriya*=*vairika* (Kk.), A. *veria* (Hc. 4, 439, 1), Mg. *velia* (Mṛcch. 126, 6).—According to Ki. *kairava* forms *kairava*, and according to Hc. Mk. Pkl. also *kerava*. For *caīra*, Ki. prescribes *cāīta*, but Hc. Mk. Pkl. have also *cēīta*, and it is found in M. AMg. JM. (§60); for *jaitra*, Mk. has *jāīta* and *jeīta*, and for *bhairava*, Bh. Hc. Ki. have *bhaīrava*, and Mk. Pkl. have *bherava* as well. In M. is found *bhairavī* (G.), in AMg., JM. *bherava* (Sūyag. 129. 130; Āyār. 1, 6, 2, 3; 1, 7, 6, 5; 2, 15, 15; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), in S. *mahābhervī*. (Prab. 65, 4; 66, 10 [it is to be read as such]), Mg. *mahābhelava* (Prab. 58, 18 [so it is to be read]). In the proper noun *bhairavānanda* (Karp. 24, 2 ff.) mostly the MSS., as in the Bombay edition 25, 4 ff., have *bhaira°*, which was rightly corrected to *bhera°* by Konow, as it is in Kāleyak. 16, 14. According to Bh. Ki. Mk. Pkl. *vaiśampayana* forms *vaisampāana*, according to Hc. *ve°* also; *vaiśravana*, according to Hc., becomes *vaisavana* and *ve°*, and in AMg. JM. it is *vesamana* (Nāyādh. 852. 853; Uttar. 677; Bhag.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.). Hc. prescribes variation between *aī* and *e* also in the case of *vaitālika* and *vaiśika*, the words in which according to Bh. there is *aī*; AMg. has *vesija* (Anuog.). All the ganas of the grammarians are ākṛtigānas, and they are to be augmented further from literature, like AMg. *vairoyaṇa*=*vairocana* (Sūyag. 306; Bhag.); *vaikunṭha*=*vaikuṇṭha* (Pāīyal. 21) etc.

§61^a. Like *ai*, there are some who, according to Hc. 1, 1=Prākrta-candrikā, 344, 5; C. 2, 14 p. 37, permit also *au*: *sauaria*=*saudarya*, *kaurava*, *kaulava* (C.)=*kaurava*. Such errors of transcription are numerous in MSS. Generally—*au* develops into *o* (Vr. 1, 41; C. 2, 8; Hc. 1, 159; Ki. 1, 39; Mk. fol. 13), and into *ō* before double consonants: PG. *kolikā*=*kaulikā* (6, 39), *kosika*=*kauśika* (6, 16); M. *kosia* (Hc.; G. 306), S. *kosia* (Śak. 20, 12).—S. *orasa*-*aurasa* (Vikr. 80, 4).—AMg. *ovamma*=*auḍamya* (Ovav.).—M. AMg. JM. JŚ. S. *osaha*=*ausadha* (§223).—AMg. JM. *kouyā*, *kouga*=*kautuka* (Pāīyal. 156; Sūyag. 730; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.)—M. AMg. JM. *komui*=*kaumudi* (Bh. 1, 41; Hc.; Ki.; H.; Ovav.; Erz.), S. *komudī* (Vikr. 23, 20; Priyad. 19, 11; 40, 5).—S. *kosambi*=*kauśambi* (Bh.; Hc.; Ratn. 310, 21), S. *kosambiā*=*kauśāmbikā* (Ratn. 308, 29).—M. AMg. JM. *kouhala*=*kautūhalā* (G.; Uttar. 631; Erz.; Kk.), S. *kodūhala* (Mṛcch. 68, 14; Śak. 19, 3; 121, 10; 129, 1; Vikr. 19, 7; Mālatim. 257, 1; Mudrār. 43, 5; Viddhaś. 15, 2; Pras. 19, 4; Cait. 42, 1; 44, 12), *kodūhatilla* (Bālar. 168, 3); M. AMg. JM. *kouhalla*=*kautūhalā* (Hc. 1, 117. 171; 2, 99; Pāīyal. 156; G. H.; Karp. 57, 3;

Vivāhap. 11, 12, 812), AMg. JM. also *kouhalla* (Ovav.; Kk.). On *kohala* see § 123.—M. AMg. JM. D. A. *do=dvai* (§ 436).—JM. *dovai=dyaus-pati* (Kk.).—AMg. *dovai=draupadī* (Nāyādh. 1228), Mg. *dovadī* (Mrcch. 11, 7; 16, 23; 128, 14 [so it is to be read, as it is in most of the MSS.; *döppadī* (129.6) is not = *draupadī*, but = *duśpatih*]).—JŚ. *ahoda=dhauta* (Pav. 379, 1).—M. AMg. *porāna=paurāna* (H.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Rāyap. 74, 139; Hc. 4, 287), JM. *porānaya* (Erz.).—M. AMg. JM. S. *sohagga=saubhāgya* (G. H. R.; Ovav.; Erz.; Mrcch. 68, 17; Šak. 71, 8; Vikr. 32, 17; Mahāv. 34, 11; Prab. 37, 16; 38, 1, 39, 6).—M. JM. *kōthua=kaustubha* (Bh.; Hc.; G. H. R.; Erz.).—M. AMg. JM. S. A. *jōvvana=yauvana* (§ 90).—M. *d'occa=dauhya* (H. 84).—M. S. *dōbballa=daurbalya* (G. H. R.; Šak. 63, 1).—JM. *pavōta=prapautra* (Āv. 8,31).—M. S. *mōttia*, JM. *mōttiyā=mauktika* (G. H. R.; Mrcch. 70,25; 71, 3; Karp. 73, 5; 82, 8; Viddhaś. 108, 2; Erz.).—M. AMg. JM. JŚ. S. A. *sōkkha=saukhyā* (Mk., G. H. R.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Ki. 9; Pav. 381, 19, 20; 383, 75; 385, 69; Kattig. 402, 361, 362, 369; Mālatim. 82, 3; Uttarar. 121, 4; Hc. 4, 332, 1), Mg. *sōkkha* (Prab. 28, 15; 56, 1; 58, 16). M. JM. S. *sōmma=sauanya* (G. R.; Ki. 7; Ratn. 317, 31; Mahāv. 6, 8; Uttarar. 31, 20; 62, 8; 71, 8; 92, 8; Anarghar. 149, 9; Karṇav. 9, 2), by the side of AMg. JM. *soma* (Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.).—Like the development of *ai* into *aī*, a number of words show a change of *au* into *aī*. The grammarians have collected them together in the *paurādi* ākṛtīgaṇa (Vr. 1, 42; Hc. 1, 162; Ki. 1, 41; Mk. fol. 13; Pkl. p. 38). But there is the difference that while the number of words that have *ai* beside *e* for *ai* is very large, the number of words that have *aī* along with *o* for *au* is very small. Bh. on Vr. 1, 42 permits *kosala* by the side of *kaūsala*, which alone is found in Hc. Ki. Mk.; Hc. 1, 161. 162. has *kočcheaya* by the side of *kaūccheaya*; Mk. fol. 13 permits *mona* by the side of *maūṇa*, which Hc. has, and *moli* by the side of *maūli*, which Hc. and Pkl. also have, even though he refers to Karp. 6, 9. According to Mk. *aī* does not occur in S. in *kaurava* and *gaurava*, according to Pkl., not in *paura* and *kaurava*. In lieu of *o* is prescribed *aī* in *paura* by Bh. Hc. Ki. Mk. Pkl., in *kaurava* by Bh. C. Hc. Ki. Mk. Pkl., in *paurusa* by Bh. Hc. Mk. Pkl., in *saura* and *kaula* by Hc. C., in *gauda* by Hc. Pkl., in *ksaurita* by Mk. Pkl., in *saudha* by Hc., in *ksaura* by Mk., and in *aucitya* by Pkl. The instances met with are: M. *kaūla* (G.) and *kola* (Karp. 25, 2 = Kāleyaka. 16, 21 [text *kau°*]).—M. *gaiida* (G.), but AMg. A. *goda* (Panḥāv. 41 [text *gau°*, but cf. WEBER, Verzeichniss 2, 2, 510]; Pingala 2, 112. 138).—M. JM. *paūra=paura* (G.; Ki. 12; Erz.; Rśabhap.), but S. *pora* (Šak. 138, 11; Mudrār. 42, 10 [text *pau°*]; 161, 1; Mālatim. 288, 3; Uttarar. 27, 3; Bālar. 149, 21; Kāleyak. 22, 5), Mg. *pola* (Mrcch. 167, 1. 2 [edition *pau°*]); therefore, in Mrcch. 160, 11 *paūlā* is to be corrected as *polā*.—Bh. Hc. Mk. Pkl. have *paūrisa=paurusa*, but JM. *porisa* (Erz.), AMg. *porisi* (Āyār. 1, 8, 1, 4; Samav. 74; Uvās.; Kappas.), *porisiya* (Sūyag. 281), *aporisiya* (Vivāhap. 447; Nāyādh. 1113). Cf. § 124.—*maūṇa=mauna* (Hc. Mk.), and so in S. (Viddhaś. 46, 11), is certainly an error for *mona*, as in M. AMg. JM. (Mk.; H.; Āyār. 1, 2, 4, 4; 1, 2, 6, 3; Sūyag. 120. 123. 495. 502; Panḥāv. 403; Erz.; Rśabhap.).—M. AMg. JM. *maūli=mauli* (G.; Karp. 2, 5; Sūyag 730. 766; Thān. 480; Ovav. § 33; Kk.) and M. *moli* (Karp. 6, 9). In S. there is *moli* (Karp. 112, 3; Mallikām. 183, 5; Pras. 33, 6 [text *mau°*]), but *maūli* (Vikr. 75, 11; Mālatim. 218, 1). But in the Bombay edition (1888) of Vikr. (122, 1) and as a v. l. in SHANKAR P. PANDIT (131, 4), in Mālatim., the MS. N. and the Madras edition, there is *moli*, which occurs in the Bombay 1892 edition as *mauli* (167,2). Presumably in both the places

the correct form would be *moli*. Hc. has *saūha=saudha*, but Š. *sodha* (Mālatīm. 292, 4). The variation, therefore, is far more dialectical than estimated by the grammarians. For Š. Mg. only *ho* should be correct. According to Vr. 1, 43; Hc. 1, 163; Ki. 1, 42 *gaunava* develops into both *gaūrava* and *gārava*, and according to Mīk. fol. 13, it develops also into *gorava*, which he alone assigns to Š. JM. has *gāūraia* (Erz.), M. Š. *gorava* (H.; Adbhutad. 54, 10), M. AMg. JM. *gārava* (G. H. R.; Dasav. 635, 38; Pañhāv. 307; Uttar. 902; Erz.); JM. *ja* (Kī. 6). To Pāli *garu* belongs *gārava*, Pkt. *garua*, *garuja=guruka* (§ 123), Skt. *garīyas, gariṣṭha*. On *u* for *o* from *au* see § 84.

(b) LENGTHENING OF SHORT VOWELS.

§ 62. A short vowel, before *r* + consonant, especially sibilant, and sibilant+following *ya, ra, va* or sibilant, is very often lengthened and the consonant group is simplified. The cases of lengthening are more abundant in M. and, especially, in AMg. and JM. than in Š. Mg. which often retain the short vowel and assimilate the consonants. Thus:—
r + consonant: PG. *kātūnam*, P. *kātūnañ*, AMg. JM. *kāūnam=**
kartvānam (§ 585. 586); VG. *kātūna*, JŚ. *kādūna* (§ 21), M. JM. *kāūna=**
kartvāna (§ 586); M. AMg. JM. *kāum*, Š. Mg. *kādum=kartum* (§ 574);
M. *kāava*, AMg. JM. *kāyava*, JŚ. Š. Mg. *kādavva=kartavya* (§ 570).—
*gāyari=**
gāgari=gaggari=Skt. gargari (Deśin. 2, 89).—M. *dūhava=dur-*
bhaga (Hc. 1, 115. 192; Karp. 86, 2), and on its analogy Š. *sūhava=subhaga* (Hc. 1, 113. 192; Mallikām. 126, 2).—AMg. JM. *nīnei=nīrṇayati* (Nirāyāv. § 17; Uttar. 578, Erz.); JM. *nīneha=nīrṇayata* (Dvār. 496, 5); *nīnijjanta,*
nīnijjamāna=nīrṇiyamāna (Āv. 2 4, 4; 25, 34), *nīnehii=nīrṇesyatī*, *nīnūnā=*
nīrṇiya (Erz.); AMg. JM. *nīnīya=nīrṇita* (Nāyādh. 516; Erz.).—A *sāva*
=*tarva* (Hc. 4, 420, 5=Sarasvatik. 158, 22). In the case of *r*+stop or
nasal generally the vowel remains short and the consonants are assimilated.—AMg. *parimāsi=parimarśin* (Thān, 313).—AMg. JM. JŚ. *phāsa=*
sparsa (Hc. 2, 92; Āyār. 1, 2, 3, 2; 1, 4, 2, 2, 3, 2; 1, 5, 4, 5; 1, 6, 3,
2; Sūyag. 170. 172. 257. 337; Pañnav. 8. 10. 380; Anuog. 268; Ovav.;
Kappas.; Erz.; Pav. 384, 47).—M. AMg. JM. *vāsa=varṣa* (Hc. 1, 43;
H.; Sūyag. 148; Vivāhap. 427. 479. 1243; Uttar. 673; Dasav. 632, 42;
Samav. 166; Uvās.; Erz.); AMg. *vāsai=varṣati* (Daśav. N. 648, 7. 13.
14), *vāsiukāma=varṣilukāma* Thān. 155), but Š. *vassāridu=varṣartu* (Viddhaś. 99, 1; v. 1. *vāsā*); Mg. *vāśadi* (Mṛcch. 79, 9).—AMg.
sāsava=sāṣapa (Āyār. 2, 1, 8, 3). In AMg. sometimes the vowel
followed by *l* + consonant is also lengthened: AMg. *phāgūna=phalgunā* (Vivāhap. 1426) by the side of *phagguna, phaggumita* (Kappas.), *phaggūṇī* (Uvās.), M. *phaggū* (H.), Š. *uttaraphaggūṇī, phaggūṇā* (Karp. 18, 6; 20,
6; Dhananjayav. 11, 7); AMg. *vāgala=valkala* (Nāyādh. 1275; Nirāyāv.
54), *vāga=valka* (Ovav. § 74; text *vāka*), but M. Š. *vakkala* (G.; Śak.
10. 12; 27, 10; Vikr. 84, 20; Anarghar. 58, 11), M. *avavakkala=apaval-*
kala (G.), Mg. *nivakkala=nirvalkala* (Mṛcch. 22, 7).

§ 63. A sibilant + *ya*: AMg. *nāsasi=naśyasi* Uttar. 712); M. *nāsāi*,
nāsanti, nāsasu (H. R.); JM. *nāsai, nāsanti* (Erz.), by the side of AMg.
nassāmi (Uttar. 713); AMg. *nassai* (Hc. 4, 178. 230; Āyār. 1, 2, 3, 5
[v. 1. *nāsai*], *nassamāna* (Uvās.), *vinassai* (Āyār. 1, 2, 3, 5); JM. *nassāmo*,
nassa (Erz.); Š. *nassadi* (Sak. 95, 8); Mg. *vināśādu* (Mṛcch. 118, 19).—
AMg. JM. *pāsai=pāśyati* (Āyār. 1, 1, 5, 2; Sūyag. 91; Vivāhap. 156,
231. 274. 275. 284. 1325 ff.; Vivāgas. 139; Nandis. 363, 371; Rāyāp.
21. 240; Jiv. 339 ff.; Dasav. 643, 13 etc.; Erz.); AMg. *pāśiyavām na*
(*absol.*, Sūyag. 122); *pāsa* (eye; Deśin. 6, 75; Triv. in BB. 6, 104).

AMg. *kīsanti*=*kliśyante* (Uttar. 576), but JM. *kiliśsāi* (Erz.), Š. *adi*
kiliśsādi (Mālav. 7, 17).—AMg. JM. *sīsa*=*śīsyā* (Hc. 1, 43; 4, 265;
Pāiyāl. 101; Dasav. N.645, 12. 13; Kappas.; Āv. 40, 8 ff; 41, 11;
Dvār. 499, 13; Erz.); *sīsaga*=*śīsyaka*. (Āv. 40, 22; Dvār. 498, 13), by
the side of JM. Š. *sissa* (Āv. 33, 21; Priyad. 35, 5; Hāsy. 25, 13; 27,
19; 34, 3. 6. 10; Mallikām. 156, 23; Kāleyaka. 18, 3. 9; 19, 13; 24, 14;
incorrect *sīsa* 16, 8 ; Š. *susissa*=*suśīsyā* (Šak. 77, 11), *sīsā*=*śīsyā* (Mallikām.
219, 20); AMg. *sissari* (girl disciple; Vivāhap. 342 [text [°]*ssi*]);
Nāyādh. 1498; Samav. 241).—M. *tūsāi* (Vr. 8, 46; Hc. 4, 236; Ki. 4,
68; H.), J.S. *tūsedi*) (Kattig. 400, 335), but Š *tussadi* (Mālav. 8, 3).—
AMg. JM. *maṇūṣa*=*manusya* (Hc. 1, 43; Sūyag. 180; Vivāhap. 79. 341.
361. 425; Uttar. 175; Pañnav. 706; Dasav. N.653, 11; Ovav.; Āv. 26,
34; Erz.), AMg. *maṇūṣī* (Pañnav. 706), but also *maṇussa* (Vivāhap. 362.
717; Pañnav. 367; Uvās.), and so also J.S. (Kattig. 399, 308) and
always M. Š. (2, 26^b p.42; Pāiyāl. 60; H.; Mṛcch. 44, 2. 3; 71, 9;
117, 18; 136, 7), Mg. *maṇūṣā* (Mṛcch. 11, 24; 13, 4; 17, 17; 30,
21; 125, 21; 164, 6), *maṇūṣāa* (Mṛcch. 131, 10), *maṇūṣāka* (Mṛcch. 113,
21).—The same lengthening takes place in Mg. in the genitive forms
like *kāmāha* from * *kāmāsa*=*kāmasya*, *cālitīha*=*cāritrasya*, *śalīlāha*=*śari-*
rasya, which in A. *kanaaha*=*kanakasya*, *cāndālāha*=*cāndālaysa*, etc. show
subsequently shortened *a* (§ 264. 315 366); further in the genitive like A.
kāsu, *jāsu*, *iāsu*=*kasya*, *yasya*, *tasya* (§ 425) and the forms of the future like
A. *karisi*=* *karisyam*=*kariṣyāmi*, *pāvīsu*=* *prāpīsyam*=*prāpsyāmi*, *pēkkhīhimi*=
* *prekṣīyāmi*=*prekṣīy*, *sahīhimi*=*sahīsyē*; *karihi*=*kariṣyasi* (§ 315. 520.
525. 531. 533).

§ 64. Sibilant + *ra* : M. *sāsū*=*śvaśrū* (H.), Š. *sāsue*=* *śvaśruke*
(Bālar. 153, 20).—M. *mīsa*=*miśra* (Hc. 1, 43; 2, 170; H.); AMg.
mīsajāyā=*miśrajāta* (Ovav.), *mīsaya*=*miśraka* (Thān. 129 f.; Kappas.),
mīsijāi (Uvās.), *mīsiya* (Kappas.); *mīstālia* (Hc. 2, 170); but *missai*
(Hc. 4, 28); Š. *missa* (Mṛcch. 69, 12; Šak. 18, 3), *missā*=*miśrikā*
(Šak. 142, 10), *missida* (Prab. 29. 8); Mg. *miśśā* (Mṛcch. 11, 6; 117, 8).—
AMg. *vīsa*=*visra* (Sūyag. 753).—M. JM. *vīsamāi*=*viśrāmyati* by the
side of Š. *vissamādu* (§ 489).—M. *vīsambha*=*visrambha* (Hc. 1, 43; H.R.),
but Š. *vissambha* (Mṛcch. 74, 8 [so it is to be read with v. l. and
GODABOLE's edition 213, 1]; Šak. 19, 4; Mālatim. 105. [So AD.];
210, 7 [So N.])—Š. *ūsā*=*usrā* (Lalitav. 555, 1).—AMg. *ūsaveha*=*ucchra-*
payata from * *utśrapayata* (Vivāhap. 957, *ūsavīja*=* *ucchrāpīta* (Ovav.;
Kappas.); AMg. JM. *ūsiyā*=*ucchrita* (Sūyag. 771. 958 [text [°]*u*]; Pañhāv.
287; Nāyādh. 481; Uttar. 664; Nandis. 63. 68; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.),
but AMg. *ussiyyā* (Sūyag. 309), *samussiyyā* (Sūyag. 275) beside [°]*ūsi*[°]
Sūyag. 281), *ussaviyyā* (Āyār. 2, 1, 7, 1), Š. *ussāvedī*=*ucchrāpīyatī*
Uttarar. 61, 2).—Sibilant + *va* : M. AMg. JM. *āsa*=*āsva* (Bh. 1, 2;
Hc. 1, 43; R.; Āyār. 2, 1, 5, 3; Vivāhap. 503; Vivāsag. 61; Uttar.
195. 217. 336. 500. 501; Nāyādh. 731. 780. 1233. 1266. 1388. 1456;
Pañnav. 367; Anuog. 507; Nirāyāv.; Ovav., Āv. 35, 12. 13. 16. 21. 24;
Erz.; Kk.), beside *assa* (Bh. 1, 2; Āyār. 2, 10, 12; 2, 11, 11. 12; 2, 15,
20; Sūyag. 182; Uttar. 617; Āv. 11, 18 ff.), as Š. always has (Mṛcch.
69, 10; Bālar. 238, 8).—M. *nīsāsai*; AMg. *nīsasi*; JM. *nīsasiūna*=
nīśvāya (Erz.); Š. *nīsāsādi*, Mg. *nīśāśādu*; M. *ūsāsāi*, AMg. *ūsasānti*;
Mg. *ūsāśādu*; M. *vīsāsāi*; AMg. *vīsāse*; Š. *vīsāsādi*; also AMg. *ussāsāi*,
nīssāsāi, from *śvas*, preceded by *nīh ud, vi* (§ 327^a. 496).—AMg. JM. Š.
vīsattha=*viśvasta* (Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 99, 24; 100, 4; 105, 1;
Šak. 70, 9; Vikr. 8, 8; 23, 6; 47, 1). A. *sāha*=*śāśvat* (Hc. 4, 366. 422,
22), equated to *sarva* by Hc.—Sibilant + sibilant, originating through
the process of assimilation in Pkt. in Skt.=*h*+sibilant : M. AMg. JM.

S. *ūsava*, *ūsaa*=*utsava* from **ussava*, **ussaa* (§ 327^a).—M. *ūsua*=*utsuka*, by the side of AMg. JM. *ussuyā*, S. *ussua* (§ 327^a).—M. *vīsaria*=**vismarita*=*vismṛta*, J.S. *visarijā*, beside JM. *vissarijā* (§ 478).—M. *nīsaṅka*=*nīśaṅka* (G.H.), AMg. *nīsaṅka* (Āyār. 1, 5, 5, 2), A., with metrical short-enning, *nīsaṅka* (Hc. 4, 396, 1; 401, 2) by the side of JM. *nīsaṅka* (Erz.).—M.S. *nīsaha*=*nīśaha* (Hc. 1, 43; G. H. R.; Uttarar. 9², 10) by the side of *nīsaha* (Hc. 1, 13).—M. JM. S. A. *dūsaha*=*duḥsaha* (Hc. 1, 13. 115; Ki. 2, 113; Pāiyāl. 234; H. R.; Āv. 12, 31; Karp. 82, 7; Mālatim. 79, 2; Vikr. 60, 18), S. *dūsahattana*=*duḥsahatva* (Mālatim. 81, 2) by the side of S. *dūsaha* (Hc. 1, 13. 115; Ki 2, 113; Prab. 44, 1) and poetical M. *dūsaha* (Hc. 1, 115; G. H.).—AMg. *tejākamma*=**tejalakamā* (Ovav).—*maṇasīlā*=*manahśilā* (Hc. 1, 26 43) by the side of *maṇosīlā*, *maṇasīlā* (§ 347) and *maṇasīlā* (§ 74).

§ 65. In other cases the lengthening of vowels is exceptional, partly just dialectical. AMg. JM. *gāūya*=*gaūyūta* (§ 80).—M. AMg. JM. J.S. S. *jīhā*=*jīhvā* (Vr. 1, 17; Hc. 1, 92; 2, 57; Ki. 1, 17; Mk. fol. 7; Pāiyāl. 251; G. H. R.; Āyār. p. 137, 7. 9; Vivāhap. 943; Pañnav. 101; Jiv. 883; Uttar. 943 (by the side of *jibbhā* § 332); Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Kattig. 403; Vikr. 15, 3; 16, 12; 18, 10; Karp. 66, 5; Vṛṣabh. 20, 9; Cāṇḍak. 17, 3; Mallikām. 90, 23; Kaṁsav. 7, 17); Mg. *yīhā* (Mṛcch. 167, 3).—M. AMg. JM. S. *dāhiṇa* from **dākhīna* (§ 323)=*dakṣīna* (Hc. 1, 45; 2, 72; G. H. R.; Ratn. 293, 3; Āyār. 1, 7, 6, 2; 2, 1, 2, 6; Jiv. 345; Bhag.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 97, 15; 117, 18; Venīs. 61, 6; Bālar. 249, 7); AMg. *dāhiṇilla* (Thān. 264 ff.; 358; Vivāgas. 180; Pañnav. 102 ff.; Vivāhap. 218. 880. 1288 ff. 1331 ff. 1874.; Nāyādh. 333. 335. 867. 1349; Jiv. 227 ff. 345; Rāyāp. 72. 73); AMg. *ājāhiṇa*, *pājāhiṇa*=*ādakṣīna*, *pradakṣīna* (Sūyag. 1017; Vivāhap. 161. 162; Nirāyāv. § 4; Uvās.; Ovav. (text *ādā²*]), *pājāhiṇa* (Uttar. 302); by the side of PG. *dakhiṇa* (6, 28), M. AMg. JM. S. Ā. *dakhīna* (Hc. 1, 45; 2, 72; G. H. R.; Pratāpar. 215, 19; Sūyag. 574; Erz.; Mṛcch. 9, 9; 155, 4; Vikr. 20, 2; 31, 5; 45, 2; 76, 17; Bālar. 264, 4; 278, 19; Mṛcch. 99, 19), Mg. *dahkhīna* (Mṛcch. 130, 5; 164, 7; 168, 18; Cāṇḍak. 64, 9; 66, 13; 71, 9), S. *dakkhīnā* (Cāṇḍak. 3, 16); AMg. *dakkhīnilla* (Samav. 144; Nāyādh. 866. 921. 929. 930. 1350).—PG. *dūdha*=*dugdha* (6, 31).—M. *dhūā*, AMg. JM. *dhūjā*, S. Mg. *dhūdā* (daughter)=**dhuktā*, **dhūtā*, with a march over to the ā-declension (§ 212. 392).—AMg. JM. *bhāsā*=*bhasman* (Thān. 589; Pañhāv. 507; Antag. 68; Vivāhap. 171. 1033. 1232. 1247. 1254. 1281. 1282; Kappas.; Sagara 4, 9), but S. *bhassa* (Hāsy. 27, 19; 41, 4).—*rājagāi* (leech; Deśin. 7, 5) from **rātagati*=**raktagati*.

§ 66. As described under § 119. 122. 125, ē̄, ō, that developed from *i*, *u*, *i*, *ū*, whether original or going back to *r*, before consonant-groups are, in certain dialects, lengthened, and in such cases the consonant-groups are simplified. AMg. *kōdha* from **kōt̪iha*=**kut̪iha*=*kūṣṭha* (Nāyādh. 1046. 1047. 1177; Uvās. § 148; Vivāgas. 33, 34 [text *kōddha*], 198), *kōdhi*- (Pañhāv. 523) from and by the side of *kōt̪ihi*- Āyār. 1, 6, 1, 3), *kut̪ihi*- (Āyār. 2, 4, 2, 1)=*kūṣṭhin*, *kōdhiyā*=**kūṣṭhika* (Vivāgas. 177).—AMg. *gehi* (greed) from **ge ddhi*=*giddhi* (§ 50)=*grddhi* (Āyār. 1, 6, 2, 2; Sūyag. 97. 321. 348; Pañhāv. 147. 148. 323; Samav. 83. 113; Vivāhap. 1026; Uttar. 217).—*nelaccha* (eunuch; Pāiyāl. 235; Hc. 1, 174; Deśin. 4, 44) from **nēllaccha*, **nillaccha*=*nirlakṣa* (BÜHLER, Pāiyāl. s. v.), however, *lakṣa*=*lakṣṇa* “sex mark”.—AMg. *dehai* (Uttar. 571)=*dekkai* from **dikkhai*=**dr̪ksīti* (§ 554), *dehe*=**dr̪kset* (Dasav. 631, 22), *dehae*=**dr̪ksate* (Sūyag. 52), *dehamāṇi* (Vivāhap. 794 f.); A. *drehī* (glance; Hc. 4, 422, 6).—AMg. JM. *sedhi* (series), from **se t̪hi* for

sit̥ thi*=*śliṣṭi* (Thān. 464. 546. 588; Pañhāv. 271. 272; Samav. 220; Vivāhap. 410. 481. 991. 1308. 1669. 1675 ff. 1870. 1875 ff. Rāyap. 49. 90. 258; Jiv. 351. 456. 707. 709; Anuog. 218. 221 ff. 245 ff. 381 etc; Pañnav. 396. 398. 401 ff. 627. 847; Nandis. 165. 371; Uttar. 829. 882. 887; Ovav.; Erz.); AMg. *sedhiya* (Pañnav. 846; Ovav.), *anusedhi* (Vivāhap. 1680 f. 1877 ff.), *pasedhi* (Rāyap. 49. 90), *visedhi* (Vivāhap. 1680 f.; 1877 ff.; Nandis. 373)¹.—M. *sonāra* (H. 191) from **sō nñ̥rā*=*sunñ̥rā* (v. l. to H. 191)=*svarṇakāra*².—*o’ala* (mortar; Hc. 1, 171; Mk. fol. 8) from *o’kkhala* (Vr. 1, 21; Hc. 1, 171; Ki. 1, 24)=AMg. *ukkhala* (Deśin. 1, 30; Mk. fol. 9; Pañhāv. 34), AMg. *ukkhalaga* (Sūyag. 250)=*udūkhala*, which occurs also as *udūhala* (Āyār. 2, 1, 7, 1) in AMg. and *u’hala* (Hc. 1, 171) in M³. The long vowel in M. AMg. JM. *chūdha*=*kṣubdhā* (Hc. 2, 19. 92. 127; H. R.; Pañhāv. 201; Dasav. 641, 15; Uttar. 758; Āv. 14, 18; 18, 13; 25, 4; 41, 7; Erz.) and in the compound words M. AMg. *ucchūdha* (Hc. 2, 127; H. v.l.; Pañhāv. 268; Nāyādh. § 4. 46; Uvās.; Ovav.); AMg. *paliucchūdha*=*paryutkṣubdhā* (Ovav. p. 30, 3 [so it is to be read]); AMg. JM. *nicchūdha* (Vivāgas. 84. 143; Nāyādh. 825. 833. 1174. 1313. 1411; Pañnav. 828. 835; Nandis. 380; Pañhāv. 151; Āv. 16, 1; 21, 5 [so it is to be read in accordance with the MSS.]), M. *paricchūdha* (Deśin. 6, 25; R.), M. *vicchūdha* (Pāīyal. 84; G. R.), M. *vicchūdhavvā* (R.) are to be explained as having developed due to analogy with *ūdha*, *gūdha*, *mūdha*, *rūdha*. AMg., in fact, has *bha* in the root in words like *chubhanti* (Pañhāv. 56; text “*bhh*”), *chubhe’jja* (Dasav. 652, 24), *chubhittā* (Uttar. 499), *ucchubhai* (Nāyādh. 325), *ucchubha* (Pañhāv. 59; cf. the commentary), *nicchubhai* (Nāyādh. 1411; Vivāhap. 114; Pañnav. 827. 832. 834), *nicchubhanti* (Nāyādh. 516; Vivāgas. 84), *nicchubhāvēi* (Nāyādh. 823. 824. 1313; Vivāgas. 86. 143), *nicchubhāvīya* (Nāyādh. 823; Vivāgas. 87), *vicchubha* (Pañhāv. 59; cf. the commentary), and it is occasionally found in JM. also, as in *chuhbai* (Erz.) and in the passive *chuhbhai* Āv. 2⁵, 3), *nicchubhāi* (Āv. 42, 35), but JM. has also *chuhāmi*, *chuhaī* (Erz.), M. has throughout *vicchuhai* (H. R.), *vicchuhire*, (Hc. 3, 142), and wherefrom is deduced the root *chuh*, from which the participles are formed on analogy⁴. The normal development of Skt. *kṣubdhā* is into *chuddha* (Bh. 3. 30). Cf. *jadha* § 67. 565.—*mūsala* (mallet; Hc. 1, 113), by the side of the normal *musala* (H. R.), is derived from the present stem *musya*-*musya*-Dhātupāṭha, 26, 111, *musā*, *muṣā khanḍane*) and, therefore, =muṣyala*⁵.

1. *sedhi* is without exception, explained by the commentators as *śreṇi* and has been taken also as *średhi* (Hc. Liṅgānuśāsana, 2, 25, Unādiganasūtra 631), *średhi* (B.R. s.v.) into Skt.—2. Thus more correctly than KZ. 34. 573; *u* is to be explained according to § 152, and the contraction, according to § 167-3. Mk. fol. 8f. has *udukhala*; cf. § 148.—4. S. GOEDSCHEMDT contests the association of *chuhai* and *kṣubh*, Prakritica p. 20 on insufficient grounds. Cf. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v. *uchūdha*; PISCHEL, BB. 15, 123f. and § 120.-5 Cf. Puruṣottama, Dvīrūpakoṣa 3 with v. l.

§ 67. In contrast to *ē*, *ō* before consonant-groups becomes sometimes *a* and is not lengthened even when the consonant-groups are simplified. In such cases the accent originally fell on the ending. M. *marādhi*=NIA. *marāthī*=*māhāraṣṭri* (Karp. 10, 5; cf. § 354).—*jadha* (forsaken), AMg. *vijadha*, *vippajadha* for **jādha*, from the root *jah*, inferred from the present form *jahai*=*jahāti* of the root *hā* (§ 565).—AMg. *adha*=*aṣṭa’* (8), AMg. JM. *adhāyālīṣam*, AMg. *adhāyāla* (48), *adhasatītīm* (68), A *adhāisa* (28), *adhālīṣa* (48), AMg. *adhārcsama* (18) (§ 442. 449).—In compound words formed with *sṛṣṭa’*, from *sṛj*: AMg. *ūṣadha*=*utsṛṣṭa*, “separation”, “selection”, “expelled”, “isolation”, (Āyār. 2,

2, 1, 7), “exquisite”, “preferable” (Āyār. 2, 4, 2, 6, 16; Dasav. 623, 13); AMg. *nisaḍha=nisṛṣṭa* (Nāyādh. 1276); M. *visadha=viṣṭa*, “released” (R. 6, 66), “given up” (R. 11, 89), “unequal”, “uneven” (Hc. 1, 241; Pāīyāl. 207), “non-violent” or “healthy”, (Deśīn. 7, 62)¹; AMg. JM. *samo-saḍha=samavaṣṭa* “what has occurred”, “what has arrived” (e.g. Vivāhap. 211. 257. 622; Nāyādh. 558. 567. 619. 671. 874. 967. 1331. 1446. 1454 f. etc.; Vivāgas. 103; Nirāyāv. 41. 43. 74; Dasav. 624, 21; Uvās.; Āv. 16, 20; Dvār. 497, 27)².

1. Hc. traces the word in the sense of “uneven” to *viṣama*; S. GOLDSCHMIDT in R. assigns to it the meanings “self-released”, “tried” and explains it as = *viṣṭatha = *viṣṭatha*. 2. The Indian editions mostly write *samosadha* (e.g. Vivāhap. 511. 514. 788f. 912. 934. 971. 978. 988. etc.; Vivāgas. 160. 200. 214. 248; Nāyādh. 973. 982. 1018. 1025 etc.), also *oṣṭa* (Rāyāp. 12. 232) and *oṣadda* (Rāyāp. 233). Cf. 235.

§ 68. In AMg., before the enclitic *eva*, on account of which the preceding word becomes more prominent, the *a* of the syllable *am* of such a preceding word very often undergoes lengthening and thereby, against § 348, *m* gets retained : *evāmeva* (Vivāhap. 162; Uvās. § 219); *khippāmeva=ksiṣprameva* (Āyār. 2, 6, 2, 3; p. 130, 1; Vivāhap. 106. 154. 241; Samav. 100; Uvās.; Nirāyāv.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.); *bhagāmeva* (Āyār. 1, 2, 4, 2); *puvvāmeva=pūrvameva* (Āyār. 2, 1, 2, 4); *juttāmeva=yuktameva* (Vivāhap. 503. 790; Uvās.; Nirāyāv.); *saṇijāyāmeva=saiṇyatameva* (Āyār. 2, 1, 1, 2, 4, 4, 5, 2, 4, 6 etc.). This takes place also before pure Prākrit *m*, that is changed into *m*, as in *tāmeva jāṇappavarām=tadeva yāṇaparavaram* (Uvās. § 211), and also before the first secondary anusvāra, which also is changed into *m*, as in *jeṇāmeva cāugghaṇṭe āsarahe teṇāmeva uvāgacchāi=yenaiva catuṛghaṇṭo śvarathas tenaivopāgacchatī* (Nāyādh. § 133); *jeṇāmeva rāyagihe ṣayare jeṇāmeva guṇasilae ceie teṇāmeva uvāgacchāi* (Nāyādh. 373); *jeṇāmeva sohamme kappe...teṇāmeva uvāgacchāi* (Kappas. § 29). In this case the original *ā* remains, against § 83: *jāmeva disāṁ pāubbhūjā tāmeva disāṁ padigajāyā=yāmevadisāṁ prādurbhūtastāmeva disāṁ pratigatāḥ* (Vivāhap. 190; Vivāgas. 38 [where there is *disim*]), more often in the feminine = *oṣhūtā, oṣatā* (Vivāgas. 4; Uvās. § 61. 211. 249; Nirāyāv. § 5; Ovav. § 59; Nāyādh. § 5); cf. further Sūyāg. 1012; Ovav. § 60. 61; Kappas. § 28; *tāmeva paīṣejjām=tāmeva patiṣayyām* (Ovav. § 72). The same lengthening takes place also in AMg. before *avi* : *kisāmavi=kriṣamapi* (Sūyāg. 1); *taṇāmavi=trṇamapi* (Uttar. 219); *annāyārāmavi=anyataramapi, anudisāmavi=anudisamapi* (Daśav. 625, 15. 37).

§ 69. A short vowel is lengthened in declensional forms before the ablative sing. forming suffixes Skt.—*tas*, Pkt.—*hi, -hiṁto*, and *i* as well as *u* is lengthened in such cases even before a plural ending beginning with a consonant (§ 365. 379. 381). In derivative words used adverbially original short *a* remains mostly before. *tas*: AMg. JM. *aggao* (Hc. 1, 37; Nāyādh. 1107; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.), S. *aggad*, (Mṛcch. 40, 14; 151. 18; 327, 1; Śak. 37, 7; 131, 10; Vikr. 25, 15; 33, 4; 41, 11; 42, 18; Ratn. 317, 12, 14), Mg. *aggado* (Mṛcch. 119, 3, 6; 121, 10; 126, 14; 132, 3; 136, 21).—S. Mg. D. *appado=anyataḥ* (Śak. 17, 4; Mṛcch. 29, 33; 96, 25; 102, 18).—Used as pure abl. AMg. *pīṭhāo=prīṭhāt* (Nāyādh. 938. 964) and *pīṭhāhi* (Nāyādh. 958. 959), but in an adverbial sense *pīṭhāo* (Sūyāg. 180. 186. 204. 213; Nāyādh. § 65; p. 1107; Uttar. 29. 69; Uvās.; Ovav.); likewise JM. *pīṭhāo* (Erz.), S.D. *pīṭhādo* (Mālav. 33, 2; 59, 3; 69, 6; Mallikām 145, 21; Mudrār. 254, 1; Mṛcch. 105, 25), S. *pūṭhādo* (Ratn. 316, 22), Mg. *pīṭhāda* (Mṛcch. 99, 8; 130, 1; Venīs. 35, 5. 10).—AMg. *dāppao khetāo kālaq bhāvao guṇao=dravyataḥ kṣetraṭaḥ kālaṭaḥ bhāyato guṇataḥ* (Vivāhap. 203. 204; and without *guṇao* 157; Uttar. 1014; Ovav. § 28; Kappas. 118);

davvao vaṇṇao gandhao rasao phāsao (Vivāhap. 29), *soyao ghāṇao phāsao=śrotato ghrāṇataḥ sparśataḥ* by the side of *cakkhūo, jibbhāo, jihāo=caksustāḥ, jihvātāḥ* (Āyār. 2, 15, 5, 1-5).—S. *jammado=jammatāḥ* (Ratn. 298, 11).—But always S. *kāraṇādo*, Mg. *kālaṇādo=kāraṇataḥ* (Mṛcch. 39, 14. 22; 55, 16; 60, 25; 61, 23; 74, 14; 78, 3; 147, 17, 18 etc.; Mg. 133, 1; 140, 14; 158, 21; 165, 7); JM. *dūrāo* (Erz.), S. *dūrādo* (Hc. 4, 276), P. *tūrāto* (Hc. 4, 321), but Mg. *dūlādo* (Mṛcch. 121, 11); M. *pacchao* (R.), commonly found *pacchā* (G. H. R.) = *paścāt*, but S. *pacchādo* (Mṛcch. 71, 22). In Mṛcch. 9, 9 occur *dakkhinādō, vāmādō* in connection with the abl. of the feminine *chāā=chāyā*; besides there occurs S. Mg. *vāmādo* (Mṛcch. 14, 8; 13, 25; 14, 7). On the shortening of vowels in pure ablative see § 99.

§ 70. A final short vowel is sometimes lengthened in formation of a compound. It so happens in AMg. JM. before the suffixes—*maya, -*mayika*. Thus: AMg. *rayāyāmaya=rejatamaya* (Uvās.), *phāliharayāṇāmaya=sphātičikaratnamaya* (Vivāhap. 253); AMg. JM. *savarāyāṇāmaya* (Vivāhap. 1322. 1323. 1448; Jiv. 483; Kappas.; Ovav.; Erz.; and *maiya* (Thān. 266); AMg. *vairāmaya=vajramaya* (Vivāhap. 1441; Jiv. 494. 563. 883; Samav. 102. 132; Rāyap. 63. 69 105; Ovav.), *ritīthāmaya=ariṣtamaya* (Jiv. 549; Rāyap. 105), *verulīyāmaya=vaidūryamaya* (Jiv. 494; Rāyap. 105), *savvaphālīyāmaya=sarvaphātikamaya* (Pañnav. 115); *āgāśaphāliyāmaya=ākāśaphātikamaya* (Samav. 97; Ovav.). But JM. *rayanamaya* (Erz.) by the side of *°nā* (T. 5, 12); AMg. *nāṇāmanimaya* Jiv. 494), *āhāramāyā* (Dasav. 631, 24), *purāṇuvitimitayā* (Dasav. N. 661, 5); JS. *puggalamaija, uvaogamaya, po^ggaladavaomaya=** *pudgalamayika, upayogamaya, pudgaladravyamaya* (Pav. 384, 36. 49. 58), *asuimaya* (Kattig. 400, 337); *vārimai* by the side of *vārimai=vārimayī* (Hc. 1, 4); M. *nehamai=** *snehamayika* (H. 450). Lengthening takes place, further, in compounds with numerals for 5, 6, 7 and 8: *pañcā, chā°, sattā°, atīthā°* (§ 440ff.), likewise with *aūṇā°=aguna°* and *addhā°=ardha°* (§ 444. 450); similar is the case with the final vowel of the prefixes, especially of *pra*, in which already in Skt. the quantity of the vowel underwent variation, as in *pradeśa, prādeśa* (Purusottama, Dvirūpakośa 25). So M. *paada* (G.) and M. Mg., *pāada=prakata* (Bh. 1, 2; Hc. 1, 44; Ki. 1, 1; Mk. fol. 4. 5; G. H. R.; Vajjāl. 325, 23; Mṛcch. 40, 6); JM. *pāyada* (Erz.; Kk.); AMg: *pāgada* (Ovav.; Kappas.); M. *pādāia=prakatīta* (H.); AMg. *pāgādīja* (Ovav.).—M. *pāroha=praroha* (Hc. 1, 44; G. H. R.).—M. *pasutta* and *pāsutta* (Bh. 1, 2; Hc. 1, 44; Ki. 1, 1; Mk. fol. 4, 5; G. H. R.). However, S. *pasutta* (Mṛcch. 44, 18; 50, 23).—M. *pasiddhi=prasiddhi* (G.) and *pāsiddhi* (Bh. 1, 2; Hc. 1, 44; Ki. 1, 1; Mk. fol. 4. 5).—AMg. *pāvayāna=pravacana* (Hc. 1, 44; Bhag.; Uvās.; Ovav.).—Also M. *pāsijai=prasvidyate* (H. 771) and AMg. *pāsavāna=prasravāna* (Uvās.) may more appropriately be put here than under § 64—AMg. *abhii=abhijit* (Kappas.). *vīvāttā=** *vyativrajitvā* (Ovav. § 63), *vīvajamāne* (Uvās. § 79; so it is to be read; see § 151). In many cases the lengthening is purely metrical, as in M. *dīṭhīpahammi=dīṭipathe* (H. 456), *nāhikamala=nābhikamala, aravīlāsa=arativīlāsa* (G. 13. 111; AMg. *girīvara* (Sūyag. 110); JM. *verulīyāmanimōlla=vaidūryamanimauyā* (Erz. 29, 28). So also *pāihara=patighara* (Hc. 1, 4) by the side of *pāihara*, S. *padighara* (Mālatīm. 243, 4); *velūvana* by the side of *veluvāna=venuvāna* (Hc. 1, 4). In the dialect of Sakāra in Mṛcch. *a* appears to have sometimes been lengthened before the suffix—*ka*: *cāludattāke* (127, 23; 128, 6; 149, 25); *cāludattākām* (127, 25; 166, 18); *cāludattākena* (133, 1; 137, 1; 151, 23); *vāsudeūkām* (121, 16); *gudāha=gudaka* (116, 25; cf. § 206); *śapūttākām=śaputrakām* (166, 18). The same lengthening is found also in AMg. *muhūrtāga=muhūrtaka* (Āyār. 1, 8, 2, 6), *pīlāga=pītaka* (Sūyag. 208), *kuḍḍāga, °ya=*

ksudraka (Vivāhap. 185ff.; Ovav.; Āyār. 2, 1, 4, 5; cf. § 294), and in the frequent AMg. *anādiya*, *anāiya=anādika* (Sūyag. 84. 867; Thān. 41, 129; Pañhāv. 302; Nāyādh. 464. 471; Vivāhap. 39. 848. 1128) by the side of *anādiya*, *anāiya* (Sūyag. 787; Uttar. 842; Vivāhap. 160), also JM. (Erz. 33, 17; cf. v. 1); JŚ. *ādiya* (Kattig. 401, 353); PG. *ādika* (5, 4; 6, 34). Cf. Vedic *jahāka* by the side of *jahaka* (Ved. Stud. 1, 63) and § 73. 97.

§ 71. Final vowels used in the voc. sing. and in the particles employed in calling somebody from a distance are lengthened (pluti): *re re capphalayā*, *re re nigghinajā*, *he hari*, *he gurū*, *he pahū* (Hc. 3, 38); AMg. *āṇandā* Uvās. § 44. 84), *kālāsā* (Vivāhap. 132), *gojanā* (Hc. 3, 38; Vivāhap. 34ff 1311. 1315. 1416; Ovav. § 66ff.; Uvās. etc.), *kāsavā* (Hc. 3, 38; Vivāhap. 1237f.), *camarā asurindā asurarāyā appatthijapatthijā=camara assurendra asurarāja aprārthyaprārthika* (Vivāhap. 254), *hantā mandiyaputtā* (Vivāhap. 268), *puttā=putra* (Uvās.; Nāyādh.), *hantā=hanta* (Bhag.; Uvās.; Ovav.), *subuddhi* (Nāyādh. 997. 998. 1003), *maharisī* (Sūyag. 182), *mahāmuṇi=mahāmune* (Sūyag. 419), *jambū* (Uvās.); Ś. *dāsieuttā=dāyāh-putra* (Mṛcch. 4, 9; 80, 13. 23; 81, 12; 82, 4; 108, 16), *are re kaṇelisudā rāasālaśamīthānaā ussāmkhalaā=kanelisuta rājaśālaśamīthānaka ucchriṇ-khalaka* (Mṛcch. 151, 16f.); Mg. *haṇde kumbhilaā=haṇde kumbhilaka* (Śak. 113. 2), *le gaṇṭhiścedāā=re granthicchedaka* (Śak. 115, 4), *le calā=re cara* (spy., Lalitav. 566, 14, 18), *puttakā hadakkā=putraka hṛdayaka* (Mṛcch. 114, 16); and so the *a*-stems always in Mg. according to Vr. 11, 13 to which, however, the texts do not conform; *vāśū* (girl? Mṛcch. 9, 24; 17, 1; 127, 7); Ā. *are re pavahaṇavāhaā* (Mṛcch. 100, 17); Dh. *vippa-lambhaā=vipralambhaka*, *palivevidangaā=parivepiṭāṅgaka*, *khalaṇa, kaleṇtaā=kurvan* (Mṛcch. 30, 6ff.); A. *bhamarā=bhramara* (Hc. 4, 387, 2), *mittadā=mitra* (Hc. 4. 422, 1), *hamīsā* (Vikr. 61, 20), *hiāḍā=hṛdaya* (Hc. 4. 357, 4. 422, 12. 23. 439, 1). In this place a mention should be made also of the lengthening of the final *a* in the imperative in cases like AMg. *kuvvahā=**kurvata=*kuruta* (Āyār. 1, 3, 2, 1), *pāsaḥā=paśyata* (Āyār. 1, 6, 5, 5; Sūyag. 144. 148.), *sambujjhahā=saribudhyadhām* (Sūyag. 335). After dropping of the final consonant the lengthening takes place in cases like JM. *dhi=dhik* (Dvār. 501, 33); S. *haddhi haddhi=hādhik hādhik* (e.g. Mṛcch. 12, 6; 16, 6; 50, 23; 170, 3; Śak. 27, 1; 62, 5; 72, 7; Vikr. 25, 14; 75, 10). See also § 75. Frequent is the lengthening of *u* of *hou=bhavatu* before the enclitic *ṇam* in AMg. *hou ḡnam=bhavatu nanu* (Nāyādh. 1884. 1228. 1351; Ovav. § 105).

§ 72. After the dropping of *h*, *i* and *u* of the endings *-ih* and *-uh* of the nom. are always lengthened in the sing. of masculine and feminine words ending in *-i* and *-u*. M. *aggī=agnih* (H. 163), AMg. *aganī* (Sūyag. 273. 281. 291), Mg. *lośaggī=roṣagnih* (Mṛcch. 123, 2); M. AMg. *asi=asih* (G. 239; Sūyag. 593), Mg. *asī* (Mṛcch. 12, 17); JM. *sahi=**sakhīh=*sakhā* (KI. 14); S. *pidi=priūh* (Mṛcch. 24, 4); M. JŚ. Ś. *dītthī=dṛṣṭih* (H. 15; Pav. 388, 5; Mṛcch. 57, 10); D. *senāvāi=senāpatih* (Mṛcch. 101, 21); M. JM. *tarū=taruh* (Hc. 3, 19; H. 913; Erz. 4, 29); AMg. Ś. *bhikkhū=bhiksuh* (Āyār. 1, 2, 5, 3; Mṛcch. 78, 13); JM. *gurū=guruh* (KI. 14), *bindū=binduh* (Āv. 15, 18); JM. D. *vīṇhū=vīṇuh* (Āv. 36, 41; Mṛcch. 105, 21). Some grammarians, according to Hc. 3, 19, permit even nasalization of the vowel instead of its lengthening (§ 178): *aggim, nīhim vāvīn, vīvūn*. In the instrumental plural in *-bhīh*, and, along with it, in all the dialects other than A., in the abl. plur. in *-bhīyah*, which dropped with it, the lengthening never takes place after the elision of *h*, but there develops by the side of the short vowel

also a nasal vowel :—*hi*, *-him*, *-hī*, (§ 178), A. in the abl.-*hu*, *-hum*, *-hū* (§ 368. 369. 381. 387 etc.). In S. Mg. is used *-him* only.

§ 73. A short vowel is lengthened in a large number of cases exclusively on account of metrical consideration in medial and final syllables, especially in AMg. and in A. Thus: M. *aṁśū*=*aśru* (H. 153); AMg. *dhiṁao*=*dhiṁataḥ* (Āyār. 2, 16, 8), *māṁmāṁ*=*matimāṁ* (Sūyag. 397), *māṁmāyā*=*matimatā* (Āyār. 1, 8, 2, 16), Sūyag. 373), *amāṁmāyā*=**amalimatkāḥ* (Sūyag. 213), *pañjalio*=*prāñjalikah* (Dasav. 634, 23), *jātjarāmarāṇehīṁ*=*jātijarāmarāṇaiḥ* (Sūyag. 156), *pavaie*=*pravrajilah* (Sūyag. 495), *mahidhīyā*=*maharddhikāḥ* (Āyār. 2, 15, 18, 4); *soniāṁ*=*sōnitam* (Āyār. 1, 7, 8, 9), *sāhīyā*=*sādhikā* (Ovav. § 174); Mg. *līne*=*ṇram* (Mrcch. 21, 19). Particularly frequent are the cases of lengthening of the final *i* in verbal forms, especially at the end of a half verse or of a complete verse: AMg. *sahāi*=*sahate* (Āyār. 1, 2, 6, 3), *saraī*=*smarati* (Sūyag. 172; Uttar. 277), *kuvvāi*=**kurvati*=*karoti* (Dasav. 623, 33), *bhāsāi*=*bhāṣate* (Sūyag. 106), *maraī*=**marati*=*mriyate* (Uttar. 207), *kiccaī*=*kṛtyate* (Sūyag. 106), *bajjhāi*=*badhyate* (Uttar. 245), *karissāi*=*karisyati* (Dasav. 627, 24), *jānanti*, *anuhoñti*=*jānanti*, *anubhavanti* (Ovav. § 179. 188), *acchehī*=*atyeḥī* (Sūyag. 148); AMg. JM. *bhuñjāi*=*bhunkti* (Sūyag. 133; Āv. 8, 4. 24); Mg. *ovaggadī*=*apavalgati* (Mrcch. 10, 5). Further, very often in AMg. and JM. the final *a* of the absolute in *-ya* is lengthened in poetry. AMg. *padilehiyā*=*pratilekhyā*, *muṇiyā*=*jñātvā*, *sāpehiyā*=*samprekṣya*, *vihūṇiyā*=*vidhūya* (Āyār. 1, 7, 8, 7. 13. 23. 24); AMg. JM. *pāsiyā*=**paśya* (Uttar. 361; Erz. 38, 35); AMg. *vijñāṇiyā*=*vijñāya* (Dasav. 637, 5; 642, 12) etc. (§ 590. 591). Lengthening of final vowels occurs in many sporadic cases like AMg. *jagāi*= *Jagati* (Sūyag. 104), *kei*=*kecit* (Ovav. 63, 20); JM. *kajāi*=*kadācīt* (Āv. 8, 7; 37, 37).

§ 74. In lieu of a lengthened vowel there comes in a short nasal vowel before one of the simplified consonants of a consonant group. This prevails upon the rules § 62-65. The grammarians (Vr. 4, 15; Hc. 1, 26; Mk. fol. 34; Pkl. p. 10) enumerate together such words under the *vakrādi* or (Ki. 2, 122) *asrādi* group. Thus: *kamkoda* (Hc.), M. *kamkola* (Šukasaptati 123, 2; text °la) and M. AMg. *kakkola* (G. 582; Panhāv. 527; text °la)=*karkota* (cf. § 238).—M. AMg. JM. J.S. S.A. *damsana*=*darśana* (Bh. Hc. Ki. Mk. Pkl.; G. H. R.; Sūyag. 312, 314; Bhag.; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Kappas. etc.; Erz.; KK.; Rsabhap.; Pav. 379, 2; 380, 6; 387, 13; 389, 9. 4; Kattig. 400, 328. 329; Lalitav. 554, 7. 8; Mrcch. 23, 14. 21; 29, 11; 97, 15; 169, 14; Šak. 50, 1; 73, 9; 84, 13; Vikr. 16, 15; 19, 3 etc.; Hc. 4, 401, 1), Mg. *damsaṇa* (Mrcch. 21, 9; 37, 10; Prab. 52, 6; 58, 16); likewise in *damsi*=*darsin* (Vikr. 8, 11), *damsai*, *damsei* (§ 554) and in others.—M. S. *phamsa*=*sparsa* (Bh. Ki. Mk. Pkl.; G. H. R.; Vikr. 51, 2; Mālatīm. 217, 5; 262, 3; Uttarar. 92, 9; 93, 7; 125, 7; 163, 4; Viddhaś. 70, 10; Bālar. 202, 9), S. *pariphamsa* (Bālar. 202, 16), Mg. *sphamsa* (Prab. 58, 8); *phamsai* (Hc. 4, 182).—*pamsu*=*parśu* (Hc.)—M. *nīhamṣa*=*nīgharṣa* (G.), *nīhamṣaṇa*=*nīgharṣaṇa* (G.R.).—A *barīhiṇa*=*barhin* (Vikr. 58, 8).—*l*+consonant in *sumka*=*sulka* (Mk.), AMg. *ussumka* (Kappas. § 102 [so it is to be read]. 209; Nāyādh. § 112; p. 1388 [so it is to be read]). Vivāgas. 230 has *sukka*.—Sibilant+*ya*: AMg. *namāṁsai*=*namasyati* (Āyār. 2, 15, 19; Nāyādh. § 7; p. 292; Uvās.; Bhag.; Kappas.; cf Ovav. § 20. 38. 50 etc), J.S. *namāṁsittā*=**namasyitvā* (Pav. 386, 6.). JM. *nījāṁsaha*=*nivasata* (Erz. 59, 30) from **nivasyata*, presupposes a similar in the present, wherefrom are derived the causative forms AMg. *nīyamsei* (Jiv. 611), *nīyamseha* (Vivāhap. 1262), *nīyāṁsittā* (Jiv. 611), *nīyāṁsāvei* (Āyār. 2, 15, 20), and

from such a form of the present are derived the substantives M. *nīamsana* (Mk. H.), *vīṇamsaṇa* (H.), AMg. *nījāmsaṇa* (Pannav. [so it is to be read with the commentary]; Rāyap. 81; Ovav. § 35); *vīamsaṇa* (Mk.); *pādīnīamsaṇa* (night-dress; Desin 6, 36).—M. *vaamīsa*=*vayasya* (Hc. Mk. Pkl. *vaamīsi*=**vayasyi* (Karp. 46, 8), JM. *vayaṁsa* (Erz.), A *vaamīsiāhu*=**vayasyikābhyaḥ* (Hc. 4, 351), by the side of M. *vaassa* (H.), so always in S. (e.g. Mrcch. 7, 3. 14. 19; Śak. 29, 3; 30, 6; Vikr. 16, 11; 18, 8).—Sibilant+*ra* : M. JM. A. *aṁsu*=*aśru* (Bh. Hc. Ki. Mk. Pkl.; G. H. R.; Karnaś. 44, 20; Erz.; Dvār. 501, 32; Piṅgala 1, 61^a), but S. *assu* (Venīs. 66, 7; Subhadr. 17, 3; Mukund. 15, 1), and thus probably is to be read for *aṁsu* in Vikr. 83, 13 [see v. l.; ed. Bomb. 133, 2; ed. PANDIT 150, 12., ed. PISCHEL 666, 3]; Mudrār. 260, 3 [see v. l.]; Viddhaś. (79, 6; 80, 2).—AMg. *māṁsu*=*smaśru* (Bh. Hc. Ki. Mk.; Pāiyāl. 112; Āyār. 1, 8, 3, 11; 2, 8, 5; Pañhāv. 351; Bhag.; Ovav.), *nīmāṁsu*=*nīśmaśru* (Anuttar. 12; text °sa); JS. *māṁsuga*=*smaśruka* (Pav. 386, 4). Cf. § 312.—M. AMg. *taṁsa*=*tryasra* (Bh.; Hc.; Mk.; Karp. 37, 7; 40, 3; Āyār. 1, 5, 6, 4; Sūyag. 590; Thān. 445. 493); AMg. *cāūraṁsa*=*caturasra* (Āyār. 1, 5, 6, 4, Sūyag. 590; Thān. 20. 493, UVās.; Ovav.), *chalāṁsa*=*sadasra* (Thān. 493), *chalāṁsiya*, *atīthaṁsa*=*sadasrika*, *astāsra* (Sūyag. 590).—Sibilant+*va* : *aṁsa*=*aśva* (Bh.) and so AMg. *aṁsu*[॒]*itha*=*aśvaitha* (Vivāhap. 1530), but *asso*[॒]*itha* (Thān. 555), *āśo*[॒]*itha* (Āyār. 2, 1, 8, 7; Pannav. 31), *āsattha* (Samav. 233).—M. *maṇaṁsi*=*manasvin* (Hc. Mk. H.), *maṇaṁsini*=*manasvinī* (Bh. Ki. Pkl.) and M. S. *māṇaṁsini* (Hc.; H.; Bālar. 142, 3; 242, 4). Likewise in other adjectives ending in -*vin* in AMg., as in *ṇyāṁsi*=*ojasvin* (Āyār. 2, 4, 2, 2; Nāyādh.; Ovav.), *jassaṁsi*=*yaśasvin*, *tejaṁsi*, *tejāṁsi*=*tejasvin* (Āyār. 2, 4, 2, 2; Nāyādh.), *vaccāṁsi*=*varcasvin* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.).—*haṁsa*=*hrasva* (Bh.; see § 354).—Visarga+sibilant : *maṇaṁsilā*=*manahśilā* (Hc.) by the side of *maṇaṁsilā*, *maṇosilā*, *maṇasilā* (§ 64. 347). Besides in AMg. this phonetic law comes into force sometimes also when one of the conjunct consonants is a sibilant. Thus in the case of *s+k* in *sāṁkuli*=*śāskuli* (Āyār. 2, 1, 4, 5; Pañhāv. 490), by the side of *sakuli* (Thān. 259 [commentary *sāṁkuli*]; Dasav. 621, 2); in the case of *s+m* in *pāṇiṁsi*=**pāṇiṣmin*=*pāṇau*, *lelumiṁsi*=**leṣṭuṣmin*=*leṣṭau* (§ 312. 379) and that in *s+m* in *aṁsi*=*asmi* (§ 313. 498), and in the pronominal locative singular and in the nominal locative singular formed on the analogy thereof, like *kaṁsi*, *jaṁsi*, *taṁsi*=*kasmin*, *yasmin*, *tasmin*; *logaṁsi*=*loke*; *tarisagaṁsi* *vāsagharamsi*=*tādṛśake* *vāsaghare* (§313. 366^a. 425ff.); in the case of *k+s* in *pilaṁkhu*=*plakṣa* (Āyār. 2, 1, 8, 7, for which is printed *pilakkhu* (Vivāhap. 609. 1530 ^{°ka}), *pilukkha* (Pannav. 31), *pilumka* (Samav. 233), also the v. l. in Āyār. has *pilakkhu*; further in *pāmka*=*pakṣa* (Uttar. 439), *pāṁkhi*=*paksin* (Rāyap. 235), *pāṁkhini*=*paksinī* (Uttar. 445); in the case of *t+s* in *dīgiṁchā*=*jīghatsā* (Uttar. 48. 50; commentary *dīghaṁchā*), *vitiṁchā* (Āyār. 1, 3, 3, 1; 1, 5, 5, 2), *vitiṁchāi* Sūyag. 727 f.), *vitiṁchiya* (Vivāhap. 150)=*vicikitsā*, *vicikitsati*, *vicikitsita* (§ 215. 555); in the case of *p+s* in *dugamchā*=*jugupsā* (Thān. 151; Vivāhap. 110; Uttar. 960), *dugumchā* (Panjhāv. 537), *dugumchana* (Āyār. 1, 1, 7, 1; Uttar. 628 [^{°ga}]), *dogamchī*=*jugupsin* (Uttar. 51. 219 [^{°gu}]), *dugamchanijjā* (Uttar. 410), also JM. *dugamchā* (Pāiyāl. 245; Erz.), AMg. *dugumchāi*, *duumchāi*, *dugumchamāna*, ^{°gy} (§ 215. 555), *padidugamchī*=*pratijugupsin* (Sūyag. 133). So also in the case of *s+t* in *gam̄hi* (Mk.), *gīṁhi* (Hc.), *gūṁhi* (Bh.)=*gr̄sti*, but S. *git̄hi* (Mrcch. 44, 3), that is reported by Hc. also. The cases in which a nasal vowel has developed without one of the consonants being, or a sibilant are : *gūṁcha*=*gučcha* (Hc.), but S. *gučcha* (Ratn. 300, 18); M. *piṁcha*=*piccha* (G. R.), but also M. AMg. S. *piccha* (Karp. 46, 12, Āyār. 1, 1, 6, 5; Anuog. 507;

Uvās.; Vikr. 32.7); *pūmcha=puccha* (Hc. Mk. Pkl.), but AMg. *puccha* (Āyār. 1, 1, 6, 5), Mg. *pūsca* (Mṛcch. 10, 4).—In AMg. JM. *sānām-kumāra=sanakumāra* (Thān. 90. 200; Samav. 9. 16. 18; Pañhāv. 314; Pañnav. 123. 124; Vivāhap. 241. 242; Ovav.; Erz.) the anusvāra is to be assumed according to § 75. In AMg. *māhamāsa=mahāśva* (Vivāhap. 830; Ovav.) *māham*, in unison with LEUMANN, is to be explained as having developed from the original stem *mahant*, which was pronounced as *mahanā* also. Cf. therewith § 182. AMg. JM. *mīmjā=Pāli mīmjā=Skt. mājjā* with *i*, according to § 101 (Āyār. 1, 1, 6, 5; Sūyag. 771; Thān. 186. 431; Pañhāv. 26; Pañnav. 40; Vivāhap. 112. 113. 280. 926; Jiv. 464; Uvās.; Ovav., Erz.), *mīmjīyā* (Pañnav. 529; Vivāhap. 448) may be going back to the original form **mazjā,*mazjikā*. In formation and in meaning *būndha=budhna* corresponds to Latin *fundus* and is, therefore, correctly written as *bundha*. M. AMg. JM. A. *vāmka=vakra'* (Vr. Hc. Ki. Mk. Pkl.; H.; Āyār. 1, 1, 5, 3; Pañnav. 479. 482; Nirāyāv.; Erz.; Kk., Pingala 1, 2; Hc. 4, 330. 3. 356. 412), along with M. *vānkia=vakrīta* (R.), M. A. *vānkima* (Viddhaś. 55, 7 [so it is to be read with v. 1]; Hc. 4, 344), A. *vānkudā* (Hc 4, 418, 8) is connected to Vedic *vānku* and to the root *vaki kauṭily*, (Dhātup. 4. 14) and, therefore, it is to be written as *vānka*. Š. *vakka* (Ratn. 302, 19; 308, 7; Vrsabh. 24, 7; 26, 9; Mallikām. 233, 12; Karṇav. 7, 18), *vakkadara* (Pras. 140, 1), *vakkida* (Bālar. 246, 14), *anuvakka* (Mālav. 48, 19) are formed from *vakra*. AMg. *vakkaja=vakraka* (Ovav.). The use of *vānka* in Š. (Karṇas. 22, 19) is wrong. The feminine *vānkūni* from *vānkūna* has been used in Pras. 46, 5, and is found in the proper noun *tivānkuṇi* (Karṇav. 55, 11))² as well. Cf. § 86. On *vīṁchua*, *vīṁchia*, *vīṁcoua* see § 301.

1. Aup. S. s. v.—2. FISCHER on Hc. 1, 26; GELDNER, Ved. Stud. 2, 164. 258.

§ 75. In the final syllable, besides in the case of pluti (§ 71), after the dropping off of the final consonant, sometimes dialectically lengthening alternates with anusvāra (cf. § 181). AMg. JM. *vīsā* and *vīsām=***vīṁśat=**vīṁśati*, *tīsā* and *tīsām=**trīṁśat*, *cattīlīsā*, and *cattīlīsām=**cattvāṁśat*, A., with shortening of the final vowel, *vīsa*, *cāvālīsa*, *cōlīsa* by the side of *tīsā* (§ 445); AMg. *tīriyā-tīryak* (Hc. 2, 143) by the side of *tīriyāṁ* (Āyār. 1, 1, 5, 2; 1, 5, 6, 2; 1, 7, 1, 5; 1, 8, 4, 14; Sūyag. 191. 273. 304. 397. 428. 914. 931; Uttar. 1031; Pañnav. 381; Kappas.), also in compounds like *tīriyāṁvāya=tīryagvāta*, *tīriyāṁbhāgi=**tīiyagbhāgī* (Sūyag. 829); AMg. *samījā=**samyak* (Sūyag. 918; Āyār. 1, 4, 2, 6; 1, 5, 2, 2, 5, 3) by the side of AMg. *samījāṁ* (Āyār. 1, 5, 5, 3; Sūyag. 304), and AMg. JM. JŚ. Š. *sammāṁ*. (Hc. 1, 24; Āyār. 1, 2, 1, 5; 1, 5, 5, 1. 6, 1; Sūyag. 844. 958. 994. 996; Thān. 243; Vivāhap. 163. 165. 238; Uttar. 450; Erz.; Kk.; Pav. 389, 3; Kattig. 399, 308. 309; Kāleyak. 21, 15; 24, 18); AMg. also *samījāē* (Āyār. 1, 2, 3. 5). Cf. § 111. In poetry in AMg. is found *jāṁsi* by the side of *jāṁsi=yasmin* and *yasyāṁ* (Sūyag. 137. 273. 297), in A., *jahi* by the side of *jahīṁ=yasmin* (Pingala 2, 135. 277) and *kī* by the side of *kīṁ*, *kī* (Pingala 2, 1 8). Perhaps these forms go back directly to *jassīṁ*, *jahīṁ*, *kīṁ*, but seemingly the lengthening is purely prosodical.

§ 76. A short nasal vowel is sometimes, before an immediately following *ra* or a sibilant or *ha*, lengthened, accompanied with elision of the nasal element. Thus: AMg. *vīsā*, *vīsām=***vīṁśat*, *vīṁśati*, *tīsā*, *tīsām=**trīṁśat*, *cattīlīsā*, *cattīlīsām=**cattvāṁśat*, and others; A. *vīsa*, *tīsā*, *cattīlīsā* (§ 75. 445).—Pāli *dādhā*, CP. *tāthā* (Hc. 4, 325), M. AMg. Š. *cōlīsā* (§ 75. 445).—Vr. *dādhā*, CP. *tāthā* (Hc. 4, 33; C. 3, 11; Hc 2, 139; Ki. 2, 117; Mk. fol. 39; G. H. R.; Āyār. 1, 1, 6, 5; Jiv. 883; Anuog. 507; Uvās.; Kappas., Mālatīm. 251, 5; Candak. 17, 8; Bālar. 249, 8; 259, 17;

270, 6; AMg., Š *dādhi*=*daṁśṭriṇ* (Aṇuog. 349; Venīs. 24, 7 [so it is to be read]).—M. AMg. JM. A *sīha*=*siṁha* (Vr. 1, 17; Hc. 1, 29. 92. 264; Kī. 1, 17; Mk. fol. 7; Pāīyal. 43; G. H. R.; Āyār. 2, 15, 21; Sūyag. 225. 414. 748; Paṇṇav. 367; Rāyap. 114; Uttar. 338; Dasav. N. 647, 36; Erz.; Kk., Hc. 4, 406, 1; 418, 3), AMg. *sīhi*=*siṁhi* (Paṇṇav 363), by the side of *siṁghī* (§ 267) and *siṁha*, as in Š. (Bālar. 209, 11 *siṁhāvāda*; 234, 8 *parasimha*; Cīṇḍak. 17, 1 *vanaśimha*), in compounds correspondingly Hc. 1, 92 has *siṁhadatta*, *siṁharā*; so also Mg. *siṁhaśāva*=*siṁhāsabika* (Šak. 154, 6), but AMg. *sihaguha* (Nāyādh. 1427 ff.). In Bālar. 50, 11 in Š. there occurs *sihasimha* [sic.; read **samīghā*]; Mallikām. 143, 14 in *sihamuha* 144, 3 but *siṁghamuha* [sic.]=*siṁhamukha*.—kesua from **keṁsu* (§ 119)=*kiṁsua* (G. H.; Karp. 10, 7)=*kiṁsuka* (Hc. 1, 29. 86), Sindhi *kesū*.—*pīsai*, Š. *pīsedi*=**pīṁsati*=*pīnaṣti* (§ 506; Hc. 4, 185; Mṛcch. 3, 1, 21); AMg. *pīsana*=**pīṁsana* (Paṇhāv. 77).—AMg. *vūhae* from **vūṁhae*=*vṛṁhayet* (Sūyag. 894), *anuvūhai* (Nāyādh.; Kappas.), *dūppaṭivūhanā*, *pādiūhanā* (Āyār. 1, 2, 5, 4, 5). Specially frequent are the cases of lengthening of *a* in the prefix *sam* in AMg. in words like *sārakkhaṇa*=*saṁrakṣaṇa* (Thān. 556), *sārakhaṇājā*=*saṁraksanatā* (Thān. 333), *sārakkhi*=*saṁrakṣin* (Thān. 313), *sārakkhamāṇa* (Āyār 1, 5, 5, 1; Uvās.; Nirayāv.), also in JM. *sārakhanija*, *sārakkhantassa* (Āv. 28, 16. 17); AMg. *sārohi*=*saṁrohin* (Thān. 314); *sāharaī*=*saṁharati* (Kappas.), *sāharejjā* (Vivāhap. 1152), *sāharanti* (Thān. 155) *sāhātū*=**saṁhartu* (§ 577), *pādiśāharai* (Paṇṇav. 841; Nāyādh.; Ovav.); *sāhaninti*, *sāhanittā* (Vivāhap. 137. 138. 141). Thus is explained also M. *sakkaa*, AMg. JM. *sakkaya*, Š. *sakkada*=*saṁskṛta'* (C. 2, 15 p. 18; Hc. 1, 28; 2, 4; Mk. fol. 35; Karp. 5, 3; Vajjal. 325, 20; Mṛcch. 44, 2; Karp. 5, 1), AMg. JM. *asakkaya* (Panhāv. 137; Vajjal. 325, 20); further *sakkāra*=*saṁskāra* (Hc. 1, 28; 2, 4; Mk. fol. 35; R. 15, 91), JM. *sakkārya*=*saṁskārila* (Erz.) The route is *saṁskṛta*, **saṁskṛta*, **sākkaa*, *sakkaa*. Cf. § 306. Following Mk. fol. 35 and Vāmanācārya in Rishikesh p. 12 note, a mention should here be made also of *satthua*=*saṁstuta* and *sathava*, *sattħāva*=*saṁstāva*, *saṁstava*. But AMg., *saṁthuya* (Āyār. 1, 2, 1, 1). Cf. *kohāṇḍi*, *kohāṇḍa* § 127.

c.) ELEVATION OF VOWELS.

§ 77. The first vowel of a prefix is sometimes elevated in synthetic formations in Skt. *abhijāti* and *ābhijāti*; *pariplava* and *pāriplava*; *prati-veśya* and *prātiveśya*. Pkt. exhibits the same phenomenon (Vr. 1, 2; Hc. 1, 44; Kī. 1, 1; Mk. fol. 4, 5; Pkl. p. 19). *ahijāti* and M. *āhijāi* (H.), *āhiāi* (R.)= *abhijāti*; M. *pādiwaā*=*pratipad* (H.) and *pādiwaā*; M. AMg. *pādiēkkā*-*pratyeka* (§ 163); *pādiḍphadḍhi* and *pādiḍphadḍhi*=*pratiḍpardhi* (Hc.; Kī. 1, 1; 2, 101); *pādiśiddhi* and *pādiśiddhi*=**pratiśiddhi* (competition; also 2, 174; Deśin. 6, 77; Š. Karp. 18, 1; 21, 5; 44, 9); *pādiśāra* and *pādiśāra*=**pratīsmāra* (cunning; Deśin. 6, 16); M. JM. *sāmidḍhi* (G. H.; Ṛṣabhap.) and M. *sāmidḍhi*=*saṁrddhi* (H.); AMg. JM. *ajjhovavanna*, *vaṇṇa*=*adhyupapanna* (Āyār. 1, 1, 6, 6; 2, 1, 7, 2; Sūyag. 185 210. 751. 923; Nāyādh. 1069. 1387. 1461. 1469; Vivāgas. 87. 92; Uvās.; Āv. 26, 25; 30, 26; Erz.), *ajjhovavajjīha*, *ajjhovavajjīhi* (Ovav.); AMg. *abbhovaga-miyyā*=*ābhuyupagamikā* (Bhag.; cf. Aup. S. s. v. *āhevacca*). The final vowel of a prefix appears to have been elevated in M. *veāriūm*, *veārijjasi*=*vītārayitum*, *vītārayise* (H. 286. 909); *veāria* (expanded; hair; Deśin. 7, 95); AMg. *āhevacca*=*ādhīpatya* (Samav. 134; Nāyādh. 257. 310. 329. 481. 529. 1417. 1507; Vivāgas. 28, 57 [*a°*]; Paṇṇav. 98. 100. 103; Antag. 3 [*a°*]; Ovav.; Kappas.). In cases like AMg. *anovāhaṇīga*, *ya*=**anupānahanaka* (Sūyag.

759; Vivāhap. 135; Ovav.); AMg. JŚ. *aṇovama*=*anupama* (Panṇav. 136; Ovav.; Pav. 380, 13); AMg. *anouya*=*anytuka* (Thān. 369), *anovanīhiya*=*anupanihita* (Anuog. 228. 241. 242), *anovasām̄kha*=*anupasām̄kha* (Sūyag. 454) elevation of the vowel has not to be assumed, but as firstly shown by S. GOLDSCHMIDT¹ there is the negative *ana-* which occurs also in AMg. *aṇai*=*anīti*,² *anaūdāya* (Kattig. 399, 309), M. *aṇahīaa*=*ahrdaya* (H. R.), *aṇahōnta*=*abhavad* (H.); *aṇazṛasia* (H.), *anadīhara*=*adīrgha* (R.); *aṇamīlia*=*amīlia* (Deśīn. 1, 44); *aṇarāmaa*=**arāmaka*=*urati* (Deśīn. 1, 45) and others.³ Cf. §70.

i. ZDMG. 32, 99ff; KZ. 24, 426.—2. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v.—3. PISCHEL, BB. 3, 243ff; WEBER on H. 41. Cf. JOHANNES SCHMIDT, KZ. 23, 271ff.

§ 78. Prākrit shows vocalic elevation that deviates from that of Skt. in many other cases as well. Thus : *pārakera*=*parakiya* (Hc. 1, 44), but Ś. *parakera* (Mālav. 26, 5), *parakeraa* (Śak. 96, 10), Mg. *palakelā* (Mṛcch. 37, 13; Śak. 161, 7); M. *mānaṁsi*, *mānaṁsinī*=*manasvin*, *manasvinī* (§ 74); M. JM. JŚ. *sāricha* (Bh. 1, 2; Hc. 1, 44; Ki. 1, 1; Mk. fol. 5; Pkl. p. 19; H.; Erz.; Kattig. 401, 338).=**sādr̄ksa*,¹ corresponding to *tādr̄ksa*, *yādr̄ksa*; AMg. *cāuranta*=*caturanta* (Hc. 1, 44; Sūyag. 787. 789; Thān. 41. 129. 512; Samav. 42; Pañhāv. 302; Nāyādh. 464. 471; Uttar. 339. 842. 869; Vivāhap. 7. 39. 160. 848. 1049. 1128 etc.), *cāukkona*=*catuskona* (Nāyādh. 1054; Jīv. 289. 478), *cāugghaṇṭa* (Nāyādh. § 130; p. 731. 780. 784. 826. 1060. 1233. 1266. 1456; Vivāhap. 114. 801. 802. 830; Rāyap. 231. 237. 239; Nirayāv. § 21), *cāujjāma*=*caturyāma* (Vivāhap. 135), *cāuraṅgini* (Nāyādh. § 65. 100. 103; p. 538. 541; Ovav. Nirayāv.).—AMg. *teicchā*=*cikitsā* (§ 215). The secondary vowels originating from *γ*, either by contraction or by ablaut or by insertion, show the same elevation. Thus : AMg. *gāhāvai*=*ghapati*, simultaneously accompanied with lengthening of the final *a* according to § 70 (Āyār. 1, 7, 2, 1. 2; 3, 3; 5, 2; 2, 1, 1 ff.; Sūyag. 846. 848. 850. 957 ff.; Vivāhap. 162. 227. 345. 346. 1207 ff.; Nirayāv. 41. 43; Uvās.; Kappas.), *gāhāvāṇī*=*ghapalī* (Vivāhap. 1266. 1270. 1271; Nāyādh. 530; Uvās.). By the side of AMg. *musāin*=*mīṣā* (Sūyag. 74. 340. 489; Dasav. 614. 29; Uttar. 116), *musāvāda* (Sūyag. 207; Uvās. § 14 [text *mūṣā*°]. 46 [^o*vāja*]), *musāvādi* (Āyār. 2, 4, 1, 8) there occurs the very frequently found *mosa* (Uttar. 373. 952. 957), *mosa*, *saccāmosa*, *asaccāmosa* (Āyār. 2, 4, 1, 4; Pañnav. 362; Thān. 203; Ovav. § 148. 149), *taccamosa* (Thān. 152; Pañnav. 362), *māyāmosa* (Thān. 21; Vivāhap. 126; Pañhāv. 86; Pañnav. 638; Kappas. § 118; Ovav.).—*rovaī*, *dhovaī*, *sovaī* from *ru*, *dhau*, *svap* (§ 473. 482. 497); *sovana* (sleep; Deśīn. 8, 58); AMg. *osovanī*=*avasūpanī* (Kappas. § 28), *sovāṇī*=*svāpanī* (Nāyādh. 1288); AMg. *vesalaga*=*vr̄salaka* (Sūyag. 729), *sovāga*=*svapāka* (Āyār. 1, 8, 4, 11; Uttar. 349. 371. 402. 409. 410), *sovāgi*=*svapāki* (Sūyag. 709); AMg. *gelanna*=*glānya* (Thān. 369) of *gilāṇa*=*glāṇa* (§ 136). On M. AMg. JM. *bāhīm*=*bahīh* see § 181. Elevation of the final vowel after elision of a consonant at the end of a word takes place in AMg. *pudho* for **pudhu*=*pr̄thak* (Āyār. 1, 1, 2, 1. 2; 3, 4ff, 1, 2, 6, 2 etc.; Sūyag. 81. 123; Thān. 332), *pudhochanda* (Āyār. 1, 5, 2, 2; cf. Sūyag. 412), *pudhoṣīya*=*pr̄thakṣrīta* (Āyār. 1, 1, 2, 2; 6, 3; Sūyag. 332. 468), *pudhvījīya*=*pr̄thagjīva*. (Sūyag. 46), *pudhosattā*=*pr̄thakṣrītā*, *sattva* (Sūyag. 425; cf. 401). In **pudhu* for **pudhā* the second vowel is assimilated to the first one, as in *pudutta*=*pr̄thaktvā* (Thān. 212; Anuog. 45. 405 ff; Nandis. 160. 163. 168 f.), for which is found also *pohaita* (Pañnav. 602. 744; Vivāhap.) 181. 182. 1057)², also *pohatta* (Samav. 71; Vivāhap. 178), *pohatiya* (Pañnav. 639. 640. 664) with elevation of the first *u*. Pāli *puthu*³ shows the course of development; there is correct

pondence between Pāli *puthujja* and AMg. *pudhajaga*=*prthagjaka* (Sūyag. 104. 34!), as well as between *putthujana* and AMg. *pudhajana* (Sūyag. 166). According to Hc. 1, 24. 137. 188 *piham*, *puharin*, *pidham* and *pudham* are also used; so JM. *pihappiham* (Āv. 7, 8. 17), AMg. *pihajjana*=*prthagjana* (Thān. 132).

1. At the end of a compound *sāriccha* mostly=**sādīkṣya* may be taken to be a substantive. That it was also an adjective is proved by instances like those found in Erz. 71, 33. Cf. § 245. 2.—That the MSS. have *puhutta* also has been shown by WEBER, Bhag. 2, 200, Anm. 1.—3. ERNST KUHN, Beiträge p. 23; E. MÜLLER, Simplified Grammar p. 6.

d) SHORTENING OF LONG VOWELS.

§ 79. In M. AMg. JM. JS. A., and more rarely in other dialects, long vowels were often shortened and *e* was changed into *i*, when the accent originally fell on the long vowel of the preceding or following syllable. Such words as have their *ā* shortened to *a* have been classed under *yathādi* ākṛtigāṇa group by Vr. 1, 10; Ki. 1, 9; Mk. fol. 6; Pkl. p. 22; Hc. 1, 67 divides them into two groups: adverbs in general (*avaya*) and the ākṛtigāṇa *utkhātādi*; and in 1, 68 he further teaches that such words as are formed by the kṛta-suffix *-a'* (*ghāñ*) and show vrddhi have their *ā* shortened to *a*, as in *pravāha'*, *prahā'a'*, *prakāra'*, etc. He is followed by Triv. and Ad. 1, 2, 37. 38. The words with *-i* are grouped under *pāniyādi* gana by Vr. 1, 18; Hc. 1, 101; Pkl. p. 28; and under the *ghīlādi* class by Mk. fol. 8. Triv. and Ad. 1, 2, 51 set up the *gabhiraga* gana and group the words like *pāniya*, *alika*, *karīsa*, *upanīta*, *jivati* that show *i* also under the *pāniyā* class (1, 11). Inversely Ki. groups under *pāniyādi* (1, 11) the words that require shortening of *i* compulsorily and under the *gabhirādi* class those in which it is optional (1, 12). Hc. permits general exceptions to his rule. The grammarians have not set up a *gāṇā* for the words with *ū*.

§ 80. A vowel is shortened if the accent fell on the preceding syllable: M. *ukkhaa*, JM. *ukkhāja*=*ātkhātā* (Grr.; G. R. Erz.), M. *samukkhaa* (H.) by the side of M. *ukkhāa* (H.); AMg. *kulala*=*kūlāla* (an owl; Sūyag. 437; Uttar. 447; Dasav. 632, 37); M. *nīsaha*=*nī'hsākha* (H.); M. *varāi*=*va'rāki* (H.) by the side of the more frequent *varāa*, *varāi* (H.), *sāmaa* (Hc. 1, 71)=*syā'māka* (Sāntanava, Phitsūtra 2, 23; 3, 18), according to Śriharṣa, Dvirūpakośa 48 also in Skt. *śyāmaka*.—AMg. *anīja*=*a'nikā* (Thān. 357; Ovav.), *anījāhīvai*=*anīkādhīpati* (Thān. 125. 357), *pāyātīāniya*, *pīdhāniya*, *kuñjarāniya*, *mahisāniya*, *rahāniya* (Thān. 357), by the side of *anīja* (Nirayāv.; Ovav.; Nāyādh.); M. *alīa*, AMg. JM. *alīja*=*a'līka* (Grr.; G. H. R.; Vivāhap. 352. 687; Panhāv. 134; Uttar. 19; Dvār. 497, 19; Erz.), also Š. (Mṛcch. 24, 25; 57, 14. 15; 95, 17; 153, 18; Vikr. 30, 21; Mālav. 41, 18; Ratn. 324, 19; Cāndak. 9, 17; 52, 10; 86, 10; 87, 13. 16 etc.) and Mg. (Mṛcch. 145, 16; 165, 1). But for Š. Mg., except in poetry (Mṛcch. 145, 16; 153, 18) often *alīa* also is good; this has been partly better accredited inasmuch as *alīa* is permitted by grammarians and occurs in Š. (Prab. 37, 6 [against *aliattāna* 38, 1]; Nāgān. 45, 11; 103, 3; Mudrār. 59, 1; Pras. 37, 17; 44, 11; 46, 14; 47, 11; 120, 1; Venīs 24, 4; 97, 9; 107, 4 etc.), and JM. *alīja* in Erz.; M. *osianta*=*a'vasidat* (R.), *pasia*=*pra'sida* (Hc.; H.), but Š. *pasida* (Mṛcch. 4, 5; Prab. 44, 2; Nāgān. 46, 11; 47, 6), Mg. *pasida* (Mṛcch. 9, 24; 131, 18; 170, 18; 176, 9); AMg. *karisa*=*ka'rīṣa* (Grr.; Uvās.), but also M. *karīsa* (G.); AMg. *vammīja* (Hc.; Sūyag. 613; cf. Vivāhap. 1226ff. [mostly *ī*]) and M. *vammīa* (G.)=*va'līmīka* (Ujjvaladatta on Uṇādisūtra 4, 25); according to Purusottama=Dvirūpakośa 8 there occurs *vālmīka* as well, and according to Śriharṣa, Dvirūpakośa 51 *valmīka* also is found in Skt.; *sīrisa*=*sī'rīṣa* (Hc.), but also M. *sīrisa* (Šak. 2, 15).—M. *ulua*, AMg.

uluga=*ūluka* (*Sarasvatik.* 16, 10; *Sūyag.* 695); AMg. JM. *gāuṣya*=*ga'vyūta* (*Thān.* 83. 88. 89; *Vivāhap.* 425. 1529; *Jīv.* 276; *Anuog.* 381. 385. 397. 403; *Pannav.* 52. 601. 602; *Nandis.* 160. 163. 168; *Ovav.*; Erz.); M. Š. *verulia*, AMg. JM. *veruliya*=*var'dūrya* (Hc. 2, 133; Ki. 2, 117; [text °ni°]; Mk. fol. 39; *Pāiyal.* 119; G.; Mṛcch. 70, 25; 71, 3 [so it is to be read]; *Karp.* 33, 1; *Sūyag.* 834; *Thān.* 75. 86. 514. 568; *Pañhāv.* 440; *Vivāhap.* 1146. 1322. 1324; *Pannav.* 26. 540; *Nandis.* 72; *Rāyap.* 29. 58. 69; *Jīv.* 217. 494. 549; *Uttar.* 629. 981. 1042; Erz.); cf. § 241.—*viruva*=*vi'rūpa* (*Deśin.* 7, 63).—*caviḍā*, *cavīḍā*=*ca'petā* (Hc. 1, 146. 198) by the side of M. AMg. *cavedā* (Hc.; H.; *Uttar.* 596.)

§ 81. A vowel becomes short if the accent fell on the following syllable : AMg. JM. *āyariya*=*ācāryā* (§ 134)¹; AMg. *amāvāsā*=*amāvāsyā* (*Kappas.*); M. AMg. JM. *thavei*=*sthāpā'yati* and other causatives (§ 551. 552); M. JM. *kumara*=*kumāra'* (*Grr.*; Erz.), M. *kumāri*=*kumāri'* (G.; *Karp.* 80. 6), M. *kumaravāla*=*kumārapāla* (*Deśin.* 1, 104, 88), by the side of M. JM. A. *kumāra*, *kumāri* (G. H.; Erz.; Hc. 4, 362), as always in Š. *kumāra* (*Vikr.* 52. 16; 72, 15. 21; 79, 15; *Mudrār.* 44, 3; *Pras.* 35, 2. 7), *kumāraa* (*Šak.* 41, 2; 155, 9 [so it is to be read]; 156, 6, 14. *Mudrār.* 43, 5; 44, 1), *kumāri* (*Mālav.* 68. 10), in Mg. *kumāla* (*Nāgān.* 67, 1. 14 [so it is to be read]); *khaia*, JM. *khaiya*=*khādita'* (Bh. Mk. Pkl.; Erz.); *khaira*=*khādira'* (*Grr.*); A. *taisa*=*tādrśa*, *jaisa*=*yādrśa'* (Hc. 4, 403. 404); AMg. JM. *pajjava*=*paryāya'* (*Āyār.* 1, 3, 1, 4; 2, 15, 23; *Pannav.* 237 ff.); *Jīv.* 238. 262. 450. 451; *Uttar.* 797. 895; *Anuog.* 270; *Vivāhap.* 128; *Ovav.*; Āv. 43, 4. 9), JŚ. *pajjaya* (*Pav.* 388, 4; *Kattig.* 398, 302); M. *pavaha*=*pravāha'* (*Grr.*; G. H. R.), by the side of M. JM. *pavāha* (*Grr.*; G.; Erz.; Kk.), as in Š. (*Mṛcch.* 2, 20); M. *mājāra* (§ 86) *mājjāra'* (C. 2, 15; Hc. 2, 132; H. 286), *majjāra* (Mk. fol. 6), by the side of *mājjāra* (Hc. 1, 26) and AMg. JŚ. Š. *mājjāra* (*Pañhāv.* 20. 64. 528; *Nāyādh.* 756; *Kattig.* 401, 347; *Šak.* 145, 9), M. Š. *mājjāri* (*Pāiyal.* 150; *Deśin.* 1, 98, 82; *Viddhaś.* 114, 6), *mājjāriā* (*Karp.* 53, 5); A. *sahū*=*sāk'a'm* (§ 206); M. *halia*=*halīka'* (*Grr.*; H.).—M. JM. *gahīra*=*gabhrīra'* (*Grr.*; G. R.; Erz.) by the side of *gahīra* (G.); M. *nīa*=*nīta'* (R.), AMg. *nīya* (*Uttar.* 617, and the words more frequently found in compounds like *añña*=*atīnīta* (*Deśin.* 1, 24), M. *añña* (*Grr.*; G. H. R.), JM. *aññīja* (*Dvār.* 496, 30. 32; Erz.), M. *samāñña* (H.) M. *uññīja* (R.), *uvanīja* (Hc.; Mk.; R.); AMg. JM. *nīñīja* (*Nāyādh.* 516; Erz.), by the side of M. *nīa* (H.), JM. *nīya* (Erz.); always with a long vowel in Š. *nīda* (*Mṛcch.* 95, 7; *Sak.* 127, 9), *avanīda* (*Vikr.* 87, 4), *paccāñīda* (*Vikr.* 10, 4), *uvanīda* (*Mṛcch.* 17, 14; 25, 14; 69, 7; *Sak.* 19, 7), *parinīda* (*Sak.* 76, 10), *duvviñīda* (*Sak.* 17, 4), *avinīda* (*Sak.* 135, 2; 154, 7), and in Mg. *nīda* (*Mṛcch.* 162, 19), *avanīda* (*Mṛcch.* 109, 16), *aññīda* (*Mṛcch.* 99, 2; 124, 19; 175, 15). Triv. and Ad. 1, 2, 51 restrict lengthening of the vowel, in the feminine, of *aññīta*. The example quoted by Triv., viz. *ñīndā* *bhuvanabbhudēkkajāñāñītā* is set either in JŚ. or in Š.—*tunhīa*=*tūṣṇīka'* (Bh. 3, 58; Hc. 2, 99), by the side of AMg. JM. *tusinīja* (*Āyār.* 2, 3, 1, 16 ff.; Āv. 25, 20); M. *vilia*=*vṛidīta'* (*Grr.*; *Deśin.* 1, 20; 7, 65; R. 1, 6 v. I.; *Acyutas.* 82), *vīḍīa* (R.), AMg. *saviliya* (*Nāyādh.* 958); AMg. *sarisiva*=*sariṣīpa'* (*Āyār.* 2, 4, 2. 7 [so it is to be read; v. l.]; *Sūyag.* 105. 747; *Jīv.* 263. 264 [here °ri°]; also *sarisava* (*Āyār.* 2, 3, 3, 3; *Sūyag.* 129. 944; *Samav.* 98) and *sīrisiva* (*Sūyag.* 339; *Rāyap.* 228 [°sa']. 235).—M. AMg. JM. *ina*=*ena'* (§ 431); M. *vianā*, JM. *vīyanā*=*vedanā'* (*Vr.* 1, 34; Hc. 1, 146; Ki. 1, 34; Mk. fol. 11; *Pāiyal.* 161; G. H. R.; Erz.).

1. Wrongly JACOBI, KZ. 23, 598; 35, 569. The separation vowel *it* and the sonants have no influence on the working of the accent. Cf. § 131.

§ 82. Shortening of vowels takes place on account of the stress accent also in other cases in which we do not have the accent any more. Thus: M. *ahira*=*abhīra* (H. 811); *kalāa* beside *kalāā*=*kalāda* (goldsmith : Triv. and Ad. 1, 2, 37 for *kālāā*=*kālaka* in Hc.); *marala* (Mk. fol. 6)=*marāla*; JM. *mahuā* by the side of *mahuā*=*madhūka* (Vr. 1, 24; Hc. 1, 122; Ki. 1, 13; Mk. fol. 9; KI. 18); AMG. *saraḍuya*=*śalātuka* (Āyār. 2, 1, 8, 6). The double forms in Pkt. have as their basis the multitude of forms with different accents in Skt. So *khāira*=*khā'dira*, but *khāira*=*khādirā'*; *devara* is =*dēvara* (Phitsūtra 3, 18), but M. *diara* (Vr. 1, 34; Hc. 1, 146; Ki. 1, 34; Mk. fol. 11; H.), JM. *dījaya* (Pāīyal. 252)=*dēvarā'* (Unādīsūtra 3, 132); AMG. *pājaya*, JM. *pāgaya*, *pājaya*, M. *pāia*, JM. *pāīya*, M. *pāua*, S. *pāuda*, Mg. *pākida* (§ 53) are =*prā'kṛta*, but *paaa* (Hc. 1, 67; Triv. 1, 2, 37), *pāua* (Bh. 1, 10; Ki. 1, 9; Mk. fol. 6)=*prākṛta'* (cf. *sa'mskṛta* and *samskrta'*). *balāā* (Hc. 1, 67; Triv.; Ad. 1, 2, 37) by the side of *balāā*=*bālā'kā* presupposes the accent as in **ba'lākā* or **balākā'*, like AMG. *suhuma*=*sūkṣma* (§ 131), where the accent seems to be as in **sūkṣma'*, whilst in the Unādīs. 4, 176 it is transmitted as *sū'ksma*. The ordinals *duia* (Bh. 1, 18; Hc. 1, 94. 101; Ki. 1, 11; Mk. fol. 8), JM. *dūjya* (Erz.), S. *dudia* (Mṛcch. 51, 10; 69, 5. 6; 78, 8; Śak. 137, 2; Vikr. 5, 12; 10, 1; 19, 8; Mahāv. 52, 17 etc.)¹, Mg. *dudia* (Mṛcch. 81, 5; 134, 2); M. *biia* (Hc. 1, 94; G. 1081; R.), AMG. JM. *biīya* (Sūyāg. 177; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kappas. and very often in subscriptions as in Āyār. p. 3. 8. 15. 29. 34 etc.; Erz.), M. *taīa* (Bh. 1, 18; Hc. 1, 101; Ki. 1, 11; Mk. fol. 8; G.), AMG., JM. *tāīya* (Ovav. § 105. 144; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Kappas. and often in subscriptions, as in Āyār. p. 4. 10. 16. 17. 20. 24 etc.; Erz.; Rśabhap.), S. *tadia* (Mṛcch. 69, 14. 15; Mudrār. 41, 7 [so it is to be read; see v. l.]), Mg. *tadia* (Mṛcch. 166, 24 [text *taīa*]) do not go back to *dvīti'ya*, *trīti'ya*, but to **dvītya'*, **trītya'*². In cases like M. *jīai*=*jīvati*, M. AMG. JM. *āruhai*=*ārohati* there is transition over to the 6th class (§ 482)³. On *pānia* see § 91, on *gahia*=*grihita'* § 564. Long contracted vowels, except before double consonants and in A., are never shortened: *kālāsa* from *kālāasa*=*kālāyasa'* (§ 165); *kumbhāra* from *kumbhaāra*=*kumbhakāra'*, and other compound words formed with *kāra* added to them (§ 167); *cakkāā*=*cakkāā*=*cakravāka'* (§ 167); *pākkā'* from *pādātīka'* (§ 194); *biā*, *tīa* from *biia*, **tīia*=**dvītya*, **trītya* (§ 165): So probably also *nārāā* by the side of M. *nārāā* (R.), Mg. JM. *nārāya* (Uvās.; Ovav. Prabandhac. 100, 7; Erz.)= *nārāca* (Hc. 1, 67)⁴. On AMG. *padīna* see § 99.

1. The texts often have *dudīa*, as in Mudrār. 41, 7; Mālatīm. 31, 6; 71, 3; 72, 4; 103, 8; Bālar. 174, 10; Anarghar. 61, 6; Vṛśab. 23, 9 etc. The v. l. in Mālatīm. has mostly the correct reading.—2. JACOBI on Kalpas. p. 103, note 28. Cf. PISCHEL KZ. 35, 144; JACOBI, KZ. 35, 570 f.—3. JACOBI objects to assumption of such a transition, KZ. 35, 569 f., of course on insufficient grounds.—4. According to JACOBI, KZ. 35, 565, note 1, the theory of contraction lacks proof as such. It is still to be proved. In FW. the accent is shown as in *nārāca'*, probably according to Vedic *nārāci'*. In BW. the accent is rightly wanting. Perhaps there existed the double forms *nārāca* and *nārāā*. Cf. under § 79-82 in general, PISCHEL, KZ. 34, 568 ff; 35, 140 ff. Against this, wrongly JACOBI, KZ. 35, 563 ff.

§ 83. Long vowels are shortened in close syllables (Hc. 1, 84). PG. : *raṭhika*=*rāṣṭrika* (5, 4); *amace*=*amātyāñ* (5, 5); *vatthavāna*=*vāstavyāñām* (6, 8); *bamhañānām* (6, 8. 27. 30. 38); *puvva*=*pūrva* (6, 12. 28) etc. Sanskritisms in PG. are: *kāmcipurā* for *kāmcipurā*=*kāncipurāt* (5, 1) and *ātīya* for *atteya*=*ātreya* (6, 13); faulty is also *cāttāri* for *cattāri*=*cattāri* (6, 39).—PG. M. AMG. JM. Dh. *kaṭtha*=*kāṣṭha* (PG. 6, 33; H.; Ovav.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 30, 16).¹ M. JM. S. *kavva*=*kāvya*

(G. H. R.; Erz.; Vikr. 31, 11; 35, 5).—M. AMg. *gatta=gātra* (R.; Ovav.; Erz.).—M. AMg. JM. Š. *rajjā=rājya* (H. R.; Nāyādh.; Nīrāyāv.; Ovav.; Erz.; Vikr. 75, 5).—JŚ. *uvasanta=upaśānta* (Kattig. 403, 377).—Mg. *sānta=śānta* (Mṛcch. 13, 7).—A. *kanta=kānta* (Hc. 4, 345. 351. 357, 1; 358, 1; Vikr. 58, 9).—M. AMg. JM. A. *kitti=kirti* (Vr. 3, 24; Hc. 2, 30; Ki. 2, 34; Mk. fol. 22; G. R.; Uvās.; Ovav. Kappas.; Erz.; Hc. 4, 335); Š. *kittiā=kirtikā* (Vikr. 12, 14).—AMg. JM. JŚ. Š. A. *tithā=tīrtha* (Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Pav. 379, 1; Šak. 79, 1; 105, 4; 118, 10; Hc. 4, 41, 2).—M. AMg. Š. Mg. A. *gimha=grīṣma* (G. H. R.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Mṛcch. 80, 23; Šak. 10, 1; Hc. 4, 357, 3).—M. JM. Š. Mg. A. *uddha=ūrdhva* (G. H. R.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 39, 2; 41, 22; 136, 16; Hc. 4, 444, 3), AMg. JM. *uddha* JM. *ubbha* (§ 300).—M. AMg. *kumma=kürma* (G.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.).—M. AMg. JM. Š. Mg. A. *cūnna=cūrṇa* (G. H. R.; Āyār. 2, 1, 8, 3; 2, 2, 3, 9.; Kappas.; Kk.; Mṛcch. 68, 25; 117, 7; Hc. 4, 395, 2).—M. AMg. JŚ. Š. *mulla=mūlyā* (H.; Karp. 73, 10; Ovav.; Kattig. 400, 335; Mṛcch. 55, 15; 78, 3; 82, 15; 88, 21 ff.; Šak. 116, 12).—All the syllables that are accompanied with a nasal vowel or anusvāra are governed by the same law, whether they existed already in Skt. (Hc. 1, 72) or if this anusvāra is secondary in Pkt., having originated from *m* according to § 348: M. Š. *kaṁsatāla=kāṁsyatāla* (G.; Mṛcch. 69, 24; Karp. 3, 3 v. 1.).—M. AMg. JM. Š. *paṁsu=pāṁsu* (G. R.; Vivāgas. 155; Bhag.; Erz.; Mālatīm. 142, 1; Mallikām. 253, 18; 336, 9).—M. AMg. JM. JŚ. Š. *māṁsa=māṁsa* (H.; Sūyag. 281; Dasav. 632, 24; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.; Āv. 27, 12; Kattig. 400, 328; Šak. 29, 6), Mg. *māṁsa* (Mṛcch. 10, 1; 21, 17; 117, 9; 123, 7; 126, 5; 163, 9; Venis. 33. 6; 34, 2; *māṁsae* 33, 12).—The rule frequently becomes operative in the final syllables ending in *-āṁ, -īṁ, -ūṁ, -ān* of Skt. declensional forms, as in M. *puttāñāṁ=putrāñāṁ, aggīñāṁ=agnīñāṁ, vāñāñāṁ=vāyūñāṁ, mālāñāṁ=mālāñāṁ, sahīñāṁ=sakhīm, vahūñāṁ=vadhmūṁ, AMg. mahāñāṁ=mahāñāṁ, ājāvāñāṁ=ātmavāñāṁ*, etc. (§ 370 ff. 396); further in adverbs like *dāñīñāṁ=idāñīñāṁ* (§ 144), AMg. JM. *saddhiñāṁ=saddhrīm* (§ 103). In the case of interjections the rule does not hold good: Š. Mg. *āñāṁ=āñāṁ* (Mṛcch. 27, 10; Šak. 71, 13; 134, 14; Vikr. 13, 2; 35, 9; 75, 5; Mālav. 6, 3; 80, 8; Bālar. 123, 17; Mṛcch. 136, 19). In A. *kahāñāṁ, jahāñāṁ, tahāñāṁ*, in the sense of *kutah, yatah, tatah* (Hc. 4, 355) the lengthening is to be explained according to § 68.

i. In connection with the rules of this type, that are to be covered times without number, the examples from M. AMg. JM. have been selected from texts that are provided with word indices, as far as possible, for the sake of brevity and the examples are confined chiefly to words that possibly occur uniformly in many dialects.

§ 84. Before a consonant group *e* becomes ē and *o* becomes ō. In M. AMg. JM. sometimes there enter *i* and *u* in lieu of ē and ō. Thus: M. AMg. JM. *pēcchai=preksate* (Hc. 4, 181; G. H. R.; Ovav.; Erz.), AMg. *pēcchanija=preksaniya* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.), *pēcchaga=preksaka* (Vivāhap. 929) and AMg. JM. *picchai* (Kappas.; Kk.), AMg. *picchanejjja* (Jiv. 353), JŚ. *pēcchadi* (Pav. 284, 48), Š. *pēkkhadi* (Šak. 13, 6; Vikr. 84, 5), Mg. *pēskadi* (Hc. 4, 295. 297; Mṛcch. 80, 4; 112, 17); M. *avekkhi=apeksin* (G.); M. *duppēccha=duspreksya* (R.), Š. *duppēkkha* (Prab. 45, 11), Mg. *duspeška* (Mṛcch. 116, 7).—*dubbhejjja=durbhedyā* (Mṛcch. 68, 19).—AMg. JM. Š. A. *mečcha=mleccha* (Ovav. § 183 [v. 1. *miō*]; Āv. 39, 2; Mudrār. 229. 9; Cait. 38, 6 [text *malečcha*]; Piṅgala 1, 77a. 117a; 2, 272) and *miliccha* (Hc. 1, 84), AMg. *miccha* (Pannav. 136).—M. *chečtia=kṣetra* (Bh. 3, 30; Hc. 2, 17; G. H.), AMg. *chitta* (Ovav. § 1), AMg. JM. JŚ. Š. *khēčtia* (Āyār. 1, 2, 3, 3; Sūyag. 628; Vivāhap. 97. 157. 203 f. 583 ff.; Uttar. 355 ff.; Dasav. N. 653, 14; Erz.; Pav. 379,

3: 387, 21; Kattig. 401, 352; Mṛcch. 120, 7; Anarghar. 261, 5), AMg. *khitta* (Uttar. 576. 1014).—M. AMg. JM. *o̤t̥tha*=*oṣ̥tha* (G. H. R., Karp. 8, 3; 58, 5; Panhāv. 63; Āv. 41, 6; Erz.) and JM. *ut̥tha* (Erz.), AMg. *hūt̥ha* (Āyār. 1, 1, 2, 5).—M. AMg. JM. Š. *an̥no̤n̥a*=*anyonya* (G. H. R.; Sūyag. 137; Ovav.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 24, 16; 71, 1. 13; Sak. 56, 15; Vikr. 51, 16) and M. *annunna* (Hc. 1, 156; G.), wrongly also in Š. Bālar. 217, 8.—M. AMg. Š. *pao̤t̥tha*=*prakostha* (Mk. fol. 13; Karp. 47, 6; Ovav.; Mṛcch. 68, 23; 69, 5 ff.; 70, 5 ff. 71, 11. 12; 72, 1; Bālar. 80, 1; Viddhaś. 126, 3) and M. *paūt̥tha* (Hc. 1, 156; G.).—AMg. *maṇunna*=*manojñā* (§ 276).—The rule holds good also for the secondary *e*, *o* that originated from *ai*, *au* (§ 60 ff.), by sāniprasāraṇa (§ 153. 154), and those that originated from *aī* and *aū* (§ 166). As *i*, from *e*, there occurs *ai* always in AMg. JM. *ikkhāga*=*aikṣvāka* (Āyār. 2, 1, 2, 2; Thān. 414. 458; Nāyādh. 692. 729. 1505; Pañṇav. 61.; Uttar. 532; Ovav.; Kappas.; Āv. 46, 19; Erz.) wrongly equated as =*ikṣvāku* by LEUMANN and JACOBI : Š. *mīteya*=*maitreya* (Mṛcch. 4, 22. 23; 6, 3; 17, 20; 22, 15; 53, 18; 74, 19; 150, 12), likewise Mg. (Mṛcch. 45, 1); *sindhava*=*saindhava* (Vr. 1, 38; Hc. 1, 149; Ki. 1, 36; Mk. fol. 12); M. AMg. *sanīccara*=*śanīścara* (Hc. 1, 149 with note; Panhāv. 312; Pañṇav. 116; Ovav.). AMg. *śanīcara* (Thān. 82, 399; Bhag. 2, 225), as in Triv. 1, 2, 94 in my MSS., against *sanīccara* of the printed edition, is explained either according to § 74 or from **sanīamcara*, from M. Š. *sanīam*, AMg. JM. *sanīyān*, Pāli *sanikām*, *sanīm* (Hc. 2, 168; Pāiyāl. 15; G. H.; Āyār. 2, 15. 19. 20. 22; Vivāhap. 172. 173; Uvās.; Erz.; Mālatīm. 239, 3; Uttarar. 32, 8; Paiyad. 17, 13; Pras. 45, 3; Mallikām. 242, 1). In Viddhaś. 120, 9 stands in Š. *sanīccara* except in the v. l.—Mk., fol. 12 teaches besides *saindhava* also *bhaikṣājīvika*, *nāyāyika* end *pīṇḍapātīka*. Consequently *bhikkhājīvīva* can be traced back to *bhikṣājīvīka*, *pīṇḍavāīa* goes back to *pīṇḍapātīka*: *nāyāyīka* becomes *nejāyyīa* in AMg. (§ 60). The words which always show *u* from *o* for *au* have been grouped by grammarians (Vr. 1, 44; Hc. 1, 160; Ki. 1, 43; Mk. fol. 13; Pkl. p. 37) under the *saundaryādi* class, which, according to Mk. and Pkl., who almost always agree with one another, is an ākṛtīgāna. Triv. 1, 2, 97, who is followed by Ad., has the ākṛtīgāna *śauṇḍaga*, and the examples are the same as those in Hc. To this gāṇa belong *sundera*=*saundarya* (M. Karp. 66, 7; Š. Dhūrtas. 10, 9), for which Pratāp. 220, 9 has *sō̤ndajja*, and Hc. teaches *sundaria*; also *uvāriṭhaa*=*aupariṣṭhaka* (Mk. Pkl.); *kukkheaa*=*kaukṣeyaka* (Bh. Ki. Mk. Pkl.), for which Hc. 1, 161 and Triv. 1, 2, 96 teach *kuccheaa* and *kō̤ccheaa*; *duvāria*=*dauvārika* (Bh. [read so for *duvvāo*], Hc. Ki. Mk. Pkl.) is rather *dvārika*; *dussāhīa*=*dauḥsādhīka* (Mk. Pkl.); *pūlomī*=*paulomī* (Hc. Mk. Pkl.); *pūssa*=*pausya* (Mk. [*pausa*]); Pkl. [*paurusa*]); *muñja*=*mauñja* (Bh. Hc. Ki. Mk. Pkl.); *sun̥ḍa*=*śaunda* (*śundikāgāla*=*śaundikāgāra* (Sak. 118, 7); *suddhaṇī*=*śauddhodani* (Hc.).—*suvarṇīa*=*sauvarṇīka* (Hc.) is rather=**suvarṇīka*, *sugandhītāṇa*=*saugandhyā* (Hc.)= **saugandhatvāna*.

§ 85. The *e* occurring at the end of a word, and the original as well as secondary *o* (§ 342) before the enclitics, that begin with double consonants, except in A., become *e̤* and *o̤* and not *i* and *u*, : M. *tumhe̤* *anurāga iti* (G. 715), *pīo̤t̥ti*=*priya iti* (H. 46); JM. *puriso̤t̥ti*=*purusā iti* (Āv. 13, 2), *gao̤t̥ti*=*gata iti* (Āv. 17, 6), *kālo̤ vva*=*kāla iva* (Erz. 71, 27. (Āyār. 1, 1, 3, 4) for *mō̤t̥ti*, as it is correctly in the Calcutta edition, = *sma iti*, JŚ. *samo̤t̥ti*=*sama iti* (Pav. 380, 7). Wrong readings are AMg. *mṳt̥ti* (Āyār. 1, 1, 3, 4) for *māyāvāro̤ vva* (Pav. 383, 44), AMg. *lohabhāruvva*,

gāṅgasouvva for *°bhārōvva*, *°soōvva* (Uttar. 583), and others. See § 346. S. *avahidōmhi=avahito’smi* (Vikr. 78, 14), *bamhaṇojjēvva=brāhmaṇa eva* (Mrcch. 27, 14); Mg. *eśe kkhū=esa khalu* (Mrcch. 40, 9), *puttake’tti=putraka iti* (Śak. 161, 7). Against this, in M. *e* and *o* are always changed into *i* and *u* in the final syllable of the case endings after long vowels, when the metre requires a short vowel : *pucchiāī muddhāē=pṛṣṭāyā muḍdhāyāh* (H. 15); *golāī tūhāīm=godāyāstīrthānī* (H. 58); *gāmataruṇī hīaañ=grāmataruṇyo hydayam* (H. 546); *uahīu niggaām=udadhernirrgatam* (G. 56) Just apparently sometime in MSS. are written *ē* and *ō* that are permitted by grammarians in Pkt. and A. (Āv. p. 6, note 4; Saṅgitaratnākara 4,55. 56; Piṅgala 1, 4), thus :—*jasoāē cumbiam=yaśodāyāścubitam* (G. 21), or *ko’thuhakiranāntio kanhassa=kaustubhakiranāyamānāh kṛṣṇasya* (G. 22). The MSS. mostly waver, thus even the best manuscript of G. 44, for *harālināgaralajjījī āē cījāē* of the text, has *lajjīyāī ajjāī*. Examples of this sort are preponderant¹. For AMg. JM. JS. as well the same rule holds good : however, in AMg. and JM. the texts, sometimes even after long vowels, have *ē* and *ō*, probably wrongly. Thus : AMg. *savvakammāvahāō=sarvakarmāvahāh* (Āyār. 1, 8, 1, 16), but the Calc. edition gives the correct reading *°hāu; levamāyāē samjāē=lepamātrāyām samyatah* (Dasav. 622. 13) *nigganthattāō bhassai=nirgranthatvād bṛhāsyati* (Dasav. 624, 33); JM. *buddhāē cāvvoihāē juo=buddhyā caturvidhayā yutah* (Āv. 7, 23), *muddāē ānkio=mudrayānkitaḥ* (Āv. 8, 14), *jūhāō pari-bhattho=yūthāt paribṛhāṣṭah* (Erz. 69, 14). In AMg. JM., even before vowels and simple consonants, *e* and *o*, in many other cases in poetry, measure short : thus in AMg. *unnāē vā pāze=unnāto vā payodah, vuṭhē balāhāē tti=vṛṣṭo balāhaka iti* (Dasav. 629, 31. 32), *aloło bhikkhū=aloło bhikṣuh* (Dasav. 340, 3); JM. *manne esa=manya esa* (Āv. 7, 30), *nīō ujjenim=nīta ujjayinim* (Āv. 8, 14). In poetry, for the enclitic *me*, in AMg., is used *mi*, for *se* in AMg. JM. is used *sē*, and in AMg., *si* (§ 418. 423), in Mg. Mrcch. 43, 6 ff., in verse there occurs *śakki* for *śakke=śakyah* etc. (§ 64). In AMg. *utāhō* becomes *udāhū* (Uvās.) or *uṛāhū* (Āyār 1, 4, 2 6). Cf. also § 346. In A. *e* and *o*, in all cases, could become either short or *i* and *u* in the final position: *piē dīṭhāī=priye *dṛṣṭake* (Hc. 4, 365, 1); *hai=ṛdaye* (Hc. 4, 330, 3 395, 4. 420, 3); *piē pavasante=priye pravasati* (Hc. 4, 422, 12); *kalijugi dullahah̄=kaliyuge durlabhasya* (Hc. 4, 338); *ānguliu jajjariā =āngulyo jarjaritāh* (Hc. 4, 333); *dīnaaru khaagāli=dinakarah kṣayakāle* (Hc. 4, 377); *kṛdānīhō=kṛtāntāya* (Hc. 4, 370. 4). Cf. § 128. 130. 346. Sometimes wrongly is written *a* before double consonants in lieu of *ē* and *ō*. So M. *pamhattha mhi* for *pamhattho mhi=prasmytaśānasmi* (R. 6, 12; already in Hc. 3, 105); S. *hadamhi* for *hado mhi=hato’smi* (Śak. 29, 9); Mg. *kadamhi* for *kade mhi* (Mrcch. 38, 15)². Cf. § 92 ff. 392 ff.

1. 365. 375. 385, LASSEN, Inst. p. 148, WEBER, ZDMG. 28, 352; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākṛtika p. 29.—2. PISCHEL, GGA. 1880, 342 ff. Wrongly S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākṛtika p. 17.

§ 86. A long vowel, before a group of several consonants, one of which is *r*, sometime become a short nasal vowel and the consonant-group is simplified : M. *maṇjara* (§ 81), *vamjara* (Hc. 2, 132), *maṇjāra* (Hc. 1, 26), by the side of *majjara*, AMg. JS. S. *majjāra=mārjāra* (§ 81).—*mum̄dha=mūrdhan* (Hc. 1, 26; 2, 41), a mention is to be made of only AMg. JM. *muddha-* (§ 402; Erz.) that does not appear to have been used in M. S. (PISCHEL on Hc. 2, 41).—AMg. *mē m̄dha* (back : Thān. 250), *mīm̄dha* (Thān. 205; Sūyag. 708), *mē m̄dhaga* (Thān. 260), *mīm̄dhaga* (Ovav. § 107*), *mīm̄dhaya* (Samav. 131)=*medhra, medh-raka*, that have as *mēndhaka, mēndha* and *mēndhra* crept into Skt. as well;

fem. *men̄dhī* (Desin. 6, 138), *miñdhijā* (Paiyal. 219), and according to Desin. 6, 138 also *men̄thī*.

§ 87. A long vowel, that occurs before an original consonant-group, from which develops a single consonant through assimilation, or rather its phonetic substitute through elision, remains long (Hc. 2, 92). Such cases mostly occur when one of the consonants is either *r* or a sibilant. Thus: *āsa=āsyā* (Hc.).—AMg. JM. *isara=iśvara* (Hc.; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.), Mg. *iśala* (Mrcch. 17, 4; Śak. 116, 2), by the side of *issara* (Bh. 3, 58).—M. JM. S. *isā=īrṣyā* (G. H. R.; Erz.; Mrcch. 69, 25), but also S. *issā* (Prab. 39, 2, 3), Mg. *iśā* (Prab. 47, 1).—M. AMg. JM. S. *dīha=dīrgha* (Bh. 3, 58; Hc. 2, 91; G. H. R.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.; Mrcch. 39, 2; 41, 22; 69, 8; 78, 25; Ratn. 307, 318, 26; Mālatīm. 76, 5; Mrcch. 116, 17; 168, 20; Hc. 4, 330, 2); S. *dīhiā=dīrghikā* (Priyad. 11, 2, 5; 12, 12; Vrsabh. 39, 31), AMg. JM *dīhijā* (Āyār. 2, 3, 3, 2; Ovav.; Erz.), by the side of *dīggha* (Bh. 3, 58; Hc. 2, 91), S. Mg. *dīgghiā* (Ratn. 299, 12; Nāgān. 51, 6; Priyad. 8, 13; 12, 2; 19, 17; 23, 11; 24, 9, 15; Mg. Mrcch. 134, 7).—M. AMg. JM. S. *pāsa=pārśva* (Hc. 2, 92; G. H. R.; Āyār. 1, 1, 2, 5; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Vikr. 17, 11; 24, 4, 5; 36, 12; 75, 15; Prab. 64, 2; Priyad. 8, 14).—AMg. JM. *pehāi=preksate* (§ 323).—M. JM. S. A. *bāha* (tears), by the side of *bappha* (smoke), S. *bāp̄a* (tears)=*bāspa* (§ 305).—AMg. *lūha* by the side of *lukkha=rūkṣa*, *lūhei=rūkṣayati* (§ 257).—*leḍhukka=leḍtuka* (§ 304).—AMg. J.S. *loḍha=loṣṭa* (§ 304).—M. *vedha*, AMg. JM *vedhei*, S. *vedhida=Pāli veṭhati, veṭhitā=Skt. veṣṭate, vestīta* (§ 304). M. AMg. JM. S. A. *sīsa=sīrsa* (Hc. 2, 92; G. H. R.; Āyār. 1, 1, 2, 6; Uvās.; Erz.; Mrcch. 24, 14. 16. 17; 68, 14; 74, 5; 78, 10; Śak. 39, 4; Hc. 4, 389. 446), Mg. *sīśā* (Mrcch. 12, 18; 13, 9; 40, 6; 113, 1; 127, 12), *śīśaka* (Mrcch. 20, 17).—AMg. JM. *soma=sauṃya* by the side of M. S. *sōmma* (§ 61^a).—Cf. § 284. In AMg., deviating from all other dialects, long vowels remain very frequently before the suffix *-tra*, that develops into *-ja* from *-ta*. So: *gāya=gātra* (Āyār. 1, 8, 1, 19; 2, 2, 3, 9; Thān. 289; Nāyādh. 267; Vivāhap. 822. 1257. 1261; Uttar. 61. 103. 109; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.); *gojā=gotra* (Āyār. 1, 2, 3, 1; 2, 2, 3, 4; Panṇav. 716; Uttar. 966; Ovav.; Kappas.), by the side of *goṭṭa* (Dasav. 628, 3; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.); *dhāī=dhātri* (Hc. 2, 81; Āyār. 1, 2, 5, 1; 2, 15, 13; Sūyag. 255; Vivāgas. 81; Vivāhap. 959; Nāyādh. § 117; Rāyap. 288; Ovav. [§ 105]); *pājā=pātra* (Āyār. 1, 8, 1, 18; 2, 6, 1, 1 ff.; Sūyag. 124; Uttar. 219; Ovav.), *pāī=pātri* (Sūyag. 783), *kāṁsapāī=kāṁsapātri* (Thān. 528; Kappas.); *lohiyapūjapāī=lohitapūyapātri* (Sūyag. 281); *mājā=mātrā* (Āyār. 1, 2, 5, 3; Ovav.), *mājanna=mātrājña* (Āyār. 1, 2, 5, 3; 1, 7, 3, 2; 1, 8, 1, 19; Dasav. 623, 15; Uttar. 51), *taṇamājā=taṇamātra* (Sūyag. 608); *mūjā=mūtra* (Āyār. 1, 6, 1, 3); *sojā=śrotra* (Āyār. 1, 2, 1, 2. 5; Sūyag. 639). Only in *rātī* (Bh. 3, 58; Hc. 2, 88; Mk. fol. 28) the rule is not restricted merely to AMg. as *rātī* (Vivāhap. 936. 938), *rātībhōjana=rātībhōjana* (Thān. 180; Ovav.), *rātīmīḍīja=rātīmīḍīva* (Thān. 133; Nāyādh. 347; Vivāhap. 1293; Kappas.), *rājā=rātī* (Kappas.), *rājā=a=rātīrika* (Sūyag. 731; Ovav.; Kappas.); but there occurs also in M. *rāī* (H.), by the side of *rātī* (H. R.; Śak. 55, 15), J.S. *rāībhōjana* (Kattig. 399, 306) by the side of *rātīmīḍī* (Kattig. 103, 374. 375), *rātīmīḍīvahām* (Kattig. 402, 364), S. *rādī* (Mrcch. 93, 12, 15) by the side of the usual *rātī* (Mrcch. 93, 6, 7; 147, 16; 148, 2; Śak. 29, 7), Mg. *latti* (Mrcch. 21, 18), *lattīmīḍī* (Mrcch. 45, 21; 161, 4).

§ 88. The prefix *ā* remains often before the root *khyā*, and always before *jñā*, and the initial syllable of the roots is treated as if it were a medial one. AMg. *āghām=ākhyān* (Sūyag. 397), *āghājā=ākhyājā* (Sūyag. 375), *āghāvēi, āghavemāṇa, āghavijā, āghavittae, āghavijanti* (§ 551), *āghavaṇā=*

ākhyāpanā (Nāyādh. § 143; p. 539; Uvās. § 222); S. *paccākhādum*=*praty-ākhyātum* (Vikr. 45, 5). Dh. *akkhanto* (Mṛcch. 34, 24) is an incorrect reading for *ācakkhanto* (§ 491. 499). But also AMg. *akkhāi*, *akkhanti*, *paccakkhāi* (§ 491). AMg. JM. *ānavei*, S. Mg. *āñavedi*=*ājñāpavati* (§ 551); M. AMg. JM. *āñā*=*ājñā* (Vr. 3, 55; Hc. 2, 92; Ki. 2, 109; Mk. fol. 27; G. H. R.; Samav.; 134; Ovav.; Kappas.; Āv. 8, 17. 18; Kk.; Rsabhap.); VG. M. AMg. Ā. S. Mg. *ānatti*=*ājñapti* (Bh. 3, 55; Hc. 2, 92; Ki. 2, 109, Mk. fol. 27; VG. 102, 16; R.; Nirayāv.; Priyad. 11, 10; Mṛcch. 105, 1; 166, 21; 171, 17; Venīs. 36, 6); AMg. *ānattiyā* (Uvās.; Ovav.; Nirayāv.), *āñavana*=*ājñāpana* (Hc. 2, 92; Uvās.), *āñamanī*=**ājñāpanī* (Pannav. 363. 369). In other cases the usage wavers: thus: M. *āsasa*=*āśasati*, but S. *samassasadu*, Mg. *śamaśśaśadu*=*samāśvasitu* (§ 496). In S. *akkandāmi*=*ākrandāmi* (Uttarar. 32, 1), *akkandasī* (Mudrār. 263, 4), Mg. *akkandāmi*=(Mṛcch. 162, 17) the printed editions (except that of STENZLER) with the majority of the manuscripts have *ākkā*, so that it could be read as *ākā* also. But M. JM. *akkamāi*, *akkanta*, *samakkanta* (G. H. R.; Erz.; Kk.) always have the shortened vowel, which also is explained better with the help of *krand*. Besides, a long vowel remains before a consonant-group formed without *r* or a sibilant only seldom, and it is so preserved dialectically: JS. *āda*=*ādīman* (Pav. 380, 8. 12; 381, 15. 16; 382, 23. 24. 25; 383, 70. 24), AMg. *ājya*-*(Āyār.* 1, 1, 1, 3. 4. 5; 1, 2, 2, 2. 5, 4; Sūyag. 28. 35. 81. 151. 231. 838; Vivāhāp. 76.132.283.1059 ff. [the text mostly *āta*-]; Uttar. 251).—AMg. *sāmalī*=*sālmali* (Sūyag. 315; Thān. 88.555; Pañhāv. 274; Anuttar. 9; Ovav. § 16; *kūdāśāmali* (Uttar. 626), dialectical *sāmari* (Pāiylal. 264; Deśin. 8,23.; Triv. 1,3,105=BB. 3,259; cf. § 109).—M. AMg. A. *thāha*, “ground: bottom” (Pāiylal. 249; Deśin. 5,30; R.; Pañhāv. 380; Nāyādh. 904.1112.1341; Hc. 4,444,3), according to Hc. also “deep water” and “broad” by the side of *thāha* (residence; Deśin. 5,24) and *thaggha* (deep; Pāiylal. 249; Deśin. 5,24)¹; AMg. *atthāha* (groundless; deep; Deśin. 1,54; Nāyādh. 1112; Vivāhāp. 104. 447) by the side of *atthaggha* (Deśin. 1,54)=**stāghya*, **astāghya*. Cf. § 333.

1. In Deśin. 5,24, the words are to be read as *thaggho* 'gādhe and *thaggho* 'gādhah. The scholiasts connect it to *stāgha*. Improvement has been effected according to this GGA. 1880,334.

§ 89. Against § 83, sometimes a long nasal vowel is retained dialectically, and in such cases the nasal sound disappears: *kāsa*=*kāṁsya*, *pāsu*=*pāṁsu* (Hc. 1,29.70); M. *māsa*=*māṁsa* (Vr. 4,16; Hc. 1,29.70; Mk. fol. 34; G. R.), *māsala*=*māṁsala* (Hc. 1,29; G. R.), *māsalaanta*, *māsalia* (G.). The same thing takes place also in the case of secondary nasal vowels in Pāli *pekuṇa*, *pekkhuna*, M. AMg. *pehuṇa*=**preñkhuna*, **preñkhuṇa*, **preñkhuṇa*=Skt. *preñkhana* (wandering=swing, wing; Pāiylal. 126; Deśin. 6,58; G. H. R.; Āyār. 2,1,7,5; Pañhāv. 33.489.533; Pannav. 529; Nāyādh. 500; Jiv. 464). The derivation from *pākṣman* (CHILDERS, s. v. *pekuṇa*; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, KZ. 25,611) or from *pāksa* (WEBER, IStr. 3,396) is linguistically impossible. So also the accusative plural forms in -ā, -ī, -ū, in M. AMg. A., such as M. *gunā*=*guṇān*, AMg. *rukkhā*=*rūkṣān*, A. *kuñjarā*=*kuñjarān*, AMg. *mallai*=*mallakin*, *bahū*=*bahūn* (§ 367.381) can be traced back to the Skt. forms through **guṇām*, **guṇā*, **baḥūm*, **baḥū*, etc., as having developed due to gradual weakening of the nasals. Perhaps Mg. *dālām*=*dārān* (§ 367^a) developed in the same manner. Cf. also *kesua* from **keṁsua*=*kimśuka* and *kohāndī*, *kohāṇḍa* from **koṁhāṇḍī*, **ṇḍa*=*kūṣmāṇḍī*, **ṇḍa* (§ 76,127).

§ 90. When the original word had its accent on the final syllable a long vowel preceding a simple consonant is often shortened and the

simple consonant is duplicated. Sometimes doubling of consonants takes place even after an originally short vowel (§ 194). The words in which the doubling is obligatory have been grouped under the ākṛtigāṇa *nīdādi* (Vr. 3,52; Ki. 2,111; Mk. fol. 27) and under *tailādi* (Hc. 2,98; Triv. 1,4,93; 4,93); the cases, in which lengthening is optional, are included under the ākṛtigāṇa *sevādi* by Vr. 3,58; Hc. 2,99; Ki 2,112; Mk. fol. 27, and under the *daivaga* class by Triv. 1,4,92. Not too many examples of application of this rule, notably in Bh. and Mk., are to be found.—M. Ś. Mg. Dh. *evaṁ=eva'm* (H.; Mrcch. 4,20;9,1;12,25 etc.; Vikr. 6,15;13,18; 8 etc.; Mg. Mrcch. 31,17;39,20;80,18 etc.; Dh. Mrcch. 30,14,18;31,19, 22;35,17) by the side of *evām*.—Ś. *kacca=kāca'* (Karp. 19,8).—AMg. JM. *kiddā=kridā'* (Āyār. 1,2,1,3; Sūyag. 81; Jiv. 577; Jiýak. 45; Uttar. 483; Nāyādh.; Āv. 15,13), AMg. JM. *khe'dda* (n.; Hc. 2,174; Triv. 1,3, 105=BB. 3,253; Ovav.; Erz.); A. *khe'dda* (Hc 4,42?;10); beside AMg. JM. *kidā* (Uvās.; Erz.); AMg. *kilāna* (Ovav.), *kilāvana* (Rāyap. 288; Ovav.); M. Ś. *kilā* (G.; Cait. 69,9); S. *kilāpavada=kriḍāpa)vata* (Vikr. 31, 17; Mallikām. 135,5; Adbhutad. 61,20 [text °dā°]), *kilānaa=kriḍanaka* (Śak. 155,1). Cf. § 206.240.—*khānu* by the side of *khānu* (Hc. 2,99; Mk. fol. 21,27)=*skhānu', an allied dialectical form of *sthānu'* (§ 120.309); M. *khānua* (H.).—AMg. JM. *khalta=khāta'*, *ukkhatta* by the side of M. *ukkhāa*, *ukkhaa* (§ 566).—Ś. *je'vva*, P. Mg. *yē'vva=eva'*, by the side of *jeva*, *yeva* (§ 95.335).—M. AMg. JM. Ś. A. *jō'vvana=yawana'* (Grr.; Āyār. 1,2, 1,3; Sūyag. 212; Thān. 369; Panhāv. 288; Pannav. 100; Vivāhap. 825. 827; Dasav. 641,16; KI. 13; Erz.; Mrcch. 22,22;141,15;142,12;145,12; Śak. 11,4;13,2; Prab. 41,5; [so it is to be read]; Dhūrtas. 15,8; Mallikām. 221,2; Hc. 4,422,7; Vikr. 68,22), AMg. *jō'vanaga* (Vivāhap. 946), but always *juva-*, *ju-*=*yu'van* (§ 402), and so also M. JM. *juvai*, *juvai*=*yuvati*', *yuvai'* (G. H. R.; Śak. 120,7; Ratn. 293,5; Pratāp. 218,11; Erz.), Ś. *yuvadi* (Mrcch. 69,23;73,9), Mg. *yuvadi* (Mrcch. 136,13)¹.—*n̄dā=nīda*' (Grr.), by the side of M. *nīda* (G. H.)².—M. JM. *tunhikka=tūṣṇīya*' (H. R.; Āv. 38,2; Erz.), by the side of *tunhia*, AMg. JM. *tūsiṇīya* (§ 81). M. AMg. JM. Ś. Mg. *tē'lla=taila'* (Grr.; H.; Āyār. 2,1,4,5,9,3;2,6,1,9,12; 2, 7, 1, 11; 2, 12, 4, 15, 20; Sūyag. 248. 935; Panhāv. 381; Vivāgas. 235; Vivāhap. 1288.1327.1329; Rāyap. 167.175; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Mrcch. 69,7.12;72,10; Śak. 39,4; Mrcch. 25,19;117,8), also AMg. *tilla* (Pannav. 63; Uttar. 432.806).—*thinna* by the side of *thīṇa=styāna'* (Hc. 1,74), M. *thinnaa=styānaka* (R.).—AMg. JM. *thulla* by the side of AMg. JŚ. Ś. *thūla=sthūla'* (§ 127).—*tho'kka=stoka'* (Hc. 2, 125) beside the common *thova*, *thoa* (§ 230).—AMg. *dugulla=dukūla'* (Hc. 1,119; Pājīyal. 266; Āyār. 2,5,1,4; Panhāv. 238; Vivāhap. 791.941.962; Jiv. 508.559; Ovav.; Kappas.), according to Vr. 1,25; Hc. 1,119; KI. 1,25; Mk. fol. 9 also *duala* beside M. Ś. *duūla* (Hc.; Mk.; H.; Mallikām. 68, 5;69,13).—AMg. *dhatta=dhmāta'* (Nāyādh. § 61).—M. AMg. JM. Ś. A. *pē'mma=prema'n* (Grr.; G. H. R.; Ratn. 299,18; Viddhaś. 6,3; Bālar. 122,13,16; Sūyag. 771; Erz.; Mrcch. 72,25; Vikr. 45,2;51,16; Viddhaś. 59,1; Anarghar. 297,14; Vṛṣabh. 9,1;29,6;43,8; Mallikām. 225,1; Hc. 4, 395.3,423,1; Vikr. 64,4), also AMg. JM. *pimma* (Rāyap. 252; Erz.) and AMg. *pema*—(Sūyag. 923.958; Dasav. 631,19; Uvās.; Ovav.).—*mukka* beside *mūā=mūka'* (Hc. 2,99).—M. *lajjā=lajā'ḥ* (H. 814).—AMg. *vīḍā=vīḍā'* (Hc. 2,98; Deśin. 7,61; Nirayāv. § 13). Cf. § 240.—*se'vva=sevā'* (Grr.), beside M. JM. *sevā* (G. H.; Erz.). The same accent is seen in AMg. *pāyattā=pādāti* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.).

1. According to KI. 2,111 *va* in *yuvan* alsois doubled. The texts do not attest it, and it is against the rule. JACOBI, KZ. 35,565 considers that it is strange that in *yuvana*, it is not *na*, but *va*, that is doubled. But this, however, takes place with the

consonant that occurs immediately after a long vowel! The entire polemic of JACOBI, KZ. 35,575 ff. against FISCHER, KZ. 35, 140 ff. does not alter in the least the situation, which may be explained by stating that a consonant is often reduplicated before a tonic syllable.—2. FISCHER on Hc. I, 106.

§ 91. The same rule holds good in the optative in *-jjā*, *-jja*, *-ejjā*, *-eija*, *-ijjā*, *-ijja* in AMg. JM., as in *kujjā=kuryāt*; *dējjā=deyāt*; *hōjjā=bhuyāt*; *bhuñjejjā=***bhuñiyāt=bhuñyāt*; *jāñijjā, jāñejjā=janīyāt*, a flexion that even the verbs of the first conjugations have (§ 459 ff.); and further in the passive in *-ijja*, *-jja* in M. AMg. JM. JŚ. D. A., in *-yyā*, *-yya* in P., so in words like M. JM. A. *dijjāi*, JŚ. *dijjadi*, P. *tiyyāte=dīya'te*, AMg. *kahijjāi*, D. *kahijjadi=kathyāte* (§ 535 ff.). While Ś. *karaṇīa, ramaṇīa*, Mg. *kalaṇīa, lamaṇīa* and the similarly formed participia nec. and adjectival words are traceable to Skt. *karani'ya, ramaṇī'ya* and so forth, the exceptional forms like M. JM. AMg. *karaṇīja, ramaṇīja*, and other similar ones (§ 571) presuppose forms of the type **karaṇyā, ramanyā*, A. *ravanna* (Hc. 4, 422,11) etc., likewise the substantively used M. S. Mg. A. *pāṇīa*, AMg. JM. *pāṇīya=pāṇīya* (Vr. I, 18; Hc. 1,101; Ki. 1,11; Mk. fol. 8; Pkl. p. 28; H. R.; Nāyādh. 1009.1011.1013.1032.1053.1058.1375.1386; Uvās.; Ovav.; Āv. 25,3;40,6;42,7,12; Mṛcch. 45,9;77,11; Laṭakam. 4.12; Mg. Mṛcch. 45,7;113,21;115,1,2;136,11; Hc. 4,396,4,418,7,434,1) assume **pāṇyā* or **pāṇyā'*. In Ś. occurs *pāṇīa* (Hāsy. 37,7), in AMg. *pāṇīya* for metrical reason (Uttar. 895).—M. *biijja* (Hc. 1,248), *tiijja* (Ki. 2,36), A. *taijjī* (Hc. 4,339) are to be explained like M. *biia*, AMg. JM. *biyyā*, M. *taīa*, AMg. JM. *taījā*, Ś. Mg. *tadia* (§ 82). The words in *-eya-, -ya* as well follow the analogy of those in *-īya*. Thus: AMg. JM. *nāmadhejjā=nāmadhēyā* (§ 252), AMg. *pe'jja=pe'yā* (§ 572); in such cases *e* was pronounced very weak because in a great measure the accent fell on the final syllable. (WHITNEY, Sanskrit Grammar § 1216.a): this is so also in the case of a few forms of the comparative degree like AMg. *pejjām=prēyas*, AMg. JM. *bhujjo=bhūyah* (§ 414). Cf. § 252.—*tiñṇī=triñṇī* (§ 438) is formed on the basis of the genitive *tiñṇām=triñṇām*, and on the model of *tiñṇī* have been regulated *dōñṇī, bēñṇī, biñṇī=dvau, dve*; likewise *dañṇām* is formed on the model of *tiñṇām* (§ 436). In a number of isolated words, that appear to be exceptions to the rule, the duplication of consonants is clearly due to a different cause. So in A. *adhīnna=adhi'na* (Hc. 4,427); the common dialectical form *ēkkā=ēka* beside AMg. JM. *ega* (§ 435); AMg. *kavalla, kaballa=kapā'la* or *ka'pāla*, Pāli *kapalla* (§ 208); Pāli, M. *cheppa=sépa* (§ 211); M. *sōtta=strotas* (Bh. 3,52; Hc. 2,98; Mk. fol. 27; G. H. R.); AMg. *padiso ttagāmi=pratisrotogāmin* (Uttar. 441), *viso ttiyā=visrotaskā* (Āyār. 1,1,3,2), beside *sojā* (Ovav.), *padisojā, vissoasiyā* (Hc. 2, 98). M. AMg. JM. *mañḍukka* (Hc. 2,98; Ki. 2,112; Mk. fol. 27; Pāyāl. 131; Sarasvatik. 34,17; Thān. 311.312; Pañhāv. 18; Vivāhap. 552.553. 1048; Āv. 7,29), AMg. *mañḍukkijā* (Uvās. § 38) may be traced back to *mañḍuka* (Śriharṣa, Dvirūpakośa 35), whereof the accent has not been transmitted, and not to *mañḍūka*, that gives AMg. *mañḍiyā* (Pañnav. 480), Ś. A. *mandūa* (Mṛcch. 9,11; so more correctly GODABOLE 25,6; Piṅgala 1,67).

1. According to JACOBI, KZ. 35,575 the reduplication of *ya* in the passive goes against the rule, because except in the first person singular of the indicative present it is not the final syllable that is accented, but the *ya* that occurs before the personal ending. Evidently here the question can be only of (cf. § 90, note 1) the syllable immediately following a long vowel, therefore, of the last syllable of the stem.—2. FISCHER, KZ. 35, 142.

§ 92. A long vowel, occurring in a closed syllable and followed by an enclitic, is often shortened; when this takes place the initial consonant of the enclitic is reduplicated: M. *appāca cœa=ātmanā caiva* (G. 83), *tañha cœa=tṣṇā caiva* (H. 93), *gharasāmīni cœa=grhasvāmīni caiva* (H. 736),

ummillanti ccia=unmīlanti caiva (R. 12,24); AMg. *hiri ceva=hriścaiva* (Thān. 76); JM. *sa ceva sā* (Āv. 18, 9), *abhaṇanta ccia=abhaṇantaścaiva* (Rśabhap. 13), *sahasa cciya=sahasā caiva* (Erz. 83,37); M. *gaanē ccia=gagane caiva* (G. 319), *muō ccea=mṛtaścaiva* (H. 497), *āvāē ccia=āpāte caiva, tē̄ ccea=te caiva, sō ccea=sa caiva* (R. 1,58;5,67;6,67).—PG. *bē tti=dve iti* (6,39), *hoja tti=bhūyād iti* (7,48), *kada tti=kṛiti* (7,51); M. *sahasa tti=sahaseti, bhikkhatti=bhikṣeti* (H. 459,554), *niatti=nīti* (R. 5,6), *tumhārisa tti=tvadrīśā iti* (G. 706), *māṇipitī* (H. 807), *mahi tti* (R. 5,20), *sārarē tti=sāgara iti* (R. 4,39), *anurāō tti=anurāga iti* (G. 715); AMg. *taha tti=tatheti* (Uvās. § 67.87.120 etc.), *cāi tti=tyāgīti* (Dasav. 613,18.20), *antakadē̄ tti=antakadīti* (Āyār. 2,16, 10,11), *tasakāo tti=trasakāya iti* (Dasav. 615,12); JM. *sā sā sa tti* (Āv. 16, 26), *kā esā kamalāmela tti* (Āv. 30,5), *savannu tti=sarvajñā iti* (Āv. (16,21), *silogō tti=śloka iti* (Āv. 8, 56).—M. *sonāratula vva.=suvarnakāraṇuleva* (H. 191), *soha vva, vanamāla vva, kittivva, ānavva=śobheva, vanamāleva, kirtiriva, ājñeva* (R. 1,48), *vanaḥatthiṇivva=vanaḥastinīva* (R. 4,59), *āppahāē vva, antavirāsō vva=atiprabhāta iva, antavirāsa iva* (H. 68); AMg. *giri vva=girī iva* (Āyār. 2,16,3), *milakkhu vva=mleccha iva* (Sūyag. 57), *dvē vva [text va]=dīpa iva* (Sūyag. 304), *ayakō t̄thaō vva=ayakoṣṭhaka iva* (Uvās. § 94); JM. *thambhiya vva lihiya vva kiliya vva taṇkukkariya vva=stambhitēva likhitēva kilitēva ḍānkotkīrṇeva* (Erz. 17,8), *japani vva=jananīva* (KI. 9), *taṇao vva=tanaya iva* (KI. 14), *candō vva, mahi vva=candra iva, mahīva* (Erz. 84,20); in A. *va* also occurs on account of metre in *piapabbhaṭṭha va=priyaprabhraṭṭeva* (Hc. 4,436). In AMg. JM. as a rule a long vowel is retained before *ceva*. In S. Mg. neither *ceva* nor *va* occurs. The cases where they are found are examples of error against the dialect: thus in S. *gosammi ccea=gose caiva, tam̄ cea=tac caiva, paat̄tam̄ cea=pravṛtiām̄ caiva* (Kāleyak. 2,5,17;3,12); S. *nāmena vva* (Lalitav. 560,22), *bhaṇidām̄ vva* (Vikr. 26,13; ed. PANDIT 47,2 and ed. Bombay 46,2 also the incorrect ē̄vva; it is rightly wanting in BP., ed. Calc. 1830, p. 35,1; ed. LENZ. 25,10; ed. PISCHEL 632, 18), *sutīdhāli vva*, Mg. *sūtīdhāli vva* (Mṛcch. 21,9;23,21), Mg. *cālē vva=cara iva, amhadeśīya vva=asmaddeśīyā iva, deśīyā vva=deśīyā iva* Lalitav. 565,8.12.14), *goṇa vvo* (Mṛcch. 112,17) and so often in Indian editions.

§ 93. In AMg. a long vowel, if it is a pluti-vowel, before *iti*, and in enumeration before *iti vā*, is retained. In such cases *iti* becomes *īi* through *ti*: *ājampūlā i* (Vivāhap. 1260; text *ti*); *sīhā i* (Vivāhap. 1268; text *di*); *goyamā i* (Vivāhap. 1311.1315, text *di*; Uvās. § 86); *āṇandā i* (Uvās. § 44); *kāmadevā i* (Uvās. § 118); *kālī i* (Nirāyāv § 5, text *ti*); *ājjo i* (Uvās. § 119.174).—*mājā i vā piyā i bhājā i vā bhājīnī i vā bhājā i vā puttā i vā dhūjā i vā suphā i vā=māteti vā pīteti vā bhrāteti vā bhaginītā vā bhāryeti vā putrā iti vā duhiteti vā snuṣetī vā* (Jiv. 355; cf. Sūyag. 750; Nāyādh. 1110); *uttāñe i vā kamme i vā bale i vā vīrie i vā purisakkāra parakkame i vā=uttānam̄ iti vā karmeti vā balam̄ iti vā vīryam̄ ili vā purusakāraparākrama iti vā* (Vivāhap. 67,68; Uvās. § 166ff.). Cf. further Sūyag. 747.758.857; Vivāhap. 41,70; Ovav. § 96.112.165; Kappas. § 109.210).

1. The MSS. and the printed editions very often wrongly have *ti* and also *di*. Cf. WEBER, Bhag. 1, 405, 2, 256 note, * 290, note 12.

§ 94. In Mg. and S., before the particle *khu*, which originates from *khalu* (§ 148) under the influence of the pretone, whereby *khu* becomes *kkhu*, *e* and *o* are shortened: S. *asamaē kkhu=asamaye khalu* (Śak. 14,6), *edē kkhu* (Mṛcch. 8,2; Śak. 41,1;79,6), *maē kkhu=maya khalu* (Vikr. 26,15); S. *mahaniō kkhu* (Vikr. 45,1;73,11;81,20; Mālatim. 22,2), Mg. *mahan-kkhu* (Prab. 58,9)=*māhan khalu*; S. *kāmō kkhu* (Mṛcch. 28,1), *maaṇō 566,6)=*ahām khalu*, *dukkalē kkhu=duṣkarāḥ khalu**

(Mṛcch. 43,4). The

other long vowels remain in all the dialects (for P. and CP. material is not available), and in M. AMg. JM. JS. A., after any vowel *khu* mostly becomes *hu*. In Š. Mg. after a long vowel, other than *e* or *o*, *khu* remains, and after a short vowel it becomes *kkhu*, and only seldom and that incorrectly it is written as *hu* in all the MSS., so Š. *na hu* (Mrcch. 60, 1. 24; 61, 23; 117, 16. 17; 150, 18; 153, 2; 327, 4), *nu hu* (Mrcch. 59,22), Mg. *na hu* (Mrcch. 161,17, in the same line with *läanioe* [so it is to be read] *kkhu*!), *nu hu* (Mrcch. 133, 14. 15. 22; 169, 18). Besides, in the text or in the variants there occur everywhere *na kkhu* and *nu kkhu*; as throughout in the text in Šak., where in 50,2 only for *na hu* of the text, with the best MSS., we should read *na kkhu*. It is only in poetry that even in Š. (Mrcch. 40,25) and in Mg. (Mrcch. 9,25;21,17.19;29,22;43,3;161,14; Šak. 114,6) *hu* is correct¹. Even after a short vowel, therefore, occurs in M. A. *na hu* (G. 718.864.900.908.911.1004.1135; H.; R. 3,7;6,16;7,6; Hc. 4,390; Vikr. 72,11); so also Dh. (Mrcch. 30,17;31,1); AMg. JM. *na hu* (Uttar. 583. 743; Āv. 11,2; Erz. 79,14;81,35), but Š. Mg. *na kkhu* (Šak. 13,7;60,14. 17;72,9;156,14; Prab. 14,17; Šak. 160,10); M. *nu hu* (G. 183.996), but Š. Mg. *nu kkhu* (Šak. 18,10;19,1;39,12;77,1;86,8 etc.; Mg. 113,7); AMg. *mā ja hu* (Āyār. 1,2,5,5); M. AMg. JM. *vi hu* (G. 865.885.886 etc.; H.; R. 1,15;5,70;7,63; Dasav. 634,2; Erz. 80,7; Kk. 272,1;277,23); AMg. *hoi hu=bhavati khalu* (Uttar. 628.629); JS. *havadi hu* (Pav. 380, 9); but Š. *atthi kkhu=asti khalu* (Šak. 127,14), *arihadi kkhu=arhati khalu*, *tajjāmi kkhu* (Šak. 58,13;164,5), *sumaresu kkhu=smara khalu*, *bhāami kkhu=bibhemi khalu* (Vikr. 13,4;24,13). In Rājaśekhara occurs *na hu* (e.g. Karp. 22, 7; 32, 10; 33, 1) against *nu kkhu* (Karp. 93,4)—an error against the dialect. After long vowels: M. AMg. *mā hu* (H. 521.607; R. 8,14; Uttar. 440 [*hū*]. 617), but Š. *mā khu* (Mrcch. 54,21; Šak. 153,13;159,7; Vikr. 48,3; 49,1); M. *ko khu* (Hc. 2,198), *ko hu* (H. 384; v. l. *kkhu*), but Š. *ko kkhu* (Mrcch. 64,18); M. *so khu* (H. 401; v. l. *kkhu*, *hu*), JS. *so hu* (Kattig. 399,317.318;400,323), but Š. *so kkhu* (Mrcch. 28,20;142,10); AMg. *se hu* (Āyār. 1,1,1,7,2,6;1,2,6,2;1,6,5,6;2,16,9,10), but Mg. *se kkhu* (Mrcch. 12, 20). Wrong is Š. *so khu* (Lalitav. 560,19), as in the same place occurring *aniruddheṇa khu* (555,1). JM. *sā hū* (Frz. 77,23); AMg. *eso hu* (Uttar. 362), but Š. *eso kkhu* (Mrcch. 18,8;23,19); Mg. *esē kkhu* (Mrcch. 40,9; Venīs. 36,4); AMg. *vimukkā hu=vimuktāḥ khalu* (Āyār. 1,2,2,1), *siyā hu=syāt khalu* (Uttar. 297; Dasav. 634,5); JM. *visamā hu=viṣamā khalu* (Rśabhap. 17); Š. *abalā khu* (Mrcch. 12,21) *akkhamā khu=akṣamā khalu*, *bahuwallāḥ khu=bahuwallabhaḥ khalu*, *esā khu=esā khalu*, *rakṣaṇīṭā khu=rakṣaṇīyā khalu* (Šak. 53,2;58,1;67,1;74,8), *parihāśasilā khu=parihāśaśilā khalu* (Karp. 40,9), *mandabhāīnī khu=mandabhāīgīnī khalu* (Mrcch. 22,25), *dūrvattinī khu=dūrvartinī khālu* (Šak. 85,7); Mg. *ādā khu=āgata khalu* (Mrcch. 99,7), *avśalovaśappaniā khu läāno=avasaropasarpāniyāḥ khalu rājānah* (Šak. 115,10), *niādi khu=niyatih khalu* (Mrcch. 161,5). Accordingly in Šak. 99,16 the reading has to be emended as *dāmsaṇīākīdi khu=darśaṇīākṛtiḥ khalu*. In PG. *tasa khu* (7,41) and *sa ca khu* (7,47) *khu* may, according to the mode of orthography of the inscription, be allowed to remain in the place of *kkhu*. CAPPELLER, in his editions, against the manuscripts, that mostly have *kkhu*, even after long vowels, has shortened all such vowels.² Thus for example he writes *esa kkhu* for *esā khu* (Ratn. 292,31;295,8;297,24;300,4 etc.), *sa kkhu* for *sā khu* (Ratn. 302,2;318,11; 320,1), *ma kkhu* for *mā khu* (Ratn. 301,17;325,13), *muhara kkhu* for *muhārā khu=mukharā khalu* (Ratn. 305,19); *maanaqajarāula kkhu=madanajvārātūrā khalu* (Hāsy. 25,25), *mahadi kkhu=mahātī khalu*, *puḍhavi kkhu=prithivī khalu* (Ratn. 299, 5; 328, 27) etc. It is equally wrong, as sometimes it is in some other good editions of dramas, to write *kkhu* for *khu*.

after *anusvāra*, as in S. *kiṁ kkhū* (Mṛcch. 13,3), *uakidam̄ kkhū=upakṛtam̄ khalu*, *kahim̄ kkhū=kutra khalu*, *amadarīm̄ kkhū=amṛtam̄khalu* (Vikr. 8,15; 9,3. 11). After *anusvāra* *khu* only is correct, as M. fol. 72 for S. ex. 11). After *anusvāra* *khu* only is correct, as M. fol. 72 for S. explicitly teaches, and as M. AMg. also have: e.g. M. *tam̄ khu=tat khalu* (G. 860.879; H. 142); AMg. *evam̄ khu* (Sūyag. 95.176), *eyam̄ khu=eat khalu* (Uttar. 106).³ In M. AMg. and especially in JM., however, *khu* and *hu* are proportionately rarer. AMg. mostly employs *khalu* which is found also in JS. (Pav. 380,7; 381,18.21; Kattig. 401,343), more rarely also in JM. in which occurs the combination *tam̄ seyam̄ khalu=tac chreyah khalu* (Erz. 33,18) that occurs frequently in AMg. (e.g. Nāyādh. 333. 482; Vivāgas. 218; Uvās. § 66.138.140.151; Nirāyāv. § 12.14.18.20.23; Ovav. § 85.86; Kappas. § 21) and many other similar ones have been taken over into JM. Both the forms of the particle are found side by side in AMg. *appā hu khalu duddamo=ātmā khalu durdamah* (Uttar. 19).

1. Not quite correctly LASSEN, Inst. p. 192,7; BOLLENSEN on Vikr. 11,2, p. 196.—
2. CAPPELLER, Jenaer Literaturzeitung 1877, p. 125. Already correctly, LASSEN 1. c. and STENZLER on Mṛcch. 2,19.—3. The hypothesis that *kkhū* should be written everywhere (PISCHEL on Śak. p. 210) already goes back to Hc. 2,198.

§ 95. As for *khu* (§ 94), the same rules hold good for S. *jeva*, *jēvva*, P.Mg. *yēva*, *yēvvā* (§ 336) also. After short vowels and after *e*, *o*, which may become short, the initial consonant is doubled: S. *ajjasa*, *jjevvā=āryasyaiva* (Mṛcch. 4,8,12), *ařrena jjeva=acirenaiva* (Lalitav. 562, 23); *idha jjeva* (*jjevvā*)=*ihaiva* (Śak. 12,4; Ratn. 293, 25; Mg. Mṛcch. 114,21), *dīsadi jjēvvā=dīṣyata eva* (Ratn. 295,10), *sāmpajjadi jjeva=sām-padyata eva* (Śak. 120,2), *sāmtappadi jjēvvā=sāmtabyata eva* (Mṛcch. 63,24); Mg. *tava yyēvvā=tavaiva* (Mṛcch. 22,4), *tena yyēvvā=tenaiva* (Mṛcch. 133, 7); P. *savvassa yyeva=sarvasyaiva* (Hc. 4,316), S. *bhūmiejjēvvā=bhūmyāneva* (Mṛcch. 45,15), *muhejjeva=mukha eva, sujjodaejjeva=sūryodaya eva* (Śak. 77, 11; 79,9), *idojjēvvā=ita eva* (Mṛcch. 4,22; 6,13), *jojjēvvā janō.....sojjēvvā=ya eva janah...sa eva* (Mṛcch. 57,13), *so saccō jjeva sivināe dītthōti=sa satya eva svapne dīṣṭa iti* (Lalitav. 555,1); Mg. *dānsaantejjeva=darśayann eva* (Śak. 114,11), *anācakṣideyyēvvā=**anācakṣita eva, *pītādo yyēvvā=pītātāta eva, bhaṭṭālakēyyēvvā=bhattāraka eva* (Mṛcch. 37,21; 99,8; 112,18); P. *tūrātōyyēvvā=dūradēva* (Hc. 4,323). Other long vowels remain before the particle: S. *amhasāmīñājeva=asmatsvāminaiva, tadhājev=atathaiva, nikkampājeva=niskampāeva* (Śak. 116,8; 126,10.14; 128,6); Mg. *dīsāntīyēvvā=dīṣyamānāiva* (Mṛcch. 14,11). CAPPELLER wrongly writes a short vowel in such cases also (cf. § 94); e.g. Ratn. 291,1; 295,23, 296,24 etc., as also in Lalitav. 554,5.6.21, which wrongly has *jjeva* even after *anusvāra* (554,4; 555,18), and at 567,1 even *eva*. Wrong is also Mg. *śāhaśājjēvvā* (Mṛcch. 96,24) for *śāhaśāyēvvā*.

§ 96. All the final long vowels are shortened before the enclitic forms of *as* (to be) beginning with a conjunct consonant. M: *thia mhi=sthitāsmi, dūmia mhi=dūnāsmi* (H. 239.423), *asāi mha=asatyāḥ smah, khavia mho=kṣaptāḥ smah, roāvia mha=roditāḥ smah* (H. 417.423.807), *tumhe̤ ttha=yusme stha* (R. 3,3); JM. *parisanō mhi=pariśrānto 'smi* (Erz. 6,25); S. *uvavasida mhi=uṇośitāsmi, alāmkida mhi=alāmkṛtāsmi* (Mṛcch. 4, 6; 23,25), *āatta mhi=āyatītāsmi, edāvattha mhi=etadavasthāsmi, asahāñī mhi=asahāyinyāsmi* (Śak. 25,3; 52,8; 59,11), *virahukkāñṭhida mhi=virahotkāñṭhitāsmi, vimharida mhi=vismṛtāsmi* (Vikr. 82,16; 83,20), *avaraddha mha=aparāddhāḥ smah, nivuda mha=nirvṛtāḥ smah* (Śak. 27,6; 58,6), *alaṅghāñī kada mha=alaṅghānyāḥ kriāḥ smah, uagada mha=upagātāḥ smah* (Vikr. 23,8,14). On *ē*, *ō* and the incorrect forms like M. *pāñkutītha mhi*, S. *hada mhi*, Mg. *kada mhi* see § 85. The forms like M. *vētti* for *va tti*, *sahasetti* for *sahasa tti* (H. 885. 936), *pītīti* for *pia tti*, *nisaṇṇētti* for *nisaṇṇa tti*, *dhīrētti* for *dhīra tti*, *pelavetti*

for *pelava tti*, *tañue tti* for *tañua tti* (R. 5,5.6.8), *vihñe vva* for *vihña vva* (R. 14,16); JŚ. *mame tti* for *mama tti* (Pav. 388,27); Ś. *piadare tti* for *piadara tti*, *ve tti* for *va tti*, *pañivādanijje tti*, *nidde tti* (Śak. ed. BÖHTINGK, 9,8;37,13;43, 14;83,6), and M. *galia vva* for *galié vva=galita iva*, *candaa vva* for *candae vva*, *seubandha vva* for *seubandho vva* (R. 1,2;3,48;15,19),¹ based on Sanskritisms and found in many texts based on the readings as found in the manuscripts, are also wrong.

i. PISCHEL,¹ De Kālidāsae Śākuntali recensionibus p. 53; GGA. 1880.325 f.; BURKHARD, Sacuntala, Glossarium p. 36, note*; ROLLENSEN, Mālavikāgnimitra p. xiv; cf. WEBER, IS, 14,298. Wrong: HOEFER, De Prakrita dialecto p. 44; LASSEN, Inst. p. 188; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākrītīca p. 27 f.

§ 97. In M. AMg. JM. JŚ. a final long vowel is frequently shortened in formation of a compound (Vr. 4,1; Hc. 1,4; Ki. 2,143; Mk. fol. 31). This takes place more especially in the case of stems ending in-ī, which have wholly collapsed together with the stems in-i (§ 384) and more rarely in the case of those in-ā and -ū. S. Mg. always retain long vowels in prose. M. *gāmaṇiutta=gṛāmaṇiputra* (H. 31); M. *naīpūra=nadipūra*, *naīnūñja=nadinikuñja*, *naipheṇa* (H. 45.218.671) beside *naikaccha=nadikakṣa* (H. 416); *naīada=naditata* (G. 407), *naīoñta=nadisrotas* (R. 1, 54); AMg. *naīalāya=nadilādāga*^o (Nāyādh. § 128) beside *naītira* (Kappas. § 120); but S. only *naīe=añadivega* (Śak. 32,1), Mg. *śonīanādañsana=śonitanādañsana* (Venīs. 35,7); AMg. *itthiveya=striveda* (Sūyag. 234; Vivāhap. 179.180; Uttar. 960) beside *itthiveya* (Sūyag. 237); *itthibhāva* (Uvās. § 246), *itthilakkhana=strikṣaṇa* (Nāyādh. § 119), *itthisamsagga=striañsarga* (Dasav. 633,1), beside JŚ. *itthiñsamsagga* (Kattig. 402,358), AMg. *itthivayāna=strivacana* (Āyār. 2,4,1,3), *itthiviggaha=strivighra* (Dasav. 632,38); *itthilola* (Āv. 16,30) beside *itthirayāna=striratna* (Erz. 3,33;13,5); but S. only *itthikallavatta=strikalyavarta* (Mṛcch. 60,19), *itthiradāna=striratna* (Śak. 38,5;103,6), *itthijaya* (Ratn. 298,14); AMg. *pudhavisattha=pṛthviśastra* (Āyār. 1,1,2,2.3,6), *pudhavikamma=pṛthvikarman* (Āyār. 1, 1,2,2.4,6), *pudhavijiva* (Dasav. 620,34), *pudhavisilāpattaja=pṛthviśilāpattaka* (Ovav. § 10; Uvās. § 164.166 170); JM. *pudhavimandala* (Erz. 41,24), *pudhaviikkhāya* (Erz. 64,23), beside M. *pahāvai=pṛthvipai* (G.); JŚ. *pudhavitoñā* (Kattig. 401,346); Ś. *pudhavinādha=pṛthvinātha* (Śak. 59,12). —AMg. *accharagana=apsarāgana* (Panhāv. 315; Pannav. 96.99; Nirāyāv. 78; Nāyādh. 526; Ovav. [§ 38] beside *accarākodi* (Vivāhap. 254); S. only *accharātittha=apsarātirtha*, *accharāśambandha* (Śak. 118,10;158,2), *accharākāmua=absarākāmuka*, *accharāvāvāra=apsarāvāpāra*, *accharāvirahida* (Vikr. 31,14;51,13;75,10), *accharājaya* (Pārvatip. 9, 9; 10, 2); AMg. *kiddakara=kriḍakara* (Ovav.); M. *jāñunañda* beside *jāñuñāda* (Bh. 4,1; Hc. 4,1; Mk. fol. 31), *jāñuñāsañgaa* (G. 1053); Ś. *januñāsañgama* (Vikr. 23,13); M. *bhicchaṛa=bhikṣācara* (H. 162); AMg. *bhikkhakāla* (Dasav. 618,17); AMg. *muttajāla*, *muttadāma*—, beside *muttajāla* (Ovav.). —M. *vahumāā=vadhmātā* (H. 508); *vahumuha* beside *vahūmuha=vadhmukha* (Bh. 4,1, Hc. 1,4; Mk. fol. 31); but JM. *vahūchijja=vadhuñsahāya* (Erz. 6,12); Ś. *navavahūkeskalāvā=navavadhūkeśkalāpa* (Mṛcch. 410). Cf. § 70.

§ 98. The vowel of the word śrī is shortened in all the dialects, whether it is employed as a proper noun or is prefixed to another noun as an appellative or as an epitheton ornans; it so happens also in the case of *hri* in AMg. (Ki. 2, 57). M. *sirithāna=śristana* (G. 28), *sirisevia=śrisevita* (R. 1,21), *siridāñsana=śridarśana* (G. 514); AMg. *sirigutta=śrigupta*, *sirivara=śrīdhara* (Kappas.); JM. *sirkantā=śrikantā*, *sirimai=śrimati* (Erz.); Ś. *siripavvada=śripavata* (Ratn. 297,31; Mālatīm. 30,2,8 [so it is to be read with v. l. to 8 of ed. LASSEN 11,6;12,2; of ed. Calc. 1866, p. 12,3; of ed. Bomb. 1892, p. 18,11;19,6; of ed. Madras 21, 9; 22, 1]). —M. *mahuśiri-*

parināma=*madhuśriparināma* (G. 791), *nahasirikanṭha*=*nabhaḥśrikanṭha* (H. 75), *rāasiribhāṇa*=*rājaśribhāṇa* (R. 4,62); AMg. JM. *sirivaccha*=*śrīvatsa* (Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); AMg. *sirighara*=*śrīghra* (Vivāhap. 820.962), *hirisi-riparivajjiya* (Vivāhap. 250), *hirisiridhiikitiśparivajjiya*=*hrīśridhṛtiparivarjita* (Uvās. § 95), *sirisamudaya* (Kappas. § 42); JM. *sirisūjaga*=*śrīsūcaka* (Erz. 67,32), *sirikeya*=*śrikaca* (Kk. 276,13); A. *siriānanda* (Hc. 4,401,3).—M. *sirijasavamma*=*śriyāśvarman* (G. 99), *sirihāla* (H. 698), *sirikamalāhu* (G. 798), *sirirāsehara* (Karp. 6,5); JM. *sirilakkhana*=*śrīlakṣmana*, *siri-harianda*=*śriharicandra*, *sirirajjila*, *sirināhada*, *siribhillua*, *sirikakka*, *sirikakuja* (KI. 2.3.4.5.6.20.22); Š. *sirikhandadāsa* (Ratn. 297,31), *sircārudatta* (Mṛcch. 94,5; so with GODABOLE 267,5 it is to be read); Mg. *siliśome-śalaeva*=*śrīsomesśavaradeva* (Lalitav. 566,6); JM. *sirisamaṇasamṛgha*=*śrīsrama-nasamṛgha* (Kk. 266,3;270.5.38).—The long vowel is sometimes retained if required by metre: thus in M. *śrisamullāsa* (G. 856) and so it is also in AMg. in prose *śrisamāṇavesāo*=*śrisamāṇaveśyāḥ* (Nāyādh. § 65; Ovav. [§ 38] beside *sirisamāṇavesāo* (Vivāhap. 791). In Kappas. § 35, for *vajānasiripallava* we have to read *°siri* with the v. l. In *śrika*, the vowel wavers: AMg. *siriya* (Kappas.), *siriya* (Nāyādh.), *sasiriya* (Pañṇav. 96), but *sasiriya* (Pañṇav. 116); the mostly found, and probably the only correct form in prose, *sassiriya* (Samav. 213.214; Pañhāv. 263; Vivāhap. 168. 194 f.; Jiv. 502.504.506; Nāyādh. 369; Nirāyāv.; Ovav.; Kappas.); Š. *sassiria* (Šak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 62,13; Vikr. 41,4; so it is to be read),¹ but *sassiriadā* (Mṛcch. 68,21;73,11;107,2), *sassiriattana* (Ratn. 292,12; text. *sasi*; the ed. Calc. *sassiriadā*). AMg. *hiripadicchāṇa*=*hrīpraticchādāna* (Āyār. 1,7,7,1); *siihihi*² (Nirāyāv. 73); *hiri*³ (Thān. 151). The word that, in AMg., is used as a proper noun as well, as in *hiri cceva*=*hrīreva*, (Thān. 76), and in the plural *hirio* (acc. plur. beside *sirio*; Vivāhap. 962) is not traceable in the other dialects.² This word *hiri* occurs as an adjective by the side of *ahirimāne* (Āyār. 1,6,2,2); the reading *harime* for *hrimān* (Uttar. 961) is to be corrected to *hirime*; likewise for Š. *ohariāmi* (Uttarar. 23,12) should be read *ohiriāmi*=*apahriye*; so stands Š. *hiriāmi* (Šak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 108, 21). The Bengal recension (164,5) has the form *lajjāmi* which corresponds to the dialect; whereas the Kashmir recension wrongly has *arihāmi*=*arhāmi*. Cf. § 135.195.

1. Wrongly, BÖHTLINGK on Šak. 62,13; BOLLENSEN on Vikr. 41,4.—2. PISCHEL on Hc. 2,104.

§ 99. The original short of *i* and *u* is sometimes retained in poetry against § 69. M. *diabhūmisu*=*dvijabhūmisu* (Hc. 3,16=G. 727); *añjalihim*=*añjalibhiḥ* (H. 678), *°ppanāsi*=*°pranatisu*, *virahisu*=*virahiṣu*, *causat̄hisu* *suttisu*=*cauḥsast̄yām* *suktisu* (Karp. 2,3;38,5;72,6); AMg. *pakkhi-him*=*paksibhiḥ* (Uttar. 593), *vaggulihim*=*vagnubhiḥ* (Samav. 83), *heukhim*=*hetubhiḥ* (Dasav. 635,34), *pāniṇam*=*prāṇinām* (Āyār. p. 15,33; Sūyag. 356; Uttar. 312.715.717 ff.), *kukamminām*=*kukarmiṇām* (Sūyag. 341), *pakkhinām*=*paksiṇām* (Uttar. 601), *tāṇām*=*trāyiṇām* (Uttar. 692), *girisu*=*giriṣu* (Sūyag. 310), *jāisū*=*jāliṣu*, *gārisu*=*agāriṣu*, *jantusu*=*jantuṣu*, *jonisu*=*yonisu*, *gutisu*=*guptisu* (Uttar. 155.207.446.574.992); JM. *vakkhāṇāsi*=*vyākhyāṇādiṣu* (Āv. 41,28 in a quotation from Viśeṣ. 1,1466). So throughout AMg. JM. in *caūhim*, *caūsu*=*caturbhīḥ*, *catusu* (§ 439). On the other hand in poetry long vowels of Skt. and Pkt. are not infrequently shortened in declension. So in the abl. sing. AMg. *thāṇao*=*sthānāt*, *samjānao*=*samjāmāt* (Sūyag. 46), *kulalao*=*kulālāt*, *vigrahao*=*vigrāhāt* (Dasav. 632,37.38), *sirio*=*śriyāḥ* (Dasav. 641,28); JŚ. *uvasamado*=*upaśamāt* (Kattig. 399,308). Cf. § 69. In the nom. and acc. plural: M. *divvosahio*=*divyauṣadhyāḥ* (Mudrār. 60,9); AMg. *osahio* (Dasav. N. 648,10), *itthio*=*śriyāḥ* (Āyār. 1,8,1,16; Sūyag. 218.222.237.540.542); Uttar. 76,921, also

itthiu (Uttar. 373), *nārio* (Uttar. 679 [text *ī*°]=Dasav. 613,35; Dasav. 635,14), *kodio=kotayah* (Uttar. 502; text *ī*°), *rāio=rātrayah* (Sūyag. 100; Uttar. 416,436); in the instr. plur.: AMg. *itthihim=stribhih* (Uttar. 570); in the gen. plur.: AMg. *isiṇam=r̥iṇām*, *bhikkhuṇam=bhikṣuṇām*, *muniṇam=muninām* (Uttar. 375.377.409.921); in the loc. sing. AMg. *rāyahāṇie=rājadhānyām* (Uttar. 86; text *ī*°, the correct form occurs in the commentary), *kāśibhūmīe=kāśibhūmyām* (Uttar. 402); in the loc. plur. AMg. *itthisu=striṣu* (Sūyag. 185 [text *ī*°]; Uttar. 204). So also A. *radie=ratyā* (Hc. 4,446). In Mg. shortening in the stem has taken place in *ahiśāliantī* for *ahiśāliantī=abhisāryamāṇā* (Mṛcch. 11,19), even in AMg. *padinām* for *padinām=pratīcinam* (§ 165; Dasav. 625,37) against § 82. According to Śriharṣa, Dvirūpakoṣa, 152: *prācīnam prācīnam ca syāt* the corresponding shortening occurs also in Skt.

§ 100. In A. in poetry long and short vowels interchange according to metre and rhyme. The rhyme often determines even the pitch of the vowel. The language of Piṅgala goes furthest in this respect. Hema-candra: *sāmalā dhaṇa suvannareha=syāmalā dhanyā suvarnarekhā* (4,330,1), *sakannī bhallī=sakarnā bhallī* (4,330,3), *phala lihī=phalāni likhitāni* (4,335); *padia sila=patītī silā* (4,337), *addhā valaā mahī=gaa addhā phuṭṭī=ardhāni valayāni mahyāni gatāny ardhāni sphuṭitāni* [4,352]; *vihi viṇḍāu pīdantu gaha=vidhir vinaṭayatu pīdantu grahāḥ* [4,385]; Kālidāsa, Vikr.: *parahua mahurapalāvini kantī... bhamanti=parabhṛte madhurapralāpini kāntē... bhramanti* (59,11,12), *sā paī diṭṭhi jahaṇabharālasa=sā twayā dṛṣṭā jaghana-bharālasā* in rhyme with *gaiūlāla=a=gaṭilālasam* (62,12), *kiṇtī dhaṇī na diṭṭhi paī=kriḍāntī dhanikā na dṛṣṭā twayā* (63,5); Piṅgala: *sūi meru ḡisāṅku=sūcyate merurnihśāṅkam* (1,40), *mahiḥara tāha a surāṇā=mahiḥdarāstathā ca surajanāḥ* (1,80), *jasu.....kaṇṭhaṭhīa vīśā pīndhaṇa dīsā sāntāria sāṁśāra=yasya kaṇṭhe sthitān viṣam pīdhānam dīsāh sāniāriah sāṁśārah* (1,81), *varisae* for *varisai=varṣati* in rhyme with *disae=dṛṣyate* (1,142), *naccantī saṁhāro dūritī hammāro=nṛtyantī saṁharatu duritam asmadīyam* (2,43) etc. See also § 85.128.

(e) THE SEPARATE VOWELS.

§ 101. Skt. *a* can become *i* (Schwā) in syllables before the accent. Hc. 1, 46 puts the words in which this phonetic alteration must take place in the ākṛtigana *svapnādi* and in 1,48 adds also *madhyama* and *katama*; in 1,47 he allows option for *pakva*, *aṅgāra*, *lalāṭa*, in 1,49 for *saptapatra*. Vr. 1,3; Ki. 1,2: Mk. fol. 5 limit it to *īsat*, *pakva*, *svapna*, *vetasa*, *vyaṭana*, *mydaṅga* and *aṅgāra*. It appears most frequently in M. AMg. JM.; S. and Mg. always retain *a* in certain cases, as Mk. lays this down expressly for *aṅgāra* and *vetasa*. So: AMg. *asiṇa=aśāna'* (Āyār. 2,1,5,1); JM. *uttima=uttama'* (Hc. 1,46; Ki. 9), AMg. JM. *uttimaṅga=uttamāṅga* (Pañhāv. 274.285; Ovav.; Erz.), beside JM. *uttamaṅga* (Paiyāl. 111; Erz.), M. AMg. JM. *uttama* (G.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.).—M. *kāīma=katama'* (Hc. 1,48; H. 119), but S. Mg. *kadama* (Mṛcch. 39,6; Śak. 132,7; Vikr. 35,13; Mg. Mṛcch. 130,3).—M. Mg. A. *kiuina=kṛpaṇā'* (Hc. 1, 46; G.H.; Mṛcch. 19, 6; 136, 18, 19; Hc. 4,419,1 [so to be read], S. *akivina* (Mṛcch. 55,25).—AMg. *ghīṁsu=ghraīnsa'* (§105).—AMg. JM. J.S. *carima=carama'* (Pañnav. 65 ff.; Vivāhap. 113.173.598f. 1254.1262; Erz.; Kattig. 401,348), *acarima* (Pañnav. 66ff.).—AMg. *nigina=nagna'* (§ 133).—M. AMg. S. *pikka=pakva'* (Grr.; H.; Karp. 67,8; Vivāhap. 1185; Bālar. 292,13), AMg. *vivikka=vipakva* (Thān. 377.378), S. *pari-pikka* (Bālar. 142,2; 209,7); beside AMg. S. *pakka* (Hc. 1,47; Āyār. 2,4, 2,14,15; Thān. 218; Pañnav. 483; Dasav. 628,29; 629,8; Dhūrtas. 12,9), S. *supakka* (Mṛcch. 79,25), *paripakka* (Ratn. 301,19).—M. *pusia=pṛṣṭā'*

(gazelle; H. 631), AMg. *phusīya* (§ 208; drop; Āyār. 1,5,1,1, Nāyādh.; Kappas.; gazelle; Āyār. 2,5,1,5).—M. AMg. JM. *majjhima=madhyama'* (Hc. 1,48; H.; Thān. 128.141.152.175; Sūyag. 334; Pannav. 76; Jiv. 175.408; Vivāhap. 1412; Anuog. 266; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), AMg. *majjhimaya=madhyamaka* (Uvās.; Kappas.), feminine *majjhimiyā* (Jiv. 905 ff.), *majjhimilla* (Anuog. 383), but Ś only *majjhama* (Vikr. 6,19; Mahāv. 65,5;133,9; Venīs. 60,6;63,4;64,23,99,12).—AMg. JM. *mimjā=majjā'* (§ 74).—AMg. JM. *muīṅga=mudāṅga'* (Āyār. 2,11,1; Sūyag. 731; Panhāv. 512; Pannav. 99.101; Jiv. 251; Vivāhap. 797 [text *muīṅga*, correctly in the commentary]; kāyap. 20 [v. 1.]. 231; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), also *muīṅga* (Hc. 1,137), but Ś. *mudāṅga* (Mālav. 19,1); Mg. *mudāṅga* (Mṛcch. 122,8; Godābole, 337,7 more correctly *mudāṅga*). Cf. § 51.—M. *vedisa=vetasa'* (Grr.; H.), but P. *vetasa* (Hc. 4,307), Ś. *vedasa* (Śak. 31,16;105,9).—M. AMg. JM. *sējjā* from *sijjā* (T. 5,15 and often v. 1.; § 107)=*śayyā'* (Vr. 1,5;3,17; Hc. 1,57; 2,24; Ki. 1,4;2,70; Mk. fol. 5,21; G.; Karp. 25,1;39,3;70,6; Āyār. 2,2, 1,1,3,24 ff.; Sūyag. 97.771; Panhāv. 372.398.410.424; Vivāhap. 135.185.839.1310; Pannav. 844; Uttar. 489.495; Dasav. 642,36; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); Mg. *śeyyā* (Cait. 149,19; text *sejjā*); AMg. *nisejjā* (Dasav. 642,36), *nisijjā* (Kappas. § 120), *padiṣejjā* (Vivāhap. 964); JM. *sejjāyara* (Kk.), *sijjāyari* (T. 4,17).¹

i. PISCHEL, KZ. 34,570. According to JACOBI, KZ. 35,572, *kaim* will have developed its *i* through its connection with *katī*; *antima* (as it is also in Sanskrit), *utīma*, *carīma* and *majjīma* have developed their *i* through the influence of analogy with *paśīna*, and *sijjā*, *nisijjā*, *sāhijjā*, *minjā*, through the influence of *jja*.

§ 102. Exceptions to the rule are just apparent: M. *aṅgāra* (Hc. 1, 47; Pāiyāl. 158), *aṅgāraa* (H. 261), *aṅgārānta=aṅgārāyamāṇa* (G. 136), Ś. Mg. *aṅgāla* (Pras. 120,2,13;121,8; Jivān. 43,9 [probably to be read as *ra*]); Mṛcch. 10,1), Ś. *aṅgāraka* (Mālav. 48,18), AMg. *aṅgāra* (Panhāv. 202,534), *aṅgāraka*=(Panhāv. 313; Ovav. § 36), *aṅgāraga* (Pannav. § 116), *aṅgārāya* (Thān. 263)=Skt. *a'ṅgāra*, *aṅgāraka* (charcoal; the planet Mars), beside AMg. *ingāla* (Grr., also C. 2,4; Pāiyāl. 158; Āyār. 2,2,2,8;2,10,17; Sūyag. 270,783; Thān. 230.391.478; Pannav. 28; Vivāgas. 108,141; Nāyādh. 371; Vivāhap. 237.254.322f. 348.480.609.883.1286.1293; Jiv. 51. 257. 293; Nirāyāv. 47; Uttar. 1053 [text *ra*]; Dasav. 616, 32; 618, 29; 630, 25; Uvās. § 51]), *saiṅgāla*, *viiṅgāla* (Vivāhap. 450.451), *ingālaga* (Thān. 82), also taken into Sanskrit (ZACHARIAE, GGA. 1894,820), *aṅgu* beside *ingu=inguda* (Hc. 1,89), Ś. *ingudi* (Śak. 39,4), *aṅgālia* beside *ingāli* (a piece of sugar-cane; Deśin. 1,28.79) are related to one another like *aṅgati* and *ingati*, *atati* and *itānti*, *addhā* and *iddhā'*, that originally stood in an ablaut relation with one another probably. From *īsa't* the Prākṛtamañjari, in PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 13, mentions *īsa*, *īsi*, *īsi*. Of them we have Ś. *īsa* in Mālatīm. 239,3, in all the editions: *īsa manṇum* (v. l. *manne*) *ujjhia*, and so we have to read also in Venīs. 12, 10; 61, 15 *īsa vihāśia* with the v. l. to 12, 10 and in M. *cirehi īsa tti* (Pratāp. 206, 11; text *īsi*), *pāvai īsisa* (H. 444; correctly in the v. l. and ed. Bomb.; or *īsam̄pi* with v. l.); correct is *īsisa*..... *manṇam̄ kuṇṭi* (Karp. 8,9; cf. v. l.), because *īsat* here stands by itself. Otherwise it occurs throughout in the beginning of compounds; thus in M. *īsijalapesiaccha=īsajjalaprekṣitākṣa*, *īsiraabhinna=īsadrabhinna*, *īsinīha=īsannibha*, *īsviattā=īsadūvritta* (R. 2, 39;11,43;12,48;13,70), *īsidiṭṭha=īsaddṛṣṭa* (Bālar. 120,5), *isasāmcarana-caṇurā* (Karp. 86,1; the v. l. has *īsam̄*, the ed. Bomb. 85,10 reads *īsa samcaranabandhurā*), *īsubbhijjanta* [text *īsubbhijñandarām̄=īsadubhidyamāṇa*] (Mallikām. 239,5); JM. *īsiviīsām̄=īsadvikāsam* (KI. 7); Ś. *īsiparissantā*

=*iṣatpariśrāntā* (*Śak.* 133,1), *iśiviasida*=*iṣadvikasita* (*Mālatīm.* 121,5), *iśimālīda*=*iṣanmukulita*, *iśimasiṇā*=*iṣanmasṛṇa* (*Mahāv.* 22,20;24,6), *iśivirala* (*Uttarār.* 73,5), *iśivalīda* (*Nāgān.* 8,15), *iśadrādesadāvida*=*iṣaddvārādeśadāpīta* (*Mudrār.* 43,8), *iśiniddāmuddida*=*iṣannidrāmudrita* (*Bālar.* 220,6); *iśitiricchi* (text °*ra*°)=*iṣaltiryak*, *iśisunijjanta*=*iṣacchriyamāṇa*, *iśicāuria*=*iṣaccaturita*(?), *iśimailanta* [text °*mmu*°]=*iṣanmukulāyamāṇa*, etc. (*Mallikām.* 74,2;123,5;141,8;225,8); also *iśi* in M. *iśisivalana-* (*H.* 370) and S. *iśisijaradhāamāṇa* (*Karp.* 38, 1); falsely independent in S. *iśi* *veṇā* *samūppaṇā* (*Karp.* 73,6), which has been correctly emended as *iśisa* by KONOW. The *i* is, therefore, explained from the cases in which *iṣat*, as the first member of a compound, became toneless according to Pāṇini 6,2,54. Cf. Hc. 2,129. The Prākṛtamañjari l. c. teaches also *isi*, which occurs often in the MSS. Bh. 1,3; Mk. fol. 5, and several times also in Indian editions: *iśisumbia* occurs in *Śak.* 4,9 ed. BÖHTLINGK. S. *iśasamkamida* (*Jivān.* 43,8) for *iśi*°, *isi samīve hōhi*=*iṣat sāmīpe bhava*, *isi vilambia*=*iṣadvilambya*, *isi uttāṇām kadua*=*iṣad uttāṇām kṛtvā* (*Mallikām.* 87,18;124,5;222,8) for *isa*°, and JM. *isi hasiūna* (*Erz.* 57,17) for *iśim* *hasiūna* are false readings, as AMG. JM. have the nasalized form *iśim* always used in independent words and generally in compound words (*Thān.* 135, 297; *Āyār* 2,15,20 [*iśi*°], 21 [*iśi*°], 22 [*iśi*°]; *Panlav.* 846; *Nāyādh.* 1284; *Vivāhap.* 239. 248.920 [*iśi*°], *Jiv.* 444.501.794.860; *Ovav.* § 33.49, VII [so read everywhere for *iśi*]; *Kappas.* § 15; *Āv.* 48, 14; *Erz.*). AMG. has also an adjective *iśiya*=**iṣatka* (*Nāyādh.* 990).

§ 103. Corresponding to the rule *i* occurs in forms like JS. A. *kidha* (*Pav.* 384,47;388,2.5; Hc. 4,401,1), AMG. JM. A. *kiha* (*Āyār.* 1,6, 1;6; *Āv.* 10,23;35,18;46,31; *Erz.*; Hc. 4,401,3)=Vedic *kathā*. On its analogy are formed A. *jidha*, *tidha*, *jiha*, *tiha*=*yā'thā*, *ta'thā* (Hc. 4,401), as conversely the short of the final of ā has been brought in on the analogy of M. AMG. JM. A. *jaha*, *taha*, JS. *jadha*, *tadha* (§ 113). In a similar manner are to be explained also AMG. JM. *tise*, *jise*, M. *tissā*, *jissā*=*tasyāḥ*, *yasyāḥ* as formed on the analogy of *kise*, *kissā* (§ 425 ff.).¹—*ghisai* (*Vr.* 8,28 [read as such]; Hc. 4,204)=*gha'sti*=*gha'sati* has gone over to the sixth conjugation (§ 482).—M. A. *candimā* (brilliance of the moon; *Vr.* 2,6; Hc. 1,185; *Ki.* 2,25; Mk. fol. 14; *Pāiyāl.* 244; G.H. 609 [read as such], R.; Hc. 4,349) is to be identified neither with the native grammarians with *candrikā*, nor with LASSEN², E. KUHN³, S. GOLDSCHMIDT⁴ and JACOBI⁵ with *candra'mas* against which are the accent, gender and meaning, but with **candriman*⁶, which according to Hc. 1,35, might become feminine, and has been taken over into Skt. as *candrimā* (*B.* -R. s. v.). Pāli *candimā* (nom. sing.), AMG. *candima-* (*Nirāyāv.* 38; *Ovav.*; *Kappas.*), AMG. A. nom *candimā* (*Sūyag.* 433 [text °*da*°]. 460; *Dasav.* 627, 11; *Piṅgala* 1, 30 [text °*da*°]) are masculine and mean “the moon”, and are secondary derivatives from *candimā* (fem.) with a dependence upon *candramas*. *candrikā* becomes S. *candiā* (*Cait.* 40,15; *Adbhutad.* 71,9).—According to Hc. 1,49.265; Mk. fol. 18, besides *chattavanna* we may say *chattivanna* (*Vr.* 2,41; *Ki.* 2,46). The Indian grammarians equate the words as=*saptaparna*, which is to be accented as *sapta'parṇa*. But *sapta'n* nowhere shows *ch* initially and the *a* originating from *an* never becomes *i*, as we learn from *paticama*, *sattama*, *atṭhama*, *ṇavama*, *dasama*, etc. (§ 449).⁷ *chattavanna*, therefore, is not=*saptaparna*, but=*chattraparna*, and *chattivanna*=**chatriparṇa* from *chattri* (Hc., *Uṇādi-gaṇas.* 446)=*chattra*. In AMG. the word occurs as *sattavanna* (*Panlav.* 31; *Nāyādh.* 916; *Vivāhap.* 41.1530; *Ovav.* § 6) and *sattivanna* (*Thān.* 266 [commentary *satta*°]. 555; *Vivāhap.* 289), which, if the reading be correct, is formed on the analogy of *chattivanna*; S. has *chattavanna* (*Śak.*

18,5; cf. v. l.) and *sattavaṇṇa* (Priyad. 10,13).—AMg. JM. *puvvīm* (e.g. Āyār. 1,2,1,2,3,4; Sūyag. 202.203 [text here *°am̄*]; Dasav. 641,4; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.) is not = *pūrvam*, but = **pūrvīm*; cf. AMg. *puvvānupuvvīm* (Nirayāv. § 1), which has already been shown by WARREN as = *pūrva+ānupūrvīm*.—AMg. JM. *saddhim* (e.g. Āyār. 1,2, 1,2,3,4; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Ovav. § 15,16; Kappas. etc.; Erz.) is not = *sārdha'm*, but = Vedic *sadhri'm*.⁸ We cannot ascertain the accent in *avatāṁsa*, *avatāṁsaka*, which, in AMg., become *vadimṣa* (Rāyāp. 102), *vadimṣaga* (Samav. 10,12,16,23; Rāyāp. 103,139; Vivāhap. 41; Uvās.; Ovav., Kappas.), and *vadimṣaya* (Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.). *i* and the dropping of the initial *a* point to an accent on the final syllable (§ 142). The exceptions to the rule are AMg. *kunīma=kuṇapa* and *vidimā=vīta'pa* (§ 248) only which presuppose accentuation on the final. On M. AMg. JM. S. *ṇidāla*, M. AMg. *ṇilāda=lalāṭa* see § 260; on AMg. *ākkhai*, § 492, on *dīṇṇa* § 566, and on AMg. JM. *appīṇai* § 557.

I. FRANKE opines differently with regard to the genitive forms like *tissā*, GN. 1895,529, note 1.—2. Inst. p. 203.—8. Beiträge p. 22.—4. Rāvanavaho p. 156, note 1.—5. Kalpasūtra. s. v., KZ. 35,573.—6. PISCHEL, KZ. 34,572.—7 JACOBI has not taken these into consideration, KZ. 35,572.—8. PISCHEL, Ved. Stud. 2,235.

§ 104. Before and after a labial sometimes *a* becomes *u*: *puḍhama*, *padhuma*, *puḍhuma=prathama* (C. 3,9 p. 48; Hc. 1,55). The common form found in all the dialects is *padhama*. So M. (G. H. R.); AMg. (Āyār. 2,2,3,18;2,5,1,6; Sūyag. 45; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Nirayāv. etc.); JM. (KI. 1; Erz.; Kk.); JS. (Kattig. 398,304;400,332,401,342,344); S. (Mṛcch. 68,23,94,3;138,15; Śak. 43,6;50,1;67,11; Vikr. 22,20,27,13); Mg. (Mṛcch. 130,13,18;139,10;153,21); D. (Mṛcch. 102,19); A. (Pingala 1,1.10,23,40 etc.). *puḍhama* occurs in M. (H. 832), in S. (Mudrār. 182,3; 204,4,6), in Mg. (Mudrār. 185,4); but the most and the best of the MSS. of Mudrār. have *puḍhama*, as in 253,4 in the text (v. l. *pu*^o). S. GOLDSCHMIDT has *puḍhuma* several times in R.; likewise BOLLENSEN in Vikr. 23,19;24,1;83,19. In this respect as well the manuscripts waver, and so is the case with other texts, and it would, perhaps, be better to read *padhama*, everywhere in M.S. Mg.¹ P. has *puḍhuma* (Hc. 4,316). The South Indian manuscripts and the printed editions based on them generally write *puḍama*.²—M. *pulaāi*, *pulaei*, *pulaā* (Vr. 8,69; Hc. 4,181; Paiyāl. 78; H. R.), M. *puloei*, *puloia* beside *paloei*, *paloa* (Hc. 4,181; H. R.; Pras. 113,19); S. *puloedi*, *puloanta*, *puloida*, and so on and so forth (Mahāv. 99,3;100,10; Bālar. 76,1; Vṛṣabh. 14,9;15,1;17,1;22,9;24,2;42,10; 48,10;55,3;57,1;59,17; Pras. 11,14;12,1;13,14;16,17;35,7;41,3;115,17 [here they often write *pulovedi* and so forth]) = *pralokayati*.—A. Mg. *pāurana* (Hc. 1,175; Triv. 1,3,105; Āyār. 2,5,1,5; Pañhāv. 534; Uttar. 489) = Pāli *pāvurāna*, *pāpuraṇa=prāvaraṇa*; AMg. *kāṇapāurāṇā=kārṇaprāvaraṇāḥ* (Pannav. 56; Thān. 260; *pāurāṇi* (coat of mail; Deśin. 6,43) = **prāvaraṇāñi*.³—M. *uppei*, *uppia* (Hc. 1,269; G. s. v. r.; Karp. 48,4) = *arpayati*, *arpita* beside *apei*, *appa*, *ōppēi*, *ōppia* (§ 125; Hc. 1,63).—AMg. *ummuggā=unmagñā* (rising above the surface; Āyār. p. 15,32;27,9), beside *ummaggā* (Uttar. 235), *omuggarimuggiya* (Āyār. 2,3,2,5, read so with the v. l.) = **avamagnanimagnita*.—AMg. *kammuṇā*, *kammunāu*, *kammuṇo*, *kammunaṁ*, *dhammuṇā*, JM. *kammuṇā=karmṇā*, *karmṇāh*, *karmṇām*, *dharmaṇā* (§ 404).—AMg. JM. *panuvisam*, *panuvisā=pañcavimśati* (§ 273).—M. AMg. *vōccham* from **vuccham* (§ 125) = *vaksyāmi*, M. AMg. JM. *vōttum* from **vuttum=vaktum* (§ 529,574).—A. *vuññāi=Mg. vaññadi=***vrajñāti* from *vraj* (§ 488).—*vōjjhaa*, *vōjjhaamalla* for *vu*^o (§ 125; burden; Deśin. 7,80) is traceable to AMg. *vōjjha=vyahya* (§ 572).—AMg. *susāṇa* from **śmuśāṇa=śmaśāṇa* (Hc. 2,86; Āyār. 2,2,2,8; Pañhāv. 177,419; Uttar. 1006; Ovav.;

Kappas.; Āv. 31,24); but M. Ś. *masāṇa* (Vr. 3,6; C.3,23; Hc. 2,86; Ki. 2,53; Mk. fol. 21; Pāīyāl. 158; G. H.; Karp. 101, 7; Mṛcch. 72,8; 155,4; Mālatim. 30,4; 224,3; Anarghar. 279,10; Candak. 86,7; 92,11); Mg. *maśāṇa* (Mṛcch. 168,18; Mudrār. 267,2; Candak. 61,11; 63,11; 64,9; [°*nādā*]; 66,13; 71,9,11). On M. JM. AMg. *munāi*, JŚ. *muṇadi* see § 489, on A. *jhuṇi*, Ś. *dhūṇi*=*dhvani*, § 299. Cf. also § 337.

I. PISCHEL on Hc. 1,55.—2. PISCHEL, Die Recensionen der Śakuntalā p. 13; e.g. Vikramorvaśya, ed. PISCHEL 629,26; 630,18,20; 633,18; Pārvatīp. 28,22 ed. GLASER; Mallikām which, beside *pudhama* (152,18), has also *padama* (56,11). On the variation between *pa* and *pu*, in the MSS. in Ś. cf. the v. l. e. g. also on Mālav. 39, 5,6.7.—3. PISCHEL, BB. 3,247.

§ 105. Dialectically several nouns in *-a* have become *-u* stems. This takes place especially in compounds with *-jñā*, *-jñāka* in M. AMg. JM. JŚ when *jñā* becomes *ṇna*, in AMg. also *nna* (Hc. 1,56; Mk. fol. 20). Thus: M. *akaṇṇua*=*akṛtaṇnaka* (H. R.), *annua*=*ajñaka* (H.); *ahinnu*=*abhijñā* (Hc. 1,56), but Ś. *anahinna* (Śak. 106,6; Mudrār. 59,1 [°*bhi*]); *āgamāṇṇu*=*āgamajñā* (Hc. 1,56); M. *guṇāṇṇua*=*gunajñaka* (G.), *guṇāṇṇua* (H.), but Ś. *gunanna* (Kāleyak. 25,22); AMg. *dosannu*=*doṣajñā* (Dasav. 627,36); AMg. *padirūvāṇnu*=*pratiūpajñā* (Uttar. 694), *parakkamāṇṇu*=*parākramajñā* (Sūyag. 576,578); AMg. *vinnu* (Āyār. 2,16,1,2; Sūyag. 26), M. *viṇṇua* (Mk. fol. 20)=*vijñā*, *vijñaka*; AMg. *vihinnu*=*vidhijñā* (Nāyādh. § 18); M. AMg. JM. JŚ. *savaṇṇu*=*sarvajñā* (Hc. 1,56; Vajjal. 324,9; Āyār. 2,15,26; Vivāhap. 916; Anuog. 95,518; Uttar. 689; Dasav. N.655,8; Ovav.; Kappas.; Dvār. 495,9; 497,38; Erz.; Pav. 381,16; Kattig. 398, 302,303 [text *savaṇṇu*]), but Mg. *śavaṇṇā* (Hc. 4,293). P. *savaṇṇā* (Hc. 4, 303). Cf. § 276. The following also have become *u*-stems: AMg. *ghīṁsu*=*ghraṁsa'* (§ 101; Sūyag. 249; Uttar. 58,109); AMg. *pāṇu*=*prāṇa'*, when it is used as a unit of time¹ (Vivāhap. 423; Anuog. 431, 432; Ovav.; Kappas.), *āṇḍāṇu* (Thān. 173; Anuog. 242; Dasav. N. 654,2; Ovav.); AMg. *pilāṅku*, *pilakkhu*=*plakṣa'* (§ 74); AMg. *manthu*=*mantha'* (Āyār. 1,8, 4,4; 2,1,8,7; Uttar. 249; Dasav. 622,8; 623,10); AMg. *milakkhu*=*mlecha'* (Āyār. 2,3,1,8; Sūyag. 56,57,817 [cf. 816 *milukkhaya*]. 928; Pannav. 58; Pañhāv. 41 [text °*lu*; cf. WEBER, Verzeichniss 2,2,510])=Pāli *milakku* (§ 233) beside *miliccha*, AMg. JM. Ś. A. *mēccha*, AMg. *miccha* (§ 84). Cf. *pāvāśu*, *pavāśu* § 118. All these words are accented at the end and undoubtedly the colouring of the vowel is associated therewith. The base *āryā̄*, in the meaning "mother-in-law" also becomes an *u*-stem, =*ajju* (Hc. 1,77), and *āryakā*, in the meaning "mistress", Ś. *ajjuā* (Mṛcch. 27,2 ff.; 28,2 ff.; 29,1 ff.; 36,4; 37,3ff. etc), Mg. *ayyuā* (Mṛcch. 10,2; 39,20,24,25; 40,2,4,10), *ayyukā* (Mṛcch. 13,8). In Mg. *ayyuā* means "mother" also (Śak. 158,11, where Śaṅkara, following Candraśekhara, remarks: *ajjukāśabdo mātari deśiyah*). On AMg. *āhu*, *udāhu*, *addakkhu*, *miṇṇakkhu* etc. in the sense of the third person singular see § 516.

I. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v., *pāṇu*, and especially Anuog. 431.

§ 106. A final *a* becomes *u* in A. in the genitive singular of nouns in *-a*, of similarly formed pronominal forms and of the pronouns of the first and second persons, in the second person singular of the imperative, the second person plural of the indicative and the imperative and in a number of adverbs: *suanassu*=*sujanasya*, *piassu*=*priyasya*, *khandhasu*=*skandhasya*, *kantassu*=*kāntasya* (Hc. 4,338.354.445,3), *tassu*, *tāsu*, *tasu*, *jāsu*, *jasu*, *kassu*, *kāsu*, *kasu*=*tasya*, *yasya*, *kasya* (§ 425,427,428); *parasu*=*parasya* (Hc. 4,338,354); *mahu*, *mājjhu* in the sense of *mama*; *taū* for **tavu*=*tava*, *tuhu* [so it is to be read], *tujjhū* in the sense of *tava* (Hc. s.v. *ma-*, *tu*); *piu*=*piba* (Hc. 4,338,1), *piahu*=*pibata* (Hc. 4,422,20); *bhaṇu*=*bhaṇa* (Hc. 4,401,4; Pingala 1,120; and read so everywhere for *bhaṇa*); *sikkhu*=*sikṣa* (Hc. 4,404); *icchahu*=*icchatha*, *puachahu*=*prachatha* (Hc. 4,

384.422,9), *kunehu*=*kr̥nuta*=*kuruta* (Pingala 1,89.118), *dehu*=*dayata* (Hc. 4,384; Pingala 1,10), *jānehu*=*jānita* (Pingala 1,5.14.38), *viānehu*=*vijānita* (Pingala 1,25.50); *namahu*=*namata* (Hc. 4,446); *ētthu*, *jētthu*, *tētthu* in the sense of *atra*, *yatra*, *tatra*, (§ 107; Hc. s.v.; Pingala 1,114); *jattu*, *tatn̄*=*yatra*, *tatra* (Hc. 4,404); *ajju*=*adya* (Hc. 4,343,2.418,7 and read so everywhere for *ajja*).

§ 107. Only seemingly has *e* sometimes taken the place of *a*. The extremely frequent *ēttha* of M. AMg. JM. S. Mg. PG. (5,7), D. (Mrcch. 102,18;103,16;103,15), Ā. (Mrcch. 102,25;103,4), A. *ētthu* (§ 106) does neither go back to *atra* (Hc. 1,57)¹ nor to **itra*² or **etra*³, but is related to *iha*, like *tattha* to *taha*, *jattha* to *jaha*, *kattha* to *kaha* : so stands for* *ittha*=Vedic. *itiθā*⁴. Cf. A. *itih* (GOLDSCHMIDT *ethi*), *itih* (GOLDSCHMIDT *ithi*=*atra* Pingala 1,5².86), and AMg. JM. A. *kiha*, J.S. A. *kidha*=*kathā*' (§ 103). A. *kētthu*, beside *kidha*, *kiha*=*kathā*, has its consonant doubled according to § 194: for the rest, the pronouns have mutually influenced one another both in inlaut as well as in auslaut (cf. § 103).—M. *ukkera* (gift, heap; Bh. 1,5; Hc. 1,58; Ki. 1,4; Mk. fol. 5; Deśin. 1,96; Pāiyāl. 18; G.; Karp. 69,6; Viddhaś. 11,6), that occurs also in Š. (Bālar. 129,6,7,167, 10;210,2), against *ukkanra* (Cāndak. 16,17), and is found in M. and AMg. also (G.; Nāyādh., Kappas.) is not=*ukkanra*⁵, but must be equated, with LASSEN⁶, as=**ukkanra*, or connected with *ukkanra*. In Bālar. 234,9 the word *vaiēra*=*vyatikara* is wrongly printed: the correct form is *vadiara*, Šak. 13,2.—M. Š. *gēndua* (Viddhaś. 56,2;58,6; Mallikām. 134,21.23 [text *ga*]), A. *gindu* (Pingala 1,125) cannot with Hc. 1,57.182 be traced back to *kanduka* that forms M. Š. *kandua* (G. 752; Mālav. 68,10), but belongs with *gēndū* (game: Deśin. 2,94), Pāli *genduka*, as well as *genduka*, *ginduka*, *gēndū*, *gēndūka* that have forced their way into Sanskrit, but to the now extinct root **gid*, **gid*; present **gindai*, *gēndai* “to play”. Cf. *jhēndua* “ball” (Deśin. 3,59).—*ghēppai* stands for **ghippai* and does not go back to *grabh*, but to **ghip* (§ 212.518). *dhēmkuna* (bug: Deśin. 4,14; Triv. 1,3,105,60) beside *dhamkuna* (Deśin. 4,14) stands for AMg. *dhimkuna* (Jiv. 356; Uttar. 1064 [text *ka*]), as Skt. *dīnka* also makes probable, and is=**dām-khuna*, and can be traced back to **dāmīkhā*, connected to *dāmī* (§ 212.267).—M. *vēlli* (Creeler: Bh. 1,5; Hc. 1,58; Mk. fol. 5; G. H.) is not = *valli*, but stands for **villi*. With *vēlla* (creeler), *vēlla* (hair; a small lock, pleasure: Deśin. 7,94), *vili* (wave; Deśin. 7,73; Triv. 1,3,105,80), *vēllari* (courtesan; Deśin. 7,96), M. Š. *vēllira*, (automatically moving: G. 137; Viddhaś. 55,8. [text *ce*]; Bālar. 203,13), A. *uvvēllira* (Vikr. 56,6), M. Š. *uvvēlla*=**udvilna* (§ 566; G. R.; Karp. 37,5; Mālatīm. 201,1;258,2; Mahāv. 29,19) go back to a root **vil* (to be moved), to which also *velu*=*venu* (§ 243) also will have to be referred⁸. M. A. *vēlla* with its compounds *uvvēllai*, *nivvēllai*, *samvēllai* (G. H. R.; Pratāp. 119,11; Bālar. 180,7;182,2; Vikr. 67,19), Š. *vēllamāna* (Bālar. 168,3), *uvvēllida* (Ratn. 302,31), *uvvēllanta*- (Mālatīm. 76,3;125,4;129,2), which is frequent also in later Sanskrit, is either a derivative from *vēlla*=**vilna*, or from **vilayā*, **vilvati*.—*sējjā*=*sāyā* is regularly derived from *sijjā* (§ 101).—M. *suhēlli* (Pāiyāl. 159; Deśin. 8,36; H.) is to be explained from **suhili*=*sukha*+suffix *-illa*, while its synonym *suhallī* (Deśin. 8,36) goes back to *sukha*+suffix *alla* (§ 595).⁹—AMg. JM. *hētthā*=*adha'stāt* (Samav. 101; Ovav. § 10. 152; Erz.) presupposes **adhesītāt*, corresponding to *purekkhada*=**pureskyta*, which has already been taken note of by WEBER¹⁰. It is only in this manner that we can explain the cerebralization. The word, which occurs in Pāli also, cannot be separated from *adha'stāt*: therefore, the assumption of a from **adhesīha* is wrong: On AMg. *ahē*=*adhaḥ*, *pure*=*purah* see § 345. From *hētthā* we have in AMg. JM. an adjective *hēttha*,

wherefrom we have AMg. *hēt̥hamī* (Hc. 2,141; Thān. 179.492; text *hēt̥himī*), JM. *hēt̥thena* (Erz.), AMg. JM. *hēt̥thao* (Vivāgas. 143; Erz.) = Pāli *hēt̥hato*, M. *hēt̥thammi* (H. 365); JM. *hēt̥thayammi* (Erz.), *hēt̥thatthia* (Hc. 4,448), also *hit̥ha* (Deśin. 8,67), *hit̥hamī* (Thān. 179; text *°imī*) written with *i*, according to § 84; and from it, as in Pāli, a superlative AMg. *hēt̥thimū* (Thān. 197; Samav. 66 68.72; Vivāhap. 524.529.1412; Anuog. 266), *hēt̥thimaya* (Vivāhap. 82), *hit̥hima* (Pañnav. 76; Thān. 197 [6] < beside 1 < *hē°i*; Uttar. 1086), and a very frequent adjective AMg. *hēt̥hillā* (Thān. 341.545; Samav. 136 ff.; Pañnav. 478; Nāyādh. 867; Vivāhap. 128.347.392 ff. 437.1101.1240.1331 ff. 1777; Anuog. 427 ff.; Jiv. 240 ff. 710; Ovav.). Cf. § 308.—A. *hēlli* (O female friend! Hc. 4,379, 1,422,13) beside JM. *hale*, A. *hali*, M. S. *halā* (§ 375) goes back to **hillī*=**halī* with doubling of the *la* according to § 194.

1. So also CHILDERSON s.v.; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākṛtika p. 6.—2. LASSEN, Inst. p. 129; JOHANSSON, Shāhbāzgarhi I, 133ff.—3. FAUSBÖLL, Dhammapada p. 350.—4. PISCHEL, Ved. Stud. 2,88.—5. BÜHLER, Pāiyāl. s.v.—6. Inst. p. 118.—7. PISCHEL, BB. 3,255f.—8. PISCHEL, BB. 3,203ff. Cf. JOHANSSON, IF. 3,240f.—9. Impossible is the derivation from *sukhakeli*, which according to the translation of the scholiasts is approved of by WEBER, Hālāl p. 40; 2 s.v.—10. Bhag. 1,404; Cf. E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 21.—11. JOHANSSON, IF. 3,218. As Pāli has also *pure* *purekkhāra*, *sve*, *suve* etc. (KUHN p. 58), it is unnecessary to hypothesize the existence of a “Proto-Pāli *e*”.

§ 108. Sometimes *a* becomes *i* (Schwā) in the post-tonic syllable. This especially takes place in the plur. of pronouns and in the first person plur. of the pres. indic. of parasmaipada in M. AMg. JM. J.S. A. Thus: AMg. JM. *tesimī*=*tēsāmī*, *tāsimī*=*tā'sāmī*, *eesimī*=*etēsāmī*, *ejāsimī*=*etā'sāmī*, *jesimī*=*yēsāmī*, *jāsimī*=*yā'sāmī*, *kesimī*, =*kēsāmī*, *imesimī*, *imāsimī* from the stem *ima'*, *an̥nesimī*=*anyēsāmī*, *an̥nāsimī*=*anyā'sāmī*. Their analogy is followed by the rest of pronouns, as the very rare M. *esiimī*=*eṣā'mī*, *paresimī*=*pa'reṣāmī*, *savvesimī*=*sa'rveṣāmī* (§ 425 ff.).—M. *jampimo*=*ja'lpāmah*; M. AMg. *namimo*, *na'māmah*; M. JM. *bhanimo*, *bhā'nāmah*; M. JM. *vandimo*=*vā'ndāmahe*; A. *lahimu*=*la'bāmahe* etc. Their analogy is followed by *pucchimo*=*prchā'mah*, *lihimō*=*likhā'mah*, *sunjimo*=*śrunā'mah*, and so forth (§ 455).² This sort of transformation rarely occurs also in the first pers. sing. of the ind. pres. in M., and of the ind. pres. and fut. in A. (§ 454.520). The grammarians teach forms also in *-ami*, *-ama*, *-ima*, *-amo*, *-amu*, of which those in *-ami* are found in JM. A. (§ 454). M. JM. AMg. *sāhijja*, *sāhējja*=*sā'hāyya* (Pāiyāl. 215; G. 1116; Vivāhap. 502; Erz.)³ correspond to the rule.

1. PISCHEL, KZ. 34,570 f.—JACOBI, KZ. 35,574, who wrongly states that I had given only three examples, whereas I gave there five, is of the opinion that the genit. plur. forms of the pronoun *ta*—, *eta*—, *ya*—, *ka*—, *ima*— only were frequently used, and those of the other pronouns, more rarely. He offers no explanation of his own of *i*.—2. JACOBI, KZ. 35,574 f. appears to have believed that the examples cited by me, KZ. 34,571, are all that are available. He arrives at a quite wrong decision with regard to *gamimo*, *jāpmimo*. Cf. § 455. According to him,—*ima* may have been borrowed from an Apabhramśa dialect, in which till now *-mo* has not been found at all.—3. According to JACOBI, KZ. 35,573-575, here it would be *jja* as in *sijjā*, *nijjā*, *miñjā*, the origin of *i*. It is the old view that was expressed for example, by WEBER, Hālāl p. 38, that this took place due to the influence of *ya*. But *jja* has not influenced in the least the preceding vowel; cf. §§ 280,284,287.

§ 109. Even in the syllable preceding the accented one *ā*, like *a* (§ 101), sometimes becomes *i*: it takes place clearly after it is at first changed into *a*. So according to Hc. 1,81, *°mātra'* may become *°matta* or *°m̥a'tta*, from *°mitta*, thus for example AMg. *vihathimitta*=*viastimātra* (Sūyag. 280), *ittihāmitta*=*ittihāmātra* (Sūyag. 339), *vinnāyaparinayamitta*=*vijñātāparinayamātra* (Nāyādh. § 27=Kappas. § 10.52.80), *sāyanamitta*=*svādanamātra* (Kappas. S. § 26) almost always with the v. l. *°me'tta*, as the word occurs in M. (G. H. R.), AMg. (Vivāhap. 203.204.452 f. 1042), JM. (Erz.; Kk.), S. (Šak. 39,12;60,15;96,2; Vikr. 7,12;41,13;80,

13;84,6; Uttarar. 21,10;100,1 etc.), *o'mēttaka* (*Śak.* 31,11 [read as such]; 76,7), *adime'ttām=atimātram* (*Mrcch.* 89,4;90,13.21); Mg. *yādame'ttaka=jātamātraka* (*Mrcch.* 114,8)¹. On *mahāme'ttha=mahāmātra*, and *me'tthapurisa*, see § 293.—*bhisāi* is = **bhāsa'ti*, **bhasa'ti* for *bhā'sati* with crossing over to the 6th class (§ 482). M. AMG. Š *ge'jha*, Mg. *dugge'yha*, A. *dugge'jha=grā'hyā*, *durgṛhyā* is formed from the present stem, therefore, = **gr̥hya*, **durgṛhya*, and so stands for **gijjha*, **duggijjha* (§ 572).—*sālmali* forms in AMG. *sāmali*, dialectically *sāmāri* (§ 88). The other form *simbali* that occurs beside it in AMG. (*Pāiyal.* 264; *Deśin.* 1,146; *Vivāhap.* 447 f.; *Uttar.* 590 [so correctly given in the commentary]; *Dasav.* 62,1.5 (text *se⁰*]), *e'kkasimbalī=sālmalipūspair navaphalikā* (*Deśin.* 1,146) belongs to Vedic *śimbala'* (flower of the cotton tree.²). The form *kuppisa* beside *kuppāsa=kūrpāsa* (*Hc.* 1,72) points to the accent **kū'rpāsa*.

1. Cf. BRUGMANN, KZ. 27,198.—So correctly GELDNER, *Ved. Stud.* 2,159 according to Sāyaṇa. BÜHLER has already criticised Vedic *śimbali* [sic], *Pāiyal* see under *śimbali*.

§ 110. In the ending—*māna* of the ātmānepr. pres. participle *i* sometimes occurs for *ā*. So in M. *meliṇa* from *melai* from *mil*, and especially in the oldest AMG. such as in *āgamamīna*, *samaṇujāṇamīna*, *ādhāyamīna*, etc. (§ 562).—*khallīda=khalvāta* (*Hc.* 1,74), which, as *khallīta* and *khallīta* has gone over to Skt. also, presupposes an accent *khalvātā'* (*Pāṇini*, 5,2,125; *Hc.*, *Uñādiganas.* 148). In A. *khallīhaḍāū* (*Hc.* 4,389) *h* seems to go back to *dhā* (§ 207) against § 242. Cf. § 138.

§ 111. For *ā* occurs *u* in *suṇhā* for **saṇhā=sāsnā* (*Hc.* 1,75).—*thuvāa* (*Hc.* 1,75) is not = *stāvaka*, but = **stuvakā=stuva'n* from the pres. stem. *thuva-*, whence originated also the passive *thuvvāi* (§ 494).—M. AMG. Š. *ulla* (*Hc.* 1,82; *Pāiyal.* 185; G. H.; *Pracāṇḍap.* 47,6; Āyār. 2,1,6,5. 6;2,1,7,9;2,3,2,6,11.12 [*udaulla*]; *Uttar.* 758; *Kappas.*; *Mālatim.* 107.6 [*raso'lla'lla*]), M. *ulla* [R.]; *Vikr.* 53,6 [read *jalo'llaam* with ed. *Bomb.* 89,5] with the denominative M. *ullei* (G. H.), JM. *ulle'ttā* (*Erz.*), AMG. *ullaṇa*, *ullaṇiyā* (*Uvās.*), and with *o'* according to § 125. M. AMG. *o'lla* (H. R.; *Karp.* 27,12;69,4;94,6;95,11; *Dasav.* 619,18;622,8), M. *o'lla* (R.), M. *o'lei* (H.), *o'llaṇa* (R.), Š. *o'llaṇida* (*Mrcch.* 71,4) are not to be equated with *Hc.* to *ārdra*, but with WEBER,¹ to *ud*, *unda* (to moisten), *udan*, *udaka* (water), and consequently corresponds to one **udra* which occurs in *udra'* (otter), *anudra'* (waterless), and *udri'n* (watery)².—*ārdra* becomes M. AMG. JM. Š. *adda* (*Hc.* 1,82; *Mk.* fol. 22; G.; *Karp.* 45,7; *Ovav.*; *Erz.*; *Bālar.* 125,13), M. AMG. also *alla* (*Hc.* 1,82; *Mk* fol. 22; H.; *Nirāyāv.*; *Uvās.*).—AMG. JM. *devānuppija* is not, with WEBER,³ LEUMANN,⁴ WARREN,⁵ STEINTHAL,⁶ JACOBI⁷ to be equated as = *devānāmṛpriya*, but with HOERNLE⁸ as = *devānupriya=deva+anupriya*, which in Pāli becomes *anuppiya*.⁹—*ūsāra* [rain; *Hc.* 1,76] is not = *āsāra*, that remains in M. Š. A. as *āsāra* (G. R.; *Caṇḍak.* 16,18; *Vikr.* 55,17), but = **utsāra*. On *ajjū=āryā* see § 105.

I. ZDMG. 26,741; H. s. v., falsely HI. p. 261, cf. Haripāla on G. 527 *ulliam tti desī dhātūr ādrībhāvē*.—2. P. GOLDSCHMIDT on Specimen 2,8, p. 84.—3. Bhag. 1,405.—4. Aut. S. s. v.; WZKM. 3,344.—5. Nirāyāv. s. v.—6. Specimen s. v. 7.—Kalpas. and Erz. s. v.; cf. also E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 15. 8.—Uvās., Appendix III, p. 31.—9. MORRIS, Journal of the Pāli Text Society 1886, p. 117.

§ 112. AMG. *pārevaya* (*Hc.* 1,80; *Pañnav.* 54,526; Jiv. 459; Rāyap. 52 [text *pāreva*]; *Uttar.* 981), *pārevayāga* (*Pañhāv.* 24,57), fem. *pārevai* (*Vivāgas.* 107)=Pāli *pārepata* is a dialectical form allied to M. *pārāvaa* (*Hc.* 1,80; *Pāiyal.* 124; G. H.; *Karp.* 87,10), Š. *pārāvada* (*Mrcch.* 71,14;79,24;80,4; *Śak.* 138,2; *Viddhaś.* 111,3)=Skt. Pāli *pārā-pata*. *pāre* is locative as in *pāregāngam*, *pāretarangiṇi*, etc. AMG. *pārevaya* “date-palm” (*Pañnav.* 483,531) is = *pārevata*.—AMG. *pacchekamma*=

pāśātikarman (Hc. 1,79) is technically formed on the analogy of *purekamma-* (§ 345). In Panhāv. 492, occurs *paccākammañ purekammarī*.—*dera* (door; Hc. 1,79) beside *dāra*, *bāra*, *duvāra*, *duāra* (§ 298.300.139)=Singhalese *dera* is perhaps= **darya*; cf. *dari* (cave).—AMg. *ukkosa*, which the scholiasts translate by *utkarsa*, whereafter WEBER¹ explains it as having developed from **ukkāsa*, and which WARREN² will like to consider as a writer's mistake, is= **utkosa* from *kuṣa miskarse* (Dhātup. 31,46), that in Skt. is casually not attested with *ud*. Generally its instrumental form *ukkōṣenām* “at the highest”, “at most” is used with its converse *jehanneṇām* “at the lowest” (Anuttar. 3; Thān. 106.133; Samav. 8.9.11; Panhāv. 52,205 ff; Vivāhap. 26 ff. 59.60 143.182.272ff. 358.373 etc.; Jiv. 18.35, 39.49 etc.; Anuog 161 ff.; 398 ff.; Uttar. 201; Ovav.), more seldom there occurs its synonym, the accusative form *ukkōṣam* (Vivāhap. 180.371. 390 f.; Uttar. 312 ff.). As an adjective (Panhāv. 129), beside *majjhima jahanna* (Thān. 128.141.152.175), the grammarians (Hc. 4,258; Triv. 3,1., 132) and the scholiasts equate it as= *utkṛṣṭa*; *ukkōṣya* (Thān. 505; Vivāhap. 83.93; Uttar. 976; Kappas.) is neither with WEBER³= *utkarṣika*, nor with JACOBI⁴= *utkṛṣṭa*, but is to be equated as= **utkōṣita*.—On *dhowai*= *dhāvati* see § 482.

1. Bhag. I,443; cf. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v.—2. Over de goodsdienstige en wijsgerige Begrippen der Jaina's (Zwolle 1875) p. 43, note 1.—3. Bhag. I,443.—4. Kalpas. s. v.

§ 113. A final unaccented ā of adverbs, very often in M. and sometimes also in AMg. JS. JM. A. in verses, is shortened (Grr. see § 79): M. *annaha*= *anya'tha* (H.), beside M. JM. *annahā* (G.; Kk.), JS. *annadhā* (Pav. 385,63; text ⁰hā); Š. only *annadā* (Mrcch. 24,4;51,24; 52,13;64,25; Šak. 52,16;73,8;76,5; Vikr. 18,8;40,16), likewise Mg. (Mrcch. 165,4); M. AMg. JM. *jaha*, *taha*= *yā'thā*, *ta'tha* (G. H. R.; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.); JS. *jadha*, (Pav. 386,4;387,24 ⁰ha)], *tadha* (Pav. 379,4;381,16 ⁰ha]; Kattig. 398,304 ⁰ha]); A. *jiha*, *jidha*, *tiha*, *tidha* (Hc. 4,401) with *i* on the analogy of AMg. JM. A. *kiha*, JS. A. *kidha*=Vedic *kathā'*, which owes the shortness of *a* in Pkt. to *jaha*, *taha* and M. *kaha* (G. H. R.) (§ 103). Š. Mg. have, in prose only *tadhā*, *jadhā* (Mg. *yadhā*), *kadhām* (never *kadhā*). Ā. has in verse *jaha* (Mrcch. 100,12). For Mg. *taha* (Mrcch. 123,7), in verse, read *tadha*, as in JS.—M. JM. AMg. *va=vā* (G. H. R.; Erz.; Kk.); Dasav. 618,25;620,32.33); Š. Mg. in prose only *vā*. The metre decides the quantity, and, therefore, both of the forms sometimes do occur in one and the same verse: thus M. *jaha....na tahā* (H. 61); JM. *kim cali)vva...kim vā jalio* (Erz. 71,22); JS. *gune ja jadhā tadha bandho* (Pav. 384,48); AMg. *padiseha va dinne vā* (Dasav. 622,37). In M. AMg. JM. *sai=sā'dā* (Vr. 1,11; Hc. 1,72; Ki 1,10; Mk. fol. 7; Pāiyal. 87; G. R.; Pratāp. 225,14; Acyutaś. 1,20. 22.62.66.69.93; Dasav. 622,23; Kk. 259,24) *i* is regularly substituted according to § 108. Rare in M. is *saā* (H. 861). Bh. 1,11 teaches the same phonetic change also for *jai=yadā'* and *taī=tadā'*. This presupposes an accentuation **yā'dā*, **ta'dā*, as in the RV., after a negative the word *kadā* is accented as *ka'dā*, on which is based M. *kai* (H.), that may have influenced *jai*, *taī*. *taīam*, which according to JACOBI² is a counter example demonstrating the rule of substitution of *i* for ā in a post-accentual syllable and is= *tadā'*, is not known to me at all. Even if it existed, in any case, it would be explained according to § 114, as a side-form of *taīā*, that is like *kaīā*, *jaīā* found in M. (Vr. 6,8; Hc. 3,65; Mk. fol. 46; G. H. R.; *kaīā* also Acyutaś. 86,91), AMg. *taīyā* (Uttar. 279); *jaīā* has not been found. These words are= **kayidā*, **tayidā*, **yayidā* from *ka'yā*, *ta'yā*, *ya'yā+dā* (§ 121) and, therefore, strictly conform to the rule. Shortening

takes place also in S. M. Dh. *ka'dua*, *gadua* for **ka'duvā*, **gaduvā=kṛtvā*, *gatvā* (§ 581).

I. Wrongly explained by JACOBI as = *svayam*. 2.—KZ. 35.575. The word has been probably quoted by JACOBI from the word-index to Hc., where *tuanī*—*tyāyam* and *taīā*=*taddā* occur under one another.

§ 114. In an adverb there develops dialectically an *anusvāra* by the side of ā that is either final or has come into being due to elision of a consonant; in A. there develops also an *anunāsika*. M. AMG. JM. *jahā*, A. *jihā=yathā* (Hc. 4,337). Beside mā, that occurs in all the dialects, A. has mā, mām (read so everywhere for ma according to Hc. 4,418, mā when a short and mām when a long syllable is required; see the Wortzeichniss zu Hc.), beside *vinā* of all the dialects A. *viṇu* (Hc. s.v.)= **vinām* (§ 351).—*manā=manāk* (Hc. 2,169), beside M. Š. *maṇaiṁ* (Mk. fol. 39; H.; Śak. 146,8; Karnas. 31,9); JM. *maṇāgaṁ* (Erz.), A. *maṇāū* (§352), also JM. *maṇijām* (Hc. 2,169; KI.10), and *maṇijāñ* (Hc. 2,169).—AMG. *musaṁ* beside *musaṁ=mṛṣā* (§ 78). AMG. *sakkhāṁ=sākṣāt* (Hc. 1,24; Uttar. 116.370; Ovav.), beside S. *sakkhā* (Mallikām. 190,19). AMG. *hēṭhāṁ* beside AMG. JM. *hēṭhā* (§ 107) is accusative beside ablative, as it may be the case in *sakkhāṁ* also. In AMG., beside *tahā* we have also *taham* before a vowel in the phrase *evāṁ ejaṁ taham ejam avitāham ejam=evam etat tathaitat avitatham etad* (Vivāhap. 946; Uvās. § 12; Ovav. § 54.; Kappas. § 13.83). This *taham* stands for *tahāṁ* (§ 349) and corresponds to a **taham* beside *tahā*, like *kathāṁ* beside Vedic *kathā*. So too can A. *jihā* be referred to **yathāṁ* beside *yāthā*. Cf. §72.74.75.86. So also AMG. *sōccam*, *dissam* occurring before a vowel for *sōccāṁ*, *dissāṁ*, beside *sōccā*, *dissā*=*śrutvā* *drṣtvā* (§334.349). Final ā in the inst. sing. and ā arising from -āh of the abl. and of the genit. as well as of the there-with connected locative of the feminines in i, ī, u, ī are often shortened in M. : *bandia=bandyā*; *koḍia=koṭeh*; *naaria=nagaryām*; *vahūa=vadhā* (§ 385). The corresponding forms in -a of the feminine in -ā, which are mentioned by some grammarians, are not to be found. In places where they stood formerly in Karp. thence they have been eliminated in the critical edition of KONOW (§ 373).

§ 115. A transition from i to a, which the grammarians mention (Vr. 1,13,14; Hc. 1,88—91; Ki.1. 18.19; Mk. fol. 7) has not really taken place. Thus for example *paḍāṁsuā* (Hc. 1,26.88.206), *paḍāṁsua* (Mk. fol. 34) is not = *pratiśrut*, *pratiśuta*, but = **pratyāśrut*, **pratyāśruta*, as is shown by *pratyāśrava*, *pratiśrut* in AMG. forms *paḍiṁsujā* (Ovav. s. v.); for *pratiśruta* is found *paḍiṁsuda* (Bh. 4,15).—In M. JM. Š. Mg. A. *puhāvī*, AMG. JŠ. JM. Š. *puḍhāvī* (§ 51) a is a separating vowel like u in *puhuvi* (§ 139), both, therefore, = *pṛthvī*.—*bahedaa* (Hc. 1,88) is not = *vibhitaka*, but = *baheṭaka* (Vajayanti 59,351; cf. BÖHTLINGK s.v. *vahedaka*.—*sadhiла* (Hc. 1,89), AMG. *pasadhiла* (Hc. 1,89; Pannav. 118) go, like M. AMG. Š. *sidhiла* (Vr. 2,28; Hc. 1,89.215.254; Ki. 2,17; G. H. R.; Āyār. 1,5,3,4; Nāyād. 949; Rāyap. 258 f.; Vivāhap. 39.136.382 f. 1308; Uttar. 196; Śak. 132,12; Vikr. 30,4), M. Š. *sidhilatāṇa*=**sīthilatvana* (G.), *sīdhiladā* (Śak. 63,1), M. Š. *sidhilei*, °di (R.; Śak. 11,1; Bālar. 36,5; Candak. 58,10), M. AMG. Š. *pasidhiла* (G. H. R. Vivāhap. 806; Uttar. 773; Nāyād.; Ovav.; Viddhaś. 64,5), back¹ to the original **śrīhila*, a and i, therefore, represent an original r (§52), as already noted above.—In *haladdā*, *haladā* (Grr.) beside M. AMG. JM. *haliddā* (Hc. 1,88; G. H.; Uttar. 982.1050; Rāyap. 53; Erz.), M. *haliddī* (Hc. 1,88.254; G.; Karp. 69,3)=*hariḍrā*, AMG. *hāliddā=hāridra* (Āyār. 1,5,6,4 [read thus]; Pannav. 525; Samav. 64; Jiva 224; Ovav.; Kappas.). a and i are presumably separation vowels. On *aṅguā* beside *inguā=inguda* see §102.

i. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. s. v. *sidhila*; cf. B.-R. s. v. *Sīthira* and WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. § 16.

§ 116. For the second *i* of *iti*=Latin *ita*, there has been preserved in M. AMg. JM. an old *a*, when *iti* stands independently in the beginning of a sentence, in AMg. also in the beginning of a compound : M. *ia* (Vr. 1,14; Hc. 1,91; Ki. 1,19; Mk. fol. 7; G. H. R.; Bālar. 113,17; Karp. 6,4;48,14;57,7; Viddhaś. 64,7; Acyutaś. 22,45.82.93.103; AMg. JM. *iya* (C. 2,28; Pāiyal. 224; Āyar. 1,2,1,1;1,2,3,1,5;1,4,3,2 [v.l. everywhere *iii*]; Ovav. § 184.186; KI. 14; Kk.); AMg. *iyaçcheya*, *iymuna*, *ijanayavādī*, *iyaūvaesaladdha*, *iyañvīñāñapatta=iticheka*, *itinipuña* *itinaya-vādin*, *ityupadeśalabdhā*, *itivijñānāprāptā* (Ūvās. § 219). Most of the texts have in AMg. *ii* for *iya* (Sūyag. 137.203 [*iti*]; Uttar. 63.99.116.311.508. 512.513; Dasav. 626,11,630,14; Ūvās. § 114). As *i* and *ja* always interchange in Jaina MSS. it is doubtful if here we have a case of error of MSS. or not. JS., however, has *idi* (Pav. 385,65;387,18.24; Kattig. 399, 314); it has it whether rightly or wrongly is yet to be decided. In Š. there wrongly occurs *ia* (Kāleyak. 17,16). As an enclitic *iti* becomes *ii*, *tti* (§92), AMg. also *i* (§93).

§ 117. Sometimes *i* becomes *u* through assimilation to an *u* of the following syllable: M. AMg. JM. *ucchu=ikṣu* (Vr. 1,15; Bh. 3,30; Hc. 1,95;2, 17,; Ki. 1,22; Mk. fol. 7; Pāiyal. 143; G. H.; Āyar. 2,1,8,9.12; 2,1,10,4; 2,7, 2,5; Panhāv. 127; Uttar. 590; Dasav. 614,13;621,5.41; Dasav. N.660,4; Ovav.; Āv. 23,24; Erz.). By the side thereof AMg. has also *ikkhu* (Hc. 2,17; Sūyag. 554; Panñav. 33,40; Jiv. 356; Vivāhap. 1526), *ikkhūya* (Panñav. 33,40), and similarly in Š. we must read *ikkhu* with the MSS., instead of *ucchu* in Šak. 144,12 against Candraśekhara 206,17, as in Rukminip. 42,14. In M. JM. occurs *icchu* in H. 740.775; KI. 18; but it is hardly correct. On AMg. JM. *ikkhāga=aikṣvāka*, see § 84.—AMg. *usu=iṣu* (Sūyag. 270.286.293; Vivāhap. 121.122.348.505.506. 1388; Raÿap. 257; Nirayāv. § 5); AMg. *usugāra* (Thān. 86), *usujāra* (Thān. 383; Uttar. 421.422.449; Panhāv. 317 [text *ikkhu*°, but cf. the commentary]) = *iṣukāra* (name of a hill). Yet AMg. JM. have also *isattha=iṣuśāstra* (Panhāv. 322; Ovav. § 107, p. 78,4; Erz. 67, 1,2); AMg. *isāsañthāpa=iṣvāsañthāna* (Nirayāv. § 5 beside *usu*); M. *isu* (Pāiyal. 36; G. 1145 [kāmesu]; Karp. 12,8;94,8; [pañcesu]).—AMg. *usu=sisū* in *susumāra=sisumāra* (Sūyag. 821; Panhāv. 19; Vivāgas. 50,186), oftener *sumsumāra* (Panñav. 47,48; Jiv. 71; Nāyādh. 510; Uttar. 1072; Vivāhap. 1285 [text *sa°*]), *sumsumāri* (Jiv. 111); but AMg. *sisupāla* (Sūyag. 161), *sisunāga* (Uttar. 205); M. *sisu* (Pāiyal. 58); Š. *sisubhāva* (Viddhaś. 21,12), *sisuāla=sisukāla* (Cait. 37,7).

So correctly Abhayadeva on Panhāv. 322. Wrongly equated as =*ivastra* by LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. and JACOBI, Erz. s.v.

§ 118. The *i* of the prefix *ni* becomes *u* before *ma*, that has originated from *pa* according to § 248 and from *ra* according to § 251 : *numajjai=nipadyate* (Hc. 1,94;4,123; Ki. 4,46), *nūnāṇṇa=nipanna* (Hc. 1,94.174). The forms referred by Grr. to *sad* cannot be separated from one another. The v. l. *nūnāṇṇa* (G. 1161) and its meaning “fallen asleep” (Deśin. 4,25) clearly points to the origin of *ma* from *pa*. Derivation from *majj* is factually and linguistically impossible. In H. 530.608.669 WEBER reads with the MSS. *nimajjasu*, *nimajjanta*, *nimajjai*, *nimajjhisi*. But in another place (under 669) he refers °to *nu*° that occurs in a stanza quoted in Hc., in Dhvanyāloka, p. 20 and in Kāvyaprakāśa, p. 123 in the old Sāradā script^t, Sobhākara, Alāmkāraratnākara fol. 67^b (MS. BÜHLER, Det. Report, No. 227). Hemacandra, Alāmkāracūḍāmaṇi fol. 4^b (MS. KIELHORN, Report, Bombay 1881, p. 102, No. 265), Mammaṭa, Śabdavyā-

pāravīcāra fol. 6^a, Jayanta, Kāvyaprakāśadipikā fol. 6^b.22^b, whereas Sāhityadarpaṇa p. 5 has *ni*^o. Everywhere *nu* should be read. *numanta*, explained in Triv. 1,2,48 by *nimantra*, is a mistaken reading from *numanna* in Hc.—*numai* (Hc. 4,199) beside *nimai*, M. *nimei* (R.) “throw down” is=*u* “to throw” (Dhātup. 24,39) with *ni*. Therefore, the v.l. *nivia* (R. 12, 30) beside *nimai*²). Sometimes dialectically the suffix-*ka* steps in for the Skt. suffix -*ika*, so that apparently *u* stands for *i*. So M. *vīmchua*, *vīmčua*, *vicchua*, AMg. *vicchuyā*, beside M. *vīmchia*, AMg. *vicchijā*=*vr̄śika* (§ 50); AMg. *geruյā* beside M. *geria*=*gairika*; AMg. *nejāյā*=*naiyāyika* (§ 60); M. *jānua*=**jñānika*; (H. 286), *akaajānua*=*akṛtāñña*, *vījānua*=*vījña*, *dēvajānua*=*daivajña* etc. (Mk. fol. 20), probably also in the proper names S. *jānua*, Mg. *yānua* (Śak. 115,1.9.11); *pāvāsua*, A. *pavāsua*=*prāvāsika* (Hc. 1,95; 4,395,4), also *pāvāsu*, *pavāsu*=*prāvāsin* (Hc. 1,44), which probably goes back to **pavāsu*=*prāvāsa*’ (§ 105), whence may have originated also *pāvāsua*.—AMg. JM. *duruhai* (§ 482) is not = *adhi-rohati*³, but = **udruha*’*ti*=*udrohati*⁴, however, not by metathesis, of *ud* to *du* as HOERNLE thinks, but with a separation-vowel and falling of the initial *u* from **udruhai* (§ 139.141).—*yudhiṣṭhira*, according to Hc. 1,96.107.254, can become *jahutthila*, *jahitthila* (also Bh. 2,30; Ki. 2,35; Mk. fol. 17). It is not clear what was the origin of *jahu* and *jahi*. In the text we find AMg. *juhiṭṭhila* (Antag. in ZDMG. 42,258; Nāyādh. 1287ff. [text oftener °*illa*]; S. A. *juhiṭṭhira* (Karp. 18,4; Venīs. 102,4; Pracāṇḍap. 29,12; 31,13; 34,8; Pingala 2,102).

1. For Hc. and Kāvyaprakāśa the remark made already by WEBER on H. 530 is without any result. Wrong is the derivation from *nimi*, particularly from *nimia*=*nimita*, in GOLDSCHMIDT, R. s.v. *nima*,—3. WEBER, Bhag. 1,411; LEUMANN, Aup. s.s.v. STEINTHAL, Specimen s.v.; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 34.—4. HOERNLE, Uvās. Translation p. 38, note 103.

§ 119. Before a consonant-group *i* may become ē(Vr. 1,12; Hc. 1, 85; Ki. 1,16; Mk. fol. 7; Pkl. p. 25; Desin. 1,74): PG. M. AMg. JM. S. Mg. P. D. Ā. ē*ttha*, A. ē*thtu*=*itthā'* (§ 107); AMg. *āgamēssa*=*āgamisyan* (Āyār. 1,4,3,2); *cēndha*, beside *cindha*=*cihna* (§ 267; Bh. 1,12); *nēddā*, beside *niddā*=*nidrā* (Bh. 1,12); *dhammēlla*, beside *dhammilla* (Grr.); *pēnda*, beside *pīndā* (Grr.); *pēt̄ha* beside *pit̄ha*=*piṣṭa* (Grr.); AMg. *lēcchai*=*licchavi* (Sūyag. 495,585; Vivāhap. 800; Nirāyāv.; Ovav.; Kappas.); PG. AMg. *vēt̄hi* (PG. 6,32; Uttar 792), beside *vit̄hi*=*viṣṭi* (Ki.; Mk.); *vēñhu*, beside *vin̄hu*=*viṣṇu* (Grr.); AMg. *vēbbhala*=*vīhala* (Pāṇhāv. 165); *sēndūra*, beside *sindūra* (Grr.). So also *kesua* from* *kē̄msua*=*kimśuka* (§ 76.) This rule is more frequently illustrated by cases having a secondary *i*, particularly that which has developed from r̄ : °*mēttā* from °*mitta*=°*māṭra* (§ 109); *ḡnhai*, beside *gīnha*=*ghñāti* (§ 512); *gējjha* from **gijjha*=**gr̄hya* for *gr̄āhya* (§ 109.572); *vēntā* beside *vin̄ta*=*vīnta* (§ 53); AMg. *gēddha* (Ovav. § 70), beside *giddha* (§ 50)=*grdhra*; AMg. *gehī* (§ 60) from **gēddhi*=*giddhi* (§ 50)=*grddhi*. According to Mk. fol. 66 e never comes in S. in any of the words included in the ākṛtigana *pindasama* by Vr. Pkl. and under the *pīndādi* by Mk. Ki. in which Bh. Ki. Mk. like Hc. in his rule 1,85, include *pīndā*, *dhammilla*, *sindūra*, *viñhu*, *piṣṭa*, Hc. and Mk. also *bilva*, which forms *bēlla*, *billa* (§ 296), Bh. also *nidrā*, *cihna*, Mk. Ki. *viṣṭi*, Ki. *kimśuka* which Hc. has in a special rule, and for which Mk. likewise forbids *e* in S. This is attested by the texts in which there occur, par ex. S. *pīndā* (Mrcch. 41, 11; 69, 12; Prab. 49,4), also Mg. (Mrcch. 125,5; Prab. 46,14), Mg. *cīnha* (Mrcch. 159,23); S. *niddā*=*nidrā* (Mrcch. 45,24; Vikr. 24,17; Prab. 17,1; 38,2.6; 39,8); S. *vin̄hudāsa* (Mudrār. 243,2; 247,1; 248,7; 249,5,6; 259,7). In the optative in -ē*jjā*, *ijjā* in AMg. and JM. (§ 91.459 ff.) ē occurs for and beside *i*,—*te* in the

numerals, such as AMg. JM. *terasa*, A. *teraha* (13), AMg. JM. *tevisam*, A. *teisa* (23), AMg. JM. *tētiisam* (33), JM. *teyātisam* (43), AMg. JM. *tesatthim*, *tevatthim* (63) etc. (§ 443 ff.), as well as AMg. *tendiya*, *tendiya* (§ 438) is perhaps not = *tri*, but = *traya*, *terasa*, therefore = **trayadaśan*.—AMg. *teicchā=cikitsā* beside *viigichā vitigīnchā* (§ 215) has the strengthened reduplication, like Skt. *cikite*, *cekitat*, *cekitāna*.

§ 120. In *haradai=haritaki*, *haritaki* (Hc. 1.99.206) *a* is probably a separation-vowel like *i*, *i* in Skt. The *da* of Pkt. points to an original **hartaki*.—*ā* for *i* is taught by Hc. 1.100; 2,60; 60.74 in *kamhāra*, *kambhāra* = *kaśmira*, for which we should, with Triv. 1,2,50, read *kāśmīra*; cf. Skt. *kambhāri* beside *kāśmīri* “*gmelina arborea*”. Š. has *kamhāra* (Mudrār. 204,2).—On *i* for *i* see § 79ff.—AMg. *utthubhaha* “spit” (Vivāhap. 1263), *utthubhanti* “they spit”; (Vivāhap. 1264, text “bhahanti”), AMg. *anithubhaya* “not spitting”, (Panhāv. 350; Ovav. § 30,V), dialectical *nittuhua* (loudly spat out; Deśin. 4,41) as well as Pāli *niṭṭuhati*, *nuṭṭuhati*, *ṇuṭṭhubhi*, *niṭṭhubhana* have nothing to do with *sthiv*, with which it had hitherto been associated, but all these belong to the root ✓ *stuh* (to drive out; *stumbhu niṣkūsane*, Dhātup. 31,7), which in Skt. is used for voice only = “to emit a sound”. The parallel root is *ksuh* (*stuh* : *ksuh* = *stambh* : *skambh* = Skt. *sthānu* : Pkt. *khānu* = *duitha* : *dukkha* [hinderportion; Deśin. 5,42]; § 90.308.309) which occurs in Pkt. AMg. JM. *chubhā*, M. JM. *chuḥā* and in compounds (§ 66). Pāli *nicchubhati* “springs forth” (from the sea)¹, shows transfer of meaning, which we find also in Skt. *nirasana* (throwing outside, throw out, spit forth).—*hūṇa* (Hc. 1,103), M. AMg. JS. A. *vihūṇa* (Hc. 1.103; Sukasaptati 15,3; Nāyādh. 950; Vivāhap. 202.1123.1816f. 1825; Nirayāv. 44; Uttar. 357.439.633.809; Pav. 380,7; 381,17; 387,12; Piṅgala 1,7), AMg. *vippahūṇa* (Sūyag. 271.282; Nāyādh. 322; Panhāv. 56) are not to be equated with Hc. as = *hīṇa*, *vihīṇa*, *vipra-hīṇa*, but they belong to *dhūṇa* (Kāśikā on Pāṇini. 8,2,44) from *dhu*, *dhū* “to shake off” which forms in AMg. *dhūṇai* and in M. AMg. *dhūṇai*, *vihūṇai* (§ 503). In all the dialects *hā* regularly forms *hīṇa*. So M. AMg. JS. Š. *hīṇa* (G. H.; Uvās.; Pav. 382,24.25; 388,2; Vikr. 24,20), JM. *aihīṇa* (Kk.); M. JM. JS. *parihīṇa* (H.; KI. 8; Erz.; Kk.; Kattig. 400, 329); AMg. *pahīṇa* (Bhag.); Š. *avahīṇa* (Šak. 30,2), M. *anohīṇa* (R.); JS Š. *vihīṇa* (Kattig. 404,387.389; Mrccch. 18,10).—On *juṇṇa=jūrṇa* and *tūha=tūrtha* see § 58.

1. KERN, Bijdrage tot de Verklaring van eenige Woorden in Pāli-Geschriften voorkomende (Amsterdam 1886) p. 18; FAUSBØLL, Nogle Bemærkninger om enkelte vanskellige Pāli-Ord i Jātaka-Bogen (København 1888) p. 19. Not correctly TRENCZNER, Milindapañho p. 423 f.

§ 121. Most of the dialects, beside *i*, partly have *e* in *idr̥śa*, *idṛksa*, *kidr̥śa*, *kidṛksa* : Aśoka *edisa*, *hedisa hedisa* (Khālsi) *ediśa*, *hediśa*; Pāli *edisa*, *erisa*, *edikkha*, *erikkha* beside *īdīsa īrisa*, *īdikkha*, but only *kidisa*, *kīrisa*, *kidikkha*, *kīrikha*; M. AMg. JM. Š. *erisa* (Vr. 1,19.31; Hc. 1,105.142; Ki. 1,15; Mk. fol. 8,11; H. 10; R. 11,104; Sūyag. 197; Dasav. 626,27; Ovav.; Nirayāv.; Bhag.; Āv. 24,3ff.; 25,31.32; 27,2,6,25; Dvār. 508,6; Erz.; Kk.; Lalitav. 555,6; 562,22; Mrccch. 151.20.155,5; Šak. 50,4; Prab. 4,9); AMg. JM; *erisaya* (Nāyādh. 1284; Āv. 24,10); A. *erisiya* (Piṅgala 2,185); AMg. *elisa* (C. 2,5 p. 43), *anelisa* (Āyār. 1,6,1,1; 1,7,2,4; 1,7,8,1,17; 1, 8, 1, 15; 2, 16, 2; Sūyag. 301. 434 [text *aṇā*]. 533.544.546.549.869); P. *etisa* (Hc. 4,317.323); Š. mostly *īdīsa* (Mrccch. 24,20; 39,11; 54,1; 72,19; 80,9; 82,12; 88,16; 151,16; Šak. 103,5; 104,7; 123,12; 127,7; 130,1; 135,15; Vikr. 20,6; 44,13; Ratn. 317,33; 318,16,22; Karp. 19,6; 21,4 etc.), Mg. only *īdīsa* (Mrccch. 38,7; 129,7; 131,7; 158,24; 165,13; 166,21; 177,10); AMg. *elik-kha* (Uttar. 237), *elikkhaya* (Āyār. 1,8,3,5); M. AMg. JM. Š. *kerisa*

(Grr.; H. 374 [read so with v.l.]; Nirāyāv.; Bhag.; Erz.; Mrcch. 141,7; Vikr. 50,6; 52,3; Prab. 10,15; 39,13), JM. *kerisaya* (Kk.), Mg. *keliśa* (Prab. 46,14.16; 50,14; 53,15.16; 56,1; Venis. 35,3); S. also *kidisa* (Mrcch. 27,18; Sak. 39,6; Vikr. 28,19; Mudrār. 58,6; 184,5). Doubtful forms are M. *irisaa* (H. 940), JM. *isa* (Erz.), S. *īrisa* (Uttarar. 26,6 beside *īdisa* 26,8; Mālav. 6,1; 44,18; 47,3; Mahāv. 119,12.14.20; Mudrār. 233,1), *īrisa* (Mālav. 5,3.17)¹, Mg. *kiliśa* (Mrcch. 125,2.4; 132,9; GODABOLE 344,7; 345,1 has *keliśa*, only 363,2 also *kiliśa*). According to the testimony of the best manuscripts only *erisa*, *kerisa* and *īdisa*, *kidisa* will be correct in S.; in Mg. the correct forms will be **elisa*, *keliśa* and *īdisa*, **īkidiśa* (the v.l. often has *keliśa*). Cf. § 244-245. The hitherto unexplained² *e* goes back to *-ayi*, *-äi*. From Vedic *ka'yā+dṛś* has developed *kerisa*; *erisa* comes from Vedic *ayā-dṛś*, like *kaiā taīā*, *jaīā* from *kāyā+dā*, *tāyā+dā*, *ya'yā+dā* (§ 113). *ayā* has been influenced by *kāyā*. In A. *aīsa=īdrśa*, *kaisa=kidṛśa* (Hc. 4,403) it is better to consider them as formations on the analogy of A. *taīsa=tādrśa jaīsa=yādrśa*, as the intermediate forms for the development of *erisa*, *kerisa*. Cf. Vedic *kayasya* and AMg. *ayaṁsi*, M. *aammi* and A. *āammi* (§ 429). On *ēddaha*, *ke'ddaha*, *te'ddaha*, *je'ddaha* see § 122. Beside *pīyūṣa*, Skt. has *peyūṣa*, so too Pkt. S. *pīūṣa* (Bālar. 266,19) and M.S. *peūṣa* (Hc. 1,105; H.; S. Karp. 82,5; Bālar. 150,19; 223,5; 294,10; Mallikām. 245,6). On *bahedaa=vibhitakā* see § 115; in Pannav. 31, in AMg. we have *vibhelae=vibhedakah* (§ 244).

1. An uncritical collection in BOLLENSEN on Mālav. 5,2—5, p. 122.—2. Literature in JOHANSSON, Shāhbāzgarhi 1,134.

§ 122. Like *i* (§ 119), *i* also may become *ē* before consonant-groups: AMg. JM. *kiddā*, AMg. *kheḍḍā* dialectical *khēḍda*, A. *khēḍda=krīḍā* (§ 90); *nēddā* beside *nīda* (§ 90); AMg. JM. *jāñijā*, *jāñejjā=jānyāt* (§ 91); M. *ēddaha=īdrśa*¹ with reduplication according to § 90 and *ha* for *śa* according to 262 (on Vr. 4,25 and Appendix B. p. 101; Hc. 2,157; Mk. fol. 40; Deśin. 1,144; H.; also in S. Viddhaś. 71,1, everywhere *ēddahameśta=īdrśamātra*); *ke'ddaha=kidṛśa* and on its analogy *te'ddaha=tādrśa*, *je'ddaha=yādrśa* (Grr.). Similarly is explained also *e* in AMg. M. JM. *āmela* (garland; braid; Vr. 2,16; Hc. 1,105.202,234; Ki. 1,15; 2,9; Mk. fol. 8,16; Pāiyāl. 140; Deśin. 1,62; G. 112; Pannav. 111; Ovav.; JM. in *kamalāmelā*, Āv. 29, 18ff.), M. *āmeliaa* (R. 9,21), AMg. *āmelaga* (Rāyāp. 111), *āmelaya* (Uvās. §206; read as such; Ovav.). *āmela* is =**āpiḍya*, which passes through the stages **āpiḍda*, **āpe'ḍda*, **āpeda* with *ma* for *pa* according to §248, *e* for *ē* according to §66 and *la* for *da* according to §240². S. *āpiḍa* (Mālatim. 207,4); according to Hc. 1,202 there occurs dialectically also as *āveda*. In the same way we must explain *ṇimela* (gums; Deśin. 4,30) from **nīpiḍya*, and AMg. *veda* from **vēḍda* for **vriḍya* of the present stem *vriḍya-*; cf. §240. Doubtful is the origin of *e* in *pedha* (Hc. 1,106) beside the common *pīḍha=pīṭha*, M. *pedhāla* (G. 731) has been falsely derived by Haripāla from *pīṭhayukta*. It means “broad” or “round” (Pāiyāl. 84; Deśin. 6,7) and perhaps is connected with *pīḍa*. *e* never appears in the passive in -*ījja* and the participles nec. and adjectives in -*anījja* in M. AMg. JM. JS. (§91).

1. The grammarians explain it by *etāvat*, Hc. Deśin. 1,144 by *īyat*. 2.—WEBER *āpiḍa*; likewise LASSEN, Inst. p. 207; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prakritica p.15; LEUMANN, Aup. 1,2,56, the printed edition has *ōla* and the MSS. have *ōla*.

§ 123. In Pkt. there appears several times *a* in place of Skt. *u*, especially in the first syllable of a word whose second syllable likewise has *u*. In most of such cases *a* might have been the original vowel.

and the forms with *u* might have been formed analogically (Vr. 1,22; Hc. 1,107; Ki. 1,6; Mk. fol. 9): M. ŚĀ.A. *garua*, AMg. JM. *garuja*=*guruka* (G.H.R.; Sūyag. 692,747,750; Pannav. 8,10; Vivāhap. 126,436; Anuog. 268; Nāyādh.; Erz.; Śak. 10,3; Mālav. 34,9;37,8; Priyad. 4,7; Ā. Mṛcch. 148,1; A. Hc. 4,340,2), feminine AMg. *garui* (Grr.; G.; Nāyādh.; cf. § 139), and in derivatives like M. *garuattana*=**gurutvana* (G.H.R.), *garuia* (G.R.), *garuei* (G.), JM. *garukka*=*gurutva* (Kl. 13; cf. § 299); S. *garuadā* (Priyad. 31,12), *agaruadā* (Mahāv. 54,19). Cf. *gārava* and *gorava* § 61^a. As Hc. 1,109 explicitly teaches, *a* has come in only with the addition of the suffix *-ka*; in all the dialects *guru* retains its *u*¹.—A. AMg. JM. *agaru* (Grr.; G.; Sūyag. 248; Uvās.; Erz.), also in Skt. beside *aguru* (M. Karp. 87,9); AMg. also *agaluja* (Ovav.); M. *kālāaru* (G.), AMg. *kālāgaru* (Ovav.; Kappas.).—*galoi*=*guḍūci* (§ 127).—M. AMg. JM. S. *maīda*=*mukuta* (Grr.; G.; Āyār. 2,13,20; p. 128,3; Panhāv. 160. 234,251,440; Pannav. 100,101,117; Vivāgas. 161; Nāyādh. § 35,92; p. 269,1274; Jīv. 615; Rāyap. 21; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Venīs. 59,22).—*maīra*=*mukura* (Grr.), but S. *radanamuura* (Mallikām. 194,4; text *raa°*).—M. AMg. JM. S. *maīla*=*mukula* (Grr.; G.H.R.; Anarghar. 20,3; Kamśav. 9,3; Panhāv. 284; Pannav. 111; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.; Mudrār. 46,7 [to be read as such]; Mālav. 69,2), and in the derivatives like M. *maīlia* (G.H.R.), AMg. *maīlija* (Ovav.; Kappas.), *maīlida* (Śak. 14,6; Mahāv. 22,20; Uttarar. 163,5)=*mukulita*; M. *maīlāia* (Ratn. 293,2); S. *maīlāanta* (Mālatim. 121,5;254,2); S. *maīlāvijjanti* (Priyad. 11,3; read *vianti*); Mg. S. *maīlēnti* (Mṛcch. 80,21;81,2); AMg. *maīli*=*mukulinah* (Panhāv. 119)². In a similar manner is explained also *kohala*=*kutūhala* (Hc. 1,171) from **katūhala*, **kaūhala*, beside M. AMg. JM. *koūhala*, S. *kodūhala* (61^a), and M. *somāra* (H.R.), *somāla* (Bh. 2,30; Hc. 1,171,254; Pāiyāl. 88; Lalitav. 563,2) from **sakumāra*, **sāimāra* (§ 166)=*sukumāra*, while AMg. *sūmāra* (Āyār. 2,15,17; Nirāyāv.; Kappas.) goes back to AMg. *suku-māla* (Vivāhap. 822,946; Antag. 7,16,21; Jīv. 350,549,938; Panhāv. 278. 284; Ovav. § 48 etc.). M. has also *suumāra* (Śak. 2,14), S. only *suumāra* (Mṛcch. 37,5; Śak. 19,6;54,4), *sukumāra* (Vikr. 5,9), JM. *sukumārāyā*=*ratā* (Erz.). *somāla* has been taken over to Skt. as well³. *soamalla*=*sauku-mārya* (§ 285) presupposes a transition of the second *u* to *a*, as AMg. JM. too have *dugamchā*, beside *dugumchā*=*jugupsā* (§ 74). Assimilation to the vowel of the following syllable on account of accent takes place in M. *avarīm*=*upa'ri* (Grr.; G.), beside M. AMg. JM. *uvaram* (Hc. 1,108; H.R.; Pannav. 90ff.; Samav. 101; Rāyap. 62; Vivāhap. 198; Ovav.; Āv. 8,12; Erz.), M. JM. S. *uvari* (G.H.R.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 41,22; Śak. 30,1; Mālav. 66,2; Prab. 38,8), S. *uvaridāna* (Mṛcch. 42,13), Mg. *uvali* (Mṛcch. 134,8), AMg. *uppīm*. (§ 148). To *avarīm* belongs M. *ayarilla* (upper garment; Hc. 2,166; Pāiyāl. 175) as well as *varilla* (Karp. 56,7;70,8;95,11). In the same way is explained *a* in M. *avahovāsa*, *avahośa* (Bh. 4,33; Hc. 2, 138; H.R.)⁴, beside AMg. *ubhaopāsam* (Samav. 151; Ovav.), *ubhayopāsam* (Panhāv. 258), *ubhaopāsim* (Samav. 98; Jīv. 496f.500,502,504; Nāyādh. 275; Vivāhap. 826,830), *ubhaopāse* (Kappas. p. 96,24), *ubhayokālam* (Hc. 2,138), *ubhaokūlenam* (Ovav.) *ubhao* (Vivāhap. 941; Nāyādh.; Kappas.) is=**ubhatas* for *ubhayatas*⁵ from *ubha'*, *avaho*=**uba'thas* (§ 212) wherefrom, is derived *avaha*, and according to some (Hc. 2,138), is derived also *uvaha*. So also *bhamajāyā*=**bhruvakā* (§ 124) and *avajjhāa*=*upādhyāya* (Deśin. 1,37; cf. § 28).—*tarakṣu*, in AMg. has become an *a*-stem : *taraccha* (Āyār. 2,1,5,3; Pannav. 49,367,369; Vivāhap. 282,484; Nāyādh. 345), feminine *taracchi* (Pannav. 368). On *kattha*=*kutra*, *kao*, *kado*, *katto*, *kaohirinto*=*kutah*, see § 293,428, on *jahitthila*, *jahutthila*=*yudhiṣṭhira*, § 118.

i. BOLLESEN wrongly states, on Mālav. p. 172, that when it is an adjective, it is

garu, and when, a substantive, it is *guru*. In Jiv. 224, *garu* is a false reading, likewise Šak., ed. BÖHTLINGK 79,9; 86,3.—2. On *maüda* and *maüla* cf. also E. KUHN, KZ. 31, 324.—3. ZACHARIAE, BB. 10, 135ff.—Cf. P. GOLDSCHMIDT, Specimen p. 81; WEBER, ZDMG. 28, 390—LEUMANN, Aup. S.s.v.

§ 124. Beside *tumburu* (*Diospyros embryopteris*) there occur dialectically *timbaru* (Deśin. 4,3) and *timbaruja* (Pāīyal. 258). In lieu of *u* there appears *i* as a separation-vowel¹ in all the dialects in *purisa*, Mg. *puliśa=purusa* (Vr. 1,23; Hc. 1,111; Ki. 1,26; Mk. fol. 9; e.g. M.: G.H.R.; AMg.: Āyār. 1,3,3,4; Sūyag. 202,203; Panhāv. 222; Thān. 360 and very often; JM.: Erz.; JS.: Kattig. 401,345; Š: Mrcch. 9,10; 17,19; 24,25; 29,3; Šak. 126,14; 141,10; Vikr. 35,12; Prab. 39,13; Mg.: Lalitav. 565,13; Mrcch. 113,21; 116,17; 157,14; Prab. 51,8; 53,11; 62,7; D.: Mrcch. 104,7); *paūrisa* (Grr.), JM. *porisa*, AMg. *porisi*, *porasiya*, *aporisiya* (§ 61^a). False is AMg. JM. *porusa* in Uttar. 17; Erz. 17,35. In Š. *puriso*^o*ttama* (Vikr. 35,15) the *u* has been purposely retained on account of similarity of sound with that of *purūrava*, therefore, it falsely occurs also in Mālatim. 73,6. Otherwise it is always *puriso*^o*ttama* (Mālatim. 266,4. Venīs. 97,9), in Š. and *puli*^o*ttama* in Mg. (Prab. 32,7,14). In M. AMg. JM.Š. *bhiudi* (Hc. 1,110; G.H.R.); Vivāgas. 90,121,144,157; Nāyādh. 753,1310,1312; Vivāhāp. 237,254; Uvās.; Nirāyāv.; Āv. 12,27; Erz.; Venīs. 60,5,61,18; Bālar. 270,5,); AMg. also *bhiguāi* (Panhāv. 162,285) the *i* is not = *u* in *bhrukuti*, but = *u* in *bhrukuti*. False is M. *bhuudi* (Pratāp. 220,20) as well as *huudi* (Acyutaś. 58). On the other hand *a* stands for *u* according to § 123 in *bhamayā* (Hc. 2,167), against AMg. *bhamuhā* (§ 206; Pāīyal. 251; Āyār. 1,1,2,5,2; 13,17 [neuter]; Jiv. 563; Rāyap. 165; Ovav.; Kappas.), A. *bhōhā* (Piṅgala 2,98; § 166 251), and M. *bhumāā* (Bh. 4,33; Hc. 1,121; 2,167; Ki. 1,117; Mk. fol. 39; G.H.R.), AMg. *bhumayā* (Pāīyal. 251; Uvās.; Ovav.), *bhumagā* (Panhāv. 272,285 [text *bhū*°], Uvās.); *bhumā* (Ovav. s.v. *kōkkuija*). Cf. § 206,254,261.—AMg. *chiya* (one who has enjoyed; Hc. 1,112; 2,117; Nandis. 380) is not = *ksuta*, but onomatopoetically = **chīta*, corresponding to German *tsi*! To this refer AMg. *chīyamāna* (convalescent; Āyār. 2,2,3,27). In the same way is to be explained *chikka* (Deśin. 3,36); cf. Skt. *chikkā*, *chik-kana*.—On *sūhava*=*subhaga* see § 62, on *mūsala*=*musala*, § 66.

¹. ZIMMER, KZ. 24,220 f.; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, KZ. 25,615; WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. § 51.

§ 125. Like *i* becoming *e*, (§ 119), before consonant-groups *u* may become *o* (Vr. 1,20; Hc. 1,116; Ki. 1,23; Mk. fol. 8; Pkl. p. 31). According to Mk. fol. 66, this change does not take place in Š. except in *muktā* and *puskara*, and this is attested substantially by the texts. PG. *khaṇ-dako*^o*mīsa=skandakundināh* (6,19); M. *gōccha=guccha* (H.R.), *gōcchaa* (H.), M. *to*^o*nda* (Grr.; H. 402 [read as such]), but Mg. *tūnda* (Mrcch. 112,8); *mo*^o*nda* (Grr.), but M.Š. Mg. *munda* (G.; Mrcch. 80,20; Prab. 49,4; Mg. Mrcch. 122,7; Prab. 53,14); Š. *pōkkhara=puṣkara* (Grr.; Mrcch. 2,16; 54,2; 95,11), and AMg. JM. *pukkhara* (Kappas.; Erz.), Š. *pukkharakkha=puṣkarākṣa* (Mudrār. 204,3); AMg. Š. *pōkkhariṇi* (Āyār. 2,3,3,2 [text *ra*]; Nāyādh. 1060; Dhūrtas. 5,10) and AMg. JM. *pukkharini* (Sūyag. 565,613; T. 4,9); Mg. *pōskalini* (Mrcch. 112,11) and *puskalini* (Mrcch. 113,22); AMg. *pō*^o*ṇḍariya* (Sūyāg. 813; Pannav. 34; Ovav.), and JM. *pundariya* (Erz.), Š. *pundaria* (Mālatim. 122,2); JM. *kōṭtima* (Grr.; Erz.), beside M. *kutṭima* (R.); Š. *pōtthaa=puṣṭaka* (Grr.; Mrcch. 69,17; Karp. 12,11), AMg. *pōtthaya* (Ovav.); *lōddhaa=lubdhaka* (Grr.; Pāīyal. 248); M. *mo*^o*ttihā=mustā* (Hc. 1,116; Sarasvatik. 16,9); M.Š. *mo*^o*ggara=mudgara* (Grr.; R.; Hc. 1,116; Āyār. 2,1,10,6; Bhag.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Pav. 384,58),

beside J.S. Mg. *puggala* (Pav. 384,36.47.59; Prab. 46,14); M.S. *mo̤ttā* (Bh.; Ki.; Mk.; Pkl.; R.; Vikr. 40,18), beside M.S. *muttā* (G.R.; Mrchh. 69,1; Karp. 72,2), S. *muttāhala*=—*muktāphala* (Karp. 72,3.8;73,9), M. *muttāhilla* (Karp. 2,5;100,5). On the lengthening of such a secondary ō, see § 66 and cf. § 127.

§ 126. Beside *duūla*, AMg. *dugulla*, according to grammarians, there occurs also *duilla* (§ 90).—AMg. *uvvīdha*, which according to Hc. 1,120= *udvyūdha*, belongs rather to *uvvihai*=*udvidhyati* from *vidh* (*vyadh*) with *ud* (§ 489), as is clear from Vivāhap. 1388 : *se jahā nāmae ke i purise...usum...* *uvvihai uvvihittā...tassa usussa .uvvidhassa samānassa*. It has, therefore, been formed on the analogy of *lidha*, from *lih*, *mīdhā*, from *mih*, from the secondary root *vih*=*vidh*. *udvyūdha* forms regularly *uvvūdha* (Hc. 1,120; Šak. 88,2; Jiv. 826). On *u* for *ū* see § 80-82. For *nūpura*, all the dialects have *neura*, Mg. *neula*, that go back to the by-form preserved in modern Indian languages as *nepūra*, *nepura*, which may be set side by side with Skt. *keyūra*, Pkt. *keūra*: cf. S. *neurakeūram*, (Bālar. 248,17), A. *neurakeurao* (Pingala 1,26). So M.S. *neura* (Vr. 1,26; Hc. 1,123; Ki. 1,5; Mk. fol. 9; G.H.R.; Mrchh. 41,2; Vikr. 31,7; Mālav. 40,7; Ratn. 294,32; Prab. 39,8 [read as such with PM]; Pras. 39,18;114,9; Karp. 21,1; Bālar. 248,17), M. *neurilla*=*nūpuravat* (G.); *saneura* (Mālav. 37,15;43 2); AMg. JM. *neura* (C. 2,4 [read as such with A]; 3,34 p. 35; Pāiyāl. 118; Pañhāv. 236,514; Nāyādh. § 65,102; p. 948; Vivāhap. 791; Ovav.; Āv. 12,6); Mg. *neula* (Mrchh. 99,7,10); A. *neura* (Pingala 1,17,22,26). Hc. 1,123; Desin. 4,28 knows also *niura* and 1,123 *nūura*; Piatāp. 220,14 has certainly a false reading *nūvurāīm*.

§ 127. Like ū (§ 125), before consonant-groups ū also may become ō: AMg. *ko̤ppara*=*kūppara* (Hc. 1,24; Vivāgas. 90), beside M. *kuppara* (G.); AMg. JM. *mo̤lla*=*mūlyā*¹ (Hc. 1,124; Āyār. 2,5,1,4;2,6,1,2; p. 128,6; Āv. 31,10; Erz.), M. *amo̤lla* (G.), beside the more frequent *mulla* (§ 83). Like ō from *u* (§ 66), the ō from ū is also lengthened when an original consonant-group is simplified. Thus may be explained AMg. *tonā*=*tūna* (Hc. 1,125; Pañnav. 72,79.81,83; Vivāgas. 112; Nāyādh. 1426), beside S. *tūṇi*- (Venis. 62,4; Mukund. 69,14); M. *tonīra*=*tūṇira* (Hc. 1,124; Karp. 47,8); *thonā* beside *thūnā*=*sthūnā* (Hc. 1,125). They go back to **to̤ṇna*, **to̤ṇnīru*, **tho̤ṇnā* from **tuṇna*, **iulnīra*, **sthulnā*². A similar explanation holds good for M. *thora* from **tho̤rra*=*sthūra'* (Hc. 1,124,255;2,99; G.H.R.; Sarasvatik. 171,22; Karp. 50,11;64,2; 74,7;81,4) beside AMg. JM. *thulla*=*sthūla'* (Hc. 2,99; Āyār. 2,4,2,7; Āv. 22,15,42), *aīthulla* (Āv. 22,35) and AMg. J.S. S. *thūla* (Āyār. p. 133, 33;136,3; Sūyag. 286; Pañhāv. 437; Kattig. 398,303,305; Karp. 72,1; Hāsy. 32,1 [read as such with v.l., while Āv. 22,34 *thulla*, 22,33 *aīthulla* should be corrected]). Further for AMg. *na̤ngola*=*lāngūla* (Nāyādh. 502), *na̤ngoli*=*lāngūlin* (Jiv. 345), *na̤ngoliya*=*lāngūlikā* (Jiv. 392), beside *na̤ngula* (Jiv. 883,886,887), *gona̤ngula* (Vivāhap. 1048), *na̤ngūli*- (Anuog. 349), and M.AMg. JM.J.S. *tambola*=*iāmbūla* (Hc. 1,124; Mk. fol. 8;G.; Anuog. 61; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.; Kattig. 401,350; Mrchh. 71,6; Mālatīm. 201,2 [read as such]; Karp. 98,4; Viddhaś. 28,7; Kasīmsav. 55,13) [text *tambōlla*], AMg. *tambolajā* (Sūyag. 250), *tamboli* (Jiv. 487; Rāyap. 137). The ō presupposes final accentuation in *lāngūla* and *tāmbūla*, in which case *t* is doubled according to § 90, as in *thulla*, *dugulla*. The process of development was, therefore : *tāmbūla'*, **tambulla*, **tambo̤lla*, *tambola*.³ In *kohāndī*=*kūsmāndī*, (Hc. 1,124;2,73; Ki. 2,73; Pāiyāl. 14 6), AMg. *kohānda*=*kūsmānda* (Pañnav. 111), beside *kūhaṇḍa* (Pañhāv. 172,230,312) and *kuhaṇḍa* (Pañnav. 115; probably

falsely) *o* is secondary. For *S. kohanda* (Karp. ed. Bomb. 99,3) which is forbidden by Mk. for *S. Konow* 103,1 reads *kumbhanda*, and we must read as such also in *Viddhaś*. 23,2. The series was : **kumhandī*, **ko mhandī*, **komhandī*, *kōhanḍī*, *kohandī* (§ 76.89.312) *kohali* (Hc. 1,24; 2,73), *kohaliya* (Pāiyāl. 146) may in the same way be derived from *kōhodī*. Cf. *Marāthi. kohaleṁ. galoi=gudūci* (Hc. 1,107.124; § 123) may be going back to **gadōcci*.

1. JACOBI, Erz. s.v. *mo'lla*, falsely equates it as= *maulya* which is a back formation from Pkt.—2. WINDISCH, KZ. 27, 168; HÜBSCHMANN, ZDMG. 39,92ff., FORTUNATOV, KZ. 36.18. Wrongly BARTHOLOMAE, IF. 3, 157ff.; WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gram. § 146 d note, 172 d note; JOH. SCHMIDT, Kritik der Sonantentheorie pl. note.—3. Impossible is the derivation from *tāmrugula* in LEUMANN, Aup. S. p. 165.

§ 128. In the syllable preceding and following the accented one *e* sometime becomes *i* (§ 79-82); before a consonant-group it becomes *ē*, *i* (§ 84), in the auslaut before an enclitic with a double consonant, in the anlaut it becomes *ē*, and dialectically, however, sometimes, after a long vowel it becomes *i* also (§ 85). A secondary *ē* is sometimes lengthened and the consonant-group, simplified (§ 66.122). In A. *e* is shortened also in the inst. sing. in *-ena* and in the inst. plur. in *-ehim*, occasionally (cf. Saṅgitaratnākara 4,56). So *bōlliēna* (Hc. 4,383; read as such); *pāniēna* (Hc. 4,434); *khaṇēna* (Hc. 4,419,1; read as such); *amhēhī*, *tumhēhī* (Hc. 4,371), *vankēhī*, *loanēhī* (Hc. 4,356; read as such); *atthēhī*, *sattēhī*, *hatthēhī* (Hc. 4,358; read as such), *dentēhī* (Hc. 4,419,5; read as such). As the manuscripts vary so greatly it is doubtful whether one should or should not in such cases do as I have done in my edition of Hemacandra and write *bōlliēm*, *pāniēm*, *vankēhī* or with the v.l. *vankihī*, *loanihī* etc. It is true that we often find without any variant the instrumental plural forms in *-ahim*, *-ahī*, that are formed from the stems in *a-* (§ 308). Beside the loc. plur. of the pronouns of the first and second persons in *-esu*, there are some grammarians who prescribe the loc. forms in *-asu*, and Śākalya teaches also *tujjhisūn* and *tumbhisūn* (§ 415.422). JM. *einā*, Š.Mg. *edinā*, JM.Ś. Mg. *iminā*, beside *eeṇa*, Š. Mg. *edēṇa*, *imeṇa* (§ 426.430) are best explained with LASSEN (Inst. § 107) as formed from an *i-* stem, which is certainly the case for *kiṇā=kēṇa*, on the analogy of which are formed *jiṇā* and *tiṇā* (§ 428).—AMg. JM. *aūṇā*, *aūṇā°* are not = *ekona*, but = *aguna* (§ 444). On JM. *āṇasu*, A. *āṇahi* see § 474, and on the causative in-*a* in stead of in-*e*, § 491.

§ 129. For *e* occurs *a* in *nāliara=nālikera* (Deśin. 2,10), beside M. *nālieri* (G.), Š. *nāriela* (Šak. 78,12), and *pavaṭṭha=pravesta*, equated by Grr. (Vr. 1,40; Hc. 1,156; Ki. 1,40; Mk. fol. 13) as = *prakostha*¹, which clearly forms M.AMg. *paoṭṭha* (Karp. 47,6; Ovav.) and *paüṭṭha* (G.; Kappas.); in Š., as Mk. expressly teaches, it forms only *paoṭṭha* (Bālar. 80,1; Viddhaś. 126,3; in the meaning "house", Mṛcch. 68,23ff.).—*thina* (Hc. 1,147; Deśin. 5,29) beside *thena*, AMg. *tena* (§ 307) = *stena*, cannot be separated from *thūna* (horse; Deśin. 529) and is = *tūra* for "*stūra*" "quick", "fast"; cf. *thenilla* "fearful" (Deśin. 5,32) and *velu* for example Āyār. 1,3,4,4; Sūyag. 198; Pannav. 638; Dasav. N. 653,6; Uttar. 199.446,648.707.822.876.902.910 ff.; Vivāhap. 125.832.1026; Erz.; Rṣabhap.; Pav. 384,54; 385,61; Kattig. 404,389), AMg.JM.Ś. *padosa*, *paosa* (Sūyag. 81; Uttar. 368; Erz.; Pav. 385,69) are not = *dvesa*, *pradvesa*², but = *dosa*, *pradoṣa* with a change of meaning.³ Add to it also *dosākarana* (anger; Deśin. 5,51). *dveṣa* becomes *bveṣa* (§ 300).

1. So wrongly also LASSEN, Inst. p.136, note *.—2. CHILDERS, s.v.; WEBER, Rṣabhap. s.v.; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 23.—3. FISCHEL, BB. 13,14ff.

§ 130. *o* becomes *ō*, *u* before consonant-groups (§ 84), in auslaut before enclitics with double consonants in anlaut *ō*, dialectically also otherwise *ō*, *u* (§ 85.346). The secondary *ō* is sometimes lengthened and the consonant-group simplified (§ 66.127). In A. *o* becomes *u* not only in the auslaut (§ 85.346), but occasionally in the inlaut as well, as in *vīuen̄* for *vīoēn̄=vīyogaṇa* (Hc. 4,419,5). — M. *aṇṇanna* (Hc. 1,156; G.H.), JM. *annanna* (Erz.) is not = *anyanya*, which becomes *annoṇna*, *annoṇṇa* (§ 84), but = Vedic *anyānya*. — *āvajja* is not = *atodya* (Hc. 1,156), which becomes *āoṄja*, *āujja*, S. *pakkhaūjja=pakṣātodya* (Karp. 3,3), but = **āvādya*. — *a* for *o* is seen in *pulaaṄ*, *pulaei*, *pulaia* beside *puloei*, *paloia*, *paloia=pralokayati*, *pralokita* (§ 104) and *pallattai* beside *paloṄta* upsets; Hc. 4,200), *pallat̄a* (Hc. 2,47.68) beside *paloṄta* (Hc. 4,258). Probably these words are derived from different roots. On *pavaṭha*, probably = *prakosṭha*, see § 129. — AMg. JM. *theva* (a drop, a little; Pāīyāl. 164; Hc. 2,125. Desin. 5,29; Dasav. N.652,32; KI.7; Āv. 45,2; Erz.), has nothing to do with *thova=stoka* (§ 230), but it belongs with *thippai*. (Hc. 4,175) to roots *sip*, step (Dhātupāṭha 10,3.4), as has correctly been shown by CHILDERS for Pāli *theva*.

(f).—SEPARATION VOWELS.

§ 131. Consonant-groups are often separated in Pkt. by a separation or epenthetic vowel, and the different consonants are then treated according to the phonetic rules holding good for the different dialects. Vocalic development takes place only when one of the consonants is *y*, *r*, *l* or a nasal. The exact quality and quantity of the separation vowels remain undetermined so that they appear as *a*, *i* and *u*. Metrically they are sometimes without any value. Thus in AMg. *a* in *agani* : *nivāvao agaṇi* *nivāyaeṄja*, *na paṇdie agaṇi samārabhēṄjā* (Sūyag. 330); in *garahio*; *musāvāo* *jā lagammi savvasāhūhi garahio* (Dasav. 625,3); cf. Sūyag. 912.914; *i* in *kiriṄkiriyan* *venāyānūvāyām* (Sūyag. 322); in *kimpurisa* : *asogo kimṇarāṇam ca kimpurisāṇam ca campao* (Thāṇ. 505; Abhayadeva on Samav. 21); in *arihai* : *bhikkhū akkhāum arihai* (Dasav. 631,8), so *bhāsium arihai kiriṄyavāḍam* (Sūyag. 476; also in *kiriṄja*°); in *āyariya* : *āyariyassa mahappano* (Dasav. 631,33)¹. It does not even hinder the shortening of a long vowel under the influence of the accent, as AMg. JM. *āyariya=ācārya* (§ 81.134), M.S. *verulija*, AMg. JM. *verulija=vaidūrya* (§ 80), S. *murukkha=mūrkhā'* (§ 139), AMg. *suhuma=sūksma* (§ 82; C.3,30; Hc. 1,118,2,113; Āyār. 2,4,1,7,2,15,3; p. 131.132; Sūyag. 128.217.493; Paṇṇav. 72.81.83; Paṇhāv. 274; Jīv. 39.41.313; Aṇuog. 260.391.392; Vivāhap. 105.943.1385.1438; Uttar. 1040; Ovav.; Kappas.)², nor the doubling of the consonant according to § 195, nor the change of *a* into *i* according to § 101, as in AMg. *nigīṇa=nagna'* (§ 133), nor the transition of *tva* to *cca* and *dhya* to *jjha* (§ 280).

1. More examples in JACOBI, KZ. 23,594ff.—In Sūyag. 174 (=3,2,1) the printed edition reads *āhī īme suhama saṅga*, in the beginning certainly correctly so that with JACOBI, KZ. 23,595, *suhmā* is not to be accepted. Cf. § 323.

§ 132. Only in AMg. and A. *a* is more frequently a separation-vowel: it so occurs very rarely in other dialects. AMg. *agani=agni* (Hc. 2,102; par ex. Āyār. 1,1,4,6; Sūyag. 273; Vivāgas. 224; Vivāhap. 120; Dasav. 616,32 and very often); AMg. *abhikkhanām=abhikkisanām* (Kappas.); AMg. *garahā=garhā* (Vivāhap. 132), *garahāṇā=garhanā* (Ovav.), *garahāmo, garahaī* (Sūyag. 912.914), *garahāha* (Vivāhap. 132.332), JM. *garahāsi* (Erz. 55,29), AMg. JM. *garahiya* (Sūyag. 504; Dasav. 625,3; Erz. 35,15); AMg. *vigarahamāṇa* (Sūyag. 912), JS. *garahāna* (Kattig. 400, 331), beside *gariha* (Vr. 3,62; Ki. 2,59), AMg. *garihā* (Hc. 2,104; Mk.

fol. 29; Pāīyal. 245; Thān. 40), *garihāmi* (Vivāhap. 614), *garihasi* (Sūyag. 912; read *ra^o*), JM. *garihasu* (Erz. 42,18); AMG. *rajani*=*aratni* (§ 141)¹; AMG. *rahassa*=*hrasva*, M.AMg. Š.A. *dihara*=*dirgha* (§ 354); AMG. *sakahāo*=*sakthīni* (§ 358); AMG. *harajā*=*hrada* (Hc. 2,120; Āyār. 1,5,5,1; 1,6,1,2; Sūyag. 123; Uttar. 376f.; Vivāhap. 105.194.270). A. *garāsa*=*grāsa* (Piṅgala 2,140), *tarāsai*=*trasyati* (P.2,96), *paramāṇa*=*pramāṇa* (P. 1,28), *parasanna*=*prasanna* (P. 2,49), *parāvahī*=*prāpnuanti* (Hc. 4,442,1). From other dialects some examples are: M. *raaṇa*=*raina* (Vr. 3,60; Ki. 2,55; Mk fol. 29; G.H.R.), AMG. JM. J.S. *rayana* (§ 70; C. 3,30; Hc. 2,101; Kattig. 400,325); Š. *radana* (Mṛcch. 52,9; 68,25; 70, 24; 71,1; Šak. 38, 5; 103, 6; 117, 7; Vikr. 77, 15 etc.² D. *radana* (Mṛcch. 101, 12; 159, 12, 164, 20; Šak. 113, 3; 117, 5); Mg. *ladana*, 146,4; 159, 12; 164, 20; Šak. 113, 3; 117,5), Š. *sattuhana*=*satrughna* (Bālār. 310,15; Anarghar. 317,17), beside *sattugha* (Bālār. 151,I); M.S. *śalāhā*=*ślāghā* (Vr. 3,63. Hc. 2, 101; Ki. 2, 57; Mk. fol. 30; G.; Candak. 95,8), M. *salāhāṇa*=*ślāghana* (H.); *salahāi* (Hc. 4,88), M. *salāhamāṇa* (H.), *ahisalāhamāṇa* (G.), *salāhanijja* (H.), Š *salāhanīa* (Mṛcch. 128,4; Prab. 4,8 [read as such]); Ratn. 304. 18; 319, 15; Mālatīm. 82,8 [so to be read], Mg. *salāhanīa* (Mṛcch. 38,1 [read as such]); Š. *salāhiadi* (Ratn. 309,5; Prab. 12,11 [read as such]); A. *salahijjasu*, *salahijjai* (Piṅgala 1,95.117); JM. *bhasama*=*bhasman* (Erz.); P. *dharamapatni*=*dharma patni* (Mk. fol. 87). The dialect remains undetermined in the case of *gahara* from **grdhara*=*grdhra* (Pāīyal. 126; Deśin. 2,84); *palakkha*=*plakṣa* (C. 3,30; Hc. 2,103), for which AMG. has *pilamku*, *pilakkhu* (§ 74.105); *sāraṅga*=*śārṅga* (Vr. 3,60; Hc. 2,100; Ki. 2,55; Mk. fol. 29). According to Hc. 4,270 in Š., and according to 4,323 in P. *purava*, and according to 4,302, in Mg. *purava*=*pūrva* are used.³ Against the principal rule is P. *kasaṭa*=*kaṣṭa* (Vr. 10,6; Hc. 4,314; Ki. 5,109; ed. *kaṣṭam*; cf. LASSEN, Inst. p.441). False is Š. *parāṇa*=*prāṇa* (Cait. 54,10) for which read *pāṇa*, for example Mṛcch. 155,18; 166,9.14.15. Cf. also § 140.

i. For AMG. *ahārāṇījā*=*yathārāṇikāya* (Thān. 355.356) is to be read as *ahārāyanījā*.—2. The printed editions write without exception *raaṇa* in Š. *laaṇa* in Mg., which is a blunder committed against the dialects concerned.—3. For in Š. and in Mg.; these are not attested by the texts (PISCHEL on Hc. 4,270). Probably by Š. here they mean also J.S.

§ 133. Most frequently there appears as a separation vowel *i*. In AMG. it is so found in many cases where the remaining dialects show assimilation of consonants. One of the consonants is a nasal: AMG. *usīna*=*uṣṇa* (Āyār. 2,1,6,4; 2,2,1,8; 2,2,3,10; Sūyag. 132.590; Thān. 131.135; Pannav. 8.10.786ff.; Jīv. 224.295; Vivāhap. 194.195.250. 436. 465.147off.; Anuog. 268; Uttar. 48,57), *accusīna*=*atyuṣṇa* (Āyār. 2,1,7,5), *sīyosīna*=*śītōṣṇa* (Āyār. 1,3,1,2; Vivāhap. 862.863), beside *sūnha* (Sūyag. 134); Mg. *kośīna*=*koṣṇa* (Venīs. 34,4). Cf. § 312. AMG. *kasīna*=*kṛtsna* (Hc. 2,75.104; Sūyag. 26.28.172.292.416.439.460; Vivāhap. 205; Anuog. 104; Uttar. 251; Ovav.; Kappas.) and=*kṛṣṇa*, beside *kasāṇa*, *kanha*, *kinha* (§ 52); AMG. JM. *tūsiṇījā*=*tūṣṇīkā*, beside *tūphia*, *tūṇhikka* (§ 81.90); AMG. *dosīnā*=*jyotsnā*, Š. *dosīṇi*=*jyautsnī* (§ 215); AMG. *nīgiṇa*=*nagna* (Āyār. 2,2,3,11; 2,7,1,11; Sūyag. 108 [text *nigana*]) with *i* in the first syllable according to § 101, beside *nagini* (Āyār. 1,6,2,3; Sūyag. 169; Dasav. 627,1), *naginiṇa* (sic; Uttar. 208), *nāganiṇi*=Sūyag. 344)=*nagnatva*; AMG. *pasīṇa*=*praśna* (Āyār. 2,3,2,17, Sūyag. 383.918; Nāyādh. 301.577.578; Vivāhap. 151.973.978.1251.1261.1408; Nandis. 471; Uttar. 513; Uvās.; Ovav.); AMG. *sināṇa*=*snāṇa* (Mk. fol. 29; Āyār. 2,1,6,2; 2,2,1,8; 2,7,1,11; Sūyag. 344.382; Dasav. 626,40; probably incorrectly also in Š. Cait. 44,4; 92,14; 134,9; 150,7; 260,4); AMG. *asiṇāṇa* (Dasav. 626,39), *pāoṣiṇāṇa*=

prātahsnāna (Sūyag. 337), *siñāi*=*snāti* (Mk. fol. 29; Sūyag. 340); *asiñāittā* (Sūyag. 994), *siñāyanta-*, *siñāyanti* (Dasav. 626, 37.38), probably incorrectly also Ś. *siñāvēnti* (Cait. 44, 13), *siñāyaga*=*snātaka* (Sūyag. 929.933.940), *siñāyaya* (Uttar. 755; text *siñāio*); P. *siñāta*=*spāta* (Hc. 4, 314), *katasinānena*=*kṛtasnānena* (Hc. 4, 322; read as such); *siviñā*, *simiñā*, *suviñā*, *sumiñā*=*svapna* (§ 177). *i* is a separation vowel also in the declension of *rājan*, as in inst. sing. JM. *rāiñā*, P. *rāciñā* (§ 399).

§ 134. 2) One of the consonants is *ya*, which except in AMg. JM. J.S. drops after the separation vowel *i*: AMg. JM. *cetiya*=*Pāli cetiya*=*caitya* (Āyār. 2, 2, 1, 7; 2, 3, 3, 1; 2, 10, 17; 2, 15, 25; Sūyag. 1014; Thāñ. 266; Samav. 101.233; Pañhāv. 521; Vivāhap. 5.164.934; Rāyap. 154; Jiýak. 6; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Nirāyāv.; T. 6, 24; Erz.; Kk.); AMg. *cijatta*=**tyakta*=*tyakta*, *jhijāi*=*dhyāyati* (§ 280); AMg. *teniyā*=*stainya* (§ 307); AMg. *bālija*=*bālya* (Vivāhap. 132); AMg. JM. *bahiñā*=*bāhyāt* (Āyār. 1, 1, 7, 1; Sūyag. 954; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Av. 14, 10); AMg. *vijaggha*=*vijāghra* (Pañhāv. 20); Ś. *ditthiā*=*diṣṭyā* (Hc. 2, 104; Mrcch. 68, 2; 74, 11; Śak. 52, 10; 167, 7; Vikr. 10, 20; 26, 15; 49, 4; 75, 2 etc.); *hijo*=*hyas* (Deśin. 8, 67; Paiyāl. 211; Triv. 1, 3, 105; cf. BB. 3, 251). Ś. *hio* (Mālav. 51, 7; Priyad. 19, 12); in words of all the classes, as in the absolute in *-ya*, for example AMg. *pāsiya*, JM. *pēcchiyā*, Ś. *pēkkhia*, Mg. *pēskia*, Dh. *padissudia* (§ 590.591), the optative in *-yā*, as AMg. *sijā*=*syāt*, *hanijā*=*hanyāt* (§ 465), *bhuñjejjā*=*bhuñjyāt*, *karejjā*=**karyāt* (§ 459), the participia nec. and adjectives in *-ijja*, as *karanijja*, *ramanijja* (§ 91. 571), the numeral, as M. *biia*, *bijja*, AMg. JM. *biijā*; M. *taīa*, AMg. JM. *taiyā*, Ś.Mg. *tadia*, A. *taijji* (§ 82.91.449). The separation-vowel *i* is especially frequent in the consonant-group *rya*. The words of the type are classed by Vr. 3, 20; Hc. 2, 107; Ki. 2, 81 under the ākṛtigāna *cauryasama*. Common to most of the cases is the long vowel before *rya*. Thus AMg. *āriyā*=*ārya* (Āyār. 1, 2, 2, 3; 1, 2, 5, 2, 3; 1, 4, 2, 5; Sūyag. 54.204.363.914; Pañhāv. 59ff.; Samav. 98; Vivāhap. 1246; Uttar. 109.509; Ovav.); *añāriyā* (Āyār. 1, 4, 2, 4; Sūyag. 56.68.208.210. 437.439.923.931.935; Samav. 98; Uttar. 511.990); AMg. JM. *ājāriyā*=*ācārya* (Hc. 1, 73; Āyār. 2, 1, 10, 1; 2, 3, 3, 3ff.; Samav. 85; Thāñ. 157.268; Nandis. 512ff.; Dasav. 633, 41; 634, 19ff.; Uttar. 43; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Av. 33, 17; 38, 13, 18; 40, 9ff.; Erz.; Kk.), also *āriyā* (C. 1, 5 p. 40; Hc. 1, 73, 2, 107), Ś. *ācāria* (Cait. 45, 5; 89, 12; 127, 13), Mg. *ācālia* (Prab. 28, 14; 29, 7; 58, 17; 61, 5; 62, 1, 2, 6; Cait. 149, 17.19; 150, 2, 33); M.Ś. *coria*=*caurya* (Grr.; H.; Cait. 81, 1), AMg. JM. *bhāriñā*=*bhāryā* (Hc. 2, 17; Sūyag. 176; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.); AMg. JM. *vīriyā*=*vīrya* (Sūyag. 351.360.365.442; Vivāhap. 67.68.125; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Pav. 379, 2; 381, 19; 386, 1); M.Ś. *verulia*, AMg. JM. *veruliya*=*vaidurya* (§ 80); AMg. *sūriya* (Hc. 2, 107; Sūyag. 306.310.312; Vivāhap. 452.1040. 1273.1282; Ovav. § 163; Kappas.), *asūriya* (Sūyag. 273); *soria*=*saurya* (Bh. 3, 20; Hc. 2, 107; Ki. 2, 81). Hc. 2, 87 has also the examples *theria*=*sthairyā*, *gambhīria*, *gahīria*=*gāmbhīryā*, and after a short vowel *sundaria*=*saundarya*, *varia*=*varya*, *bamhacaria*=*brahmācarya*. Corresponding to AMg. *moriyāputta*=*mauryāputra* (Samav. 123.151; Bhag.), JM. *moriyāvāmīsa*=*mauryāvāmīśa* (Av. 8, 17) Mg. has *molīa*=*maurya* (Mudrar. 268, 1). After a short vowel *i* has entered into the group *rya* in AMg. *tiriyāñ*=*tiryak* (Āyār. 1, 1, 5, 2, 3; 1, 2, 5, 4; Sūyag. 191.273.304.397.428.914.931; Kappas.), *tiriñā* (Hc. 2, 143), AMg. JM. J.S. *tiriya* (Bhag.; Uvās.; Erz.; Pav. 380, 12; 383, 70, 27); AMg. *pariyāga*=*parīyāya* (Vivāgas. 270; Vivāhap. 235.796.845; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.), often beside *pariyāya*; AMg. *vippariyāsa*=*viparyāsa* (Sūyag. 468.497.498).

§ 135. 3) Consonant-groups with *r* besides *rya* (§ 134): PG. *pari-haritavam*=*parihartavyam* (6,36); M. *kiriā*, AMg. JS. *kiriyā*=*kriyā* (Vr. 3,60; Hc. 2,104; G.: Sūyāg. 322.412.445.460; Bhag.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Pav. 381,21;386,6.10; Kattig. 403,373.374); AMg. *dariṣāṇa*=*darśana* (Hc. 2,105; Mk. fol. 29; Sūyāg. 43; Bhag.; Ovav.), *dariṣi*=*darśin* (Nandis. 388; Bhag.; Uvās.; Kappas.), *dariṣanījja*=*darśaniya* (Pannav. 96.118.127; Uvās.; Ovav.; Nāyādh.; Bhag.); *dariṣā*, JM. *darisei*, Ā.D. *darisedi*=*darśayati* (§ 554); *ārīsa* (Hc. 2,105; Mk. fol. 29), AMg. *ādarīsa* (Ovav.)=ādarśa; M.AMg. *pharīsa*=*sparsa* (Vr. 3,62; Mk. fol. 29; Pāiyāl. 240); H.R.; Āyār. 1,1,7,4; Nāyādh.; Ovav.); AMg. *pharīsaga*=*sparsaka* (Kappas.), *dūpparīsa*=*duhparsa* (Panhāv. 508); *pharīsāi*=*sparsayati* (Hc. 4,182); *marīsāi*=*marsayati* (Vr. 8,11; Hc. 4,235); M. *amarīsa*=*amarṣa* (Hc. 2,105; G.R.); M.S. *āmarīsa*=āmarṣa (Acyutaś. 53; Uttarar. 20,11), Mg. *āmaliśa* (Mallikām. 143,11); Š. *parāmarīsa* (Hc. 2,105; Mṛcch. 15,6;70,1), *marīsedu* (Mṛcch. 3,19; Mālav. 86,8), *marīsehi* (Mālav. 38,4;55,12); cf. Šak. 27,6;58,9.11;73,6;115,2; M. AMg. JM. S. *varīsa*=*varsā* (Hc. 2,105; G.H.; Ovav.; KI. 19; Āv. 13.25;14,12; Erz.; Rśabhap.; Bālar. 276,3; Venīs. 65,3; Mallikām. 225,2;259,6); AMg. *varīsā*=*varsāḥ* (Hc. 2,105; Nirāyāv. 81); *varīṣāṇa*=*varsāṇa* (Mk. fol. 29); Š. *varīṣi*=*varsin* (Venīs. 60,6; Karp. 71,6); AMg. A. *varīsāi* (Vr. 8,11; Hc. 4,235; Dasav. N.648,10; Piṅgala 1,62); A. *varīsei* (Vikr. 55,2); JM. *varīsiūṁ*=*varsāyitūṁ* (Āv. 40,4); Š. *varīsidūṁ* (Mālav. 66,20), *varīsanta-* (Prab. 44,3; Caṇḍak. 16,18); Mg. *valīśa* (Venīs. 33,4); AMg. *sarīsava*=*sarīṣapa* (Pannav. 34,35; Nāyādh. § 61; Vivāhap. 1424f. 1526; Ovav. § 73); M.AMg. JM. Š. *harīsa*=*harsa* (Vr. 3,62; Hc. 2,105; Ki. 2,59; G.H.R.; Nirāyāv.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Ratn. 300,21; Mudrār. 263,6; Venīs. 62,12;65,7); AMg. *lomaharīsa* (Pannav. 90); Š. *saharīsa* (Mṛcch. 71,19; Venīs. 65,7); *harīsāi*=*harsatī* (Hc. 4,235), AMg. *harīse*=*harset* (Āyār. 1,2,3,2), Š. *harīsāvida* (Bālar. 242,6); AMg. *vāīra*=*vajra* (Sūyāg. 834; Thān. 265; Vivāhap. 499.1326; Uttar. 589.1041; Kappas.), *vāīrāmāya*=*vajramāya* (§ 70). On *sīrī*=śrī and *hīrī*=*hri* (Vr. 362; C.3.30; p. 50; Hc. 2,104; KI. 2,57; Mk. fol. 29) see § 98.195.

§ 136. One of the consonants is *l* (Vr. 3,60.62; Hc. 2,106; KI. 2,59.104; Mk. fol. 29): M. *kilamīṭṭa*=*klāmyati* (Hc. 2,106; G. R.), AMg. *kilamījja*=*klāmyet* (Āyār. 2,1,7,1), Š. *kilammadi* (Šak. 123,8; Mālatīm. 135,5; Mallikām. 69,7;133,14;159,8; [text °aī]), M. A. *kilāmīa*=*klāmita (G.R.; Vikr. 60,16¹, M.AMg. JM. Š. Mg. *kilānta* (Grr.; G.R.; Vivāhap. 1308; Rāyāp. 258; Kappas.; Erz.; Uttarar. 18,12 [text *kilintakilintekilammanta* (Erz.; Mālatīm. 81,1); Š. *kilammida*=*klāmita (Karnas. 47,12; text °li°), *adikilammida* (Mālatīm. 206,4); JM. *kiliśsāi*=*kliśyati* (Erz.), AMg. *samkilissāi*=*samkliśyati* (Ovav.); Š. *adikilissadi* (Mālav. 7,17), *kiliśanta* (Ratn. 304,30); JM. *kiliṭṭha* (Grr.; Erz.), AMg. *samkiliṭṭha* (Ovav.), *asamkiliṭṭha* (Dasav. 642,41); Š. *kilesa*=*kleśa* (Grr.; Mṛcch. 68,8.10; Lalitav. 562,22); M.S. *kiliṇṇa*=*klinna* (Hc. 1,145;2,106; G.; Mukunda. 15,1); A. *kiliṇṇai* (Hc. 4,329), beside *kīṇṇai* (cf. § 59); AMg. *kiliwa*=*klība* (Āyār. 2,1,3,2); AMg. *gilāi*, *vigilāi*=*glāyati*, *viglāyati* (Hc. 2,106; Sūyāg. 200.215; Ovav.; Kappas.); AMg. *milāi* (Hc. 2,106; 4,18; Āyār. 1,1,5,6); M. JM. Š. *milāṇa*=*mlāṇa* (Grr.; G.H.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 2,16; Vikr. 26,13; Cait. 73,9), Š. *milānta* (Mālatīm. 249,4), *milāmāna* Vikr. 51,10; Mālav. 30,7); for Š. *pammalāādi* (Mālatīm. 120,2) is with ed. Madras 105,3 and ed. Bombay 1892, p. 92,2 to be read *parimilāādi* § 479); *mīliccha*, AMg. *milakkhu*, beside AMg. JM. Š. A. *mēccha*, AMg. *miccha*=*mlecca* (§ 84.105); *siliṁha*=śleṣman (Hc. 2,106); AMg. JM. *siliṭṭha*=

sīṣṭa (Grr.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Āv. 38,10.12), *asilit̄ha* (Āv. 38,8); Š. *susilit̄ha* (Mṛcch. 71,13; Mālatīm. 234,3), *dussilit̄ha* (Mahāv. 23,19); AMg. *silesa*=*slesa* (Hc. 2,106; Vivāhap. 658); AMg. JM. *siloga*=*sloka* (Sūyag. 370.497.938; Anuog. 557; Dasav. 637,31.44,638,8; 641,7; Ovav.; Erz.), AMg. *siloja* (Sūyag. 405.417.506), Š. *siloa* (Hc. 2,106; Lalitav. 554,13; Mudrār. 162,6; Viddhās. 117,13; Karnas. 30,3.5); *suila* (Hc. 2,106), AMg. *sukkila*=*sukla* (Hc. 2,106 [read as such]; Thān. 569; Jiv. 27.33. 224.350.457.464.482.554.928.938; Anuog. 267; Uttar. 1021.1024.1041; Ovav.; Kappas.¹; JM. *sukkiliya* (Āv. 7,16).

i. The Indian editions very frequently write *sukkilla* (e.g. Thān. 339.345.348.349. 406.568, Vivāhap. 436.532.535.544.1033.1322.1323.1421.1451.1456, Pannav. 8.11ff. 46.241. 379.380.481.525, Panhāv. 167; Samav. 64; Rāyap. 50.55.104.110.120 (*sukilla*) etc. Sometimes both the forms stand side by side, thus Thān. 568 *sukkilla*, but 569 *sukkila*, Anuog. 267 *sukkila*, but 269 *sukkilla*. According to Hc. 2,106, the correct form is *sukkilla* in spite of § 195.

§ 137. The separation vowel *i* sometimes appears after a secondary *b* developed from *mr*, *ml* (§ 295): *ambira*=*āmra* (Hc. 2,56; Deśin. 1,15); M. *tambira*=*tāmra* (Hc. 2,56; H. 589 [read as such]); M. *āambira*=*ātāmra* (G.H.); *tambirā* (rust of wheat; Deśin. 5,5); AMg. JM. *ambila*=*amla* (Hc. 2,106; Āyār. 1,5,6,4; 2,1,7,7; 2,1,11,1; Thān. 20; Pannav. 8.10.12ff.; Vivāhap. 147.532; Jiv. 224; Uttar. 1021; Dasav. N.656,29; Kappas.; Āv. 24,18); AMg. *ānambila* (Āyār. 2,1,7,7), *accambila* (Dasav. 621,14); *ambiliyā* (tamarind; Pāiyāl. 145); AMg. *āyambilā*=*ācāmla*¹ (Vivāhap. 223; Nāyādh. 1292; Ovav.; Dvār. 498,2), *āyambilājā*=*ācāmlaka* (Thān. 352; Ovav. [text *oblie*]). For Mg. *tikkhābilakena* (Mṛcch. 163,19), according to v.l. in GODABOLE p. 442, we should read *tikkhambilakena*.

i. So is the word explained by the scholiasts. Improbable assumptions with regard to its derivation in LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *āyambilā* and in WEBER, IS. 16,305, note 12.

§ 138. In Š. Mg. *i* appears as a separation-vowel in the passive in *-iā* as in *paḍhīadi*=Pāli *paṭhiyate*=*paṭhyate*, against M.AMg. JM. J.S. *paḍhījā*, P. *paḍhīyate* (§ 535ff.), in the participia nec. and adjectives in *-anīā* as in Š. *karaṇīā*, Mg. *kalaṇīā*=*karaniya*, Š. *ramanīā*, Mg. *lamāṇīā*=*ramāṇīā*, against M.AMg. JM. J.S. *karaṇījā*, *ramanījā*=**karaṇyā*, **ramanya*' (§ 91.134.571), and in M.AMg. in the ending *-mīā*=Skt. *-māṇā* as in AMg. *āgamamīā* (§ 110.562). The separation vowel oscillates between *i* and *ī* in M.S. *accharia* JM. *accharījā*=*āścarya* (Vr. 12,30 for Š.; Hc. 1,58;2,67; Mk. fol. 22; G.; Mṛcch. 172,6; Mālav. 69,2;85,8; Vikr. 9,12; Prab. 4,1; Mālatīm. 25,; Lalitav. 562, 19 etc.¹; Pāiyāl. 165; Kk.), Mg. *āscalia* (Lalitav. 565,11 [*īyā*]; 566,3; Venīs. 34,6) and Š. *accharīā* (Hc.; Mṛcch. 73,8; Šak. 14,4; 157,5; Ratn. 296,25;300,7.13;306,1;313,23;322,23 etc.); M.AMg. also *acchera* (Bh. 1,5;3,18.40; Hc. 1,58;2,67; Ki. 1,4;2,79; Mk. fol. 22; H.; Panhāv. 380 [text *acchara*]), AMg. JM. *accherājā* (Nāyādh. 778f. 1376; Kappas.; Āv. 29,23; Erz.; Kk.), AMg. *accheraga* (Panhāv. 288), according to Hc. also *accharījā*, a form which points to accentuation as *āścaryā*, and *acchaara*, whose origin is still in the dark. Beside M. *pilosa* (G. 589; [read as such])=*plosa*, *pilūṭha*=*plusṭa* (Hc. 2,106) we have also *pilūṭha* (Deśin. 6,51). M.S. *jīā* (Vr. 3,66; Hc. 2,115; Ki. 2,61; Mk. fol. 30) is not=*jyā*, but=*jivā*. In PG. *āpiṭtiyām*=*āpittyām* (6,37), and in inscriptions *ī* frequently stands for *i*.

i. In many editions of the drama they are falsely written as *accae*. Cf. § 301.

§ 139. If one of the connected consonants is a labial or *va*, the more usual separation vowel is *u*: M. *uddhumāī*=**uddhmātī* (Vr. 8,32;

Hc. 4,8), *uddhumāā=uddhmāta* (G.R.), *uddhumāia* (R.); *khuluha=kulpha* (Deśin. 2,75; Pāiyāl. 250; cf. § 206); AMg. *chaūma=chadman* (Hc. 2, 112), especially in *chaūmattha=chadmastha* (Āyār. 1,8,4,15; Thān. 50.51. 188; Vivāhap. 78.80; Uttar. 116.805.812; Ovav.; Kappas.); *tuvaraī=tvarate* (Vr. 8,4; Hc. 4,170), M.S. *tuvara=tvarasva* (H.; Śak. 77,3;79,6), S. *tuvaradi* (Mṛcch. 97,9; Vikr. 9,12); *tuvaradu* (Mṛcch. 160,14; Śak. 64,11; Ratn. 313,7), *tuaradu* (Mālav. 39,11), *tuvaramha* (Ratn. 293,31), *tuvaranta* (Mālatim. 119,4), *tuvarāvedi* (Mālatim. 24,4), *tuarāvedi* (Mālav. 33,7;39,13), *tuarāedu* (Mālav. 27,19), *tuvarāanti* (Mālatim. 114,5); Mg. *tuvaladu* (Mṛcch. 170,5), *tuvalesi* (Mṛcch. 165,24); AMg. J.M.S. *duvāra=dvāra* (Hc. 2,112; Mk. fol. 31; Pāiyāl. 235; Āyār. 2,1,5,4ff.; Vivāhap. 1264; Nāyādh.; Av. 25.34; Erz.; Kk.; Mālatim. 238,6; Mudrār. 43,8 [read as such with v.l. for *dāra*]; Ratn. 303,2;309,10;312, 22; Mālav. 23,6;62,18;65,7; Bālar. 35,6; Priyad. 37,9;38,7), *duāra* (Mṛcch. 39,3;50,23;70,9;72,13;81,25; Śak. 115,5; Viddhaś. 78,9;83,7); *duāraa* (Mṛcch. 6,6;44,25;51,10;68,21;99,18; Mahāv. 100,6); Mg. *duvāla* (Prab. 46,12), *duāla* (Mṛcch. 43,11; Cait. 150), *duālaa* (Mṛcch. 45,2; 97,17); AMg. JM. *duvālasa=dvādaśa* (§ 244); M.AMg. S. Mg. *duve*, A. *dui=dve* (§ 437); M.AMg. JM.S. *paūma=Pāli paduma=Skt. padma* (Vr. 3,65; Hc. 2,112; Ki. 2,62; Mk. fol. 31; Acyutaś. 36,44.90.94 [text *paduma*]; Thān. 75ff.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Priyad. 13,16 [^o*du*^o]); S. *paūmarāā=padmarāga* (Mṛcch. 71,1); AMg. S. *paūmiṇi=padminī* (Kappas.; Mṛcch. 77,13); AMg. *paūmāvai=padmāvati* (Nirayāv.), S. *padumāvadī* (Priyad. 24,8); S. *puruvva=pūrva* (Mṛcch. 39,23; 89,4; Nāgān. 49,10); AMg. *riuvyeja=r̥gveda* (Thān. 166; Vivāhap. 149. 787; Nirayāv. 44; Kappas.); M.AMg. JM. *sumarāi*, S. *sumarādi*, Mg. *śumaladi=smarati* (§ 478); AMg. *suve* (C. 3,30 p. 50; Hc. 2,114), *sue* (Āyār. 2,5,1,10; Uttar. 103; Dasav. 639,15), S. *suvo* (Mukund. 14,18) = *svah*. The separation vowel *u* appears regularly in the feminine of the adjectives in -*u*. (Vr. 3,65; C. 3,30 p. 50; Hc. 2,113; Ki. 2,62; Mk. fol. 30 f.), as in *guruvī* (Grr.) = *gurvi*, while *garuī* belongs to *garua=guruka* (§ 123), consequently in Hc. 2,113 the word with *b* is to be corrected as *guruvī*; *taruvi=tarvī* (Grr.), M. *tanū* (H.); *lahuvi=laghvī* (Grr.), M.S. *lahuī* (G.; Mṛcch. 73,11); *maūvi=mrdvī* (Grr.), M. *maūi* (G.); *bahuvi=bahvī* (Grr.); *sahuvi=sādhvī* (Mk.). The feminine of *pr̥thu* is *puhuvi*, when it is used adjectively (Hc. 1,131;2,113), against which M. J.M.S.A. *puhavī*, *puhāi*, AMg. J.M.S. J.S. *puḍhavī* in the meaning “the earth” (§ 51.115). In the same way may be explained also the absolute S. Mg. Dh. *kadua=kṛtvā*, *gadua=gatvā* from * *kaduvā*, * *gaduvā* (§ 581), and the absolute in -*tuānam*, -*tuāṇa*, such as *kāuānam*, *kāuāṇa=***kartvānam* (§ 584).—Sometimes *u* is brought in when the syllable preceding or following the consonant group contains *u* or *o*. Thus *murukka=mürkha* (§ 131; Hc. 2,112), which according to Mk. belongs to the *prācyā bhāṣā* that should be spoken by the Vidūṣaka (§ 23), and which occurs in Pras. 48,1 in S. [text *murukha*], whilst otherwise *mukha* is used (e.g. S. Mṛcch. 52,11.15;81,4; Karp. 13,8; Priyad. 18,5.14;38,1.8; Cait. 82,7; Mg. Mṛcch. 81,17.19; Prab. 50,13); P. *sunusā=snuṣā* (Hc. 4, 314), to which *sunhā* and *soñhā* of the rest of the dialects go back (§ 148); *suruggha=srugna* (Hc. 2,113); AMg. *duruhaī=udruhati* (§ 118.141.482).

§ 140. The separation-vowel oscillates between *a* and *i* in *kasana*, *kasina=kṛṣṇa* (§ 52); M.S. *barahi-*, AMg. S. *barahiṇa* (§ 406) = *barhin*, beside *bariha=barha* (Hc. 2,104), A. *barihina=barhin* (Hc. 4,422,8); *sapeha=sneha* (2,102), A. *sasanehi* (Hc. 4,367,5), *sañiddha=snigdha* (Hc. 2,109), otherwise M. J.M.S. *sineha*, that is not mentioned by Vr. and Hc. though it is the only

form found in the dramas and occurs very frequently (Ki. 2,58; Mk. fol. 26; G.H.R.; Erz.; Mrcch. 27,17;28,10; Šak. 9,14;56,15;90,12;132,1; Mālav. 39,6; Mālatīm. 94,6; Uttarar. 68,8; Ratn. 327,13) Š. *nissineha* (Mrcch. 25, 21), M. AMg. JM. Š. *siniddha*=*snigdha* (Hc. 2,109; G.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Mrcch. 2,52;57,10 [to be read as such]; 59,24;72,7; Šak. 53,8;84,11; 132,11; Mālav. 5,10;60,6); M. *siññdaññam* (Vikr. 51,7;53,5); AMg. *sasiññdaññha*=*sasnigdha* (Āyār. 2,1,6.6.7.9 [*sasa*²]; v. l. Kappas.). Beside these forms, we have also M. A. *neha*, AMg. JM. *neha*, AMg. JM. *niddha*, M. *niddha*=*snigdha* (§ 313). The separation-vowel oscillates between *a* and *u* in *puhāvī*, *puhāi*, *puhāvī* and *puhūvī* (§139); AMg. *suhuma* (§131) and AMg. *suhama* (Hc. 2,101; Sūyag. 174); Š. *sakkajomi* and *sakkunomi*=*sáknomi* (§505). Dialectically *a*, *i* and *u* are exchanged in *arh*, *arha* and *arhant* (Hc. 2,104.111) : AMg. *araha*- (Sūyag. 321; Samav. 111; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.); AMg. JŠ. *arahanta*- (Sūyag. 322; Thāñ. 288; Vivāhap. 1.1235; Ovav.; Kappas.); Pav. 379,3.4 [here the text has *⁰ri*⁰]; 383,44;385,63); AMg. JM. M. *arihaï* (Āyār. 1,3,2,2; Sūyag. 178; Dasav. 631,8; Erz.; Šak. 120,6), Š. *arihadi* (Šak. 24,12;57,8;58,13;73,8; Ratn. 323,1), Mg. *alihadi* (Šak. 116,1); Š. *ariha=aḥa* (Vr. 3,62; Mukund. 17,4); *arihā=arhā* (Ki. 2,59); AMg. JM. *mahariha*=*mahārha* (Vivāgas. 128; Rāyap. 174; Ovav.; Erz.), JM. *jahāriha=yathārha* (Erz.; Kk.), Š. *mahāriha* (Šak. 117,7), Mg. *mahāliha* (Šak. 117,5); Mg. *aḥihanta*- (Prab. 46,11;51,12; 52,7;54,6;58,7;59,9;60,13; Mudrār. 183,2 [to be read as such]; Laṭakam. 12,13;14,19; Amrt. 66,2); JM *aruha* (Hc. 2,111; Dvār. 502,27, beside *arahantāññam*, v. l. *⁰ri*⁰). The reading *aruhadi* is found in the Devanāgarī and South Indian recensions of Šak. (BÖHTLINGK on 17,7.8 of his edition), and Mālav. (33,1;65,2), likewise we find it in Priyad. 34,20, based on the South Indian manuscripts, in Š. assuredly falsely.—*aruhanta*- (Hc. 2,111).

g) DROPPING OFF AND ADDITION OF VOWELS.

§141. Originally a vowel, at the beginning of a word, dropped off only when it was not accented. So in many disyllabic words, having more than two syllables with final accentuation : AMg. *daga*=*udakā* (Sūyag. 203.209.249.337.339.340; Thāñ. 339.400; Pañhāv. 353.531; Vivāhap. 942; Dasav. 619.27;630,13; Ovav.; Kappas.), beside *udaga*, *udaya* (Ovav. § 83ff.; Uvās.; Nāyādh.), sometimes both occur beside one another as in Sūyag. 337 *udageṇa* [= *dagenā*] *je siddhim udāharanti sāyām ca pāyam udagāñ phusantā*¹ [*udagassa*]=*dagassa phāsenā siyā ja siddhi sijjhīmsu pāññā bahave dagam̄si*. The rest of the dialects do not have this dropping off : M. *uaa* (G.H.R.), *udayā* (Erz.), Š. *udaa* (Mrcch. 45,12;112,10;133,7;134,7). JM.AMg. *duruhai*=**udruhāti* (§ 118.139.482).—AMg. *pāhanāo*=*upāndhau* (Sūyag. 384 [text *pānahāo*]; Thāñ. 359 [text *⁰vā*⁰, commentary *⁰pā*⁰]; Pañhāv. 487 [text *⁰vā*⁰] 1212 [text *vāññahāo*]; Ovav. *⁰pā*⁰ and *⁰vā*⁰), beside Š. *wāññaha* (Mrcch. 72,9), AMg.; *chattovāññha* (Sūyag. 249 [text *⁰vāññaha*]; Vivāhap. 153), *anovāññhaṇa*, *⁰ja* (§ 77).—AMg. JM. JŠ. *posaha*=*upavaśathā* (Antag. 19; Sūyag. 771.994; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Bhag.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kattig. 402,359; 403,376); AMg. *posahiya*=*upavaśathika* (Nāyādh.; Uvās.).—AMg. *rajani*=*aratri* (§132; Vivāhap. 1563; Ovav.).—AMg. *lāū=alābū'*, *lāū=alābu* (Hc. 1,66; Āyār. 2,6,1,1; Anuttar. 11; Ovav. §79, VII [to be read as such]), *lāūjā=alābuka* (Āyār. 2,6,1,4; Thāñ. 151; Vivāhap. 41.1033; Pañnav. 31), also *lāūm* (Hc. 1,66), beside AMg. *alāū* (Sūyag. 245), *alāujā* (Sūyag. 926.928 [*⁰bu*⁰]), Š. *alāvū* (Hc. 1,237; Bālar. 229,21).

§142. We must also presuppose final accentuation in AMg. *gāra*=*āgāra* (Āyār. 1,5,3,5; Sūyag. 126.154.345), *gārattha*=*agārastha* (Sūyag.

642.986; Uttar. 208), *gāri*=*agārin* (Uttar. 207), beside *agāra* (Āyār. 1,2, 3,5; Nāyādh.).—M. AMg. *rahaṭṭa*=*araghāṭṭa* (H. 490; Panhāv. 67), beside M. JM. *arahatṭa* (G. 685; Rśabhap. 30.47; not correctly the ed. Bomb. 47 *palliā rahaṭṭa vva*).—M. *vaṁsa*=*avatāṁsa* (H. 439), AMg. *vadīṁsa*, *vadīṁsaga* (§ 103), beside M. *avaṁsa* (H. 173.180), *avaṁsaanti* (Śak. 2,15).—Mg. *hage*, *hagge*=**ahakah*; A. *haū*=*ahakam* (§417). AMg. JM. *heṭṭhā*=*adhāstāt* and derivatives (§ 107).—To this further belong the cases like AMg. *tījā*=*atīta* (Sūyag. 122.470; Thān. 173.174; Vivāhap. 24.155; Uttar. 833; Uvās.; Kappas.).—AMg. *piṇidhattae*=**apinidhātāvē* (Ovav. §79,X).—AMg. *pūha*=**apūhya* (§286).—AMg. *vakkamai*=*apakrāmati* beside *avakammai*, Ś. Mg. *avakkamadi* (§ 481), AMg. *vakkanta*=*apakrānta* (Pannav.41;Kappas.), *vakkanti*=*apakrānti* (Kappas.); M. *valagganti*=*avalaggyanti* (G. 226.551); Ś. *vattiḥida*=*avasthita* (Mṛcch. 40,14); *vamhala*=*pasmārah* (Hc. 2,174), where also the shortening of the ā points to final accentuation. Agreeing with Skt. is M. AMg. *piṇaddha*=*pinaddha* (G.H.R.; Rāyāp. 81f; Ovav.; Nāyādh.). A different accent from that of Skt. is presupposed in M. AMg. JM. A. *ranna*=*áranya* (Vr. 1,4; Hc. 1,66; Ki. 1,3; Mk. fol. 5; G.H.R.; Nāyādh. 1117.1439; Ovav.; Erz.; Vikr. 58,9;71,9; 72,10), beside the rarer *aranṇa* (G.H.; Āyār. p. 133,32; Kappas.; Erz.), which is the only form in Ś. (Śak. 33,4; Ratn. 314,32; Mālatim. 30,9; Uttarar. 190,2; Dhūrtas. 11,12; Karṇas. 46,12; Vṛṣabh. 28,19;50,5; Cāndak. 17,16;95,10); against the dialect is *pāraddhiranṇa* (Viddhaś. 23,9).—M.A. *rittha*=*arīṣṭa* (R.1,3; Piṅgala 2,72), JM. *riṭṭhanemi*=*ariṣṭanemi* (Dvār. 496,2;499,13;502,6;505,27), beside AMg. JM. *ariṭṭhanemi* (Kappas.; Dvār. 495,9;497,26;504,19;505,5); AMg. *riṭṭha* (a precious stone; Jiv. 218; Rāyāp. 29; Vivāhap. 212. 1146; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.)=*ariṣṭa*, Pāli *ariṭṭha*, AMg. *riṭṭhaga* (Nāyādh. §61; Uttar. 980), *riṭṭhaya* (Ovav.)=*ariṣṭaka*, *riṭṭhāmaya*=*ariṣṭamaya* (Jiv. 549; Rāyāp. 105), beside *ariṭṭha* (a tree; Pannav. 31). Cf. *ariṣṭātā*. Probably to this group belongs also M. AMg. JM. Mg.A. *to*, which the grammarians and, with them, S. GOLDSCHMIDT², connect with *ta*-, but which could better be derived from *ātas*.

i. WINDISCH, ZDMG. 49,285 against whose explanation goes Pkt.—? Prākṛtīca p. 22.

§143. The initial vowel very frequently drops off in enclitic words under the influence of loss of accent. When the words are not used enclitically the vowel is retained. Thus : *api* after an *anusvāra* becomes *pi*, after a vowel, *vi*, as PG. *anne vi*=*anyāñ api* (5,6), *amhehi vi*=*asmābhīrapi* (6,29); M. *maranāñ pi* (H. 12), *tām pi* (G. 430), *cadulāñ pi* (R. 2,18), *ajja vi*=*adyāpi* (H. s.v. *vi*), *taha vi*=*tathāpi* (R. 1,15), *nimmalā vi*=*nirmalā api* (G. 72), *amhe vi*=*asme api* (H. 232), *appavaso vi*=*alpavāśo'pi* (H. 265). So also in the other dialects. At the beginning of a sentence *a* remains: PG. *api* (6,37), M. AMg. JM. Ś. *avi* (R.; Āyār. 1,8,1,10; Dasav. 632,42; Kk. 270,46; Mṛcch. 46,5;57,6;70,12;82,12; Śak. 49,8; often in *avi a* and *avi nāma*), so in verses after *m*, when a short vowel is wanted, as AMg. *muhuttam avi* (Āyār. 1,2,1,3), *kālagam avi* (Kappas. Th. 13,3), and when in AMg. -ām remains against the rule (§68); further in AMg. JM. *puñar api* (§342) and in AMg. JM. *jāvi*=*ja+api*=*cāpi* (e.g. Āyār. 1,1,1,5;1,1, 5,3; Sūyag. 120; Uvās.; Kappas.; Āv. 8,13; Erz. 34,15), in cases like M. Ś. *keñāvi* (H. 105; Vikr. 10,12 [to be read as such]), JM. Ś. *tenāvi* (Erz. 10,25;17,17;22,9; Mālatim. 78,8), Ś. *eṭtikenāvi* (Śak. 29,9); Ś. Mg. *mamāvi* (Mṛcch. 65,19; Śak. 9,13;19,3;32,3;50,4; Mṛcch. 140,1); Ś. Mg. *tavāvi* (Mālatim. 92,4; Mṛcch. 124,20); AMg. *khanam avi*=*ksaṇam api* (Nāyādh. § 137); JM. *evam avi* (Āv. 16,24); JM. *sajalam avi* *jīvaloyam*

(Kappas. § 44); M. *piāttaneñāvi*=**priyātvanenāpi* (H. 267); Š. *jīvid-savvassenāvi*=*jīvitasarvasenāpi* (Šak. 20,5). In all such cases an special emphasis is to be laid on the word standing before *avi*¹. On AMg. *app* see § 174.—After anusvāra *iti* becomes *ti*, after vowels, *tti*; and long vowels are shortened before it (§ 92) : PG. *ca tti=cet* (6,37); *jīviañ ti=jīvitam iti* (R. 5,4), *nāthi tti=nāstīti* (G. 281); AMg. *inām ti=enad iti* (Āyār. 1,3,1,3), *anupariyāttai tti=anuparivartata iti* (Āyār. 1,2,3,6); Š. *laheam̄ ti=labheyam iti* (Šak. 13,9), *pekkhadi tti=preksata iti* (Šak. 13,6). So in all the dialects. On AMg. *i* see § 93, on M. *ia*, AMg. JM. *īya* at the beginning of a sentence see § 116, on AMg. *icc* § 174. In M. AMg. JM. after anusvāra and short vowels *iva* becomes *va*, after long vowels which are shortened (§ 92), in verses sometimes even after short vowels it becomes *vva* : M. *kamalum̄ va=kamalam iva* (G. 668), *uaasa va+udakasyeva* (H. 53), *pakkhehi va=pakṣair iva* (H. 218), *ālānakkhambhesu va=ālānastambhesu iva* (R. 3,1), *mahumahenā vva=madhumathaneva* (H. 425), *samūsasanti vva=samucchasanti* (H. 625), *dāru vva=dārviva* (H. 105); AMg. *pūñcham̄ va=puccham iva* (Uvās. 94); JM. *puttam̄ va=putram iva* (Erz. 43,34), *kanagam̄ va=kanakam iva* (Kk. 258,23). Š. Mg. do not know the word but substitute *via* for it (Vr. 12,24). In M. AMg. JM. occurs also *iva* : M. (G.); AMg. *tankāñ iva* (Sūyag. 198), *meham̄ iva=megham iva* (Uvās. §102); cf. §345; JM. *kiñnarō iva* (Āv. 8,28), *tiñam̄ iva=tñam̄ iva*, *vammaho iva=manmatha iva* (Erz. 24, 34;84,21). On A. *jiða*, M. AMg. JM. P. *piva*, *viva*, *miya* see §336.

1. BOLLESEN, Vikr. p. 156f. wrongly rejects the writings of this sort.

§ 144. In Š. Mg. *idāñim* is often used enclitically in the weakened sense of “well”, “now”, “then”, and then it becomes *dāñim* (Hc. 4,277.302). Š. *vārādo dāñim ahañ=iyāpṛta idāñim aham* (Mṛcch. 4,24), *jo dāñim...so dāñim* (Mṛcch. 6,4,8;147,16,17), *kiñ khu dāñim=kiñ khalu idāñim* (Mṛcch. 13,3), *ko dāñim so=ka idāñim sah* (Mṛcch. 28,13), *añanitarakaraniāñ dāñim āñavedu ajj=anantarakaraniyam idāñim ājñāpāyalu āryah* (Hc. 4,277=Šak. 2,5); Mg. *āyūvā dāñim śamvuttā=āyūvikedanāñ śamvuttā* (Mṛcch. 37,6), *še dāñim, ke dāñim*, (Mṛcch. 37,19,25), *ēttha dāñim* (Mṛcch. 162,18), *tośide dāñim bhātṭā=tośita idāñim bhartā* (Šak. 118,1). In other dialects this use is rare, as PG. *ēttha dāñi* (5,7); M. *annam dāñim bohim=anyām idāñim bodhim* (Hc. 4,277), *kiñ dāñi* (H. 390), *to dāñi* (R. 11,121). In the beginning of a sentence and when stress is to be laid on the meaning “now” the *i* is retained in Š. Mg.¹ too: *idāñim* (Mṛcch. 50,4; Šak. 10,2;18,1;25,3;56,9;67,6;77,6;87,1;139,2; Vikr. 21,12;22,14;24,1;27,4 etc. (read so everywhere). M. hardly uses the word, but it employs *inhim̄*, *ēñhim̄*, *ēttahe*, that are quite foreign to Š. and Mg. AMg. JM. JS. have *iyāñim̄*, *idāñim̄* even inside sentences (e.g. Āyār. 1,1,4,3; Uvās. §66; Ovav. §86,87; Āv. 16,14;30,10;40,5; Pav. 384,60), metrically also AMg. *iyāñi* (Dasav. N. 653,40).

1. CAPPELLER, Jenaer Literaturzeitung 1877, p. 125, who in his edition of Ratn. rightly maintained the distinction.

§ 145. An initial *a* generally drops in the sing. indic. pres. of the root *as* “to be” in the first and second persons when the forms are used enclitically : AMg. JM. *mi* (§ 498), M. JM. Š. *mhi*, *si*, Mg. *smi* [text *mhi*], *si*. Thus for example AMg. *vañcio mi tti=vañciito smi* (Uttar. 116); JM. *viddho mi tti=viddhō smi* (Āv. 28,14); M. *thia mhi=shtitāsmi* (H. 239); Š. *iañ mhi=iyamasmi* (Mṛcch. 3,5; Šak. 1,8; Ratn. 290,28 [read so]; Nāgāñ. 2,16 [and so on]; Pārvatip. 1,18 [and so on]; Mg. *kilante smi=klānto smi* (Mṛcch. 13,10). cf. § 85,96.—M. *ajja si=adyāsi* (H. 861), *tam̄ si=tvam̄ asi* (G.H.R.), *diñthā si=dr̄stāsi* (R. 11,129) *mūdho si* (G. 487); JM. *kā si* (Erz. 4,8,12), *mukko si=mukto si* (Kk. 266,25),

tam si=tvam asi (Rsabhap. 15); *paccādi̤tho si=pratyādiṣṭo 'si* (Mṛcch. 5,3), *pucchedā si=prastāsi* (Mṛcch. 28,21), *dāniṁ si* (Mṛcch. 91,18), *sarīraṁ si* (Mālav. 38,5); Mg. *sante si kilante si=śrānto 'si klānto 'si* (Mṛcch. 13,7), *esā si=eṣāsi* (Mṛcch. 17,1). — *atthi=asti* is never used enclitically as it is generally employed in the pregnant sense=“there is”, “it actually exists” and remains, whilst as copula are used M.AMg. JM. *hoi*, JS. *hodi*, S. Mg. *bhodi=bhavati*, as already pointed out by LASSEN, Inst. p. 193. On AMg. *namo 'tthu nām* see § 175.498, on M.JM. *kim tha* § 175, on AMg.JM.S. Mg. Dh. *nām=nūnām* § 150.

§ 146. Final *a* has dropped in the ins. sing. of *a-* stems in A.: *aggīē=agnikena*, *vāem=vātēna* (Hc. 4,343,1); *em̄ ciñher̄=ena ciñrena* (Vikr. 58,11); *kohēm=krodhena* (Pingala 1,77^a); *dai̤vēm=daiyēna* (Hc. 4,333.342); *dai̤vēm=daivena* (C. 4,331); *pahārem=prahārena* (Vikr. 65,4); *bhamantēm=***bhramantēna=bhramatā* (Vikr. 58,9;69,1;72,10); *rūem=rūpeṇa* (Pingala 1,2^a); *sahajēm=sahajena* (Pingala 1,4^a). In the ins. sing. of *i-* and *u-* stems, *ā*, after its transition into *a*, (§100) has dropped off likewise: *aggim*, beside *ā*, from *aggīnā=agninā* (Hc. 4,343). On *m̄* from *n* see §348. In A. *a* has decayed in the absolute in *-i* from *-ia=ya*, as *daī=S. daīa* (§ 594).

§ 147. The flexion of *stri* shows that originally the word was disyllabic. In AMg. JS. JM.S. *itthī* (Hc. 2,130; examples under § 97. 160), AMg. JM. *itthiyā* (Dasav. 628,2; Dvār. 507,2; Āv. 48,42); S. *itthiā* (e.g. Mṛcch. 44,1,2;148,23; Vikr. 16,9;24,10;45,21;72,18; Mālav. 39,2; Prab. 17,8 [read so]; 38,5;39,6 etc.), also A. (Mṛcch. 148,22), Mg. *istiā* (§ 310)=*strikā*, the *i* is, therefore, the remnant of an old vowel, as correctly noticed by JOHANSSON¹. In M. *itthī* is rare and it is only in the later-day poets that it is visible (Acyutaś. 15; Pratāp. 220,9; Sāhityad. 178,3), *itthiājāna* (Śukasaptati 81,5), as also Vr. 12,22 correctly requires *itthī* for S.². In AMg. we also find, mostly in verses, *thī* (Hc. 2,130; Āyār. 1,2,4,3; Uttar. 482,483.485) and *thiyā=strikā* (Sūyag. 285) used; yet the usual form even in verses is AMg. *itthī*. A. also has *thī* (Kk. 261,4).

1. Shāhbāzgarhi 1,149. But his etymology is not correct.; Correct BEZZENBERGER, GN. 1878, 271ff.—2PISCHEL on Hc. 2,130; cf. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 26,745 WEEBER, H.² p. 454.

b).—DROPPING OFF OF VOWELS

§ 148. Unaccented vowels, especially *a*, are sometimes pushed out, in the interior of words: *katta* “wife” (Triv. 1,3,105; cf. BB. 3,251) =*kālatra*, **kaltra*; AMg. *piusijā=pitṛsvasikā* from **piusasiyā* (Hc. 1,134; 2,142), M. *piussiā* (Mk. fol. 40), AMg. *piussiāyā* (Vivāgas. 105; Dasav. 627,40), AMg. *māusijā* (Hc. 1,134;2,142; Pāiyāl. 253; Vivāgas. 105 [text *māsiyā*; correctly the commentary]; Dasav. 627,39 [text *māu siu tti*], M. *māussiā* [Mk. fol. 40; MS. *māussāā*]=*mātṛsvasikā*. M. *piuccā*, *māuccā* (Hc. 1,134;2,142; Mk. fol. 40; Pāiyāl. 253; H.), AMg. *piuccā* (Nāyādh. 1299.1300.1348), S. *māducchaa*, *māducchiā* (Karp. 32,6. 8; cf. v.l.) presuppose a change of *sa* into *cha* according to §211. *pūphā*, *pūpphiā=pitṛsvasā* (Deśin. 6,52; Pāiyāl. 253) remain unexplained notwithstanding BÜHLER, ZDMG. 43,146; E. KUHN. KZ. 33,478f., because the dropping off of *i* is without an analogy. M. *pōphala=pūgaphalā* from **pūghala*, **pūphala* (§ 125.127; Hc. 1,170; Karp. 95,1), beside AMg. *pūyaphala* (Sūyag. 250), M.S. *pōphalī=pūgaphalī* (Hc. 1,170; Śukasaptati 123,9; Viddhaś. 75,2 [text *pōphallī*]); AMg. *sanapphajā=sānakhapada* Sūyag. 288.822; Thān. 322; Pañnav. 49; Pañhāv. 42; Uttar. 1075)¹;

AMg. *subbhi=surabhi'* (Āyār. 1,6,2,4;1,8,2,9;2,1,9,4;2,4,2,18; Sūyag. 409. 590; Thān. 20; Samav. 64; Panñav. 8.10ff.; Panñav. 518.538; Vivāhap. 29.532.544; Uttar. 1021.1024), according to which has been formed *dubbhi*, which mostly occurs beside it. Vivāhap. 29 has *subbhi durabhi*, Āyār. 1,5,6,4 has *surabhi durabhi* side by side. In *khu, hu=khālu* (§ 94) the dropping off of *a* is explained through **khlu*, by the enclitic use of *khālu*. AMg. *uppīm* (e.g. Thān. 179.492; Vivāgas. 117.216.226.227.235. 253; Vivāhap. 105.199.233.250.410.414.797.846; Jiv. 439.483 etc.) presupposes the accentuation **úpari* or **upari*', whilst *upári* becomes M. JM. S. *uvāri*, M.AMg. JM. *uvārim*, Mg. *uvāli*, M. also *avarim* (§ 123).—ā has disappeared in JM. *bhāujjā=bhrātrjāyā* (Deśin. 6,103; Āv. 27,18 [read so; see v.l.]).—i has dropped off in M.S. *majjhānna=mādhyāndina* (Vr.3,7; Hc. 2,84; Ki. 2,54; Mk. fol. 21; H. 839 [read so]; Mālav. 27,18; Nāgān. 18,2; Mallikām. 67,7; Jivān. 42,20 [cf. also ^o*nha* 46,10,17]), Mg. *māyyhānna* (text *majjhānna*; Mrcch. 116,6; Mudrār. 175,3), *māyyhānñika* (Mrcch. 117,14), beside S. *mājjāndīna* (Śak. 29,4). The grammarians derive *majjhānna* from *madhyāhna*, and they have been followed by their European disciples. BLOCH² has characterized the form as false, that has been rightly rejected by WACKERNAGEL³; however, he himself quite wrongly explains the development of the form through the process of disinclination, allowing two aspirates to follow one another, since disinclination is unknown in Pkt. (§214).—u has dropped in AMg. JM. *isattha=iṣuśāstra* (Samav. 131; Panñav. 322 [text *i°*]; Ovav. § 107; Erz. 67,1,2)⁴; AMg. *challuja=sadulūka* (Thān. 472; Kappas. Th. §6 [read so], with shortening of *ü* of *ülüka*, according to §80; JM. *dhiyā*, S.Mg. *dhidā* (Prākṛtamañjari on Vr. 4,33 *dhidā ca duhitā matā*) mostly in the compounds JM. *dāśedhīyā*, S. *dāśedhīdā*, Mg. *dāśedhīdā* (§ 392)=**duhīidā* for *duhitīdā*; M. AMg. JM.S. *sunhā* (Hc. 1,261; H.; Āyār. 1,2,1,1;2,2,1,12; Sūyag. 787; Antag. 55; Jiv. 355; Nāyādh. 628.631.633.634.647.660. 820.1110; Vivāgas. 105; Vivāhap. 602; Āv. 22,42; Bālar. 168,5 [read thus], M. *sōñhā* with *o* according to § 125 (Vr. 2,47; Ki. 2,91; Mk. fol. 39; H.), in Kāleyak. 14,7 also in S. [text *sohnā*]=*snusā*, from P. *sunusā* (§ 139), **sunuhā* (§ 263)⁵; so also AMg. *sunhatia=snusātva* (Vivāhap. 1046), beside AMg. *nhusā* (Sūyag. 377) and S. *susā* (Hc. 1,261; Bālar. 176,15 [correct ?]).—ū has dropped off in *ohala, o'kkhala*, AMg. *ukkhala=udukhala* (§ 66), which presupposes an accentuation other than *ulū'khala*⁷. On *etto, anno* see § 197.

1. The texts often write the word falsely. KZ. 34,573 I have blundered in considering the word an *avyayibhāva*. JACOBI, KZ. 35,57¹ rightly rejects this, but he forgets to note that the word is a bahuvrihi, through which, in fact, it is not altered.—2. Vr. and Hc. p. 33f.—3. KZ. 33,57⁵; Altind. Gr. §105, note; §108, note.—4. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. and JACOBI Erz. s.v. explained the word with *isvara*, that is factually and linguistically impossible, Abhayadeva on Panñav. 322 rightly equates it as=*isuśāstra* Cf. §117 HOEFER, De Prākṛita dialecto p. 61 and BARTHOLOMAE, ZDMG. 50,693 reject the derivation of the word from *duhitā* from √ *dhai*, BOLLENSSEN on Mālav. p. 172 derives the word from another *duhidā=duhitā*, wherein ī remains unexplained.—6. Wrongly JACOBI, word from another *duhidā=duhitā*, wherein ī remains unexplained.—6. Wrongly JACOBI, Erz. p. xxxii, note 3, has understood the word *sunhā* to have developed from *phusā* through metathesis. AMg. proves that *phusā* was not improper. Cf. also E. KUHN, KZ. 33,479. In Ki. 2,91 there occurs also *nōhā* beside *soñhā*.—7. Wrongly JACOBI, ZDMG. 47,582; PISCHEL, KZ. 34,573f.

i) DROPPING OFF AND WEAKENING OF SYLLABLES.

149. Disappearance of the syllable *ya* occurs in M. A. *atthamana=astamāyana* (H.; Hc. 4,444,2), the form has crossed also into Skt. as *astamana*; A. *nimma=niyamā* (Piṅgala 1,104.143) with reduplication of *ma* according to §194; *nīsañi, nīsañiā* (ladder; Deśin. 4,43)

=*nihśrayanī*, *nihśrayanikā*, beside AMg. *nisseṇī* (Āyār. 2,1,7,1; 2,2,1,6).—va has dropped in *ada=avaīd* (Hc. 271; Pāiyāl. 130); AMg. JM. *em=evām* in AMg. *em ee=evam ete* (Thān. 576. 579; Dasav. 613,9). JM. *emāi=evamādi* (Erz.; Sagara 8,12), M. *emea* (G.H.), AMg. JM. *emeva* (Hc. 1,271; Pāiyāl. 166 [*emeja*]); Āyār. 2,1,6,4,7,5; 2,5,1,11; Uttar. 442.633.804; Dasav. N.646,9; 650,28; 652,21; 660,29; 662,43; Āv. 19,37). In JM. *evadda*, *evaddaga* (so big; Āv. 45,6,7), AMg. *emahālāyā*, femin. *emahālīyā* (Vivāhap. 412.415 [femin.] 1041; Uvās. §84), *emahiddhiya* (Vivāhap. 214), *esuhuma* (Vivāhap. 119ff.; Ovav. § 140) e should not with HÖERNLE¹ be equated as=*evam*, but with WEBER² as=*iyat*, more rightly **ayat* (§153), to which AMg. *evaijā* (Vivāhap. 212.214.1103; Kappas.), *evaikhutto* (Kappas.) and the parallel *kemahālīyā* (Pannav. 599ff.; Jiv. 18,65; Anuog. 401ff; Vivāhap. 415), *kemahiddhiya*, *kemahajjuīya*, *kemahābala*, *kemahāyasa*, *kemahākkha*, *kemahāpubhāga* (Vivāhap. 211), *kemahesakkha* (Vivāhap. 887), *kevaijā* (Āyār. 2,3,2,17; Vivāhap. 17.26.209.211.239.212.734.1076ff.), *kevacirām* (Vivāhap. 180.1050; Pannav. 545ff.), *kevacirām* (Jiv. 108.128ff.) M. *keccira*, *keccirām* (R.3,30.33)³, S. *keccirām* (Mālatim. 125,2 [read so]; 278,8; Viddhaś. 18,11; 61,8; Kāleyak. 9,22), *keccireṇa* (Mālatim. 276,6) point. WEBBER has likewise already compared Vedic. *īvat*; Vedic *kīvat* stands in the same relation to *keva-*. Cf. also §153.261.434. Dropping off of *va* occurs in *kalera* (rib; Deśin. 2,53; Triv. 1,3,105) from *kalēvara=kalebara*⁴. An isolated case is *duggāvī=durgādevi* (Hc. 1,270).

1. Uvās. s.v. *emahālāyā*.—2 Bhag. I, 422.—3 S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākrītika' p.23, note 1.—4 FISCHER, BB. 6,95.

§ 150. Dropping off of the initial syllable occurs in AMg. JM. S. Mg. Dh. Ā. *nañ=nūnām* (Hc. 4,283.302; e.g. Āyār. 1,6,3,1; 1,7,1,5,3,1,4,1,2,6, 1,3 etc.; Ovav. §2ff.; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Nirāyāv.; Kappas.; Āv. 15,3; 16,17; 17,12; Erz.; Kk.; Mṛcch. 4,12; 17,22; 23,10; Śak. 3,4; 27,5; 37,7; Mg. Mṛcch. 12,16; 22,5; 31,2; 81,15; Dh. Mṛcch. 32,23; Ā. Mṛcch. 103,10,13). The usual derivation of the word from *nānā¹*, which Hc. 4,283 even has, fails because of the accent, since *nāñ* in S. Mg. Dh. is used even in the beginning of a sentence and, therefore, was not always an enclitic. To regard AMg. *ṇāñ*, with WEBER², as the remnant of an old pronominal stem *na* and separate it from the *nām* of the dramas is impossible, because their use in other respects is similar. Further, AMg. sometimes employs *nūnam* exactly like *nāñ*; e.g. *se nūnam* (Uvās. §118.173.192) as against *se nām* (Āyār. 2,3,1,17ff.). Sometimes *nūnam* as well stands at the beginning of a sentence, e.g. JM. *nūñam gahena gahia tī tena tie mamañ dinnā* (Āv. 12,28); S. *nūñam esa de attagado manoradho* (Śak. 14,11); Mg. *nūnam..*, *takkemi* (Mṛcch. 141,1), as otherwise in S. Mg. *nāñ*. That in AMg. JM. *ṇāñ* is always written with cerebral *na* (§224) is also an argument for its having been originally used within a word, although it may have its source in the enclisis.—M. *dhillā=śithilā* (§194; Karp. 8,5; 70,8) as in Modern Indian³, beside *sadhila*, *sidhila* (§ 115). Identical with it is *dhēlla* (poor; Deśin. 4,16), with *e* for *i* according to §119. Dropping off of the final syllable occurs in *oora* (elephant-trap; Deśin. 1,49)=**āvapata*, AMg. *oā* (Āyār. 2,1,5,4), beside *oā* (Deśin. 1,166)=*avapāta*; *kisala=kisdlaya* (Hc. 1,269); cf. *pisalla* (§232); M. AMg. JM. *je*, A. *ji* for *jēva=eva* (§336); M. *dā* for *đāva=tāvat iu mā dā* (R. 3,10,27) against the dialect (§185); Mg. *ghađukka=ghađotikaca* (Mṛcch. 29,20). In *sahia=sahṛdaya* (Hc. 1,269) we should not assume dropping off of a syllable. The word is=**sahṛd* with a regular descent to the *a*-declension. Likewise is *hia* (Mk. fol. 33), AMg. *hiyā* (Āyār. 1,1,2,5)=*hṛd*, Mg. *hadakka* (§194)=**hṛdaka*.

1. LASSEN, Inst. p. 173; BOHTLINGK on Śak. 4,4 p 149, etc.—2 Bhag. I, 422 ff.—3 FISCHER on Hc. 1,89.

k) SAMPRASARANA

§151. Samprasārana takes place in Pkt. in the same cases as in Skt.; *ya* in an unaccented syllable becomes *i*, and *va* becomes *u*: S. *itthi*=*iṣṭi* from *yaj* (Śak. 70,6); M. *utta*=*upta* from *vap* (G); M. AMg. JM. *sutta*=*supta* from *svap* (H; Kappas.; Erz.). Pkt. has, however, this change of sound also in a number of cases in which Skt. shows *ya* and *va*. Thus *ya* becomes *i*: AMg. *abhintara*=*abhyantara* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.); AMg. JM. *tirikkha*=**tiryakṣa* from *tiryak* (Thān. 121.336; Sūyag 298; Bhag.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); M. S. A. *tiricchi* (Hc. 2,143; 4,295; Karp. 37,5; Mallikām. 74,2 [text **ra*]); Hc. 4,414, 3.420,3), Mg. *tiliści* (Hc. 4, 295 [so it is to be read]); S. *tiriccha* (Bālar. 68,14; 76,19; 246,9; Viddhaś. 34,10; 124,3); AMg. *vitiriccha* (Vivāhap. 253); AMg. *pañinīya*=*pratyanika* (Ovav. §117; *viaṇa*=*vyajana* (Vr. 1,3; Hc. 1,46; Ki. 1,2; Mk. fol. 5); M. *vilia*=*vyalika* (Hc. 1,46; H.); in future such as *kāhimi* from **karsyāmi*, *dāhimi* from **dāsyāmi*, and the endings *-ihisi*, *-ihii* in M. AMg. JM. (§520ff.). On *bāhiṁ* see: §181. In AMg. sometimes even the secondary *ja*, which has taken the place of some other consonant, becomes *i*: *ārija* beside *āyariyā*=*ācāryā* (§81.134), *rāinna* for **rāyan̄a*=*rājanyā* (Thān. 120; Sam. 232; Vivāhap. 800; Ovav.; Kappas.).¹ *ja* has become *i* in AMg. *viikkanta*=*vyatikrānta* (Āyār. 2,15,2,25 [text *vi*]); Nāyādh.; Kappas. s.v. *viikkamīta*; Uvās. s. v. *vaikkanta*); *viivayamāṇa*=*vyativrajamāṇa* (Nāyādh.; Kappas.); *vīvaittā*=**vyativrajito* (Ovav.).² For *yā* has developed *i* in *thīṇa*, *thīṇa*=*styāna* (Hc. 1,74; 2,33,99), beside *thīṇa*, M. *thīṇaa* (R.).

1. So more correctly than in KZ. 34,570; falsely JACOBI, KZ. 35,573. The frequent interchange of *ya* and *i* in Jaina manuscripts need not be assumed here, because the word is always written with *i*, and for *āriya* the change to *i* has been explicitly taught by the grammarians — 2. From the v.l. I feel assured to admit that it is *ii*, and not *ii* or *va* that is to be written. The lengthening of the second *i* is explained according to §70.

§152. *va* is changed to *u*, before double consonants also to *o* (§125) AMg. *aīnsōttha*, *assōttha*, *āsōttha*=*āśvasthā* (§74); *gaūā*=*gavayā*, femin. *gaūā* (Hc. 1,54.158; 2,174; 3,35); A. *jāū*=*yāvat*, *tāū*=*tāvat* (Hc. 4,406.423,3; 426, [read *jāū*]); M. A. *turia*=*tovaritā* (Vr. 8,5; Hc. 4,172; G.H.R.; Piṅgala 1,5), AMg. JM. *turiya* (Pāīyal. 173; Vivāhap. 949; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.), S. *turida* (Mṛcch. 40,24; 41,12; 170,4; Ratn. 297, 12; Venīs. 22,20; Mālatīm. 284,11; 289,6 etc.), Mg. *tulida* (Mṛcch. 11,21; 96,18; 97, 1,98; 1,2; 117,15; 133,11; 171,2; Candak. 43,8), A. D. Ā. *turia* (Vikr. 58,4; Mṛcch. 99,24; 100,3,11); *visūm*=*viśvok* (Hc. 1,24.43,52); M. *suaī*, *suvāī*, JM. *suīyāī*=**svapāti*=*svapiti*, JM. S. *suvāmī*, A. *suahī* (§497), AMg. *suvína*, *sumīna*, A. *suīna*=*svápna* (§177) with reference to the verb; M. S. *soṄthī*=*svasti* (Ki. 2,148; H.; Mṛcch. 6,23; 25,4; 54,11.19; 73,18; Vikr. 15,16; 29, 1; 44,5 e.c.), *soṄthivāḍā*=*svastivācāna* (Vikr. 43,14; 44,13), *soṄthivāṇāna* (Vikr. 26,15), AMg. *soṄthīja*=*svastika* (Pañhāv. 283.286; Ovav.); AMg. *souṇīja*=*śauvanika* (dog-keeper; Sūyag. 714; but *sovāniya* 721). Also secondary *va*, which has first arisen in Pkt., sometimes becomes *u* through ablaut in the prefix *upa* (§155); further in A. *nāū* from **ūṇāvām*=*nāma* (Hc. 4, 426,1). Secondary *u* is sometimes also raised, as in *sovāī*, JM. *sovēṇī*, *sovū*, A. *soevā*; *sovāna*, AMg. *osovāṇī*, *sovāṇī* from *svap* (§78.497); AMg. *sovāga*=*śuapāka*, *sovāgi*=*śuapāki* (§78), and *o* arising from *u* is lengthened, as in M. *soṇāra*=*svarnakāra* (§66). — *vā* appears as *ū* in the absolute in PG. M. AMg. JM. *-tūṇām*, P. *-tūṇām*, M. AMg. JM. *-ūṇām*, M. AMg. JM. *-tūṇa*, P. *-tūṇa*, JS. *-dūṇa*, M. AMg. JM. *-ūṇa*=*Vedic tvāṇam*, as in PG. *kātūṇām*, P. *kātūṇām*, AMg. JM. *kātūṇām*, JS. *kādūṇa* M,

JM: *kāūna*=**kartvānam*, **kartvāna* (§ 584 ff.). On *do*, *du* apparently= *dvi*, see §435.

§ 153. Under the law of *samprasāraṇa* falls also the change of *aya* into *e* and of *ava* into *o*. Thus *aya* becomes *e* in verbs of the 10th class, causatives and similarly formed denominatives, such as PG *anuvaṭṭhāveti*=*anuprasthāpayati*, AMg. *thāvei*, M. AMg. JM. *thavei*=*sthāpayati* (§51f.); M. AMg. *kahei*, Mg. *kadhedī*=*kathayati*, S. *kadhedū*=*kathayatu* (§490); S. *sidalāvedī*=*śitalayati* (§559). Further in cases like M. *nei*, JM. *nei*=*nayati*, S. *nedu*=*nayatu* (§474); M. JM. *dei*, S. *dedī*=**dayati*, Mg. *dedha*=**dayata* (§474); AMg. *terasa*, A. *terāha*=**trayadaśa*=*trayodaśa* (§443), AMg. JM. *tevisām*, A. *teisa*=**trayavīṁsi*=*trayoviṁsi*; AMg. JM. *te titiṣām*, *titiṣām*=*trayastrīṁśat* (§445); AMg. *nissenī*=*niḥśrayāni* (§149).—AMg. *lenā*=*layana* (Sūyag. 658; Thān. 490,515; Panhāv. 32,178,419; Vivāhap. 361 f. 1123. 1193; Ovav.; Kappas.).—M. S. A. *ēttīa* (Hc. 2,157; G. H.; Mrcch. 41,19; 60,12; 77, 10,24; Vikr. 45,4; Mālav. 26,10; Mālatīm. 82,9; Uttarar. 18,2; 66,1; 72,6; Hc. 4,341,2), JM. *ēttīya* (Āv. 18,6; Erz.), S. Mg. *ēttīka* (Śak. 29,9; 59, 3; 70,10; 71,14; 76,6; Vikr. 25,7; 46,8; 84,9; Mg. Mrcch. 125,24; 165,14; Śak. 114,11), *ittīa* (Hc. 2,156) can neither with LASSEN¹ be referred directly to **ati* nor with S. GOLDSCHEIMDT² with the support of Hc. directly to *iyat*, but it presupposes one **ayat*, from which was derived **ayattyā*, with a separation-vowel **ayattiya*, corresponding to Skt. *ihatyā*, *kvatyā*, *tatrātyā*. In the same way M. S. A. *kēttīa* (Hc. 2,157; H.; Mrcch. 72,6; 88,20; Vikr. 30,8; Hc. 4,383,1), JM. *kēttīya* (Erz.)=**kayattyā*, **kayattyā* from the stem *kaya-*. So we must explain *e-* and *ke-* also in the first member of compounds in AMg. M. S. (§149). Analogous formations are M. *jēttīa* (Hc. 2,157; G.H.R.), Mg. *yēttīka*, *yēttīa* (Mrcch. 132,13; 139,11); *jittīa* (Hc. 2,156), M. Mg. A. *tēttīa* (Hc. 2,157; G.H.R.; Mrcch. 139,12; Hc. 4,395,7), Mg. *tēttīka* (Mrcch. 132,14); *tittīa* (Hc. 2,156). Derivatives are *ēttīla*, *kēttīla*, *jēttīla*, *tēttīla* (Hc. 2,157), JM. *ēttīlliya* (Āv. 45,7), A. *ēttīla*, *kēttīla*, *jēttīla*, *tēttīla* (Hc. 4, 435).

1. Inst. p. 125.—2. Prākṛtica p. 23.

§154. *ava* becomes *o* through *aū*, e.g. in M. *oaraṇa*=*avataraṇa* (G.H.); M. *oāra* (G.H.), S. *odāra* (Śak. 21,8), beside *avadāra* (Vikr. 21,1)=*avatāra*, S. *odarādi*=*avatārati*, Mg. *odala*=*avatara* (§477); JS. *ōggaha*=*avagraha* (Pav. 381,21); AMg. *oma*=*avama* (Thān. 328; Uttar. 352,768,918), *ənōma*=*anavama* (Āyār. 1,3,2,3), *omāna*=*avamāna* (Uttar. 790), *vodāṇa*=*vyaavadāna* (Sūyag. 523), and so very frequently in all the dialects in the prefix *ava* (Vr. 4,21; Hc. 1,172; Mk. fol. 35); AMg. *osā*=*avaśyā* (Sūyag. 829; Uttar. 311; Dasav. 616,21), also *ussā* for *ōssā* (Thān. 339; Kappas. S. §45; v.l. *osā*, as should read be everywhere), *bahuosa* (Āyār. 2,1,4,1), *apposa* (Āyār. 1,7,6,4; 2,1,1,2); M. S. *osāa*=*avaśyā* (R. Vikr. 15,11 [read so with v. l. and the Drāviḍa recension 625,11, ed. PISCHEL]); M. AMg. JM. *ohi*=*avadhi* (H.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); AMg. *jonijā*=*yavanikā* (Vivāhap. 792; Ovav.; Nāyādh.), but *javanya* (Panhāv. 41; Pannav. 58), *javaniyā* (Kappas.); M. S. *nomāliā*=*navamālikā* (Hc. 1,170; H.; Mrcch. 73,10; Lalitav. 560,9.17.20 [a proper noun]; Malatīm. 81,1; Śak. 9,11; 12,13; 13,3; 15,3), *nmallīā*=*navamallikā* (Vr. 1,7); M. *nohaliā*=*navaphalikā* (Hc. 1,170; Ki. 2,148 [^o*ñi*]; H.); M. AMg. JM. A. *lonā*=*lavanya* (Vr. 1,7; Hc. 1,171; Ki. 1,8; Mk. fol. 6; G.H.; Kāleyak. 14,13; Āyār. 2,1,6,6,9; 2,1,10,7; Sūyag. 337,834,935; Dasav. 614,15,16; 625,13; Āv. 22,39; Hc. 4,418,7,444,4), PG. JM. *alonā*=*alavanya* (6,32; Āv. 22,39), JM. *lonija*, *alonija* (Āv. 22,14,30,31). According to Mk. fol. 66 S. has *lavanya* only. M.AMg. JM. A. *hoi*, JS. *hodi*, S. Mg. *bhodi*=*bhavati* (§475f.).

The secondary *ava* derived from *apa* also may become *o* (Vr. 4,21; Hc. 1,172; Mk. fol. 35), as in M.JM. *osarāī=apasarati*, JM. S. *osara=apasara*, Mg. *osaladi=apasarati* (§477).—*āva* appears as *o* in M. *oli=āvali* (Hc. 1,83, who equates it as=*āli*; G.H.R.) and in *lōṇṇa=lāvanya* (Mk. fol. 6), A. also *salōṇa=salāvanya* (Hc. 4,444,4) in rhyme with *lōṇa=lavana*. According to Mk. fol. 66 S. has *lāvanya* only, and so it occurs in Śak. 158,10.

§155. According to Hc. 1,173, *upa* may become either *ū* or *o*. His examples are : *ūhasiam*, *ohasiām*, *uvahasiām=upahasitam*; *ujjhāo*, *ōjjhāo*, *uvajjhāo=upādhyāyah*; *ūāso*, *oāso*, *uvavāśo=upavāśah*. Mk. fol. 35 mentions that these do occur according to somebody (*kasyacinmate*). *ujjhāa*, that is found in poetry in JM. (Erz. 69,28;72,39), stands for **ūjjhāa* from **uujjhāda*=M. S. *uvajjhāa* (H.; Karp. 6,3; Vikr. 36,3.6.12; Mudrār. 35,9;36,4 6;37,1; Priyad. 34,14.17.21;35,15), AMg. JM. *uvajjhāya* (Āyār. 2,1,10,1;2,3,3,3ff; Samav. 85; Thān. 354f. 366.384ff; Erz.)=*upādhyāya*. There arises, therefore, *u* from *va* according to §152 and the two colliding *u*'s have been contracted into one. The same process is effective in *ūhasia* (Hc.) from **uuhasia=uvahasia=upahasita*, beside the dialectical *ūhattha* (laughter; Deśin. 1,140)=**upahasta*; further in *ūāsa* (Hc.)=**uuāsa=uvāāsa=upavāśa*; *ūnrindia* (overjoyed; Deśin. 1,141)=**upanandita*; *ū at̄ha=upavṛṣṭa* (Pāiyāl. 197); *ūsitta=upasikta* (Pāiyāl. 187)¹. Against these there occur the parallel forms with *o*, not going back to *upa*. In *ōjjhāa*, from which is derived NI. *ojhā²*, *ō* is to be explained according to §125, in AMg. *pad̄yāra=pratyupacāra*, *padoyārei=pratyupacārayai* (§163) *ō*, if the reading is correct, must be explained according to §77; in all other cases *o=ava* or *apa* according to §154, even when no corresponding word is found in Skt. Thus :—*ohasia* (Hc.)=*apahasita*, as *ohaṭṭha* (Deśin. 1,153)=**apahasta*, *oāsa* (Hc.) is=**apavāśu*; *ositta* (D. śin. 1,158)=*avasikta*. *ua* never becomes *o*, for the Pāli, M. *o* (R.), that is equated by Hc. 1,172 and most of the scholiasts to=*uta*, and by others is explained with *atha vā³*, is=Pāli *ādu⁴*, AMg. *adu* (Sūyag. 118.172.248.253.514; Uttar. 90), *adu vā* (Sūyag. 16,46.92.142; Uttar. 28.116.180.328; Samav. 82.83), *adu va* (Sūyag. 182.249; Samav 81), S. Mg. *ādu* (Mṛcch. 2,23;3,14;4,1;17,21;51,24;73,4; Mālatim. 77,3; Priyad. 30,13;37,14; Adbhutad. 53,3; Mg. Mṛcch. 21,14; 132,21;158,7), which, as sometimes *o*, is explained as=*atha vā*. *o* has, therefore, developed from **āu*, **aū*.⁵

1. In the last two examples *ū* can be equated also *as=ud*, as usually it is according to § 64.327a.—2. CROOKE, An Introduction to the Popular Religion and Folklore of Northern India (Allahabad 1894) p. 96 note. False with others, PISCHEL, GGA. 1894,419, note 1.—3. S. GOLDSCHMIDR, Rāvavāya s. v. *o*.—4. KERN, Bijdrage tot de Verklaring van eenige Woorden in Pāli-Geschriften voorkomende (Amsterdam 1886) p. 25 wrongly equates the word *as*=Vedic *ād u*. Cf. also FAUSBELL, Nogle Bemærkniger om enkelte Vanskelige Pāli-ord i Jātaka-Bogen (København 1881) p. 25ff. The words do not have something in common in meaning.—5. Falsely JACOBI ZDMG. 47,578; KZ. 35,578. Pāli *oka=udoka* is to be explained from **ukka*, **ōkka* according to 66. AMg. *adu* cannot be=*atāh* (WEBER, Bhag. 1,422; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p.36), because *ta* does not become *da* in AMg. Cf. §203.204.

1) VOCALIC SAMĀDHIS

156. Similar vowels, when they meet together in compounds, are generally contracted, as in Skt. : *a*, *ā+a*, *ā* becomes *ā*; *i*, *ī+i*, *ī=ī*; *u*, *ū+u*, *ū=ū*. PG. *mahārājādhīrājō* (5,2), *ārakhādhikate=ārakṣādhikṛtān* (5,5), *sahasrātireka=sahastātireka* (7,42), *vasudhādhīpataye=ōpatin* (7,44), *narādhamo* (7,47); M. *kaāvarāha=kṛtāparādha* (H.50); AMg. *kālākāla* (Āyār. 1,2,1,1); JM.

īngijākāra (Āv. 11,22); JŚ. *surāsura* (Pav. 379,1); Ś. *kilesānala=klesānala* (Lalitav. 562,22); Mg. *yūdialāvamāṇa=dyūtakarāvamāṇa* (Mṛcch. 39,25); A. *sāsānala=śvāsānala* (Hc. 4,395,2); M. *puhavīsa=pṛthivīśa* (H. 780); A. *āṁsūsāsahī=aśrūcchvāsaiḥ* (Hc. 4,431). So also in secondary vowels in M. *īsīsa, īsīsī=īsat+īsat* (§102). Before double consonants a long vowel is shortened according to §83: M. *uddhaccha=ūrdhvākṣa* (H. 161), *kainda=kavīndra* (Karp. 6,9); JŚ. *adindiyatta=atindriyatva* (Pav. 381,20); AMg. *gunatthī=gunārthī* (Āyār. 1,2,1,1); JM. *rattaccha=raktākṣa* (Āv. 12,27); Ś. *jammaniare=jammāntare* (Mṛech. 4,5); Mg. *aṇṇaggāmantala=anyaagrā-māntara* (Mṛcch. 13,8); PG. *aggiṭthomavājapeyassamedhīyāji* (5,1). S. also in the verse before a simple consonant in AMg. *rāyamacca=rājāmālāya* (Sūyag. 182; Dasav. 624,22). In AMg., more rarely in JM. JŚ., however, similar vowels often remain uncontracted, particularly in dvandva compounds. Thus :—*a+a*: AMg. *samaṇāhāyaaihi°=śramaṇabrahmaṇātihī°* (Āyār. 2,1,11,9; 2,2,1,2,2,8; cf. 2,10,4), *puvvāidehaavaravideha°* (Jīv. 161.174f. 210; Anuog. 396.397; Bhag.), *saāṅga=svāṅga* (Sūyag. 364), *saattha=sārtha* (Sūyag. 579), *kharapharusaasiniiddhadittaaniṭthaasubhaappiyaa Kantavaggūhi ja=kharaparūṣāsnigdhādīptāniṣṭāśubhāpriyākāntavagnubhiś ca* (Nāyād. 757), *pudhavidagaagāni°=prthyudakāgni°* (Panhāv. 353), *indañilājyusikusuma=indrāñilātasikusuma* (Ovāv. §10), *manaagutti, kājaagutti=manogupti, kāyāgupti* (Vivāhap. 1462); AMg. *suraasurā=surāsurāh*, JM. *suraasurā-maṇūyamahīyā=surāsurāmanuṣamahitāh* (Āyār. 2,15,12; Kk. 269,26); JM. *egaakkhara°=ekākṣara* (Āv. 7,27), *aīregaaṭṭhavāsa=atirekāṭṭavarṣa* (Āv. 8,9) *sayalaatthamīyājīaloa=sakalāstamitajīvaloka* (Āv. 8,22); JŚ. *savvaatthesu=sarvārtheṣu, vandanaattham=vandanārtham* (Kattig. 399.313; 402,356).—*a+ā* AMg. *akirīyāyā=akriyātmānah* (Sūyag. 410; —*a* for *ā* according to §97), *selagajakkhaaruḥana=śailakayakṣāroḥana* (Nāyād. 966).—*ā+a*: AMg. *mahā-adavi* (Nāyād. 1449), beside JM. *mahādavī* (Erz.); JM. *dhammakahā avasāṇa=dharmaκathāvāsāna* (Āv. 7,27), *mahāakkanda=mahākranda* (Dvār. 505,20).—*i+i*: AMg. *maññddhigārava=matyṛddhigārava* (Dasav. 635,38), where, therefore, the second *i* is secondary.—*u+u*: AMg. *bahuujjhīhiya-dhammīya=bahūjjihitadhārmika* (Āyār. 2,1,10,4,11,9; Dasav. 621,6), *bahuudāga=bahūdaka* (Sūyag. 565), beside *bahūdāya* (Thān. 400), *bahuuppala=bahūtpala* (Nāyād. 509), *devakuruuttarakuru°* (Jīv. 147.174.194.205.209.211; Anuog. 396), *kuruga* (Vivāhap. 425), *devakuruuttarakurāo* (Samav. 111), *kurāyāo* (Samav. 114), *suuddhara* (Dasav. 636,30), *suuijūyāra=svirūkāra* (Sūyag. 493), in which the second *u* is secondary. The vowels remain uncontracted in M. very rarely, somewhat more frequently in Ś. as M. *pavālaaṅkuraa=pravālāṅkuraa* (H. 680), *piāhara=priyādhara* (H. 827), *dhavalāaṁsua=dhavalāñśuka* (R. 9,25; cf. also the v.l.); Ś. *piañvadāaṇusūdo=priyāñvadāñnusūye* (Śak. 67,6), *puñjikadaajaüttaikitī°=puñjikātāryaputrikātī°* (Bālar. 289.20), *aggisarāṇālindīnaa=agniśarāṇālindīdaka* (Śak. 97,17), *cedīāccaṇāa=cetikārcanāya* (false dative), *pūāriha=pūjārha* (Mukund. 17,12,14). So also in A. *addhaaddha=ardhārdha, biaaddha=dvitīyārdha* (Pingala 1,6,50). In cases like Piṅgala 1,24.25 we must not assume composition, but the stem, used as nominative. Too general is Hc. 1,4.

§157. *a, ā, i, u*, with short dissimilar vowels before single consonants in compound, undergo, the same samādhi as prescribed in Skt. : *a, ā+i* becomes = *e*; *a, ā+u=o*. Thus for example M. *disebha=from disā=**disā=dig+i**bh**a (G. 148), *samādtebhāmōttīa=samādtebhāmauktīka* (G. 236), *pañcesu=pañceṣu* (Karp. 12,8; 94,8), *kisoari=kṛśodarī* (H. 309), *sāmoaa=śyāmodaka* R. 9,40.43.44), *girilulioahi=girilulitodadhi* (G. 148). Likewise in the other dialects. Contraction takes place in the case of secondary *i* and *u* as well, so

AMg. Š. *mahesi* from *mahā+isi=īsi*, M. Š. : āesi from *rāa=rāja-+isi=īsi* (§57); AMg. *savoujā* from *savva=sarva+uujā=ṛtuka=sarpartuka* (Panjhāv. 259; Samav. 237; Vivāgas. 10; Vivāhap. 791; Nāyādh. 527; Pañṇav 111; Ovav.; Kappas.), *niccouga, ṣṭa=nitrartuka* (Samav. 233; Thān. 369), *anoujā=anṛtuka* (§77; Thān. 369). In AMg. the vowels often remain uncontracted : *savvaūvarilla* (Jiv. 878f.), beside *savvupparilla* (Jiv. 879); *pādhamasamayāūvasanta=pāthamasamayopāśānta* (Panjhāv. 65); *kakkolaūśīra* (Panjhāv. 527); *āyariyāūvajjhāyā=ācārropādhyāyā* (Thān. 354f. 366.384ff.); Samav. 85); *he t̄himaūvarima* (Samav. 68; Thān. 197 [hi?]); *vōjāghānaūdahi vātaghanodadi* (Vivāhap. 102); *kanthasutāūrattha=kanthasūtrorāhīṣṭha* (Vivāhap. 791); *appaūdāyā=alpoda* (Āyār. 2,3,2,17), *diuadisāud iñpām=dvīpādīgudādīñpām* (Vivāhap. 82). *māhāudaga=mahodaka* (Uttar. 714); also in the secondary second ord vowels : *iñmīgāūsabha=iñmīgarṣabha* (Jiv. 481.492.508; Nāyādh. 721 [ha]; Ovav. §10; Kappas. §44); *khaggaūsabha=khaḍgarṣabha* (Ovav. §37). In other dialects the vowels seldom remain uncontracted, such as in JM. *paravājanāūvaghāvaga=pravacanopāgāhātaka*, *samjāmaūvaghāyā=samyamopāgāhātā* (Kk. 261,25.26); Š. *vasantūsavaūrājā=vasantotsavopājāna* (Mālav. 39,10; uncertain; cf. v.l.); and in the secondary second vowel Š. *visajjidaūsidāraa=visarjitaūsidāraka* (Uttarar. 123.10).

§158. If the second member of a compound begins with *i, u*, followed by a double consonant or with either original or secondary *ī, ū*, the final of the first member is, as a rule, discarded. (C.2,2; of Hc. 1,10). So M.A. *gaīnda=gajendra* (G.H.R.; Vikr. 54,1), A. *gaīndaa* (Vikr. 59,8;60,21;63,2); JS. Š. A. *narinda=narendra* (Kattig. 400,326; Mālatim. 206,7 [so to be read]; 292,4; Piṅgala 1,21.24), Mg. *nañlinda* (Mrcch. 40,6); AMg. Š. Mg. *mahinda=mahendra* (Thān. 266; Mālatim. 201,5; Mrcch. 128,8); AMg. JS. *devinda=devendra* (C. 2,2; Hc. 3, 162; Kattig. 400,326); AMg. *jōisinda=jyotiñendra* (Thān. 138); AMg. JM. JS. *jiñinda=jinendra* (Ovav. §37; Āv. 7,24; Erz.; Kk.; Pav. 382,42); Š. *mañnda=mrgendra* (Śak. 155,1 [to be so read]; 156,7) and so many compounds with *indra* in all the dialects (cf. §159); JM. *māñdajāla=māyendrajāla* (Āv. 8,53); AMg. *egindija=ekendriya* (Vivāhap. 100.109.144); AMg. *soindiya, ghāñindija, jibbhindiya, phasindija=srotrendriya, ghrāñendriya, jihvendriya, sparsendriya* (Thān. 300; Vivāhap. 32; Ovav. p. 40, VI; Uttar. 822), A. *jibhindia=jihvendriya* (Hc. 4,427 [so to be read]); M. *taddiñsindu=tñddiñsēndu* (G. 702); *tīsañda=tridañse* (Hc. 1,10); JM. *rāisara=rājeśvara* (Erz.); *pavvadisara=parvotēśvara* (Mudrār. 40,6;46,9;216,13); M. *kannūppala=karnoñpala* (G.760), AMg. JM. *nīlūppala*, Š. *ñilūppala=niloñpala* (Uvās. §95; Ovav. §10; KI. 18 [ñ^a]; Erz. 79,8; Priyad. 15,8;33,2;39,2); Mg. *ñahūppala=nakhoñpala* (Mrcch. 122,19); M. *khandhukkheva=skandholkhepa* (G. 1049), CP. *pātukkheva=pādoñkhepa* (Hc. 4,326); AMg. *gandhuddhūja*, A. *gandhuddhūa=gandhoddhuta* (Ovav. §2; Vikr. 64,16); JM. *rajanūjjala=rainoñjvala* (Āv. 8,4); Š. *māñdruduñveñlīda=māndamāñrutoñvelli* (Ratn. 302,31; cf. Mālatim. 76,3), *pavvadumñlīda=parvatonñlīta* (Śak. 89,13); Mg. *śavvuyyāñña=sarvōñña* (Mrcch. 113,19); M. *kaūsāsa=krtocchāsa, līdhūsa=līdhoṣa* (G. 387.536), *gamanūsua=gamanotsuka* (R. 1,6); AMg. *egūna=ekona* (§144), *pāñcūñña* (Samav. 208; Jiv. 219), *desūña* (Samav. 152.219), *bhāgūñña, koñsūña* (Jiv. 228.231); M. *gāñusava=grāmotsava* (G. 598); M. JM. Š. *māñusava=mahotsava* (Karp. 12,9; Erz., Mrcch. 28,2; Ratn. 292,9.12;293,13;295,19; 298,30; Mālatim. 29,4;119,1;142,7;218,3 etc.; Uttarar. 108,2;113,6; Candak. 93,6; Anarghar. 154,3; Nāgān. 42,4 [text °ūssa°]; 53,19; Vṛṣab. 11,2; Subhadr. 11,5.17); Š. *vasantūsava=vasantotsava* (Śak. 121,11; Vikr. 51,14; Mālav. 39,10 [so to be read]). So also in the case of secondary

second vowels : AMg. *uttamiddhi*=*uttama+rddhi* (Thān. 80), *deviddhi* (Uvās. *mahiḍḍhi* (Thān. 178), *maliḍḍhiya* (Ovav.); very seldom before a simple consonant, as in JM. *visesuvaogo*=*viśesopayoga* (Kk. 277,9) and Ā. *addhuia*=*ardhodita* (Mṛcch. 100,12).

§159. In the cases mentioned in §158 contraction takes place, sometimes, when the second member begins already in Skt. with *i*, *ū* and is followed by a simple consonant : S. *vāderida*=*vāterita* (Sāk. 12,1); M. *kariaroru*=*kariara+ūru*=*karikaroru* (H. 925), *pīnoru*=*pīna+ūru* (R. 12,16), *pāadoru*=*prakatoru* (H. 473), *valioru*=*valitoru* (G. 1161); AMg. *varoru* (Kappas. 33.35), *pivaroru*, *disāgaindoru*=*diggajendroru* (Kappas. §36), *egorūja*=*ekoruka* (Panṇav. 56), but *egūrūja* (Jiv. 345ff.; Vivāhap. 717); JM. *kari-karoru* (Erz. 16,12); Š. *mantharoru* (Mālatīm. 108,1), *pivaroru* (Mālatīm. 260,3 [so to be read]). If the first member is a prefix, the vowels in contact are always contracted: Mg. AMg. JM. *pēccha*, JS. *pēcchadi*, Š. *pēkkhadi*, Mg. *pēskadi*=*preksate* (§84); M. *aṇavekkhia*=*anapekṣita* (R.), JM. *avēkkhā* (Erz.); Š. *avēkkhadi*=*apeksate* (Sāk. 43,10; 130,2); M. *uvekkhia*=*upekṣita* (H.); M. *pēllia*=*prerita* (G.H.). Also in the case of *i*, *u* with double consonants following, contraction occurs, but only seldom without a v.l. Thus: M. *nīśārenda*=*nīśācarendra* (R. 7,59); M. Mg. *mahenda*=*mahendra* (R. 6,22; 120; Mṛcch. 133,12); M. *rakkhasenda*=*rākṣasendra* (R. 12,77); Š. *narendra*=*narendra* (Mālatīm. 90,4; 179,5); *ratiōppala*=*raktotpala* (Mṛcch. 73,12). JS. *pañcendiya*=*pañcendriya* (Pav. 388,9) must be an error. Beside it, almost always, is found also the v.l. with *i*, *u*, as for example Š. *mahinda* (Vikr. 5,10; 6,19; 8,11.13; 36,3; 83,20; 84,2) which always occurs as *mahinda* in the Bengāli recension, as it occurs also otherwise in Š. (§158)¹; for Š. *nīnnoñnda*=*nīmnonnañda* (Sāk. 131,7) we should read *nīnunñnda* with the v.l., as there stands in M. *nīnunñña* (G. 681); for Š. *uññoñha* (Sāk. 29,6), the correct form will be *uñhuñha*, for Š. *maddaloñdāma*=*mardaloddāma* (Rañ. 292,11), *maddaluddāma*². Contraction might correctly be required in cases like JM. *ahe-sara*, *khājaresara*, *naresara* (Erz.); Š. *paramesara* (Prab. 14,9; 17,2), which were probably first contracted with secondary *isara*, and certainly in Š. *puri-soñtama*, Mg. *puliñoñtama*=*puruṣottama* (§124), since as a proper noun, it has directly been taken from Skt. Otherwise one says AMg. *puriṣuttama* (Dasav. 613,40 [at the original place Uttar. 681 has *so*°]); Kappas. §16 [so it is to be read with v.l.]; Ovav. §20 [so it is to be read; cf. the following *loguttama*]].

1. In any case BOLLENSEN is in the wrong when he, on Vikr. 8,11 p. 176, considers that *mahinda* has transgressed the boundary of high Pkt., by which he means Š.—2. Explanation of ° in such cases, according to §125, does not appear likely in the face of a large number of counter-examples. Presumably in cases of this sort we have examples of Sanskritism that are to be corrected. Cf. LASSEN, Inst. p. 175, note.

§160. In AMg. *a*, *ā* is often retained, even when a double consonant follows *i*, *u*, as with simple consonants (§157; cf. §156). So AMg. *kakkejajanāindapila*=*karkatanendranīla*, *māḍambijaiibba*=*māḍambikebhya*, *koḍumbijaiibba*=*kauṭumbikebhya* (Ovav. §10.38.48); *piśāñcīndā*=*piśācendra* (Thān. 90), but *piśāndā* (Thān. 138,229); *bhūlīyañndā*=*bhūtendra* (Thān. 90), but *bhūndā* (Thān. 229), beside *jakkhinda*, *rakkhasinda*, *kiñnarinda* etc. (Thān. 90; cf. §158); *annāya-ūñcha*=*aññatoñcha* (Dasav. 636,17); *lavanasañuddāñtarāṇa*=*lavañasamudrottaraṇa* (Nāyādh. 966); *pehunatikkhevaga*=*preñkhanotkṣepaka* (Panñhāv. 533); *nāvāüssi-ñcaya*=*nāvotsiñcaka* (Āyār 2,3,2,19.20); *indiyañuddesa*=*indriyoddeśa*, *dugulla-sukumālañttarijja*=*duklasukumārottariya*, *aneñgaiñttama*=*aneñkottama*, *bhāya-ūvrigga*=*bhayodvigna*, *sohammakappañḍhaloya*=*saudharmakalpordhvaloka* (Vivāhap. 177f. 791.809.835.920); *āyāmañsseha*=*āyāmotseha* (Ovav. §10). Very

rarely the vowels remain beside one another in other dialects, as M. *panatthaüjja*=*pranaṣṭoddyota*, *khaüpā*=*khotpāta* (R. 9,77,78), *pīnathā-nāūtthambhiāñana*=*pīnastanūtthambhitāñana* (H. 294), *muhāüvṛūdha*=*mukhodvūdha* (Śak. 88,2). False is Ś. *mō ttiäuppatti*=*mauktikotpatti* (Viddhaś. 108,2), for which we should read *mō ttiuppati* [v.l. °*oppa*]; as for example M. *piāmahuppatti*=*pītāmahotpatti* (R.1,17), AMg. *an̄kuruppatti* (Pan̄nav. 848) remain, and in Prab. 17,2 Ś. *pabohō ppatti*=*prabodhotpatti* is to be corrected to *pabohuppatti*. Hiatus is the rule in all dialects in *tthi*=*stri* (§147) : AMg. *asurakumāraitthio*, *thanjīyakumāraitthijo*, *tirikkhajonjyāitthio*, *manus-saitthio*, *devaitthio* (Vivāhap. 1394); JS. *paraitthiāloa*=*parastryāloka* (Kattig. 401,344), *bhusapāitthisañsagga* (Kattig. 402,358); Ś. *anteuraüthi* (Śak. 38,5). However, there occurs also AMg. *manussitthio*, *devitthio*, beside *tirikkha-jonitthio* (Thāñ. 121); JS. *purisithi* (Kattig. 401,345).

§161. Falling out of *a*, *ā* is also to be assumed before original or secondary *e*, *o*, but not before the *e*, *o* going back to Skt. *ai*, *au*: *gāmenī* (goat; Deśin. 2,84)=*grāma+enī*; M. *navelā*=*nava+elā*, *phullelā*=*phulla+elā* (R. 1,62,63), *ukkhandiekkapāsa*=*utkhanḍitaikapārśva* (R. 5,43); Ś. *avalambiderāvanahatha*=*avalambitairāvanahasta* (Mṛcch. 68,14), *silādālekkadesa*=*śilātalakadeśa* (Śak. 56,11), *karuṇēkkamāṇa*=*karuṇaiakamanas* (Mālatim. 251,7); M. *kusumōtthaa*=*kusumāvastīta* (R. 10,36), *paḍhamosaria*=*pra-thamāpasīta* (H. 351), *bāhūmaṭṭha*=*bāṣpāvamīṣṭa* (R.5,21), *jāloli* from *jāla*—*jvāla+oli*=*āvali* (§. 154; H. 589), as *vanoḷi*=*vana+āvali* (Hc. 2,177=H. 579, where *vāṇali*), *vāōli*=*vāta+āvali*, *paholi*=*prabhā+āvali* (G. 555.1008); AMg. JM. *udaoḷla* from *udaka+oḷla*=**udra*, (§111; Dasav. 625,27; Āv. 9,3), beside AMg. *udaūlla*=*udaka+ulla* (Āyār. 2,1,6,6;2,6,2,4); AMg. *vāseṇoḷla*=*vārṣena+oḷla*=(Uttar. 673); AMg. *mālohadā*=*māla* (platform; pedestal; Deśin. 6,146)²+*ohaḍa*=*avahṛta* (Āyār. 2,1,7,1; Dasav. 620,36), *maṭṭiolitī*=*maṭṭia*=*mṛttikā+olitta*=*avalipta* (Āyār. 2,1,7,3); JM. *jaloha*=*jalaugha* (Erz. 3,26), *samīhānosappīṇī*[°]=*samīsthānāvasarīṇī*[°] (Rśabhap. 47); Ś. *guḍodāṇa*=*guḍaudana* (Mṛcch. 3,12), *vasantodāra*=*vasaniāvatāra* (Śak. 21,8), *kararuhorampā*=*kararūha+orampa* (attack; Mālatim. 261,2; so to be read; cf. Deśin. 1,171; Pāiyāl. 198). Sometimes the vowels remain beside one another, as M. *vāñdolanāñonavī*=*vāñdolanāvanamīta* (H. 637); AMg. *khuddagaegāvalī*=*ksudrakākāvalī* (Ovav. [§38]), *vippahāiyāolamba*=*vipra-bhājītāvalamba* (Ovav. §4); JM. *sabhāovāsa*=*sabhāvakāśa* (Āv. 15,12).

1. In cases like *navelā*, *jaloha*, *guḍodāṇa* one may be in doubt. The proposition is proved with the help of the compounds with secondary *e*, *o*, *o*, that have, therefore, been quoted as examples copiously.—2. Cf. JACOBI, SBE. XXII, 105 note 1.

§162. *i*, *ī*, *u*, *ū*, as a rule, do not undergo sam̄dhi with a following dissimilar vowel (Hc. 1,6) : M. *nahappahāvaliaruna*=*nakhaprabhāvalyaruna* (Hc. 1,6), *rattiandhaa*=*rātryandhaka* (H.669), *samījhāvahuavaūḍha*=*samīdhyā-vadhvavagūdha* (Hc.1,6); AMg. *jāiāriyā*=*jātyārya* (Thāñ.414), *jāiandha*=*jāty-andha* (Sūyag. 438), *satiagga*=*śaktiagra* (Dasav. 634,11), *puḍhaviū*=**pr̄thi-vyāpāḥ* (Pan̄nav. 742), *pantvahiuvaaraṇa*=*prāntopadhyupakaraṇa* (Uttar. 350), *pagaīuvasanta*=*prakṛitiyupaśānta* (Vivāhap.100,174), *puḍhaviūḍḍhaloḍa*=*pr̄thiyū-dhvaloka* (Vivāhap. 920), *kadaliūsuga*=*kadali+ūsuga* (middle, inside; cf. BOHTLINGK 2, *ūṣa* 1) b) c); Āyār. 2,1,8,12), *suahijījya*=*suadhitā* (Thāñ. 190. 191), *bahuāṭṭhījya*=*bahvastika* (Āyār. 2,1,10,5 [to be read thus] 6; Dasav. 621,4), *sāhuajījya*=*sādhvārjava* (Thāñ. 356), *sualāñkiyā*=*svalāñkṛta* (Dasav. 632,39), *kavikacchuaṅgāni*=*kāpikacchvagnī* (Pan̄hāv. 537), *bahuosa*=*bahva-jyā* (Āyār. 2,1,4,1); also in secondary second vowels, as in AMg. *suisi*=*svīsi* (Pan̄hāv. 448), *bahuiddhi*=*bahuvradhi* (Nāyādh. 990). Beside AMg. *cakkuindiyā*=*cakṣurindriya* (Samav. 17) more frequent is *cakkhindiyā*=*cakkha*

cakṣas+indriya (Samav. 69.73.77ff; Vivāhap. 32; Uttar. 822; Ovav. p. 40, VI). JM. *osappiṇiussappiṇī*⁰=*avasarpinyutsarpini*^o (Rśabhap.47), *suanuyatta*=*=svanuvṛtta* (Āv. 11,15), *meruāgāra* (7.5.8); Ś. *santiudaa*=*sāntyužaka* (Śak. 67,4), *uvarialindaa*=*uparyalindaka* (Mālatim. 72,8;187,2), *uvvasiakkhara*=*urvaśyakṣara* (Vikr. 31,11), *sarassadiuvāana*=*sarasvatyupāyana* (Mālav. 16,19), *sīdāmandavūnummilā*⁰=*sītāmāndavyūrmilā*^o (Bālar. 151,1), *dehacchavūllūñcida*=*dehacchavūllūñcita* (Prab. 45,11). Quite isolated is the dropping off of *i* in AMg. *iithattha*=*stryartha* (Dasav. 638,18) and *kiñcūna* from **kiñciūna*=*kiñcidūna* (Samav. 153; Ovav. 30,II,e), as well as of the initial *i* in AMg. *bendiya* (Thān. 275; Dasav. 615,8), *tendiyā* (Thān. 275.322), beside *beindiya*, *teindiya* (Thān. 25,122.322 [ve⁰]; Samav. 228; Vivāhap. 30.31. 93.144; Dasav. 615,8 [te⁰]=*dvindriya*, *trīndriya*. AMg. *īsāsa*=*īsvāsa* (§117) is directly derived from Skt.

§163. *i* and *u*, as the final sounds of prefixes, with the following dissimilar vowels, undergo the same saṁdhi as prescribed in Skt. The group of sounds thus arising is treated according to the rules holding good for the individual dialects. M. AMg. JM. Ś. *accanta*=*atyanta* (G.; Niraŷāv.; Erz.; Pav. 380,12;389,1; Mrcch. 60,25; Mālav. 28,13); AMg. *acei*=*atyeti* (Āyār. 1,2,1,3); AMg. JM. *ajjhovavanna*=*adhyupapanna* (§77); M. *abbhāgaa*=*abhāgata* (H.); JM. *abbhuwagacchāviya*, *abbhuwagaya*=*abhuyupagamita*, *abhuyupagata* (Āv. 30,9.10); Ś. Mg. *abbhuwavanna*=*abhuyupapanna* (Mrcch. 28,18; Vikr. 8,12; Mg. Mrcch. 175,18); M. AMg. Ś. A. *pajjatta*=*paryāpta* (G.H.R.; Uvās.; Śak. 71,7; Vikr. 25,6; Hc. 4,365,2); M. Ś. *nivvūḍha*=*nirvūḍha* (G.H.R.; Mālatim. 282,3); M. *anneśai*, Mg. *anneśati*=*anvesati* (G.; Mrcch. 12,3); JM. Ś. A. *anneśanta*=*anvesat* (Erz.; Vikr. 52,20; Mrcch. 148,7.8). The sound-groups *ty*, *ry*, especially in AMg. JM., are often divided by separation-vowels, in which case *j* disappears often in AMg. and JM., and always in the other dialects, so that the vowels, as in §162, come beside one another. M. *aīāra* (H.); JM. *aiyājara* (Erz.)=**atiyādara*=*atyādara*; AMg. *nāiūṇha*=*nātyuṣṇa* (Vivāhap.954), beside AMg. *accusīṇa* (Āyār.2,1,7,5), M. *accūṇha* (H.); M. *aiujua* (H.), Ś. *adiujua* (Ratn. 309,24; Priyad. 43,15)=*atyrujka*; AMg. *ahijāsījanti*=*adhyāyante* (Ovav.); JM. *padiyāgāja*=*pratyāgata* (Erz.), beside M. *paccāgaa* (H.), JM. *paccāgaya* (Erz.), Ś. *paccāgada* (Uttārar. 106,10); AMg. *padiyāikkhiyā*=*pratyākhyāta*, beside *paccakhāa* (§565); AMg. *padiuccdreyava*=*pratyuccārayitaya* (Vivāhap. 34); AMg. *parījāvanna*=*paryāpanna* (Āyār. 2,1,9,6.11,7.8); AMg. *paliucchūḍha*=*paryut-kṣubdhā*=(§66); M. *viola*=*vyākula* (§166). In AMg., and sometimes in other dialects, the *i* of *prati* too, is thrown out before a dissimilar vowel following it. Thus beside AMg. M. *pādiēkkā*=*pratiyeka* (Hc.2,210;R.; Nāyādh. 1224; Vivāhap. 1206; Ovav. [read so in all the places for *pādiyakka*]) also *pādikka* for **pādiēkkā* (§84; Hc. 2,210); *pādañsuā*=**pratyāśrut* (§115); *pādāyāna*=**pralyādāna* (§258); AMg. *pāducca* for **padiucca* from *vaccā*=*vrājati* (§202.590), *pāducciā*=*prātīlikā* (Thān. 38); AMg. *padūppanna*=*pra yūpāpanna* (Āyār. 1,4,1,1; Sūyag. 533; Thān. 173.174; Vivāhap. 24.78. 79.80.651; Jiv. 337.338; Anuog. 473.510ff.; Uvās.), JM. *apađuppanna* (Āv. 17,31); AMg. *pađoyāra*=*pralyavatāra* (LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. and=pratyūpacāra (§155; Vivāhap. 1235.1251), *pađoyāreu*=*pratyupacārayatu*, *pađoyāreha*=*pratyupacārayata* *pađoyāre nti*=*pratyupacārayantu*, *pađoyarijjamāṇa*=*pratyupacāryamāṇa* (Vivāhap. 1235.1251). On M. *pattīādi*, JM. AMg. *pattiāi*, Ś. Mg. *pattiāadi*, AMg. *patteya* see §281.487.

§164. A vowel, which remains after dropping off of a consonant (§186), is called *udvṛtta*¹. An *udvṛtta* vowel, as a rule, undergoes no saṁdhi with a vowel previously existing (C.2,1 p. 37; Hc. 1,8; cf. Vr. 4,1). So

M. *uaa*=*udaka* (G. H. R.²); *gaa*=*gaja* and *gata*; *paavi*=*padavī* (G.H.); *saala*=*sakala*; *anurāa*=*anurāga*; *ghāa*=*ghāta* (H. R.); *kaī*=*kati* (R.)= *kapi* (G. H. R.)=*kavi* (G. H.); *jāi*=*yadi*; *naī*=*nadi*; *gāīā*=*gāyikā* (H.); *taūsi*=*trapuṣi* (H.); *paūra*=*pracura* (H.); *piā*=*priya*; *piāma*=*priyatama*; *piāsā*=*piptāsā* (H.); *riū*=*ripu*, *juala*=*yugala*; *rūa*=*rūpa*; *sūi*=*śuci* (G. H.); *aṇea*=*aneka* (G.H.); *joaṇa*=*yojana* (R.); *loa*=*loka*. The rule holds good also for compounds of all kinds : M. *āīra*=*acira*; *āūvā*=*apūrva*; *avām̄sa*=*avatāmsa* (H.R.); *āaa*=*āyata* (H.R.); *uvaūdha*=*upagūḍha*; *paāvā*=*pratāpa*; *paīva*=*pradīpa*; *dahināmsaada*=*dakṣiṇāṁśatā* (G.104); *saān̄ha*=*sat̄ṣṇa* (H.). *golāāda*=*godātata* (H. 103); *disāala*=*diktala* (R. 1,7); *vasahaīndha*=*vṛṣabha-cīna* (G. 425); *nisiara*=*niśicara* (R.); *saurisa*=*satpurusa* (G. 992); *gandhāūdi*=*gandhakuṭī* (G. 319); *golāūra*=*godāpūra* (H.231); *vīṇṇaūra*=*vīṭṛṇatūrya* (R. 8,65); *guruana*=*gurujana* (H.). So in all other dialects under similar conditions.

1. Hc. 1,8 calls the vowel *udvṛtta*. In C. 2,1 p. 37 it is called *uddhṛta*. Triv. 1,1,22; Sr. fol. 2; Narasimha 1,1,29; Appayadikyita 1,1,22 call it *śesa*, a term that is not recommended, as Hc. 2,89 and Triv. 1,4,86 employ it for the consonant that is retained out of a group.—2. These quotations hold good for all the following words, under which nothing has not been noted.

§165. *Udvṛtta* vowels are sometimes contracted with preceding similar vowels. Thus *a*, *ā* with *a*, *ā* : *attamāṇa* beside *āvatamāṇa*=*āvartamāṇa* (Hc.1,271); AMg. *āra* from **aara*=*avara* (Sūyag. 106.322) and JM. *ādara* (Kk. II); *oāava* (time of the sun's set; Deśin. 1,162)=**oāāava*=*apagatātapa*, whereas *ovāaa* (Triv. 1,4,121; ed. *oāava*; cf. also BB.13,13) is =*apavātaka*; *kālāsa* beside *kālāasa*, as according to Mk. always in Š.=*kālājaya* (Vr. 4,3; Hc. 1,269); A. *khāī* beside *khāīī*=*khādati* (Vr. 8,27; one : Ki. 4,77; Hc. 4,228;419,1); A. *khānī*=*khānti*, **khāntī*=*khādanti* (Hc. 4,445,4), *khāū*=*khādatu* (Bh. 8,27), from which a root *khā* has been deduced, from which have been formed a future *khāhi* (§525), a second person singular imperative A. *khāhi* (Hc. 4,422,4.16) and a past passive participle *khāā*=**khāta* (Hc. 4,228); *gāṇa* from *gāṇa*=*gāyana* (Deśin. 2,108); *gāñī* (trough for cattle; Deśin. 2,82) from **gāñāñī*, AMg. *gauñī* (Āyār. 2,10,19)=*gavādani*; Mg. *gomā* from **gomāao*=*gomāyavāh* (Mrcch. 168,20); A. *campāvāñī*=*campakavarnī* (Hc. 4,330,1); *chāna* (clothing; Deśin. 3,34)=*chādana*; A. *jāī* from *jāai*=*jayati* (Piṅgala. 1,85^a); *dhāi* beside *dhāvai*=*dhāvati* (Vr. 8,27; Hc. 4,228), M. *uddhāī*=*uddhāvati* (R.), from which is deduced, as in the case of *khād*, a root *dhā*, from which are formed *dhāu* (Bh. 8,27), *dhāha* (Hc. 2,192), *dhāhii* (§525), *dhāo* Hc. 4,228); AMg. *pachitta* (Samav. 91; Hc. 4,428), beside AMg. *pāyacchitta* (Jiȳak. 18; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Ovav; Kappas.)=*prāyacittā*; *pāvadāna*, beside M. *pāvādāna* (H.°*pā*⁰)=*pādāpatana* (Bh. 4,1; Hc. 1,270; Mk. fol. 31); M. JM. Š. *pāikka*=*pādātika* (§194); *pāvidha* beside *pāvidha*=*pādāpitha* (Hc. 1,270; Mk. fol 31); AMg. *bhante*=*bhadantah* (§366^b); *bhāṇa*=*bhājana* (Vr. 4,4; Hc. 1,267; Ki. 2,151), whilst Š., as noted by Mk., has only *bhāana* (Mrcch. 41,6; Šak. 72,16;105,9; Prab. 59,4; Venis. 25,3.5; Mallikām. 289,3; Adbhutad. 2,15). On forms like *gāī*=*gāyati*, *jhāī*=*dyāyati*, *jāī*=*jāyate*, *palāī*=*palāyate* see §479. *487.567.-i*, *i* get contracted with *udvṛtta* *i*, *ī* in M.A. *bia* (Hc. 1,5,248; 2,79; G. s.v. *vīya*; H. s.v. *vīā*; R. s.v. *biā*; Piṅgala, 1,23.49.56.79.83), A. also *bia* (Piṅgala. 1,50), AMg. JM. *biā* (Vivāhap. 55; Uvās.; Kappas.; Ki. 21; Erz.), beside M. *biā*, AMg. JM. *biīā* (§82)=*dvītiya*; A. *tīā* from **tīā*=*tītiya* (Piṅgala. 1,49.59.70); AMg. *padīṇa*, *udīṇa*=*pratīcīna*, *udīcīna* (Āyār. 1,4,4,4;1,6,4,2; Ovav. 84), *padīṇa* (Vivāhap. 1675f.), also shortened prosodically *padīṇa* (Dasav. 625,37; cf. §99); AMg. *sī ī*=*sibikā* (Āyār. p. 127,26; Nāyādh. 865ff.; 1021f, 1074.1097.1189.1497; Nirāyāv. 61.62;

Vivāhap. 275.277.362.1262; Ovav.; Kappas.), beside AMg. JM. *siuiyā* (Āyār. 127,15 [to be read so]; Ovav.; Erz.); in future, as JM. *hohi* beside M. JM. *hohi*=*bhosyati=bhavisyati (§521). JM. *vināsihī* (§527), *jarehī*, *nivārehī* (§528), *ehī*, A. *esi* (§529), JM. *dāhī* (§530), *sakkehī* (§531), AMg. JM. *kāhī* (§533), AMg. *nāhī* (§534). M. *cīa* (H. 104) is =*cīa from *cītiyā=citya, AMg. *cī* in *cīvandāya*=*cāityavandana*, according to Hc. 1,151 is =*cīi=Skt. *cītī*. *-u*, *ū* with the udvṛtta -u, *ū*, are contracted in AMg. *umbara* from *uumbara*, *ūmbara=udumbara (Vr. 4,2; Hc. 1,270; Ki. 2,152; Anuttar. 11; Nāyādh. §137; p 289.439; Thān. 555; Jīv. 46.494; Nirāyāv. 55, Pañnav. 31; Vivāhap. 807.1530).

§166. Sometimes *a*, *ā* becomes contracted with an udvṛtta *i*, *ī*, *u*, *ū*: *ke'i* from **kaili*=**kadili*=*kadali*' with *i* according to §101, and on the same model *kela* from **kaila*=**kadila*=*kadala* (Hc. 1,167.220)¹; M. AMg. JM. S. *thera* from **thaira*=*sthavira* (Hc. 1,166;2,89; Pāiyāl. 2; Deśin. 5,29; H. 197 [to be read so]; text *thera*]; Kāvyaprakāśa 73,9=H. 983 [to be read so according to the best MS.; text *thera*]; Sarasvatik. 8,13 [*thera*]; Acyutaś. 32 [*thera*]; Thān. 141.157.286; Vivāhap. 131.132.169.172.173. 185.792; Uttar. 786; Ovav.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.; Erz.; Kk.; Anarghar. 63,4 [*thera*]; M. S. also *thavira* (Prab. 38,10 [ed. Bomb. P.M. *thavíra*]; Nagān. 3,2 [v.l. *thavira*, *thera*]; M. *theri* (Pāiyāl. 107; H.654 [text *theri*⁰; ed. Bomb. 7,52 *thera*⁰]); AMg. *theraya* (Sūyag.176), *theraga* (Sūyag.334), *theriyā* (Kappas.); *therāsana* (lotus;Deśin.5,29), *therosāna* (lotus;Triv.1,4,121)=**stha-virāvasana*²; *medambha* from **maidambha*=**migidambha* (noose for catching a roe; Deśin. 6,139); *mehara* beside **maihara*=**matidhara* (headman; Deśin. 6, 121); AMg. *vera*(Kappas.§45) from *vaiṛa*(§135)=*vajra*; A. *eha*, *jeha*, *teha*, *keha* (H. 4,402) from and beside *aīsa*, *jaīsa*, *taīsa*, *kaīsa* (Hc. 4,403)=*īdṛṣā*, *yādṛṣā*, *tādṛṣā*, *kidṛṣā* (§121); A. *ji* for the common *jai*=*yadi* (Pingala.1,4^a.97 [GOLDSCHMIDT *jam!*]); A. *de* beside *daī*=S. *daīa*, absolute from *daya* (§594). In AMg. A. *-ai*=*-ati*, the ending of the third person singular, is sometimes contracted into *-e* in poetry. So AMg. *at̄e* (Sūyag. 412) from *at̄ai* (cf. *pariattai* (Hc. 4,230)=**atyā'i* from *at̄* (not from *atta*=ārta), *kappe*=**kalpati* (Āyār.1,8,4,2), *bhuñje* from *bhuñjai* (§507)=*bhunakti* (Āyār. 1,8,4,6,7), *abhibhāsē*=*abhibhāsate*, *padijākkhe*=*pratyākhyāti* (§491), *seve*=**sevati*, *padiseve*=**pratisevati* (Āyār.1,8,1,7.14.17,4,5); A. *ṇacce*=*nṛtyati*, *sadde*=**śabdati*=*śabdayati*, *gajje*=*garjati*, *bōlle*=*bōllai* (Hc. 4,2), *ugge* from **uggai*=**udgāti* (goes up; Pingala. 2,82.90.228.268), *hose* (Prabandhac. 56,6) from *hosai* (Hc. 4,388.418,4)=**bhosyati*=*bhavisyati* (§521). Similarly are explained also AMg. *bemi* from **baīmi*=*bravīmi* (§494).—A. *co*=*caū*=*catur* (Pingala. 1,65; GOLDSCHMIDT, however, reads *at̄tha vi* *luhū* for *co laghu kathā vi* of the ed. Bomb.), *covīsa*, *covīsa* beside *caūvisaha*=*caturvīṁśati*, *coālīsa ha* beside *caūlīsā*, AMg. *cojālīsām* bes d· *caūjālīsām*=*catuścatvāṁśat*, *coītīsām*=*catustrīṁśat*, etc. (§445); M. *coīttha* beside *caūttha*=*caturtha* (§449); *coīddaha* beside A. *caūddaha*, AMg. *coīddasa* beside *caūddusa*=*caturdasa*(§443), AMg. *coīddasama*=*caturduśama* (§ 449); *coīgguna* b-side *caūgguna*=*caturguna*, *coīvvāra* beside *caūvvāra* (Hc. 1,171); *tovaṭṭa* beside *taūvāṭta* (an ear-ornament; Deśin. 5,23;6,89); M. AMg *pō mma*=*padma* (Hc. 1,61;2,112; Mk. fol. 31; Kāleyak. 14,15; Pārva ip. 28,15; Uitar. 752 (text *pomām!*), *pō mma*=*padmā* (H.); M. S. *pō mmarā*=*padmarāga* (Mk. fol. 31; H.; Karp. 47,2;103,4 (S.); Viddhaś. 113,7 (S.); Pras. 121,8 [S.; text *pa*⁰]; 155,12; Bālar. 157,12 (S.); 168,4 (S.); M. *pō mmāsāpa*=*padmāsana* (Kāleyak. 3,11), from and beside M. AMg. JM. S. *paūma*, *paūmarā* (§139); *bohāri* beside *baūhāri* (brush; Deśin. 6,97;8,17); A. *bhōhā* from **bhāuhā*=*bhamuha* (Pingala 2,98; §124.251); *moḍa* beside *maūḍī* (tiess; Deśin. 6,117; Pāiyāl. 57), M. AMg. JM. S. A. *mora* (Vr. 1,8; Ki. 1,7; Mk. fol. 6; Pāiyāl. 42, H.;

Anuog. 502.507; Nandis. 70; Pañnav. 526; Rāyap. 52; Kappas.; Kk.; Śak. 155,10;158,13; Uttarar. 163,10; Jīvān. 16,12; Vikr. 72,8; Piṅgala 2,90), A. *mora* (Piṅgala 2,228), femin. M. Ś. *mori* (Śak. 85,2; Ś. Śak. 58,8; Viddhaś. 20,15), Mg. *moli* (Mṛcch. 10,4 [to be so read], AMg. *moraga*=*mayūraka* (Āyār. 2,2,3,18) from and beside M. AMg. JM. *maūra* (Grr.; G.; Pañnav. 54; Dasav. N. 662,36; Erz.; Vikr. 32,7; Mallikām. 220,20), AMg. *majūra* (Vivāgas. 187.202), *majūratta*=*mayūratva* (Vivāgas. 247), Mg. *maūlaka* (Śak. 159,3), femin. AMg. *majūri* (Nāyādh. 475.490.491). *mora* has gone into Skt. too, and consequently it has been treated as Skt. by Hc. 1,171. M. *moha*=*mayūkha* (Grr.; R. 1,18) from and beside M. Ś. *maūha* (Grr.; Pāiyāl. 47; G.H.R.; Prab. 46,1); M. *viola* from **vīāula*=*vyākula* (Deśin. 7,63; R.; cf. §162)⁴; A. *sāṁhāro* from *sāṁharaü*=*sāṁharatu* (Piṅgala 2,43). Cf. also *kohala*, *samāra*, *somāla* §123, o §155. M. AMg. *bora*=*badara* (Vr. 1,6; Hc. 1,170; Ki. 1,8; Mk. fol. 5; G.H.; Pañnav. 531; Vivāhap. 609.1256.1530), AMg. *bōri*=*badarī* (Hc. 1,170; Mk. fol. 5; Pāiyāl. 254; Anuttar. 9) presuppose one **badura*, **baduri*⁵. AMg. *būra* (v.l. *pūra*; Jiv. 489.509.559; Rāyap. 57; Uttar. 986; Vivāhap. 182; Ovav.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.) is not=*badara*, but=*pūra* (citron-tree) which will be the correct reading. The scholiasts generally explain it as *vanaspativiśesa*.⁶ Obscure is *pora*=*pūtara* (Hc. 1,170).

1. PISCHEL, KZ. 34,572; not correctly JACOBI, ZDMG. 47,575; KZ. 35,573.—

2. PISCHEL BB. 13,3.—3. PISCHEL, Deśin. Introduction p. 7.—4. PISCHEL, GGA., 1880, p. 335.—5. PISCHEL, KZ. 34,572; falsely JACOBI, ZDMG. 47,575; KZ. 35,573. According to Mk. fol. 66 Ś. has *baara* only.

§167. In compounds too the udvṛtta vowel is sometimes contracted with the final vowel of the first member : M. A. *andhāra*=*andhakāra* (Mk. fol. 31; H.; Piṅgala. 1,117^a;2,90), A. *andhāraa* (Hc. 4,349), M. *andhāria*=*andhakārita* (H.), JM. *andhāriya* (Erz.; Kk.), beside M. Ś. *andhāra* (G.H.R.; Mṛcch. 44,19;80,9;88,17;138,3; Śak. 141,7; Priyad. 51,12; Karp. 85,6; Mallikām. 209,17; Prab. 14,17; Cait. 40,15), Mg. *andhārla* (Mṛcch. 14,10 22;16,22), AMg. JM. *andhājāra* (Ovav.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.; Ṛṣabhap.), JM. *andhāyāriya* (Erz.); M. JM. A. ā from and beside āaa=āgata (Hc. 1,268; H.; Āv. 8,47; Piṅgala 2,255.264); *kamīsāla*=*kāṁ-syatāla* (Hc. 2,92), Ś. *kamīsālāla* (Mṛcch. 69,24); AMg. *kammāra*=*karmakāra* (Jiv. 295) and other compounds with *kāra*, like AMg. *kumbhāra*=*kumbhakāra* (Hc. 1,8; Mk. fol. 32; Uvās.), beside *kumbhaāra* (Grr.), AMg. *kumbhakāra* (Uvās.), JM. *kumbhagāra* (Erz.), D. *cammaāraa*=*carmakāraka* (Mṛcch. 104,19), M. *mālāri*=*mālākāri* (H.; Deśin. 1,146,114), AMg. *lohāra*=*lohakāra* (Jiv. 293), M. *valāraa*=*valayakāraka* (H.), *soṇāra*=*suvarna-kāra* (§66), AMg. *dodhāra*=*dvidhākāra* (Thān. 401); A. *piāri*=*priyakāri* (Piṅgala 2,37); JM. *khandhāra*=*skandhāvāra* (Mk. fol. 32; Erz.), beside *khandhavāra* (Erz.); M. *cakkāa*=*cakravāka* (Hc. 1,8; Ki. 2,151; Mk. fol. 32; Candraśekhara on Śak. 88,2 p. 192; G.R.; Śak. 88,2), AMg. *cakkāga* (Pañnav. 54); AMg. *ninnāra*=*nirnagara* (Vivāhap. 1277); AMg. *nimboliyā*=*nimbagulikā* (Nāyādh. 1152.1173); *talāra*=*talavāra* (Deśin. 5,3; Triv. 1,3,105; PISCHEL, BB. 3,261); *pāra* beside *pāra*=*prākāra* (Hc. 1,268); M. *pāraa* (Hc. 1,271; H.; Ind. Stud. 16,78, at 184) beside *pāvāraa*=*prāvāraka*; *pārāa* beside *pārāvaa*=*pārāvata* (Bh. 4,5; cf. §112); M. *pāvāliā*=*prāpāpālikā* (H.); JM. *varisāla*=*varṣākāla* (Erz.); *vāraṇa* beside *vāraṇa*=*vyākarana* (Hc. 1,268); M. *sālāhaṇa*=*sātavāhana* (Hc. 1,8.211;H.); M. *sāhāra*=*sāhakāra* (Karp. 95,1); AMg. *sūmāla* beside *sukumāla*=*sukumāra* (§123); *sūrisa*=beside *suurisa*=*supuruṣa* (Hc. 1,8). As compounds are treated M. *jālā*, *tālā* (Hc. 3,65; Mk. fol. 46; Dhvanyāloka 62,4) too; falsely even in Ś. (Mallikām. 87,11;124,14) and Mg. (Mallikām. 144,3)=*yāt kālāt, *tāt kālāt, *kālā* (Hc. 3,65; Mk. fol. 46)=*kāt kālāt (PISCHEL, BB. 16,172). Cf. also §254.

§168. In some cases *a* at the end of the first member of a compound is elided before a dissimilar udvṛtta vowel that is at the beginning of the second member : *indova* from **induova*=*indravopa* (Pāiyal. 150; Desin. 1,81), beside AMg. *indagova* (Anuog. 344), *indagovaga* (Uttar. 1062), °*ya* (Pañnav. 45); *indovatta*=**indravopātma* (cochineal; Desin. 1,81); *gharolī* from **gharaolī*=**gharagolī*=*grhagolī* (domestic multipede; Desin. 2,105), AMg. *gharolījā*=*grhagolikā* (Panhāv. 22; Pañnav. 53 [text *gharolī*]); *gharola* from **gharaola*=**gharagola*=*grhagola(ka)* (a kind of home-made pastry: Desin. 2,106); M.AMg. JM. Š. Mg.Dh. *deula*=*devakula* (Hc.1,271; Mk.fol. 33; H.; Anuog. 387; Nāyādh. 535; T. 4,9;7,18; Erz.; Mrcch. 151,14; Karmas. 25,1; Mrcch. 29,24;30,11,12), beside and from JM Š. *devaūla* (Hc.; Mk.; Erz.; Viddhaś.59,7; Cait.134,10,14), AMg. *devakula* (Āyār.2,2,2,8;2,10,14;2,11,8; Panhāv. 521; Nāyādh. 581; Kappas.); JM. *deulijā*=*devakulikā* (Āv. 31,10); JM. D. *rāula*=*rājakula* (Bh. 4,1; Hc. 1,267; Mk. fol. 32; Erz.; Mrcch. 105,4), Mg. *lāula* (Lalitav. 565,7.9.15;566,13.12; Mrcch. 36,22; 135,2), falsely in Š. (Prab. 47,5,9;49,13.15; ed. M. has throughout *lāaila*, ed. P. 47,9), where *rāula* (Grr.) is to be read, as stands in Śak. 115,3,6; 119,1; Ratn. 309,9; Nāgān. 57,3; Priyad. 9.13. In Prab. 32,9 for Mg. *lājaūla* (ed.M. *rājaūla*) we should read *lāaula*; JM. has *rāyaūla* (Erz.)¹ also; Mg. *lāutta* from **laaiūta*=*rājaputra* (Śak.114,1;115,7.9;116,9;117,5); *vāutta* beside *vāaiūta*=*vātāputra* (Desin. 7,88).

1. Cf. Candraśekhara on Śak. 114,1 (p. 197) *rāulaśabda* [so to be read] *īṣvare desī*. In this sense the word stands in Prab. as in Skt. too in the inscriptions (KIELHORN, EL.4, 312, note 7). Quite falsely JACOBI, ZDMG. 47,576.

§169. In the sentence as a rule vowels remain unchanged in the auslaut, whether they originally stood next to one another or have afterwards come together through dropping out of a consonant. PG. *kāñcīpurā aggitthomā*=*kāñcīpurād agniṣṭoma* (5,1), *sivakhamdavamo amham visaye*=*sivaskandavarṇamāśmākam viṣaye* (5,2), *govallave amace ārakhādhikate*=*govallavān amātyān ārakṣādhikrtān* (5,5), tti *api ca āpiṭītyām*=*ityapi cāpiṭītyām* (6.37), tti *eva*=*ityeva* (6,39), *tasa kha amhe*=*tasya khalvasme* (7,41), *sakakāle upariṇikkhitam*=*svakakāla upariṇikkhitam* (7,44); M. *na a me icchāi*=*na ca ma icchayā* (H. 555), *tan si aviniddā*=*tvamasyaviridrā* (H. 66), *daṭṭhūna uṇṇamante*=*dṛṣṭvonnamatāḥ* (H. 539), *jivie āsaṅgo*=*jivitā āśaṁśā* (R. 1,15), *paatṭaū uahī*=*pravartatāṁ-udadhiḥ* (R. 3,58); *āmuai aṅgāim*=*āmuñcaṭyaṅgāni* (R. 5,8), *jāo elāsura-hūmī*=*yāta elāsurabhau* (G. 417), *so esa kesava uwasamuddam uddāma*=*sa esa kesava upasamudramuddāma* (G. 1045); AMg. *atthi me āj ā ovavāi*=*asti ma ātmaupapātikah* (Āyār.1,1,1,3), *cattāri ee*=*catvāra ete*, (Dasav. 632,7) *tāo ajjāo e*²*jjamāṇo pāsāi*=*ta āryā eyamāṇāḥ paśyati* (Nirāyāv. 59), *ege āha*=*eka āha* (Sūyag. 74), *khāne āummi*=*khīṇā āyuṣi* (Sūyag. 212), *jo imāo disāo anudisāo ānusamcarai*=*ya imā diśa anudisō nusaṁcarati* (Āyār. 1,1,1,4). So also the rest of the dialects.

§170. *na* (not) not rarely undergoes samādhi with the initial vowel of the following word, especially when the latter is a verb : M. AMg. JM. J.S.Š. *natti*=*nāsti* (e.g. G.H.R. s.v. *as*; Āyār.1,1,1,3; Āv 9,9; Pav.380, 10; Mrcch. 2,24), Mg. *nasti* (e.g. Mrcch.19,11 [text *natti*]); M. *nāmī*=*na+amī* (G.246), *nallīai*=*na+allīai* (R.14,5); M.J.S.Š. *nāhāmī*=*na+aham* (H.178; Pav. 384,36; Vikr.10,13); M. *nāulabhāva*=*na+ākulabhāva* (G.813), *nāgaa*=*na+āgata* (H. 856), *nālavāi*=*na+ālapati* (H.647); AMg. J.S. *neva*, *neva*=*na+eva* (Āyār. 1,1,3,3.4,1; Pav. 384,59), M. *nea* (G.H.R.); AMg. *nānāgama*=*na+anāgama* (Āyār. 1,4,2,2), *nābhijānāi*=*nābhijānātī* (Āyār. 1,5,1,3), *nārabhe*=*na+ārabheta* (Āyār. 1,5,3,4), *nābhībhāsiṁsu*=*na+abhi*³, *nāivatī*=*na+ativartate* (Āyār. 1,8,1,6); Š. *nāgadā*=*na+āgatā* (Mālatīm. 72,6); Mg.

nāścadi=*na*+*āgacchatī* (Mṛcch. 116,5,19;117,11); AMg. JM. *nādūra* (Uvās. §208; Ovav. §33; Nāyādh. §7; Erz. 22,23), S. *nādūra* (Mālatim. 30,8), Mg. *nādīdūla* (Candak. 66,13)=*na*+*atidūra*; S. *nārihādi*=*na*+*arhatī* (Śak. 24,12); M. *nē'cchāi*=*na*+*icchatī* (H. 205), S. *nē'cchādi* (Śak. 73,4), Mg. *nē'ścadi* (Mṛcch. 11,1); S. *nālāmkidā*=*na*+*alāmkṛtā* (Mṛcch. 18,10), *nādaradi*=*na*+*avatārati* (Mṛcch. 108,21). In such cases *na* is proclitic and is treated as the first member of a compound. This holds good also for the root *jñā*, which after *na* very often, drops its initial *ja*, which, as in the anlaut, is changed into *ja* in AMg. JM.: M. *na ānāmi*, *na ānasi*, *na ānāi*, *na ānimo*, *na ānaha*, *na ānanti*; AMg. JM. *na jānāmi* (Nāyādh. 84; Āv. 29,19), JM. *na jānasi*, *na jānāi*, AMg. *na jānāmo*; S. *na ānāmi* (Mṛcch. 52,16;65, 11; Vikr. 43,14;46,1); Mg. *na ānāmi* (Mṛcch. 140,12); S. D. *na ānādi*; D. *na ānāsi*, S. *na ānādi*=*na jñāyate*; M. AMg. S. *na āne*=*na jāne*. References in § 457.510.548. This formation is quite analogous to compounds such as S. *aānanteṇa*=*ajānātā* (Mṛcch. 18,22;63,24). *aānia*=*ajñātūā* (Śak. 50,13), AMg. *vijānāi*, S. Mg. *viānādi*, AMg. *parijānāti*, Mg. *paccabhiānādi* (§510). In most of the cases, however, *na* is not proclitic, and, therefore, as a rule it remains unchanged before all vowels, as M. *na iṭṭham=nestam* (H. 501), *na isā=neryā* (H. 829), *na uttarai=nottarati* (H. 271), *na ei=naitī* (R. 14,43). *na ohasiā=nāvahasitā* (H. 60); AMg. *na ambile*, *na uñhe*, *na itthī*, *na annahā=nāmlah*, *noṣnah*, *na strī*, *nānyathā*, beside *nathī* (Āyār. 1,5,6,4), and so in all dialects.¹

1. Cf. LASSEN, Inst. p. 193; BOLLENSEN on Vikr. p. 193,302; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 32,104.

§171. As in isolated cases in Skt., *na* may be used as the first member of a compound instead of *a*, *an* in Pkt. too. M. *ṇasahiāloa*=*asodhālōka* (G.364), *ṇasahiāpa dibhōa*=*asodhāpratibhōa* (G. 1162), *ṇapahūpanta*=*aprabhatī* (G.16, 46), *ṇapahutta*=*aprabhūta* (G. 114), for which R. 3,57 has *ṇavahutta* with *pa* treated as a medial sound for the sake of rhyme. One may also like to consider this *na* in AMg. in cases like *tām maggām puttaram=tām mārgam-anuttaram* (Sūyag. 419); *disām nantajinē*=*disāmanantajinē* (Āyār. 2,16,6); *dīṭhīhim nantāhim=dīṭhibhiranatābhīh*, *multisuhām nantāhim* [text vi] *vaggavaggūhim=muktiṣukham anantairapi vargavagnubhīh* (Pan-nav. 135); *aggivāṇpām negaso=agnivarnāny anekaśah* (Uttar. 598); *egapās negāīm padāīm=ekapade nekānī padāni* (Pannav. 63), *eṣanti nantaso=esyantyanantaśah* (Sūyag. 45.56.71); *bandhanēhi negehi=bandhanairanekaih* (Sūyag. 225); *gandavacchāsu* [text °vatihā°] *negacittāsu=gandavakṣaḥsvaneka-cittāsu* (Uttar. 252); *itto nantagunīyā=ito *'nantagunīkāh* (Uttar. 599); *virājye negaguṇovavee=virājate 'nekaguṇopetāh* (Sūyag. 309); *buddehi nāīnā=buddhair anācīrṇā* (Dasav.627,16). As, however, the dropping off of the initial *a* occurs in all the analogous cases (§175), and the texts always write *n*, never *na*, we shall have here to assume the dropping off of *a*. Hence in transcription we should write *maggām* 'puttaram', etc.

§172. Besides in the case of *na* contraction takes place within the sentence more frequently only when one of the words is a pronoun, an adverb, a preposition, or in the case of a substantive used as a preposition, in which proclisis or enclisis of the particles has to be assumed. Such a contraction occurs especially in AMg. JM. So AMg. *ahāvarā=athāparā* (Āyār. 2,1,11, 4ff.; 2,2,3,19ff.; 2,5,1,7ff. etc.), *na jāhām=na cāham* (Āyār. 1,7,6,1), *jenāhām=yenāhām* (Uttar. 241); JM. *jenāhām* (Erz.17,14), *jenāñjyāhām=yenāñjyāhām* [Erz. 8,23], *ihādāvīe=ihātavyām* (Erz. 30,13), M. *sahasāgaassa=sahā-*
gatasya (H. 297); AMg. *purāsī=purāsit* (Sūyag. 898); JM. *sahāmacceṇa=*

sahāmātyena (Āv. 11,18); AMg. *dārīgeyām*=*dārikeyam* (Dasav. N. 648,2); M. *na hujjalā=na khalūjjvalā* (on H. 993); AMg. *no hūvaṇamanti=no khalūpanamanti* (Sūyag. 100), *e'ithovarae=atroparatah* (Āyār. 1,6,2,4); JM. *siharovari=Sikharopari* (T. 5,10); S. *mamovari=mamopari* (Mrcch. 41,22); JŚ. *jassedha* [text °*eha*]=*yasyeha* (Pav. 382,24). On *ajjāvi*, *keñāvi*, *tenāvi* etc. see §143. Contraction occurs more rarely in other cases, like AMg. *samāsajjāvitaham* =*samāsādyāvitatham* (Āyār. 1,7,8,17), *jānittāyarijassa=jñātvācāryasya* (Uttar. 43), *kammānānaphalā=karmānya jñānaphalāni* (Uttar.113), *tahosuyāro=tatheśuk-ārah* (Uttar.422), *isināhāra-m-āñi=ṛsiñāhārādīni* (Dassav.626,6); JM. *māñuse-sūvarannā*, *tirikkhesūvavannā=manusyesūpapannā*, **tiryakṣesūpapannā* (Āv.17,22. 23), *padikappienāgao=pratikalpitēnāgatah* (Erz. 32,18), *subuddhināmnāmac-cena=subuddhināmnāmātyena* (Erz. 17,19). In AMg. in verses sometimes even the vowels, that have come together, first after a secondary fashion, are contracted. So : *esovarae=esa uparatalah* (Āyār. 1,1,5,1); *uvassaggā bhīmāsi=upasargā bhīmā āsan* (Āyār. 1,8,2,7); *tamhāivijjo=tasmād atividyah* (Āyār. 1,4,3,3); *buddhānusāsanti=buddhā anuśāsati* (Uttar. 33); *parājīyāva-sappāmo=parājītā apasarāpāmāh* (Sūyag.186); *akāyakarañārabhigayā'ya=a-akrta-karañā anābhigatās ca* (Jiyākappa 73). Also after a toneless nasal in *maggā-nusāsanti* for *maggā anusāsanti=mārgamanuśāsati* (Sūyag. 465,517), *addhā-nugacchā*, *panthānugāmie* for *addhā anugacchā*, *panthā anugāmie=advānam anugacchati*, *panthānam *anugāmikāh* (Sūyag. 59). Cf. §173.175.

§173. In the auslaut vowels are often thrown out in M. J.S., and especially in JM.AMg. before a vowel in the anlaut : M. *jen'* *ahām*=*yenāham* (H.441), *tujjh'* *avarāhe*=*tavāparādhe* (H. 277); JM. *kunālen'* *imām*=*kuṇālenemam* (Āv. 8,16), *tāyass'* *ānam*=*tātasyāñām* (Āv. 8,18), *jen'* *evaṁ*=*yenāvaṁ* [Erz. 14.8] *ih'* *eva*=*ihāiva* (Āv. 29,14; Erz. 17.3;20,14), *jāv'* *esā*=*yāvad esā* (Erz. 53,28), *tah'* *eva*=*tathāiva* (Āv. 12,26;27,19), *tass'* *an̄nesan̄atīham*=*tasyāñvesañārtham* (Erz. 13,18); J.S. *ten'* *iha* (Pav. 387,21) *jath'* *atthi*=*yatrāsti* (Kattig. 401, 353), *ten'* *uwañiñho*=*tenopadiṣṭah* (Kattig. 398,304); AMg. *akkhāy'* *anelisam*=*ākhyāyāñidṛśam* (Āyār. 1,8,1,15), *jath'* *atthamie*, *jath'* *avasappanti*, *jath'* *agāñi*=*yatrāstamitah*, *yatrāvasarpanti*, *yatrāgnih* (Sūyag. 129.181.273), *vuḍhēñ'* *aṇusāsies*=*vrddhenāñusāsītah* (Sūyag. 515), *ubhāyass'* *antareṇa*=*ubhaya-**syāntareṇa* (Uttar. 32), *vinnavan'* *itthīsu*=*vijñāpanā strīsu* (Sūyag. 208.209), *jen'* *uvahammañ*=*yoñbahanyate* (Dasav. 627,13), *jak'* *eñtīha*=*yathātra* (Āyār. 1,5,3,2), *vippadivann'* *ege*=*vipratipannā eke* (Sūyag. 170), *tass'* *āharaha*=*tasyā-**harata* (Āyār. 2,1,11,2). *i* has dropped off e.g. in AMg. JM. *naith'* *eñtīha*=*nāstyatra* (Āyār. 1,4,2,5 ; Erz.10,21) against Š. *naithī eñtīha* (Šak.121,5); AMg. *jāmīñ'* *ime*=*yasminnime* (Āyār.1,2,6,2), *sant'* *ime*=*santīme* (Āyār.1,1,6,1 ; Sūyag. 65 ; Uttar. 200 ; Dasav. 625,25;626,36); *vayant'* *ege*=*vadantyeke* (Sūyag. 37), *cattār'* *itthīñ ão*=*catasrah striyah* (Thān. 247), *cattār'* *antaradivā*=*catvāro* 'ntara-*dvipāh* (Thān. 260) in poetry, beside *ri* *a⁰* in prose and *cattāri agāñio*=*caturō gnīñ* (Sūyag. 274) in poetry, *kilāñ'* *anne*=*kridantyanye*, *tarant'* *ege*=*tarantyeke* (Uttar. 504.567), *tinn'* *udahī*, *doññ'* *udahā*=*traya udadhayah*, *dvāu-*dadhi** (Uttar.996.1000), *dalāñ'* *ahām*=*dalayāmy*. (= *dadāmy*) *ahām* (Uttar.663). *e* has dropped off for example in AMg. *s' evañī*=*sa evam* (Āyār.1,7,3,3,2,3,1, 1ff.), *padham'* *ittha*=*prathamo'ira* (Nandis. 74), *tubbh'* *eñtīha*=*yusme atra*, *im'* *ee*=*ima ete*, *mann'* *erisañ*=*manyā idṛśam* (Uttar. 358.439.571), *im'* *ejārūve*=*ayametadrūpah* (Vivāgas. 116 ; Vivāhap. 151.170.171 ; Uvās.). *o* has dropped off in AMg. *gurūñ'* *antie* for *guruno antie*=*gurorantike* (Uttar. 29 ; Dasav. 632,22). Anusvāra has dropped off after weakening of the nasal sound, for example, in AMg. *niyogañīñ'* *an̄antāñām*=*niyogañīñāñām* *an̄antāñām* (Panñav. 42), *cariss'* *ahām* for *carissām* *ahām*=*carisyāñyāhām* (Sūyag. 239), *pucchiss'* *ahām* for *pucchissām* *ahām*=*aprākṣamahām* (Sūyag. 259), *venāñjāñ'* *u vāyāñm*=*vainayikāñām* *u vādam* (Sūyag. 322), *vipparijñāñs'* *uve nli*=*viparyāñsam upayanti*

(Sūyag. 468,497), *dukkhān'* *antakaro*=*dukkhānām* *antakarāḥ* (Uttar. 1005), *siddhān'* *ogāhanā*=*siddhānām* *avagāhanā* (Ovav. 171), *pādham'* *ittha*=*prathamam-*
atra (Kappas. Th. §9), *im'* *ejārūvām*=*imametadrūpam* (Āyār. 2,15,24; Kappas. §94), *im'* *erisām* *anāyāram*=*imamidṛśamanācāram* (Dasav. 626,27); JM. *moriyavaṁśān'* *amham*=*mauriyavaṁśānāmasmākam* (Āv. 8,17), *im'* *erisām*=*imamidṛśam* (Āv. 25,26). Almost all the examples are found in verses. In the very frequent AMg. *no in'* *atthe samatthe* (Sūyag. 852,986,992; Pannav. 366; Nāyādh. 570; Vivāhap. 37.44.46ff, 79.106.112ff.204; Ovav. § 69,74; Uvās. s.v. *samattha*), beside *no inam atthe samatthe* (Ovav. §94) "that is not the case" *in'* is to be taken, with Hc. 3,85, as the nom. sing. of the neuter, which, otherwise also in AMg. (§357), is connected with the masculine.¹ Very rarely a final vowel has dropped off in other dialects, as in Š. *eith' antare* (Mṛcch. 40,23; also in JM. Erz. 17,30); Mg, *tav' edena*=*tavaiteṇa* (Mṛcch. 12,19) in the verse.

1. Not correctly WEBBER, Bhag. 1,409, where occurs a collection on the sandhi from Vivāhap., E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 50, HOERNLE, Uvās. Translation, note 107. Cf. LEUMANN, WZKM. 2,344f.

§174. In AMg. the final vowels of *api* and *iti*, with an initial dissimilar vowel, sometimes undergo the sandhi prescribed in Skt.: *appa*=*apya* fuses with *eka* and **ekatya* into one word, as in Pāli: *appege*=**apyekah* (Āyār. 1,1,2,5f.), *appege*=*apyeke* (Āyār. 1,1,6,5), *jamsi*, *taṁsi* *ppege*=*yasmīn* *tasminn* **apyeke* (Āyār. 1,8,2,13), beside medial *v̄ ege* (Āyār. 1,5,4,1), *vi ee* (Uttar. 1016), and *v̄' ege* (Āyār. 1,5,5,2; 1,6,4,1; Sūyag. 234), *v̄' ee* (Vivāhap. 101,180), *v̄' eg' evam āhāṁsu*=**apyeke evam āhuḥ* (Sūyag. 240), *evam p' ege* (Āyār. 1,6,1,1.2), *pūvām p' ejām pacchā v'* [so to be read] *ejām=pūrvama-*
pyetatpaścādāpyetat (Āyār. 1,5,2,3); AMg. *appegājā*=Pāli *appekacce*=**apye-*
katyāh (Ovav.); also in JM. *io pp̄' eva*=*ito pyeva* (Āv. 19,23). Likewise *ii*: AMg. *iccāi*=*ityādi* (Kappas. § 196ff.); *icceva* (Āyār. 1,5,5,3; Sūyag. 557), *iccev' ege* (Āyār. 1,3,2,2), *iccatthām* (Āyār. 1,2,1,1), *iccevam* (Āyār. 1,2,1,3), *iccee* (Āyār. 1,1,3,7,4,7; 1,5,4,5), *icceehim* (Āyār. 1,2,1,5), *icceyāo icceyāśim* (Āyār. 2,1,11,10,11), *icceyāvanti* (Āyār. 1,5,6,4). In Š. Mg. *nu*, before *etad* becomes *nv* and then fuses with it in a word: Š. *evam (e)vām* *nedam*=*evam nvetat* (Mṛcch. 22,16,57,20; Šak. 2,5; 45,13,71,6; Prab. 8,6; Ratn. 292,8), *kim nedam*=*kim nvetat* (Mṛcch. 3,2; 27,17; 40,17; 54,15; 60,4; 97,14; 117,17; 169,20; 171,4; 172,22; Vikr. 25,18; 31,4; Ratn. 301,28), likewise Mg. (Mṛcch. 40,8; 134,17; 171,5), and against the dialect (§429) *taṁ nīdam*=*tannvidam* (Lalitav. 566,20).

§175. An initial *a* in verses, after *e*, *o*, is sometimes elided as in Skt. M. *piō' jja*=*priyo'dya* (H. 137); AMg. *āsiṇē* *'nelisām*=*āśīnō* *'nīdṛśam* (Āyār. 1,7,8,17), *phāse* *'hījāsae*=*sparsānadhīyāsayet* (Āyār. 1,7,8,18), *se* *'bhinnājādāṁsane*=*so'bhinnaṁmadarśanah* (Āyār. 1,8,1,10), *sīsaṁ se* *'bhitāvayanti*=*sīrṣamasyābhitāpayanti* (Sūyag. 280); *se* *'nutappāl*=*so* *'nutāpyate* (Sūyag. 226), *watasante* *'nihe*=*upāśānto* *'nīhāḥ* (Sūyag. 365), *tippamāṇo* *'hījāsae*=*tṛpyamāṇo* *'dhyāsayet* (Āyār. 1,7,8,10), *ināmo* *'bbavī*=*idamabratvīt* (Sūyag. 259), *ābhogao* *'ibahuṣo*=*ābhogato* *'tibahuṣah* (Ji.yak. 44), *bālo* *'varajjhāi*=*bālo* *'parādhyate* (Dasav. 624,32); Mg. *snāde* *'ham*=*snāto* *'ham* (Mṛcch. 136,11). In prose dropping off of *a* occurs in the standing formula AMg. *namo'* *titu naṁ*=*namo* *'stu nūnam* (§498) and in JM. in *aham*, as in *tie* *'ham*=*tasyāmaham* (Erz. 12,22), *tao* *'ham*=*tato* *'ham*, *jāo* *'ham*=*jāto* *'ham* (Erz. 9,36; 53,34). In AMg., seldom in JM.M., in poetry, initial *a* too frequently drops off even after vowels other than *e*, *o*. Thus after *ā* in *pajjijamānā* *'titāraṁ*=*pāyyamānā* *'rtātaram* (Sūyag. 282); after *i* in *jāij-*
rāmarañehi *'bhiddū*=*jātijarāmarañehi* *rabhidrutah* (Sūyag. 156), *cittshanti* *'bhitappa-*

māñā=*tiśhantyabhitapya māñāh* (Sūyag. 274), *sūlāhi* 'bhītāvajanti'= *sūlābhir abhitāpayanti* (Sūyag. 280,289), *jāvanii* 'vijjāpurisā=yāvanto' *vidyāpurusāḥ* (Uttar. 215), *novalabhami* 'hām=nopalahke' *hām* (Uttar. 575), *cattāri* 'bhōjjāim=catvāry-abhojyāni' (Dasav. 626,6), *jāi* 'hām=yadyahām' (Dasav. 641,21), as M. too has (R. 15,88), *agunehi*'sāhū=agunairasādhūn' (Dasav. 637,3); after *i* in AMg. (*R.* 15,88), *agunehi*'sāhū=agunairasādhūn' (Dasav. 637,3); after *i* in AMg. (*R.* 15,88), *agunehi*'sāhū=agunairasādhūn' (Dasav. 637,3); after *i* in AMg. (*R.* 15,88), *vejyaranī* 'bhīdugge=vaitaranayabhīdurgā' (Sūyag. 270), *lahāi* 'bhīdugge=labhate bhīdurge' (Sūyag. 277), *jāmī* 'bhīdugge=yusminnabhīdurge' (Sūyag. 287,297 [^duggamī]), *nādi* 'bhīdugge' (Sūyag. 297); after *u* in JM. *dosu* 'bhīggaho=dvayorabhīgrahā' (Āv. 19,36); also after *anusvāra* with weakening of the nasal sound, as in AMg. *kahām* 'bhītāvā=kathamabhitāpāh' (Sūyag. 259), *vejyaranī* 'bhīduggaṁ=vaitaranīmabhīdurgām' (Sūyag. 270), *vajyānaṁ* 'bhītūje=vacanamabhiyuñje' (Sūyag. 529). False in prose is *tesim* 'tie' (Āyār. 1,6,4,1), for which, according to the commentators, we have to write *tesim* *antie*. Cf. §171.172.173. Even vowels, other than *a*, sometimes drop off in AMg. after *e*, *o*; so *i* in *je me* for *je ime*=*yaime* (Sūyag. 454), *je ha* for *je iha*=*yahā* (Sūyag. 304); *e* in AMg. *akārino ttha*=*akārino tra* (Uttar. 290), *anno ttha*=*anyo tra* (Uttar. 791), M. *ko ttha* (H. 364), and after the weakened nasal sound in M. JM. *kim tha*=*kim ēttha*=*kim atra* (H. s.v. *tha*; Āv. 26,9).

m) EPENTHESIS

§176. The separation vowel *i* in the sound-group *ry* (§134) has sometimes made its way into the preceding syllable, and with an *a*, *ā* of the same become fused into *e*: M. AMg. *acchera*, AMg. JM. *accheraya*, AMg. *accheraga*, beside M. Š. *accharia*, JM. *acchariya*, Š. *accharia*, M. *āścālia*, dialectically *accharijja* and *acchaara*=*āścarya* (§138) also; M. *kera*=*kāryā*¹ (belonging to; Mk. fol. 40; Kāmsav. 52,11, *kerām* (on account of; Kāvya-prakāśa 28,7), Š. *amhakera* (Hc. 2,147; Jivān. 19,9), *tumhakera* (Hc. 2,147; Jivān. 104,6), *parakera* (Mālav. 26,5), otherwise in Š. *keraka*, *keraa* (Mr̄cch. 4,3;38,3;53,20;63,16;64,19;65,10,11;68,11;74,8;153,2; Šak. 96,10;155,9; Mālatim. 267,2; Mudrār. 35,8; Priyad. 43,16;44,6; Jivān. 9,1; Kāmsav. 50,11); also in Ā. *keraka* (Mr̄cch. 100,18); femin. Š. *kerikā* *keriā* (Mr̄cch. 88,24 [read *kerika ttī*]; 90,14;95,6; Viddhaś. 83,4), also in Ā. *kerikā* (Mr̄cch. 104,9); Š. *parakeraaṭitāna*=**parakāryatvāna* (Mālatim.. 215,3); Mg. *kelaka*, *kela a* (Mr̄cch. 13,9;37,13;40,9;96,21,22;97,3;100,20;112,10;118,17;119,5; 122,14,15 [read *kelakāīm*]; 130,10;132,2;133,2;146,16;152,6;173,9; Šak. 116, 11;161,7); also to be mentioned here Prab. 32,8, where *bhaṭṭālakakelakehūm*, according to II, 34,115, is to be read; femin. *kelikā*, *keliā* (Mr̄cch. 21,21; 132,16; [read *kelikāe*]; 139,16 [read *kelikā*]; 164,3,8;167,3,21); A. *kera* (Hc. 4,422,373), *keraa* (Hc. 4,359,373); M. AMg. Š. *peranta*=*paryanta* (Vr. 3,18; Bh. 1,5; Hc. 1,58;2,65,93; Ki. 1,4;2,79; Mk. fol. 5,22; Pāiyāl. 173; G.H.; Ovav.; Lalitav. 555,11;567,23; Vikr. 31,17; Mālatim. 76,5; 103,3;118,6;248,5; Mahāv. 97,13; Bālar. 49,2;67,15;76,16;226,3;278,20; 287,9; Anarghar. 58,9; Mallikām. 55,10;57,17), AMg. *pariperanta* (Nāyādh. 513.1383ff.; Vivāgas. 107); *bamhacera* (Hc. 1,59;2,63,74,93), AMg. A *bambhacera* (Hc. 2,74; Āyār. 1,5,2,4;1,6,2,1;1,6,4,1;2,15,24; Sūyag. 81,171.318.643.652.759.866; Vivāhap. 10,135.722.726; Dasav. 618,33; Dasav. N. 649,38; Uvās.; Ovav. §69; Nāyādh.; Nirāyāv.; Erz. 3,24), beside *bamhacaria* (Hc. 2,63,107)=*brahmacyā*; AMg. JM. *merā*=*māryā*² (frontier; Hc. 1,87; Āyār. 2,1,2,5,2,3,1,13;2,5,1,2;2,6,1,1; Āv. 47,23,25; Kk.); AMg. *nimmera*=*nirmāryā* (Thān. 136.143 [ηi]; Vivāhap. 483.1048; Qvav.), *samera*=*sāmāryā* (Thān. 136 [text *sāmāra*]. 143); AMg. JM. *pādihera*=Pāli *pātihārija*=*prātihārya*³ (Vivāhap. 1047.1189; Ovav.; Āv. 14,2), JM. *pādiherrattāna* (Āv. 13,25); AMg. *pariheraga*=*pari-*

-*hāryaka* (Ovav.); M. Š. *sundera*=*saundarya* (§84). On *ukkera* see §107, on *dera*, §112. Isolated is Mg. *śenām* from **śanīam* (Mṛcch. 134,24) =M. Š. *sanīam*, AMg. JM. *sanīyam*=Pāli *sanikam* (§84). Epenthesis of *u* occurs in AMg. *pora*=*parvan* from **paurva*. (Āyār.2,1,8,11).

1. PISCHEL, IA. 2,121 ff.; 266 ff.; on Hc. 2,174. HOERNLE, JASB. 41, 1, 124 ff.; IA. 2,210 ff. Comp. Gr. §377 and BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 2,281 ff. derive the word from *kṛta*.—2. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v. *nimma*, Hc. and Triv. derive it from *mirā*.—3. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v.

n) ASSIMILATION OF VOWELS

§177. Dialectically the vowels of the neighbouring syllables have sometimes been assimilated to one another. AMg. *mirū*=*márici* (Jiv.542; Pañhāv. 254 [text °*rīja*]; Ovav. [§38]. 48 [so to be read]; Nāyādh. §122), *samīriya*=*samarīcika* (Samav.211 [text °*ma*]); Ovav.); AMg. *mirīja*=*marica* (Hc. 1,46; Āyār. 2,1,8,3; Pannav. 531); M. *avarīm*=*upāri*; M. *avahoāsa*, *avahovāsa*=**ubathahpārśva* (§212), *avajjhāa*=*upādhyāya* (§ 123); *bhamayā* beside M. *bhumāā*, AMg. *bhumayā*=**bhruvakā* (§124); M. AMg. JM. *ucchu*=*iksū*; AMg. *usu*=*i'su*, *susū*=*si'su* (§117); AMg. *pūhutta*=*prīhaktva* beside *pūhutta* (§78). As in Sanskrit, there occur beside one another AMg. *niuramba*=*nikuramba* (Ovav.) and AMg. *niurumba*=*nikurumba* (Samav. 211; Ovav.), beside *sarīsiva*=*sarīsrpa* there are often found the variants *sīrīsiva*, *sīrisiva* (§81). M. Š. *svīna*=*svapna* (Vr. 1,3;3,62; C. 3,15^a p. 49; Hc. 1,46.259;2,108; Ki. 1,2;2,59; Mk. fol. 5.29; H. R.; Pratāp. 212,9; Vṛṣabha. 14,6;17,1,2), M. Š. *svīnāa*=*svapnaka* (H. 2,186; H.; Karp.75,4; Lalitav.554,21.22;555,1; Vikr.24,17; Mālav.62,5; Mālatīm. 179,9; Bālār 238,14; Karp.70,3.11.12;71,1;73,4; Venīs.18,13.20.21; Nāgān. 12,11;13,4;23,3; Karṇas. 16,9.12); M. *padisīvināa*=*pratisvapnaka* (Karp. 75,5); *simīna* (C. 3,15^a p. 49; Hc. 1,46,259), beside AMg. JM. *suvinā* Sūyag. 838ff; Vivāhap. 943ff. 1318ff.; Uttar. 249.456; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.), A *suīna* (Hc. 4,434,1), and AMg. JM. *sumīna* (Hc.1,46; Thān. 567; Nandis. 365; Samav. 26; Vivāhap. 947.1318; Nāyādh.; Nirāyāv.; Kappas.; T. 7,16; Erz.), JM. *suvinaga*, *suminaga* (Erz.)=*svapnaka* (§133 152.248). The forms like *kilimmaī*, *kilimmihi*, *kilinta* beside *kilammaī*, *kilanta* are not to be considered as correct with S. GOLDSCHMIDT¹, but they rest upon the variation with the frequent v.l. *kilissai*². On future forms like *bhavissidi* see § 520.

1. ZDMG. 32,107.—2. PISCHEL, GGA. 1880,328 f. Cf. §136.

3. ANUSVĀRA AND THE NASAL VOWELS.

§178. Beside *anusvāra*, Pkt. possesses two nasal vowels, of which one is expressed by the sign of *anusvāra* and the other by that of *Anunā-sika*. The distinction between *anusvāra* and the first nasal vowel is not definite in all cases, particularly in the final syllable, where their treatment is mostly the same and the origin cannot be traced definitely. Thus for example in the inst. plur. in -*hīm*, beside which -*hī*, -*hi* are used. Š. *devehīm* (Šak.21,5) is equated to Vedic *devēbhīh*, which I regard as correct; so a nasal vowel is to be assumed; on the other hand it is equated as =*g̃e'ōphi*¹, as the usual hypothesis is and, therefore, *anusvāra* is more probable. Likewise a nasal vowel will have to be assumed in cases, like *aggīm*=*agnih* beside *aggī* and *vāum*=*vāyuh* beside *vāū* (§72), on the other hand *anusvāra*, for example, in the genitive plural *devānam*, beside which occur also *devāñā* and *devāṇā*, exactly as *devehī*, *devehi*, has to be assumed. In adverbs like *uvārim* beside *uvari*=*upari* *anusvāra* is probable, and in *bāhim*=*bahīh*, a nasal

vowel. In words where *m̄* may be traced back to *n*, *m* I assume anusvāra, otherwise, however, a nasal vowel, as a rule.²

1. The equation naturally holds good for the ending only.—2. On anusvāra and the nasal vowel see the literature in WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. §223.224.

§179. As in the Veda¹, so in Pkt. too, the anunāsika, is not mostly indicated in the manuscripts so that in very many of the cases its existence is to be deduced only from the statements of the grammarians. Thus in H. 651, the MSS. write *jāi vaanāi*, the Bombay edition, *jāni vaanāni*, whilst Hc.3,26 explicitly demands *jāi vaanāi* which does not, as WEBER thinks², go against the metre, since ardhadandra³ never makes position. In Śak.116,3 in Mg. we have *śāilāṇām muhām=svakulānām mukham*, the MS. Z reads *saanānām muhām=svajanānām mukham*; according to Hc. 4 300 there should be read *saanāhā muhām*, which no MS. has. Vr. 2,3; Ki.2,5; Mk. fol. 14 teach that in *yamunā* the *m* drops out. Hc.1,178, on the other hand, teaches, without doubt more correctly, intrusion of anunāsika: *jāunā*. The manuscripts and the texts write in M.AMg. *jaūnā* only, in S. *jamunā* (§251). In the Sattasai, the manuscript *ψ* sometimes write ardhadandra instead of bindu of the rest, but not always in the right place⁴. For Apabhraṃśa Hc.4,397 teaches entry of *ī* for *m*, e. g. *kāvalū* beside *kamalu=kamalam*. The manuscripts ordinarily write *mv*. Therefore, the use of ardhadandra can not be determined from the manuscripts.

1. MAX MÜLLER on the Rgvedapratisākhyā 64; WEBER on the Vājasaneyipratiśākhyā 4,9 13,—2. On H.651,—3. So I take, with WEBER, H.p. 4, the mark for anunāsika. Wrongly equate B.-R. s.v., according to WEBER, Die Rāma-Tāpaniya-Upaniṣad (Berlin 1864), p. 344, ardhadandra=anusvāra. The name for the sign for anusvāra is bindu, as has been said in the preceding and following paragraphs.—4. WEBER, H. p. 4; cf. on H. 274.289.292.489.507.548.556.572.597 and Y on 5.

§180. The grammarians teach that in Pkt. and A. the syllables *-im*, *-ihim*, *-uhim*, *-hum*, *-ham*, at the end of a pada, according to Saṅgitaratnākara *-hum*, *-im* in A.. even in the middle of a pada, may be reckoned short (Āv. p. 6, note 4; Saṅgitaratnākara 4,55.56; Pingala 1,4; Hc. 4,411). Therefore, formerly these syllables were allowed to stand even before vowels and consonants, when a short vowel was necessary. WEBER¹ in this case wishes to irradicate bindu everywhere and he is followed by all the modern European editors.² Sh. P. PANDIT in his edition of the Gaūḍayavaha puts a sign of shortness over the bindu, e.g. 1,16 *aṅgaim̄ viñhuṇo*, *bhariāim̄ va*, and with the same end DURGĀ PRASĀD; SIVADATTA and PARAB, in their editions of the Sattasai, of Rāvaṇavaha, of Pingala and of Karpūramañjari, have employed ardhadandra.³ BOLLENSEN⁴ even wished to regard ardhadandra as a sign of elevation, which WEBER⁵ rightly rejects. Hc.3,7.26 teaches *-hi*, *-hī*, *-him* and *-ī*, *-im* and the MS. R^H of the Rāvaṇavaha tends to write *-ī*, *-hi* when a short is requisite.⁶ Likewise writes the edition of Samav. in the verses, p. 232.233.239, as *tīhī tīhī saehīn, chahī purisasaehī nikkhanto, saveij ātoranēhī uvavejā=tribhīs tribhīh śatāh, sadbhīh purusaśatair niskṛntah, savedikātoraṇāhī upetāh*. This place, without doubt, gives the correct direction with regard to the employment of ardhadandra. It will have to be written when a short syllable is requisite and the following word begins with a vowel or the preceding or the following word ends in *m̄*, as is the case in Samav. at two of the places adduced. We shall, therefore, have to write, for example *sālāṅkarāñā gāhānam* (H.3); *siłum्मuliāñ kūlāim* (H. 355); *tumhehī uvēkkhio* (H.420) *°pasāhīāñ aṅgāim* (H.578); *pandū salilāñ* (G. 577); *vevirapāharānam disāñā °tanumajjhāñāñ* . . . *nimiliāñ muhāim* (R.6,89) *°dhūsarāñ muhāim* (R.8,9); *khanacumbiāñ bhamarehī uaha suumārakesarasihāim* (Śak.2,14). ardhadandra has to be written also in

cases like *tañāim̄ sōtum̄ dīññāī jāī* (H.379), *jaī vaññāī* (H.651), which is expressly testified to by Hc. (§179); further in cases like A. *taruhū vi* (Hc.4,341,2); *atthē̄ hū satthē̄ hū hatthē̄ hū vi* (Hc.4,358,1); *mukkāhā vi* (Hc. 4,370,1), where bindu would be incorrect as instead of *vi* there would have to stand *pi* after it. *m̄* always makes position, which *~* never does (§348.350).?

1. On H. 3.—2. As S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. p. xix. Against him KLATT, ZDMG. 33.451f.—3. Cf. on Hc. 1,2, note 3.—4. On Víkr. p. 521, note; p. 521f., note.—5. On H. 481.—6. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. p. xix, note 2.—7. The nasal vowel uttered with bindu is more strongly nasalized than that indicated by ardhadandra. BOPP was not wrong to the extent assigned by BERGAIGNE (Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique de Paris 2,204, Note 1).

§181. After the vowels, that are final either by origin or become so due to dropping off of a consonant, anusvāra is frequently added in M.AMG. JM. in adverbs except in the cases discussed in §75.114. M. *ajjam̄* (H.R.) beside *ajja=adya*; AMG. JM. *iham̄* beside *iha=iha* (Hc. 1,24; Āyār. 1,1,3,7; Jiv. 305; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Nirayāv.; Kk.), also *ihañam̄* (Hc. 1,24); AMG. JM. *isim̄* beside M. Š. *isi* (§102); AMG. JM. *pabhiim̄=prabhṛti* (Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.); AMG. *uppiim̄*, M. AMG. JM. *uvarim̄*, M. *avarim̄*, beside M. JM. Š. *uvari*, Mg. *uvali=upari* (§123.148); AMG. *sāñm̄=sakṛi* (Āyār. 2,1, 1,5; Uttar. 201.235), *asañm̄=asakṛi* (Āyār. 1,2,3,1; Jiv. 308; Uttar. 201); AMG. *jugavāñ+yugapati* (Thān. 227; Vivāhp. 1440; Uttar. 870.878.881. 1032; Ovav.); AMG. *jāvām̄, tāvāñ=yāvat*, *tāvat* (Vivāhp. 268.269). In M. AMG. JM. *bāhim̄* (Hc. 2,140; Mk. fol. 40; Pāiyāl. 224; G.; Āyār. 2,7,2,1; 2,10,6; Sūyag. 753; Nāyādh. §122 [so to be read]; Erz.), also in *bāhiṁsalla* = *bāhiḥśalya* (Thān. 314) and *bāhiṁñihimto* (Thān. 408) and in AMG. *pāñm̄=prāduh* (§341) and *muhum̄=muhuḥ* (Uttar. 197) a nasal vowel has probably to be assumed (§178). Perhaps, however, *bāhim̄* should be equated as = *bāhyam* according to §151. Since Mk. fol. 40 teaches *bāhim̄* as well perhaps this explanation is more correct. It might also be better to separate *bāhim̄* from *bāhiṁ*. Cf. also *sāñicara* (§84) and §349.

1. Cf. HOERNLE, Uvās., Translation, note 217.

§182. In M. anusvāra is sometimes added to the final *a* in the instr. sing. of the masculine and neuter *a*-stems (Hc. 1,27); *sabbhāvenām̄=sadbhāvena* ((H. 286); *parun̄nenām̄ muhenām̄=praruditena mūkhena* (H. 354); *samaavasenām̄=samayavaśena* (H. 398); *loañenām̄, seeñam̄=locanena, svedena* (H. 828); *kavāñdantarenām̄=kapāñtarentañ* (G 212); *pañjarenām̄* (G.301); *visaam̄señām̄=viśadāññena* (R. 3,55). This sort of addition is very frequent in AMG. JM. So AMG. *tenām̄ kālenām̄ teñam̄ samaenām̄=tena kālena tena samayena* (e.g. Āyār. 2,15,1,6.17.22; Uvās. §1ff. 9.75ff.; Nāyādh. §1,4,6; Ovav. § 1.15.16.23ff.; Kappas. § 1.2.14 etc.); AMG. *samañenām̄ bhagavajā mahāvireñam̄=śramanena bhagavatā mahāvirena* (e.g. Nāyādh. § 8 [where, in addition, 21 instrumental forms in *-ñam̄* follow; shortened, e.g. Uvās. § 2.78.91]), *koheñenām̄ māñenām̄ lobheñenām̄=krodhena māñena lobhena* (Vivāhp. 85), *sakkenām̄ devindrenām̄ devaranñenām̄=śakrenā devendrena deva-rājena* (Nāyādh. 852), *paravāgaranenām̄=paravāyākaranena* (Āyār. 1,1,1,4; 1,7, 2,3), *hiran̄nenām̄=hiranyena* (Āyār. 1,2,3,3); JM. *vaccantenām̄=vrajatā, vaddeñenām̄ saddenām̄=vadrena śabdena, uppahenām̄=utpathena, sureñenām̄=surena* (Āv. 11,19,23,14; 36,32.37), *sanatkumāreñenām̄ nājāmaccavuttantenām̄ kovāñ uvañenām̄=sanatkumārena jñātāmātyavṛtiñtena kopam̄ upagatena* (Erz. 3,29). Similarly also in adverbially used instrumental forms, like AMG. *āñupūvvenām̄=āñupūrvyena* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1; 1,7,7,5; [anu°]; Nirayāv. § 13; Nāyādh. §118 [anu°]) *param̄pareñenām̄* (Kappas. S. § 27); AMG. JM. *suheñenām̄=sukhena* (Vivāgas. 81; Ovav. §16; Nirayāv.; Nāyādh.; Erz.); AMG.

majjhenaṁ=madhyena (*Uvās.*; *Nāyādh.*; *Kappas.*; *Nirayāv.*; *Vivāhap.* 236; *Ovav.* §17).¹ In the nomin. and acc. plural of the neuter Vr. 5,26 teaches the ending *-i* : *vāñāi*, *dahūi*, *mahūi*=*vanāni dadhīni*, *madhūni*, Mk. fol. 43: *-im*: *vāñāim* *dahūim*, *mahūim*, Ki. 3,28 beside *-im* in *dhañāim*, *jasāim*, *dahūim* and according to the opinion of some in *dhañāmim*, *vāñāmim* with anusvāra even before the ending, Hc.3,26, *i* -, *-im*. In prose in all the dialects there occurs only *-im*, so AMg. *se jjāim kulāim=sā yāni kulāni* (*Āyār.* 2,1,2,2) beside *kulāni* (§ 367); JM. *pañca egūñāim addāgasayāim...pakkhattāim=pañca-ikonāny ādarśasatāni...prakṣipāni* (*Āv.* 17,15); S. *rāarakkhidāim tavavañāim=rājaraksitāni tapovanāni* (*Sak.* 16,13); Mg. *śavalāim duśagandhiāim civalāim =śabalāni duśyagandhikāni civarāni* (*Mrcch.* 113,22); Dh. *bhūdāim suvannāim=bhūtāni suvannāni* (*Mrcch.* 36,21). In verses *-i* is written, when the metre requires a short, surely in many cases and probably in all cases (§ 179.180) wrongly. Hc. points to *~*, and in Vr. 5 26 *-i* is probably a false reading for *-im*. The statement in Ki. 3,28, that some scholars required *-im* before anusvāra ought to teach probably more correctly the forms *dhañāim*, *vāñāim* instead of *dhañāmim*, *vāñāmim* given in the text, corresponding to AMg. *mahaṁsa* for *mahanta+aśva=mahāśva* (§ 74). The anusvāra stands here instead of the long. In the loc. plur. of all stems is found beside in *-su*, also in *-sum*, which preponderates in S.Mg. (§ 367). The nom. acc. sing. of the neut. in *-i*, *-u* has frequently *-im*, as in *dahim*, *mahuṁ* beside *dahi*, *mahu* (§ 379), probably with a leaning to the neut. forms in *-am*; according to Hc. 3,25 some taught also *dahū*, *mahū*. Beside *mama* M. AMg. JM. have *mamām* (§ 418 ; H.; *Vivāgas.* §121.122; *Uvās.*; *Bhag.*; *Āv.* 72,28). In the imperative in *-hi* the presses, according to the MSS.,² print, often wrongly *-him* for *-hi* (e. g. *Āyār.* 2,1,5,5 *paribhāehim*, read ^ohi; p. 126,7 *pavattehim*, read *pavattehi*; *Nāyādh.* 144; *Vivāhap.* 612.613 *bhuñjāhim*, read ^ohi; *Kappas.* §114 *jīñāhim*, read ^ohi, *vasāhim*, read ^ohi, *nihañāhim*, read ^ohi; *Vivāhap.* 612.613 *talajāhim*, read ^ohi). Sometimes anusvāra is introduced on account of n. r , as in *devañāgasuvaraṁ=devanāgasuparṇa* (Hc. 1,26); AMg. *chandañnirohena=chandonirodhena* (*Uttar.* 195). Compounds like M. *uvarīndhūmaniv.sa=uparidhūmanivesa* (G. 140), AMg. *uvarīmpuñchañio=upari-pucchinyah* (*Rāyap.* 108; text *pucchariū*), according to §181, AMg. *tirijām-vāya=tiriyagvāta*, *tirijāmbhāgi=tiriyagbhāvin* (*Sūyag.* 829), acc. reading to §75, are to be explained.

1. To these instr. in *-enā*, perhaps both the Vedic instr. forms *ghanenā* and *tējanenā* are to be compared (*LANMAN*, Noun-Inflection p. 331), with difficulty the instr. in *-enā* (*LANMAN*, l.c. p. 332). — 2. LEUMANN, Aup. S. p. 58, note 9.

§183. Final *n* and *m* as a rule become anusvāra, which in AMg. M. JM. often vanishes before b th the vowels and consonants (§ 348ff.). A short nasal vowel and anusvāra alternate more often with non-nasalized long vowels (§ 72.74.75.86.114). Before an immediately following sibilant, *r*, *h* a short nasal vowel is often lengthened accompanied with loss of the nasal tone (§ 76). A long nasal vowel and a long vowel with anusvāra following them either become shortened (§ 83), or the nasal tone vanishes (§ 89), before consonants and in the auslaut of the latter, also with a short vowel (§ 72.173.175.350).

B. THE CONSONANTS.

I. CONSONANTS IN FREE POSITIONS.

1. GENERAL REMARKS RELATING TO WHOLE OR SEVERAL CLASSES.

§184. Initial consonants, other than *n*, *y*, *s*, *s*, remain as rule unchanged. In the beginning of the second member of a compound they are generally treated between vowels as in the interior of a word according to §186.188; more frequently, however, the initial of verbal roots remains unchang'd even after prefixes ending in a vowel¹: M. *paāsei*=*prakāśyati* (G.); *bhamaraūla*=*bhramarakula* (H. 668) beside *mahuarakula*=*madhukrakula* (G. 468); *āīnna*=*ākīrṇa* (G.), *paīnṇa*=*prakīrṇa* (G.H.R.); *āaa* (H.) beside common *āgaa* (G.H.R.)=*āgata*; *vasahaindha*=*vṛṣabhadīnha* (G.) beside *anumaraṇamandapacindha* (G. 479); *karaala*=*karatala* (H. 170) beside *calanatala*=*caranatala* (R. 9,37); *uvaīsāī*=*upadisati* (H.); *avasārīa*=*apasārīta*, *vihalavasārīa*=*vihalaprasārīta* (R. 1,1;13,27), and so against §189 also PG. *anuvatthāveti*=*anuprasthāpāyati* (7,45)²; *gahavaī*=*grhāpati* (H.); *vainsavatta*=*vāṁśapatra* (H. 676) beside *ankollapatra* (H. 313); Š. *ajjaiutta*=*āryaputra* (e.g. Mrcch. 53,18) beside Mg. *ayyapuliśa*=*āryapurusa* (Mrcch. 132,23). Aspirates, according to § 188, may become *h*: M. *vālahilla*=*vālakhilya* (G.), *raīhara*=*ratighara* (H.), *jalahara*=*jaladhara* (G.H.R.), *mūttāhala*=*muktāphala* (G.), *thanahara*=*stanabhara* (H.), beside M. *sarisavak-hala*=*sarsapakhala* (Hc.1,187), *palaaghāna*=*pralayaghana* (R.5,22), *vammahadh-anu*=*mammithadhanuḥ* (R. 1,29), *nimbaphala* (H.248), *rakkhābhwaṁga*=*rakṣā-bhujamga* (G.178). In the same way, most of the enclitics and sometimes words standing behind proclitics after vowels are treated as in inlaut: Š. Mg. D. *adha īm*=*ātha kim* (e. g. Š. Mrcch. 17,24;60,6;67,11; Mg. Mrcch. 14,7;22,1;118,2.4.6.25; D. Mrcch. 101,3); M. Š. Mg. D.Ā. A. GP. (Hc. 4,326) *a*, AMg. JM. JS. *yī*=*ca*; M. *ira*=*kira*=Skt. *kila* (Vr.9,5; Hc.2,186; G.R.); M. JM. Š. Mg. *una*=*punar*, in the sense of "now", "however" (Hc. 1,65.177; Mk. fol. 39; G.H.R.; Āv. 8,33; Erz.; Kk.; Š. e.g. Mrcch. 9,8;13,22;25,1;29,6 etc.; Mg. e.g. Mrcch. 14,22;38,8;43,4;127,24 etc.) also after *anusvāra*, like M. Š. *kim una*=*kim punar* (H.25.417; R.3,28. 32;4,26;11,26; Mrcch. 3,20;18,3; Prab. 15,9;38,6;42,6); M. *enhiṁ una*=*=dāñīṁ punar* (H. 307), *hiaāṁ una*=*hydayāṁ punar* (H. 660); Š. *sambadāṁ una*=*sāṁpratāṁ punar* (Mrcch. 18,23), *ahāṁ una* (Mrcch. 25,14); *tassīṁ una*=*tasmin punar* (Vikr. 35,5), *kadhaāṁ una*=*kathāṁ punar* (Vikr. 73,14); Š. Mg. *kimtiūmittāṁ una* (Mrcch. 88,16;151,2; Mg. 19,5); *vi*=*api* (§143); M. *na vahutītāṁ*=*na prabhūtāṁ* (R. 3,57) for the sake of assonance³, beside *apahutta* (H.277.436). In A. the secondary *pa*, originating from *tva* (§300) as well, is treated in the absolute, as in *pekkheviṇu*, *pekkhevi*, *pekkhivi*=**prekṣitvi*, *bhaṇivi*=**bhanitvi*, *piavi*=**pibativi*, *ramevi*=**ramayitvi* (§588). M.A. *navara*, *navaram*, JM. *navaram*, (Erz.; Rsabhap.) "only" (Vr. 9,7; Hc. 2.187; G.H.R.; Hc.4,377.401,6 [so to be read]), P. GOLDSCHMIDT⁴ conjectures=*na param*, hardly rightly, as the *anusvāra* appears to be secondary. Certainly wrong is the interpretation of M. A. *navari* (Vr. 9,8; Hc. 2,188; G.H.R.; Hc. 4,423,2), JM. *navari* (Pāīyāl. 17; Erz.; Kk.) "thereon", "so forth" from *na pare*, against which is the *i* (§85). Very frequent is the dropping off of the *ja*, after *na* in *jñā* in all the dialects; AMg. JM. have *ja* more often as in inlaut (§170).

1. For the rules, that are common to all the dialects, for the sake of brevity, examples will be given generally only from M.—2. PISCHEL, G. N. 1895. p. 211.—

3. Assonance was also the determinant for the dropping off of the initial consonant in cases, like *o'vvaṇāīm* for *jo'vvaṇāīm* (R. 7,62), *na īṇām* for *na dīṇām* (R. 8,61), *anehiṁ* for *janehiṁ*, *ūraṁ* for *dūraṁ* (R. 8,65). The other places mentioned by S. GOLDSCHMIDT (ZDMG. 32,105) do not have "better and weightier" readings but quite false ones (PISCHEL, GGA. 1880,327).—4. GN. 1874,573, note; cf. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 32 105.

§185. In *tāvat*, *tu*, *te* "your", "to you", "thine" and "these" *t* becomes *d* dialectically. Hc. 4,262.302.323 mentions the transition in *tāvat* for Ś. Mg. P. In Ś. Mg. it is the rule after all vowels and anusvāra, as in Ś. *cīṭha dāva* (Mṛcch. 138,16;139,3; Śak. 125,1), Mg. *cīṣha dāva* (Mṛcch. 9,24;114,12)=*tīṣha tāvat*; Ś. Mg. D. *mā dāva=mā tāvat* (Mṛcch. 18,2;29,11;55,15; Mg. 117,14;151,25;170,24; D. 100,17); Ś. *uvaṇehi dāva=upanaya tāvat* (Mṛcch. 61,10); Mg. *yāṇāhi dāva=jāṇīhi tāvat* (Mṛcch. 80,21); Ś. *cīṭhadu dāva*, Mg. *cīṣhadu dāva=tīṣhatu tāvat* (Vikr. 34,5; Mṛcch. 167,21); Ś. *ojuāe dāva=āryāyai tāvat* (Mṛcch. 94,7); *tumhe dāva=yusme tāvat* (16,20); Ś. Mg. Ā. *ido dāva=itastāvat* (Mṛcch. 3,3; Vikr. 45,17; Mg. Mṛcch. 16,16; Ā. Mṛcch. 99,20); Ś. *anāṅgam dāva* (Ratn. 298,13); *dāśām dāva=dayisyāmi tāvat* (Mṛcch. 35,8); Ś. Mg. *ēvvām dāva=evām tāvat* (Mṛcch. 12,25;24,20;29,1; Mg. 123,4;126,8). The same transition is found also in M. (H.R.), but very seldom without the variant reading *tāva*, as in R. 3,26.29, so that for M., as for AMg. JM., *tāva* only will be correct, which in Ś. Mg. as well always stands at the beginning of a sentence.¹ On M. *dā* see §150.—*tu* (however) has become *du* in JS. after vowels (Pav. 381,18.20;384,58;385,64; Katug. 404,388), after anusvāra it remains *tu* (Pav. 382,23), as also in M. (G. 947), AMg. (Sūy. g. 188.414.429.437.439.497), JM. (Av.19,32;20,8) Ś. (Vikr.40,20), D. (Mṛcch. 325,19). Except in JS. *tu* is rare in all the dialects, it occurs more frequently in Ś. only in *kiṁ tu* (Mṛcch. 53,20; Śak. 17,11;50,11;51,12;54,9; 7,3,8;78,7;98,7;119,2;126,8; Vikr. 33,11;40,6) for which the Dravidian and Devanāgarī recensions of Śak. and the Dravidian recension of Vikr. wrongly write *kiṁ du*². The *u*, that occurs in M. (G.964) JM. (Av.7,38;8,1 [*yu*]; 19,30.34;20,1.3.7; Erz.; Kk.) and especially in AMg. (e. g. Sūy. g. 50.170. 204.297.312.316.330.403,406.410.415.416.465 etc.; Utar. 43.219.295.312ff. 329f.353; Dasav. 622,11.27; Nirayāv. §2; throughout in the verse) is to be derived neither with Sh. P. PANDIT³ and JACOBI⁴ from *tu*, nor with WARREN⁵ from *ca*, but it is =*u*, that occurs also in M. *kim u* (Karp. 78,9.13.14).—*te* of the pronoun of the second person, in Ś. Mg. Ā. D. after vowels or anusvāra becomes *de*. So Ś. *na de=na te* (Śak. 65,10), *anubhava dāva de* (Śak. 67,12), *mā de* (Vikr. 6,17), *kā vi de* (Mṛcch. 5,2), *parihādi de=parihiyate te* (Śak. 91,5), *sūṭhu de=sūṭhu te* (Mṛcch. 29,14), *amādām khu de=amṛtām khalu te* (Vikr. 9,11), *eso de* (Mṛcch. 7,3), *kudo de* (Mṛcch. 36,7), *piduno de=pituste* (Mṛcch. 95,15; so to be read with the v.l. in GODAB LE, p. 271), *sāodām de=svāg̃atām te* (Mṛcch. 3,6), *jam de=yat te* (Mṛcch. 55,4; Vikr. 41,18), *mantidām de=mantritām te* (Vikr. 44,9); Ś. *maṭhaam de*, Mg. *mastaam de=mastakām te* (Mṛcch. 18,5;21,22); Mg. *ede vi de=ete pi te* (=*tvām*; Mṛcch. 128,12) *tado de=tatas te* (Prab. 50,14), *pañham de=braśnam te* (Mṛcch. 80,18), *ēvvām de=evām te* (=*tvām*; Mṛcch. 128,14); Ā. *pidā vi de=pitāpi te*, *jadi de=yadi te* (Mṛcch. 104,17; 105,3); D. *ahinnaṇām de=abhiññām te* (Mṛcch. 105,9). This phonetic transition is seemingly to be assumed in M. also. Without a v.l. are found *vi de=api te* (H.737); *vra de=iva te* (R. 4,31); *pariañena de=parijanena te* (R. 4,33); *pi de* (R. 11,83), *a de=ca te* (R. 11,126). At all other places, with the exception of the Telugu recension of H., the v.l. has *te*, particularly even always after anusvāra (H. s.v. *de*; R. s.v. *tu*)

so that the correct reading remains doubtful.—After other pronominal forms *te* (these) also becomes *de* in S.Mg. (§425). So also M. *jälā* *de*=**yāt kälāt te* (Dhvanyāloka 62,4=H.989). On M. *dāvai*=*tāpayati* see §275.

1. CAPPELLER, Jenaer Literaturzeitung 1877, p.125; BÖHTLINGK, Sanskrit-Chrestomathie² p. 369. Cf. FISCHER on Hc. 4,262; BOLLENSEN on Mälav. p.122.—2. Cf. §275.—3. G. s. v.—4. Erz. s. v.—5. Nirayāv. s.v.

§186. In the middle of a word, in most of the dialects, intervocalic *k*, *g*, *c*, *j*, *t*, *d* usually, *p*, *b*, *v* sometimes, and *y*, except in PG.VG. P. GP., are always dropped (Vr. 2,2; C. 3,34; Hc. 1,177; Ki 2,1; Mk. fol. 14). So M. *uaa*=*udaka* (G.H.R.)¹; *loa*=*loha*; *saala*=*sakala* (H.R.); *sua*=*suka* (H.R.); *anurāa*=*anurāga*; *juala*=*yugala*; *naara*=*nagara* (G.H.); *turaa*=*turaga* (G.R.); *nārāa*=*nārāca* (R.); *paūra*=*pracura* (H.); *vii*=*vici* (G.R.); *gaa*=*gaja*; *nia*=*nija*; *bhoṇa*=*bhojana* (H.); *raaa*=*rajata* (R.); *kaanta*=*kṛtānta* (G.R.); *niamba*=*nitamba*; *rasāala*=*rasātala* (G.R.); *gāā*=*gadā* (R.); *pāā*=*pāda*; *maaña*=*madana* (H.R.); *hīaa*=*hrdaya*; *niuṇa*=*nipuna* (H.R.); *riu*=*ripu*; *rūa*=*rūpa*; *alāu*, *lāi*=*alābū* (§141); *viuha*=*vibudha* (Hc.); *chāā*=*chāyā*; *pīa*=*priya*, *vīa*=*vivoga* (H.R.); *jīa*=*jīva*; *dīaha*=*divasa*; *lāṇṇa*=*lāvanya* (G.); *valāṇṇala*=*vadavānala* (Hc.). Cf. §199.

i. These citations hold good for all the words for which no references have been given. Cf. also §184, note 1.

§187. In place of the consonants that have dropped off a weakly articulated *ya* (*laghuprayatnata yakāra*, is uttered, that is indicated in this grammar by *ja* (§45; C. 3,35; Hc. 1,180; Ki.3,2). Except in the MSS. written by Jainas this *ja* is not indicated. Hc. 1,180 teaches that it comes in between *a*, and *ā* only, but he recognises also *pījāi*=*pibati* and 1,15 *sarijā*=*Pālisaritā*=*sari*. Mk. fol. 14 adduces a quotation, according to which *jaśruti* occurs when one of the vowels is either an *a*- or an *i*-sound : *anādāv aditau varṇau paṭhitavyau yakāravad iti pāṭhaśikṣā*. In KI. *ja* is generally written only between *a*-sounds, as : 1 *sajalāṇa*, 9 *pajā*, 10 *nāja*, *maṇayam pi* (sic), 11 *sajalam pi* (sic); on the other hand, it is mostly wanting after an *i*-sound. But the inscription is not uniform. Beside *ni a* (9) stands *nia* (12); in 14 there is *iya* and in 13, *neya*=*naiva* also. The oldest M.S. writes *ja* after all vowels before *a*, *ā* in AMg. JM. JS., and for these dialects *ja* is a characteristic¹. There are, therefore, the correct ways of writing, as *indija*=*indriya*, *hiyaya*=*hrdaya*; *gīja*=*gīta*; *dīhiyā*=*dirghikā*; *rūya*=*ruta*; *dūya*=*dūta*; *teyā*=*tejas*; *lojā*=*loka*. One says, however, only *ei*=*eii*; *loe*=*lohe*; *duo*=*dūtah*, *uiya*=*ucita*, *uūim*=**ṛtūni*. Numerous examples are found in the preceding and following paragraphs. The Jainas erroneously transfer this and other ways of writing from AMg. JM. JS. to other dialects too (§11.15).

i. HOEFER, ZWS. 3,366; WEBER, Bhag. 1,397 ff.; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 4 f.; FISCHER, Hc. 1, p. x f.; on 1,180; KLATT, ZDMG. 33,447; JACOBI, ZDMG. 34,181; KZ. 25,295; STEINTHAL, Specimen p.3.

§188. Aspirates, except *ch*, *jh*, *th*, *dh*, generally become *h* between vowels (Vr. 2,27; Hc. 1,187; Ki 2,14; Mk. fol. 16). So M. *muha*=*mukha* (G.H.R.)¹; *mehalā*=*mekhalā*; *sāhā*=*sākhā*; *jahana*= *jaghana*; *meha*=*megha*; *rahunāha*=*raghunātha* (R.); *lahua*=*laghuka*; *aha*=*atha*; *jūha*=*yūtha*; *mahu*=*mahaṇa*=*madhumathana*; *raha*=*ratha*; *ahara*=*adhara*; *ruhira*=*rudhira* (G.R.); *vahū*=*vadhū*; *sīhu*=*sīdhu* (G.H.); *sahara*=*sāphara* (G.); *sehāliā*=*sephālikā* (H.); *ahinava*=*abhinava*; *naha*=*nabhas* and =*nakha*; *rahasa*=*rabbasa*; *sahā*=*sabhā* (R.); *seriha*=*sairibha* (G.H.). On *ph* see further especially § 200.

In the initial syllable transition to *h* is just sporadic: *hammaī* (goes to) with the compounds *nihammaī*, *ñihammaī*, *āhammaī*, *pahammaī* (Hc. 4,162), *nīhammia* (gone out): (Desin. 4,43), M. *pahammanti* (G. 871 v.l.)=Pāli *ghammati*. The word has *h* already in Skt. and is attributed to the dialects of Surāstra², by Haripāla on G. 871, to that of Kamboja. Dialectically initial *bh* has frequently become *h* in the root *bhū* and its compounds, which has probably resulted from the forms used enclitically. So AMg. JM. *havai*, JS. *havadi*, M. JM. A. *hoi*, JS. *hodi=bhavati*, M. *hvanti=bhavanti*, PG. *hoja*, P. *huveyya=bhavet*, Mg. *huviasi=***bhūyate*, S. *havissadi*, Mg. *haviśādi=bhavisyatī*, AMg. JM. *hojavva*, S. Mg. *hodavva*, Mg. *huvidavva=bhavitavya*, M. JM. *hōm*, JS. *hodum=bhavitum* (§475,476,521,570). The Telugu recension of H. has pretty often *h* for *bh*: *haṭṭha=bhraṣṭa*; *hanida=bhanīta*; *hanīrī* for *bhanīrī*; *handāna=bhanḍana*; *hamira=bhamira*; *hāā=bhrātā*; *huaga, huatinga=bhujaga, bhujamga*; *humaā* for *bhumāā*; *hūsanā=bhūṣāṇa*; *hea=bheda*; *hoana=bhōjana*.³ On aspirates at the beginning of the second member of a compound see § 184.

1. Cf. § 186, note 1.—Patañjali, Vyākaraṇamahābhāṣya 1, p. 9,26 ed., KIELHORN; Naighaṇṭuka 2,14 (p. 14,17 ed. Roth=1,238 ed. SATYAVRATA SĀMAŚRAMI); WEBER, IS. 13,363 f.; E. KUHN, Beiträge p.42.—3. WEBER, H. s.v.

§189. In PG. VG. all consonants, including the aspirates, with the exception of *bh* in *hoja* (§ 188), remain unchanged: PG. *ārakṣādhikātē gumiķe tūthike=ārakṣādhikṛtān gulmikān tīrthikān* (5,5); *udakādīm* (6,29); *jāmātukāsa=jāmātūkasya* (6,14); *nāganāndisa=nāganandīnaḥ* (6,25); *patibhāga=pratibhāga* (6,12); *mahārājādhirājō* (5,1); *appatihata=apratihata* (6,19); *varisasatasahasrātireka=varṣasatasahasrātireka* (7,42); *āpiṭī* (6,8.37); *āpi* (6,37); *parihāpetavva=parihāpītavya* (6,37); *pamukhānām=pramukhānām* (6,27.38); *uparilikhitam* (7,44); *atha* (6,40); *tūthike=tīrthikān* (5,5); *assamedha=aśvamedha* (5,1); *narādhamo* (7,47); *vasudhādhipataye=vasudhādhipatīn* (7,44); *°cchobham=ksobham* (6,32); *vallabhamadena* (6,40). Exceptions are *kassava=kāṣyapa* (6,18); *kāravējjā=Pāli kārapēyya* (6,40); *anuvatthāvetī=anuprasthāpayatī* (§184); *vi=āpi* (5,6;6,29); *bhaṭa=bhaṭa* (5,7;7,43); *koti=koti* (6,10); *kada=kṛta* (7,51). Cf. BÜHLER, EI. 1,3 and §10.

§190. In P. most of the consonants are retained in the initial and medial syllables (Hc. 4,324; Nāmisādhu on Rudrata, Kāvyālankāra 2,12); *anekapā*; *makaraketu*; *sagaroputītavacana*; *vijayasenena lapiām*; *pāṭaliputī*; *pāṭākā*; *vetasa* (Hc. 4,307); *pāpa*; *āyudha*; *mukha*; *megha*; *sabhā*; *kamathā*; *mathā*. In the initial and medial syllables *da* becomes *ta* (Hc. 4,307), according to Nāmisādhu, optionally: *tāmotara=dāmodara*; *tīṭha=dīṣṭa* (Hc. 4,314, 321,323); *tāṭhūna, tāṭhūna* (Hc. 4,313,323); *tātīsa=tādīṣā*, *yātīsa=yādīṣā* (Hc. 4,317); *teti=***dayati* (Hc. 4,318); *tevara=devara* (Hc. 4,324); *matana=madana*, *satana=sadana*, *patesa=pradeśa*, *vatanaka=vadanaka* (Hc. 4,307).—*tha* becomes *dh* according to Hc.: *adha=aṅga* (Hc. 4,323), *kadhitūna=kāthitvāna* (Hc. 4,312); *puḍhuma=prathama* (Hc. 4,316); *kadhaṁ=katham* (Hc. 4,323); according to Nāmisādhu it is retained: *pāṭhama=prathama*; *pūṭhūvi=pṛithvī*.

§191. In CP. remain, not only are the tenues retained as in P., but all medial, in the initial and medial syllables, are changed into tenues (Hc. 4,325; Ki. 5,102)¹: *kakana=gagana*; *kīritata=gīritata*; *khamma=gharma*; *khata=ghṛta* (§ 47); *cāta=jāta*; *cīmūta=jīmūta*; *čacchāra=jharjhara*; *charīkāla=jhānkāra*; *tamaruka=damaruka*; *ṭimpā=dimba*; *ṭhakkā=dhakkā*; *tāmotara=dāmodara*; *thūli=dhūli*; *pālaka=bālaka*;

pisa=bisa; phakavati=bhagavati; phuta=bhuta; nakara=nagara; mekha=mehga; raca=rajan; taṭaka=tadaga. kōṭha=gādha; matana=madana; mathura=madhura; sāṭhu+sādhū; raphasa=rabhasa According to Hc. 4,325 and Ki. 5,103 the change takes place in secondary sounds too, as in *cacana=Pkt. jajana=Skt. yajana; patimā=padimā=pratimā; tāṭhā=Pkt. dāḍhā=dāmṣṭrā* (§76). According to Hc. and Ki. conjunct consonants too undergo the change : *tukkā=durgā; makkana=mārgana, vakkha=vyāghra; caccara=jarjara; nicchara=nirjhara; kanṭa=ganda; manṭala=manḍala; sanṭha=sanḍha; kantappa=kandarpa; panthava=bandhava; tīmpa=dimba; ramphā=rambhā*. Vr. 10,3 teaches that initial and conjunct consonants remain unaltered. Examples of this rule in Bh. are: *gakana=gagana, against kakana in Ki.; gamana; dasavatana; gopinta=govinda; samgāma=samgrāma; vaggha=vyāghra, against vakkha in Hc.* Of these *nt* in *gopinta* is against Vr., but it is in accord with Hc., Ki., as also the examples of Bh. for the inlaut *mekha, rāca-, nicchara, vajisa=vadiśa, māthava=mādhava, saraphasa, salapha=śalabha*. Hc. 4,327 mentions that according to the opinion of other teachers the change does not take place in consonants in the initial syllable and in the root *yujः: gati; ghamma, jīmūta; jhacchara; damaruka; dhakkā; dāmotara; bālaka; bhakavati; niyojita* against *niyocita* in 4,325. Doubtful is the treatment of *va*. Bh. has *gopinta=govinda, kesapa=keśava, but vajisa=vadiśa, dasavatana=dasa-vadana, māthava=mādhava, vaggha=vyāghra*. In Hc. original *va* is consistently retained : *vakkha=vyāghra; panthava=bāndhava; phakavati=bhagavati; vasuhā=vasudhā*. Ki. has 5,108 *pala=vana*, but 5,110 *vañña=varṇa*, and he retains it also in 5,107 *thvalati=dhvani, thvalita=dhvania²*. Seemingly *pa* is to be written, unless, here too, different dialects have got mixed up promiscuously. Secondary *va*, that originated from *ya* (§254), has become *pa* in P. *hitapa=hṛdaya* (Sr. fol. 64), *hitapaka=hṛdayaka* (Vr. 10,14; Hc. 4,310; Ki. 5,112; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa 2,12; Simhadevaganin on Vāgbhaṭalamkāra 2,3)³. Mk. has *pisesa=viśeṣa* (fol. 86), *kupa ci=kva cid, pisumaa=vismaya* (fol. 87) in the section on Kaikeyapaisācikā. On *piva* see §336, on an analogous shifting in the Gipsy, Dardu and Kāfir languages see MIKLOSICH, Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Zigeuner mundarten (Wien 1874.1878 I.II.p.15ff.; IV. p. 51. Cf. §27 notes 7.8.

1. It has already been noted above, §27, that the grammarians do not sharply distinguish between P. and CP. In Vr. and Ki. by P. is clearly meant CP., while the rule for P. given in Hc. 4,304 on *rājan* is a characteristic of CP., since Hc. 4,304 has *rājā*, against *rācā*, *rācānām* in Bh. 10,12 and 4,323 has *rājām rājā*, against that 4,325 has *rācā* for CP. In Hc. 4,326 in CP. ^oaggalaggapatibimbar, luddam sanuddā are in accord with 4,327, on the other hand, ^opātukkhevena is to be corrected to ^opēna.—2. So reads also the Paris manuscript according to LASSEN, Inst. p.441; cf., however, §243.—3. For the false reading *hitaakān* in Vr. (cf. v.l., where *va* has been wrongly read for *pa*) and in Ki. we have to read *hitapakam*.

§ 192. In A., according to Hc. 4,396, intervocalic *k,t,p* shift to *g,d,b* respectively instead of dropping off, and *kh, th, pha* become *gh, dh, bh* respectively instead of changing into *h* (§188). Examples of this rule are not very abundant: *khaagāli=kṣayakāle* (Hc.4,377); *nāagu=nāyakah* (Hc. 4,427); *vicchohagaru=viksobhakaram* (Hc.4,396,1); *sughe=sukhe* (Hc.4,396,2); *āgado=āgatah* (Hc.4,355.372); *karadi, citihadi=karoti, tiṣṭhati* (Hc.4,360); *kīlādi=kri-dati* (Hc.4,442,2); *krdantahō=kṛtāntasya* (Hc.4,370,4); *ghadadi, praāvadi, thido=ghaṭate, prajāpatih, sthitah* (Hc.4,404); *madi=mati* (Hc.4,372); *vinimmaridu, kidu, radie, vihidi=vinirmāpitam, krtam, ratyāḥ, vihitam* (Hc.4,446); *gāñjdu, malidu, harāvidu, bhāmidu, himīdu=**gāñjitat (=pīditam; Hc.4,409; cf. Ārya-saptaśatī 384.685; Gītagovinda 1,19), *marditam, hāritam bhrāmitam, him-*

sitam (Kk. 260,43ff.); *sabdhū*=*śapatham*, *kadhidu*=*kathitam*, *sabhalaū*=*saphalakam* (Hc. 4,396,3). By far in most cases A. follows the rules that are applicable to M.; A. of Pingala always does it except in the case of *madagala*=*madakala* (§ 202), so does Kālidāsa as well: hence this phonetic law can be considered to hold good just dialectically (§28).

§193. In lieu of dropping off of a consonant or of overstepping of some aspirates to *h*, there often occurs their reduplication. The aspirates are doubled by the corresponding non-aspirate sounds, so that when doubled they appear as *kkha*, *ggha*, *ccha*, *jha*, *t̄ha*, *d̄ha*, *t̄tha*, *ddha*, *p̄pha*, *bbha* (Vr. 3,51; C. 3,26; H. 2,90; Ki. 2,108; Mk. fol. 26). The preceding and the following paragraphs contain numerous examples. In PG. the doubled aspirates, in agreement with the method of writing of the other inscriptions, are partly written by means of the simple aspirates: *ārakhādhikate*¹ *ārakṣādhikṛtān* (5,5); *vadhānike*=*vardhanakān* (6,9); *dakhiṇa*=*dakṣiṇa* (6,28); *pupha*=*puspa* (6,34). The inscription, however, mostly follows the method of writing of manuscripts: *aggīt̄homa* [so to be read]=*agniṣṭoma* (5,1); *savattha*=*sarvatra* (5,3); *rat̄hika*=*rāṣṭrika* (5,4); *ēt̄tha*=*īt̄hā* (5,7); *vaithavāñā*=*vāstavyāñām* (6,8); *rat̄the*=*rāṣṭre* (6,27); *araṭ̄ha*=*arāṣṭra* (6,32); *vē t̄thi*=*viṣṭi* (6,32); *ccobham*=*kṣobham* (6,32); *kaṭ̄ha*=*kāṣṭha* (6,33); *at̄ihārasa*=*asṭādaśa* (6,34); *addhikā*=*ardhakāh* (6,39); *anuvat̄hāveti*=*anuprasthāpayati* (7,45); *vighe*=*vighnān* (7,46); *sahattha*=*svahasta* (7,51). In *abhatthemi*=*abhyarthayāmi* we have both the methods of writing conjoined. In the manuscripts, that are in the Dravidian script from which the Nāgarī manuscripts have been transcribed, hence in the South Indian impressions too the aspirates like other consonants are either printed double or are mostly indicated as to be doubled by a small circle in the interval before the aspirate (a somewhat rare point): *agh̄ha*=or *aogha*=*aggha*=*arghya*; *abhbhātthāñā* or *abhaothāñā*=*abbhatthañā*=Skt. *abhyarthāñā*; *vakhkhātthāñā* or *vaokhaothāñā*=*vaksātthāñā*. *cha* and *dhā* are most seldom written doubled; in relation to the other aspirates the manuscripts waver. In the Bengali manuscripts the doubling occurs very seldom; it is sometimes so also in older editions, as in that of Prabodhacandrodaya, Pūnā Śāke 1773 in some aspirates, such as *kha*, e.g. in *rakhhāsi*=*rākṣasi* (fol. 13^a); *gha*, e.g. in *ugghādīadi*=*udghātyate* (fol. 12^b); *tha*, e.g. in *sut̄hthu*=*sus̄thu* (fol. 19^b), *pha*, in *viphphurāmita*=*visphurat* (fol. 16^b), *bha*, e.g. in *nibhbhatisida* (sic!) for *nibbhacchida*=*nirbharisita* (6^a). The edition writes in Sanskrit too *ujjhīhita* (fol. 13^a). Clearly it goes back to a South Indian text, since it often agrees with the Telugu edition of Madras. Sh. P. PANDIT has retained this orthography, strictly depending on his manuscripts, in his editions of Mālāvīgnimitra and Vikramorvaśya in the case of all the aspirates, so that he too writes for example *puṭṭhīdūm*, *dīt̄thīm*, *nīj̄-jhāntī*, *śīṇḍhdham* (Mālav.5), *ubhbhīṇṇa*, *paṭṭhīdā* (p.6) etc. also². Doubling also occurs in the manuscripts of Hāla and in isolated cases especially in *bha*, also in some Calcutta editions, of Jaina books, as in Panhāv. *khokhubh-bhamāñā* (169.210); *pabbhāṭṭha* (216); *labhbhā* (363.466); *vibhbhamo* (227.468); *abhbhūṇṇaya* (284); in Vivāgas. *tubhbhīm* (17); *tubhbham* (20.21); *ukhkhatta* (214); *pāmoṄkkhaṇī* (215), *pāmoṄkkhaṇām*, *pāmoṄkkhehiṇ*, *abhbhūgae* (216); in Jiv. *sattakkhūtto* (621), *dakkhinilla* (842), *savvabhbhan-tarilla* (878f.), *nakkhāṇām* (883.886.887), *majjhīhimyā* (905ff.), *avadhāḍha* (1055f.) etc. This method of writing has merely orthographic, but not a phonetic meaning (§26).

1. So to be read; see LEUMANN, EI. 2.484.—2. Cf. PANDIT, Mālav.² (Bombay 1889) p. vñ.—3. WEBER, Hāla¹ p. 26f.

§194. Instead of dropping off, or in the case of aspirates, becoming *h*, an intervocalic consonant is often doubled, if it originally stood before an accented vowel. Semivowels and nasals also undergo this doubling. So AMg. *ukkhā=ukhā* (Āyār. 2,1,2,1); AMg. *ujju=rjū* (§57); A. *kētthu=kathā* (§107); JM. *jitta=jitā* (Erz. 13,6); AMg. *nijitta=nirjita* (Sūyag. 704); M. *nakkha*, AMg. *nakkha* beside *naha*, *naha=nakhā* (Bh. 3,58; Hc. 2,99; Ki. 2,212; Mk. fol. 27; Pāiyāl. 109; H.R.; Uvās.); A. *nimma=niyamā* (§149); M. AMg. *no~llai=nullai=nudati* (§244); M. *phuttaī=sphutatī* (Hc. 4,177.231; G.H.R.); A. *phutti=sphuteh* (Hc. 4,357,4), *phutti-su=sphuti-syāmi* (Hc. 4,422,12); *phiṭṭai=ṣphitāti* (Hc. 4,177,370) beside *phuḍāi*, *phidai*; *sō~llai=sūḍdyati* (§244); *hatta=hatti* in *ohatta=avahata* (bent downward; Deśin. 1,156), *pasuhatta=parasuhata=parashuhata*, *paraśuhata* (tree; Deśin. 6,29); A. *duritta=duritā* (Piṅgala 2,17.35.43 [dūrittā]. 186), *mālatī=mālatī'* (Piṅgala 2,113), *vrattu=vratām* (Hc. 4,394). The same accent is to be assumed in the suffix *-ka* in M. *sīsakka=śīsaka* (R. 15,30); *ledukka, ledhukka=leṣṭuka* (§304); M. JM. Š. A. *pāikka=pādātika* (Hc. 2,138; R.; Erz.; Mālatīm. 288,6; Bālar. 199,10; Priyad. 44,18 [so to be read with ed. Cal. 49,2]; Piṅgala 1,107.121.143^a.152^a [*pa^o*; text *pāika*]; 2,138)¹; Mg. *hadakka=ḥrdaka* (§150; Vr. 11,6; Ki. 5,89 [text *hydakko*; in LASSEN, Inst. p. 393 *hladakko*]; Mrcch. 79,11; 114,14.16.18; 115,23), in verses also *haṭaka* (Mrcch. 9,25 [Śakāra] and *hadaka* 30,21); Mg. *hagge=ṣahakah* (§142.417); *aakka* beside *aaga=ajaka* (demon; Deśin. 1,6)²; A. *kālikkā=kālikā* (Piṅgala 2,43); Š. ^ocaccikka=^ocarcika (Mrcch. 73,15); A. *nāakka=nāyaka* (Piṅgala 1,34.57.116), *dipakka=dipaka* (Piṅgala 1,138), *rūakka=rūpaka* (Piṅgala 2,137), *sāraṅgikkā=sāraṅgikā* (Piṅgala 2,71 [*sa^o*]. 187). Likewise in the suffix *-ta* in AMg. *viuvvitta=vikurvita* (Sūyag. 792.806), beside the usual *viuvvija*. According to the same rule is explained the duplication of *la* in the suffixes *-alla*, *-illa*, *-ulla=alā*, *-ilā*, *-ulā* (§595). Against the rule, and according to analogy are formed A. *paṭumāvatti=pādmāvati* and *meṇakkā=me’nakā* (Piṅgala 1,116; 2,209). The doubling is frequent also after the long vowel, which is then shortened, as in *ē~vām=vām*; *kiddā=kriḍā*; *je~va=vād*; *ne~ddā=nidā*; *tūṇikkā=tūṇikā*; *te~llā=tailā*; *dugullā=dukūlā* etc. (§90).³ On the duplication of enclitics see §92ff., on *nihitta*, *vāhitta* §286.

1. S. GOLDSCHMIDT (Monatsberichte der Königl. Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin 1879,922), who is followed by WEBER (Hāla² p. xvii) and JACOBI [Erz. s.v.], wrongly derives the word from Persian, because of the large number of cases of duplication of the suffix *-ka* were not known to him. My derivation from *pādika* (GGA. 1881,1321) was also wrong, although linguistically that is unassailable — 2. Cf. BÖHTLINGK s.v. *ajaka*, and *purilladvā=asura* (Deśin. 6,55)=*daiya* (Triv. I,4,121; cf. BB. 13,12). — 3. PISCHEL, KZ. 35,140ff.; otherwise JACOBI, KZ. 35,575ff.

§195. If the conjunct consonants are separated by a separation vowel, they must be simplified or be treated according to § 186. 188. Sometimes, however, in this case one of them is doubled according to the rule holding good for conjunct consonants (§131): AMg. *sassiriya*, Š. *sassiria=sasṛīka*; Š. *sassiriadā, sassiriattā=saśrikatā, *saśrikatvana* (§98.135)¹; *puruvo=pūrva*; *murukkha=mūrkha*; AMg. *riuvveya=r̥gveda* (§139); Š. *sakkanomi, sakkuṇomi=śaknomi*; *sakkānodi, sakkuṇodi=śaknoi* (§140.505); AMg. *sakkiriya=sakriya* (Ovav. §30.II,4b; so to be read with the MSS.); AMg. *sukkila=śukla*, JM. *sukkiliya=śuklita* (§136). In JM. *namōkkāra*, M. A. *avarōppara*, M. AMg. JM. Š. *parōppara=namaskāra, aparaspāra, paraspāra*, as has been changed into *o*, besides *ska* into *kka*, and *spa* into *ppā* (§306. 311.347). In M. AMg. *po~mma=padma*, *po~mmā=pādmā*, M. Š. *po~mmārā=*

padmarāga, *a* has become contracted with the udvṛtta vowel (§139.166), and yet in spite of this doubling has taken place.² On *-jja* for *-ya* see see §91.

1. Kāvyaprakāśa 72,11 is correct, *jaasirī*, like *jaasirī* (G. 243), since in line 10 *balāmoḍī* is to be read (§238.589). It is not, with WEBER, Hāla¹ A. 22,2977, to be written as *jaasiri*.—2. PISCHEL, KZ. 35,146f. On Pāli see FRANKE, GN. 1895-530.

§196. Consonant groups standing at the beginning of the second member of a compound may be treated as if they were initial and in that case they must be simplified (§268; Vr. 3,57; Hc. 2,97; Ki. 2,115; Mk. fol. 28); M. *vāraṇakhandha*=*vāraṇaskandha* (G.1200) beside *mahiṣakkhandha*=*mahiṣaskandha* (H. 561); M. *hatihaphaṁsa*=*hastasparsa* (H. 330) beside *hatthaphaṁsa* (H. 462); Š. *anugahida*=*anugṛhīta* (Mrcch. 25,3) beside *pariggahida*=*parigṛhīta* (Mrcch. 41,10); *naīgāma* beside *naīggāma*=*naḍigrāma* (Bh.; Hc.); *kusumapaara* beside *kusumapāra*=*kusumaprakara* (Bh.; Hc.); *devathui* beside *devatthui*=*devastuti* (Bh.; Hc.; Ki.); *ānālakhambha* beside *ānālakkhambha*=*ālānastambha* (Bh.; Hc.); *harakhandā* beside *harakkhandā*=*haraskandau* (Hc.). Doubling is, however, the rule, that is to say, the treatment, as if in the inlaut, and according to this analogy¹, not seldom, even a single consonant has been doubled at the beginning of a compound : Š. *akkhaida*=*akhādita* (Mrcch. 55,15); *addaṁsana*=*adarśana* (Hc. 2,97); M. *addiṭṭha*=*adr̄ṣṭa* (G.H.R.); M. *addā*, AMg. JM. *addāga*, *addāya*=**adāpaka*² (mirror; Desin. 1,14; Paiyal. 119; H.; Thān. 284; Pannav. 435f.; Nandis. 471; Āv. 17,10. 14,15,16; Erz.); M. *pabbuddha*=*prabuddha* (R.12,34); *abbuddhasirī*=*abuddhaśrī* (Desin. 1,42; Triv. 1,4,121); M. *akkhandia*=*akhanḍita* (H. 689); M. *alliai*, JM. *alliyai*, AMg. *uvalliyai*, M. *samalliai*, JM. *samalliẏai* (§474); M. JM. *allina* (G.H.R.; Āv. 14,23;24,17,26,28; Erz.), M. *anallīna* (R.), *samallīna* (H.) from *lī* w.th *ā*, *upa*, *samā*³; *allīvai*=*ālipāti*=*ālimpati* (Hc. 4,39); *avallāva*=*apalāpa* (Desin. 1,38); A. *uddhabbhua*=*ūrdhvabhūja* (Hc. 4,444,3); *ōggāla* beside *oāla* for **ogāla* (rivul.t; Desin.1,151)=**avagāla*, from *gal* with *ava*; AMg. *kāyaggrā*=*kāyagrā* (Dasav. 634,24); M. Š. *te'lu'kkā* (Bh. 1,35;3,58; Hc. 2,97; Ki. 2,114; Mk. fol. 27; R.; Dhūrtas. 4,20; Anarghar. 317,16); Karnas.13,9,11; Mahāv. 118,3; Uttarar. 64,8 [*te'loa*]; Mallikām. 133,3), beside AMg. M. *telō'kkā* (Grr.; G.; Pannav. 2.178ff. [°lu°]; Dasav. N 655,28; Uvās.; Kappas.)=*trailokya*, Mg. *pañcayyana*=*pañcajanīḥ* (Mrcch. 112,6); *pañikkula* (Hc.2,97), beside the usual *pañiūla*=*pratikūla*; M. *pabbala*=*prabala* (R.); *pammukka* (Hc. 2,97) beside the usual *pamukka*=*pramukta* (§566); M. AMg. JM. Š. *paravasa* (H.R.; Panhāv. 136; T. 6,14; Erz.; Lalitav. 554,5; Vikr. 29,12, v.l.; Nāgān. 50,13 v.l.), Mg. *palavarasa* (Mallikām. 143,11; so to be read)=*paravasa*; AMg. *anuvvnsa*=*anuvaśa* (Sūyag. 192); *pavvāi*=**pravāyati*=*pravāti* (Hc. 4,18), M. *pavvña*=*pravāta* (H.R.); M. *ānāmē ttāphala*=*āññāmārphala* (R. 3,6); *ahinavāttāphala*=*abhinava-dattāphala* (R. 2,37); *pāvāphala*=*pādāpaphala* (R. 9,4; cf. R. 12,12; 3,89; H. 576) *baddhaphala* beside *baddhaphala* (Hc. 2,97; Mk. fol. 29); JM. *bahupphala* (Ki. 2,116; Kk. 271,20), beside *bahuhalā* (Ki. 2,116, cf. §200); AMg. *purisakkāra*=*puruṣakāra* (Vivāhap. 67,68,125; Nāyādī. 374; Uvās. Ovav.)⁴, as M. *sāhukkāra*=*sādhukkāra* (R.); AMg. *tahakkāra*=*tathākāra* (Thān. 566); JM. *bhattibbhara*=*bhaktibhara* (Kk. 269,14); M. *manikkhaia*=*manīkhacita* (Mrcch. 41,2); M. *malaasiharakkhandā*=*malayaśīharakhaṇḍā* (Hc. 2,97=R. 8,69); M. *vannagghaa*=*varṇagṛhīta* (H. 520); A. *vijjajjhara*=*vidyādhara* (Vikr. 59,5; see §216); A. *vippakkha*=*vipakṣa* (Pingala 1,138^a); AMg. *sakaḍabbhi*, *sagaḍabbhi*=*svakṛtabhid* (Āyār. 1,3,4,1,4); M. *sajjia*=*sajiva* (R. 1,45), *sattappa*=*satṛṣṇa* (R. 1,46), *sappivāsa*=

sapipāsa (Hc. 2,97; R. 3,21), *sesapphana* = *sesaphana* (R. 6,19; cf. 6,63.69.72; 7,59; 9,14.34.45), *pandurapphena* (R. 8,9; cf. 8,49; 13,24.53.66); AMg. JS. *saccita* = *sacitra* (Dasav. 622,39; Kattig. 403,379). In ^o*kkāra*, the words beginning with *kkha* and ^o*pphala*, the doubling is to be ascribed perhaps to an original *s*, in many other cases the duplication is purely metrical, and certainly also in M. *tanullāā* (Karp. 27,12), beside the usual *tanulaā* = *tanulatā*, AMg. *rāgadosa* (Uttar. 707; Dasav. N.653,6), beside the usual *rāgadosa* (§129), JS. *kuddiṭṭhi* = *kudṛṣṭi* (Kattig. 399,318; 400,323), beside and influenced by *saddiṭṭhi* = *saddrṣṭi* (Kattig. 399,317.320) and so on.

1. PISCHEL, KZ. 35,147ff.—2. So more correctly than BB. 3,247, as JM. *addāga* proves. On the contraction cf. §165, on *dāvā* §554. Incorrect WEBER, Hālal p. 29; on Hālal 4,204.—3. So more correctly than BB. 13,10f.; cf. KZ. 35,149.—4. Incorrectly explain HOERNLE (Uvās.; Transl. p. 111, note 254) and LEUMANN (WZKM. 3,345) these words as analogous formation according to *balakkāra* = *balākāra*. It could, with equal justification, be explained as having been formed according to *sakkāra* = *satkāra*.

§197. In many cases the duplication of consonants may be explained from a word-formation or a flexion deviating from Skt. So in *katto* = *kutah* from **kattah* = *kad+tah*; *jatto* = *yad+tah*; *tatto* = *tad+tah*; *aṇṇatto* = *anyad+tah*, on the analogy of which are formed *atto* = *dtah*; *e'kkatto* = *ekatah*; *savvatto* = *sarvatah* and probably also *itto* = *itah*, which, however, might have its duplication quite regular according to §194. *etto* = **etatah* is derived from *eta* = *etad+tah*, as *aṇṇo* from *anya* = *anyad+tah* according to §339 and with elision of *a* according §148. On *to* see § 142¹. The majority of the verbs of the fourth and sixth classes of Skt. has, according to the phonetic laws of Pkt., doubling as its consequence, as in *allai* (§196); *phuṭṭai*, *phiṭṭai* (§194); *kukkai*, *ko'kkai* = **krukyati*; *callai* = **calyati* = *calati*; *ummillai* = **unmilyati* = *unmīlati*; Š. *ruccadi* = **rucyate* = *rocate*; *laggai* = **lagyati* = *lagati*; Š. *vajjadi* = **vrajyati* = *vrajati* (§487.488,²). From the derivation of nouns from the present stems are explained the cases, like *oalla* (shivering, departure; Deśin. 1,165; Triv. 1,4,121 = BB. 13,8) = **apacalya*; cf. *oallanti*, *oallania-* (R.); *ujjalla* (Hc. 2,174 [so to be read; see translation p. 89]); Triv. 1,4,121 = BB. 13,7), *o'jjalla* (Deśin. 1,154) "strong"; *ujjallā* (violence; Deśin. 1,97) from **ujvalya-*; *ko'ppa* (agitation; Deśin. 2,45; Triv. 1,4,121 = BB. 6,104) from *kuḍyapa-*; *sivvi* (needle; Deśin. 8,29; Triv. 1,3,105 = BB. 3,260), *sivvinī* (Deśin. 8,29) from *sivya-*³.

1. Examples in §425ff. Cf. PISCHEL, KZ. 35,149. Somewhat deviating S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākṛtīca p. 22; wrongly JACOBI, KZ. 35,578; wrong is also LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *tatto*, who considers the duplication as purely metrical.—2. PISCHEL, BB. 13,8ff.—3. PISCHEL, BB. 6,86.

§198. Transition of tenues into mediae is the rule with cerebrals, when they stand unconnected within a word and between vowels; *t* becomes *ḍ* and *tha* becomes *dh* (Vr. 2,20.24; Hc. 1,195.199; Ki. 2,10.18; Mk. fol. 16). So M. *kadaa* = *kataka* (G.H.R.)¹; *kuḍumba* = *kuṭumba* (G.H.); *ghadīa* = *ghatīta*; *cadula* = *catūla*; *tada* = *tata*; *padala* = *paṭala*; *riḍava* = *riṭapa*.—*kuḍhiṇa* = *kaṭhina* (G.H.); *kadhinattanā* = **kaṭhinatvana* (R.); *kamadha* = *kaṭamatha* (G.H.); *padhaī* = *paṭhati* (H.); *piḍha* = *piṭha* (G.); *hadha* = *haṭha* (G.). This change occurs exceptionally also in PG. in *bhadā* = *bhaṭa* and *kodi* = *koti* (§189). According to Hc. 1,195 sometimes *t* remains, as in *ataī* = *atāī*, which must be a false reading.

1. Cf. §184, note 1; §186, note 1.

§199. Instead of dropping off (§186), *p* mostly becomes *v^l*, not *b*, except dialectically in A. (§192), as was generally assumed formerly (Vr. 2,15;

Hc. 1,231; Ki 2,8; Mk. fol. 16. M. *āava=ātapa* (G.H.R.)², *uvala=uropa* (G.); *kova=kopa*; *cāva=cāpa*; *nīva=nīpa* (R.); *dīva=dīpa*; *pāvā=pratāpa*; *vivīna=vipina* (G.); *savaha=sāpatha* (H.); *sāvaa=sātpada* (G.R.). Exceptionally in PG. too in *anuvaṭṭhāveti*, *kassava*, *kāravējjā*, *vi* (§189). On *va* for initial and secondary *pa* see §184. According to Hc. 1,231 euphony determines the elision or the transition to *v*. According to Bh. on Vr.2,2 and Mk.fol.14 this is the determinative, especially for the dropping off of all the sounds mentioned in §186.³ Usually *p* becomes *v* before *a*, *ā*, but on the other hand, drops off before *u*, *ū*; before other vowels the usage wavers. Jaina MSS. often wrongly write *b* for *v*.

i. COWELL, Vararuci² p. xiv; PISCHEL, GGA. 1873, p. 52; Academy 1873, p. 398; Jenaer Literaturzeitung 1875, p. 317; P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, 5, 12 note ***.—2. Cf. §184, note i and §186, note 1.—3. PISCHEL on Hc. 2,231.

§200. According to Vr. 2,26 in the medial syllable, between vowels, *ph* always becomes *bh*. Bh. gives as examples : *sibhā=siphā*; *sebhāliā=sephālikā*; *sabhari=saphari*; *sabhalam=saphalam*. Mk. fol. 16 limits this transition to the gana *siphādi*, in which he reckons : *sibhā=siphā*; *sebhā=sephā*; *sebhāliā=sephālikā*; he cites also *sabhari=saphari* as used by somebody.¹ Ki. 2,16 teaches *bh* for *siphā* and *saphara*. Hc. 1,236 allows *bh* and *h*; he teaches *bh* for *rebha=rephā* and *sibhā=siphā*; *h* for *muttāhala=muktāphala*; *bh* and *h* beside one another for *sabhalā*, *sahalā=saphala*; *sebhāliā*, *sehāliā=sephālikā*; *sabhari*, *sahari=saphari*; *gubhaī*, *guhaī=guphati*. So far as our documents on the whole allow us to the present day to determine the words, we have throughout *h*, or at the beginning of the second member of a compound also *ph*. Thus M. JM. S. *muttāhala=muktāphala* (G.; Karp. 73,9; 72,3; 73,2; Erz.); M. *muttāhalla* (Karp. 2,5; 100,5); M. *sahara*, *sahari* (G.); M. S. *sehāliā* (H.); Mrcch. 73,9 [so with v.l. to be read]; Priyad. 11,1; 12,3; 13,16); S. *cittaphala=citraphalaka* (e.g. Mrcch. 57,3; 59,7; 69,19; Šak. 125,7; 133,8; 134,4; 142,11; Vikr. 24,18; Ratn. 298,4; 303,19; Mālatim. 127,11); *bahuhalā* (Ki. 2,116); S. *bahuphalā* (Vikr. 45,13), *saphala* (Mālav. 44,1; 46,11), *saggaphala=svargaphalā* (Prab. 42,5); Mg. *panaśaphala* (Mrcch. 115,20) etc. On A. see §192, on *pphala*, §196. In what relation *phumai* and *bhumai=bhramati* (Hc. 4,161) stand to one another cannot be said. Dravidian MSS. write *bhan* (to speak) in Skt. and Pkt. very frequently *phay* (cf. e.g. Vikr. ed. PISCHEL 622,10 v. l., 17.18 v.l.; 639,8 v.l.; Mallikām. 83,4). Cf. §208.

i. The MS. reads *saparir yāsaur* (or *yāsaḍar*) *ityādyapi kaścit*.

§201. *b* in the inlaut, between vowels, becomes (Hc.1,237): M.A.Mg. JM. A. S. D. A. *kalēvara=kalebara* (G. R.; Vivāhap. 1303 [^{°de}]; 1390 [^{°de}]; Rāyāp. 142 [^{°de}]; Erz.; Mrcch. 148,22.23; Piṅgala 1,86^a; Hc. 4,365,3), Mg. *kalēvalā* (Mrcch. 168,20); M. AMg. S. Mg. A. *kavala=kabala* (G.H.; Šak. 85,2; Nayādh.; Ovav.; Mrcch. 69,7; Hc. 4,289.387,1); M. JM. A. *kavandha=kabandha* (R.; Erz. [text ^{°ba}]; Piṅgala 2,230); AMg. *kiliā=klibā* (Āyār. 2,1,3,2); *chāva=sāba* (§211); M. *thavaa=stabaka* (R.), AMg. *thavaiya=stabakita* (Vivāhap. 41; Ovav.); M. *dāvai=Marāthi, dābneū* (Šak. 55,16)¹; M. JM. *sava=sāba* (G.; Āv. 36,34); M. AMg. JM. S. *savara=sābara* (G. [text ^{°ba}]; Vivāhap. 246 [text ^{°vra}]; Pañhāv. 41 [text ^{°ba}]; Pannav. 58; Erz.; Pras. 134,6.7 [text ^{°ba}]), M. AMg. *savari* (G. [text ^{°ba}]; Vivāhap. 792 [text ^{°ba}]; Nāyādh. §117 [text ^{°ba}]; Ovav. §55 [text ^{°ba}]); M. *savala=sābala* (H.); AMg. JM. *siviyā=sibikā* (§165); JM. *sivira* (Erz. [text ^{°bi}]), Mg. *sivila* (Lalitav. 565,6.8)=*sibira*². More rarely *b* drops out as in AMg. *alāu, alāuja, lāū, lāu, lāuya* beside S. *alāvū=alābū, alābu* (§141); *piandhaṇa=nibandhana* (dress; Deśin. 4,38; Triv. 1,4,121)³;

viuha (Hc.1,177), beside JM. *vibuha* (Erz.) = *vibudha*. — *v* very often is retained, especially between *a-* sounds. As in the case of *p* (§199), in its case as well the euphony determines the question.

1. Accordingly the remark on Šak. 55,16, p. 184 has to be amended.—2. As the examples show, the Jaina manuscripts especially very often write *b* for *v*, which is not, as JACOBI (Erz. §20, sd., p. xxviii) assumes supported phonetically, but is simply an error of transcription. Likewise they sometimes write *b* instead of *v* in the initial syllable, (E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 29). In the Lalitav., as in the MSS., with the exception of those in the Dravidian script, only *v* is written, even in places, where *b* should stand. Cf. also §45, note 3.—3. PISCHEL, BB. 13,8.

§202. Except in the cases mentioned in §192. 198-200, the transition of tenues to mediae and vice-versa (§190.191) is just isolated and dialectical. Instead of dropping off, *k* in AMg. JS. JM., very frequently between vowels, becomes *g*, especially in the case of the suffix *-ka* (Hc.1,177) : AMg. JM. *asoga*=*ásoka* (e.g. Vivāhap. 41; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; [read *asoga* in the Glossary]; Erz.); JM. *asoga* (Āv. 8,2,32); AMg. JM. *āgāsa*=*ākāśa* (Uvās.; Ovav.; Āv. 21,15); AMg. *egamega*=*ekaika* (§353); AMg. JM. *kulagara*=*kulakara* (Kappas.; Āv. 46,20,22); AMg. JM. *jamaga samaga*=*yama kasamaka* (Uvās. §148.153; Kappas. §102; Ovav. §52; Āv. 17,15); AMg. JM. JS. *loga*=*loka* (e.g. Āyār. 1,1,1,5,7; 1,1,3,2; Erz.; Pav. 381,16; 387,25), JS. *logālogam* (Pav. 382,23) beside *lojālojyam* (Kattig. 398,302); AMg. *sāgapāgāe sākapākāya* (Sūyag. 247,249), *siлогагами*=*ślokakāmin* (Sūyag. 497); AMg. JS. *appaga*=*ātmaka* (Sūyag. 188; Pav. 385,66,68); JS. *māmsuga*=*śmaśruka* (Pav. 386,4); AMg. *phalaga*=*phalaka* (Sūyag. 274; Uvās.; Ovav.); JM. *tilagacōddasaga*=*tilakacaturdaśaka* (Āv.17,1,37,29,38,24). For these dialects even the frequent retention of *g*, instead of dropping off, is a characteristic. Transition of *ka* into *ga* occurs in an isolated manner in other dialects too. Thus Mg. throughout *hage*, *hagge*=*ahakah (§142.194.417); further *sāvaga*=*śrāvaka* (Mudrār. 175,1.3; 177,2; 178,2; 183,5; 185,1; 190,10; 193,1 [so to be read]), as also at Prab. 46,13; 47,7 *sāvagā*, 58,15 *sāvagi*, for *sāvakā*, *sāvaka*, *sāvaki*, *sāvaki* of the text, have to read, corresponding to AMg. JM. *sāvaga* (e.g. Uvās.; Erz.). Cf. §17. Further *ka* has become *ga* in M. A. *maragaa*, AMg. JM. *maragaja*, Š. *maragada*=*marakata* (Hc. 1,182; Mk. fol. 14; G.H.R.; Karp. 46,8; 69,8; Sūyag. 834; Pannav. 26; Uttar. 1042; Ovav.; Kappas.; Āv. 13,43; Mṛcch. 71,1 [read °*gada*]; Karp. 53,2; 59,1; 61,7,8; 62,11; Mallikām. 201,13; [read °*gada*]; Hc. 4,349), wrongly *maraaa* (Acyutaś. 43) and *maraada* (Ki. 2,28). According to Hc. 1,182; Mk. fol. 14 *ka* becomes *ga* in *mada kala* also; a mention should be made here of A. *madagala* (Pingala 1,64; Hc. 4,406,1), where it can be explained according to §192. In M. occurs also *pāgasāṣaṇa*=*pākaśāṣana* (G.380). On *ge'ndua* see §107. -*kha* has become *gh* in AMg. *āghāvei*=*ākhyāpayati*, *āghavanā*=*ākhyāpanā* (§88.551), and in *nighasa*=*nikaśa* (§206). In *ahilāṅkhāi*, *ahilāṅghāi* (wishes; Hc.4,192) we cannot decide with certainty whether *kh* or *gh* is original. Dialectically *ca* has become *ja* in *pīṣājī*=*pīśāčī* (Hc.1,177). Reversely *ca* appears for *ja* in M. Š. *cakkhai* (eats; Vr. Appendix A. p. 99, Sūtra 20)¹, M. *cakkha* (tasted; Hc. 4,258; Triv. 3,1,132; H. 605), *acakkhia* (H. 917), *cakkhanā* (H. 171), Š. *cakkhia* (absol.; Nāgān.49,5), *cakkhijanta*-(correctly *cakkhianta*; Candak. 16,16)² from *jakṣa*; *macca* beside *majjai*=*mādyati* from *mad* (Hc. 4,225); A. *raccasi*=*rajyase* from *raj* (Hc.4,422,23); M. JM. *vacca* (Vr. 8,47; Hc. 4,225; Ki. 4,46; G.H.R.; Erz.; Kk.; Rśabhap.), Ā. *vaccadi* (Mṛcch. 99,17 [so to be read]; 100,19; 101,7; 148,8; D. *vacca* (Mṛcch. 100,15 [so to be read])), *vacca*, *vaccadi* (Mṛcch. 105,4,9), beside Dh. *vajjadi*, Š. *vajjamha*, Mg. *vayye'nti* (§488)³. To *vacca* belongs also AMg. *paducca* for **pađiucca* (§163).

590), which strictly corresponds to Skt. *pratītya*, by which the scholiasts mostly explain it,⁴ and A. *vicca* (road; Hc. 4,421).

1. WEBER, Bhag. 1,387; ZDMG. 28,391.—2. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,258, where I refer to the New Indian dialects.—3. *vaccā* is perhaps a denominative from *vrātya*=**vrātyati* and *vajjai*, from *vrajyā*=**vrajyati*. In that case *cca* would be phonetically quite regular.—4. Wrongly WEBER, Bhag. 1,381; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 21.

§203. The assumption that in AMg. and JM. every *ta* may¹ either remain or drop off, or that between two vowels, of which the second one is *i*, *t* may be introduced² is erroneous. As already suspected by WEBER³ all these cases are to be credited to the account of the scribes, who have very often adopted Skt. forms in the text, just as the Jainas, from an orthographical point of view, generally commit the clumsiest blunders against the dialects.⁴—*ta* becomes *da* and *tha* becomes *dha* in JS. S. Mg. Dh., dialectically also in A. (§192). So JS. *vandida*, *dhoda*=*vandita*, *dhauta* (Pav. 379,1); *sampajjadi*=*sāmpadyate*, *bhamadi*=*bhramatī*, *pēcchadi*=*prekṣate* (Pav. 380,6; 380,12; 384,48); *bhūdo*, *jādi*=*bhūtah*, *yāti* (Pav. 381,15); *ajadhabhāgīdatthā ede*=*ayathā-ghītarthā ete* (Pav. 389,1); *devadajadi*=*daivatayati* (Pav. 383,69); *tasaghāda*, *karadi*, *kārayadi*, *icchadi*, *jāyade*=*trasaghāta*, *karoti*, *kārayati*, *icchatī*, *jāyate* (Kattig. 400,332); S. *adidhi*=*atithi* (Śak. 18,1,8; 20,5; 23,9; 71,12); S. *kadhehi*, *kadhesu*=*kathaya*, *kathedu*=*kathayatu*, Mg. *kadhedi*=*kathayati* (§ 490); S. *cūdhalādi*=*cūtalatikām* (Śak. 119,9); JS. *jadha*, S. *jadhā*, Mg. *yadhā*=*yathā*, JS. *tadha*; S. Mg. *tadħā*=*tathā* (§113); *pārīdosia*, Mg. *pālīdośīa*=*pārītośīka* (Sak. 117,1.5); JS. *havadi*, *hodi*, S. Mg. Dh. *bhodi*=*bhavati* (§475.476); S. *sādām* (Mṛcch. 3,6; 59,19; 80,7; 86,25; 94,22; Śak. 56,4; 80,3), Mg. *sādām* (Mṛcch. 113,7; 129,18)=*svāgatam*; Dh. *jūdīla*=*dyūtakara* (§25), *jūda*=*dyūta* (Mṛcch. 30,18; 34,25 [so with v.l. to be read]; 35,5 [similarly]; 39,17), *palivevida*=*paripapita* (Mṛcch. 30,7), *vajjadi*, *dhāledī*, *bhānādi*, *jīnādi*=*vrajati*, *dhārayati*, *bhānati*, *jayati* (Mṛcch. 30,10; 34,9; 12,22); S. Dh. *sampadarām*=*sāmpratam* (Mṛcch. 6,22; 17,19; 18,23; Śak. 25,2; 30,4; 67,12. etc.; Dh.; Mṛcch. 30,4; 31,9; 32,8), Mg. *śāmpadarām* (Mṛcch. 16,20; 32,2.4.5, 38,19; 99,11 etc.). On Dh. *māthuru*=*māthurāh* see §25.—Vr. 12,3 and Mk. fol. 66f. require for S. (and with it for Mg.) the transition into *da*, *dha*; Hc. 4,260.267 and the grammarians following him desire *da*; they, however, wrongly allow *ha* as well beside *dha*.⁵ In JS. S. Mg. Dh. original *da* and *dha* very often remain instead of dropping off, or of being changed into *ha* [KI.5,71; Mk. fol. 66]. *kada tti*=*kṛteti* in PG. 7,51 is a printer's error in the transcription for *kada tti*. On *pidham*, *pudham* beside *piham*, *puham*=*prīthak* see §78. On Ä.D. see §26.

1. JACOBI, Erz. § 20,1, note 2.—2. E. MÜLLER, Beiträge, 5.; cf. STEINTHAL, Specimen p. 2.—3. Bhag. 1,400; cf. IS. 16,234f.—4. HOERNLE, Uvās.p.xvii ff. The handwriting shows that in cases like *tavaṇijjamātu* *kaṇagamātu* *pulakāmātu* *rīṭhāmātu* *vairāmātu* (Jiv.563), *t* is assured. Likewise it is unthinkable that in one and the same dialect beside one another have been used *bhavati* and *bhava*, *bhagvatā* and *bhagavājā*, *mātarām* and *piyāram* (Āyār.1,6,4,3) and so on, especially when nowhere have all the MSS. *t*. When in the future *ehī* is used, it presupposes *ehī* and not *shīti*, as occurs in Āyār. 2,4,1,2 (§529). Not quite correctly, therefore, LEUMANN, WZKM. 3,340. With the exception of Uvās. all the texts in AMg. JM. are full of numberless errors. Cf. §349.—5. On S. see PISCHEL, KB. 8,129 ff.—The following and preceding paragraphs give numerous examples. Cf. also §22-25.

204. Vr. 2,7; KI. 2,28; Mk. fol. 15 teach that in M. also in a number of cases *ta* becomes *da*. They have collected these in the *gāṇa* *tvādi*. Bh. reckons in it : *udu*=*tu*; *raada*=*rajata*; *āada*=*āgata*; *ṇīvvudi*=*nīrvṛti*; *āudi*=*āvṛti*; *saṇvudi*=*saṇvṛti*; *suidi*=*sukṛti*; *āidi*=*ākṛti*;

hada=hata=samjada=samyata; viuda=viorva; samjāda=samyāta; sampadi=sam-prati; padivaddi=pratipatti. In Ki. and Mk. the *gāṇa* is ākṛtigāṇa. Ki. reckons under it *ṛtu, rajata, ḗgata, nirvṛti, surata, marakata, sukṛta, samyata, vivṛti, pravṛti, āvṛti, ākṛti, vidhṛti, samṝhti, nivṛti, nispatti, sampatti, pratipatti, śrūta, khyāti, tāta, sāmṝpatam.* Mk. adduces :—*ṛtu, rajata, tāta, samyata, kirāta* (as *cilāda*), *samṝhti, susaṅgata, kratu, samprati, sāmṝpatam;* *kṛti* and *vṛti*, when provided with a prefix, as *ākṛti, vikṛti, prakṛti, upakṛti, apavṛti, āvṛti, pari-vṛti, nirvṛti, samvṛti, vivṛti; avṛta, parivṛta, samvṛta, vivṛta, prabhṛti* [MS. *padupadi*]; *vrata.* Mk. permits the transition, as optional, in the following sūtra in *surata, hata, ḗgata, etc.* (*ityādi*). Hc. 1,209 indulges in a polemic against this view. The phonetic change suits S. Mg., but not M.; though it occurs once in M. it is a case of dialectical confusion.³ In R. *udu* occurs without a variant (1,18;3,29;6,11;9,85), never *uu*. False reading is *udu* for *uu* in AMg. (Āyār. 2,2,2,6; Thān. 527). Furthur R. has *mailadā*, beside *paḍīā* (3,31); *vivannadā, rāmādō* in the same strophe with *araī, seummi* (8,87); similarly *mandodari* in the compound *mandodarisudūmiavāñçarapariosa*, that is with a retained *d* and three elided *ts*. The same occurs otherwise too, especially in the gāthās of the dramas, as *mālādī* instead of *mālāī=mālatī* (Lalitav.563,2); *odamsanti=avataṁśayanti* (Śak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 4,10); *ladāo=latātī* (Śak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 53,7; without v.l.; cf. v.l. 85,3 ed. PISCHEL and 84,15 of the Kashmir recension, ed. BURKHARD); *uvanāidavvo=upanetavyah* (Mālav. 23,3; without v.l.); *uvanīde=upanide* (H. 827); *hodu* beside *hoi* (H. 878); *kādumū=kartum* (Hc. 924); *hanidā=bhanitā* (H. 963) etc. All the examples from H. occur in the Telugu recension. When Hc. declares such forms to be false in M., there is no reason for seeing in them, with S. GOLDSCHMIDT², "puristic prohibitions". Rather, we have here cases of errors against the dialect, as conversely in the MSS. forms of M. are given times without number in S. The information in Vr. Ki. Mk. in no way relate to M. Especially striking are *padivaddī* (Bh.), for which perhaps we have, with AC, to read *padivattī*, in spite of the remarkable *d* for *ṭ*, and *nivaddī* (sic), *nippaddī* (sic), *sampaddī, padipaddī* (Ki.), which perhaps rest only on a misunderstanding. On AMg. *adu, adu vā*, see §155, note 5.

1. PISCHEL, Vikr. p. 614f.—2. Rāvanavaho, p. xvii; cf. also R. 13,97. p.309, note 4.

§205. In the initial and medial syllables, Pkt., deviating from Skt., has often aspirates, which according to §188 mostly become *h*. The cause of aspiration is not the same everywhere. In no case is the mere proximity of an unconnected *r* or *s* or of a connected *r* the reason, as has generally been assumed.¹ In tenues, nasals, and *la*, the aspiration generally goes back to a sibilant, that originally stood before them and has disappeared from Skt.² The original sound groups *ska, sta, spa, sna, sma*, give in the initial syllable, according to § 306—313, *kha, tha, pha, ḡha, mha*.

1. LASSEN, Inst. p. 197f. 251; JACOBI, Erz. §21,2 p. xxviii Cf. PISCHEL, BB. 3,253.—
2. WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. §230.231.

§206. In the initial syllable Skr. *ka* appears as *kha*, often in the beginning of the second member of a compound, as *ha*, as in the medial syllable. JM. *khaṇḍharā=kamdhārā* (Mk. fol. 17; Erz. 1,17), but M. S. *kamdhārā* (G.; Mallikām. 192,22;201,7;220,20); *khappara=karpa* (Hc.1,181); AMg. *khasiya=kasita* (Hc.1,181), *khāsiya=kāsiita* (Hc.1,181; Nandis. 380); AMg. JM. *khinkhiṇī=kinkinī* (Panhāv. 514; Rāyāp. 109.129. 142; Jiv. 349 [text *khan*°]. 443; Nāyādh.948 [text *khan*°]; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.); *sakhinkhiṇī* (Jiv. 468; Āv. 35,25), *khīnkhiṇī a=kinkinīka* (Uvās.), *sakhīnkhiṇīja* (Nāyādh. §93; p. 769.861 [text *kha*]),

in Mg. *śunahaka* (Mṛcch. 113,20) and A. *sunahai* (Hc.4,443). Perhaps it was imagined that *sunaha* was = *sunakha* = *su* + *nakha*⁶; Dh. *tuhañ*, A. *tuñu* = *tvakám* (§421) with *u* according to §152 and *ū* according to §352; A. *sahū* = *sākam* (Hc. 4,356,419) with *a* according to §81 and *ū* according to §352. Cf. also AMg. *phaniha* (sic; comb; Sūyag. 250) with *phañiga* (sic: Uttar. 672). M. *cihura* (Vr. 2,4; Hc. 1,186; Ki. 2,24; Mk. fol. 14; Pāiyāl. 109; G.H.; Pracandap. 43,15; Karp. 48,10; Acyutaś. 35); Mg. *cihula* (Mṛcch. 171,2 [so to be read with v.l.]), wrongly M. *ciura* (Sāhityad. 73,4; Viddhaś. 25,1), questionable whether correct in S. (Prab. 45,1), will not be = *cikura*, which in the meaning of “colouring material” becomes AMg. *ciura* (Nāyādh. §61), but is = **cikṣura*, a form derived with reduplication from ✓ *kṣur* (to shave) which would have to become in Pkt. **cikkhura* or **cikhura*, *cihura*. *cihura* bears the same relation to *cikura* as ✓ **skur* to ✓ **kur*. We have a reduplication of *ci* in AMg. *cikkhalla* (mud; loam; swamp; Hc. 3,142; Desin. 3,11; Panhāv. 47 [°*la*]; Anuog. 367; the explanation of *cikkhala* is uncertain; the word probably belongs to modern Indian *cikila cikkāṇa* to which my attention has been drawn by E. KUHN; AMg. M. *cikkhilla* (H.R.; Panṇav. 89ff. [91 °*kkha*°]); Vivāhap. 658f. [commentary °*kkha*°] Panhāv. 164,212 [commentary °*kkha*°]; AMg. *cikkhilla* (Ovav. §32; text °*khi*°; see v.l.) = **cikṣalya* from *kṣal* “that which is to be washed off,” “that which is to be purified”⁷. M. *nīhā* (multitude, crowd; Desin. 4,49; Pāiyāl. 19; G.H.R.) is not = *nīkāya*⁸, but = *nīghāta*⁹ *nīhelana* (homestead; Hc. 2,174; Ki. 2,120. D-śin. 4,51; 5,37; Paiyal. 49 [nī°]; Triv. 1,3,105) is not = *nīketana*¹⁰, but = *nīhelana* (Kappas. §41) and belongs to ✓ *bhil bhedane* (Dhātupāṭha 32,66), which occurs in AMg. *bhelāttā* (Thān. 421)¹¹; cf. *bil*, *bila*.—*vihala* is not = *vikala*, but = *vihala* (§332).—M. *sihara* (Pāiyāl. 259; R.) is not = *śikara* (Hc. 1,184)¹², but comes from M. *sibhara* (R.), which the grammarians (Vr. 2,5; Hc. 1,184; Ki. 2,26; Mk. fol. 14) likewise derive from *śikara*, which, however, belongs to Vedic *śibham*¹³.

1. PISCHEL, BB. 3,254.—2. PISCHEL, BB. 3,254f. *khēllaī* have gone also into Skt. as *Vkhel*. Deviating from BB. 6,92, I could now assume dropping off of *s* in most of the other words.—3. The scholiasts mostly equate *nīhasa* as = *nīgharṣa* and *nīhasana* as = *nīgharsana*, a thing that is not possible linguistically, since these words would become *nīhamsa*, *nīhamsana*.—4. Abhayadeva on Thān. 121 remarks *khahāñ ti, prākṛtatvena kham ākāśam iiii*.—5. LEUMANN, Aup. S.v. does not rightly consider *ha* as preventer of the hiatus.—6. That the aspiration rests chiefly on this false analogy, as TRENNER assures in the case of Pāli (Pali Miscellany p. 58, note 6), is wrong. On the doubled suffix cf. AMg. *phalahaga*, *thūbhīyōgā* [§208] and Mk. fol. 37.—7. Anuog. 367 offers a beautiful etymology of *cikkhalla* as a sample, as one may etymologize: *cicca karoti khallam ca bhavati cikkhalla*. A. *cikkhili* (feminine; [sic]; Prabandhac. 56,6). is an adjective Both the words, *cīhura* (PISCHEL on Hc. 1,186) and *cikkhalla* (ZACHARIAE, Beiträge zur indischen Lexicographie p. 56) have been taken also into Skt.—8. BÜHLER, Pāiyāl. p. 12 and s.v.—9. PISCHEL, BB. 6,91.—10. BÜHLER, Pāiyāl., p. 12 and s.v.—11. PISCHEL, BB. 3,252,6,91; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 34.—12. F. GOEDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p. 470.—13. PISCHEL, BB. 6,91.

§207. *ta* has become *đha* through *tha* in AMg. *cimidha* = *cipita* (§248); *vadha* = *vata* (Hc. 2,174; Triv. 1,3,105¹); *saadha* = *śakaṭa* (Vr. 2,21; Hc. 1,196; Ki. 2,11; Mk. fol. 16), but AMg. *sagada* (Āyār. 2,3,2,16; 2,11,17; Sūyag. 350), S. *saadiā* = *śakaṭikā* (Mṛcch. 94,15ff.), Mg. *śaaṭa* (Mṛcch. 122,10; §238); *sadha* = *satā* (Vr. 2,21; Hc. 1,196; Ki. 2,11; Mk. fol. 16), but M. *sadā* (R.). Cf. also A. *khallihadāñ* (§110). *-ta* appears as *tha* in *thimpai* = *trmpati* (Vr. 8,22), *thippai* (Hc. 4,138; Ki. 4,46), *thèppai* (Ki. 4,46) = *trpyate* = **strmpati*, **stypyate*. Not identical with it is *thippai* (drops down; Hc. 4,175), which with *theva* (a drop; §130) belongs to ✓ *stip*, *step* (Dhātupāṭha 10,3,4). The suffix *-tha*, instead of Skt. *-ta* occurs in M. AMg. JM. *bharaha* = *bharata*

(Vr. 2,9; C. 3,12 p. 49; Hc. 1,214; Ki. 2,30; Mk. fol. 15; G.R.; Antag. 3; Uttar. 515.517; Ovav.; Sagara 2,6; Dvār.; Erz.; Kk.), AMg. *dāhiṇḍdha*
*bharahe=dakṣinārdhabharate*¹ (Āyār. 2,15,2; Nāyādh. §13.93); M. AMg. JM.
A. *bhāraha=bhārata* (G.; Āyār. 2,25,2; Thān. 70,73; Vivāhap. 427.479;
Uttar. 515.517.532.541; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Kappas.; Erz.; Hc.
4,399); M. *bhārahī* (G.). The form *bharatha*, to which *bharaha* goes back,
as *bhāraha* to **bhāratha*², is attested by Unādis. 3,115 and Š. *bharadha* (Mk.;
Bālar. 155,3;310,9 [falsely *bharada* 55,17;150,21]; Anarghar. 316,15
[*text °da*]; but ed. Calc. Šak. 1782, p. 237,4 correctly °*dha*; Pras. 91,12
[*text °da*], Mg. *bhāladha* (Mrcch. 128,13 [STENZLER °*li*, see v. l. in
GOPABOLE, 353,12]; 129,3 (*text °de*)³. Corresponding to the suffix -*tha* in Skt.
āvasatha=AMg. *āvasaha* (e.g. Āyār. 1,7,2,1ff.; Ovav.), Skt. *upavasatha*, *nivas-*
atha, *pravasatha* etc., M. AMg. JM. have *vasahi*=**vasathi*=*vasati* (Vr. 2,9; C. 3,12
p. 49; Hc. 1,214; Ki. 2,30; Mk. fol. 15; Pāiyāl. 49; G.H.R.; Panhāv. 136.
178.215; Vivāhap. 152.1123.1193; Nāyādh. 581; Uttar. 449.918 [beside
āvasaha]; Dasav. N. 647,49; Ovav.; Av. 27,25; Kk.); AMg. *kuvasahi*=
kuvasati (Panhāv.)⁴. -*ha*, Š. Mg. -*dha* in the second person plural imperative likewise go back to -*tha*, since the second person plural indicative is used as the imperative (§471). — *kāhala* (cowardly; fearful; C. 3,12 p.49;
Hc. 1,214; cowardly scoundrel; Deśin. 2,58), that is equated by Grr. and P. GOLDSCHMIDT⁵ as = *kātara* cannot be separated from *kāhala* (tender; weak; Deśin. 2,58), and *kāhalī* (a tender young woman; Deśin. 2,26). *kāhala*, *kāhalī* are used in Skt. also; perhaps they forced their way into it first from Pkt. and presumably belong to M. *tharatharei*, Š. *tharaharedi* (throbs violently [from the heart]; trembles); §558), are, therefore, = *kā+thara*, *kā*, in Skt. *kāpuruṣa*, *kābhṛty* etc. *kātara* becomes M. A. *kāra* (G.R.; Hc. 4,376,1), AMg. *kājara* (Nāyādh.), Š. *kādara* (Šak. 17,12;84,16; Vikr. 27,6; Mālav. 40,13), Mg. *kādala* (Mrcch. 120,9). *kātara* and **kāthara* probably go back to the basic form **kāstara*. — According to Hc. 1,214 *mātulinga* becomes *māhulinga*, on the other hand *mātulunga* becomes *māulunga*, as AMg. Š. have (Āyār. 2,1,8,1; Pannav. 482; Adbhutad 68,6 [*read mādu°*]). *māhulinga* (also C. 3,12 p. 49) belongs to the same category as *madhukar-*
katikā, *madhukukutikā*, *madhujambira*, *madhujambha*, *madhubijapūra*, *madhurajam-*
bira, *madhurabijapūra*, *madhuravallī*, *madhuvalī*, *madhūla*, *ma°ūlaka*, all of which mean special kinds of citron. *māhulinga* is, therefore, =**mādhulinga*. In Pannav. 531 AMg. *māulunga* is emended. AMg. *vihatti* (Sūyag. 280; Vivāhap. 425; Nandis. 168; Anuog. 384.413) is not = *vitasti* (C. 3,12 p.49; Hc. 1,214⁶), but in the root *tas* s will have dropped off, *vihatti*, therefore, will stand for **vithatti*=**vistasti*⁷.

1. The edition in the Granthapradarsani at p.93, for which reads *podo/dodah/āpano/dolā/?*; see BB. 6.88ff.—2. WARREN, Over de godsdienstige en wijsgeerige Begrippen der Jaina's (Zwolle 1875) p. 106, note.—3. Wrongly P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p.473.—4. PISCHEL, BB. 6.92f. Wrongly P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p. 473.—5. GN. 1874, p.473.—7. More probably it is an adaptation of one **vihasti* (BB.6.93).

§208. *pa* appears as *ph* in AMg. Š. *phanasa=panasa* (Vr. 2,37; Hc. 1,232; Jiv. 46; Pannav. 482.531; Vivāhap. 1530; Ovav.; Bālar. 209,7,8 [*pa°*]; Viddhaś. 63,2), beside M. *panasa* (Karp. 115,2), Mg. *panaśa* (Mrcch. II5,20); M. AMg. JM. *pharusa=parusa* (Vr. 2,36; C. 3,11; Hc. 1,232; Ki. 2,43; Mk. fol. 18; G.H. [so 344 to be read; see Index and the v.l. IS. 16,104]. R.; Āyār. 1,6,4,1,2,8,1,8;1,8,3,5,13;2,1,6,3;2,4,1,1,6; Sūyag. 122 [*text pa°*]. 172.485.517.527.729; Jiv. 273; Nāyādh. §135; p. 757; Panhāv. 393.394.396.516; Vivāhap. 254.481; Uttar. 92; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.), JM. *aipharusa=atiparusa* (Kk.); M. *pharusatana=parusalvana* (R.); AMg. *pharusija=parusita* (Āyār. 1,3,1,2;1,6;4,1 [see v.l.]); M. AM. JM.

phaliha=parigha (Vr. 2,30.36; Hc. 1,232.254; Ki. 2,43; Mk. fol. 17.18; Pāīyal. 267; R.; Āyār. 2,1,5,2;2,3,2,14;2,4,2,11;2,11,5; Sūyag. 771; Vivāhap. 416; Dasav. 628,22; Dvār. 500,30); M. *phalihā=parikhā* (Vr.2,30.35; Hc. 1,232.254; Ki. 2,43; Mk. fol. 17.18; Pāīyal. 240;R), AMg. *pharihā* (Nāyādh. 994.1001ff. 1006.1008.1012.1014.1023; Probably to be read as *phalihā*); *phālihadda=pāribhadra* (Hc. 1,232.254); AMg. *pharasu=Pāli pharasu=Skt. paraśu* (Vivāgas. 239); but M. AMg. JM. Š. *parasu* (G.; Nāyādh. §135; p. 438 [text ^ori^o]. 1438; Panhāv. 198 [text ^on^o]; Nirayāv.; Erz.; Kk.; Mahāv. 29,19), Mg. *palaśu* (Mṛcch. 157,13), Š. *parasurāma* (Mahāv. 55,12;64,20; Bālar. 36,5,6); AMg. *phusuya=Pāli phusita=pr̄sata* (§101); AMg. JM. *phāsuja* (Āyār. 2,1,1,4.6;2,1,2,1ff.; Panhāv. 497; Uvās.; ZDMG. 34,291,44;Kk.)=Pāli *phāsuka* is phonetically=*pr̄suka*, which however, is certainly a false Sanskritism of the Pk. word¹; *aphāsuja* (Āyār. 2,1,1,1.3.6.11ff.); *bahuphāsuja* (Āyār. 2,2,3,24ff.). *phāsuja* might belong to *Vspr̄s=**sparšuka² (§62). *phādei*, which Hc. 1,198 equates as *pāṭayati=sphātayati*.—Mk. fol. 18 has, moreover, *phaliki=paridhi* and *phalama=palama*, which probably ought to be *phāṣasa=pañasa*. The first word may even be corrupt. In *rampai*, *rampħai* (hews; Hc. 4,194) whether *pa* or *pha* is original cannot be said; cf. *rampa* “section” (H.119.120 with v.l. *rumpa*, *rumpħa*³).—In AMg., more rarely in JM., medial *pa* in some words has become *bh* through *ph* (§200). So AMg. *kacchabha=kacchapa* (Jiv.71 290 478; Nāyādh. 510; Panhāv. 18.119.170; Pannav. 47; Vivāgas. 49. 186; Vivāhap. 248.483.1033 1285; Uttar. 1072), *kacchabhi=kacchapi* (lute, Panhāv. 512; Nāyādh. 1275.1378; Rāyap. 88); AMg. *kabhallā=kāpāla* (§91; Uvās. §94; Antag. 27; Anuttar. 10 [text ^ou^o, commentary *bhā*^o], beside *kavalla* (Sūyag. 275; Vivāhap. 270 383), *kavalli* (Vivāgas. 141). *kavāla* (Āyār. 2,1,3,4); to these add *kāphāda* (hollow; Deśin. 2,7); AMg. *thūbna=stūpa* (Āyār. 2,1,2,3;2,3,3,1; Sūyag.26; Panhāv.31,234,286; Anug. 387; Jiv. 546f.; Pannav. 369; Rāyap. 153f.; Vivāhap. 560.659.1249; Thān. 266), also JM. (Sagara 2,7; T. 5,11.13.16;6,13.15;7,8; ZDMG. 34,291,47.49); AMg. *thūbhijā=stūpikā* (Āyār. 2,10,17; Jiv. 492.495.506; Nāyādh.; Ovav.), and with doubled suffixes *thūbījāgā=**stūpikākā (S.mav. 213; Pannav. 116; Rāyap. 116; Nāyādh. §122); AMg. *gothūbha=gostūpa* (Thān. 2,2.268; Jiv. 715f.718ff.; Samav. 106.108.113.116f.127.143ff.233 [on account of mere also *gothubha*]; Vivāhap. 198). The more modern form occurs in *thūha* [battlements of a palace; ant-hill; Deśin. 5,32]. Cf. Lena-dialect *thuba* (Arch. Survey of W. India 5,78,10).—AMg. *vibhāsā=vipāśā* (Thān.5 44).

1. JACOBI, Kk. s.v. *phāsuja* analyses it into *pra+asu+ka*. Skt. *pr̄suka*, so far as I can see, is used by the Jainas only.—2. HOERNLE, Uvās. s. v. Not rightly CHILDERS s.v. *phāsu*, who will equate it as=*spārha*.—3. WEBER, ZDMG. 28,378.

§209. More rarely occurs the aspiration of the medials. For *ga* occurs *gha* in *ghāana=gāyana* (singer; Hc. 2,174; Deśin. 2,108; Triv. 1,3, 105=BB. 3,255); AMg. *singhādaga=śrigātaka* (Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.). *ghisai* is not=*grasati*, but=**ghasati* (§103.482).—*ja* appears as *jha* in *jhaḍila* beside *jadila=jatila* (Hc. 1,194); *jhattha* (aunihilated; gone; Deśin. 3,61) from *Vjas*; cf. however, also *Vjhās*. AMg. *jhūsittā* (absol.; Vivāgas. 270f.; Antag. 69 [*jhu*^o]; Nāyādh. 383.388; Uvās.; Ovav.), *jhūsiya* [Thān. 56 [Commentary]. 187.274; Nāyādh. 382; Antag. 69 [*jhu*^o]; Jiv. 289 [*jhu*^o]), Vivāhap. 169.173;321; Uvās.; Ovav.), mostly explained with *ksīṇa* or *ksapita*¹, *jhūsīṇā* (Nāyādh. 376, Vivāhap. 169.173; Thān. 56.187. 274; Uvās.; Ovav.), *parijhūsiya* (Thān. 202) belong to *Vjhās*, that is mentioned beside *jūṣ*, *yūṣ*. (Dhātupāṭha 17,29)².—*da* becomes *dha* in *dhipāi*

beside *dippai=dibyate* (Hc. 1,223); *kaiūha* (Hc. 1,225), dialectically *kakudha* (Triv. 1,3,105)=Pāli *kakudha*, a form parallel to *kakhubha*³.—*ba* appears as *bha* in AMg. *bhimbhisāra=bimbisāra* (Thān. 523; Ovav. [so to be read for *bhambha*^o; cf. v.l.]); M. *bhisinī=bisini* (Vr. 2,38; Hc. 1,238; Ki. 2,44; Pāiyāl. 149; H.; Sāhiyat. 79,1). S. has *bisiñi* (Viṣabha. 39,3; Mālav. 75,8). According to Bh. on Vr. 2,38 and Hc. 1,238 the aspiration does not occur in *bisa* and so M. *bisa* (Pāiyāl. 256; G.H.; Karp. 95,12). Mk. fol. 18 teaches *bhisa=bisa* but quotes H.8, where stands *bhisinīsandām*. AMg., like Pāli, has *bhisa* (Āyār. 2,1,8,10; Sūyag. 813; Jiv. 290,353; Pannav. 35,40; Rāyap. 55). For *bśi* Bh. 1,28 and Hc. 1,28 teach *bisi*, the Pāiyāl. 215 *bhusi*. *brisikā* has aspiration: *bhisīā* (Desin.6,105), AMg. *bhisigā* (Sūyag. 726), *bhisījā* (Āyār. 2,2,3,2; Nāyādh. 1279,1283; Ovav.). *bhukkāi* (barks; Hc. 4,186), *bhukkijā* (barking; Pāiyāl.182), *bhukkāna* (dog; Desin.6,110), beside *bukkai=garjati* (Hc.4,98), *ubbukkai* (speaks; Hc.4,2), *bukkana* (a crow; Desin.6,94;Pāiyāl.44). On *bhaassāi*, *bhaappai*, *bhaapphai* etc. see §212.—*bhībbhala*, *bhīmbhala* (Hc. 2,58 with translation), M. S. *bhēmbhala* (R 6,37; Cait. 38,9 [text. *bhemhano*]), S. *bhēmbhaladā* (Cait. (44,9), *bhēmbhalida* (Cait. 55,13 [text. *bhēmha*^o]) cannot, with Hc., be derived from *vībbhala*, *vēbbhala=vihvala* (§332), since the aspiration of *v* would have given *ha* in the initial syllable as is shown by *vihala*. The words belong, with *bhāmbhala* (block-head; later stubbornness, Desin. 6,110) to *Vbharbh himsāyām* (Dhātupāṭha 15,71). It is, therefore, to be written with *anusvāra*, as the glosse on Hc. 2,58 tells us, and this is to be explained according to §74.

1. On the meaning see LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *jhūsiya*; HOERNLE, Uvās., Translation, note 160.—2. HOERNLE, Uvās. l.c. Overlooked by LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *jhūsi*. Incorrect is its association with AMg. *jhūsira* (LEUMANN, WZKM. 3,443). Cf. § 211.
—3. *kaiūha* can naturally be derived from *kakubha* too. Cf. PISCHEL, BB.3,257; v. BRADKE, ZDMG. 40,660; WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gram. §156,b. Wrongly P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874 p. 473.

§210. Aspiration of nasals and semivowels takes place in *ṇhāvi=ṇāpīta* (Hc. 1,230; Pāiyāl. 61), really=*=*snāpīta*¹, as AMg. *ṇhāvījā=snāpīkā* (Vivāhap. 964) and other derivatives of *snā* (§313). S. Mg. have *ṇāvida=ṇāpīta* (Hasy. 28,19; Mrcch. 113,10)².—M. *pamhusai=**pramṣati³=*pramṛṣati* (Hc. 4,75,184; G.), M. *pamhasijāsu=pramṛṣyeh* (H. 348), M. *pamhusia* (G.), S. *pamhasida* (Mahāv. 65,1; ed. Bomb. 1892, p. 161,8 *ppamusida*), M. JM. *pamhuttha* (Hc. 3,105=R.6,12; Hc. 4,258; Āv. 7,31), A. *pamhutthāi* (Hc. 4,396,3; so to be read), M. *pamhaṭha* (R.); according to Hc. 4,158 *pamhusai* is also=*pramuṣṇāti*, according to 4,258 *pamhuttha* also is=*pramuṣita*; A. *bhumhaḍī=bhūmi* (Hc. 4,395,6) with the suffix *-ada*, femin. *-adi* (Hc.4,429,431).—AMg. *lhasuna=laśuna* (Āyār. 2,7,2,6; Vivāhap. 609; Pannav. 40; Jīyāk. 54), beside AMg. JM. *lasuna* (Āyār. 2,1,8,13; Sūyag. 337 [text *lasana*]; Āv. 40,18); *lhikkai* beside *likkai* (hides oneself; Hc.4,55), a denominative from M. *lhikka=**slikna (Hc. 4,258; G.), cf. *sliku* “dependant” and §566.

1. WEBER, KB. 1,505.—2. Wrongly permits S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākritica p. 7, note 3, Skt. *nāpīta* to be derived from Pkt. *nāvī*. The dropping off of the initial *s* depends upon the accent=“*nāpīta*”, like Vedic *padbhi'* from *spaś* (PISCHEL, Ved. Stud. 1,239).—3. WEBER on *Hāla*¹ 358;² 348; ZDMG. 28,425.

§211. A simple initial sibilant of Skt. is sometimes aspirated in Pkt. *sha, sha, sha*, then all become uniformly *cha*. The derivation of this *cha* from an original sound-group *kṣa* or *ska* is in not at all certain. *chami=śamī* (Hc. 1,265); AMg. *chāva=Pāli chāpa=śāba* (Hc. 1,265; Ki. 2,46; Sūyag. 511)¹, *chāvaa=śābaka* (Vr. 2,41; Mk. fol. 18), but Mg. *śāvaka* (Mrcch. 10,6); AMg. *chivāḍī=śivāṭī* (2,1,1,3,4);

M. AMg. *chēppā*, *chippā*=*śepā* (Deśīn. 3,36; Pāiyāl. 128; G. H.; Vivāgas. 69)²; in addition to *chippālūa* (tail; Deśīn. 3,29); but S. *sunasseha*=*śunahśepā* (Anarghar. 58,5; 59,12); *chippīra* (straw; Deśīn. 3,28; Pāiyāl. 142) beside *sippīra* (straw; H. 330), *sippā* (straw; Deśīn. 8,28); in addition to this probably also *chippindī* (flour; Deśīn. 3,37) and *chippāla* (a bullock accustomed to corn; Deśīn. 3,28); *chuī* (a female crane; Deśīn. 3,30=śucīh; *cha*=*śat*, *chattha*=*śastha*, and *cha*^o, *chal*^o in numerous compounds (§240.441)³; AMg. *chuhā*=*sudhā* (Hc. 1,265; Deśīn. 2,42; Vivāhap. 658f.), in addition to *chuhia* (plastered; Deśīn. 3,30); AMg. *chirā*=*sirā* (Hc. 1,266; Thān. 55; Jīv. 271; Samav. 227; Vivāhap. 89,810), *chiratta* (Anuog. 12), beside *sirā* (Hc. 1,266). On M. AMg. *pīucchā*, M. *māucchā*, S. *māducchaa*, *māducchiā*=*pītr̥vasā*, *mā!r̥vasā*, *mār̥vas̥ka*, *mātr̥vas̥r̥kā* see §148; on *chattavāṇṇa*, *chattivāṇṇa*, presumably=*sāptaparṇa* see §103. An initial sonant sibilant is presupposed by AMg. *jhusira* (full of holes; hole; Aŷār. 2,11,4; 2,15,22 [p. 129,1], Panhāv. 137; Nāyādh. 752; Dasav. 620,30; Uvās.), *ajhusira* (Jīyak. 55), *anṭo jīhu-sira* (Nāyādh. 397)=**zusira*=*susira* or **z'usira*=*śusira*⁴. Perhaps *jhalā* (mirage; Deśīn. 3,53; Pāiyāl. 232) also belongs to *śal* (to prop up)=**z'alā*, and *jharua* (midge; cricket; Deśīn. 3,54), *jhāruā* (cricket; Deśīn. 3,57), from *Vśarv hīmsāyūm* (Dhātupātha 15,76), *śaru* (an arrow).⁵

1. POTT, Die Zigeuner in Europa und Asien 2, 181ff.; PISCHEL, GGA. 1875, p.627; on Hc. 1,265. Wrongly MIKLOSICH, Beiträge zur Kenntniß der Zigeunerumrandungen I.II (Wien 1874) p. 26.—2. JOHANSSON (IF.3,213), with whom WACKERNAGEL (Altind. Gr. §230b, p.266) concurs, compares Latin *cippus*, Greek *σχοῖπος*.—3. Hypotheses on the original form of *śas* in HÜSSCHMANN, KZ. 27,106; BARTHOLOMAE, KZ. 29,576.—4. LEUMANN, WZKM. 3,343. Whether *susira* or *śusira* is the more correct form of writing still remains to be probed into. Śriharṣa, Dvīrūpakoṣa 150 teaches *suśi* and *śuśi*, ZACHARIAE writes Śāsvata 185, against the best MSS. *susira*, but Hemacandra, Anekārthasamgraha 3,607 *śusira*, as is given by the derivative forms from *śus* (Upādīgaṇasūtra 416). Connection with AMg. *jhus* (§203) is out of consideration. Its derivation from *śus* is quite uncertain. Wrongly HOERNLE, Uvās., Translation, note 172.—Jīv. 273 has *susira*.—5. The word can be connected to *kṣāraka* also.

§212. Aspiration appears in some cases in Pkt. in a syllable of the word different from that in Skt. In isolated cases this may be explained by the fact that originally the initial and the final syllables of the word were aspirated, and dialectically the aspiration of a sound vanished in different ways. In most cases, however, there remains for us scarcely any assumption other than "turning-round of the breath." M. *iħarā* from **iħaratā*, **iħaraā*=*itarathā* (§354); *uvaha*, M. *avaha* from **ubatha* for **ubhata*, deduced from M. *avahovāśam*, *avahośām*=AMg. *ubhaopāśam*=**ubhatahpārśam* (§123); *kedhava* from **kaiħhaba* for *kaiħtabha* (Vr. 2,21.29; Hc. 1,196.240; Ki. 2,11.27; Mk. fol. 16.17); *gadħai* from **għażihi*=*ghata* (Hc. 4,112), beside the usual *għadha*; M. AMg. JM. A. *għeppāi* from **għṛpyati*=*għryate* (§548), inf. M. *għeittu*=*għiġptum*=*grahitum* (§574), absol. *għeittuān*, *għeittuāna* (§584), M. AMg. JM. *għeittuña*=**għṛptuān*=*grħitvā* (§586), particip. nec. *għeittavva*=**għṛptavva*=*grahitavva* (§570), fut. JM. *għeċċāmo* (§534) belong to a root **għrf*, that is a root parallel to *grħb* (§107)¹; *dħamkuṇa*, *dħemkuṇa*, AMg. *dħimkuṇa* (bug) for **dariħkuṇa* belong to Marāthi *dariħkuṇem* (to bite, to sting), *dariħk* (bite, sting of a poisonous animal)=*dams* (§107.267); M. *dhajja* (Jīvān. 97,9), S. *dhajjadī* (Mālav. 28,8; Mallikām. 90,23 [text °ai]), *dhajjadu* (Venīs. 23,18; so to be read; see v.l.p.135), Mg. *dhayyadi* (Mrcch. 9,25) for **dajjhadi*, M. AMg. JM. *dajjha* (§222)=*dahyate*, S. *vidhajja*=*vidahya* (Mahāv. 96,11), *dħajjanta-* (Mālatiñ. 79,2; so to be read with the v. l. and ed. Madras. 67,6; Rukminip. 20,7; 35,9; Mallikām. 57,7; 133,13); cf. v.l. *dhajja* on H. 373; M. *dih* from **dṛħi*=*dħri* (Hc. 2,131; Sāhityad. 219,14);

M. *dhūā*, AMg. JM. *dhūyā*, Š. Mg. *dhūdā*=**dhuktā*=*duhitā* (§ 65; 392); JS. Š. Mg. A. *bahiṇī* for **baghiṇī*=*bhagini* (Hc. 2,126; Pāiyāl. 252; Kattig. 401,338; Mālatim. 31,5; Mg. Mrcch. 11,9; 113,19; 138,25; 140,1,7; A Hc. 4,351), mostly with *kah svārthe*, Š. *bahiṇīā*=*bhaginikā* (Mrcch. 94,4; 328,5; Sak. 1,5,4; 85,4,6; Mālatim. 130,3; Mahāv. 118,18; 119,3; Ratn. 324,23; 327, 7,9.13; 328,20; Prab. 68,7; Cait. 88,12,92,15; Karp. 33,4,7; 34,3; 35,2 etc.), A. *bahiṇvē* (Hc. 4,422,14). From *brhaspati* are found AMg. *bahassāi*, *bihassāi*, Š. *bahappadi*, *bahappaī*, *bihappadi* (§53). The grammarians mention these and numerous other forms: *bahassāi*, *bihassāi*, *buhassāi* (C.2.5 p.43; Hc. 2,69, 137; Sr. fol. 36); *bahappaī*, *bihappaī*, *buhappaī* (C. 2,5 p. 43; Hc. 2,53,137; Sr. fol. 36); *bahapphai*, *bihapphai*, *buhapphai* (C. 2,5 p. 43; Hc. 1,138; 2,53,69,137); Mg. *buhaspadi* (Hc. 4,289), *bihaspadi* (Nāmisādhu on Rudrata, Kāvyaśālākāra 2,12); moreover with aspirated initial: *bhaassāi* (C.2,5 p.43; Hc. 2,69,137; Sr. fol. 36), *bhiassāi*, *bhuassāi* (C. 2,5 p.43); *bhaappaī* (C. 2,5 p. 43; Hc. 2,137; Mk. fol. 38; Prākrtamāñjari in PISCHEL, De. Gr. Prācr. p. 15; Sr. fol. 36), *bhiappaī*, *bhuappaī* (C. 2,5 p. 43); *bhaapphai* (Vr. 4,30; C. 2,5 p. 43; Hc. 2,69,137; Ki. 2,117; Sr. fol. 36), *bhiapphai*, *bhuapphai* (C. 2,5 p. 43)².

1. PISCHEL, KB. 8,148f. Wrongly P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p. 512; WEBER, IS. 14,73, note 2; JACOBI, KZ. 28,253f.; JOHANSSON, KZ. 32,447. Cf. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 29,493; WEBER on Hāla 286.—2. PISCHEL on Hc. 1,138. The forms with initial *h* in lieu of *bh* in Triv., Sr. and in the Prākrtamāñjari are orthographical errors of the Dravidian manuscripts. The edition of Triv. has *hh*.

§213. Loss of aspiration occurs in AMg. JM. Š. *sankalā*=śrīkhalā (Panḥāv. 183; Jiv. 503; Rśabhap.33; Laṭakam.18,4). AMg. *sankala*=śrīkhalā (according to; Hc. 1,189; Panḥāv. 536), AMg. JM. *sankaliyā*=śrīkhalikā (Sūyag. 296; Āv. 14,17), JM. *sankaliya*=śrīkhalita (Āv. 13,28). So also the modern Indian languages¹. But M. Š. *sankhalā* (G.; Mrcch. 41,10); Š. *ussankhalaa* (Mrcch. 151,17); M. Š. *visankhala* (R.; Mālatim. 291,2); Mg. *śankhalā* (Mrcch. 167,6); M. Š. *sinkhalā* (R.; Acyutaś. 41; Mālatim. 129,1; Priyad.4,5; Mallikām. 141,7; Anarghar. 265,2; 308,9; Vṛṣabh. 38,10; Viddhaś. 84,9 [text "sa°"]; 85,3,8)².—AMg. *dhānika*=Pāli *dhanika*=Skt. *dhvāṅksa*¹, for **dhankha* (crow; crane; vulture; Deśin. 4,13; Pāiyāl. 44; Sūyag. 437,508; Uttar. 593), *dhinka*, (Panḥāv. 24), which as well as *dheṇīki* for **dhinkī* (female crane; Deśin. 4,15), presupposes an accentuation **dhvāṅksā*. In the sense of a kind of plant, of which the bees are fond (cf. BÖHTLINGK s.v. *dhvāṅksa*), occurs in M. *dhanika* (H.755)³.—AMg. *bihāṇa*=*bhiṣana* (Panḥāv. 78), *bihāṇaga*=*bhiṣanaka* (Panḥāv. 48,49,167,177), but M. Š. *bhisana* (G.R.; Vikr. 28,8; Mahāv. 12,1; Bālar. 54,7; Anarghar. 58,5; Mallikām. 82,18; 141,9), Š. *adubhisana* (Mallikām. 183,3). To *bniś* belong also *bihāī*, *bihei* (§501). Cf. §263. *pāṇgurāṇa* (cloak; wrap; Hc. 1,175; Triv. 1,3,105) against Marāthī *pāṇgharāṇ*, *pāṇgharāṇ*, *pāṇghurneṇ*⁴.—AMg. *sandeja*=**sāndheya* (capon; Ovav. §1) is a false reading for *sandheja*, as in Skt. too *sanda* and *sandha* are interchanged in the manuscripts. On secondary *kka*, *cca*, *tta*, *ppa* for *kkha*, *ccha*, *ttha*, *ppha* see §301ff.

1. PISCHEL on Hc. 1,189.—2. Cf. § 54.—3. In the stanza that was not intelligible to WEBER, there occurs *dhanharasəo*=*dhvāṅksarasaīṣāḥ*, and the comma after *mukko* is to be erased.—4. PISCHEL, BB. 3,247ff.

§214. WEBER¹ has assumed that in Pkt. “secondary aspiration, respective *h* direct” occurs through the influence of the preceding aspirates. The examples quoted by him *bhāraha*, *khila* in *dharapīkhila*, *phalaha* have found their correct explanation in §206.207. On the other hand, WACKERNAGEL² has attributed to Pkt. a “disinclination to the succession of two aspirates.” His only example is *majjhanna*, which he wrongly

equates as=*mādhyāhnā*, while it is=*mādhyāndina* (§148). In any case, the example would prove nothing, as *majjhāha* is quite common (§330). The reference to E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 41 proves nothing. For Pāli *majjhata*=*madhyastha*, Pkt. says, in all the dialects, from which we can get examples, M. AMg. JM. JS. Ś. *majjhaththa* (H.R.; Āyār. 1,7,8,5; Sūyag. 97; Nāyādh. 1274; T. 5,16; R̄shab. 49; Kk. 275,45; Pav. 389,3; Mrcch. 68,21; Bālar. 238,8; Karnas. 31,10; Mallikām. 250,2,3), for Pāli *majjhattatā*, Ś. *majjhathadā* (Sak. 27,5; Mālav. 39,9; Adbhutad. 4,10). That even in Pāli the reason for the loss of aspiration is not the disinclination, presupposed by WACKERNAGEL, is shown by Pāli *indapatta*=*indaprastha*, *matṭa* beside *matṭha*=*mr̄ṣṭa*, *vatṭa*=*vṛṣṭa*, *atta*=*asta*, *bhaddamutta*=*bhadramusta* etc. (E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 41,53), Pkt. *samattha* beside *samattha*=*samasta* (§307). From cases, like M. *takkhaṇukkhaaharihatthukkhittabhēmbhalā* (R. 6,37), *khanduk-kheva* (G. 1049), AMg. *majjhabhāgattha* (Nāyādh. §92), JM. *hatthikkhandha* (Āv. 25,39), JS. *mohakkhohavīhūṇa* (Pav.380,7), Ś. *phalihatthambha* (Mālav.63, 1), words, like *khambha*, *khuhā*, *jhajjhara*, *jhamkhai* *jhujjhai*, *bhippha*, *bhibbhala*, and the numberless examples, like *ghaṭṭha*, *bhaṭṭha*, *hattha*³, *hitha*, *dejjlihii*, *duhihri*, *bujjhiihii* etc. it results that Pkt. does not have the disinclination attributed to it, and cannot have it according to its phonetic law. On the other hand, some of the dialects, particularly AMg., are proud of the succession of two aspirates, as in *khinkhini*, *khaha-cara*, *thūbha*, *kacchabha* (§206.208). That the examples adduced by JACOBI⁴ cannot be brought forward in defence of a disinclination of two successive aspirates is shown by §312ff.

1. Bhag. 1,411.—2. KZ. 33,575f.; Altind. Gr. § 105, note.—3. With WACKERNAGEL, I include here, among the aspirates, *ha* also, for the sake of brevity.—4. Erz. XXXII, note 3; XXXIII, note 2.

§215. Dialectically guttarals interchange with labials (§230.231. 266.286). In place of palatals, dialectically, occur dentals as well *ta* for *ca*, *da* for *ja*. AMg. *teichā*=*cekitśā=cikitśā (Āyār. 1,2,5,6; 1,8,4,1; 2,13,22; Kappas. S. §49), *tigicchā* (Thān. 313; Panhāv. 356; Nāyādh. 603,605; Uttar. 106), *tigicchaya*, *tigicchaga*=cikitsaka (Thān. 313; Nāyādh. 603,605; Ut.ar. 620), *tigicchai*, *tigicchiya* (§ 555), *vitigicchā*=vicitśā (Thān. 191; Āyār. 2,1,3,5; Sūyag. 189,401,445,514,533; Ut.ar. 468ff.), *vitigimchā*, *vitigimchai*, *vitigimchiya* (§ 74,555), *vitigicchāmi* (Thān. 245.), *nivitigiccha* (Sūyag. 771; Ut.ar. 811; Vivāhap. 183; Ovav. §124).—AMg. *digicchanta*, *digmchā*=jighatsat, *jighatsā*, AMg. JM. *dugamchā*, *dugumchā*, AMg. *dugum-chana*, *dugamchanijja*, *dogamchi*-*dogumchi*-*padidugamchi*, *dugumchāi*, *dugurinchamāna*, *augamchamāna*, *aduguchiyā* (§ 74,555), beside the usual *jugucchā* (Bh. 3,40), *juucchāi*, *juguccchāi* etc. (§555).—AMg. *dosinā*=*dyotsnā* (Triv. 1,3,105=BB.3,250; Thān. 95; Panhāv. 533; Sūriyap. in Verzeichniss. 5,2,574,577), *dosinābhā* (Nāyādh. 1523); *dosinī*=*dyautsnī* (Deśin. 5,50), Ś. *vanadosinī*=*vanajyautsnī* (Śak. 12,13); *dosāṇī* (! right; clear; Deśin. 5,51).—In secondary *ja*, originating from *ya* according to §252. the change could have occurred in *dōggā* (pair; Deśin. 5,49; Triv. 1,3,105=BB. 3,241), when it is to be equated as=*yugma*. It can, however, be referred also to AMg. JM. *duga*, *dvika* (§451)¹.

1. AMg. *pādiṇām*=*prāciṇam* (Āyār. 2,1,9,1) is either a false reading or a printing mistake for *pāṇām*, as it rightly stands in Āyār. 1,1,5,2,3,2,2,8. The ed. Calc. has *pāṇām*. Cf. WEBER, Bhag. 1,413; IS. 1,4,255f.; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 25; PISCHEL; BB. 3,241,250,6,100f.

§216. Like dentals for palatals (§215), palatals have conversely taken the place of dentals in a number of cases. So *cacchāi* from **tyakṣati*

beside *tacchāi*=*takṣati* (Hc. 4,194). M. AMg. JM. *cīṭhāi*; Š. A. *cīṭhadi*, Mg. *cīṭhadi*=*tiṣṭhati* from *sthā* (§483).—*cuccha* beside *tuccha* (Hc. 1,204), according to Hc. also with an aspirated initial sound *chucchā*.—A. *vijajjhara*=*vidyādhara* (Vikr. 59,5). On AMg. *cījatta*=*tyakta*, *cīccā*, *cēccā*=*tyaktvā* see §280.

§217. According to Pr̥thividhara on Mrcch. 9,22 (p. 240) ed. STENZLER=26,8 (p. 500), ed. GODABOLE, in the dialect of Śākāra, there was pronounced a weak *ya* before *ca*, which did not make position: *yiciṣṭha*=*tiṣṭha*. According to Mk. fol. 75,85 this *ya* appeared in Mg. and in Vrācaḍa A. also before *ja*: M. *ycilām*=*ciram*; *yjāā*=*jāyā*; *ycalaā*=*caraka*; A. *ycalāi*=*calati*, *yjalai*=*jvalati* (§24,28). Perhaps the rules given for Mg., Vr. 11,5 and Ki. 5,88, refer to this. On account of bad transmission of the text, the meaning cannot be determined with certainty. Cf. COWELL, Vr. p. 179, note 1; LASSEN, Inst. p. 393,396 §146,1.

§ 218. Dentals of Skt. are represented often by cerebrals in Pkt. The reverse transformation in some dialects (§ 225) proves that it was a matter of dialectically differing pronunciation and that the assumption of some other influence is erroneous. Initially *ta* appears for *ta* in *tagara*=*tagara* (Hc. 1,205); *tūvara*=*tūbara* (Hc. 1,205); *timbaru*=*tumburu* (Deśin. 4,3), *timbaru* *a*=*tumburuka* (Pāiyāl. 258) to which should belong also *timburinī* (Śukasaptati 122,15). Cf. §124. Medially *ta* appears for *ta* in CP. *paṭimā*=*pratimā* (Hc. 4,325), for which the other dialects, according to §198, say *paṭimā*. The words, in which *da* appears for *ta*, have been collected in the gana *pratyādi* by Hc. 1,206; Ki. 2,29 and Mk. fol. 15. In Hc. it is an ākṛtigāna. Ki. mentions only *pratibaddha*, *prābhṛta*, *vetasa*, *patākā* and *garta*; Mk. collects seven words in a verse: *prati*, *vetasa*, *patākā*, *haritakī*, *vyāpṛta*, *mṛtaka*, *prākṛta* for which *prābhṛta* is to be read. Transition from *ta* to *da* in *brati* is especially frequent in all the dialects, except P. CP. So M. AMg. JM. Dh. *padimā*=*pratimā* (C.3,12 p. 49; Hc. 1,206; Pāiyāl. 217; G.H.R.; Thān. 266; Āyār. 2,2,3,18ff.; 2,6,1,4ff.; 2,7,2,8ff.; 2,8,2ff.; 2,8,2ff.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.; Mrcch. 30,11. 16,17); AMg. JM. JS. *padipunna*=*pratipūrṇa* (Nāyādh. 449,500; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.; Pav. 387,13); M. Š. Mg. *padivaana*=*prativacana* (H. R.; Mrcch. 37,8; Vikr. 18,11; Mg. Mrcch. 32,19); M. JM. Š. *padivakkha*=*pratipakṣa* (Pāiyāl. 35; G.H.R.; Erz.; Vikr. 23,7; Prab. 7,9,12,5); M. AMg. Š. *padibaddha*=*pratibaddha* (G.H.R.; Mrcch. 41,3,68,20,25; Uvās.); JS. *appadibaddha* (Pav. 387,25). Š. *padibandheda* (Śak. 113,12), AMg. *padibandhana* (Dasav. 643,16); M. A. *padihāi*, Š. *padihādi*, *padihādi*=*pratibhāti* (§487), and so very many others. Cf. §163,220. In P.C.P., according to Hc. 4,307; Nāmisādhu on Rudrata, Kāvylātarikāra 2,12, the transition does not find place: *patibimba* (Hc. 4,326); an exception is *paṭimā* (Hc. 4,325). Other examples are M. AMg. JM. A. *padāi*=*patati* (Vr. 8,51; Hc. 4,219; G.H.R.; Nirāyāv. 11; Nāyādh. 1394; Sagara 3,10; Hc. 4,422,4,18), Mg. *padadi* (Mrcch. 31,10; 158,7,9,169,5); M. AMg. *padāi*=*patatu* (H.; Āyār. 2,4,1,12), JM. *padāmo*=*patāmah* (Āv. 8,50), Mg. *pademi* (Mrcch. 127,12), M. A. *padia*=*patita* (G.H.R.; Hc. 4,337). JM. *padiyā* (Erz.), Š. Mg. *padida* (Mrcch. 54,3; 81,9,95,13; 120,7; Mudrār. 104,8; Ratn. 314,27; Mrcch. 10,1; 133,10; 169,5; 170,16), Š. *nivadida*=*nipatita* (Śak. 35, 10; 77,11), AMg. *pavadejjā*=*prapatet*, *pavademāṇa*=*prapatamāṇa* (Āyār. 2,2, 1,7; 2,2,3,2,23; 2,3,2,15), and so throughout in the root *pat* and derivatives from it, like M. JM. Mg. *padana*=*patana* (G.H.R.; Erz.; Mrcch. 30,23), but CP. *nipatanti* (Hc. 4,326).—M. Š. *padāā*=*patākā* (Grr.; G.R.; Mrcch. 68,17), AMg. JM. *padāgā* (Thān. 284; Jiv. 483; Nāyādh. § 122; p. 1318; Panhāv. 160; Rāyap. 59,68,70; Vivāhap. 276,833; Nirāyāv.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); JM. *padājā* (Pāiyāl. 68; Erz.); AMg. *sapadāga*

(Rāyap. 128), but P. *patākā* (Hc. 4,307). *pahudi=prabhrti* (Hc. 1,206), but S. Mg. *pahudi* (Mrcch. 23,15.23;73,10; Šak. 52,5; 85,7; Vikr. 15,8.9;45,20; Mudrār. 253,8; Prab. 9,5;28,17; Mg. Mrcch. 13,25;21,11;133,21; Venīs. 35,5), S. *pahudia=prabhrtika* (Mrcch. 71,1).—AMg. JM. *pāhuda=prābhṛta* (Grr.; Pāiyāl. 236; Āyār. 2,2,2,10ff.; Vivāgas. 128.132; Nāyādh. 439.539.540.774ff.1375f.1431, Rāyap. 226, Anuog. 558; Erz.), *pāhudi=jā=prābhṛtikā* (Āyār. 2,2,3,1; Anuog. 558).—M. AMg. JM. S. Mg. D. *vāvūda=vyāprta* (Hc.; Mk.; H.R.; Uttar. 496; Erz.; Kk.; Mrcch. 4,24;29,21;104,8), JM. also *vāula* (Kk.), AMg. *vāuya* (Ovav.), S. *vāvūda* (Mālav. 72,7), *vāvudādā=vyāprtata* (Mrcch. 325,19).—M. *vedisa*, but P. *vetasa*, S. *vedasa=vetasa* (§101).—*haradāi=haritaki* (§120).

§219. Cerebralization has a wider range in AMg., partly in JM. too (Hc. 1,206), than in the other dialects. It appears especially in these dialects in the past passive participles of certain roots in *r*. So: AMg. *kāda=kṛta*, *akaḍa=akṛta*, *dukkāda=duskr̥ta*, *sukaḍa=sukṛta*, *vigada=vijṛta*, *vikṛta*, *pagada=prakṛta*, *purekāda=puraskṛta*, *āhākāda=yathākṛta*, beside M.A. *kāa*, AMg. JM. *kāya*, PG.P. *kata*, J.S. S. Mg. *kāda*, S. Mg. A. *kida*, A. *akia* (§49; cf. §306).—AMg. *patthāda=prastṛta* (Thān. 197), *vithāda=vistṛta* (Jiv. 253; Ovav. §56), *sāmīhāda=samśṛta* (Āyār. 2,1,3,9;2,1,6,1), *asamīhāda* (Āyār. 2,4,2,14), *ahāsaṁhāda=yathāsaṁśṛta* (Āyār. 2,7,2,14).—AMg. *māda=mṛta* (Vivāhap. 13; Uttar. 985; Jiv. 255; Kappas.), AMg. JM. *māḍaya=mṛtaka* (Hc. 1,206; Pāiyāl. 158; Āyār. 2,10,17; Av. 24,4), beside AMg. JM. *mājya* Vivāhap. 16,1041.1042; Dvār. 503,5,7;504,4,17), JM. *mu'a* (Av. 28,8), (G.), M. *maa* (G.), *mua* (H. R.), J.S. *mada* (Pav. 387,18), S. *muda* (Mrcch. 72,20; Karp. 22,9).—*vūda=vṛta* in AMg. *abhinivuḍa=abhinirvṛta* (Sūyag. 110.117 [°ni°]. 371), *nivouḍa=nirvṛta* (Āyār. 1,4,3,3; Sūyag. 550), *pūḍa=prāvṛta* (Āyār. 1,2,2,1; Sūyag. 134.170), *parinivuḍa=parinirvṛta* (Kappas.) beside *°jā* (Ovav.; Kappas.), *parivuḍa=parivṛta* (Ovav.), *sāmpari-vuḍa=sāmparivṛta* (Vivāhap. 186.830; Nāyādh. §4.130; p. 431.574.724.784. 1068.1074.1273.1290.1327; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.), *sāmīvūda=samśṛta* (Āyār. 1,8,3,13;2,1,9,1; Sūyag. 81.117.144; Vivāhap. 942; Kappas.), *asamīvūda* (Sūyag. 108.115), *susamīvūda* (Sūyag. 141), beside *nivuḍa*, JM. *nivuḍya*, S. *nivuḍa* (§51), M. *pāua* (H.), Dh. S. *pāvuda* (Mrcch. 34,12; 72,2,9), S. *avāvūda=apāvṛta* (Mrcch. 16,3,5,9), S. D. *parivuḍa=parivṛta* (Mrcch. 6,6;106,1), S. *sāmīvūda* (Mrcch. 15,7), AMg. *sāmīvūja* (Ovav.).—AMg. JM. *hāda=hṛta* (Āyār. 2,2,2,4; Av. 44,7); AMg. *avahāda=apahṛta* (Hc. 1,206), *abhihāda* (Āyār. 1,7,1,1.2;2,1,11;2,2,1,2), *āhāda* (Āyār. 1,7,5,4;2,1,9,2; Sūyag. 382), *asamāhāda* (Āyār. 2,1,3,5), *nīhāda=nirhṛta* (Āyār. 2,1,1,11;2,1,9,7;2,10,2,4), beside M. *hīa=hṛta* (H.R.), S. *avahāda=apahṛta* (Mrcch. 52,13.21;53,2.21;55,16;74,12;78,2;89,9;147,17;154,13; Vikr. 41,12). According to Vr. 11,15 the cerebralization occurs also in Mg. in *kāda=kṛta*, *māda=mṛta*, *gāḍa=gata*. So are found Mg. *kāda* (Mrcch. 17,8;32,5;127,23.24;132,10.11.12;149 24;154,20;164,10); *māda* (Mrcch. 119, 15), *māḍaa* (Candak. 63,11), *gāḍa* (Mrcch. 10,6;13,8;20,16;36,13), beside *kāda*, *kida* (§49), *gāḍa* (Mrcch. 39,20;116,7;128,2;171,11; Prab. 50,6; Candak. 70,14; Venīs. 34,9 etc.). *kāda* occurs also in PG. 7,51 (cf. EI. 2,485) beside *adhikate=adhikṛtāñ* (5,5). *māda* is treated as provincial in Deśin. 6,141. On *kāla*, *māla* see §244. Other examples of cerebralization from AMg. are: *dukkādi=duṣkr̥tin* (Sūyag. 295); *uvakkhaḍei=****upaskṛtayati*, *uvakkhaḍāvei* (§559); *purekkhāda=puraskṛta* (Pannav. 796ff.); *niyādi=nikṛtin* (Dasav. 635,7), *niyādilla=nikṛtimat* (Uttar. 990), *niyādillājā=nikṛtimattā* (Thān. 338; Vivāhap. 687; Ovav.); *sāmīhādi=samśkr̥ti* (Āyār.

1,8,1,18), *pagadi*=*prakṛti* (Thān. 216; Vivāhap. 74), JŚ. *pajadi* (Kattig. 399,308), beside *pagai* (Ovav.; Kappas.), M. *paaī* (H.R.), Ś. *pāīdi* (Śak. 35,8;66,8;117,11;153,14; Vikr. 73,12;75,4); *vadiṁsa*, *vadiṁsaga*, *vadiṁsajya*=*avatāmsa*, *avatāmsaka* (§ 103); *veyāvadīya* beside *veyāvacca*=*vaiyāpṛtya* (LEUMANN, Ovav. s.v. *veyāvacca*). Doubtful is the meaning of Mg. *vidatta*, *ppadavadi* (Mrcch.165,11). Lalitadikṣita's explanation by *vitapta*, *pratapati* in GODABOLE p. 448 is forced. Presumably we should read : *vidhatte cede kim na ppalavadi*=*vidagdha scetah kim na pralapati*. On *vidhatta* cf. M. *dhajjaī*, Ś. *dhajjadi*, *vidhajjia*, Mg. *dhayyadi* (§212), on *ppalavadi*, the v.l. *ppatabadi* in GODABOLE.

§220. In some cases the cerebralization does not take place as a rule : M. AMg. JM. Ś. *pāīññā*=*pratiññā* (Hc.1,206; G.R.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Mālav. 66,18;69,5), beside AMg. *apādīnna*=*apratiññā* (Āyār. 1,8,1,19.22; 1,8,2,5.11.16;1,8,3,9.12.14;1,8,4,6.7.14); AMg. JM. *pāīṭhāna*=*pratiṣṭhāna* (Thān. 513; Nāyādh. 623; Vivāhap. 418.447; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), also in the proper name of the town JM. Ś. *pāīṭhāna* (Āv. 21,1; Kk. 269,44 [so to be read in place of *paya°*]; Vikr. 23,14;73,11 [so to be read with all the MSS. (p.255), the Indian editions and the Dravidian recensions]), as also in the Lena-dialect *pāīṭhāna* beside *patiṭhāna* (Arch. Survey of Western India 5,76,8); AMg. *pāīṭhā*=*pratiṣṭhā* (Hc. 1,206); AMg. JM. *pāīṭhiya*=*pratiṣṭhita* (Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.), beside M. *padīṭhiya* (G.R.), AMg. *padīṭhiya* (Ovav.); AMg. *pāīṭhāvaya*=**pratiṣṭhāpaka* (Ovav.), JM. *pāīṭhāvija*=*pratiṣṭhāpita* (T.7,2; Erz.), beside M. *padīṭhāvīa* (R.), S. *padīṭhāvī*=*pratiṣṭhāpaya* (Ratn. 295,26); JM. *pāīḍinām*=*pratidinam* (Erz.; Kk.), *pāīdiyahām*=*pratiḍivasam* (Kk.), *pāīsamayām*=*pratisamayam* (Hc. 1,206), *pāīvarisām*=*prativarśam* (7,1); also in independently standing *prati*, JM. *pāī* (Kk.), Ś. *pādi* (Cait.88,12;90,4.5); *pāīva*=*pratiipa* (Hc.1,206; Pāīyal.154), beside Mg. *vippadīva*=*vipratiipa* (Mrcch. 29,23), Dh. *vippadīva* (Mrcch. 30,11.12; cf. GODABOLE p. 86,1.2); M. JM. *sampāī*=*samprati* (Hc. 1,206; Pāīyal. 67; G.R.; Erz.; Kk.; Rṣabhap.), JM. *sambajām*=*sāmbṛatam* (Paiyal. 67; Erz.; Kk.), beside Ś. Dh. *sampādam* (e.g. Ś. Mrcch. 6,22;17,19;18,23; 36,9;42,9; Sak. 25,2;30,4;67,12; Vikr.26,12;27,21;46,15; Dh. Mrcch. 30,4; 31,9;32,8), Mg. *sāmpādam* (e.g. Mrcch. 16,20;32,2.4.5;38,19;99,11;119,11; 153,22; Prab. 58,17).

§221. *tha*, through *tha*, becomes *dha* initially in M. *dhakkai*, *dhakkei* (covers; disguises; closes; Hc. 4,21; H.), JM. *dhakkemi* (T. 7,9), *dhakkeūna* (Erz.; Dvār. 499,8), Ś. *dhakkehi* (Mrcch. 36,3); Mg. *dhakkida*, *dhakkedha* (Mrcch. 79,17;164,14), also with infixed nasal *dhāmkissām* (Prab. 58,10; so to be read; BROCKHAUS *taṇkissām*, ed. Bomb. P. *dhāmkissām*, (ed. M. *thagaissām*=Pāli *thaketi*¹, *dhanikā* (cover; Deśin. 4,14); *dhakka* (covetous; Erz.); cf. §309. Medially in M. *kadhai*=*kvathati* (Vr. 8,39; Hc. 4,119.220; Ki. 4,46), *kadhamāna* (G.), *kadħhasi*, *kadħhasu* (H. 401; so to be read), *kadħia* (Karp. 40,2), Ś. *kaḍħamāna* (Anarghar. 270,1; text °*iija°*), *kaḍħida* (Karp. 82,7), AMg. *sukadhiya* (Jiv. 823.860f.); AMg. *gadhiya*=*grathita* (Āyār. 1,2,3,5,4,2,5,4 [text °*ddh°*]; 1,4,4,2;1,6,5,5;1,8,1,9;2,1,8,2; Sūyag. 84,601.699.751; Thān. 156; Vivāhap. 450.1128; Nāyādh. 433.606; Vivāgas.87 [text °*ddh°*;92], *agadhiya* (Āyār.2,1,5,5; Panhāv.359.370); *nisiđha* beside *niśīha*=*niśīha* (Hc. 1,216). In Ś. occurs *nisiđha* (Malikām. 201,6; 209,18) as well as *niśīha* (Kāleyak. 26,2) falsely for *niśīđha*. AMg. *nijjūđha*=*niryūđha* (expelled; singled out; Nāyādh. 323; Vivāhap. 134; Dasav. 631,11;644,12.19.21.22.24), *anijjūđha* (Vivāhap. 134), beside M. AMg. JM. A. *jūha*=*yūtha* (G. H. R.; Nāyādh.; Āv. 42,7; Erz.; Vikr. 56,21), Ś. *jūđha* (Cāndak. 17,12), M. *jūhiā*=*yūthikā* (G.), AMg.

jūhiyā (Kappas.), Š. *jūdhīā* (Vṛṣabha.14,9;16,2;17,2;21,14 [text everywhere $\circ hi^i$]), AMg. *nijjhūhaga*=*niryūthaka, *nijjhūhiya*=*niryūthita (Dasav.644,16,17), JM. *nijjhūhījā* (Āv. 42,15); *padhama*, *padhuma*, *pudhama*, *pudhuma* beside P. *pudhuma*=*prahama* (§104); *pudhavī* beside *puhavī*, *puhāī*=*pr̥thvī* (§51)²; AMg. *pudhō*=*pr̥thak*, beside *puhutta*, *puhata*=*pr̥thaktva* (§78); AMg. *medhi*=*methi* (Hc. I,215; Nāyādh. 630; Uvās.); *sadhila*, *pasadhila*, *siḍhila*, *pasiḍhila*=*śīhila*, *praśīhila* (§115).

1. False S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākṛtica p. 2f. The word presupposes one Skt. **sthak*. Cf. § 309. Not quite correct PISCHEL, BB. 15,125.—Artificial and false BARTHOLOMAE, IF. 3,164f.

§222. Initially *da* has become *da* in M. AMg. JM. in the roots *das* and *dah* and their derivatives (Hc. 1,217.218; Mk. fol. 17). Vr. 2,35 mentions *daśana* only, Ki. 2,42 besides also *dahana*, for which they, like Mk., require the change compulsorily, whilst Hc. 1,217 permits it optionally in *daśana*, *daṣṭa*, *dagdha*, *dāha*, but for the roots themselves he always prescribes it. We find JM. *dasai*, but AMg. *dasamāṇa* (cf.v.l.), *dasantu* (Āyār. 1,8,3,4); M. *daṭṭha* (H.) and M. JM. *daṭṭha* (R.; Kk.); M. AMg. JM. *dakka* (§566); AMg. *saṁdāsa*=*saṁdaṁśa* (Uttar.593); *uddasa* (bug; Desin. 1,96); *uddāsa* (pain; Desin. 1,99); but AMg. JS. *daṁśa*=*daṁśa* (Āyār.2,2,3,28; Ovav.; Kattig.401,353); Dh. *daṭṭha* (Mṛcch.39,8); M. *dasana* (G.), and so always in Š. according to Vr.12,31, which retains the dental initially even in the root: *dasanādasanī* (Laṭakam. 7,6), *damsadi* (Śak. 160,1), *daṭṭha*, *daṁśida* (Mālav. 53,17;54,6). So also *dādhā*=*daṁśtrā* (§76).—*dah* forms *dahāī* (H.), JM. *dahē* (Erz. 38,18), AMg. *dahaha* (Sūyag. 596), *dahējjā* (Dasav. 634,5), *dahijjā* (Sūyag. 783); M. *dahiūna* (H.R.); M. AMg. JM. *dajjhāī* (Hc. 4,246; G. H. R.; Āyār. 1,2,3,5,4,2;1,3,3,2; Sūyag. 273; Uttar. 282 284), M. *dajjhāī*, *dajjhāsu* (H.); M. AMg. JM. *dajjhanti* (G.; Panhāv. 381; Dvār. 498,26), M. *dajjhīhī* (H.); JM. *dajjhīhī* (Āv. 32,35); JM. *dajjhāe* (Dvār. 498,22); AMg. *dajjhant* (Panhāv. 127; M. AMg. *dajjhanta* (G.R.; Karp. 87,9; Jiv. 591; Panhāv. 63; Pannav. 99; Nāyādh.; Kappas.); JM. *dajjhīntī* (Dvār. 499,23); AMg. JM. *dajjhāmāṇa* (Sūyag. 270,286; Panhāv. 59,217; Uttar. 446; Dvār. 498,25), °*ṇī* (Uttar. 284; Dvār. 498,28; 499,7); AMg. *vidajjhāmāṇa* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1); AMg. *adajjha* (Thān. 146); M. *daddha* (H.R.), but only R. 3,48 in *daddha* without a v.l., everywhere else with v.l. *daḍḍha*, as also AMg. JM. *have* (C. 3,16; Sūyag. 288,783; Panhāv. 176; Pannav. 848; Vivāhap. 13.16.617; Āv. 9,16.20;19,13.15; Dvār. 499,21.22,500,16;501,34), M. without v.l. only R. 7,52. Cf. Ki. 2,17. In M. the cerebralization is so preponderant, that certainly also at R. 15,58 with v. l. should be read *dahiuṁ¹*, in spite of JM. *dahium* [Erz. 24,25]. In compounds the dental seems to prevail: *vidaddha* (Ki. 2,17); M. *viaddha*=*vidagdha* (G.H.; Anarghar. 20,3); JM. *niddahāī* (Erz. 3,17), AMg. *niddahējjā* (Uttar. 363), JM. *niddadḍha* (Dvār. 504,9,10); AMg. *saṁdahamāṇa* (Āyār.1,8,2,14); otherwise, except in *daddha*, it is testified to with outright uncertainty, as *dahijjāī* (Hc.4,246), AMg. *dajjhāmāṇa* (Vivāhap. 13.16.617), probably under the influence of the adjacent *daddha*, as JM. *dahāī* (Erz. 3,18), through the preceding parallel *niddahāī* (Erz 3,17). In Š. the dental remains, except in the cases of transimposition of breath (§212), throughout: *dahidum* (Śak.72,12); *daddha*=*dagdha* (Anarghar.150,4; text *daddha*; cf. also ed. Calc. 89,2); *viaddha*=*vidagdha* (Mālatīm. 76,6;250,3; Hāsy. 25,8;22,31,17). In derivatives the cerebralization is found e.g. in M. AMg. *dāha* (Pāiyāl. 46; H.; Āyār. 2,10,17), M. JM. *dahāṇa* (Pāiyāl. 6;G.; Erz.) beside JM. *dahāṇa* (Erz.; Kk.). So also *daddhādī* (way of the forest fire; Desin. 4,8) from *dagdha+vāṇī* (way) with contraction according

to §167. For *da* appears *da*, further in the anlaut in JM. *dānda=danda* (Vr. 2,35; C.3,16; Hc. 1,217 Ki. 2,42; Mk. fol. 18; Āv. 47,26ff.), beside the common *danda* of all the dialects (e.g. M. G.H.R.; AMg. Āyār. 1,8,1,7 [v.l. *da°*]. 8;1,8,3,7,10; Uvās.; Ovav.; Nāyādh.; JM. Erz.; Kk.; J.S. Kattig. 401,345ff.; S. Vr. 12,31; Mṛcch. 41,6;155,5; Śak. 125,1; 130,4; Mālav. 71,6,78,7; Prab. 4,3; Mg. Mṛcch. 154,10;155,5); *dabbha=darbha* (Hc. 1,217), beside M. AMg. *dabbha* (G.; Śak. 85,2; Uvās.); *dambha*, beside *dambha=dambha* (Hc. 1,217), to which *dambhia=dambhika* (gamester; Deśin. 4,8) also belongs; AMg. JM. *dahara=dahara* (young; Deśin. 4,8; Pāiyāl. 58; Āyār. 2,11,18; Sūyag. 100,113,472,515; Antag. 55; Dasav. 623,20; 633,20,32,35;636,14;637,7; Āv. 42,16); *dolā=dolā* (Grr.; Deśin. 4,11; Pāiyāl. 232), beside M. S. *dolā* (Vr. 12,31; Hc.; Mk.; G.; Karp. 23,5;54, 10;55,4;57,2,5,7; Mālav. 32,12,34,12;39,7,15;40,5; Karp. 54,5;58,1; Viddhaś. 117,1), M. *dolāia=dolāyita* (under H. 966), beside S. *dolāamāna* (Mṛcch. 68,14); in addition also *dola* (eye; Deśin. 4,9; Triv. 1,3,105), *dolia* (antelope; Deśin. 412)²; AMg. JM. *dohala=dohada* (Hc. 1,217; Mk. fol. 18; Nāyādh.; Erz.), beside the common M. AMg. JM. S. *dohala* (Vr. 2,12; Hc. 1,217; Mk. fol. 18; H.R.; Vivāgas. 116; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Nirayāv.; Erz.; Mālav. 30,13;34,13;36,2;40,6;48,14; Karp. 20,2,6;64,9; 66,1; Ratn. 297,32), M. S. *dohalaa=doholaka* (H.; Karp. 62,9; Viddhaś. 121,5; Ratn. 300,17). Cf. §244.436. In AMg. the anlaut of reduplication is cerebralized in *ādahai=ādadhati* (Ovav. § 44), *ādahanti=ādadhati* (Sūyag. 286)³. Cf. §223.500. *dara* becomes *dara* in the meaning “fear”, (Hc. 1,217), as *darati* in the meaning “fears”, “trembles”, *daraī* (Hc. 4,198)⁴; on the other hand, the dental remains in the meaning “something”, “a little”, “half”: M. JM. S. (Hc. 1,217; 2,215; Deśin. 5,33; Pāiyāl. 212; G.H.R.; Karp. 46,14;56,7;66,11; Erz. Mālatim. 118,5; Uttarar. 125,4; Caṇḍak. 16,16; Viddhaś. 117,4;126,3). *dara* “fear” with a dental in R. 6,56 stands for the sake of rhyme with *handara*. In the inlaut *da* appears for *da* in *kadana* beside *kaṇa* (Hc. 1,217); M. *khuḍia*, S. *khudida=**kṣudita=kṣunna, M. *ukkhudia=**utkṣudita (§ 568); AMg. *tudiya=**tudita (§ 258); Mg. *hadakka=**hrdaka (§ 194). *sādai* belongs according to Hc. 4,219, to *sad*, according Vr. 8,51 and Ki. 4,46, to *śad*. Probably it is to be rightly connected with *śat*, in favour of which are AMg. *padisādēnti*, *padisādītā* (Āyār. 2,15,18), JM. *padisadāṇa* (Kk. 268,22)⁵.

1. PISCHEL, GGA. 1880, p. 327. Falsey S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Rāvanavaho p. 322, note 5, who completely overlooks the dialectical distinction.—2. PISCHEL, BB.6,89.—3. JACOBI (SBE. 45,45,283), with the commentary, falsely derives the form from *dah* “to burn”.—4. PISCHEL on Hc. 1,217;4,198.—5. So probably more correctly than Hc. 4,219.

§223. In the anlaut *dha* has become *ḍha* in M. *dhankha*, AMg. *dhanka*, *dhinka=Pāli dnānika=Skt. dhvāṇiṣa*, *dhēṇkī=dhvāṇīṣī* (§213); in the inlaut in AMg. *nisaḍha*, *nisaḍha=nisaḍha* (Hc. 1,226; Mk. fol. 17; Thān. 72,75,176; Samav. 19,161,162; Jiv. 583; Nāyādh. 668; Nirayāv. 79ff.; Panhāv. 243; Rāyap. 177), but *nisha* (Sūyag. 313); *osadha* (Hc. 1,227; Ki. 2,1; Mk. fol. 17), beside M. AMg. JM. J.S. S. *osaha* (C. 2,8; Hc. 1,227; H.; Vivāhap. 516; Uttar. 602,918; Sūyag. 771; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.; Kattig. 402,362; Mālav. 26,15) and S. *osadha* in *laddhosadha* (Śak. 56,16)=*ausadha*¹. To it belongs also AMg. JM. *ādhāi=**ādhāti=āda-dhāti (§ 500)¹, causative *ādhavaī*, *viḍhavaī*, passive causative *āḍhappāi*, *āḍhaviāi*, *viḍhappāi*, *viḍhavijjāi* (§ 286), participles M. AMg. JM. *āḍhatta*, M. *saṁāḍhatta*, M. JM. S. *viḍhattā*, A. *viḍhatta* (§ 565). The derivation, going back to Hc. 2,138, of *āḍhatta*, from *ārabdha*², is linguistically impos-

sible. To *dhā*, not to *dṛ*, belongs also *ādhia* (desired; fit to be set; attractive; stable; Hc. 1,143; Desin. 1,74), JM. *ādhīya* (Āv. 43,25) = **ādhita* = *āhita*. For cerebralization one may compare *saddhā* = *śraddhā*, *saddha* = *śrāddha*, *saddhi* = *śraddhin* (§ 333) and AMg. *ādahai*, *ādahanti* (§ 222).

1. Wrongly connects E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 57, *ādhāi* to *ārādhati*, and HOERNLE, Uvās., Transl. note 306, to *ardhayati* or to *ārdhayati*.—2. E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 57; WEBER, Hāla s.v. *ādhāta*; P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p. 512, note**; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Rāvaṇavaho s.v. *rābh*; ZDMG. 29,494. Falsely also JACOBI, KZ. 28,253.

§224. In all the dialects, except PG. VG. AMg. JM. JŚ. P.CP., in the anlaut, as in the inlaut, *na* is always changed into *ṇa* (Vr. 2,42; Hc. 1,228; Ki. 2,106; Mk. fol. 18); M. *na* = *ṇa*; *nānā* = *nayana* (G.H.R.)¹; *nālinī* = *nalīnī*; *nāśana* = *nāśana* (R.); *nīhaṇa* = *nidhana* (G. R.); *nīhāṇa* = *nidhāṇa*; *nīhuṇa* = *nidhvāṇa* (H.); *nūṇāṁ* (H.), *nūṇa* (G. R.) = *nūnam*. Likewise Ś. Mg. Dh. Ā.D.A. In AMg. JM. JŚ. single *n* in the beginning of words and doubled *n* within words may be retained. Ki. 2,107 generally permits den'al *n* in the anlaut : *nāi* or *nāi* = *nādī*. In the palm-leaf MSS. even in AMg. and JM. generally, and in Ki. throughout, *ṇa* is written, while the paper manuscripts, in the anlaut, and often also in the doublings, retain *n*². In the particle *nām* = *nūnāṁ*, always *n* is written, which is explained by the fact that *n* originally stood in the inlaut and that *nām* is enclitic (§150). The Jainas transfer this orthographical device also to other dialects, so that it, sometimes wrongly also in M., e.g. in Gaūḍavaho, has been retained by the editors according to the MSS. The statement, that in AMg. even a medial simple *na* is sometime retained as in *āraṇāla*, *anila*, *anala* in Hc. 1,228, probably is based purely upon false readings. Epigraphically *na* is written falsely in Ś. *nomālie* = *navamālike* (Lalitav. 560,9,17 beside *nomālie*) and Mg. *nījhala* = *nirjhara* 566,9 whilst *niramtara* (561,2) and *nia* (567,1) are printing mistakes³. In PG., with the exception of *madena* (6,40), *na* in the inflectional endings undergoes cerebralization throughout: *pallavāṇa* (5,2), *vatthavāṇa* = *vāstavāṇāṁ* (6,8) *bamhanāṇam* = *brāhmaṇāṇam* (6,8.27.30.38), *kātūṇāṁ* = **kītvāṇam* (6,10,29), *nātūṇāṁ* = **jñātvāṇam* (6,39), *likhitēṇa* (7,51), otherwise the simple *na* in the inlaut is partly retained, as *senāpāti* (5,3), *vadhanikē* = **var-dhanikān* (6,9), *aneka* (6,10), *°ppadāyino* = *pradāyināḥ* (6,11), *sātāhani* (6,27), *vinesi* (26,31), partly it becomes *ṇa*, as in *maṇusāṇa* = *manuyāṇāṁ* (5,7), *dāni* = *idānūṁ* (5,7), *appāṇo* = *ātmānah* (6,8), *sāsanassa* = *sāsanasya* (6,10), *nivataṇām* = *nivartanām* (6,38), *anu°* = *anu°* (7,45). In the anlaut, on the other hand, as well the doubled one in the inlaut, the dental *na* always remains : *neyike* = *naiyikān* (5,7), *kumāraṇādi* (6,17), *nāndijasa* = *nandi-jasya* (6,21), *nāganāndisa* = *nāganandinaḥ* (6,25), *nivataṇām* = *nivartanām* (6,38), *saṁvinayika* (6,32), *nigaha* = *nigraha* (7,41), *narādhamo* (7,47), *anne* = *anyān* (5,6; 7,43). So the inscription distinguishes also in the case of the secondary simplified nasal, derived from *jñā* : *ānatām* = *ājñāptām* (7,49), where *jñā* is taken as in the inlaut, beside *nātūṇāṁ* = **jñātvāṇam* (6,39). The inscription thus agrees on the last two points generally with the more modern Jaina manuscripts⁴. So also in VG.: *pallavāṇam* (101,2); *nārāyanissa* (101,8), *vaddhaniyām* (101,8), *kātūṇa* (101,9), *nātūṇa* (101,10; cf. EI. 1,2 note 2). In P. CP. *na* remains throughout : P. *dhana*, *matana* = *madana*, *satana* = *sadana*, *vatanaka* = *avadanaka*, *cintayamāṇi* = *cintayamāṇā*, *gantūra* = **gantvāna*, *natthūṇa* = **naśvāṇa* etc., also *snāna* = *snāṇa*, *sināta* = *snāṭa*, *sunusā* = *snusā* : CP. *matana* = *madana*, *tanu*, *nakara* = *nagara* etc. (Vr. 4,7,13; Hc. 4,304.307.310.312.313.314.325.328; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kavyālam-kāra 2,12.

1: Cf. § 186, note 1.—2. LEUMANN, Āvaśyaka-Erzählungen p. 6, note 4. On the flexibility of the manuscripts see WEBER, Bhag. 1,402f.; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 29f.; JACOBI, ZDMG. 34,181, according to whom even in the oldest manuscripts *na* appears not rarely; STEINTHAL, Specimen p. 3.—3. KONOW, GN. 1894,480.—4. Not quite correctly BÜHLER, EI. I,3.

§225. Very rarely and that only dialectically the cerebrals of Skt. become dentals. In P. *tu* can become *tu* (Hc. 4,311); *kutumbaka* beside *kuṭumbaka*. In P. CP. *na* becomes *na* : P. *gunaganayutta*=*guṇagaṇayukta*; *gunena*=*gunena*; *talūṇi*=*taruṇi*; *visāṇa*=*viśāṇa*; *gahana*=*grahana* (Vr. 10,5; C. 3,38; Hc. 4,306.309.323; Nāmisādu on Rudratā, Kāvyālāmikāra 2,12); CP. : *makkana*=*mārgana*, *panaya*=*pranaya*, *nakhatappanesuṁ*=*nakhadarpanesu*, *pātukkhepena*=*pādotkṣepena* (Hc. 4,325.326). According to Śimhadevaganin on Vāgbhātālāmikāra 2,12 *na* should become *na* also in Mg.: *taluna*=*taruṇa*. S. has confused Mg. with P. In AMg. JM. JS., the manuscripts write *nna* for *nna* of the other dialects, except that of P. CP., just as for the dental *nna* (§ 224). *nisanna*=*nīṣaṇṇa*; *padipunna*=*pratipūrṇa*; *vanna*=*varṇa*; also in the case of the secondary *nṇa*, as *anna*=M. S. *anṇa*=Skt. *anya* etc.

§226. Dentals could have occurred for cerebrals to a greater extent if the grammarians, with whom the North Indian manuscripts agree, were correct, in saying that *ta*, *da*, *na* could become *la* (Vr. 2,22.23; C. 3,21; Hc. 1,197.198.202.203, Ki. 2,12.13; Mk. fol. 16). In lieu of *la*, however, *la* should always be written, as in similar cases in Pāli¹. The North Indian manuscripts know *la* as little as the anunāsika (§ 179), even not in the cases, as Hc. 4,308, where for P. transition of *la* into *la* is required². Trivikrama's grantha-mauuscripts write in this place 3,2,48 (MS. B. 39) throughout *la*, in the rules corresponding to Hc. 1,197.202, in the sūtras, it is true, they write *la*, and in the examples, with some exceptions, that are scribe's errors, as is shown by the variation of the manuscript³, only *la*. The edition in the Granthapradarśani has only *la*. It is so in the sūtra 1,3,24, peculiar to Trivikrama : *tor baḍiśūdau laḥ*. The manuscripts of the texts and the impressions fluctuate; e.g. in Hc. 1,202 stands *kilāi*=*kriḍati*; in Triv. 1,3,30 the manuscript has A. *kilāi*, B. *kilāi*; Śak. 155,1, the Bengali and Nāgari MSS. have *kilāṇām*=*kridanakam*, 155,12 *kiliśśām* or wrongly *kiliśśām*=*kriḍiyāmi*. Of the South Indian manuscripts the Grantha-manuscript L⁴ reads *kilāṇijjām*=*kriḍaniyam*, but *kiliśśām*, the Telugu-manuscript F *kilāṇijjām* and *kiliśśām*, P. *kilāṇām*, but *kiliśśām*, the Malayālam-manuscript V *kiliṇiyām*, but *kiliśśām*, the Telugu edition, Madras 1874 p. 304 *kilāṇām* and p. 305 *kiliśśām*; Vikr. 41,7;52,9 has for *kidiśśām* *kilāṇām*, in the South-Indian recension 643,1;650,17 *kiliśśām*, *kilāṇām*, 31,17 for *kilāpavvadaperante*=*kriḍāparvataparyante*, 636,17 *kilāpavvade*=*kriḍāparvate*; Mālav. 60,11 even the Telugu manuscript of the India Office has *kiliśśām*; in Mālatīm. 142,1 the Telugu edition 123,8 reads *kilāṇādo* for *kilāṇādo* etc. Similar is the case with other words. The South-Indian texts mostly have *la*, which they employ in Skt. too in the same cases in which they use *na*, so that they, e.g. write *tarāla*, *mardāla*, *saraṇā*, etc. The Bhattiprolu- Inscription I,A⁵, writes *phāliṇa*=*sphāṇika*, while PG. has *pilā*=*pīdā* (6,40), for which *pilā* was expected⁶. In agreement with Pāli *la* is to be assumed for Pkt. when it stands for *ta*, *da*. Therefore, there has not occurred a transition from one class to another. When *da* and *la* are mentioned to be of a similar sound by Hemacandra on Abhidhānacintāmaṇi 258 (p. 322 ed. BÖHTLINGK), Sarasvatik. p. 98, Vāgbhaṭa, Alāmkāratilaka p. 14, Sāhityadar-

pana 261,11, and Kālidāsa, Raghuv.9,46 permits *bhujalatām* to alliterate with *jadatām* (*dalayorabhedaḥ* says Mallināth on it), this is explained by the fact that in Classical Skt. of the North *la* had vanished in writing and speaking, but it proves nothing for Pkt.⁷ in relation the modern Indian languages. Cf. §238.240.

1. E. KUHN p. 36f.; E. MÜLLER, Simplified Grammar p. 27.—2. See the critical note to this rule.—3. So writes, e.g. in 1,3,30 A. *valahāmuḥāṁ*, B. *valāmuḥāṁ*; A. *galulo*, B. *garulo*=*garudah*; A. *talāām*, B. *talāām*=*taḍakam*; in 1,3,24 A. *valisām*, B. *balisām*=*baḍisām* etc.—4. On the notation of the manuscripts see GN. 1873,190f.—5. EI. 2,324.—6. On the inscriptive occurrences of *la* see BÜHLER, EI. 2,368; FLEET, CII. 3,4,269.—7. PISCHEL, GGA. 1873, p. 50; on Hc. 1,202; 4,326.

§227. Except in Dh. and Mg. *śa* and *ṣa* have become *sa* in Pkt., so that most of the dialects have the dental sibilant only (Vr. 2,43; Hc. 1,260; Ki. 2,103; Mk. fol. 18). PG. *sivakhaṁdavamo*=*śivaskandavarmā* (5,2), *visae*=*viṣaye* (5,3), *pesaṇa*=*piṣaṇa* (5,6), *yaso*=*yaśah* (6,9), *sāṣaṇassa*=*śāṣanasya* (6,10), *sata*=*śata* (6,11), *kosika*=*kauśika* (6,16), *sāka*=*śāka* (6,34), *visaya*=*viṣaya* (6,35) and others; M. *asesa*=*aśeṣa* (G.H.), *āśivisa*=*āśviṣa* (R.), *kesa*=*keśa* (G.H.R.), *ghosa*=*ghoṣa* (G.H.), *pasu*=*paśu* (G.), *masi*=*maśi* (H.R.), *mahisa*=*mahiṣa* (G.H.R.), *rosa*=*roṣa* (G.H.R.). *sisira*=*śiśira* (G.H.R.), *sisu*=*śisu* (G.); Ś. *kidavisesāā.. sohadi*=*kṛtavīśeṣakā...* *śobhate* (Mṛcch. 2,21), *pariśilidāsēsadesaṁtaravahārō*=*pariśilītāśeṣadeśāntaravavahāraḥ* (Lalitav. 560,19), *sasiseharavallahā*=*śaśiṣekharavallabhā* (Lalitav. 561,9), *sussūṣidapuruvo* *sussūṣidawo*=*śuśrūṣitapūrvah* *śuśrūṣitavyah* (Mṛcch. 39,23). Likewise AMg. JM. J.S. P. CP. A.D.A.

§228. In Dh. *sa* has become *sa*, but *śa* has been retained: *esa*, *esu*, *eso*=*esah* (Mṛcch. 30,10;31,8;34,17;35,15;36,23); *buliso*=*purusah* (34,12); *mūrido*=*mūṣitah* (38,18;39,1); *samavismamāṁ*, *sakalusaaṁ* (so to be read!)=*samavīṣamam*, *sakalusakam* (v. l. *aikasanaṁ*=*atiκiṣṇam*; 30,8,9); however, *ādaṁśāāmi* (so to be read!)=*ādarśayāmi* (34,25); *jaśāṁ* [so to be read]=*yaśah* (30,9); *daśasuvanṇa*=*daśasuvarna* (29,15;30,1;31,4 etc.); *śalaṇāṁ* (to be read so!)=*śaraṇam* (30,4); *śūṇu*=*śūnyah* (30,11); *śela*=*śaila* (30,17). See § 25.

§229. In Mg., according to Mk. fol. 85 also in Vṛācaḍa Apabhramśa, and as it appears, in Kaikeyapaiśacika too, *śa* and *sa*, when not conjoined, become *śa* in the inlaut and in the anlaut; *śa* itself is retained (Vr. 11,3; C. 3,39; Hc. 4,288; Ki. 5,86; Mk. fol. 74; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālāmīkāra 2,12). The rule is valid also when the sounds are combined with *ya*, *ra*, *la*, *va* or when they are in a consonant group split up by a separation vowel or are otherwise resolved through the phonetic laws of Pkt.: *īdiśāśā akayyaśśā*=*īdīśasyākāryasya* (Śak. 113,5); *avaśalovaśappāṇīa*=*avasaropasarpāṇīya* (Śak. 115,10); *keśeṣu*=*keśeṣu* (Mṛcch. 122,22; Venīs. 35,19); *dūśāśaṇaśśā*=*duḥśāṣanasya* (Mṛcch. 12,15; Venīs. 35,12), *puļiśa*=*puraṣa* (§ 124); *bhūṣaṇaśadda*=*bhūṣaṇaśabda* (Mṛcch. 14,23); *mahiṣamahāśūla*=*mahiṣamahāṣura* (Cāndak. 68,16); *mānuśamāṁśa*=*mānuṣamāṁśa* (Venīs. 33,3); *māśalāśi*=*māśarāśi* (Mṛcch. 14,10); *lāeśi*=*rājarṣi* (Venīs. 34,1); *lośaggi*=*roṣāgnī* (Mṛcch. 123,2); *lośāmalīṣapalavaśa*=*roṣāmarṣaparavaśa* (Mallikām. 143,11); *valiṣaśada*=*varṣaśata* (Venīs. 33,4); *viśakappaā*=*viṣakanyakā* (Mudrār. 193,3;194,6); *viśeṣa*=*viśeṣa* (Mṛcch. 38,13); *viśśāvaśuśā*=**viśvāvasusya*=*viśvāvasoh* (Mṛcch. 11,9); *śalila*=*salila* (Mṛcch. 136,11;158,13); *śalila*=*śarīra* (Mṛcch. 124,21;127,5; 140,10;154,10; Venīs. 34,1); *śahaśśa*=*sahasra* (§ 448); *śamaśśaśadu*=*samāśśaśadu* (Mṛcch. 130,17); *śamāśśaśadi*=*samāśśaśyate* (Venīs. 34,13);

silaśi=*śrasi* (Mrcch. 116,15); *śiliśomeśalaeva*=*śrīśomeśvaraева* (Lalitav. 566,6); *śivilaniveśa*=*śibiraniveśa* (Lalitav. 565,6); *śoṇidavaśāśamuddaduś-*
śāmcala=*sonītavasāśumudraduḥśāmcala* (Venīs 34,5); *śośāveduṁ*=*śośayitum* (Mrcch. 140,9).

2. RELATING TO PARTICULAR CONSONANTS.

§230. *ka* becomes *ca* in *kirāta*: M. *cilā* (Vr. 2,33 [Bh. here and under 2,30 *cilāda*]; Hc. 1,183.254; Ki. 2,35.41; Mk. fol. 17 [*cilāda*]; R.), AMg. *cilāya* (Panḥāv. 42; Panṇav. 58), femin. *cilāi* (Ovav.), *cilāiyā* (Vivāhap. 791; Rāyap. 288; Nāyādh.; Ovav.); cf. *cilātiputra* (commentary upon Rṣabhap. 38). In the meaning “Śiva” *ka* remains according to Hc. Mk. So M. *kirāa* (G. 35.) In S., according to Mk., *ka* remains in tribal names: *kirāda* (Bālār. 168,2; Karp. 90,8). *kirāya* occurs in Pāiyl. 273.—*ka* is represented by *va* in *ovāsa*=*avakāśa* (Pāiyl. 261; G.H.R.), beside *oāsa* (Hc. 1,172; G.H.R.), M. S. *avaāsa* (Hc. 1,172; G.; Mrcch. 44,19; Vikr. 41,8, Prab. 46,2), JM. *avagāsa* (Ez.), AMg. *avagāsiyā*=**ava-*
kāśika (Uvās.); *ovāsai*=*avakāśate* (Vr.8,35; Hc. 4,179); M. *antovāsa*=*anta-*
ravakāśa (G. 848; § 383)¹. Further in AMg. *jūva*=*yūka* (Jiv. 356), beside
jūā, *ūā*, AMg. *jūyā* (§ 335); M. AMg. JM. J.S. A. *thova*=*stoka* (Hc. 2,125; G.; Āyār. 1,2,4,4; Sūyag. 950; Thān. 238; Jiv. 798; Vivāhap. 26,423; Uttar. 311.959; Dasav. 621,13; Jīyak. 92; Ovav.; Kappas.; Āv. 41,9; 43,3,5; Dvār. 504,8; Erz.; Kattig. 400,335 [text *thū*]; Hc. 4,376,1), AMg. JM. *thovaya*=*stokaka* (Nāyādh.; Ez.), AMg. *thovayaram* (Jīyak. 92), JM. *thovāthovāram* (Āv. 43,7), beside M. S. Mg. *thoa* (Hc. 2,45.115; G.H.R.; Karp. 10,6;37,5; S. Karp. 45,9; Mg. Mrcch. 157,6), *thōkka* (§ 90)²; AMg. *dvāddha*=*dvikārdha* (§ 450). *va* will have, according to § 199, originated from *pa*, therefore, it may be a case of interchange between gutturals and labials. Cf. § 231.266.286. On *pavattha*, supposed to be=*prakostha* see § 129; on *candimā*, supposed to be=*candrikā* § 103; on AMg. JM. J.S. Mg. A. *ga* for *ka*, § 192.202; on *kha*, *ha* for *ka*, see §206.

1. Falsely ASCOLI, Kritische Studien p. 216, note 35.—2. It is difficult to explain *v*, here, as in other cases, with PISCHEL, GGA. 1881 p. 1322, from the velar *k*. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, KZ. 112, note 1, wrongly thinks that it was brought in to prevent hiatus, that is avoided in none of the dialects.

§231. *ga* appears as *va* in *ovāhāi* beside *ogāhāi*=*avagāhate* (Hc. 4,205)¹; AMg. *juvala*=*yugala* (Vivāhap. 962), *juvalaya*=*yugalaka* (Vivāhap. 82), *juvalija*=*yugalita* (Vivāhap. 41; Ovav.); cf. § 286 *juppaī*; AMg. *talāua*=*tadāga* (Vivāhap. 610; Uvās.), beside AMg. JM. *talāga* (Āyār. 2,3,3,2; Panḥāv. 31.246.437.520; Panṇav. 84; Uttar. 884; Ovav.; Āv. 11,44.45; Erz.), AMg. *talāya* (Ovav.), *tadāga* (Āyār. 2,1,2,3); M. *talāa* (Vr. 2,23; C. 3,21 p. 50; Hc. 1,202; Ki. 2,13; Mk. fol. 16; G.H. v.l.); S. *talāga* (Mrcch. 37 23;151,15); M. *dūhava*=*durbhaga* (Hc. 1,115.192; Karp. 86,2), and according to its analogy with a lengthened vowel *sūhava*=*subhaga* (Hc. 1,113.192), according to Mk. fol. 39 also *duhavi*, *suhavi*=*durbhagā*, *subhagā*. Reversely *ga* occurs for *va* in AMg. JM. *agada*=*avata* (2,1,2,3; Ovav.; Erz.), beside *ajāda* (Deśin. 1,18; Paiyāl. 130) and common *avada*; AMg. *nīhaga*=**nāihnavā*² (heretic; Ovav. § 122), beside AMg. *nīhavējja* (Āyār. 1,5,3,1), *nīhavē* (Dasav. 631,31), *anīhavemāṇa* (Nāyādh. §83); cf. § 473; AMg. *anhaga*=*āśrava* (Panḥāv. 324), beside *anhaya* (Āyār. 2,4,1,6; Panḥāv. 7; Ovav.)³, *panhaya*=*prasrava* (Vivāhap. 794), AMg. *māhāṇubhāga*=*māhānubhāva* (Bhag.; Ovav.)⁴. Cf. also AMg. *parijāga*, *nīyāga* (§ 254).—M. *pūnnāma*=*pūnnāga* (Hc. 1,190; R.), beside AMg. *pūnnāga* (Āyār. 2,10,21; Nāyādh. 699. [°nnā]), S. *pūnnāa* (Mallikām. 116,9), and *bhāmīni*=*bhāgīni* (Hc. 1,190), beside M. S. *mandabhāmīni* (H.; Mrcch. 22,25;120,6;170,3.25; Vikr. 84,21 and often) presuppose

the process of development *punñāga*, **punñāva*, *punñāma* (§ 261)⁵. Skt. *pūmnāman* is a borrowing from Pkt. —*chāla* supposed to be = *chāga*, and *chāī* = *chāgī* (Hc. 1,191) have, according to § 165, arisen from *chāgala*, *chāgalī*. For Mg. *cheliā* (Laṭakam. 12,14) we have to read *chāliā*. Ś. has *chāgala* (Mṛcch. 17,15). On *gha* for *ga* see § 209. Cf. § 230.

I. Falsely ASCOLI, Kritische Studien p. 216, note 35 — 2. So the word is not to be equated as = *nihava* (LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v.). *i* stands for *ai* according to § 84. — Not correctly, LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v.) — 4. So correctly LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *anubhāga*. WEBER, Bhag. 2,290 thinks of Skt. *anubhāga*. To me the equation of AMg. *pūsamānaga* — Skt. *pūsamānava*, given by LEUMANN, Aup. S.s.v., is not clear. The word *vaddhamānaga*, in Ovav. § 55, mentioned earlier, makes it probable that the word is to be equated as *pūsamāna + ka*. In no case, with LEUMANN, we can assume dropping off of *v*. — 5. Cf. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākṛtika p.15; Rāvanavaho, Index p. 172^a, note 1, who, rather wrongly, explains *va* as having been brought in to stop the hiatus. Cf. § 230, note 2.

§232. AMg. *āṇṭana* is not, with Hc.1,177, to be equated as = *ākuñ-cana*, but as = **ākuṇṭana* from *Vkuṭa kauṭilye* (Dhātupāṭha 28,73), that is identical with *Vkuṭi vaikalye* (Dhātupāṭha 9,37). Hence it is derived from the present stem *ākuṇṭa-*, which occurs in AMg. *āṇṭija*, *āṇṭejjā* (Vivāhap. 1151.1152)¹. To the same root belong Skt. *kutīla*, Pkt. *kuḍilla* *kudillaa* (crooked; Deśin. 2,40; Pāiyāl. 155), *kodiila* (bad; Deśin. 2,40) and *kunṭi* 'bundle; Deśin. 2,34). — *khasia*, according to Hc. 1,193 = *khacita*, is rather = *kasita*, in conformity with Hc.1,181; cf. §206. — AMg. *pisalla* (Pāñhāv. 79 v.1), *sapisallaga* (Pāñhāv. 525), that Hc. equates as = *piśāca*, will be = *piśācālaya*, according to §150.165.194. *piśāca* becomes regularly M. Ś. *piśā* (H.; Prab. 46,2; Mudrār. 186,4 [°ca]; 191,5 [°ca]), AMg. JM. *piśāya* (Thāñ. 90.138.229; Pāñhāv. 172.230.312; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.).

I. *āṇṭāvemi* (sic; Nāyadh. 603; commentary *āṇṭāvemi*), *āṇṭeha*, *āṇṭehi* (sic; Nāyādh.605) is a false reading for *āṇṭāvemi*, *āṇṭeha*, *āṇṭēṇti*, as e. g. *āṇṭai* (Thāñ.152; Sūyag. 403), *āṇṭāmo* (Āyār. 2,1,3,2), *āṇṭittas* (Kappas. S. §49), *viuṭṭami* (Vivāhap. 614), *viuṭṭana* (Sūyag. 476) are found. The forms belong to *Vṛt*.

§233. *cha* remains unchanged in the anlaut. In the inlaut it becomes *ccha* after vowels, as in Skt., and after nasal vowels and nasals it remains *cha*, whether it be original or secondary. Thus M. *chala* (G.H.); *chavi* (G.R.); *chā*=*chāyā* (G.H.R.); *chea*=*cheda* (G.H.R.); *icchai*=*icchatī* (H.R.); *ucchangā*=*utsaṅga* (G.H.R.); *gacchāi*=*gacchati* (H.); *pucchāi*=*prcchati* (R.); *mucchā*=*mürchā* (R.); *pīmcha*=*piccha*, *pumcha*=*puccha* (§74); *puñchāi*=*prōñchati* (Hc. 4,105). Likewise the other dialects except Mg. — AMg. *milakkhu* beside *miliccha*, AMg. JM. Ś. A. *mēccha*, AMg. *miccha*=*mlecca* (§84.105.136) are explained from the common basic form **mlaska*¹. In Mg. original as well as secondary *ccha* becomes *śca* (Hc. 4,295; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālankāra 2,12); *īściadi*=**icchyate*=*isye* (Śak. 118,6); *gaśca*=*gaccha* (Hc.; Lalitav. 566,18; Śak.115,4), *gaścamha*=*gacchāma* (Śak. 118,7); *puścamde*=*prcchan* (Lalitav. 565,20); *maśca* from the usual Pkt. *maccha*=*matsya* (Mṛcch. 11,11.12 [so to be read]; Śak. 114,2,9), *maścalī* (fish; Śak.118,2)=Gujarāti *māchali*, Hindi *machali*, Sindhi *machadi*²; *āvannavaścala*=*āpannavatsala*, *piścila*=*picchila* (Hc.; Namis.); *uścaladi*=*ucchalati*, *tiliśi pēskadi*=M. *tiričchi pēcchāi*=*tiryak preksate*, *puścadi*=*prcchati* (Hc.4,295); *yvāntavaśca*=*jivadvatā* (Hc.4,302). The texts mostly have *ccha*: nevertheless there are distinct vestiges of this rule found in the MSS. So some MSS. have *gaścasī*, *gaśchasi*, *gaśca* for *gacchasi*, *gaccha* (Mṛcch.20,14); Pr̥thividhara in STENZLER p. 241 has *maścāśikā* for *macchāśikā* (Mṛcch.10,23); for *gaccha* (Mṛcch. 132,16) there occur *gaśca*, *gaśsa*; for *āgacchāmi* (Mṛcch. 132,17), *āaścāmi*, *āaśvāmi*; for *āgacchadi* (Mṛcch. 133, 8), *āgaścadi*.

*āgaśchadi etc.*³ In the anlaut *cha* remains : *chāla* (Hc. 4,295), *chāā=chāyā* (Mudrār. 267,2). The *cha* of *chedaa* in *gānṭhichedaa=granthichedaka* (Śak. 115,4,12) may also be regarded as in the anlaut. The v. l. **chedāā* in R, however, makes here the reading **ścedaa* more probable. See also §327.
1. E. KUHN, KZ. 25,327.—2. PISCHEL on *Sakuntalā* p. 199, note 1.—3. PISCHEL, GGA. 1881 p. 1319.

§234. In place of Skt. *ja* an old *ga* has been retained dialectically in the root *añj* and its derivatives in conjunction with certain prefixes : AMg. *abbhangēi* (Āyār. 2,2,3,8;2,15,20), *abbhangējjā=abhyāñjyāt*, commentary = *abhyāñgyāt* (Āyār. 2,2,1,8), *abbhangēttā=** *abhyāñjītvā* (Āyār. 2,6,1,9; Thāṇ. 126), *abbhangēvei=abhyāñjayatī* (Vivāgas. 235; text *°bbhi*); JM. *abbhangijjaha=abhyāñjyadhe* (Erz. 59,30), *abbhangūm* (Erz. 57,10); AMg. JM. *abbhangījā* (Ovav. [*°bbhi*]; Kappas.; Nāyādh. [*°bbhi*]; Erz.); AMg. JM. *abbhangāna=abhyāñjana* (Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); Mg. *abbhangida=abhyāñkta* (Mrcch. 69,7); AMg. *nirāngāna* (Ovav.) against M. *nirāñjana* (G.H.). The guttural is found also in Skt. *abhyāṅga* = AMg. *abbhangā* (Ovav.). Sūyāg. 248 has edited *muhabhimjāe*. In the simple root and its derivatives, in all the dialects, there occurs only *ja*.—AMg. *omugganimuggiyā*, explained by the commentator with *majjanonmajiana*, is = **avamagnanamagnita*, as *ummaggā*, *ummuggā=** *unmagnā* (§ 104).

§ 235. Hc. 4,229 teaches that *j* in the root *sjj* becomes *r*. His examples *nisirāi*, *vosirāi*, *vosirāmi* = *vyavasṛjati*, *vyavasṛjāmi* occur very frequently in AMg. JM. So AMg. *nisirāmi* (Āyār. 2,1,10,7), *nisirāi* (Pannav. 384f.; Vivāhap. 120f.212.254.1217.1271 Nāyādh.), *nisirāmo* (Āyār. 2,1,9,1; 2,2,2,10); *nisirinti* (Sūyāg. 680), *nisirējjā* (Āyār. 2,1,10,1;2,5,2,3;2,6,1,11; Sūyāg. 682; Thāṇ. 590 [*ri'*]), *nisirāhi* (Āyār. 2,1,10,1), *nisira* (Dasav. 632,28), *nisirinta* (Sūyāg. 680), *nisirittā* (absolutive : Vivāhap. 1251), *nisirijjamāna* (Vivāhap. 122), *nisirāvēnti* (Sūyāg 680), substantive *nisirāṇa* (Dasav. N. 658,33); AMg. *vosirāmi* (Āyār. p. 132,2;133,6,134,3;136,5; Nāyādh. 1165; Vivāhap. 173; Dasav. 614,19;616,20; Ovav.); JM. *vosirāi* (Erz. 50,37); AMg. *vosirējjā* (Āyār. 2,10,1ff), *vosire* (Āyār. 1,7,8,22; Sūyāg. 214; Uttar. 737.923; Dasav. 619,14); JM. *vosirasu* (Erz. 42,33); AMg. *vosirittā* (absol.; Āyār. 2,10,22); JM. *vosirījā* (Āv. 11,19; Erz. 50,36); AMg. *viosire* (Āyār. 2,16,1). The reference of these forms to *sjj* is impossible. Rather the AMg. JM. participle, *samosarijā=samavasṛta* (Vivāgas. 151; Uvās. § 2.9.75.189; Nirayāv. §3; Āv. 31,22; cf. § 565) beside the more frequent *samosadha=samavasṛṣṭa* (§ 67), further AMg. *samosarejjā*, *samosariukāma* (Ovav.), *samosarāṇa* (Bhag.; Ovav.), shows that in AMg. JM. the roots *sjj* and *sr* have coincided. *sr* forms *saraī=sarāti*, in the meaning “to go”, “to run”, but *siraī=** *sarāti* in the meaning “to cause to go”, “to cause to run”, “to let go” and so on. The coincidence of both the roots is proved, for example, also by AMg. *nisirijjamāna* beside *nisittha* (Vivāhap. 122), *nisirai* (Vivāhap. 254) beside *nisiṭṭha* (Vivāhap. 257).

1. E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 65; LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *vosir* and *viosagga*; JACOBI³ Erz. s.v. *vosirai*.

§236. In Mg. *ja* becomes *ya* (Vr. 11,4; Hc. 4,292; Ki. 5,90; Nāmisādhu on Rudrata, Kāvyālāmkāra 2,12); *yāṇidavavām=jñātayam*, *yāṇiśśamha=jñāsyāmah*, *yā[ne]=jāne*, *yāṇiyā di=jñāyate*, *yāṇidam=jñātām*, *yam-pidena=jalpitena* (Lalitav. 565,7.9.13;566,1.8.12); *yāṇadī=jānātī* (Hc.; Namis.); *yāṇavada=janapada* (Hc.; Namis.); *yālahala=jaladhara* (Hc. 4,296); *yāyade=jāyate*, *jāā=jāyā* (Hc.). The MSS. of the dramas, with quite rare exceptions, write in Mg. too only *ja*, since in the North-Indian dialects *ya* and *ja* have in many cases coalesced together.¹ That is

simply a contribution of the scribe², and for *ja*, according to the grammarians, we have to substitute *ya* throughout, as has been done in this grammar. Therefore, e.g. one has to write for *jāla* (Śak. 114,2) *yāla*, with the MS. R; for *jamadaggi* (Mṛcch. 12,12), *yamadaggi*; for *jiadi* (Mṛcch. 12,20), *yīdi*; for *jāṇāśi* (Venis. 34,18), *yāṇāśi*; for *joisa* (Mudrār. 177,4), *yoīsa*=*yoīsa*; for *jīna* (Prab. 46,12), *yīna*; for *jāṇehim jammantala*^o (Candak. 42,11), *yāṇehim yammantala*^o=*jāṇairjanmāntara*^o etc. In the interior of the word simple *ja* drops out between vowels according to § 186. Corresponding to *ya* for *ja*, *yha* will have to be written in cases like *yhannayyahananta-* for *jhanñajghananta-* (Mṛcch. 11,6), *yhatti* for *jhatti=jhatii* (Mṛcch. 29,21;114,21;168,19) and in conjunct consonants, as in *nīyyhala* for *nījjhala*=*nīrjhara* (Lalitav. 566,9), *uyyhia* for *ujjhia*=*ujjhītā* (Mudrār. 178,6); *jjh* also in Hc. 4,302). Cf. § 217.280. In PG. VG., where *ja* otherwise remains (§ 189), it has become *ya* in PG.; *bhāradḍāyā*, *bhāradāyasa*=*bhāradvājāh*, *bhāradvājā*^o, *bhāradvājaya*(5,2;6,16.19); VG. *bhāraddāyassa* (101,2; cf. EI. 1,2, note 2). Cf. § 253.—*uvvivāi*, according to Vr. 8,43; Hc. 4,227; Ki 5,46=*udvijate* is rather = **udvipate*=*udvepate*, as *uvvea* is not = *udvega* (Hc. 4,227), but = **udvepa*, from *Vvīp*, *vēpate*. On AMg. *murava*=*muraja* see § 254.

1. BEAMES, Comp. Gr. § 23; HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. § 17.—2. So correctly already LASSEN, Inst. § 154,3. Cf. above § 23.

§237. Independent *ñā* occurs in CP. in the declension of the word *rājan*, when the sound-group *jñā* is separated by a separation-vowel according to § 133 and becomes *cīñ* according to § 191 (cf. note 1): *rāciñā*, *rāciñō*=*rājñā*, *rājñāh* (Hc. 4,304; § 399). In Bh. 10,12 *rācinā*, *rācino*, *rācini* are read with dental *n*. Further *ñ* stands in A. *vññāi*=**vraññāti*=*vrajati*, absol. *vññēppi*, *vññēppiñu* (Hc. 4,392)=Mg. *vññādi* (§ 488).

§238. As a rule *t* becomes *d* in the inlaut between two vowels (§ 198). *ta* becomes *la* in lieu of *da*, in M. AMg. JM. Š. *phaliha*=*sphaṭika*, AMg. *phālijā*=*sphāṭika* (§ 206). In M. *phādiha* (R. s.v.; the better recension C has ^o*li*^o), certainly, and in Š. *phādi* (§ 206) probably are false.—*phalei* (causes to burst; splits) is not to be traced back to *Vpat* with Hc. 1,198, but to *Vphal*, *sphal*. — *capeṭā* forms also M. AMg. *cavidā*, *cavīlā* (Hc. 1,146.198) besides *cavedā* (Hc. 1,146; H.; Uttar. 596). Cf. § 80. Dialectically *ta* becomes *la* also, for which the North Indian MSS. write *la* (§ 226). So M. AMg. *kakkola*=*karkota* (G.; Panhāv. 527); AMg. *kalitta*=*kaṭitra* (Ovav. § 10); AMg. *khela* (saliva)=*khetā* (Āyār. 2,1,5,2;2,2,1,7; Thān. 483; Panhāv. 343.505; Antag. 23; Vivāhap. 164; Uttar. 734; Kappas.), *khelei*=*khetayati* (Vivāhap. 112); AMg. *pilāga*=*pīṭaka* (Sūyag. 208); Mg. *yūlaka*=*jūlaka* (Mṛcch. 136,15); Mg. *śaala*=*śakaṭa* (Mṛcch. 122,10), beside Š. *saadiā*=*śakaṭikā*, AMg. *sagaḍa*, dialectical *saḍha* (§ 207). This sort of sound-change is especially frequent in the A. of Pingala : *mīlā*=*nikata* (1,127^a.129^a,2,84); *paalā*=*prakāṭa* (1,72;2,97.272); *paalia*=*prakatīta* (2,264); *phula*=*sphūṭa* (2,48); *phule*=*sphutati*, in the sense of *sphutanti* (2,230); *makkala*=*markata* (1,91.99); *vahuñā*=*vadhūṭikā* (2,84). Beside *balamolia*=*balamotīta* (1,140^a), for *moliā*=*motīta* (2,112) we have to read *moḍiā*, or for *choḍiā*, that stands in rhyme with it, (so S. GOLDSCHMIDT for *lodīā*) we have to read perhaps *choliā*=*choṭitāh*. In M. stands *balāmoli*^o (R. 10,64; but the v.l. has ^o*di*^o), and so we have to read, since *Vmuṭ* has *da* constantly. So M. *balamodi*^o (H.); M. JM. Š. *balāmodī* (Desin. 6,92; Paiyāl. 174; Triv. 2,1,30; Kāvyaprakāśa 72,10 (cf. § 589); Kk. 260,35; Malīkām. 122,8); Š. *balāmodia* past passive participle; Mālatīm. 76,4;128,8;253,7; absol.,

Mālatīm. 235,3; Rukminīp. 15,13; 21,6)¹, *paccāmodia* (absol.; Śak. 144,11); M. āmodāṇa (G.); Mg. *modaiśām*, *modaiśāmi* (Mṛcch. 113,1; 128,14), *modemi*, *modia* (Mṛcch. 128,2; 137,1). To it belong also āmodā, *moda* (braid of hair; Deśin. 1,62; 6,117) and Ś. *mōṭtimā* (Anarghar. 152,9; Rucipati: *mōṭtimāñ balātkāre desī*), probably also *mōṭṭāī=ramate* (Hc. 4,168).—For *kadasī* (cemetery; Deśin. 2,6)=**kataśi* from *kata* (corpse; e.g. Viṣṇupurāna 3,13,10)=Pkt. *kada* (vanished; dead; Deśin. 2,51)+*śi* (to lie), the MSS. in Hc. 2,174 have *karasī*, hence a change of *ta* to *ra* through *da*. The same transition of *ta* into *ra* takes place in AMg. *purabheyanī* (city; Uttar. 618)=Pāli *putabhedana*². On *dha* for *ta* see § 207.

i. In *balā* we should not, with PISCHEL, GGA. 1880, p. 331f.; WEBER, Hāla¹ p. 210; BÜHLER, Pāiyāl. s.v. *balāmoḍi*, look for the ablative *balāt*. Rather the prefix *ā* has to be assumed, as āmada, āmodana indicate.—Very false JACOBI, SBE. 45, 102, note 2. *puta* is wrongly Sanskritized into *putra* (cf. Vr. 12,5) in *pāṭaliputra*. Cf. § 292.

§239. In the inlaut *th* becomes *dh* between vowels (§ 198). Dialectically very rarely it becomes *h*: AMg. JM. *kuhāda*=*kuthāra* (Sūyag. 274; Uttar. 596; T. 6, 16.17.18), JM. *kuhādaya* (I. 7,1); *pihada*=*piṭhara* (Hc. 1,201), AMg. *pihadaga* (Jiv. 251), *pihādaya* (Uvās. §184), beside *piḍhara* (Hc. 1,201; Pāiyāl. 172), AMg. *piḍharaga* (Āyār. 2,1,11,5). On the interchange between *da* and *ra* see 241.258.

§240. As a rule *d* becomes *l*, when it stands in the inlaut between vowels not joined together. The North Indian MSS. and presses write *l* (§ 226; Vr. 2,23; C. 3,21; Hc. 1,202; Ki. 2,13; Mk. fol. 16). Vr. C. Mk. prescribe *la* for *da* as necessary; Bh. makes the rule optional and permits *da* in *dādīma*, *baḍīsa*, *nibiḍa*; Hc. requires *la* for *vadavāmukha*, *garuḍa*, *taḍāga*, *kridati*, allows optionally *la* and *da* for *baḍīsa*, *dādīma*, *guduḍa*, *nāḍi*, *nāḍa*, *āpiḍa*, and teaches *da* as necessary for *nibiḍa*, *gauḍa*, *piḍita*, *niḍa*, *udu* and *taḍita*. With him agrees Triv., who splits the rule into two 1,3,24 (*baḍīśādau*) and 1,3,30. Ki. has, like Triv., the *gaṇa baḍīśādi*, but he limits it to *baḍīsa*, *nibiḍa* and *jāḍa*, which must have *da* according to him. The dialects do not make any strict distinction. One says, for example: AMg. āmelīja=āmredita (Anuog. 37); AMg. *gavelaga*=*gavedaka* (Ovav.); AMg. JM. *gula*=*guḍa* (Āyār. 2,1,4,5; Ovav.; Erz.); Mg. *guḍodana* (Mṛcch. 163,20), also *guḍa* (Hc. 1,202), Mg. *guḍīha*=*guḍaka* (Mṛcch. 116,25); M. Mg. *niṭṭa*=*nigada* (G.H.R.; Mṛcch. 109,16; 132,20; 162,17), AMg. *nigala* (Jiv. 349; Ovav.); M. *niṭṭa*=*nigadita* (G.R.), JM. *niṭṭaliya* (Pāiyāl. 197); M. *niṭṭāvīa* (H.); Ś. *nigalavadi* (Mālav. 51,21); AMg. *elaya*=*edaka* (Uttar. 226; Pannav. 366f.; Ovav.); M. AMg. JM. *garula*=*garuda* (Hc. 1,202; Pāiyāl. 25; G.; Thān. 71,85; Sūyag. 317,771; Āyār. 2,15,12,13; Pañhāv. 235,311; Vivāhap. 183,964 [°*da*]; Pañnav. 97; Jiv. 485,488; Nirayāv.; Ovav.; Dvār. 507,37); beside them M. has *garuda* (R.), JM. *garudavūha* beside *garulattha* (Erz.); Ś. *garuda* (Nāgān. 66,10; 71,12; 99,1), Mg. *galuda* (text °*ru*; Nāgān. 68,4,13); in M. stands *galuda* (Acyutaś. 2.29,34; AMg. *chalāṁsa*=*sādaśra* (Thān. 493), *chalāṁsiya* (Sūyag. 590), *chalāyayaṇa*=*sādāyatana* (Sūyag. 456), *chalāsi*=*sādaśī* (Vivāhap. 199; Samav. 143); cf. §211.441; AMg. JM. *solasa*, A. *solaha*=*sodaśa* (§ 443); *vadavā* (Pāiyāl. 226); M. *vadavāmuha* (R.), A. *vadavānala* (Hc. 4,365,2,419,6), beside M. *valavāmuha*, *valāvāmuha* (R.), *valāvānala* (R. 2,24; 5,77), JM. *valayāmuha* (Erz.); Ś. *dādīma* (Bh. 2,23; Hc. 1,202; Viddhaś. 15,2), M. *dādimī* (G.), beside AMg. *dālīma* (Hc. 1,202; Āyār. 2,1,8,1; Vivāhap. 1530; Pañnav. 483,531; Ovav.); M. AMg. JM. *āmela*, M. *āmelīaa*, AMg. *āmelaga*, *āmelaya*=**āpiḍya* (§122), beside *āveda* (Hc. 1,202), Ś. *āpiḍa* (Mālatīm. 207,4); AMg. *talāga*, *talāvā*

beside *tađāga*=*tađāka* (§ 231); M. *kilei* (G.), AMg. *kīlanti* (Rāyap. 138; Uttar. 504), *kīlē* (Uttar. 570), *kīliya* (Āyār. p. 135,17); Samav. 23), JM. *kīlāi*, *kīlanta-*, *kīlantī*, *kīlūna* (Erz.), Š. *kīlasi* (Mrcch. 54,3;95,11), *kīla* (Mrcch. 95,23), *kīlamha* (Ratn. 293,25); Š. Dh. Mg. *kīlēmha* (Mrcch. 94,15;30,18;131,18); Š. *kīlissam* (Vikr. 41,7;47,11 [so to be read both the times with the Dravidian recension and the v.l. to 47,11 instead of *°di*]; Mālav. 60,11), *kīlissam* (Mrcch. 94,19;95,12); Mg. *kīlissam* (Mrcch. 30,23; Šak. 155,12); Mg. A. *kīlidum* (Mrcch. 100,21;140,7;148,13); Š. *kīlida* (Mrcch. 95,7; Ratn. 293,29); Š. *kīlamāna* (Vikr. 52,9); A. *kīlāi* (Vikr. 64,5), *kīlādi* (Hc. 4,442,2), *kīlantī* (Vikr. 63,5) from *krid*; M. Š. *kīlā*=*kīlādā*; Š. *kīlānaa*, AMg. *kīlāna*, *kīlāvāna*, beside AMg. JM. *kīdā*, *kīddā* (§90), as well as Š. *khełādi*, A. *khełanta*, AMg. *khełlāvana*, JM. *khełlāvēnā*, *khełlla*, A. *khełlānti*, beside AMg. JM. *khełdda*, A. *khełddaa*; *khełddai* (§ 90. 206); AMg. *tālei*=*tādayati* (Nāyādh. 1236.1305), *tālēnti* (Vivāhap. 236), *tālayanti* (Uttar. 360.365), *tālējjā* (Uvās. § 200), *tāleha* (Nāyādh. 1305), *tālemāna* (Vivāgas. 102), *tālijjāmāna* (Panhāv. 196), *tāliya* (Nāyādh. 1236), *tālāna* (Panhāv. 535; Uttar. 582; Ovav.), Mg. of Šakāra. *tālia* (absol.; Mrcch. 167,6), but elsewhere M. Mg. *tādana* (G.H.R.; Karp. 1,7;65,9; Mrcch. 122,20); M. *tādiumanā* (Karp. 70,7), *tādia* (R.); JM. *tādiya*, *tādiija-māna* (Erz.); Š. *tādedi* (Mrcch. 79,22), *tādia* (absol.; Mrcch. 155,4), *tādida* (Mrcch. 69,23), *tādaidum*, *tādaissam* (Mālav. 44,16;65,20), *tādiadi* (Mālatim. 267,6), *tādianta-*, *tādiamāna* (Mudrār. 211,5;212,2;203,1); Mg. *tādhedha* (Mrcch. 166,24;169,22), *tādaissam* (Mrcch. 80,5); Mg. A. *tādida* (Mrcch. 29,19;105,2;148,10). In agreement with Hc. M. AMg. have *udu* (Pāiyl. 96; Karp. 36,3; Jiv. 351); M. *gauđda* (G.), AMg. A. *gođa* (Panhāv. 41; Piṅgala 2,112.138; cf. §61c); M. *nividā* (G.; on H.996; Karp. 49,11), *nividia* (G.); JM. *nividā* (Erz.); M. *nīda*, *nēđda* (§ 90); M. JM. *tadi* (Pāiyl. 98; G.; Erz. 14,22;71,23), AMg. *tadijā* (Vivāhap. 943), but A. *talī* (Vikr. 55,2); M. *pīdia* (G.R.), AMg. JM. *pīdiya* (Pāiyl. 190; Uttar. 577; Erz.), Š. *pīdida* (Mrcch. 22,13; Šak. 11,1), also M. *nippīdia* (R.); *sāmpīdia* (G.), *pīdijjanta-* (H.R.), *pīđana* (H.), M. JM. Š. *pīđā* (Pāiyl. 161; G.; Erz.; Mrcch. 22,13; Šak. 29,9; Vikr. 18,5), Š. *pīdiadi* (Mrcch. 72,15), *pīđedi* (Vikr. 16,17). In AMg. however, *la* prevails : *pīlija* (Uttar. 590); *pīliyaga* (Ovav.); *pīlei* (Dasav. 631,37; Uttar. 927.935.940.945.950); *āvīlae*, *pavīlae*, *nippīlae* (Āyār. 1,4,4,1); *uppīlavējja* (Āyār. 2,3,1,13); *pari-pīlejja* (Sūyag. 208); *ovīlemāna* (Vivāgas. 102; text *u*); *āvīliyāna*, *pari-pīliyāna* (Āyār. 2,1,8,1); *pīlā* (Panhāv. 394. 402.426; Uttar. 675); *sāmpīlā* (Uttar. 926.934.940.945.950); *pīlāna* (Panhāv. 537; Vivāhap. 610; Uvās.). In Uttar. 620 stands *pīđāi* beside *āvīlijja*. In Piṅgala 1,145a we have to read *pīlia* with S. GOLDSCHEIDT, what is required also by the rhyme with *mīlia*. AMg. *edei*=*edayati* (Vivāhap. 248), *edanti* (Vivāhap. 236), *edēnti* (Ovav.), *editā* (Vivāhap. 236.248) have always *da*. Beside *vīddā*=*vīđā* (§ 90), AMg. has an adjective *vīddā* (Vivāhap. 1258); but the commentary reads *vedā*, that will be correct and is connected to AMg. *velanaya* (Anuog.333), that according to Deśin. 7,65 is also a substantive and regulates dialectical *velūnā* (Deśin.7,65). *e* is to be explained according to §122. M. has *vidia* beside *vīlia*=*vīđita*, AMg. *saviliya* (§81). *vīddūnā*, *vedūnā* (Deśin.7,65) are also adduced.

§241. *da* has become *ra* in M. Š. *verulia*, AMg. JM. *veruliđja*=*vāidūrya* (§ 80).. Bh. 4,33 has *veluria*, by which *veluria* is meant, as by *velulia* (Deśin. 7,77), *velulia*. According to Hc. 2,133 occurs also *vedujja*. Further in AMg. JM. *birāla*=*bidāla*¹ (Āyār. 2,1,5,3; Pañnav. 367.369; Nāyādh. 345; Uttar. 918; Āv. 42,20), A. *birālaa* (Piṅgala 1,67; ed. Bombay. *°dā*), femin *birāli* (Nandis. 92; Pañnav. 368; Āv. 42,42), AMg. *birālijā* (Sūyag. 824), also as a name of a plant *chirabirāli*=*kṣirabidāli* (Vivāhap. 1532),

birālija (Āyār. 2,1,8,3). For *vidāla* (Jiv.356) is to be read *birāla*. Š. has *bidāla* (Mālav. 50,16; v.l. *vi*°; Šak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 94,7, where the South Indian MSS. and presses waver between *biḍāla*, *biḍāla*, *biṭāla* and *vilāla*, feminine *biḍāli* (Hāsy. 25,7), *biḍāliā* (Mālav. 67,9; v.l. *viāriā*, *bilāliā*, *vudāliā*); Pāli *biḍāla* and *biṭāra*.

1. Excluding Nandis. 92 and Sūyag. 824, the texts everywhere have *vi*°. For Skt. the only authentic transcription is *bi*°, that is valid for Pkt. also.

§242. *dha* remains unchanged in all the dialects : AMg. JM. *ādhaya=ādhaka* (Ovav.; Erz.); AMg. *āśādha=āśādha* (Āyār. 2,15,2; Kappas.); M. JM. Š. *gādha=gādha* (Pāiyāl. 90; G.H.; Karp. 64,7; Erz.; Š. Karp. 15,5); M. AMg. JM. Š. Mg. *dādha* (Pāiyāl. 90; Āyār. 1,6,2,2; Sūyag. 161,544; Mr̄ch. 69,11; Šak. 11,1; Vikr. 16,16,30,3; Mg. Mr̄ch. 116,8), JŚ. Š. A. *didha* (Kattig. 400,329.330.336;403,370; Mr̄ch. 44,5; Vikr. 12,20;22,14; Mallikām. 225,11; Priyad. 42,4;43,6; Prab. 18,1; Pingala 1,86a)=*drydha*; M. JM. *bādha=bādha* (Pāiyāl. 90; G.; Erz.). On A. *khallihadaū* cf. § 110.207. —Secondary *dha*, originating from *sta* (§ 66.67.304) becomes *lha* (written *lha*) in *kōlhua* (jackal; Deśin. 2,65; Pāiyāl. 152) from **kodhua=kroṣṭuka*¹, to which belongs also *kulha* for **koṭha* (jackal; Deśin. 2,34)=**kroṣṭa* for *kroṣṭr*; *kōlhāhala* (fruit of momordica monadelpha; Deśin. 2,39)=**kroṣṭāphala*; cf. *kroṣṭuphala*. Likewise *goṭhā=gūdhā* (momordica monadelpha; Deśin. 2,95), *goṭhāphala=gūdhaphala* (Pāiyāl. 255)².

I. LEUMANN, GSAI. 6,117 note.—2. Pkt. shows that we should not be sanguine about *gūdhaphala* with BÖHTLINGK s.v. It is assumed that *tha* makes a position.

§243. *n* in *venu* may become *l* : AMg. *velu* (Hc. 1,203; Pāiyāl. 144; Sūyag. 197,248; Pañnav. 33; Rāyap. 33,89,184), beside *venu* (Āyār. 2,11,4; Sūyag. 197,248; Vivāhap. 1526; Pañnav. 40), *venudeva* (Sūyag. 317); likewise *velugā*, *veṇuya=veṇuka* (amomum; Āyār. 2,1,8,14; Vivāhap. 1526; Dasav. 623,4; Pañnav. 43). Since Pali has *velu*, *l* is to be assumed probably also in Pkt. Probably *venu* and *velu* go back to the basic form **velnu*, which belongs to the root *vel*, *veḷ* that is much used and has widely branched off in Pkt. (§107). From this even the other meanings of *velu* “thief” and “pestle” (Deśin. 7,94) could be well explained; cf. *thūna* “thief” § 129. In P. CP. *ṇa* becomes *na* (§ 225). Ki. 5,107.108 teaches that *la* enters : *phalati=bhanati*, *thvalati* [sic]=*dhvanati*, *phalitām=bhanitām*, *thvalitām* [sic]=*dhvanitām*, *palaṁ=Pkt. vanam=vanam*; *phalaha* [sic]=*bhanata* (5,113); *phalāmo=bhanāmaḥ* (5,114). In the examples *kakana=gagana* (5,102¹), *jajana=cacana=yajana* (5,103), *calana=carana*, *usaṇa=uṇa*, *pasana=praśna*, *sināṇa* [sic]=*snāṇa* (5,109) the edition writes *ṇa*, and since *ṇa*, *na*, and *la* very often interchange in the Bengali script in the MSS., the hypothesis is, that in agreement with the other grammarians *na* is to be read for *la*. According to Ki. 5,110 *na* and *ṇa* could become also *ñā* in P. : *kañaka=kunaka*; *vañña=varṇa*.

§244. Sometimes *ta* and *da* become *la*, and through the intermediate grades *ṭa*, *ḍa* (§ 218.219) they become *la* (§ 226.238.240), that the North Indian MSS. likewise indicate by *la*, so that it cannot always be said with certainty whether *la* or *ṭa* is to be written : Š. *alasi=atasi* (Hc.1,211), Mallikām. 87,15); but AMg. *ayiṣi* (Vivāhap. 41.1526; Pañnav. 34,526; Uttar. 592; Ovav.); AMg. *āśila=asita* (Sūyag. 203); *palila* (Hc. 1,212) beside M. *palia=palita* (Hc. 1,212; G.H.); M. *vijjulā=*Pāli *vidyutā=vidyut* (Hc. 2,173; Mk. fol. 37; R.), *vijjuli=***vidyutī* (Vr.4,26; Mk. fol. 37), M.Ś. A. *vijjuliā=***vidyutikā*¹ (H.584 v.l.; Vikr.27,13; Pingala 1,142a). *vijjuā*, that Vr.4,9; Hc.1,15; Ki. 2,129; Mk. fol. 33 forbid for M., stands in H. 584, hardly rightly, as otherwise M. has only *vijjulā* and *vijju* (G.H.R.); Š. has *vijjudā*

(Mrcch. 91,19; Venīs. 60,17); M. *sālavāhana*, *sālāhaṇa*=*sātavāhana* (Hc.1,8. 211; H.; cf. §167), yet JM. beside *sālivāhana* also *sāyavāhana* (Kk.); Mg. *sūla*=*sūta* (Mrcch. 97,3). — AMg. *salilā* (stream; Sūyag. 317.460; Uttar. 342; perhaps also Vivāhap.479) is not, with JACOBI², to be equated as=Pāli *sariṭ*=Skt. *sarit*, which always retains *r*, but is a feminine of the adjective *salila* (Āyār. 2,16,10=Sūyag. 468) of which Skt. *salila* (water) is neuter.—*la* is to be read in Mg. *kala* (Mrcch. 11,1;40,4), *maṭa* (Mrcch. 118,14.15.24; 132,21) beside *kada*, *maḍa*=*kṛta*, *mṛta* (§ 219), JM. *vāṇṭa*=*vyāḍṛta* (Kk.; §218), A. *pāṭai* for *pāḍai* (§ 218)=*pāṭati* (Pingala 1,78.116.120^a.123.125. 125^a.133.135,2,60.135.202.231.261). — *da* has become *la* in M.AMg. *kalamba*=*kadamba* (Vr. 2,12; Hc.1,222; Ki.2,20; Mk. fol. 15; Pāiyāl.255; G.H.R.; Panhāv. 60; Thān. 321), beside *kaamba* (Hc. 1,222), AMg. *kajambaga* (Nāyādh. 354.1045), *kajambaya*, (Kappas.; text falsely °bu°; v.l. *kalambayā*, *kalamba*, *kajamba*); AMg. *kālamba* (Thān. 505), M. *kāamba* (G.R.)= *kādamba*. — M. *gola*=*godā* (Hc. 2,174; Mk. fol. 39; Desin. 2,104; Pāiyāl. 132; T̄iv. 1,3,105; H.), also introduced into Skt.³ The MSS. of Triv. write *la*, which is attested by the v.l. *godā* in H.—M. AMg. *nōllāi*, *nullāi*=*nudditi* with doubling according to § 194 (Vr. 8,7; Hc. 4,143; Ki. 4,46 (text *nōnna*); Mk. fol. 53); M. *nōlleī* (H.R.), *nōllēnti* (G.), *nōllia* (R.), *panōllia* (G.R.); AMg. *nōllāvēhīnti*, *nōllāvīja* (Vivāhap. 1280), *panōlla* (absol.; Sūyag. 360), *vipanōllae* Āyār. 1,5,2,2), *panūllemāṇa* (Nandis. 146; commentary °no°). — JM. *palivei*=*pradīpavatī* (Hc. 1,221; Āv. 9,13), *palivesi*, *palivehi* (Āv. 9,19;32,21); JM. *palivāi* (Hc. 4,152; Mk. fol. 15; Erz.); M. *palivesi*, *palivīm*, *palippamāṇa* (H.), *palivei* (R. 5,67)⁴; M. AMg. *palitta* (Vr. 2,12; Hc. 1,221; Ki. 2,20; H.R.; Nāyādh. 1117); M. *palivia* (H.), JM. *palivīja* (Pāiyāl. 16; Āv. 9,15;32,22.26); AMg. *ālīvīja* (Vivāgas. 225); *ālīvana*=*ādibāna* (Desin. 1,71); JM. *palivāṇa* (Āv. 19,9); but without prefix M. *dippanta-* (R.), *dippanti*, *dippamāṇa* (G.), A. *divīa*=*dīpīta* (Vikr. 60,19), and with prefix Š. *uddīvāntī* (Mrcch. 2,22), *padivesi* (Uttarar. 83,2; ed. Calc. 1831, p 55,19 has °li°). — AMg. JM. *dūvāla*=*dūvāśa* (Panhāv. 347; Vivāhap. 168.173.249.608; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.), *dūvālasāṅga* (Hc. 1,254; Samav. 3; Thān. 569; Sūyag. 616; Nandis. 388.394), *dūvālasavīha* (Vivāhap. 159.524; Panṇav. 30.374; Jiv. 44), *dūvālasama* (Āyār. 1,8,4,7; Sūyag. 699). — AMg. JM. *dohāṭa*, M. AMg. JM. Š. *dohāla*=*dohāda*, M. Š. *dohāla* (§222), according to the information given by Pāli, to be written with *la*, as is shown also by Mg. *halaka* (Mrcch.9,25), *halaa* (Mrcch. 163,24) beside the usual Mg. *hadakka* (§ 194). Cf. § 436.—M. *malāi*=*mrādāte* (Vr. 8,50; Hc. 4,126; R.), *malesi* (H.), *malei* (R.), *malia* (G.H.R.), *parimalāsi* (H.), *parimalā* (H.R.), *vimalāi* (G.), *vimalā* (G.R.), *omalia* (R.), *maṭana* (G.), *parimalāṇa* (H.), with *la* as in Marāṭhī and Gujarātī⁵. — AMg. *elisa*=*īdrśa*, *anelisa*=*anīdrśa*, *elikhha*, *elikhkhaya*=*īdrksa*, *īdrksaka* (§121). — *sōllāi* (cooks; Hc.4,90)=*sūdāyati*, with doubling according §194, AMg. *sōlla* (cooked; roasted; Uvās.; Nirāyāv.), *sōllāya* (Uvās.)= *sūd+na*, *sūd+na+ka* (§566)⁶ and from the present stem (§ 565) *sōlliya*=*sūdita* (Ovav.). — *velūṇā* beside *bedūṇā*, *viddūṇā* (§ 240); AMg. *vibhelaya*=*vibhedaka* (§121).

i. So correctly BOLLENSEN on Vikr. 27,13 p. 279. Not correctly, thinks WEBER on H. 584, with regard to *vidyullatā*.—2. SBE. 45,68, note i. Even JACOBI's explanation of *kulala* is wrong; see §80.—3. PISCHEL BB. 3,237f.—4. The text has *padīvei*, in the same stanza with *palitta*, for which 1,5;5,87 *padīta*, 15,73 perhaps *paṭīta* stands. Forms with *da* are not attested elsewhere.—5. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,126.—6. Wrongly equated by HOERNLE, Uvās. s.v. as=*sūlya*, *sūlyaka*, an explanation, that is not tenable in places like as Ovav. §74 *īngalasillīya*.

§245: *ta* becomes *ra* through *da* (§ 218) in *sattari*=*saptati* (Hc.1,210);

AMg. JM. *sattarīm*, *sattari*, JM. also *sayari* (70); AMg. *egūnasattarīm* (69), *ekkasattarīm* (71), *bāvattarīm* (72), JM. also *bisattari*; AMg. *tevattarīm* (73), *covattarīm*, JM. *caūhattari* (74) etc., A. *ehattari* (71), *chāhattari* (76). See §446. More frequently *da* has become *ra*, Mg. *la* through *da* : AMg. *urāla=udāra* (Āyār. 1,8,1,9;2,15,14.15 [text o°]¹; Sūyag. 95.392.408.639; Thān. 177; Nāyādh. §4; p. 369.566; Antag. 57; Vivāhap. 10.155.168.170.231.248.942. 1039.1228f.; Uttar. 1052.1058; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Kappas. s. v. *orāla*); *orāliya=audārika* (Panav. 397 [u^o]¹. 461ff.; Uttar. 881; Vivāhap. 111.146. 528ff.620; Thān. 54.55; Ovav.). — *karalī=kadalī* in the meaning “elephant flag”, but *kaali* in the meaning “musa sapientum” (Hc. 1,220). False is S. *kaṇaakerīā* (Bālār. 131,14)=*kanakakadalikā* against M. Š. *kaali* (Karp. 46,14;102,6), Š. *kadaliā* (Prab. 66,2), AMg. JM. *kayalī* (Pāiyāl. 254; Āyār. 2,1,8,12 [so to be read]; cf. v.l.]. — *gaggara=gadgada* (Vr.2,13; Hc. 1,219; Ki. 2,21; Mk. fol. 15). — *daśa* becomes *rasa*, *raha* in the numerals 11-13,15,17-18 (Vr. 2,14; Hc. 1,219; Ki. 2,21; Mk. fol. 15). So : AMg. *ekkārasa*, A. *eāraha*, *eggāraha*, *gāraha*, but also A. *ekkadaha*, CP. *ekātasa* (11); AMg. JM. *bārasa*, A. *bāraha*, beside AMg. JM. *duvālasa* (§ 244) (12); AMg. *terasa*, A. *teraha* (13); AMg. JM. *paññarasa*, A. *paññaraha* (15); AMg. JM. *sattarasa* (17); AMg. JM. PG. *atīhārasa*, A. *atīhāraha* (18). See §443. Likewise the ordinals (§ 449). Further *aa* becomes *ra* in the adjectival and pronominal compound words formed with *-dṛś*, *-dṛśa*, *dṛksa*, like M. AMg. JM. Š. *erisa*, AMg. JM. *erisaya*, A. *erisia* beside AMg. *elisa*, *anelisa*, P. *etisa*, Š. *idisa*, Mg. *idiśa=idṛśa* (§ 121); M. AMg. JM. Š. *kerisa*, JM. *kerisaya*, Mg. *keliśa* beside Š. *kidiśa=kidṛśa* (§ 121); JM. *annārisa=anyādṛśa* (Hc. 1,142; Erz.), Š. *anñārisa* (Vikr. 52,19; Mālatim. 89,7;138,10;217,4; Mahāv. 128,7; Bhartṛharinirveda 4,1), but P. *anñātisa* (Hc. 4,317), A. *anñāisa* (Hc. 4,413); M. JM. Š. *amhārisa=asmādṛśa* (Hc. 1,142; H.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 4,16.17.21;18,3; Mudrār. 36,4;241,8;259,1; Karp. 92,8; Viddhaś. 25,8), feminine Š. *amhāriśi* (Viddhaś. 71,9;116,5), but P. *amhātisa* (Hc. 4,317); M. JM. Š. *tumhārisa=yuṣmādṛśa* (Hc.1,142; G.R.; Erz.; Viddhaś.51,12;121,9; Karp.93,9), but P. *yumhātisa* (Hc. 4,317); *eārisa=etādṛśa* (Hc.1,142), Š. *edārisa* (Viddhaś. 102,2; so to be read); feminine *edāriśi* (Prab. 44,13; so to be read), AMg. JM. *jārisa=yādṛśa* (Hc. 1,142; Ki.1,29; Uttar.794; Erz.), AMg. *jārisaya* (Nāyādh.1284), but P. *yātisa* (Hc. 4,317), Š. *jādisa* (Viddhaś. 29,3;32,1,2), feminine *jādiśi* (Šak 51,11.12; Prab. 16,10), A. *jaīsa* (Hc.4,403.404); M. AMg. JM. Š. *tārisa=tādṛśa* (Bh.1,31; Hc. 1,142; Ki. 1,29; R.; Karp. 115,4; Sūyag. 365.429; Uttar. 794; Dasav. 633,19=Hc. 4,287; Āv. 27,2,6.25; Erz.; Vikr. 52,19; Mahāv. 126,7; Prab. 44,12 [read *tārisie*, AMg. *atārisa* (Āyār. 1,6,1,6), *tārisaga* (Nāyādh.; Kappas.), Mg. *tāliśa* (Mṛcch. 37,11), but Š. also *tādisa* (Šak. 32,5; Vikr. 52,7;53,11; Prab. 16,10), feminine *tādiśi* (Šak. 51,12; Viddhaś. 32,1,2), Mg. *tādiśi* (Mṛcch. 140,12; Prab. 62,7), P. *tātisa* (Hc. 4,317), A. *taīsa* (Hc. 4,403); AMg. A. *sari=sadrīk* (Hc. 1,142; Nāyādh.; Piṅgala 1,42); M.AMg. JM. J.S. S.D.A. *sarisa=sadrīśa* (Bh.1,31;Hc.1,142; Mk.fol. 11; G.H. R.; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; KI. 12; Erz.; Kattig. 399,316; Mṛcch. 17,18;24,16;55,4;95,11;134,18;152,21; Šak. 132,1;134,8; Vikr. 6,1; 8,13 [so to be read]; 39,12; Mālav. 6,20 etc.; D. Mṛcch.102,23;105,4; A. Piṅgala 1,10), AMg. *sarisaya*, feminine *sarisiyā* (Nāyādh.), Mg. *salīśa* (Mṛcch. 154,20;164,20;176,5), A. *sarisiśa=**sadrīśiman= *sadrīśya* (Hc.4,395,1); M. Š. *sariccha=sadrīśa* (Hc. 1,44.142; H.; Viddhaś.23,4), also M. JM. J.S. *sāricchā* (§ 78), and Š. *sārikkha* (Karp. 108,2), *sāriccha=**sadrīśya (Hc. 2,17; G. G. 852; see v.l.), AMg. A. *sārikkha* (Hc. 2,17;4,404); Š. *sārikkhadā*

(Karp. 109,7.10). Cf. also *bhavārīsa* (Hc. 1,142) against A. *avarāisa*=
**aparādrīsa* (Hc. 4,413).

1. *orāla* is likewise false, as *urālija*. Both the words are substituted by one another
in the anlaut in the MSS. and the printed editions.

§246. Sometimes *va* has taken the place of *ta* and *da* just seemingly. *āvajja* is not= *ātodya* (Hc. 1,156), but= **āvādya* (§ 130). AMg. *ujjovemāṇa*
(Pannav. 100.102.112; Uvās.; Ovav.), *ujjoviṣa* (Nāyādh.; Kappas.), *ujjoveṇta-* (Nāyādh.) are not= *uddiyotayamāṇa*, *uddiyotita uddyotayant* with the
infixed *v*¹, but belong to *Vdyu*, that occurs in Skt. *dvyu* (day), *didyu* (thunder-
bolt; lightening), perhaps also in A. *joedi* (sees; Hc. 4,422,6 and Wort-
verzeichniss zu Hc.) and certainly in the modern Indian dialects². M. *ruvai*,
M. JM. *rovaī d̄* not belong to *rud*, but to *ru* (§ 473). *kavatṭīa* is not=
kadarthīta (Hc. 1,224; 2,29), but= *kava* in the sense of *kad=ku*+**ārtīta*=
ārīta (§ 289.428). Certain other sound changes assumed by the grammarians are also not tenable. *erāvāṇa* is not= *airāvata* (Vr. 2,11; Bh. 1,35;
Ki. 2,31; Mk. fol. 15), but= *airāvāṇa* (Hc. 1,148.208; § 60). *gabbhīna* is not
= *garbhīta* (Vr. 2,10; Hc. 1,208; Ki. 2,31; Mk. fol. 15), but= *garbhīn* with
a transition to the *a*-declension (§ 406). *atimuktaka* form, according to Hc.
1,26.178.208, *aṇīumṭaa* beside *aīmūṭaa*; in AMg. it regularly becomes
aīmuttayā (Hc. 1,26; Ovav. § 8¹), in S. *adīmoṭtaa* (Mṛcch. 73,10), as *atimukta*,
JM. *aīmūṭta* (Pāīyāl. 256), S. *adīmūṭta* (Vikr. 21,9; Vṛśab. 15,17; 47,15;
Mallikān. 97,6; 128,15). In Mk. fol. 34 *aīmūṭta* is to be read for *aīmūṭta* of the MS., in Bh. 4,15 probably *aīmūṭka* is to be read for *ahimūṭka*,
which is explained by *abhīmukta*. Whence comes *aṇīumṭaa* is not clear.
On *ta* erroneously used in AMg. see § 203; on *da* for *ta*, § 192.203: 204; on
ta, *da* for *ta*, § 218.219; on *ta* for *da*, § 190.191; on *da* for *da*, § 222.

1. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *ujjey*.—2. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,322.

§247. *tha* may become *dha* (§ 221), *dha* may become *ḍha* (§ 223), in
CP. *tha* (§ 191). AMg. *samīḍha* (Uttar. 592.788) is explained by JACOBI¹ with
samīḍh. That is phonetically impossible and against the sense. The expla-
nation of the commentators by *kīlikā*, *yugakīlikā* points to **samīṭī*; cf. *samīṭi*.—*na* becomes mostly *ṇa* (§ 224). In *nimba* it may become *la*: *limba*
(Hc. 1,230)=Marāthī *limba*, A. *limbadaa* (Hc. 4,387,2)=Gujarāti *limbāḍa*,
beside M. *ṇimba* (Hc. 1,230; H.), AMg. *nimboliyā*=*nimbagulikā* (Nāyādh.
1152.1173; cf. § 167).—On *ṇhāviā*=*nāpīta* see § 210.

1. SBE, 45, p. 94, note 4.

§248. For *pa*, which as a rule becomes *va* (§ 199), dialectically
also *ba* (§ 192) and *bha* (§ 209), there may appear sometimes also *ṇpa*:
M. AMg. JM. *āmeṭā*=*āpīdya*, *āmelīaa*, AMg. *āmelāga*, *ameṭājya* (§ 122);
nimeṭā=**nīḍīdya* (§ 122); M. *nūmajjāī*=*nīḍadyate*, *nūmaṇṇā*=*nīḍanna* (§ 118);
AMg. *ānāmanī*=*ājñāpāni* (Pannav. 363ff.369) beside *ānavāni* (Pannav. 364f.);
AMg. *cimīdha*=*cīpiṭa* (Nāyādh. 751; commentary *cimīṭha*) against. *civīdha*
(Nāyādh. 7+5; text *civīṭha*, commentary *cimīṭha*, in the text, therefore,
to be read *cimīdha*, as for example in 751; cf. § 207); AMg. *kūṇīma*=
kūṇāpa (Sūyag. 225. 282. 483. 811; Thāṇ. 338; Panhāv. 179; Jiv.
255; Ovav.); AMg. *talīma*=*talīpa* (Dśin. 5,20; Pāīy. I. 117.122;
Nāyādh. 1192f.); AMg. *nīma*, *ṇīma*=*nīpa* (Hc. 1,234; Dasav. 623,5;
Pannav. 31; Ovav.; cf. Ovav. § 6 note 12), beside AMg. *nīva*, A. *ṇīvā*
(Hc. 1,234; Ovav.; Pāṇīgala 1,60^a; 2,82); AMg. *bhindīmāla*=*bhindīpīla*
(Jiv. 257.279; Panhāv. 61.158; Ovav.), beside *bhindīvīla* (Vr. 3,46;
Hc. 2,38 [also PISCHEL] 89; Ki. 2,65; Mk. fol. 26; AMg. *manāma*=Pāli *manāpa*
(Thāṇ. 65.66.527; Samav. 94; Vivāhap. 162.480; Nāyādh.; Nirāyāv.;
Ovav.; Kappas.), feminine *manāmī* (Vivāhap. 196), *amanāma* (Sūyag. 630;
Vivāgas. 40f.; Samav. 227; Jiv. 256; Vivāhap. 89.117.254); AMg. *vāṇīmaga*,

^oja=vanipaka (Āyār. 2, 1, 1, 13; 2, 1, 5, 1; 2, 2, 2, 8ff.; 2, 3, 1, 2; 2, 5, 1, 9ff.; 2, 6, 1, 7; 2, 10, 23; 2, 15, 11; Panhāv. 492; Thān. 397; Nāyādh. 1086; Dasav. 622, 31, 35, 626, 29; Kappas.), vanīmajayā=vanipakatayā (Panhāv. 358; text vanimajāe); AMg. vidima=viṭapa (twig; Āyār. 2, 4, 2, 12; Panhāv. 437; Jiv. 548f.; Dasav. 628, 28; Ovav. § 4; tree; Dasav. N. 645, 4; Rhinoceros; Deśin. 7, 89; Ovav. § 37. [37]; young roe; Deśin. 7, 89), but M. Š. vidava (Bh. 2, 20; Ki. 2, 10; G.H.R.; Šak. 67, 2; 137, 5; Vikr. 12, 17; 22, 12; 31, 1); vidavi=viṭapin (Pāiyāl. 54); AMg. JM. sumiṇa beside suvina, JM. suminaga beside suvinaga; simiṇa beside M. sivina, Š. AMg. sivināa=Pāli supina=Skt. svapna (§ 177). The transition, that is almost wholly limited, is explained by the interchange of ma and va (§ 251.261).

§ 249. S. pāyaddhi (hunting; Viddhaś. 23, 9), which is equated by Hc. 1, 235 and Nārāyaṇadikṣita on Viddhaś. 23, 9 as=pāpārdhi, is=prārabdhi; its synonym pāraddha (Deśin. 6, 77), that means also “compensation for an act done in a former life”, “oppressed” and “tortured”, is=prārabdha.

§ 250. Like pa (§ 248), ba also sometimes becomes ma : kamandha=kabandha (Vr. 2, 19; Hc. 1, 239; Mk. fol. 16). Hc. 1, 239; Mk. fol. 16; Prākṛtamāñjari in PISCHEL, De gr. Prācr. p. 14 teach also kayandha, that will originate from AMg. JM. or JS. and, therefore, will be=kayandha. We find in the texts also M. JM. A. kavandha (§ 201), which according to Mk. fol. 67 Š. too always has.—samara=sabara (Hc. 1, 258), but M. AMg. JM. Š. savara, M. AMg. savari (§ 201). AMg. JM. māhaṇa with WEBER¹, E. MÜLLER², JACOBI³, LEUMANN⁴, Š. GOLDSCHMIDT⁵, ASCOLI⁶, HOERNLE⁷ to be equated as=brāhmaṇa, is linguistically impossible. Corresponding to AMg. JM. bambha=brahman, bambhayāri=brahmacārin, bambhanayā=brāhmaṇyaka, bambhaloya=brahmaloka etc. (§ 267) the word must be pronounced as bambhana, as is the case also, AMg. JM. bambhana (Uttar. 748.753f.; Av. 18, 15; Erz.; Kk.), AMg. subambhana (Panhāv. 448). Sometimes both the words occur beside one another, as Erz. 1, 7 māhanassa, but 1, 8 bambhanena; Kk. 276, 25 bambhanarūva, but II, 508, 19 māhanarūvaga. AMg. employs almost exclusively māhanā⁸ (e.g. Āyār. 2, 1, 12, 2, 1, 3, 11, 9, 2, 1, 2, 2, 8, 9, 2, 6, 1, 1, 2, 7, 2, 15, 2, 4, 11; Sūyag. 17.56.74. 105.106.113.118.373.419.495.553.620.642f.; Vivāhap. 115.119.343; Vivāgas. 152f.; Ovav.; Kappas.), mahāmāhaṇa (Uvās.), femin. AMg. JM. māhaṇī (Āyār. 2, 15, 2; Nāyādh. 1151; Vivāhap. 788; Kappas.; Av. 12, 1), māhanatta=brāhmaṇatva (Uttar. 756). I derive the word from Skt. makha (sacrifice), mākha (pertaining to a sacrifice), and equate it, therefore, as=*mākhana=“sacrificial priest.”

1. Bhag. I, 410, note 5.—2. Beiträge p. 29.—3. Kalpas. und Erz. s.v.—4. Aup. S. s.v.—5. Prākṛtika p. 15.—6. Kritische Studien p. 221 with note 8.—7. Uvās., Translation p. 120, note 273.—8. Cf. Uttar. 748 je loe bambhaṇo vutto...tam vayan būma māhanā.

§ 251. In A. ma, in the inlaut, may become ūa (Hc. 4, 397); kāvala beside kamala (Hc. 4, 397); bhāvara beside bhamara (Hc. 4, 397); nīśāvāṇa=nīśāmāṇya (Hc. 4, 341, 1); pāvāṇa=pramāṇa (Hc. 4, 419, 3); beside pamāṇa (Hc. 4, 399, 1); bhaṭṭai=bhramati (Hc. 4, 401, 2); vajjāva=vajramaya (Hc. 4, 395, 5); sāva=sama (Hc. 4, 358, 2); sūvarahi beside sumari=smara (Hc. 4, 387). This sound-transformation has become obscure in the other dialects and partly in A. itself, as either ū has vanished behind the anunāsika or more frequently the anunāsika has disappeared before ū, so that only ~ or v has remained. Thus according to Hc. 1, 178 the anunāsika takes the place of m in apūṇiaa=atimuktaka (§ 246); kāua=kāmuka; cāundā=cāmundā; jāūnā=yamunā. According to Vr. 2, 3; Ki. 2, 5; Mk. fol. 14 m drops off in yamunā, and so has M. AMg. JM. jaūnā (G.; v.l. on H. 671; Kamsav. 55, 5; Pra-

bañdhac. 27,2; Thān. 544; Vivāgas. 208; Dvār. 495,20; T. 4,8). Most of the MSS. have in H. 671 *jamuṇā*, as also Ś. (Vikr. 23,13;41 3). The correct scripture in M. AMg. JM. will be *jāuṇā* (§ 179). For *kāua* M. Ś. have *kāmua* (H.; Mrch. 25,21;71,6; Vikr. 21,18; 31,14), JM. *kāmuya* (Erz.); for *cāuṇḍā* Ś. has *cāuṇḍā* (Mālatim. 30,5; Karp. 105,2;106,2; 107,1). Erroneous is M. *kuari* for *kumarī*=*kumārī* (H. 298) and the similar v.l. in other words in WEBER, H.² p. LXI on strophe 298. The same sound-change is to be assumed in A. in *thāu*=*sthāman* (Hc. 4,358,1; text *thāv*), in the sense of *sthāna*, as the scholiasts explain. Ki. 5,99 has *thāma sthāne*. Further in *bhōhā* from *bhamuhā* (Pingala 2,98; text *bhohā*, S. GOLDSCHMIDT *bhauphā*; cf. § 124,166) and *haṇū*=*harumān* (Pingala 1,63^a; text *haṇū*).—*va* has taken the place of *ma* in AMg. *avavadagga*, AMg. JM. *avavajagga*=Pāli *anamatagga*=*anamadagra*¹ (Sūyag. 456 [°no°]. 787.789.867; Thān. 41,129; Pañhāv. 214,302; Nāyādh. 464,471; Vivāhap. 38,39,160,848. 1128,1290,1324; Uttar. 842; Erz.) from *vnam*, which in M. JM. A. also has sometimes *va*: *navāi* (Hc. 4,226); M. *opavia*=**avanamita*=*avanata* (H. 637); JM. *navakāra*=*namaskāra* (Erz. 35,23,25,27,29); AMg. *vippavānanti*=*viप्रायमान्ति* (Sūyag. 472); A. *navāhi*=*namanti* (Hc. 4,367,4), *nava-nāhā*=*namatām* (Hc. 4,399). In all the dialects, however, *nam* mostly retains its *m*. *ahivāṇu* (Hc. 1,243), beside Mg. Ś. *ahimaru*(Hc. 1,243; Venis. 34,12; 64,16); A. *ravāṇa*=*ramanya* (Hc. 4,422,11); AMg. *vāṇavantara*² beside the usual *vāṇamantara* (Nāyādh. 1124; Thān. 222; Bhag.; Ovav., Kappas.).—In the anlaut too *ma* has sometimes become *va*: AMg. *vimānsā*=*mīmāṁsā* (Sūyag. 59; Thān. 332f.; Nandis. 351,381,383,505), *vimarṣaya*=*mīmāṁsaka* (Pañhāv. 109)³; *vamjara* (Hc. 2,132) beside *mamjara* (§ 81,86); M. JM. A. *vammaha*=*manmatha* (Vr. 2,39; C. 3,21; Hc. 1,242; Ki. 2,45; Mk. fol. 18; G.H.R.; Karp. 38,11;47,16;57,6; Viddhaś. 24,12; Dhūrtas. 3,13; Unmattar. 2,19; Erz.; Pingala 2,88), also in Mg. in verses (Mrch. 10,13; text *ba*^o; cf. GEDABOLE 28,4 with note), but in Ś. only *mammadha*⁴ (Śak. 53,2; Hāsy. 22,15;25,3,14; Karp. 92,8; Mālatim. 81,2;125,2;266,3; Nāgān. 12,2; Pras. 32,12;36,18;84,3; Vṛṣabh. 29,19;38,11;42,11;49,9;51,10; Pārvatip. 24,15;26,23;28,5;30,17; Bālar. 135,10; Karnas. 30,5; Anarghar. 270,8 etc.). On *va* from *ma* in consonant groups see § 277,312. Cf. also ASCOLI, Kritische Studien p. 200f.—M. A. *bhasala* (bee; H.; Karp. 10,7,8; 64,5; Hc. 4,444,5) is to be derived neither with Hc. 1,244,254; DESIN. 6,101 from *bhramara*, nor with WEBER⁵ from *Vbhrāṇś*, but it belongs with *bhasma* (ashes), *bhasad* (the hinder part), *bhastrā* (bellows) to *Vbhas* “to blow”, and therefore designates the bee as “the humming creature.”⁶ The word has also gone over into Skt. too?

1. The correct explanation of this t.t., which, except in Vivāhap. 991, is an adjective of *sahsāra*, probably means “whereof the beginning is not bent off”=“what does not change”=“endless.” The root *vnam* has correctly been recognized by JACOBI, Erz. s.v.; his other explanation is, however, wrong. False also PISCHEL, BB. 3,245. The scholiasts explain the word by *ananta*, *aparyanta*, *aparyavasāna* and mostly consider *avadagga*, *avāyagga* as Desi words used in the sense of “end”, and, therefore, analyse the words as *an*=*avadagga*.—2. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *vāṇamantara*.—3. The scholiasts explain the words by *vimarṣa*, *vimarṣaka*.—4. The Indian editions always write *mammaha*. Falsely they sometimes have also *vammaha* in Ś. (Bālar. 24,11;24,2,4; Viddhaś. 23,9,99,8; Rukminīp. 19,10;20,7;28,6;30,14; Mallikām. 122,18;124,3;158,19 etc.), as conversely *mammaha* in M. (Acyutāś. 58; false also H. 327-576 [cf. v.l.]). P. GOLDSCHMIDT (Speciman. p. 10) desired to write it wrongly as *bammaha*.—5. On H. 444.—6. PISCHEL, Ved. Stud. 2,63.—7. PISCHEL, on Hc. 1,244.

§252. Except in Mg. P. CP. *ya* becomes *ja* in the anlaut (Vr. 2,31; C. 3,15; Hc. 1,245; Ki. 2,38; Mk. fol. 17): M. AMg. JM. JS. Ś. D.

(Mṛcch. 101,9;102,21;103,15;105,7). Dh. A. *jaī*, S. also often, Ā. (Mṛcch. 105,3). *jadi=yadi*, but Mg. *yaī*, *yadi*; M. AMg. JM. Ā. (Mṛcch. 100,12). A. *jaha*, JS. *jadha*, S. D. (Mṛcch. 105,21) *jadhā=yathā*, but Mg. *yadhā* (§ 113); M. AMg. JM. *jakkha=yakṣa* (G. H.; Karp. 26,1; Āyār. 2,1,2,3; Sūyag. 674; Paṇṇav. 75; Ṭhān. 90,229; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Āv. 13,25ff.; Erz.; JS. *jadi=yati* (Pav. 383,69); M. AMg. JM. A. *jūha*, S. *jūdha=yūtha* (§ 221); M. AMg. JM. S. A. *jōvāna=yauvana* (§ 90); AMg. JM. *jārisa*, but P. *yātisa=yādr̥śa*, S. *jādisi=yādr̥śi* (§ 245). So also in the inlaut when it is, according to § 91, doubled in M. AMg. JM. JS. D. A. (Vr. 2,17; C. 3,25; Hc. 1,248; Ki. 2,36,37; Mk. fol. 16), as M. JM. A. *dijai*, JS. *dijadi=dīyate*, but P. *tiyyate*, S. Mg. *dīadi* (§ 545); AMg. JM. *hōjjā=bhūyāt*, AMg. *dējjā=dēyāt*, *ahīthējjā=adhiṣṭheyāt*, *pahējja=prahēyāt* (§ 466); M. AMg. JM. *karanijja=karanīya*, but S. *karanīja*; AMg. *vandanijja*, but S. *vandanīja* (§ 571); AMg. *aṅgulijjaka=aṅgulīyaka* (Nāyādh. [°le°]; Ovav.; Kappas.); AMg. JM. *kañcūnija=kañcukīya* (chambe: lain; Vivāhap. 792,800.963.966; Rāyap. 289; Nāyādh. § 128; Ovav.; Āv. 8,8); AMg. *kosejja=kauṣeya* (Ovav.); AMg. *gevējja=grāiveya* (Uttar. 1086; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas. [°vi°]); AMg. JM. *nāmadhējja=nāmadhēya* (Āyār. 2,15,11.15; Nāyādh. § 92,116; p. 1228,1351 [°dhi°]; Paṇhāv. 303,327; Ovav. § 16,105,165; Nirayāv.; Kappas.; Āv. 10,2). As a rule *y* remains in the anlaut and inlaut, in Mg. in the inlaut only when doubled (Hc. 4,292) : Mg. *yuga=yuga* (Hc. 4,288); *yādi=yātī*, *yadhāśalūva=yathāśvarūpa*, *yānavatta=yānapattra* (Hc. 4,292); *yutta=yukta* (Hc. 4,302); *yaśka=yakṣa* (Nāmīśadhu on Rudrata, Kāvyaśālaṁkāra 2,12); *yadhā=yathā*, *yām=yām*=*yad=yad*, *yahastām* [read *dha*]=*yathārtham* (Lalitav. 566,5,8,9); in the inlaut : *alaśkiyamāna=alakṣyamāna*, *pēśkiyamādi=pēśkiyasi* [read *śi*]=*prekṣyante*, *pr̥kyase*; *yāniyyadi=jñāyate* (Lalitav. 565,7,13,15; 566,1). As in the case of *ja* (§ 236) in this case too the manuscripts do not falsely establish the rule. P. : *yutta=yukta*, *yātisa*, *yumhātisa*, *yad=yādr̥śa*, *yuṣmādr̥śa*, *yad* (Hc. 4,306, 317,323); in the inlaut : *giyyate=giyate*, *tiyyate=dīyate*, *ramiyate=ramyate*, *padhiyyate=paṭhyate*, *huvēyya=bhavet* (Hc. 4,315,320,323) : CP. *niyocita* (Hc. 4,325; cf. 327). On *dōgga=yugma* see § 215, on *yeva=eva* § 336.

§ 253. As in the case of treatment of *na* (§ 224), so in that of *ya* too PG. shows an extraordinary variation. In the anlaut it is retained in *°yājī* (5,1); *°f̥payutte=°prayuktān* (5,6); *°yaso=yoṣas* (6,9); *°yo'lkā* (? 6,31); *yo=yah*; (7,46), on the contrary it becomes *ja* in *jo* (7,44) and *sāmijutto=°sāmyuktah* (7,47). VG. has *yūva* (101,2). In the inlaut simple *ya* remains unchanged in PG. VG. : PG. *°vājapejā* (5,1); *visae=visaye* (5,3); *nevike=**naiyikān (5,6); *°āyu=°āyus*, *vijayavejajyīke=vijayavaijyāyikān* (6,9); *°ppādā-yino=°prādāyināḥ* (6,11); *ātteya=ātreya* (6,13); *°sāmvinayikām* (6,32); *visaya=visaya* (6,35); *āpitīyam=āpiṭīyām* (6,37); *bhūyo=bhūyah* (7,41); *vasudhādhāpataye=°patīn* (7,44); *ajātāe=AMg. ajattāe* (Kappas. Th. 2; S.6,7)=*adyatāya* (7,45)¹; *sahassāya=sahastāya* (7,48); VG. *vijaya* (101,1,3); *nārāyanasa*, *āyurīn*, *vaddhanīyām* (101,8); *gāmeyikā* (101,10; cf. EI.1,2, note 2); *pariharayām* (101,11; cf. EI.1,2, note 2). In PG. the same variation is found in the case of duplicated *ya* as in the anlaut: at 6,40, beside one another, there stand *kareyya*, *kāravējjā=kuryāt*, *kāravet*; 7,1 *kareyyūma=kuryāma*, but 7,46 *vatteya=vartayet* and 7,48 *hoja=bhūyāt²*. As usual (§ 280). As usual *dyā* becomes *jja* in *ajātāye*; likewise *ryā* would correctly and regularly become *jja* in *golasamajasa*, *agisamajassa*, *dattajasa*, *dāmajasa*, *sālasamajasa*, *agisamaja* (6,12,13,21,23,27,37), if BÜHLER were right in equating *°ajā* as = *āryā³*. But *sāmijasa* and *sāmijasa* (6,21,26) cannot be equated phonetically with BÜHLER as = *nandyāryasya* and

svāmyāryasya, but *are=nandijasya*, *svāmijasya*. Also for the rest, therefore, *ja* has to be assumed.

1. This is the correct explanation of LEUMANN; false PISCHEL, GN. 1895, 211 f.—2. Cf. BÜHLER, EI, I, 2f. —3. I.c. 1,2.

254. In the place of *ya*, apparently *ga* has entered into AMg. *pariyāga=paryāya* (Āyār. 2,15,16; Vivāgas. 270; Vivāhap. 135.173.220.223.235. 249.796.845.968.969; Nāyādh. 1225; Uvās.; Ovav.), beside *pariyāya* (Uvās.; Ovav.). To equate *pariyāga* as=*paryāyaka* by contraction, according to § 165, with HOERNLE (Uvās. s. v.), in the case of a t.t. of prose, is improbable. I suspect that *pariyāga* stands for **pariyāva* with *ga* for *va* according to § 231. In support of this view stands AMg. JM. *pajjava=paryāya*, which ought to be mentioned at the same time. Likewise AMg. *niyāga* (Āyār. 1,1,3,1; Sūyag. 665 [*ni* °]) =**nyāva* will stand for *nyāya* (commentary=*mokṣamārga*, *sāmyama*, *mokṣa*).—Interchange of *ya* and *va*, as in Skt.¹ and in Pāli², occurs in *kāvāram=katipayam* (Hc. 1,250); AMg. JM. *pajjava=paryāya* (§ 81); AMg. *tāvattisā=trayastrīmśat*, AMg. JM. *tāvattisagā*, [°]*yā=trayastrīmśakāh* (§ 438); A. *āvāi=āyāti* (Hc. 4,367,1,419,3), *āvahi* (Hc. 4,422,1), *āva* [GOLDSCHMIDT *āu*]=*āyāti* (Piṅgala 2,88)³; A. *gāvā* [GOLDSCHMIDT *gāu*]=*gāyanti* (Piṅgala. 2,88), *gāvanta* (Piṅgala 2,230); further in the participia necessitatis in A. *-evā,-ē vādū,-ie vāvū*, like *soevā=svapeyya* (§ 497), *jaggevā=jangreyya* (§ 556), *kariē vāvū=** *karyeyyakam* of the passive stem (§ 547), *sahē vāvū=** *saheyyakam* (§ 570). In AMg. *murava* for **muraya=muraja* (Panḥāv. 512; Vivāhap. 1102; Ovav.; Kappas. [so to be read]), *muravi=muraji* (Ovav.), beside M. Š. *muraa* (Pāiyāl. 266; H.; Mṛcch. 69,23) *va* has entered for the secondary *ya*. *murava* might rest also upon the popular etymology=*mu+rava*. The *pa* in P. *hitapa=hṛdaya*, *hitapāka=hrdayaka* (§ 191) goes back to a secondary *vā* for *ya*, whilst *vā* has become *pa*, as in *govinda=govinda*, *kesava=kesava* (§ 191).

1. WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. § 188c.—2. E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 42f.; E. MÜLLER, Simplified Pāli Grammar p. 30f.—3. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,367,1; cf., however, also *Vav* (to go) and Ved. Stud. I, 1, vi.

§ 255. Corresponding to Pāli *nahāru*, Greek νεῦρον, Latin *nervus* AMg. JM. *have nhāru=snāya* (Thān. 55; Panḥāv. 49; Vivāhap. 89.349. 810; Jiv. 66,271; Erz.), AMg. *nhāruṇi=snāyuni* (Āyār. 1,1,6,5; Sūyag. 676). There occurs *nhāu* twice (Samav. 227).—In *yaṣṭi ya* becomes *la* (Vr. 2,32; C. 3,17a p. 49; Hc. 1,247;2,34; Ki. 2,39; Mk. fol. 17); M. AMg. JM. *latṭhi, latṭhi* (H.; R.; Karp. 44,3;49,12;58,5;69,8;73,10;80,10; Viddhaś. 64,4; Āyār. 1,8,3,5;2,4,2,11; Sūyag. 726; Panḥāv. 282; Nāyādh. § 135.136; p. 1420; Vivāhap. 831; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.). According to Mk. fol. 67 Š. has *jaṭṭhi* only and so stands Vṛṣabh. 37,2 and is so meant in Mallikām. 129,19, where the text has *taṇḍyaṭṭhi*, and at 192,22, where it has *hārayaṭṭhi*. Rājāsekhaba, however, uses *latṭhi* (Karp. 110,6; Viddhaś. 42,7;97,11;122,3 [*hāralatṭhi*]; Bālar. 305,10) and *latṭhā* (Viddhaś. 108,3) in Š. too, corresponding to M. *latṭhiā* (C.3,17a p.49), AMg. *latṭhiyā* (Āyār. 2,2,3,2). False is *jaṭṭhi* in M. (*Sāhityadarpana* 73,5). Pāli has *latṭhi* and *yatṭhi*. *kaivāham*, according to Hc. 1,250 =*katipayam*, is =Pāli *katipāham*=Skt. *katipayāham* according to § 167.—M. *chāhā* (shade; shadow; Vr. 2,18; H.), Š. *sacchāha* (Hc. 1,249; Mṛcch. 68,24) and M. *chāhī* (shade, shadow; sky; Hc. 1,249; Mk. fol. 19; Deśin. 3,26; Pāiyāl. 236; H. R.) are not =*chāyā*, but =**chāyākhā=chāyākā*; they stand, hence, for **chākhā*, **chākhī* with contraction according to § 165 and with aspiration according to § 206. In the sense of “beauty” only *chādā* is used according to Hc. 1,249, as generally *chāyā* occurs in M. Š. Mg. only as *chāā* (G.H.R.; Karp. 69,5; Mṛcch. 9,9; Šak. 29,4;51,6; Vikr. 51,11; Karp. 41,2; Mg. Mudrār. 267,2), in AMg. JM. as *chājā* (Pāiyāl. 113.236; Kappas.; Erz.).

§256. *ra* always becomes *la* in Mg. (C. 3,39; Hc. 4,288; Ki. 5,87; Mk. fol. 74; Nāmisādu on Rudrāta, Kāvylāmākāra 2,12; Simhadevaganin on Vāgbhaṭānākāra 2,2) and Dh. (§ 25). Thus Mg.: *lahaśavaśaṇapamilāśulaśilavialidamandālalāyidamhiyuge vīlayinē=rabhasavaśanamrasuraśirovicalitamandāraraśitāṁhriyugo virajināh* (Hc. 4,288); *sājāmbhalīśalaśivila=sākambharīśvaraśivira, viggahalāṇḍaśalaśiṇām=vigraharājanareśvaraśiṇām* (Lalitav. 565,6,11); *nagalantala=nagarāntara, dalidda cāludattāha aṇulattā=daridracārudattasyānuraktā, andhālāpūlida=andhakārāpūrīta, ovālidasalī=apavāritasārīra* (Mṛcch. 13,8,25; 14,22; 127,25); *mahāladaṇabhbhāśula=mahāratnabhāśura, udalabbhāntala=udarābhyaṇtara* (Śak. 113,3; 114,10); *śamale piabhattālām luhi-lappīam=samare priyabhartāram ruddhīrapriyam* (Venīs. 33,8); *bahuṇalakaduk-khadāluṇapaliṇāmē dūkkale=bahunarakaduhkhadāruṇapariṇāmo duskarah* (Caṇḍik. 42,6). — Dh. : *ale le=are re; luddhu=ruddhat; paṇiveṣida=pariveṣipita; kulu=kuru; dhāledi=dhārayati; pulisa=purusa* (§ 25). — According to C. 3,38; Ki. 5,109; Simhadevaganin on Vāgbhātālāmākāra 2,3 in P. too *ra* becomes *la* : *ale ale dutṭhalakkhaṇā=are are dusṭarākṣasāḥ* (C.); *calana=carana* (Ki. 5,109); *chaṇkāla=jhaṇkāra* (Ki. 5,102); *hali=hari* (Ki. 5,111); *ludda=rudra*(S.). Undoubtedly in C. Ki. S., P. has again been interchanged with CP. (§ 191 note 1). The examples from P. in Hc. 4,304.307.314.316.319.320.321.323.324 have throughout *ra*, as also Ki. 5,109 *usara=uṣṭra, kāriā=kārya*. On the other hand Hc. 4,326 teaches that in CP. *la* may enter for *ra* : *golicalana=gauricarāṇa, ekāṭasatanuṭhalaṁ luddaṁ=ekāḍaṭasatanuḍharam rūḍram, hala=hara* (Hc. 4,326); *nala=nara, sala=saras* (Triv. 3,2,64). Likewise Sr. fol. 65. Most of the examples from CP. have, however, *ra*, as *nagara, kīritāta, rāca-, caccara, nicchāra, chacchāra, tāmaruka, tāmotara, mathura* etc. (Hc. 4,325), so that the examples in Hc. 4,326 certainly originate from a third Paiśāci dialect, which Mk. calls Pāñcāla (§ 27). Presumably the transition of *ra* into *la* in it was just as necessary as in Mg. and in Dh.

§ 257. In dialects other than Mg. Dh. Pāñcāla (§ 256) the change of *ra* into *la* is isolated and fluctuating. Vr. 2,30; Hc. 1,254; Ki. 2,35; Mk. fol. 17; Pkl. p. 52 have collected the words which take *la* under the ākṛtigāna haridrādi. The information supplied by them, however, does not hold equally good for all the dialects. They say : *haladdā, haladdī* (Grr.), M. AMg. JM. *haliddā*, M. *haliddī*, AMg. *hāliddā* (§ 115); M. JS. S. *dalidda=daridra* (Gr., G. 859 [so to be read : v.l.]; H.; Kattig. 404, 387; Mṛcch. 18,9; 29,1,3; 54,3; 55,25; 70,7), Š. *daliddadā* (Mṛcch. 6,8; 17,18; 54,1), but M. also *dariddattāna* (Karp. 16,2), Š. *dariddadā* (Mālav. 26,15), AMg. JM. *daridda* (Kappas.; Erz.), JM. *dariddī=daridrin, dariddīja* (Erz.); *jahīṭhila* (Grr.), *jahūṭhila* (Hc.), AMg. *juhīṭhila*, but S. A. *juhīṭhīra=yudhīṭhīra* (§ 118); M. JM. Š. *muhala=mukhara* (Grr.; G.H.R.; Erz.; Prab. 39,8); AMg. JM. *kaluṇā=karuṇā* (Grr.; Āyār. 1,6,1,2; Sūyag. 225.270.273.282.286.288.289.291; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Sagara 5,15; Erz.; everywhere adverb *kaluṇām*), beside JM. Š. A. *karuṇā* (Erz.; Śak. 109,9; Vikr. 67,11) and always M. AMg. JM. *karuṇā* (pity; G.; Āyār. 2,2,1,8; 2,2,3,15 [text here false *kaluṇā*]; Sagara 5,18; Kk.); M. *karuṇaa=karuṇaka* (pity; G.); M. *cilā*, AMg. *cilāyā=kirāta*, AMg. *cilāi=kirātī, cilāyā=kirātikā*, beside S. *kirāda*, JM. *kirāya*, and M. *kirāa* in the meaning “Śiva” (§ 230); M. AMg. JM. *phaliha=parigha*, M. AMg. *phalihā=parikhā* (§ 208); *phālihadda=pāribhadra* (§ 208); *valuna=varuṇa* (Hc. 1,254), but M. *varuṇa* (H.), Š. *vāruṇi* (Bālar. 134,13); AMg. *antalikkha=antarikṣa* (Āyār. 2,1,7,1; 2,2,1,7; 2,4,1,13; 2,5,1,20.21; 2,7,1,7; Sūyag. 294.708; Uttar. 456.651; Dasav. 629,33; Nāyādh. §93; Uvās.), but Š. *antarikkha* (Pāiṭyāl. 27; Mṛcch. 44,19;

Mālav. 25,14); AMg. *ruila=rucira* (Sūyag. 565; Samav. 25 [*°lla*]. 59; Panhāv. 269.285; Panñav. 116; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.); AMg. *lūha* (Āyār. 1,2,6,3;1,5,3,5;1,6,5,5;1,8,4,4; Sūyag. 165.185.578.665; Panhāv. 348f.; Vivāhap. 279.838; Thān. 198; Uttar. 56.106; Ovav.), *sulūha* (Sūyag. 497) and *lukkha* (Āyār. 1,5,6,4;1,8,3,3;2,1,5,5; Sūyag. 590; Thān. 198; Vivāhap. 1470ff.; Panñav. 8.11.12.13.380; Anuog. 268; Jiv. 28.224; Uttar. 1022; Kappas.)=*rūksa*, *lukkhaya* (Uttar. 1028), *lukkhatta* (Thān. 188; Vivāhap. 1351), *lūhei*, *lūhittā* (Jiv. 610; Nāyādh. 267; Rāyap. 185), *lūhiya* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.), falsely *rukka* (Sūyag. 239), that in AMg. too always is=*rukṣa* (tree; § 320); but A. *rukka* (Pingala 2,98). and so also JM. in the word-play with *rukka* “tree” (Rśabhap. 29), AMg. shows *la* also in *lādhā=rādhā* (Āyār. 1,8,3,2) and=*rādhāh* (Āyār. 1,8,3,6.8; Panñav. 61; Vivāhap. 1254)=Ś. *rādhā* (Karp. 9,4)=Skt. *rādhā*; further in *parijāla=parivāra*¹ (Nāyādh. § 130; p. 724.784.1273.1290. 1327.1460 [*ra*]. 1465; Ovav.; Nirayāv.), beside *parivāra* (Ovav.; Kappas.); further in *sūmāla*, *sukumāla* beside M. *sonāra*, also *somāla*, *suumāra*, Ś. *suumāra*, *sukumāra*, JM. *sukumārayā* (§ 123); in the numeral AMg. JM. *cattālisām*, AMg. *cattālisā*, JM. *cāyālisām*, *cālisa*^o, A. *cālisa=catvārimśat* and in combinations with other numerals, as AMg. JM. *bājālisām* (42), *caūjālisām*, *cojālisām* (44) and others (§ 445). Often in AMg. *pari* becomes *pali*, especially in the oldest dialect; e.g. in *paliuñcājanti=parikuñcayanti* (Sūyag. 489), *paliuñcija=parikuñcya* (Āyār. 2,1,11,1), *paliuñcāna=parikuñcana* (Sūyag. 381), *apaliuñcamāna=aparikuñcamāna* (Āyār. 1,7,4,1;2,5,2,1); *paliyanta=paryanta* (Āyār. 1,3,4,1.4; Sūyag. 108.172); *palei=paryeti* (Sūyag. 495), *palinti=paryiṇti* (Sūyag. 95.134); *paliyānka=paryānka* (Āyār. 2,13,19.20; Sūyag. 386; Ovav.); *palikkhina=parikṣīna* (Sūyag. 978); *palicchinnā=paricchinna* (Āyār. 1,4,4,2; Sūyag. 560), *palicchindīya=paricchidya* (Āyār. 1,4,4,3;2,5,2,3.5), *paliocchinna=paryavacchinna* (Āyār. 1,5,1,3); *palibhindīyāñam=paribhidyā* (Sūyag. 243); *palicchāei=paricchādayati* (Āyār. 2,1,10,6); *palimadējjā=pṛimardayet* (Āyār. 2,13,2); *paliechūḍha=paryutkṣubha* (§ 66); *sāmpūlimajjamāṇa* (Āyār. 1,5,4,3). Therefore, AMg. has *la* for *ra* more frequently than the other dialects have. In this respect it approximates to Mg. and is removed from M. (§ 18). According to Hc. 1,254 here is said beside *jadhara=jathara*, *vadhara=vathara*, *nīthura=nīsthura* also *jadhala*, *vadhala*, *nīthula*. Upto this moment the forms to be found in the text are M. Ś. *jaḍhara* (Pāiyal. 112; G.; Mrcch. 72,19); M. *nīthura* (G.H.R.), AMg. JM. JS. *nīthura* (Pāiyal. 74; Ovav.; Erz.; Kattig. 400,333 [*ni^o*]). Hc. 1,254 and Triv. 1,3,78 teach that *carāṇa* becomes *calāṇa* in the sense of “foot”, and that otherwise it remains. *carāṇa*. Bh. Mk. Pkl. have *calāṇa* without limitation. So *calāṇa* “foot” in M. AMg. JM. Ś. A. (Pāiyal. 109; G.H.R.²; Karp. 46,8;56,1;60,1; 62,8; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.³; Rśabhap.⁴; Mrcch. 41,4,12; Śak. 27,9; 62,6;84,14; Mālav. 34,12; Karp. 22,1⁵; Hc. 4,399). AMg. has *carāṇa* in the meaning “way of life” (Nāyādh.), A. in the meaning “foot of a metre” (Pingala 1,2.13.79.80 etc.), but also in the meaning “foot” (1,4a.22.85a. 116;2,186). In stead of *sakkāla=satkāra* (Hc. 1,254) only M. AMg. JM. Ś. *sakkāra* is to be pointed to (G.R.; Nāyādh.; Nirayāv.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Śak. 23,8;27,6; Mālav. 44,4;70,2;72,2). — On *īṅgāla* beside *āṅgāra* see § 102, on *kāhala* beside *kāra* see § 207, on *bhasala* see § 251.

1. The form conformably is *parijāla*, rather=*parivāra*, the meaning, however conformably is=*parivāra*. — 2. R. 6,7;8,28 is with the v.l. to be read as *calāṇa*, 6,8; 13,49 is to be corrected as *calāṇa*. — 3. Kappas. § 36 stands *carāṇa* behind *calāṇa* of the preceding line One corrects it to *calāṇa*. — 4. Rśabhap. 28 is with the ed Bombay to be read as *calāṇa*. — 5. Vīkr. 53,9; 72,19 in BOLLESEN has *carāṇa*, the v.l. excepted. The Dravidian recension, in the first place, does not have the word; in the second

place PĀṇḍit, with his MSS., reads *carāṇa* (127,1), however, PISCHEL *caṭāṇa* (658,18). It is to be corrected as *caṭāṇa*.

258. AMg. *tudiya* (Āyār. 2,11,14; Pañhāv. 513; Nāyādh. 870; Rāyap. 20.21.60.80; Nirayāv.; Ovav.; Kappas.) is not, with the commentators, JACOBI¹, E. MÜLLER², WARREN³ and LEUMANN⁴, to be equated as = *tūrya*, but is = * *tudita* = *tunna* from *tudaī* (Hc. 4,116) = *tudati* with cerebralization according to § 222. Cf. Skt. *tud*, *todi*, *todikā* (name of a musical mode), *todya*, *ātodya* (cymbal). — *kidi*, *bheda*, presumably = *kiri*, *bhera* (Hc. 1,251) are = Skt. *kili*, *bheda*⁵. AMg. *paṭājāṇa* (saddle; Hc. 1,252) is not with Hc. to be assumed as = *paryāṇa*, but as = * *pratyādāṇa* according to § 163; cf. Skt. *ādāṇa* (decoration of a saddle). For *ra* has entered *da* in AMg. JM. *kukhida* = *kukhāra* and *pīhaḍa* = *pīhara* (§ 239). — AMg. *kaṇavīra* = *karavīra* (Hc. 1,253; Pāiyāl. 146; Pannav. 526; Rāyap. 52 ff; Pañhāv. 194), *kaṇavīrajā* (Pannav. 527 ff.) is to be explained perhaps from * *kalavīra* or *kalavīra* according to § 260, if a synonym * *kanavīra* does not occur. M. has *karavīra* (G.), Mg. *kalavīla* (Mṛcch. 157,5). According to § 166.167 *kaṇera* (Hc. 1,168) too goes back to *kanavīra*. Hc. equates it as = *karnikāra*, and the MSS. ABCE, as well as Triv. 1,3,3 give *kaṇero*, according to which it has been so written in my edition too. But F and the Bombay edition read *kaṇero*, and in Marāṭhi, Gujarātī and Urdū *kaṇera* means "Oleander"; for Hin̄li BATE gives the meaning "Cæsarea Ovata", which is in no way correct. Since *karnikāra* may, according to § 287, form *kaṇāra* with a simple *na*, Hc has evidently interchanged the plants. JM. *kaṇeradatta* (E.z.) will, therefore, be = *karviradatta karavīra, karavīraka, karavīrya* are well known as proper names, but not *karnikāra*. It is phonetically impossible to refer *kaṇera* back to *karnikāra*⁶

1. Kalpasūtra s. v. — 2. Beiträge p. 28. — 3. Nirayāv., s. v. — 4. Aup. S. s. v. —

5. PISCHEL on Hc. 1,251. — 6. Jacobi, ZDMG. 47.578.

§ 259 For Skt. *kila* dialectial *kira* has been retained in M.JM.A. *kira* (Vr. 9,5; Hc. 2,186; Ki. 4,83; G.H.R.; Erz.: Piṅgala 1,60; Hc. 4,349). Beside it JM. has *kila* (Āv. 8,45; Erz.) and so always S. (Mṛcch. 2,24; Śak 21,4; 30,1; 116,7; 159,12; Vikr. 52,4; 72,18; 80,20 etc.). In places where in Indian editions occurs S. *kira*, as Pras. 46,7; 48,12; 101,3; 119,12, there is either a false reading or a case of interchange of dialects. M. *ira*, beside *kira*, (Vr. 9,5; Hc. 2,186; Ki. 4,83; G.; R.) is explained according to § 184, *hira* (Hc. 2,186) according to § 388. Otherwise *ra* for *la* is also only isolated and dialectical: S. *pharaa* = *phalaka* (shield; Desin. 6,82; Karp. 97,6); AMg. *saraduya* = *śalāṭuka* (Āyār. 2,1,8,6); *sāmari* = *śāmali* beside AMg. *sāmali* (§ 88.109).

§ 260: In the anlaut *la* has become *na*, *na* in *nāhala* = *lāhala* (Vr. 2,40; Hc. 1,256), beside *lāhala* (Hc. 1,256); *naigala*, AMg. *naingala* = *lāngala* (Hc. 1,256; Ki. 2,47; Mk. fol. 18; Pāiyāl. 121 [*nz*°]; Āyār. 2,4,2,11; Pañhāv. 234; Dasav. N. 646,10), beside *laingala* (Hc.; Mk.), *naingaliya* = *lāngalika* (Ovav.; Kappas.) AMg. *naingula* = *lāngula* (Mk. fol. 18; Jiv. 883 886,887), *gonaṅgula* (Vivāhap. 1048), *naṅgula* = *lāngula* (Hc. 1,256), *naṅguli* = *lāngulin* (Anuog. 349), *naṅgola* (Nāyādh. 502), *naṅgoli*- (Jiv. 345), *naṅgoliya* (Thān. 259); Jiv. 392 ([*nz*°]), beside M. *laṅgula* (Hc. 1,256); G.; *nohala* = *lohala* (Ki. 2,47; Mk. fol. 18), beside *lohala* (Mk.). It is noticed that the texts in AMg. mostly write, *na* in the anlaut. According to Mk. fol. 67 the sound change does not take place in S. Corresponding to Pāli *nalāṭa* beside *lalāṭa* Pkt. has *nalāḍa* (Hc. 2,123), M. AMg. with *i* according to § 103 *nilāḍa* (R.; Āyār. 1,1,2,5 [*ni*°]; Nāyādh. 1310. 1312; Pañhāv. 273 [*ni*°]; commentary *nidāla*]; Vivāgas. 90 [commentary *nidāla*]. 121,144.157.169) more frequently with transposition of the last

syllable according to § 354, M. *nādāla* (Hc. 1,257; 2,123; Ki. 2,117; Mk. fol. 38; G.); M.AMg.JM.S. *nīdāla* (AMg. JM. sometimes *ni^o*; Bh. 4,33; Hc. 1,257; H.R.; Karp. 48,6. Nāyādh. 754.790.823; Vivāhap. 237; Rāyap. 113; Jiv. 351.353; Pāñhāv. 162.285; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Ovav.; Āv. 12,27; Erz.; Bālar. 101,6; 259,8 [text^o *do^o*]; Candak. 87,8; Mallikām. 195,5); A. *nīdalā* (Piṅgala 2,98; text *nīlā*). For Š. the form is apparently incorrect. *lalāda* is made certain for Š. by the similarly sounding *lalāde*, *lādesara* (Bālar. 74,21). It occurs also in Bālar. 270,5; Venīs 60,5 [text^o *ta*; v.l. *nīdāla*, *nīdāla*, *nīdīla*]. False in AMg. *līlāda* (Rāyap. 165). Mk. fol. 38 teaches also *ladāla*. *nīdīla* is edited in S. in Pārvatipariṇaya 24,12 [ed. GLASER 23,31 has *nīdāla*; cf. v.l. to Venīs. 60,5]. The word has gone over to Skt. as *nītāla*, *nītāla*, *nītīla*¹. To *nādāla* belongs M. *nādāla* (found on the forehead; G. 29), to *nīdāla* belongs the dialectical *nēdāli* (a kind of head ornament; Deśin. 4,43). In the inlant *l* has become *m* in *jampāi=jalpati* and derivatives (§ 296).—In P. CP. *la* becomes *la* : in the inlant P. *kamāla*, *kūla*, *jāla*, *sakīla* *sīla* = *sīla* (Hc. 4,308); CP. *thūli* = *dhūli*; *pālaka*, *bālaka* = *bālaka*; *manīla*=*mandala*, *līlā*=*līlā*; *sailā*=*saila* (Hc. 4,325 – 327). So probably *ucchallanti* (Hc. 4,326) too is to be written. Cf. § 226.

i. According to JACOBI, KZ. 35,573 *nīdāla* cannot directly be identified with *lalāta* without more ado.

§ 261. In A. sometimes *va* becomes *vā¹*: *vā*=*eva* in the sense of *evam* (Hc. 4,376,1.418,1); *vāi*=*eva+api*, in the sense of *evam eva* (Hc. 4,332, 2,423, 2,441,1); *vāhīm* in the sense of *idānīm*=Vedic *evāih* (Hc. 4,387,3; 420,4); *kēvā* (Hc. 4,343,1.401,1); *kiḍā* (Hc. 4,401, 2,422,14) in the sense of *katham=***keva* (cf. § 149.434), *kēvāi* (Hc. 4,390.396,4)=*kathamapi*; *tēvā* (Hc. 4,343,1.397.401,4), *tīvā* (Hc. 4,344.367,4.376,2.395,1.397.422,2), in the sense of *tathā=***teva*, *tevāi* (Hc. 4,439,4); *jevā* (Hc. 4,397.401,4; Ki. 5,6 [so to be read], *jīvā* (Hc. 4,330,3.336.344.347.354.367,4.376,2.397 etc.; Kk. 272,37 [text *jīva*] in the sense of *yathā=***yeva*, **yīva* (§ 336); *jāvā*=*yāvat* (Hc. 4,395,3); *tāvā*=*tāvat* (Hc. 4,395,3). From *vā* a full-fledged *ma* has developed in A. *jāma*=*yāvat* (Hc. 4,387,2.406,1; Vētālap. p. 217, No. 13); *tāma*=*tāvat* (Hc. 4,406,1; Vētālap. p. 217, No. 13); *jāmāhi*, *tāmāhi*=*yāvadbhīh*, *tāvadbhīh* in the sense of *yāvat*, *tāvat* (Hc. 4,406,3; Erz. 86,17ff. [text^o *vā^o*]). The same line of development has to be assumed also for the other dialects, in which *va* is represented by *ma*: *ajjama*=*ārjava* (Triv. 1,3,105)²; *ohāmai* (excels; Hc. 4,25), *ohāmīya* (overcome; Pāiyāl. 187), beside *ohāvāi* (Hc. 4,160), *ohāia*=**ohāvia* (downcast; Deśin. 1,158)=**apabhāvāi*, *apabhāvīta³*; *gemesāi* beside *gavesāi*=*gavesati* (Hc. 4,189); *nīmī* beside *nīvī=nīvī* (Hc. 1,259); *numāi*, *nīmāi* from *vī* (§ 118); S. *damiла* (Mallikām. 296,14)=*dravida*, AMg. *damiłā* (Vivāhap. 792; Rāyap. 288), *damiłī* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.)=Pāli *damiḷī*=Skt. *dravīdī*, beside AMg. *davila* (Pāñhāv. 41), Š. *davida* (Mrcch. 103,6; Viddhaś. 75,2)=*dravida*, M. *daviđī*=*dravīdī* (Viddhaś. 24,12); AMg. JM. *vesamana*=*vaiśravaṇa* (§ 60). The same sound-change has taken place in the case of the secondary *va* in the passive *cimmaī* beside *civvai* from *cīv* and JM. *summaī* beside *suvvai* from *svap* (§ 536); further in AMg. *bhumā*=**bhruvā*=*bhrūh*, M. *bhumāă*, AMg. *bhumajā*, *bhumagā*, *bhamuhā*=**bhruvukā* (§ 124.206).—On the analogous change of *pa*, *ba* through *va* into *mā* see § 248.250, on *va* for *ma* see § 251.277, on *ga* for *va* see § 231, on *pa* for *va* see § 191.254 and on *va* for *ya* see § 254.

i. The MSS. almost always write *mva* for *ōa*; more seldom *va*, what perhaps is corrected close to *ōa*.—2. PISCHEL, BB. 76,94.—3. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākritica p. 14 f., who wrongly assumes *avabhū*. Cf. 286.

§ 262. The sibilants sometimes become *ha* dialectically, especially after long vowels and diphthongs. According to Vr. 2,44.45; C. 3,14;

Ki. 2,104.105; Mk. fol. 19 in M., in *daśan* and in the numerals connected with it *śa* becomes *ha* necessarily, and in proper names it so becomes optionally; according to Hc. 1,262 the transition to *ha* is optional also in the case of the pure numeral words, with which the texts are in agreement. M. *dasa* (R. [v.l. often *daha*]; Karp. 73,9; 87,1), *daha* (Karp. 12,7); *dasakāñdhara* (G. R.); *dasakantha*, *dahakantha* (R.); *dahamuha*, *daharaha*, *dāsarahi*, *dahavaṇa*, *dasānaya* (R.). *ha* occurs also in A. (Piṅgala 1,83 [so S. GOOLD SCHMIDT]. 123.125.156; 2,196); yet *dasa* (Vikr. 67,20). AMG. JM. have only *dasa* (§ 442). According to Mk. fol. 67 in S. *śa* in *daśan* and *caturaśan* may become either *sa* or *ha*; on the other hand *sa* must stand in the proper names, *ha* in the remaining tens, excluding 10 itself and 14. The forms that have been found are *dasa* (Karp. 72,3; Pras. 19,5) and *daha* (Ratn. 292,12); *dasakāñdhara* (Manāv. 118,3); *dasaradha* (Uttarar. 27,4 [^o*ha*]); Bālar. 152, 10 [^o*ha*]; Anarghar. 150,12 [^o*ha*], *dāsarahi* (Anarghar 157,10 [^o*hi*]), *dasamuha* (Mahāv. 22,20; Pras. 143,6; Bālar. 20,15), *dasānana* (Bālar. 57,2; 123,17; 125,10; 139,13), *dasakantha* (Bālar. 122,15; 143,17). Mg. D. have *daśa* only (Mr̄cch. 11,1.32,18; 38,17; 121,25; 122,19; 133,20; 134,13; Dh. Mr̄cch. 29,15; 30,1; 31,4; 32,3; 34,9.12.17; 35,7; 39,13), Mg. *daśakāñdhala* (Mr̄cch. 12,13). False is Mg. *daha* (Lalitav. 566,11). In the remaining tens M.A. have *ha*, the other dialects, *sa* (§ 443). *śa* has further become *ha* in M. S. *ēddhamēti=īdṛśamātra*, M. *ie' ddaha=tādṛśa*, *jē' ddaha=yādṛśa* (§ 122); A. *eha*, *keha*, *jeha*, *teha* beside *aīsa*, *kāīsa*, *jāīsa*, *taīsa=idṛśa*, *kīdṛśa*, *yādṛśa*, *tādṛśa* (§ 121.166); A. *sāha=śāśvat* (§ 64). According to Ki. 2,104 *palāśa* becomes *palāha*. A mention should be made of M.AMG. S. *palāśa* (G.H.; Kappas.; Mr̄cch. 127,21), Mg. *palāśa* (Mr̄cch. 127,24).

§ 263. *sa* has become *ha* in M. *dhanuhi=****dhanusa=īhanus* (Hc. 1,22; Karp. 38,11; Pras. 65,5), *dhanuho=dhanuṣah* (Bāl.-r. 113,17). — M. *paccūha=pratyūṣa*, in the meaning “morning sun” (Hc. 2,14; Deśin. 6,5; Pāiyāl. 4; H. 606 [so to be read with v.l. and the commentary], but M.AMG. JM. J.S. *paccūsa* in the meaning “morning twilight” [Hc. 2,14; Pāiyāl. 46; G.H.R.; Nāyādh.; Kapp.s.; Erz.; Kattig. 403.374 375; Śak. 29,7; Mallikām. 57,16; Viddhaś. 115,4]. — M. AMG. JM *pāhāna=pāśāna* (C. 3,14; Hc. 1,262; Ki. 2,104; Mk. fol. 19; G.H.; U ās.; Erz.), JM. *pāhānaga* (Erz.), beside *pāśāna* (Hc.; Mk.), as according to Mk. fol. 67 always in S. — AMG. *bihāna=bhīṣāṇa*, *bihānaga=bhīṣīṇaka*, M.J.M. *bihāi*, *bihei* beside M.S. *bhīṣāṇa=bhīṣāṇa* (§ 213.501). A. *eho*, *eha*, *ehu=eṣa*, *eṣā*, **eṣām=etad* (Hc. 4,362 and Word Index; Piṅgala 1,4 [in BOLLESEN on Vikr. p. 527]. 66,81; 2,64; Vikr. 55,16). — A. *akkhīni* from **akkhisi=****akṣiśmin=akṣṇi* (§ 312.379). — *chaha=****sāsa=sas* with crossing over to the *a*-declension (Piṅgala 1,95.96.97). So is explained *ha* also in M. AMG. JM. S. *sunhā*, M. *sōnhā* from P. *sunusā* for **sunuhā* (§ 148); *kāhāvana* (Vr. 3,39; Hc. 2,71; Ki. 2,71; Mk. fol. 25) from **kāśāvāṇa* (§ 87), *kārṣāpana*, also with shortening of *ā* of the first syllable (§ 82), *kāhāvana* (Hc. 2,71), AMG. *kūdakahāvana* (Uttar. 629); in future, as *kāhīmi*, *hohīmi*, *kāhāmi*, *kāhām*, *hohāmī=****karsyāmī*, **bhosyāmī* (§ 520 ff.); in aorist, like *kāhī* beside *kāśi* (§ 516). JACOBI¹, misled by the commentators, equates AMG. *vīha* (Āyār. 1,7,4,2) as = *vīṣa*. The word recurs in Āyār. 2,3,1,11,2,3,3,14,2,5,2,7 and there it is mostly assumed by the commentators as = *aṭavī*, therefore, means “forest” and probably is = *vīkha* “without heaven” = “where one does not see the sky.” Therefore, Āyār. 1,7,4,2 is to be translated as “for the ascetic it is better that he goes into the forest alone”. *vīṣa* in M. AMG. JM. S. becomes only *vīṣa* (G.H.R.; Uvās.; Nirāyāv.; Ovav.; Erz.; Ṛṣabhap.; Priyad. 51,1,8,

15.16; 53.14; Mudrār. 40.6; Mālav. 56.8; 65.10); Mg. *viśa* (Mṛcch. 136.17; 164.1; Mudār. 193.3; 194.6); JM. *nivvisa*=*nirviśa* (Sagara 6.2).
i. SBE. 22, p. 68.

§ 264. *sa* has become *ha* in : *nīharā* beside *nīsarā*=*nīhsarati* (Hc. 4.79). In *divasa* *sa* becomes *ha* compulsorily, according to Vr. 2.46, and optionally according to Hc. 1.263; Ki. 2.105; Mk. fol. 19; Prākṛta-māñjari in PISCHEL, De gr. Pāc. p. 14. M. has *dīasa*, *divasa* (G.R.) and *dīaha* (G. H.; Karp. 12.7; 23.7; 43.11 etc.); AMg. only *divasa* (Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Kappas.); JM. *divasa* (Erz.; Kk.), *dīyasa* (Prākṛtamāñjari l. c.), *dīyasa*_{jaya} (Pāiyal 4), *dīyaha* (Pāiyal. 157; Erz.), *anūdiyāham* (Kk.); J.S. *divaha* (Kattig. 402.364); Š. only *divasa*, *dīasa* (Mṛc. h. 68.4; Šak. 44.5; 53.9; 67.10; 121.6; 162.13; Vikr. 52.1; Mudrār. 184.5; Karp. 33.7; 103.3; 110.6), *anudīasām* (Šak. 51.5) against M. *anudīahām* (H.; Karp. 116.1 [^oha]); Mg. *dīaśa* (Šak. 114.9), falsely *dīaha* (Venīs. 33.5); A. *dīaha* (Hc. 4.388.418.4), *dīaha*_{da} (Hc. 4.333.387.2). — *dūhala* (unhappy; Desin. 5.43) be-ide *dūsala* (Desin. 5.43; Triv. 1.3.105=BB. 6.87)= *duhsara*. — M. JM. *sāhā*=* *sāsatī*¹ (Hc. 4.2; H.R.; Erz.). — AMg. JM.A. ^o *hattari*, AMg. ^o *hattarīm*= ^o *saptati*, as JM. *caūhattari* (74), AMg. *pañcahattari* (75), *sattahattarīm* (77), *añthahattarīm* (78), A. *ehatlari* (71), *chāhattari* (76); (§ 245.446). — In future, as *dāhīmī*, *dāhāmī*, *dāhāmī*= *dāsyāmī* (§ 520 ff.) and in aorist, as *thāhī* beside *thāsī* (§ 516); in the loc. sing. of the pronouns *ta-*, *ya-*, *ka-*: *tahīn*, *yahīn*, *kahīm* beside *tassīn*, *jassīn*, *kassīn* (§ 425.427.428) and in the loc. of nouns formed according thereto in Mg., as *kulāhīm*=*kule*; *pavahānāhīm*=*pravahane* and in A., as *antahī*=ante; *cittahī*=citte; *gharahī*=ghare; *sīsahī*=*sīsē* (§ 366); in the genitive plural formed likewise according to the pronominal declension with the ending *-sām* in Mg. and A., as Mg. *śāanāhā*=*vaganānām*, A. *taṇāhā*=*trṇānām*, *mukhāhā*=*muktānām*, *loṇāhā*=*locanayoh*, *sañgāhā*=*śakunānām* (§ 370), in the genitive, that are found according to Hc. 4.300 also in M., as *sariāhā*=*satitānām*, *kammāhā*=*karmānām*, *tāhā*=*teṣām*, *tumhāhā*=*yuṣmākam*, *amhāhā*=*asmākam* (§ 395.404.419.422); in the genitive sing. in Mg. in *āha* from *-āsa=-asya, as *kāmāha*=*kāmasya*; *calittāha*=*caritrasya*; *puttāha*=*putrasya*, and in A. in-*aha*, -*ahō*, as *kaṇāha*=*kanakasya*, *candālāha*=*candālasya*, *kāmahō*=*kāmasya*, *sesahō*=*śesasya* (§ 366); in A. in the 2. sing. active in -*hi* beside in -*si* as *nīsarāhi*=*nīhsarasi*; *ruahi*=Vedic *ruvasi*; *lahāsi*=*labhase* (§ 455). On *h*=*s* in consonant groups see § 312 ff.

i. P. GOLDSCHMIDT, Specimen p. 72; WEBER. ZDMG. 28.369.

§ 265. For *ṣa* in *ṣaṣṭi* (60) and *sa* in *saptati* (70) there occurs *va* too b side *cha*, *sa*, *ha* (§ 211.264) in conjunctions with units in AMg. JM.: *bāvāṭṭhīm* (62), *tevāṭṭhīm* (63), *caīvāṭṭhi*^o (64), *chāvāṭṭhīm* (+ 6), *bāvattarīm* (72), *tevattarīm* (73), *covattarīm* (74), *chāvattarīm* (76); (§ 446); AMg. *tinni* *tevāṭṭhīm* *pāvāduyāsayaīm* (363 adversaries); JM. *tīñhām* *tevāṭṭhānām* *nayarasayāñām* (of the 363 cities); (§ 447). The *va* has probably developed on the analogy of *va* in the numeral for 50, as *egāvāñām* (51), *bāvāñām* (52), *tevāñām* (53), *caīvāñām* (54), *panavāñām* (55), *satiāvāñām* (57), *añhāvāñām* (58), A. *bāvāñā* (52), *satiāvāñā* (57); (§ 273) in which it regularly stands (§ 199) for *pa* in * *pañcat* (§ 445). *añpāṭṭhīm* (59), *ainattarīm* (69); (§ 444), *pannāṭṭhīm* (65); (§ 446) stand for * *agunavāṭṭhīm*, * *agunaāṭṭhīm*, * *agunāṭṭhīm*; * *agunavattarīm*, * *agunaattarīm*, * *agunāttarīm*; * *pannavaṭṭhīm*, * *pannaāṭṭhīm*, * *pannāṭṭhīm*, *pannavaṭṭhīm* according to § 167.83. Spellings like *khaḍāṅgavī*=*sāḍāṅgavī* (WEBER, Bhag. 1.425), *khodasama*=*śodaśa* (Sūyag. 562 in the subscription), *hūkkhāī*=* *bhoṣyati* (§ 521) are Sanskritisms, with an erroneous writing of *kha* for *ṣa*, the sounds that in pronunciation have coalesced in Northern India at the present day.¹ On this is based the false reading

AMg. *pākhaṇḍa* (Thān. 583), Mg. *pāhanda=pāṣanda* (Prab. 48,1). The Madras (59,14) and the Bombay (103,2) editions correctly have *pāṣanda*, as also AMg. (Anuog. 356; Uvās.; Bhag.)² and JM. *pāṣandīya=pāṣandīka* (Kk.).

1. BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 1.261 f.; HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. § 19 p. 24; WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. § 118. — 2. Cf. WEBER, Bhag. 2,213, note 6; KERN, Jaartelling p.67 note; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 32 f.

§266. *ha* neither falls out, nor it is brought in to avoid hiatus. All the cases in which this has been assumed¹ rest partly on false readings and partly on false etymologies.² Where in the interior of a word, between vowels, there appears an aspirate for *h* of Skt. there we need not see “coarsening” of *h*³, but the old phonetic conditions.⁴ So S. Mg. Ā. *idha=ihā* (S. Mṛcch. 2,25; 4,14; 6,9; 9,10.12; 24,20; 51,24; 57,17; 69,6.15; etc.; Śak. 12,4; 20,3; 67,5; 115,5; 168,15; Vikr. 30,17; 48,4; Mg. Mṛcch. 37,10; 100,20; 113,17; 114,21; 123,21; 133,15.16; 164,10; Śak. 114,11; Ā. Mṛcch. 100,18). S. Mg. sometimes falsely have *ihā*, as S. (Mṛcch. 70,12; 72,13; Vikr. 21,12), *ihaloio* (Mṛcch. 4,1), Mg. (Mṛcch. 37,10 [beside *idha*!]; 122,12), readings, that are to be corrected.⁵ The rest of the dialects have *ihā*, also D. (Mṛcch. 101,13) and JŚ. *ihā* (Pav. 389,2), *ihaloga* (Pav. 387,25), *ihaparaloy'a* (Kattig. 402,365). From this is explained the fact that Hc. 4,268 permits in S. *ihā* beside *idha*(§21). For Dh., where *ida* was expected, examples are wanting. — *dīgha=dāḥa* (Hc. 1,264); cf. Skt. *nādīgha*. — AMg. *nibhelāṇa* beside *nihelāṇa*, M. *sibhara* beside *sihara* (§206). — AMg. *maghamaghanta*, *maghamaghēnta* beside M. *mahamahā*, JM. *mahamahiya* (§558). — AMg. *vēbhāra* (Vivāhap. 194.195; Uttar. 194 f.), *vēbbhāra* (Nāyādh.), *vibbhāra* (Nāyādh. 1032)=*vaihāra*, with Jainas also in Skt. *vaibhāra*⁶. The passive *vubbhāi=uhyate*, *dubbhāi=duhyate*, *libbhāi=lihyate* (§ 541.544) go back to the root-doublets **vabh*, **dubh*, **libh*. *bh* is related to the original *gh*, *gh*, as *v* is related to *k*, *g*, (230.231), that is to say here is a case of transformation of gutturals to labials. -*rubbhāi* from *rudh* (§ 546), also in the parasmaipada *rumbhai* in M. AMg. commonly (§ 507), is formed on the analogy of the roots in gutturals. — On *hammāi*=Pāli *ghammati* see § 188. Obscure is *bhimora=himora* (Hc. 2,174; Triv. 1,3,105=BB. 3,259), since a Skt. word *himora* is not known, and the meaning *bhimora* has not been handed down.

1. WEBER teaches dropping off, Hālāl p. 29; ² on the stanzas 4,410.584; WEBER, Hālāl p. 29; Bhag. 1,411, P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p. 47³; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Rāvaṇavaho s.v. *thāha*; LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *bhamuhā* teach insertion. — 2. PISCHEL, GGA. 1880 p. 333 f.; BB. 3,246 f.; 6,92 f.; cf. § 296. — 3. P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p. 469 ff. — 4. PISCHEL, BB. 6,91 f. — 5. PISCHEL, KB. 81137.

§267. After nasal vowels *h* can become *gh*, after nasals, the aspirates of the corresponding class of the nasals. In many cases the aspirates he as well might be older than *h*, as certainly in *samghāna* (body; Deśin. 8,14; Pāīyāl, 59; Triv. 1,3,105=BB. 3,255), AMg. *samghayana* (Jiv. 66; Vivāhap. 83,89; Uvās.; Ovav.)=**samghatana=samhanana*, AMg. *samghayāṇi* (Jiv. 66.87)=**samghatanī*, S. *samghadi=samhati* (Anarghar. 290,2). Other examples are: *samghāra=samhāra* (Hc. 1,264); *simgha=simha* (Hc. 1,264), beside M.AMG. JM.A. *sīha*, S. *simha*, Mg. *simha* (§ 76); falsely stands in S. *siṅgha* (Śak. 102,2 ed. BÖHTLINGK according to some MSS.), *virasiṅgha* (Karnas. 53,20), *siṅghala* (Mallikām. 88,21), M. *siṅghali=simhali* (Viddhaś. 24,11). AMg. *hāṁbho* (Āyār. 1,4,2,6; Sūyag. 579; Vivāhap. 254; Dasav. 640,27; Nāyādh. 740.761.767.769.1337; Uvās.; Nirāyāv.)=S. Mg. *hāṁho* (Viddhaś. 97,10; Mg. Mṛcch. 140,12; 141,1; 149,17; 163,2; 165,8; 167,2)=Skt. *hāṁho*. —

Behind nasals the aspirate stands for *h* in M.AMg. JM. *cindha* from **cinha* (§ 330) = *cihna* (Vr. 3,34; Hc. 2,50; Ki. 2,117 [text *cinnam*]; Mk. fol. 25; Pāīyal. 68,114; G.; Āyār. 2,15,18; Nāyādh. §64; p. 1318; Pañnav. 101,117; Vivāhap. 498; Pañhāv. 155,167; Ovav.; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Āv. 13,5; Dvār. 507,38), JM *cindhiyā=cihniita* (Āv. 27,1), dialectical *cindhāla* (delightful; most excellent; Desin. 3,22), M. in compounds *çindha* (G.), beside M. Š. Mg. A. *cinha* (Hc. 2,50; R.; Nāgān. 87,11; Mg. Mṛchh. 159,23; Nāgān. 67,6; A. Vikr. 58,11). According to Mk. fol. 68 Š. has only *cinha*. Bh. 1,12 teaches beside *cindha* also *cēndha* (§119). Further in AMg. JM.A. *bambha=brahman* (Jiv. 912; Sūy. g. 74; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; T. 5,15; Hc. 4,412); AMg. *bambha=brahman* (Uttar. 904,906; Dasav. N. 654,39), *bambha=brāhma* (Āyār. p. 125,34), femin. e *bambhi* (Vivāhap. 3; Pañnav. 62,63) : M. *bambhāṇḍa=brahmāṇḍa* (G.); AMg. *bambhaloja=brahmaloka* (Uttar. 1090; Vivāhap. 224,4-18; Ovav.); AMg. *bambhacāri* (Āyār. 2,1,9,1; Uttar. 164; Uvās.), AMg. JM. *bambhāyāri=brahmacārin* (Dasav. 618,34; 632,38; Uttar. 353. 487,917 f.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); AMg. A. *bambhacera=brahmacyara* (§ 176); AMg. JM. *bambhāṇa=brāhmaṇa* (§ 250); AMg. *bambhāṇaya=brāhmaṇyaka* (Ovav.; Kappas.) and others. The other dialects have only *bamha*, *bamhā* (§287,330). The same phonetic alteration has taken place in the secondary *h*, that originated from a sibilant (§ 262 ff.) in *āsamghā=**āsamhā=āśamsā (Desin. 1,63), mostly with a change of gender (§ 357)¹, M.Š. *āsamghā* (Triv. 1,3,105=BB. 3,250; G.R.; Šak. 160,14; Vikr. 11,2; Viddhaś. 42,7; Karīsav. 7,20), Š. *anāśamghā* (Mallikām. 93,9); M. *āsamghā=āśamsati* (Hc. 4,35; G.R.); *sāmghāi=sāmsati* (Hc. 4,2); AMg. *dhimkuna*, dialectical *dhāmkuna*, *dhērukuna=dāmkhuna* from *damś* (§ 107,212)². Beside AMg. JM.A. *simbha-* (Hc. 2,74; Pañhāv. 498; Erz.; Hc. 4,412), AMg. *sēmbha-* (WEBER, Bhag. 1,439), also femin. *sēmbhā* (Mk. fol. 25)=*sleśman* from **sēmha*, **simha*, AMg. *sēmbhiyā* (WEBER, Bhag. 1,415; 2,274,274), *simbhīyā* (Ovav.) = *ślāismika*, AMg. has also, with a secondary nasal vowel, *singhāna* from *sleśmāna-* (§ 403), **sēmhāna*, *simhāna*, **simhāna* (Āyār. 2,2,1,7 [so to be read with v.l.]; Thān. 483; Pañhāv. 505; Vivāhap. 164; Dasav. 631,3; Uttar. 734; Sūyag. 704; Ovav.; Kappas.; Bhag.). The word, as *singhāna*, *śringhāñika*, has been taken into Skt. A denominative therefrom is AMg. *singhānei* (Vivāhap. 112). A. has also *gimba=griṣma* (Hc. 4,412). On *kambhāra=kāśmira* see § 120, on *sepha=sleśman* see § 312, and on *bharai=smarati*, §313.

1. BOLLENSEN ON VIKR. 11,2 P. 196; CF. FISCHER, DE GR. PRÄCR. P. 5. F.; ON HC. 4,35; BB. 3,250.—2. CF. FISCHER, BB. 3,255,6,85 F.

II. CONJUNCT CONSONANTS.

§ 268. Conjunct consonants of different classes are either separated by a separation-vowel (§131-140) or assimilated. In the anlaut, with the exception of *nya*, *mha*, *lha*, and dialectically also of consonant+*ra*, only a simple consonant can stand: of the consonants assimilated in the inlaut only the second one enters into the anlaut. The anlaut of the second member of a compound is generally treated as inlaut (§ 196). M. *kaḍhāi=kvāthati*; *kīlāi=kridati*; *khandha=skandha*; *gaṇhi=granthi*; *jalāi=jvalati*; *thala=sitala*; *thāmatthāma=sthāmāsthāma* (G.); *dia=dviṣu*; *bhamāi=bhramati*; *ṇhāṇa=snāṇa*; *ṇhāvī=snāpīta*; *thasāi=hrasati*. — *mhi=asmi*, *mha=mah* can, as they are enclitic, be regarded as treated in the inlaut.¹ The grammarians permit a consonant+r in the anlaut and the inlaut (Vr. 3,4; Hc. 2,80; Mk. fol. 20): *doha*, *droha=droka* (Bh. 3,4),

daha, draha=hrada (§ 354; Hc. 2,80; Deśin. 8,14); *canda, candra* (Grr.); *rudda, rudra* (Bh.; Hc.); *inda, india* (Mk.); *bhadda, bhadra* (Hc.; Mk.); *sammudda, samudra* (Hc.). So there occurs M. *bodraha* (Pāīyāl. 62; cf. Deśin. 7,80) or *vodraha* (young; Hc. 2,80; Deśin. 7,80; H. 392)²; JM. *vandra* (crowd; Hc. 1,53; 2,79; Dśin. 7,32; Erz. 26,3) or *bandra, bundra*³. Frequent is consonant+r, which sometimes is secondary, in A. So in Hc. : *trām=tad*, more correctly=*tyad* (4,360); *dramma=δρακμη'* (4,422,4); *dravakka* (fruit; 4,422,4); *draha=hrada* (4,423,1); *drehī=** *dekhī=dṛṣṭi* (4,422,6; cf. § 66); *dhrum* in the sense of *yad, yasmād* (4,360. 438,1), in Ki. 5,49 *drum=tad, jrum=yad* and according to 5,69 peculiar to Vrācāda Apabhramśa; *dhruvu=dhruvam* (4,418; cf. Ki. 5,5, where *ghruva, ghu* has been edited); *prāṅgāna=prāṅgāna* (4,360.420,4); *pramāṇia pramāṇita* (4,422,1); *prāvādi=prajāpati* (4,404); *prassadi=paśyati* (4,393); *prāiva, prāvā prāv=prāyāḥ* (4,414); *pria=priya* (4,370.2.377.379,2; 398.401,6.417); *bruuahu=brūta; bōppi, bōppiṇu=** *brūtvā* (4,391; also Ki. 5,58); *bhrantri=bhrānti* (4,360); *vratta=vrata* (4,394); *vrāsa=vyāsa* (4,399; Ki. 5,5). In Ki. besides those mentioned above also *bhāśa=bhāṣya* (5,5). In the inlaut: *antradi=antra* (Hc. 4,445,3); *bhrantri=bhrānti* (4,360); *putra* (Ki. 5,2); perhaps also in *jatru, tatrū=yatra, tatā* (Hc. 4,404), and in *etrula, ketrula, jetrula, tetrula=iyat, kiyat, yāvat, tāvat* (Hc. 4,435), where the MSS. waver between *tta* and *tra*. Ki. 5,50 has in the edition *yadru, tadru=yatra, tatra*. — In Mg. A. there occur dialectically in the anlaut also *yca, yja* (§ 217).

1. The relevant paragraphs supply the references. — 2. So according to Hc. 2,80. The MSS., according to WEBER on H.392 and IS. 16,140 f., do not have r.—3. PISCHEL on Hc. 1,53.

§ 269. In the inlaut, of conjunct consonants, there can stand only: 1) the consonant doubled and with the aspirate of its own class; 2) the composite sounds *nha, mha, lha*; 3) dialectically consonant+r (§ 268); 4) nasal+consonant of its class. The MSS. mostly write *anusvāra* in place of the nasal: the grammarians vary. According to Ki. 2,121; Mk. 1. 34 *m* comes in for *n, ñ*, according to Vr. 4,14, for *n, ñ* before a consonant¹: *vāñcania=vāñcanīya; viñjha=vindhya; pañti=panktih; mantri=mantrin*. According to Hc. 1,1 *ñ, ñ* can remain before consonants of their own class; according to 1,25, on the other hand, *ñ, ñ, n, n* must become *m* before consonants; according to 1,30, however, they may remain; yet according to Hc. some grammarians require them necessarily. From Deśin. 1,26 it is seen that *airimpa*, not *airimpā*, was written, and Deśin. 1,18 makes it probable that *andhandhu*, and not *amdhāndhu* should be read.² The examples of the grammarians partly relate to words in which the nasal in conformity with the phonetic laws of Pkt. goes out of its class, and in them *m* must be written.³ So: Š. *avarāmmuha=aparāmmukha* (Vikr. 44,9); AMg. *chañmāsiya=sanmāsika* (Āyār. 2,1,2,1); M.A. *chañmuha=sañmukha* (§ 441); M.S. *dimmuha=dīmukha* (Karp. 39,3; Viddhaś. 34,11; Lat. kam. 4,3); M. *dimnoha=dīmoha* (H. 866); M. JM S. *parāmmuha=parāmmukha* (G.H.R.; Erz.; Šak. 75,15; Mahāv. 34,12; Bhārt̄harin. 22,13); M. AMg. JM. S. A. *pañti=pankti* (R.; Karp. 47,12; 101,1; Jiv. 446.512; Panhāv. 520; Rāyap. 143; Vivāhap. 1325; Ovav.; Kappas.; Āv. 36,36; Bālar. 49,2; Karp. 37,9; Piñgala 1,10), M.S. *vāñti* (H.; Mṛcch. 69,1), AMg. *pañtīñā=pankukā* (Āyār. 2,3,3,2; 2,11,5; Anuog. 386; Thān. 94; Vivāhap. 361; Pannav. 80.84.85); AMg. *bañjha=bandhya* (Sūyag. 460 [text *vā°*]), *abañjha* (Sūyag. 600 [text *ava°*]); M. AMg. JM. S. *viñjha=vindhya* (G.H.R.; Mṛcch. 41,16; Vivāhap. 1189.1274.1287; Erz.; Rukminip. 48,3); Š. *viñjhakēdu* (Priyad. 14,6; 52,6); M. JM. S. *sañjhā=sandhyā* (G.H.R.; Erz.; Karp. 67,4). In support of this are the rules,

like Vr. 3,43=Hc.2,61; Ki.2.98; Mk.fol.25 too, where for *nma* the change into *mma* is especially prescribed again. Whether an exception should, however, be generally made for nasal+nasal, and, therefore, even *parammuha*, *chammāsija* should be written, remains uncertain. 5) In Mg., in the inlaut too, are found *śca*, *yyha*, *sthā*, *śka*, *śkha*, *ska*, *skha*, *śta*, *śtā*, *sta*, *spa*, *śpha*, *hka* (§ 233.236.271.290.301 ff. 331).

1. On the misunderstanding of this rule by Bhāmaha see COWELL on Vr. p. 137, note 2.—2. FISCHER, Deśin, Introduction p. 8 f. — 3. FISCHER on Hc. 1,25.

§ 270. Of the conjunct mutes of different classes the first one is assimilated to the second (Vr. 3,1.50; C. 3,3.24; Hc. 2,77.89; Ki. 2,49.108; Mk. fol. 19.26). 1) *k+t* becomes *tt*: M. *āsattha*=*āsakta* (G.H.); *jutta*=*yukta* (H.R.); *bhatti*=*bhakti* (G.H.); *mōtiia*=*mauktika* (G.H.R.). Likewise the other dialects¹. *mukka* beside rare *mutta*=*mukta* is derived from **mukna*, as *ragga* beside *ratta*=*rakta* goes back to **ragṇa* (§ 566). *sakka*, which Hc. 2,2 equates *as*=*śakta*, is everywhere=*śakya* (Ki. 2,1)². *nakkañcara* (Hc. 1,177) is not=*naktañcara*, which must become *nattāñcara*, but goes back to **nakkā* from **nakā'* (§ 194.355)=Vedic *nak*³.—2) *k+th* becomes *th*: JM. *rittha*=*riktha* (Pāiyāl. 49; Erz.; Kk.); AMg. *siththa*=*siktha* (Hc. 2,77; Ovav.; Kappas.); *sīthaa*=*sikthaka* (Bh. 3,1; Pāiyāl. 228).—3) *k+p* becomes *pp*; M. *vappairāā*=*vākpātrāja* (G.)—4) *g+dh* becomes *ddh*: M. *duddha*=*dugdha* (G.H.); M. *muddha*=*mugdha* (G.H.R.); M. *ṇiddha* (H.R.), *ṣiṇiddha*=*snigdha* (G.).—5) *g+bh* becomes *bbh*: M. *pabbhāra*=*prāghbhāra* (G.R.)⁴.—6) *t+k* becomes *kk*: AMg. *chakka*=*śatka* (§ 451); AMg. *chakkatthaga*=*śatkāśthoka* (Nāyādh.).—7) *t+c* becomes *cc*: AMg. *chacca*=*śatca*; *chaccarana*=*śatcarāṇa* (§ 441).—8) *t+t* becomes *tt*: AMg. *chattala*=*sattala*; *chattisām*, *chaitisā*=*sattrimśat* (§ 441).—9) *t+p* becomes *pp*: M. *chappaa*=JM. *chappāya*=*śatpāda*; AMg. *chappānaṁ*, A. *chappāna*=**śatpānat* (56; § 441.445).—10) *t+ph* becomes *pph*: *katpala*=*kaṭphala* (Hc. 2,77).—11) *d+g* becomes *gg*: M. *khagga*=*khadga* (G.H.R.); M. *chagguna*=*śadguna*, S. *chaggunaa*=*śadgunāka* (§ 441).—12) *d+j* becomes *jj*: AMg. *chajjiva*=*śadjiva* (Āyār. 1,1,7,7); *sajja*=*śadja* (Hc. 2,77).—13) *d+d* becomes *dd*: AMg. *chaddisām*=*saddisām* (§ 441).—14) *d+bh* becomes *bbh*: AMg. *chabbhāya*, ⁵ga=*śadbhāga* (§ 441); S. *chabbhua*=*śadbhūja* (Cait. 42,7).—15) *d+v* becomes *vv*: AMg. JM.A. *chavvīam*=*śidvīṁśati* (§ 441).—16) *t+k* becomes *kk*: M. *ukkanthā*=*ukkāntha* (G.H.); AMg. *ukkanliyā*=*utkalikā* (Ovav.); S. *balakkāra*=*balātkāra* (Mṛcch. 13.22; 17.23; 23,23.25; Śak 137,3), Mg. *balakkāla* (Mṛcch. 140.15; 146 17; 158,22; 162,20; 173,12).—17) *t+kh* becomes *kkh*: M. *ukkhaa*, JM. *ukkhaya*=*uikkhāta* (80).—18) *t+p* becomes *pp*: M. *uppala*=*utphala* (G.H.R.); AMg. *tappadhumāyā*=*iatprathamatā* (Ovav.; Kappas.); M. *sappurisa*=*śatpuruṣa* (G.H.).—19) *t+ph* becomes *pph*: M. *upphullā*=*utphulla* (H.R.); M. Mg. *upphāla*=*utphāla* (R.; Mṛcch. 99,10).—20) *d+g* becomes *gg*: M. *uggama*=*udgama* (G.H.R.); M. S. *mōggara*=*mudgara*: AMg. JS. *pōgala*=*puḍgala* (§ 125).—21) *d+gh* becomes *ghh*: M. *ugghāa*=*udghāta* (G.H.R.); M. *ugghutthā*=*udghuṣṭa* (R.).—22) *d+b* becomes *bb*: M. *bubbua*=*budbuda* (G.); S. *ubbandhia*=*ubbandhyā* (§ 513).—23) *d+bh* becomes *bbh*: M. *ubbhada*=*udbhāṭ* (G.R.); M. *ubbheā*=*udbheda* (G.H.R.); M. *sabbhāva*=*sadbhāva* (G.H.R.).—24) *p+t* becomes *tt*: M. *ukkhitta*=*utkṣipta* (G.H.R.); M. *pajjaita*=*paryāpta* (G.H.R.); M. *sutta*=*supta* (H.).—25) *b+j* becomes *ji*: M. AMg. JM.S. *khujja*=*kubja* (§ 206).—26) *b+d* becomes *dd*: *adda*=*abda* (Hc. 2,79); M. *sadda*=*śabda* (G.H.R.).—27) *b+dh* becomes *ddh*: *āraddha*=*ārabdha* (R.); M. *laddha*=*labdha* (G.H.R.); lō⁶ddha= *lubdhaka* (§ 1255).

1. Cf. § 184, note 1. — 2. BOLLENSEN on Vikr. 12,120; FISCHER on Hc. 2,2.

Cf. 279.—3. Skt. *naksatra* “star”, “constellation” too should be equated as= **nakkṣatra* “ruling over the night”. The customary explanations on account of **naktatra* (AUFRECHT, KZ. 8,71; cf. WEBER, Naxatra 2,268) or from *Vnakṣ* (GRASSMANN, Wörterbuch s.v.) are in any case perverse. — 4. So according to the usual interpretation. ZACHARIAE (Beiträge zur indischen Lexicographie p. 60 ff.) sees probably rightly in *prāghbhāra* a false back formation of *pabbhāra*. He would like to derive *pabbhāra*, that is frequent in AMg. also (e.g. Uttar. 1034; Anuog. 416; Vivāhap. 248.920; Thān. 135.297; Ovav.; Nirayāv.) and is found also in JM. (Kk.) and S. (Anarghar, 149,10), from **prabhāra*. The common meaning “crowd” points, however, rather to **prabhāra* (JACOBI, Kk., s.v.) with duplication according to §196.

§271. Conjunct mutes of the same class, except in the cases mentioned in §333, undergo a change only dialectically. In Mg. *tt* becomes *st̥* (Hc. 4,290); *pasta*=*paṭṭa*; *bhaṭṭālikā*=*bhaṭṭārikā*; *bhasiṇi*=*bhattini*. STENZLER, in Mrcch., writes *śt̥* for *tt*: *bhaṭṭaka*=*bhaṭṭaka* (10,5;16,18;22,3,5;114,16; 118,8.12.22;119,9;122,10;124.12 ff.; 125,1,3,8.24;132,11.15.18); *bhaṭṭālaa*=*bhaṭṭāraka* (22,5;32,4;112,18;119,13;121,12;154,9;164,12;165,1,5;176,4); *pīṭadu*=**pīṭadu*=*pīṭayatu* (125,8). GODABOLE, as often in other cases also, has followed him. The manuscripts almost always have *bhaṭṭaka*, *bhaṭṭaka*, *bhaṭṭhaka*, *bhaṭṭālaka*, *bhaṭṭālaka*[^{lāa}], only some of the MSS. have ^o*śt̥* in 10,5;22,3,5;32,4;119,13;124,24;132,11. For *pīṭadu* all have *pīṭadu* or *vīṭadu*, likewise *aṭṭhāśasṭa* (168,21), for which we should read *ast̥a* according to Hc. The Calcutta editions, have ^o*tt̥* throughout, likewise all the editions at Sak. 114,12;116,11;118,4; Prab. 32,8.10.11.12; Candak. 60,12 etc. In Mrcch. *śt̥* can be a dialectical variant for *st̥*, as *śk* beside *hk*=*kṣ*. But elsewhere according to Hc. *st̥* should be written for *tt̥*. Cf. §290. — *kṛtī* (skin) becomes *kicci* according to Hc. 2,12. In the text we find only *katti* (Pāiyāl. 110; G.H.) and M. *kitti* (H.). At H. 951 the MS. W has the v.l. ^o*kaccī* for ^o*kattī*; in Dhvanyāloka at 128,6 the edition reads ^o*kattī a* and so also Kāvyaprakāśa 329,10 the edition and the best manuscripts. *kacci* and *kicci* presuppose Skt. **kṛtyā*=*kartyā* (scil. *tvak*) “what is to be cut off (skin)”. Cf. AMg. *vigīñcāi*=**vikṛntyati* (§485). On Mg. *śca* for *ccha* see §233.

1. *pīṭhadu* in GODBOLE p. 345, note 9 is a printing error. — 2. ASCOLI, Kritische Studien p. 233 note; SENART, Piyadasi 1,29 f.; 2,418 ff.; JOHANSSON, Shāhbāzgarhi 2,18 note 1. wrongly equate *st̥* as= *tt̥*. Cf. PISCHEL, GGA. 1881,1319 f.

§ 272. If the first of two conjunct consonants is a nasal, the sound-group remains unchanged as a rule, when the nasal precedes: M. *anika* (G.H.R.); M. Š. *saṅkhala*=*śrṅkhala* (§213); M. *sīṅga*=*śrṅga* (G.H.); M. *jaṅghā* (G.); M. *kōñca*=*krauñca* (G.); M. *lañchana*=*lāñchana* (G.H.R.); M. *mañjari* (G.H.); M. *kantha* (G.H.R.); M. *khandā* (G.H.R.); M. *anta* (G.H.R.); M. *manihara* (G.H.R.); *maaranda*=*makaranda* (H.R.); M. *bandha* (G.H.R.); M. *jambū* (G.H.). If the nasal quits its own class, it becomes *ṁ* (§269).

§273. Dialectically *ñca* becomes *nna* in *pañcadaśan* and *pañcāśat* (Vr. 3,44; Hc. 2,43; Ki. 2,66; Mk. fol. 25). So: *pañcaraha* (15; Grr.; A. Piṅgala 1,112.114); AMg. JM. *pannarasa* (^o*nna*) (Hc. 3,123; Kappas.; Bhag.; Erz. p. XLI), *pañcarasi* (Kappas.); *paññāśa* (50; Vr. 3,44; Hc. 2,43; Mk. fol. 26; Kappas.); AMg. JM. also *paññāśam* (Ki. 2,66; Thān. 266; Bhag.; Erz.), also *paññā* (C. 3,32), in the remaining fifties shortened to ^o*paññām*, ^o*vannām*: *ekkāvannām* (ed. *ekā*^o; 51; Samav. 112); *bāvannām* (52); *tevannām* (53); *cauvannām* (54); *pañcavannām* (55); *chappannām* (56); *satiāvannām* (57); *aṭṭhāvannām* (58) (WEBER, Bhagavatī 1,426; Samav. 113-117; Erz. p. XLI)

aūnāpannam (49; Ovav. §163); *panapannaīma* (55th; Kappas.); A. *bāvanna* (52), *sattāvannāī* (57) (Pingala 1,87.51). So also AMg. *pannatthi* (65; Kappas.) and *pannattari* (75; Samav. 133). Before 20—60, in JM. AMg. *panna* is mostly weakened to *pana*: *panavisam* (25); *panatisam* (35); *panayālīsam* (45); *panavannam* (55) and *panavannā* (C. 3,33; [so to be read; see v.l.]; Hc. 2,174; Deśin. 6,27; Triv. 1,3,105=BB. 3,245 beside *pañcāvannā*); *panasatthim* (WEBER, Bhagavati 1,425; Samav. 72-123; Erz. p. XLI). So also AMg. *panapannaīma* (55th; Kappas.) and A. *chappana* (56; Pingala 1,96). Corresponding to Pāli *panuvisati*, *panuvisam* (25) stands AMg. *panuvisāhi* (Instr.; Āyār. p. 137,25), AMg. *panuvisam* (Rāyap. 114 f.; Jiv. 673; Jiyak. 19,20); JM. *panuvisā* (Āv. 48,13), of which *u* is to be explained according to §104. Pāli too has *pannarasa*, *pannarasi*, *pannarasa*, *panñasa* beside *paññasa*. E. KUHN has conjectured (KZ. 33,478), that “*ññ* should be explained as arising from a dissimilation of *c* and *ś*, and accordingly it goes to back to a time, when *ś* had not yet gone over into the dental sibilant, but in its pronunciation was still distinctly connected to *c*.” That is not possible for for *nna*. Pañjābi and Sindhi *pāñjāb*, P. °*vāñjā*, S. °*vāñjāha* (HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. 259) point to the transition from *ñca* to *ñja*, *ñya*, *nya*. Cf. Pāli *āñā=āñā*, *āñāpeti=āñāpayati* and § 274.276.282.283. In A. the nasal has vanished in *pacisa* (25) and *pacālīsahī* (45; instr.). See §445. On AMg. *āñṭaṇa* supposed to be = *ākuñcana* see §232.

§ 274. According to Hc. 4,293; Sr. fol. 62; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālamīkāra 2,12 in Mg. *ñja* becomes *ñña*: *aññali=añjalī*; *dhaññāa=dhanamjaya*; *paññala=prañjala*. According to this *ja*, as in the anlaut (236), has become *ya*. In Mṛcch. 19,6 stands *añjalim*.

§ 275. According to Hc. 4,261.302; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālamīkāra 2,12; Amaracandra, Kāvyakalpalatāvṛtti p. 9 in Ś. and Mg. *nta* can become *nda*. The examples of the grammarians are: Ś. *andeura=antahpura*; *ñiccinda=niścinta*; *mahanda=mahat*; Mg. *mahanda-*; in addition to the assumptive Ś. *randūṇa=ratvā* (Hc. 4,271) and in Trivikrama 3,2,1 *saññdale=sakuntale*. Inscriptionally *nda* occurs throughout for *nta* in Lalitav. in Mg. : *payyamde=paryante* (565,7); *avayyamdađā=aparyantatā* (565,12); *peśkiyyamdi=preksyante* (565,13); *puścamde, ni[liškam]-de=prēchan nirikṣamānah* (565,20); *vaññamdassa=vrajataḥ* (566,7), whilst in Ś. *nta* exclusively remains: *vilojjamti=vilokyante* (554,21); *pekkhijamti=preksyante* (554,22); *vuttāñtī...suñyāñtī=vittāñtāḥ...śrūyante* (555,2); *huvamti=bhavanti* (555,5); *peramtesu=paryanteṣu* (555,11), *desamtarā=desāntara* (560,19) etc. HOEFER¹ and LASSEN² have already collected numerous examples, from older texts, that have partly vanished in the recent editions, such as *bhakkhandi*, for which STENZLER, Mṛcch. 69,3, with his manuscripts, reads *bhakkhanti=bhakṣayanti*, *sandāvā*, for which stands *samtāpa* (Mṛcch. 78,8; Śak. 55,1;68,1; Ratn. 298,10;229,10), etc. In Prab. the editions also of Pūṇā (P.), Bombay (Bb.) and Madras (M.), like that of BROCKHAUS (B.) frequently have *nda*, even in words other than in BROCKHAUS, as 39,2 B. Bb. *ramandī*, M.P. *ramañdī*, 9 B. *sambhāvaandi*, M. P. *sainhāvaamdi*, Bb. *sambhāvayamdi*, but 4 B. *citthanti*, M. *citthandi*, P. *citthandi* Bb. *tussanti*, while all 7 read *nti* in B. *padichanti*, Bb.M. *padicchanti*, P. *padichanti*. The same oscillation occurs there often and so also in many of the editions published by Indians. Thus Sh. P. PANDIT writes Mālav. 27,2 *oleantī*, 3 *antare*, but 5 *uvañrāñdaram* (BOLLENSEN 6,9 correctly °*ntaram*); 6,1 *pañcaraitabhbhandare* (BOLLENSEN 34,13 °*ntare*), but 5 *āantawām* etc.; TĀRĀKUMĀRA CAKRĀVARTI, Uttarar. 59,5;69,10;77,4;89,11 *vāsandī=vāsantī*; TELANG, Mudrār. 36,4 *jāṇandi*, but 38,2 *jāṇantām*; 39,4 *sahandī*,

but 7 *nivedianti* [sic]; {DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB, Unmattarāghava 3,2,5; 7,4 *dīsandī*, but 5,4 *disanti*=*dṛśyante*; 7,4 *an̄nesandī*=*anvesantī*, but 5,4 *sambhamantā*=*sambhramantah*; Mukund. 13,2 *kim di*=*kim iti*; 13,18 *andareṇa*=*antareṇa*; 17,14 *sandi*=*śānti*; 21,12 *akkando*=*ākrāntah*. Frequent is the writing in both the editions of Pārvatip., as *nirandayān cindāulam*(2,15,16), *vāsandī* (9,3), *vāsandīā* (9,15), *ahilasandi* (24,16;28,4) and others. LASSEN was inclined to see therein a peculiarity of Ś³. But *nda* is found also in Mg. as well as in M. too, e.g. *jānandā* v.l. for *jānāntā* (H. 821); *kim denā* (H. 905); *bhanandi* (Pārvatip. 28,2); *ramandi*=**ramānti*, *ujjhando*=*ujjhantah*, *rajjandi*=*rajyante* (Mukund. 5,2,23,2). Hc. 2,180 teaches that *hamdi* is used to express astonishment, regret, certainty, reality and doubt, and 2,181 teaches that *hamda* is used in the sense “take !”, “take care”. *hamda* is=*handa*=Skt. *hanta*. The example given by Hc. is H. 200, where the MSS. read *gēnhaha*, *gīnhaha*, *maṁda*, the Jaina recension R. has *hr̄ṇdi* and Bhuvanapāla (IS. 16,70 on strophe 145) reads *hamta*. AMg. has *hamda* *ha* or *hamda ham* (Āyār. 2,1,10,6.11,1.2; Thān. 354); elsewhere, however, M.AMg. JM.S. *hanta*, AMg. also *hantā* (G.; Āyār. 2,5,2,4; Nāyādh. 1332; Vivāgas. 16; Uvās.; Bhag.; Ovav.; Kk.; Vikr. 31,7). AMg. *hamdi* (Sūyag. 151; Dasav. 624,26 [*handihandihandihamti* (Kk.), that is=*ham iti*. Cf. § 185 and AMg. *hambho* §267. The examples in Hc., with the exception of *handa*, are found in the Telugu recension, and like the doubled setting of the aspirates (§193), the writing of *nd* for *nt* is to be traced back to the Dravidians, who pronounce *nt* as *nd*. Hence *nd* is found frequently especially in Dravidian MSS. and in the MSS. which go back to the Dravidians. Sometimes the Dravidian MSS. write *ntta* for *nta* in Skt. too, e.g. *śakunttalād⁴*, to ensure the pronunciation *nt* thereby, and so in Pkt. too the South Indian PG. writes *m̄hāntte* (7,43) for *māhāntte*=*māhatah* (acc. plur.)⁵, as also old Pkt. MSS. are fond of doubling *t* after *m*⁶. Especially frequently is found *sāṁdāvā* in M. (H. 817; Appendix 994) and S. (Mālatim. 79,1;81,2;219,1; Uttarār. 6,1; 92,9;163,5; Nāgān. 87,12; Viddhas. 81,4; Priyad. 4,7;22,12;2+,7;25,13; Mallikām. 218,10,223,6;330,17; Rukminīp. 27,6.11;33 13), *sāṁdāvedi* (Priyad. 20,7; Mukund. 73,3 [so to be read]), *sāṁdāvida* (Mālatim. 79,1). Sak. too has *sāṁdāvā* at 55,1 in most of the manuscripts, at 68,1, in two of them at 127,7, most of them have *sāṁdāvedi*. Since M. possesses a verb *dāvāi*=*tāpayati* (Sak. 55,16 with note 1 at p. 184; cf. also §201, note 1), one might derive *sāṁdāpa* from it. But in M. *sāṁtāvā* is the most accredited form, and for S. the only correct one. Dialectically the same phonetic change has occurred in *oandaī*=*apakṛntati* (§485), and *vihum̄duā*=*vihum̄tuda* (Desin. 7,65; Triv. 1,3,105=BB. 3,252).

i. De Prakrita dialecto p. 54.—2. Inst. p. 238;378 note.—3. Inst. p. 238.—4. PISCHEL, GN. 1873,211 f.; KB. 8,130 f.; Vikramorvaśya p. 615.—5. PISCHEL, GN. 1895,210.—6. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 29, 494, note 1; Rāvaṇavaho p. xi.

§ 276. If the nasal stands in the second place, *n*, *n* become assimilated to the preceding consonant: M *aggi*=*agni* (G.H.R.); M AMg. JM. S. *uvvigga*=*udvigna* (G.H.R.; Uvās.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 150,16; 151,2). *uvvinna*, that is equated by Hc. 2,79 as=*udvigna* is rather=**udvr̄nna* from Vedic *vr̄d*, **vr̄d* with *ud*. An original *r* is pointed to by *vun̄na* (timid; freightened; Hc.4,421; Desin. 7,94; Pāiyāl. 76) and *uvvun̄na* (frightened; Desin. 1, 123); JM. *nagga* = *nagna* (Erz.); M. *rugga* = *rugna* (G.); M. *viggha* = *vighna* (R.); AMg. *śayagghī*=*sataughī* (Uttar. 285; Ovav.); *suruggha*=*srughna* (Hc. 2,113); AMg. *patti*=*patnī*

(Uttar. 363.422); M. *savatta*=*sapatna* (G.R.), M. JM.Ś. *savattī*=*sapatnī* (H.; Āv. 28,9; Anarghar. 287,1; Venis. 12,6), Ś. *nīsavatta*=*niḥasapatna* (Mṛcch. 5,1), M. *paatta*=*pravatna* (H.); AMg. *pappoi*, JS. *pappodi*=*prāpnoti* (§504). See 566. The sound-group *jñā*, as a rule, becomes *ṇṇā*, in the anlaut *na* (Vr. 3,44; Hc. 2,42; Ki. 2,102; Mk. fol. 25): M. *ahijññā*=*abhiññā* (R.); M. *janna*=*yajñā* (H.); *pāṇḍā*=*prajñā* (Hc.2,42); M. *sāṇḍā*=*samjñā* (R.); M.AMg. JM. *āṇā*=*āññā*, AMg. JM. *ānavei*, Ś.Mg. *āñavedi*=*āññāpayati* (§ 88); M. *najjaī*, AMg. JM *najjai*=*jñāyate* (§548), AMg. *nāna*=*jñāna* (Āyār. 1,6,1,6). Hc. 2,83 permits also *ajjā*=*āññā*; *pajjā*=*prajñā*; *samjñā*=*samjñā*; *jñāna*=*jñāna*, and beside *ṇṇā*, *ṇṇu* (§105) also *jja*, when *jñā* forms the second member of a compound: *appānnu*, *appjja*=*ātmajñā*, *ahijnu*, *ahijja*=*abhiññā*, *ingiaṇṇu*, *ingiajja*=*in̄gitajñā*; *daivannu*, *daivajja*=*daivajñā*; *manoṇṇu*, *manojjja*=*manojñā*; *savannu*, *savvajja*=*sarvajñā*, but only *vīṇṇāna*=*vijñāna*. According to Vr. 3,5; Ki. 2,52; Mk. fol. 20 in M. only *jja* is used in words of the type of *sarvajñā*: *sahajja*, *ahijja*, *ingiajja*, *sujjī*=*sujñā*, in Ś. on the other hand, according to Vr. 12,8 only *savvanna*, *vīṇidajña*, according to 12,7 in *vijñā* and *yajñā* optionally *ñja*, according to Ki. 5,76 *ahijjo*, and *ahiñco* optionally, according to 5,77 *paliñcā*=*pratijñā*. The correct reading in Vr. Ki. is wholly doubtful; presumably *jja* and *ṇṇā* should be permitted. The forms found in the texts are Ś. *anahinna*=*anabhiññā* (Śak. 106,6; Mudrār. 59,1); *janna*=*yajñā* (Śak. 142,3; Mālav. 70,15); *painñā* (§220). In AMg., beside *ṇṇu*, *nnu* (§105), *ṇṇa*, *nna* also are suitable for use: *samanunna*=*samanujñā* (Āyār. 1,1,1,5); *khejanna*=*khedajñā* (Āyār. 1,1,4,2; 1,2,3,6; 1,2,5,3; 1,2,6,5; 1,3,1,3,4; 1,4,1,2; 1,5,6,3; Sūyag. 234 [“da”]. 304.565); *mājanna*=*mātrajñā* (Āyār. 1,2,5,3; 1,7,3,2; 1,8,1,19; Dasav. 623,15; Uttar. 51); *kālanna*, *balanna*, *khanayanna*, *khaṇanna*, *vīṇijyanna*, *samayanna*, *bhāvanna* (Āyār. 1,2,5,3; 1,7,3,2); *meyanna* (Uttar. 508); *panna*=*prajñā* (Uttar. 33); *āsupanna*=*āśuprajñā* (Uttar. 181); *mahāpanna* (Uttar. 200); *maṇunna*, *amaṇunna*=*manojñā*, *amanujñā* (Āyār. 2,1,10,2,11,2; 2,4,2,6; p. 136,7 ff.; Sūyag. 390; Ovav. 53,87), but Ś. *manojjja* (Mallikām. 195,5). So also AMg. *janna*=*yajñā* (Uttar. 742), *jannai*=*yajñākṛi* (Ovav.). In Mg. *jñā* becomes *ñña* (H. 4, 293); *avaññā*=*avajñā*; *paññāvisāla*=*prajñāviśāla*; *savvaññā*=*sarvajñā*. Vr. Ki. Mk. do not have this rule, and the MSS write only *nna*. So: *janna*=*yajñā* (Mṛcch. 171,11); *jannāsenī*=*yajñāsenī* (Venis. 34,13); for which, according to Hc., should be written *yañña*, *yaññāsenī*; *paññāda*=*pratijñātā* (Venis. 35,13); *vinñāda*=*vijñāta* (Mṛcch. 37,21), *vinñāvia*=*vijñāpya* (Mṛcch. 138,25; 139,1) etc. The rule accredits *vaññādi*=**vrajñātī* (§ 448), which the copyists did not change, as they did not recognize it. — In P. too *jñā* becomes *ñña* (Hc. 4,303; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālankāra 2,12): *paññā*=*prajñā*; *saññā*=*samjñā*; *savvaññā*=*sarvajñā*; *ñña*=*jñāna*; *viññāna*=*vijñāna*; *yañña*=*yajñā*; *raññā*, *rañño*=*rājñā*, *rājñāḥ* (Hc. 4,304) beside *rāciñā*, *rāciño* (§237.399). In Vr. 10,9.12 the MSS. give *ñja*, *jja*, which will simply be an error for *ñña*.

§ 277. If the nasal standing behind a stop is *ma*, the sound-groups are differently treated. As a rule *gma* becomes *gga*: M.AMg. *jugga*=*yugma* (Bh. 3,2; Hc. 2,62; Ki. 2,51; Mk. fol. 19; Vivāhap. 275.362); *tigga*=*tigma* (Hc. 2,62); *vaggi*=*vāgmin* (Bh. 3,2); probably also *dōgga*=*yugma* (§215). Dialectically, however, it becomes *mma* as well: AMg. *jumma*=*yugma* (Hc. 6,62; Vivāhap. 1391 ff.; 1666 ff.; Thān. 275; Samav. 138); *timma*=*tigma* (Hc. 2,62). — *kma* becomes *ppa* Vr. 3,49; Hc. 2,52; Ki. 2,63; Mk. fol. 26); *rūppa*=*rukma* (Bh. 3,49; Ki. 2,63); AMg. *rūppi*=*rukmin*, by Hc. 2,52 equated as =*rucmin* (Samav. 114.117.139.144.157.160; Thān. 75; Nāyādh. 781 ff.; Rāyāp. 177); AMg. JM.Ś. *rūppiñi*=*rukmini*

(Antag. 3,43; Nāyādh. 529; Nirayāv. 79; Pañhāv. 292; Dvār. 497,31 ff.; 502,34;505,34; Pracandap. 18,15; Mālatīm. 266,4 [so to be read]; Nāgān. 51,8 [v.l. =ed. Jibananda Vidyasagara 49,7, where, however, *rukkini*]. — According to Hc. 2,52 *kutmala* forms in Pkt. *kumpala*, as also Pāiyāl. 54 has. Since beside it is found also *kuñcala* (Deśin. 2,36; Pāiyāl. 54), that cannot go back to *kutmala* or *kudmala*, *kumpala* also becomes a dialectical form, that is to be added beside *kutmala*. At Mk. fol. 26 the manuscript has *kuppala*. — *ātman* becomes almost always in M., always in A. *appa-* (Vr. 3,48; Ki. 2,63; G.H.R.)¹, very rarely M. *atta-* in *attano* (G. 63,96; Karp. 82,2). The other dialects oscillates (Hc. 2,51; Mk. fol. 26). AMg. JM. have *appa-* and *atta-* beside one another even in compounds, as AMg. *ajjhappa-*=*adhyātman* (Āyār. 1,5,4,5; Pañhāv. 437); AMg. JM. *attaya*=*ātmaja* (Vivāhap. 795; Erz.), AMg. *attayā*=*ātmajā* (Nāyādh. 727.1228.1232); AMg. has also *āya*- for **āta*-, corresponding to JS. *āda*- (§88); by the side of it JS. has *appa-*; in S. Mg. only in the nomin. sing. *appa-* is frequent; in the other cases almost only *atta-*, accus. *attānaam*; Dh. has *appa-* (§401). The form occurring in the Girnār inscriptions, *āpta-*, which is not to be read² *ātpa-* with ASCOLI³ and SENART⁴, shows that *appa-*, by the route *ātma-*, **ātva-* (§251.312), **ātpa*, *āpta*, has arisen by transposition of the stops, whilst *atta* is the regular continuation of *ātman*⁵. In *kma=ppa* must be added a transition step : *rukma*, **rutma=ruppa*. — *dna* becomes *mna* : *chamma=chadma* (Hc. 2,112) beside the usual form *chaūma* (§139); *pōmna=padma* (§166.195) beside *paūma* (§139).

1. At H. 201 in place of *attano*, as also the Bombay edition has, should be read *appano* with S., likewise at G. go with the best manuscript J. In M. probably *appano*, should be read *attano* everywhere. — 2. BHAGVANLĀL INDRAJĪ, IA. 10,105; PISCHEL, GGA. 1881, p. 1317 f.; BÜHLER, ZDMG. 37,89. — 3. Kritische Studien p. 197, note 10. — 4. Piyadasi, 1,26 ff. — 5. PISCHEL, GGA. 1881, p. 1318.

§ 278. If nasals of different classes meet together, then *n̄ma*, *ṇma* become *m̄ma* (§269), *nma* becomes *m̄ma* (Vr. 3,43; Hc. 2,61; Ki. 2,98; Mk. fol. 25), *mna* becomes *ṇṇa*, AMg. JM. JS. also *nna* (Vr. 3,44; Hc. 2,42; Mk. fol. 25): M. *ummoha=unmukha* (G.R.), *ummūla=unmūla* (H.), *ummūlaṇa=unmūlana* (R.), *jamma=janman* (H.R.); *mammāna=manmanna* (Hc. 2,42); M. JM.A. *vammaha=manmatha* (§ 251); M. *ṇṇna=nimna* (Hc. 2,42; G.), *ṇṇnaā=nimnagā* (G.), AMg. *ṇṇna* (Vivāhap. 1244), *isimṇṇnāyāra=isannimmatara* (Vivāhap. 239), *ninnagā* (Pañhāv. 440), M.S. *pajjuṇṇa=pradyumna* (Bh. 3,44; Hc. 2,42; Ratn. 295,26;296,5,17). In *dhr̄ṣṭdyumna*, according to Hc. 2,94 *mna* becomes *na* : *dhat̄thajjuna* S. has *dhat̄thajjuna* (Pracandap. 8,19), Mg. *dhat̄thajjuna* (Venīs. 35,19), for which should be read *dhat̄thayyuna*. If *dhat̄thajjuna* is not purely metri causa, it is perhaps to be equated to **dhr̄ṣṭarjuna*, as there occurs the synonym *arjuna* for *dyumna*.

§ 279. When a stop or nasal collides with a semi-vowel, as a rule the semi-vowel is assimilated, provided a separation-vowel is not inserted (§131-140). If the two semi-vowels collide together, usually the second is assimilated to the first. 1). One of the sounds is *ya* (Vr. 3,2; C. 3,2; Hc. 2,78; Ki. 2,51; Mk. fol. 19): *kya=kka*: S. *cānakka=cānakya* (Mudrār. 53,8 ff.); *pārakka=pārakya* (Hc. 1,44;2,148); AMg. *vakka=vākya* (Hc. 2,174); Sūyag. 838.841.842.844; Uttar. 674.752; Dasav. 636,10,16; Dasav. N. 644,21;649,26;658,29,31;659,22,33); S. *sakka=sākya* (Š.k 73,11;155,8; Vikr. 10,13;12,20;18,16;22,14;40,7). — *khya=kkha*: M. *akkhāṇaa=ākhyāṇaka* (H.); AMg. *akkhāi=ākhyāti* (§491);

S. *vakkhaṇaissam*=*vyākhyānayisyāmi=vyākhyāsyē (Viddhaś.63,3, Rukminīp. 19,3); M. AMg. JM. JŚ. S. A.; *sōkkha*=*saukhya* (§61^a). On AMg. āghāvēi see §88.551.— *gya=gga*: M; *jo'gga*=*yogya* (G.H.R.), AMg. JM. *veragga*=*vairāgya* (Ovav.; Erz.); M. *sohagga*=*saubhāgya* (G.H.R.).— *cyā=cca*: AMg. *cuya*=*cyuta* (Āyār. 1,1,1,3; Kappas.); M. *muccai*=*mucyate* (G.); AMg. *vuccai*, S.Mg. *vuccadi*=*ucyate* (§544).— *jya=jja*: M. *jujjai*=*yujyate* (H.), *bhujjanta*=*bhujyamāna* (G.); *rajj̄i=rājya* (H.R.).— *t̄ya=t̄t̄i*: *naṭṭua*=*nātyaka* (Mṛcch.70,3); M. *tuttai* (Hc. 4,116), M.A. *tutṭai* (§292)=*truṭyatī*; M. *lōṭṭai*=*lutyati* (Hc. 4,146; Karp. 39,3).— *dya=dda*: *kudda*=*kudya* (Hc. 2,78; H.); AMg. *piddai*=*pīdyate* (Āyār. 1,2,5,4).— *dhya=dha*=*ādhyā* (G. ; Sūyag. 957; Uvās.; Ovav.; Nirāyāv.); AMg. JM. *vejyaddha*=*vaitādhyā* (§60).— *pya=ppa*: AMg. *appege*, *appegāiyā*=**apyekatyāh*=Pāli *appekacce* (§174); M. *kuppai*=*kupyati* (H.G.), *suppall*=*supyatām* (H.).— *bhya=bbha*: M. *abbhantara*=*abhyantara* (G.H.R.); S. Mg. *abbhuवावन्ना*=*abhyupapanna* (§163); AMg. JM. *ibbha*=*ibhya* (Thān.414.526; Panhāv. 319; Nāyādh. 547.1231; Vivāgas. 82; Ovav.; Erz.). On *d* for *jy* see §215.

§ 280. In conjunction with dentals *y* is assimilated only after it has palatalized the preceding dental. Thus *t̄ya b̄* comes = *cca* (Vr. 3,27; Hc. 2,13; Ki. 2,32; Mk. fol. 23), *thyā=ccha* (Vr. 3,27; Hc. 2,21; Ki. 2,92; Mk. fol. 23), *dya=jja* (Vr. 3,27; Hc. 2,24; Ki. 2,22; Mk. fol. 23), *dhya=jjhā* (Vr. 3,28; Hc. 2,26; Ki. 2,87; Mk. fol. 23).— *t̄ya=cca*: M. AMg. JM. JŚ. S. *accanta*=*atyanta* (§163); M. *ṇaccāi*=*nṛtyati* (Vr. 8,47; Hc. 4,225; H.); M. *dōcca*=*dautya* (H.); AMg. *vejyāvaca*=*vaiyāpṛtya* (Ovav.); M. *sacca satya* (G.H.).— *thyā=ccha*: M.Ś. *nevaccha*, AMg. JM. *nevaccha*=*nepathyā*¹ (G.R. ; Vkr. 75,14; Ratn. 309,16 [°t̄tha] ; Mālatīm. 206,7;234,3 [both the times °t̄tha]; Pras.41,7; Mālav.33,18;36,3;38,3;73,17;74,17 [throughout °t̄tha]; Priyad. 27,18;28,1,4; Viddhaś. 30,8;120,11 [both the times °t̄tha]; Rukminīp. 37,15;41,11 [°cca]; 42,5;43,5,9; Āyār. 2,15,18 [°t̄tha]; Vivāgas. 234; Panhāv. 240.459 [both the times °t̄tha]; Thān. 238 [°t̄tha]; Nāyādh. §117 [°t̄tha]; Ovav.; Āv. 27,17; Erz.), AMg. JM. also *nevacchiya* (Vivāgas. 111; Panhāv. 196 [both the texts °t̄hiya]; Āv. 28,5)=**nepathyita*; JM. *nevachchētiā* (absol.; Āv. 26,27); AMg. *paccha*=*pathya* (Grr.; Kaopas.); M.Ś. *racchā*=*rathyā* (G.H. ; Mṛcch. 2,20; Karp. 20,4;30,7).— *dya=jja*: PG. *ajātaye*=*adyatvāya* (§253); M. *ajja*=*adya* (G.H.R.); M. *ujjāna*=*udyāna* (G.R.), *chijjai*=*chidyate* (R.), *vijjijjoa*=*vidyuddyota* (G. 907); M. JM. S. *vējjā*=*vaidya* (§60).— *dhya=jjhā*: M.Ś. *uvajjhāa*, AMg. JM. *uvajjhā'a*=*upādhyāya* (§155); M. *majjha*=*madhya* (G.H.R.); M. AMg. JM. S. *vinjha*=*vindhya* (§269); M. JM. S. *sanjhā*=*sandhyā* (§269). In Mg. *dya* becomes *yya* corresponding to §236 (Hc. 4,292; Ki. 5,90; Nāmisādhu on Rudrata, Kāvylālamkāra 2,12): *ayya*=*adya*; *avayya*=*avadya*; *mayȳi*=*madya*; *viyyāhala*=*vidyādhara*. Corresponding to this *dhya* must become *yyha*, therefore, e.g. *madhyāṁdina* becomes *mayyhaṇna* (§148.214.236). The MSS. write throughout *jja*, *jjha*, as in the rest of the dialects; so also Latitav. 566,11 *yujjha*=**yudhya*=*yuddha*=M. AMg. JM. S. A. *jujjha* (G.H. ; Bālar. 180,5; Nāyādh. 1311.1313; Erz. ; Lalitav. 568,4; Bālar. 246,5; Jivān. 86,10; Hc. 4,386). The separation-vowel *i* does not hinder palatalization: AMg. *cijattā* from **tiyakta*=*tyakta* (Thān. 528 [text *bi'*] ; Kappas. §117; cf. §134), absol. *ciccā*, *cēccā*, *ciccāna* *cēccāna*=**tiyakvā*, **tiuktvā*, **tiiktvā*=*tyakvā* (§587), quite like *cājāi*=*tyajati* (Hc. 4,86; Uttar. 902; Dasav. 638,18), *cayānli*=*tyajanti* (Āyār. 1,4,3,1,1,6,1,2; Sūyag. 100 [*ci'*]. 174), *cae*=*tyajet* (Āyār. 1,5,4,5), *cayāhi*=*tyaja* (Āyār. 1,6,1,5), *caissanii*=*tyaksyanti*

Sūyag. 361), *catta*=*tyakta* (Āyār. 2,15,23,24), JM. *cāī*=*tyāgī* (KJ; 5). AMg. *jhiyāī*=*dhyātī*, as M. *jhāī* (§479).

i. As the text shows, not only the Jaina-MSS., which steadily interchange *ccha* and *ttha*, but also the MSS. of the dramas particularly very often write *ttha* in this word. The v.l. has throughout the only correct form *navaccha*.

§ 281. D. *dakkhinattā*=*dāksinātāyāh* (Mrcch. 103,5) forms an exception to §280. See §26. Further AMg. *ghatta* (Sūyag. 964), *aghatta* (Sūyag. 969,983), provided these cases, with the scholiasts, are to be equated as =*ghātya*, *aghātya*. Yet *ghatta* may also be equated as =*ghātā* according to §90, with a change of gender according to §357, which is more probable. Other cases too are simply apparent exceptions. *caitī* (Hc. 1,151;2,13; Mk. fol. 23) is not =*cātiya*¹, *bu*=*caitra*, in the sense of *cūtiya* (B-R. s.v. *caitra*). — In M. *pattiā*, AMg. JM. *pattiyāi*, Š. Mg. *pattiāādi* (§487) =*pratiyātī* and AMg. *putteya*=*pratiyēka* (Hc. 2,210; Āyār. 1,1,6,2;1,2,1,5; Sūyag.28,783; Jiv. 44,47,436,478 ff.; Pañnav. 30,32,35,40; Rāyap. 68,124,126,134,139,152 ff.; Nāyādh. § 42; p. 1268; Ovav.; Kappas.), *pattiyabuddha*=*pratiyekabuddha* (Nandis. 245; Pañnav. 19) *patti* =**parati*, **parti* with separation-vowel is from *prati* (§132). *prati* and **parti* may, therefore, be compared with *πρωτί* and *πορτί*². AMg. *vattiyam* (Ovav.) is not, with LEUMANN³, to be equated as =*pratyayam*, but as =*vṛttikam*. On AMg. *paducca*, *paduppanna* etc. see §163.— AMg. JS. *tacca* (Hc. 2,21; Uvās.; Kappas.; Kattig. 400,324) is not with Hc. and the commentary to be equated as =*tathya*, but with WEBER⁴ and HOERNLE⁵ as =*tattva*, more correctly through the intermediate step **tātīya* (§299). *tathya* with the separation-vowel becomes in AMg. *tahīya*=**tathīya*; it sometimes stands beside *tacca*, as *taccañām* *tahījāñām* (Nāyādh. 1006; Uvās. §85), *tacehīñ* *tahiehīñ* (Uvās. §220,259). — *sāmattha* beside *sāmaccha* (Hc. 2,22) is not =*sāmarthya*, but presupposes a **sāmartha*. — M. *kutthasi*, *kutthasu*=*kvathyase*, *kvathyasva* (H. 401) is a false reading (cf. the v.l.) for *kaḍḍhasi*, *kaḍḍhasu* passive of *kaḍḍhāī*=*kvathātī* (§221).

1. With Hc., WEBER, ZDMG. 28,403; on Hāla² 216. — 2. PISCHEL on Hc. 2,210. Cf. HOERNLE, Uvās. s.v. *pattiya*. Deviating BOLLENSEN on Vikr. p. 331 f.; WEBER on Hāla² 216; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 64. — 3. Aup. S. s.v. — 4. Bhag. I,398, note 2. — 5. Uvās. Translation p. 127, note 281.

§ 282. *y* is assimilated to a nasal: *nya*, *nya* become *nṇa*; in AMg. JM. JS.: it is written also *nna*; in Mg. (Hc. 4,293; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvym-ākāra 2,12), P.CP. (Hc. 4,305) they become *nṇa*. So M. *dahkhnna*=*dākṣinya* (G.H.R.), *puṇṇa*=*punya* (H.R.); AMg. *hiranṇa*=*hiranya* (Āyār. 1,2,3,3; 2,15,10,12,17,18; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.; Erz.), Mg. *sahilanna*=*sahiranya* (Mrcch. 21,9); AMg. *pinnāga*=*pinyāka* (Āyār. 2,1,8,8; Sūyag. 926,928,931; Dasav. 623,7), *panna*=*panya* (Sūyag. 921); M.S. Mg. *ānna*, AMg. JM. *anna*=*anya*; M. *ṇāsa*=*nyāsa* (H.), *vinṇāsa*=*vinyāsa* (G.); M.S. *manne*=*manye* (§457); M.S. *sēṇna*=*sainya* (G.R.); Adbhutad. 56,6,19). — Mg. *abamhaññu*=*abrahmanyā*; *puñña*=*punya*; *ahimāññu*=*abhimanyu* (cf. § 283); *aññadiśām*=*anyadiśām*; *kaññakā*=*kanyakā*; *śāmaññā*=*sāmānya*; (Hc.); Nāmisādhu). The MSS. of the dramas have only *ṇṇa*. — P. *puñña*=*punya*; *abhimāññu*=*abhimanyu*; *kaññakā*=*kanyakā* (Hc.). According to Vr. 10,10 in P. *karyā* becomes *kañjā*, according to 12,7 in Š. *bhākmanyā* becomes *bamhanṇa* or *bamhāñca*, *karyā* becomes *kannā* or *kañcā*. The reading in Vr. and Ki. is very doubtful. In Š. we find in the texts *bamhanṇa* (Mrcch. 89,12), *abbamhanṇa*=*abrāhmanyā* (Šak. 142,8,14; Vikr. 84,13; Karnas. 10,3;33,10); *kannād* (Šak. 30,3;71,3 [so to be read]; 134,8; Mālatīm. 73,8;80,1 [so to be read]; 223,1;243,1 [so to be read]; Mudrār. 40,6 [so to be read]; Ratn. 299,6; Nāgān. 10,14 [*kā*]; 11,1,10)

etc.); in Mg. Mudrār. 193,3;194,6 [so to be read]. -*mya* becomes *mma*, after a long vowel *ma*; M. *kilammai*, Š. *kilammadi*=*klāmyati* (§136); M. *tāmaī*=*tāmyati* (H.), Š. *uttamma*=*uttāmya* (Sak. 19,8), *uttamnia*=*uttamya* (Sak. 56,9); *sōmma*, AMg. JM. *soma*=*saumya* (61^a); Š. *kāmāe*=*kāmyayā* (Mr̄cch. 49,14).

§ 283. *abhimanyu* becomes, according to Vr. 3,17; Ki. 2,70; Mk. fol. 21, *ahimajju*, according to Hc. 2,25 *ahimajju*, *ahimañju*, *ahimanju*. Š. has *ahimanju* (Mk. fol. 68; Venīs. 64,16, likewise Mg. Venīs. 34,12), for which *ahimañju* should stand according to §282. Beside M. Š. *mannu* (H.R.; Venīs. 9,19;11,15;12,1;61,22) according to Hc. 2,44 for *manyu* is used also *mantu*. This appears several times in the Telugu recension of Hāla as a v.l. for *manju*¹. According to Pāiyāl. 165 *mantu* means "bashfulness", "unpleasantness", the meanings that in Deśin. 6,141 are evidently given to the connected word *mantakkha*. Skt. too has *mantu*², which according to the form, is compared with *kantu* (love; Deśin. 2,1).

1. WEBER on Hāla 683.—2. BÜHLER, Pāiyāl. s.v.

§ 284. *yya* becomes *jja* (Vr. 3,17; Hc. 2,24; Ki. 2,70; Mk. fol. 21): M. AMg. JM. *sejjā*=*śayyā* (§101), in Mg. P. CP. *yya* (§252). — *rya* becomes in all the dialects, other than Mg., *jja* (Vr. 3,1; C. 3,15; Hc. 2,24; Ki. 2,89; Mk. fol. 21): M. *ajja*=*ārya* (G.), *ajjā*=*āryā* (H.), *kajja*=*kārya* (G.H.) *majjāā*=*maryādā* (H.R.). In S. Mg. according to Hc. 4,266.302 *rya* can become *jja* or *yya*: Š. *ayyaūtta* *fayyākulikada* *mhi*=*āryaputra* *paryākulikṛtāsmi*; *suyya*=*sūrya*; beside *ajja*=*ārya*; *pajjāula*=*paryākula*; *kajjāparavasa*=*kāryāparavasa*; Mg. *ayya*=*ārya*. The writing *yya* is found only sometimes in the South Indian MSS. Mostly they write, however, in place of the ligature a small circle: *aoa*=*ārya*; *paoavatḥihāvehi*=*paryavasthāpaya*; *suoya*=*sūrya*, an orthographic device which may either permit of a choice between the pronunciations *jja* and *yya*¹ or express an intermediate sound between the two sound-groups. The circle, as rightly remarked by MÜLLER², has the same value as the peculiar ligature of the Jaina MSS. which WEBER³ wished to read as *yya*, which, however, with JACOBI⁴, and E. MÜLLER⁵, is read as *jja*. Probably it betokens a sound intermediate between both. Hc.'s rule will, therefore, be explained by the pronunciation of the Jainas. The MSS. of the dramas write in both the dialects *jja*. Right is *jja* for Š., *yya* for Mg. which are prescribed by Vr. 11,7 : *kayya*=*kārya*, and is offered by Lalitav.: *payyamde*=*paryante* (565,7), *avayyamidādā*=*aparyantatā* (565,12). Instead of *jja* there occurs after *i*, *ū*, except the sound-group, which arise through the partition vowel, *ria*, *riya* (§134), also *ra*, therefore, elision of *y* according to §87 (Vr. 3,18.19; Hc. 2,63; Ki. 2,79; Mk. fol. 22): M. *gambhīra*=*gāmbhīrya* (R.); M. AMg. JM. Š.A. *tūra*=*tūrya* (Grr.; G.H.R.; Āyār. p. 128,32; Erz.; Vikr. 56,5; Mahāv. 121,7; Venīs. 23,11;64,2;73,16; Bālar. 147,18;200,10; Pingala 1,15); M. *sodīra*=*śauñrya* (Mk.; R.), Š. *sodīrattāna* (Karp. 30,7), *sōñdīra*=*śaundīrya* (Hc.; Mallikām. 146,6), *sōñdīradā* (Mr̄cch. 54,4;74,12). So especially in the passive, as *jīrāi*=*jīryate*, M. JM. *tīrāi*, *tīrae*=*tīryate*, M. JM. *hīrāi*=*hriyate* (§537), M. AMg. JM. *kīrāi*=*kriyate* (§547)⁶. The word *sūra*, Mg. *sūla* frequent in all the dialects, is to be derived with Hc. 2,64 from *sūra*. In P., necessarily according to Vr. 10,8, sometimes according to Hc. 4,314, the partition-vowel comes in *bhārīā*=*bhāryā*. Hc. has also *sujja*=*sūrya*, for which **suyya* was expected, and *kīrāi* for **kīryate*=*kriyate* (4,316). — *kaceā* (business; Deśin. 2,2) is not=*kārya*, but=*kītya*.

1. PISCHEL, GN. 1873, p. 208; Monatsberichte der Kgl. Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin 1875, p. 615 f. — 2. Beiträge p. 12. — 3. Bhag. 1,388 f. — 4. Kalpasūtra p. 18 note 1. — 5. Beiträge p. 12 f. — 6. Falsely JACOBI, KZ, 28,250.

§ 285. Like simple *ra* (§256.257), sometimes even *r*, that is in conjunction with *y*, is changed into *l*, to which *y* is assimilated: JM. *pallāra=paryāṇa* (Hc. 2,68; Ki. 2,80; Mk. fol. 20; Erz.), whilst AMg. *padājāṇa* is=*pratyādāna* (§258); *soamalla=saukumārya* (Vr. 3,21; Hc. 2,68; Ki. 2,80; Mk. fol. 22; cf. §123). M. *pallanka* (Vr. 3,21; C. 3,22; Ki. 2,80; Mk. fol. 22; G.; Karp. 36,3) can, like AMg. *palyāṇka* (§257) with He. 2,68, be derived from Skt. *palyāṇka*, if it itself is not a Prākritic bye-from of *paryāṇka*. In *pallaṭṭa* (Hc. 2,68), Š. *pallattha* (Vr. 3,21; Hc. 2,68; Ki. 2,80; Mk. fol. 22; Bālar. 243,11; Venīs. 60,10; 65,13; Mallikām. 26,18; 57,9; 125,6; 135,16; 195,3; Rukminīp. 29,8), M. AMg. Š. *palhattha* (Hc. 4,258; Triv. 3,1,132; G.R. s.v. *as*; Kappas.; Mṛccch. 41,20; Mālatim. 118,3; 260,5), M. *vivalhattha*, Š. *vipalhattha* (Uttarar. 63,13 [text °*nhī*°]; 92,10 [text °*nha*°]) and their denominatives *pallaṭṭai*, *palhatthai* (Hc. 4,26,200; G.R. s.v. *as*), AMg. *palhatthiya* (Pāiyāl. 201; Vivāhap. 282,284; Nāyādh. 1326; Uttar. 29), which are derived by the grammarians, scholiasts and all the moderners¹ from *as* (to throw) with *pari*, two roots are to be referred to. *pallaṭṭa* and *pallattha* are=*paryasta* (§308), *palhattha*, on the contrary=**prahlasta* is from *hlas=hras* with *pra*; cf. *nirhrasta*, *nirhrasita*. M. *palhattharāṇa* (R. 11,108) is a false reading for *paccattharāṇa*, as CK have=**pratyāstarāṇa*; cf. *pratyāstāra* (carpet).

1. WEBER, Bhag. 1,409, note 2; P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p. 521; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 45,64; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Rāvaṇavaho s.v. 2 *as*; Sh. P. PANĀT, Gaūdavaho s.v. *as*; JACOBI, Kalpasūtra s.v. *palhattha*; JOHANSSON, KZ. 32,454 f.; HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. §137-143.

§ 286. *lya* becomes *lla* : M. *kalla=kalya* (G.H.); M. *kullāhi tullā=kulyābhis tulyāḥ* (Karp. 44,6); M. AMg. J.S. Š. *mulla*, AMg. JM. *molla=mūlyā* (§83.127).— *vya* becomes *vva* : M. *vavasā=vyavasāya* (G. R.), *vāha=vyādha* (G. H.), *kavva=kāvya* (G.H.R.); the participia necess. in *-tavya*, as AMg. JM. *hojāvva*, Š. Mg. *hodavva*, J.S. Š. *bhavidavva*=*bhavitavya* (§570). AMg. *pittijja* (Kappas.) is not=*pitṛvja*¹, but=**pitriya*. AMg. *pūha* (Nāyādh. §18; p.331,353,845; Ovav.) is not=*vyūha*², but=**hyūha* for **apvūha* from *ūh* with *api* (§142). *pp* in some passive, which P. GOLDSCHMIDT³ and S. GOLDSCHMIDT⁴ wish to explain from *vY*, which WEBER⁵ earlier regarded as erroneously written *yy*, JACOBI⁶ and according to him JOHANSSON⁷ wished to derive from false analogy, has regularly arisen from *py*, M. JM. AMg. A. *gheppai* is=**ghṛpyate* for **grbhycate=grhyate* (§212,548). JM. *ādhappai* (Hc. 4,254; Āv. 12,21) beside *ādhavai* (Hc. 4,254) and M. *vidhappai* (Hc. 4,251; R.) beside *vidhavijai* (Hc. 4,251) are regular passive from *ādhava* (Hc. 4,155; Ki. 4,46) and *vidhavai* (Hc. 4,108), the causatives from *dhā* (§553) with cerebralization according to §223. The past passive participle M. AMg. JM. *ādhatta*, M. *samāḍhatta* M. JM. Š. *vidhatta*, A. *vidhata* (§565) may be derived from the causative, so that *ādhatta* would be=**ādhapta*, like *ānatta=ājñapta*; they are probably better derived from the present stem (§565)⁸.— *sippai*=*snihyate* and *sicyate* (Hc. 4,255), M. *sippanta-* (v.l. to H.185) belongs to *simpai* (Hc. 4,96), which is related to Marāthi *śimpṇem*, Gujarāti *śimpvum*⁹ and presupposes a root **sip*, which was parallel to *sic* from **sik*. This is, therefore, a case of interchange of gutturals and labials (§215). To **sip* belongs M. AMg. Š. *sippi* (conch; Hc. 2,138; Mk. fol. 40; H.R.; Karp. 2,4; Viddhaś. 63,8; Uvās.; Bālar. 195,9; 264,3; Viddhaś. 108,2)=Pāli *sippi*, Marāthi *śipa*, *śimpa*, Gujarāti *śipa*, Hindi *sipi*, *sipa*, Sindhi *sipa*¹⁰.— *vāhippai* (Hc. 4,253), JM. *vāhippantu* (Āv. 38,6), which is derived, with Hc. 4,253 from *Vhṛ*, is=*vyāhriyate*, is rather=*vyākṣipyate* with a somewhat more special meaning than in Skt., as *samakṣip* occasionally has in Skt. As a proof we

have M. *nihippanta-* (R. 8,97)=*niksipyamāna*, which is wrongly derived from *Vdhā* by S. GOLDSCHMIDT. From this may be derived M. *nihitta*, AMg. JM. *nihitta* (Bh. 3,58; Hc. 2,99; Ki. 2,112; Mk. fol. 27; G.R.; Karp. 2,5; Vivāhap. 116; Erz.), A. *nihittāü* (Hc. 4,395,2) and M. AMg. JM. *vāhitta* (Hc. 1,128; 2,99; Pāīyāl. 247; H.; Uttar. 29; Āv.38,6)¹¹=*niksipta*, *vyāksipta*. Possible is also, however, the explanation from *nihita*, *vyāhṛta* according to §194.—Hitherto several forms with *pp* have been falsely regarded as passive, which are so according to neither their form nor their meaning. *khuppaï* (sinks; immerses; Vr. 8,68; Hc. 4,101; Ki. 4,51), M. *khuppana-* (R.), past passive participle M. AMg. *khutta* (R.; Pañhāv. 201), explained by S. GOLDSCHMIDT¹² from **khuyvāi* and derived from *khu=khan*, is =**ksuyyati* from *Vksupa avasādane*, *sāde* (WESTERGAARD, Radices p. 333). — *juppaï* (yokes; Hc. 4,109) is =*yupyati* from *yupa ekikarane*, *samikarane* (B.-R. s.v.), with which may be compared AMg. *juvala*, *juvalaya*, *juvaliya* (§231). — M. *pahuppaï* (Hc. 3,142; 4,63; Mk. fol. 53; G.H.R.), which has been explained by WEBER¹³ as a deponentially used passive of *bhū* with *pra*, is a denominative from *prabhutva=***prabutvati* “excercises authority”; “is able to”; “is in a position to”. This is borne out by A. *pahuccai* (Hc. 4,390.491), which presupposes a **prabutvati* with the phonetic change discussed in §299. So to M. *ohuppanta-* (R. 3,18)=**apabhutvant-*. The scholia ts explain it by *ākrāyamāna* and *abhibhūyamāna*. It belongs to *ohivāi=***apibhāvati=***apabhāvayati* in the sense of *ākrāmati* (Hc. 4,160), from which spring also *ohāia*, *ohāmaë*, *ohāmīya* (§261) and *ohua* (overcome; Desin. 1,158)=**apabhūta*. — M. *appāhāi* (entrusts; Hc. 4,180), *appāhei*, *appāhēnta*, *appāheum*, *appāhijjai*, *appāhia* (H.R.), which S. GOLDSCHMIDT¹⁴ artificially derives from an impossible **āvyāhṛta*, and WEBER¹⁵ doubtfully equates as = *har+abhyā*, is regularly =**āprāhīhayati* from *pratha prakhyāne* (Dhātupāṭha 32,19); cf. *viprathayati*, *samprathita*.

1. JACOBI, Kalpasūtra s.v.; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 17.35. — 2. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. with the scholiasts. — 3. GN. 1874, p. 512 f. — 4. ZDMG. 29,491 ff.; Prākṛticā p. 3,19 note 1,17 f. — 5. ZDMG. 28,350; Hālāl p. 64; cf. IS. 14,92 f. — 6. KZ. 28, 249 ff. — 7. KZ. 32,446 ff.; where occur further literary details. — 8. The derivation from *rabb* is linguistically impossible. — 9. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,96 — 10. PISCHEL on Hc. 2,138. — 11. Cf. P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p. 513 note; JACOBI, ERZ. s.v. *nihitta*. — 12. Prākṛticā p. 17 f.; against it JOHANSSON, KZ. 32, 448, note 1. — 13. On Hāla 7. — 14. Rāvanavaho s.v. — 15. Hāla s.v.

§287. II) One of the sounds is *r* (Vr.3,3; C.3,9; Hc.2,79; Ki.2,50; Mk. fol. 19); *rka=kka*; M. *akka=arka* (G.); AMg. *kakkejāna=karketana* (Ovav.; Kappas.); Š. *takkemi=tarkayām* (§ 490). On M. *ksamkoda*, *ksamkola* beside M. AMg. *kakkolä=karkota* see §74. — *kra=kka*: A. *kijjai=kriyate* (§547); M. *cakka=cakra* (G.), *vikkama=vikrama* (G.). On M. AMg. JM. A. *vamka=vakra* see §74. — *rka=kkha*: Š.Mg. *mukkha=mūrkha* (§139). — *rga=gga*; Š. *niggamamagga=nirgamamārga* (Lalitav. 567,24); M. *duggama durgama* (G.R.), *vagga=varga* (G.H.R.). — *gra=gga*: PG. *gāmāgāmabhojake=grāmagrāmabhojakan* (5,4), *gāme=grāme* (6,28), *gahanām=grahanam* (6,31.33.34), *nigaha=nigraha* (7,41); M. *gaha=graha* (G.H.R.); AMg. JM. *naggoha*, *naggoha=nya grodha* (C. 3,9; Āyār. 2,1,8,5.7; Jiv. 46; Pannav. 31; Vivāhap. 41[*ni*°]. 1530; Kappas. §212 [text *ni*°; see also the v.l.]; Āv.48,25; Erz.); AMg. JŠ. *niggantha=nirgrantha* (e.g. Āyār. 2,15,29; p. 132,4,6.15 ff.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Kattig. 404,386). — *rgħ=għha*: M. *nigghina=nirghira* (H.), *nigghosa=nirghosa* (R.), Š.Mg. *digħħiā=dırghikā* (§ 87). — *ghra=għha*: *ājgħħai=ājixghra*, *jiggħia=***jighrita*, M. AMg. *agħġāi=āgħrāti*, *agħġāia=***āgħrāyita* (§408). — *rca=ċċa*: M. *accā=arcā* (G.); JM. S.D. *kucca*

=*kürca* (Erz.; Šak. 134,4; Karp. 22,8; D. Mrcch. 104,7); Š. *caccari* =*carcari* (Ratn. 293,17.18). — *rch=cch*: M. *mucchā=mürchā* (R.). — *chra=ccha*: Š. *samuchida=samuccriia* (Mrcch. 68,15). — *ria=ija*: M. *ajjuna=arjuna* (G.), *gajja=garjita* (G.H.R.), *jajjara=jarjara* (G.H.), *bhua* (birch; Deśin. 6,106) is not =*bhūrja*, but =*bhuja* (Vaijayanti 48,89), therefore, M. *bhuavatta* (G. 641) =**bhujapattria*. In Mg. *rya* becomes *jya* (Vr. 11,7; Hc. 4,292); *ayyuna=arjuna*; *kayya=kārya*; *gavyadi=garjati*; *guṇavarayida=guṇavarjita*; *duyyana=durjana*. The MSS. of the dramas have only *jja*, thus *kajja* (Mrcch. 126,6;139,23); *dujana* (Mrcch. 115,23). — *-jra=ija*: M. *vajja=vajra* (G.H.R.). — *-rjha=jha*: M. *nijjhara=nirjhara* (G.H.). — *-rna=nna*: M. *kanya=kanya* (G.H.R.), *cunna=cūnna* (G.H.R.). *vanya=varna* (G.H.). *karnikāra* can, beside *kanniāra*, form also *kaniāra* (Bh. 3,58, Hc. 2,95; Ki. 2,114; Mk. fol 27). So AMg. *kaniyāra* (Āyār. p. 128,28), A. *kaniāra* (Hc. 4,396,5). The form shows accentuation on the final = **karnikārā*. On *kanya* see §258. A *cūra* (Hc. 4,337) is not = *cūrha*, which too becomes in A. *cūnna* (Hc. 4,395,2), but = **cūrya*. — *rpa=ppa*: M. *kuppāra*, AMg. *kōppara* (§127); M. *kuppāsa=kurpāsa* (G.H.), *dappa=d-rpa* (G.H.R.). — *pra=ppa*: PG.: *amhapesanapppayutis=asmatpreṣanaprayuktān* (5,6), *appatihaia=apratihaia* (6,10), *satasahasappadāyino=śatasahasrabradā-yinah* (6,11), *paṭibhāgo=pratibhāgah* (6,12 e.c.) etc.; M. *pia=priya* (G.H.R.) *apbia=apriya* (H.). — *rba=bba*: AMg. *kabbada=karbata* (Āyār. 1,7,6,4;2,1, 2,6; Sūyag. 684; Thān. 347; Pañhāv. 175, 246, 406, 486; Nāyādh. 1278; Uttar. 891; Vivāhap. 40.295; Ovav.; Kappas.); Š. *nibbandha=nirbandha* (Mrcch. 5,4; Šak. 51,14); M. *dōbballa=daurbalya* (G. H. R.). — *bra=bba*: PG. *bamhanānam=brāhmaṇānam* (6,8. 27. 30. 38), AMg. JM. *bambhana* (§ 250), Š. Mg. *bamhana* (e. g. Mrcch. 4, 16. 18. 21. 24; 5, 5; 6, 2; Mg. Mrcch. 45, 17; 121, 10; 127, 4; Šak. 113,7); Š. *abbamhanā=abrahmāya* (§ 282). — *rbha=bbha*: M. *gabbha=garbha* (G. H. R.), *nibbhara=nirbhara* (G. H. R.); Š. *dubbhe=jja=durbheda* (Mrcch. 68,19). — *bhra=bbha*: PG. *bhātukāṇa=bhrātičānām* (6,18); M. *paribbhamaī=paribhramati* (G.H.), *bhamara=bhramara* (G.H.R.). — *rma=mma*: AMg. *ummi=ūrmi* (Ovav.; Kappas.); PG. M. *dhamma=dharma* (5,1; G.H.R.), PG. *dhamāyubala°=dharma'yubala°* (6,9), *sivakhaṇ-davamo=śivaskandavārmā* (5,2), Š. *dummanussa=durmanusya* (Mrcch. 18,8; 40,14). — *mra=mma*: M. *dhummakha=dhūmrākṣa* (R.); AMg. *makkhei=mrakṣayati* (Āyār. 2,2,3,8), *makkhejjja=mrakṣayet* (Āyār. 2,13,4). — *rla=lla*: M. *nillajja=nirlajja* (H.R.), *dullaha=durlabha* (H.). — *rva=vva*: PG. *savvaatha=sarvātra* (5,3), *puvvadattam=pūrvadattam* (6,12.28); M. *puvva=pūrva*, *savva=sarva* (G.H.R.). — *vra=vva*: Š. *pārivvājaa=parivrājaka* (Mrcch. 41,5.7.10.17); M. *vaa=vraja* (H.); AMg. *vihī=vrīhi* (Āyār. 2,10.10; Sūyag. 682; Thān. 134; Vivāhap. 421.1185; Jīv. 356). On *rya* see §284.285.

§ 288. In conjunction with dentals *r* may be regularly assimilated. *rtu=tta*: PG. *nivatanam=nivartanam* (6,38); M. *āvatta=āvara* (G.R.), *kitti=kirti* (G.R.; cf. §83); Dh. *dhutta=dhūrta* (Mrcch. 30,12;32,7;34,25; 35,1;36,23); M. *muhutta=muhūrta* (H.R.). — *tra=tta*: PG. *go'itasa=gotrasya* (6,9 etc.); M. *kalatta=kalatra* (H. R.), *citta=citra*, *patta=patra*, *satiu=satru* (G. H.). — *rtha=ttha*: M. *altha=arthā* (G. H. R.), *patthiva=pārthiva* (G. R.), *satiu=sārtha* (G. H. R.), *samattha=samartha* (H. R.). — *rda=dda*: PG. *balivadda=balivarda* (6,33); M. *kaddama=kardama* (G. H. R.), *daddura=dardura* (G.), *duddina=durdina* (G. R.). — *dra=dda*: PG. *ācanda°=ācandra°* (6,29); M. *inda=indra*, *ṇiddā=nidrā* (G. H. R.), *bhadda=bhadra* (G.H.), *samudda*

=*samudra* (G. H. R.). — *rdha=ddha* : PG. ^o*vadhanike* = **vardhanikān* (6,9); M. *addha=ardha* (G.H.R.), *niddhūma=nirdhūma* (H.R.); AMg. *muddha=murdhan* (§402). — *dhra=ddha*: AMg. *saddhim=sadhrim* (§103).

§ 289. In lieu of the dental, there occurs frequently the cerebral in the groups, in which the first member is *r*, especially in AMg. According to the grammarians (Vr. 3,22; Hc. 2,30; Ki. 2,34; Mk. fol. 22) in the case of *rt* cerebralization is the rule. The words, which retain the dental, are collected by Vr. 3,24; Hc.; Ki.; Mk. in the ākṛtigāna *dhūrtādi*. The dialects oscillate, sometimes, in the case of the same words: AMg. JM. *at̄ta=ārt̄a* (Āyār. 1,1,2,1;1,2,5,5;1,4,2,2;1,6,1,4; Sūyag. 401; Nāyādh.; Nirāyāv.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); AMg. *attatarām* (Sūyag. 282); AMg. *at̄tiya=**ārtita (Ovav.); in addition to *kavat̄ia* (§246); but Š. *atti=ārt̄i* (Šak. 57,4). — AMg. *kittai=kir̄tayati* (Āyār. 1,5,4,3; 1,6,1,1), *kitti* (Sūyag. 661), *kitti māṇa* (Sūyag. 663), *kittitā* (Āyār. p. 137,27; Kappa¹), *kittiya* (Āyār. p. 132,33;137,23; Sūyag. 578,661), but always *kitti=kiri* in all the dialects (§ 83.288). — *kevat̄ta=kaivarta* (Hc.; Mk.), *kevat̄taa* (Bh.). — M. AMg. JM. *cakkavat̄i=cakravartin* (Karp. 7,3;79,4;115,10; Thān. 80,197; Samav. 42; Vivāhap. 7,1049; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), but Š. *cakkavat̄i* (Caṇḍak. 87,15; 94,10; Hāsy. 21,7), as perhaps also Karp. 104,2,4 with the v.l. is to be read. — AMg. *naṭtaga=nartaka* (Ovav.; Kappas.); *naṭtaa* (Bh. 3,22; Mk. fol. 22); *naṭtai=nartaki* (Bh. 3,22; Hc. 2,30). — Š. Dh. *bhaṭṭā=bhartā* in the sense of “Lord”, but in all the dialects *bhattā* in the sense of “husband”, “consort” (§390); AMg. *bhaṭṭidārāya*, Š. *bhaṭṭidāraa, bhaṭṭidāriā* (§55). — The root *vṛt* forms M. *vattasi* (H.), *vattai* (R.), AMg. JM. *vat̄tai* (Vivāhap. 268,1408; Erz. 6,3), AMg. *vattanti* (Āyār. 2,2,2,11,12; Kappas. S. § 35), M.AMg. JM. *vattanta* (R.; Uttar. 712; Erz. 22,9), AMg. JM. *vat̄tamāṇa* (Āyār. 2,2,2,1; Vivāl ap. 268; Uvās.; Ovav.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.), JS.Š. *vattadi* (Pav. 382,27; Lalitav. 560,15; Mṛcch. 2,20;3,1,20;169,21; Šak. 37,7;59,12; Vikr. 21,10;52,1; Caṇḍak. 86,4; Hāsy. 21,8;25,3;28,20 etc.), JS. *vattadu* (Pav. 387,21), Mg. *vattāmī* (Mṛcch. 32,22). Likewise with prefixes, pro ex. M. *āvat̄ta-*, *āvat̄tamāṇa* (R.); AMg. *anuparivat̄ta-* *māṇa* (Sūyag. 328), *anupriyattai* (Āyār. 1,2,3,6;1,2,6,5), *nijattai* (Uttar. 116), *nijattanti* (Āyār. 1,2,2,1;1,6,4,1), *nijattamāṇa* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1), *nivat̄taejjā* (Sūyag. 415), *uvvattējja* (Āyār. 2,2,1,8), *uvvattēnti* (Āyār. 2,2,3,9), JM. *uvvattiyā* (Erz.), Š. *paaṭṭadi=pravartate* (Mṛcch. 71,7), A. *paaṭṭai* (Hc. 4,347), and in derivatives, such as AMg. *priyattanā* (Āyār. 1,2,1,1; 2,1,4,2; Ovav.), *priyattaya* (Kappas.), but M. Š. *pariattana, parivat̄tana* (G.R.; Mṛcch. 2,20; Vikr. 31,6), AMg. *parijatta=parivarta* (Ovav.); AMg. *samvattaga* (Uttar. 1056). In conjunction with prefixes, as the examples form Grr. already show, the dentals predominate. Thus pro ex. M. *uvvattai* (G.), *niattai* (G.H.R.), *pariattai* (G.), *parivattasū* (H.), *pariattanta-*, *parivattium* (R.); AMg. *pavattai* (Pannav. 62), Š. *niattiadi* (Vikr. 46,19), *niattiadu* (Mṛcch. 74,25;78,10 [^o*va*]), *nivattissadi* (Vikr. 17,2), *niattisadi* (Šak. 91,6), *niattāvehi, niattadu* (Šak. 91,5,6), *niattasū* (Šak. 87,1.2 [to be so read]), *nivattamāṇa* (Vikr. 5,11), *nivvat̄tehi, nivattedu* (Mṛcch. 27,12,15), *nivvattamha* (Šak. 74,3) etc. Likewise in derivatives. — AMg. *vattaya=vartaka* (quail; Āyār. 2,10,12; Sūyag. 100; Uvās.), *vat̄taga* (Sūyag. 681,708,722,747), but *vattiā=vartikā* (Bh.; Hc.) against *vattiā* (Mk.). — AMg. *vatti=varti* (Hc. 2,30) in *garḍhavat̄ti* (Ovav.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.) against M. *vatti* (H.). — The cerebrals stand throughout in the absolute, as AMg. *kaṭtu=kartu^o*, *āhaṭtu=āhartu^o*, *samāhaṭtu, sāhaṭtu* etc. (§ 577). On *kāum*, *kādum=kartum* etc. § 62. — Transition to the

media occurs in AMg. *gadḍa=garta* (Vr. 3,25; Hc. 2,35; Mk. fol. 23; Vivāhap. 246.479); *gadḍā=gartā* (Hc. 2,35).

§ 290. *rtha* becomes *t̄ha* in AMg. JM. *at̄tha=artha* in the sense of “reason”, “cause”, “thing”, “story”, but *at̄tha* in the sense of “wealth”, “money” (Hc. 2,33). So especially in the phrase AMg. *no in' at̄the samaṭ̄the* (§173), and in adverbially used cases like AMg. *se ten' at̄thenam̄* (Vivāhap. 34 ff.; Uvās. §218.219), *se kēn' at̄henam̄* (Uvās. §218.219); AMg. JM. *at̄thāe* (Uttar 363; Uvas.; Ovav.; Nāyādh.; Nirayāv.; Erz.), *at̄thāyāe* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Erz.); JM. *at̄thā* (Erz.). However, the dental also occurs in the meaning “thing”, “story” (Ovav.) and in adverbially used forms, such as AMg. *iccaitham̄* (Āyār. 1,2,1,1), and more frequently in JM. (Erz.). The rest of the dialects have only the dental in all the meanings of the word.¹ AMg. has also *anat̄tha* “aimless”, “false” (Uvās.; Ovav.), *nirat̄thaga* (Uttar. 113), *samaṭ̄tha* (§173). Beside M.A.Mg. JM A. *cāt̄thā=caturtha*, Hc. 2,33 teaches also *cāt̄thā*; beside S. *cadut̄tha*, there occurs also *cadut̄thā* (§449). AMg. *addhut̄thā* is = *a;dha+*turtha* (§450). On *kavat̄tia* said to be = *kadarthita* see § 246.289. In Mg. *rtha* becomes *śta* (Hc. 4,291; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvylāmākāra 2,12); *eṣe aste=eṣo rthāh* (Namis.); *astavadi=arthavati*, *śastavāhe=sārthavāhā* (Hc. 4,291); *tīsta=tīrtha* (Hc. 4,301). So the Lalitav. 566,9 has *yahastam̄* (read *yadhistam̄*) = *yathārtham*, but 566,7 *śaśṭasā=sārthasya* and 566,8, *paśidūm̄=prārthayitum̄* with *śta*. In Mṛcch. 131,9;133,1;140,13;146,16;152,6;168,2 all the MSS. have *at̄tha*, likewise Candak. 60,11; Prab. 28,14, where, however, the ed. Madras reads *palamacco*. Mṛcch. 145,17 K in GODABOLE has *accha*, 158,21 the very good manuscript E has *āsta*. In Mṛcch. 138,17 the MSS. have *kajjat̄thī* for *kryya-tī*; in Śak. 114,11 there stand: *vikkāat̄tham̄=vikrayārtham*, 115,7 *śāmiprasād-iltham̄=svāmiprasādārtham*, Prab. 28,15 has *tīthichīm̄=tīrthikaih*, 29,7 *tīthīā=tīrthikāh*. Mṛcch. 122,14;128,3;158,19 STENZLER has *śat̄hvāha=sārthavāha*, 133,1, *śat̄hvāha*. The MSS. vary greatly, and at 128,3 E in GODABOLE correctly has *śastavāha*, to which the reading *śasyastavāha* of B and *śat̄chavāha* of H point². The manuscripts are to be everywhere corrected according to the rules of the grammarians.

1. PISCHEL on Hc. 2,33. Not quite correctly LEUMANN, Aup. S. s.v. *at̄tha*. —
2. PISCHEL, GGA. 1881, p. 1319 f.

§ 291. *rda* becomes *ddā* in *kavadḍa=kaparda* (Hc. 2,36; Mk. fol. 23); — *gaddaha=gardabha* (Vr. 3,26; Hc. 2,37; Ki. 2,23; Mk. fol. 23) beside *gaddaha* (Hc. 2,37; Pāiyāl. 150), which is the only form authenticated by the texts in AMg. JM.Ś.Mg.Dh. and is expressly prescribed for S. by Mk. fol. 67 (Sūyag. 204.724 f. 727 [°bhā]; Samav. 83; Uttar. 794; Kk.; S. Mṛcch. 45,16; Mg. Mṛcch. 79,13;175,14), JM. *gaddabhi* and *gārdabhi* (Kk.), *gaddabilla* (Kk.), *gaddabbha=**gārdabhyā (unharmonic u, shrill; Deśin. 2,82; Pāiyāl. 204); *gaddaha* (Deśin. 2,83), *gaddahaya* (Pāiyāl. 39; white lotus); Dh. *gaddahi* (Mṛcch. 29,19). Kāleyāk. 25,15 *gadduho* [sic] is edited in S. — *chaddai=chardati* (Hc. 2,36); AMg. *chaddējjā* (Āyār. 2,1,3,1) *chaddasi* (Uvās. § 95), JM. *chaddijāi* (Āv. 41,8), *chaddei*, *chaddijāū*, *chaddiyya* (Erz.); A. *chaddei-nu* (Hc. 4,422,3); JS. *chaddida* (Pav. 387,18; Tex. °ya); *chaddi=chardi* (Hc. 2,36); JM. *chaddi=chardis* (Erz.); AMg. *chaddijallījā* (Ovav.); M. JM.Ś. *vicchadda=viccharda* (Hc. 2,36; Mk. fol. 23; Pāiyāl. 62; Deśin. 7,32; G.H.R.; Kk.; Erz.; Anarghar. 277,3 [so with ed. Calcutta to be read]); *vicchaddi=vicchardi* (Vr. 3,26; Ki. 2,23); AMg. *vicchaddāitā* (Ovav.; Kappas.); M. *vicchaddia* (R.). AMg. JM. *vicchaddijā* (Ovav.; Pāiyāl. 79), S. *vicchaddida* (Uttar. 20,11; Mālatīm. 241,5;254,4;276,6; Anarghar. 149,10 [so to be read everywhere]). — *maddai=mardate* (Hc. 4,126), but S. *maddiadi=mardyate* (Mṛcch. 69,9);

maddia=*mardita* (Hc. 2,36); *sāmmadda*=*sāmmarda* (Vr. 3,26; Hc. 2,36; Ki. 2,23; Mk. fol. 23), but M. J.M.S. *sāmmadda* (G ; Erz.; Mrcch. 325,17); *sāmmaddia*=*sāmmardita* (Hc. 2,36). Against these S. *uvamadda*=*upamarda* (Mrcch. 18,11); AMg. *pamaddaya*=*pramardana* (Ovav.; Kappas.), *pamaddi*=*pramardin* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.); *pāmaddā*=**pādamardā* (stamping asunder of corns with the feet; Deśin. 6,40); AMg. *parimaddaṇa*=*parimardana* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.), *pīḍhamadda*=*pīḍhamarda* (Ovav.; Kappas.); S. *pīḍhamaddiā* (Mālav. 14,9); Adbhutad. 72,13;91,9), AMg. *vāmaddana*=*vyāmardana* (Ovav.; Kappas.). — *viaddi*=*vitardi* (Vr. 3,26; Hc. 2,36; Ki. 2,23). — *khuddia*=*kūrdita*, *sāmkhuddai*=*sāmkūrdati* (§ 206), beside AMg. *ukkuddai* (Uttar. 788). According to Mk. fol. 23, some taught also *taddū*=*tardū*. — *rdha* has become *d̄ha* in : AMg. JM. *addha*=*ardha* beside *addha*, as the other dialects alone have (Hc. 2,41; § 450); *addha*, pro ex. also in AMg. *avad̄ha*=*apārdha* (Jiv. 1055 f.; Vivāhap. 1057.1306), *saaddha*, *anaddha* (Vivāhap. 354), *divaddha* (§ 450); JM. *add̄hamāsa* (Erz.), beside *addha*° (Kk.) and AMg. *māsaddha* (Vivāhap. 168); JM. *addharatta*=*ardharātra* (Erz.) etc.; M.AMG. J.M.S. Mg. Ā. A. *addha* (G.H.R.; Samav. 156.158; Thān. 265; Jiv. 231.632 f.; Vivāhap. 209.1178; § 450; Erz.; Kk.; Rsabhap.; Mrcch. 69,16; Candak. 51,11; Karp. 60,11; Mg. Mrcch. 31,17.20.23.25;32,5;133,10;168,20.21; Šak. 118,4; Ā. Mrcch. 100,12; A. Hc. 4,352; Piṅgala 1,6.61 ff.). — M. AMG. JM. *vaddhai*=*vardhate* (Vr. 8,44; Hc. 4,220; Ki. 4,46; Mk. fol. 23; H.R.; Āyār. 2,16,5 [°i]; Sūyag. 460; Vivāhap. 160; Kk.); S. *vaddhidi* (Vikr. 10,20;19,7;49,4;78,18;88,14; Mālav. 25,4). Likewise with prefixes, in the causative and in derivations. The proper name *vardhamāna* becomes AMg. J.S. S. *vaddhamāna* (Āyār. 2, 15,12; Pav. 379,1; Mrcch. 25,18;44,24;45,5 etc.), but AMg. also *vaddhamāna* (C. 3,26; Āyār. 2,15,15; Ovav.; Kappas.), as also AMg. *nandivaddhana* (Āyār. 2,15,15; Kappas.) and *viddhāvei* (Ovav.; Kappas.; Nirayāv.) are said. For *govardhana* Mk. fol. 24 teaches *govaddhāṇa*. S. has *govaddhāṇa* (Viṣabh. 19,5).

§ 292. *tra* has become *ṭṭa* in M. A. *tuṭṭai*=*trūtyati* (R.; Piṅgala 1,65.68) beside AMg. *tuṭṭai* (Sūyag. 100.105.148), *tuṭṭanti* (Sūyag. 539); *tuṭṭai* (Hc. 4,230); A. *tuṭṭau* (Hc. 4,356). According to Vr. 12,5 in S. *putra* in certain cases (*kvacit*) can become *pūda*. Perhaps it is connected with the old form of *pāṭaliputra*, which will have been **pāṭalipuṭa* (§ 238, note 2), which must have regularly become **pāṭaliuḍa*. To the Skt. form corresponds M. Mg. *pāḍaliuṭa* (H. 2,150; Mrcch. 37,3), JM. *pāḍaliputta* (Āv. 8,1;12,1.40; Erz.), S. *pāḍaliputtā* (Mudrār. 149,3). In Mg. STENZLER writes in Mrcch. 118,1;119,11.21;124,5;129,18;132,9.164,16; 165,3 *puṣṭhaka*=*putraka*. The MSS. vacillate very much and point rather to *pustaka* or to *puṭṭaka*. Almost everywhere occurs the v.l. *puttaka*, and in Mg. in Mrcch. *putta* 19,19;116,8;129,7;133,1;160,11;166,1;167,24; 168,3; *puttaka* 114,16;122,15;158,20; ſāputtāka 166,18.21 stand. STENZLER likes to correct it to *puṣṭhaka* at p. 294 on 114,16. But only at 158,20 some MSS. have *putstake*, *puṣṭake*, *putthake*, otherwise all have °*ṭṭa*°, which will be right. As at 158,19 for *ṇatīkē*=*napīrkah* the vv. ll. *natīhike* (so STENZLER, GODABOLE and the Calc. editions in the text), *ṇastīkē*, *ṇastīkē* also occur, there seems to be a case of interchange with the change from *rtha* (§ 290). After a long vowel *tra* frequently becomes *ṭṭa* through *ta* in AMg., as *gāṭa*=*gāṭra*, *goṭa*=*gotra*; *dhāṭi*=*dhāṭri*; *pāṭi*=*pāṭri* (§ 87). In *rāṭri* the same law occurs in M.S. (§ 87). *dhāṭi* (nurse; Hc. 2,81) is not = *dhāṭri*, but is derived from *Vdhāi* (to suckle) with suffix **ra*=“wet nurse”. Cf. *dhāru*.

§ 293. Against 288 *tra* apparently becomes *ttha* in the adverbs ending in *-tra*, as *annattha=anyatra* (Hc. 2,161;3,59); Š. *attha=atra* in *atthabhavam* (Šak. 33,3,35,7; Vikr. 30,9), *atthabhavado* (Mālav. 27,11), *atthabhodi* (Vikr. 38,17;83,13; Mālav. 26,1); M.AMg. JM. *kattha=kutra* (Bh. 6,7; Hc. 2,161; G.H.R.; Kappas.; Ovav.; Eiz.; Kk.); M.AMg. JM. JS. Š.D. *jattha=yatra* (Bh. 6,7; Hc. 2,161; H.R.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Kattig.; 401,353; Uttarar. 20,11;21,10; D. Mṛcch. 100,3); M. AMG. JM. Š. Mg. *tattha=tatra* (Bh. 6,7; Hc. 2,161; Ki 3,42; G.H.R.; Āyār. 1,1,1,7;1,1,2, 1.2 etc.; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Kappas.; Kk.; Vikr. 48,14; Mg. Prab. 32,6), in Š. also in *tatthabhavam* (Vikr. 46,6,47,2;75,3,15), *tatthabhavadā* (Šak. 30,2; Vikr. 16,11; 80,14; 84,19; Mālav. 10,13), *tatthabhavado* (Mṛcch. 6,4; 22,12; Vikr. 38,18; 51,13; 79,16), *tatthabhodi* (Mṛcch. 88,13; Šak. 95,12; 125,7;132,7;134,13; Vikr. 16,4 7,13;18,5 etc.); *iarattha=itaratra* (Bh. 6,2); M. JM. *savvattha=sarvatra* (Bh. 6,2; Hc. 3,59,60; G H.R.; Erz.). Meanwhile PG.M.AMg. JM. Š. Mg. D. Ā. *ēttha*, A. *ētthu* (§107), on account of the *e*, cannot be equated as=*atra*, but as=*Vedic itthā*. From i the rest of the aiverbs cannot be separated, so that *kattha* must be derived from **katthā*, *jattha* from **yathā* etc.¹ The regular continuation of *yatra*, *tatra* are A. *jattu*, *tattu* (Hc. 4,404; cf. 268), of *anyatra*, Dh *annatta* (Mṛcch. 36,23;39,10). Mg. *atta=atra* (Mṛcch. 161,17;167,17) is probably false. In the first place D. has *ēttha*, in the second it is wanting in most of the MSS. The writing *attabhavam*, *tattabhavam*, which the Dravidian and Devanāgari recensions of Šak. and Mālav. have², and which occurs occasionally elsewhere also, is erroneous³. On A. *keētthu*, *jeētthu*, *teētthu* see §107. — Š. *mahāmēttha=mahāmātra* (Mṛcch. 40,22) is a false reading for *mahāmētta*, as the MSS. D H in GODABOLE p.120 read, and *mētthapurisa* =**mātrapuruṣa* (Mṛcch. 69,12) for *mahāmēttagpurisa* (cf. D in GODABOLE p. 196), since *mātra* becomes only *mitta*, *mētta* (§109). Cf. also *mēñtha*, JM. *mintha* (mahout, elephant-driver; Deśin. 6,138; Erz.), Pāli *mēñda*. — M. *patti* (H. 240), which WEBER wishes to equate as=*pātri*, is a false reading for *pacchi* (Deśin. 6,1), Pāli *pacchi*; cf. v.l. ZDMG. 28,408; IS. 16,78 on strophe 185.

1. Others in S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākrītica p. 22; R. s.v. *kattha*; WEBER on H. 240. Cf. PISCHEL, BB. 3,253. — 2. BOHTLINGK on Šak. 20,11 p. 177. — 3. PISCHEL, De Kālidāsae Čākuntali recensionibus p. 34 f.

§ 294. *dra* has become *dda* in AMg. JM. *khudda=kṣudra* (Deśin. 2,74; Āyār. 2,2,3,2; Sūyag. 414; Thān. 546; Uttar. 13; Jiv. 476 ff.; 559,622. 663,1013 ff.; Kappas.; Erz.); *khuddaa* (Hc. 2,174; Triv. 1,3,105), AMg. JM. *khuddaya*, femin. *khuddiyā* (Āyār. 1,3,3,2;2,2,1,4;2,2,3,2; Thān. 67; Panhāv. 520; Vivāhap. 1100; Kappas.; Av. 23,6), AMg. *khudḍaga* (Sūyag. 872; Thān. 545; Vivāhap. 1101; Ovav.), *khuddāga* (§70); rarely AMg. also *khudda* (Sūyag. 504) and *khuddāya* (Kappas.). — Like simple *da* becoming *la* (§244), *dda*, originating from *āra*, also becomes *lla* in M. AMg. *alla*, beside M.AMg. JM. Š. *adda=ārdra* (§111), and *chilla* (hole; hut; Deśin. 3,35), *ucchilla* (hole; Deśin. 1,95), beside M.AMg. JM. *chidda* (H.; Uvās.; Erz.) and AMg. JM. *chidda* (Nirāyāv.; Av. 41,4,5; Erz. v.l.), M. *chiddia=chidrita* (G.). On *culla* see §325. M. *maṭṭai* is not=*mardati*, but=*mradate* (§244). The synonymous *maṭṭai* (Hc. 4,126) is=*maṭhati* from *maṭha mardanivāsayoh* (Dhātupāṭha 9,47 according to Bopadeva), which belongs to *math*, *manth*. On *dra* beside *dda* see §268.

§ 295. *b* is inserted between *m* and *r* in *āmra* and *tāmra*. The sound-group *mbra* created in this manner either is separated by a separation vowel: *ambira*, *tambira* (§137), or in it *r* is assimilated. So M.AMg. JM. *amba*

(Vr. 3,53; C. 3,9; Hc. 2,56; Ki. 2,64; Mk. fol. 27; Pāīyal. 145; H.; Āyār. 2,1,8,1,4,6; 2,7,2,2 ff.; 2,10,21; Thān. 205; Pannav. 482,531; Vivāhap. 116,1256; Erz.); AMg. *ambaga* (Anuttar. 11; Uttar. 231,983 ff.); AMg. *ambādaga* = *āmrātaka* (Āyār. 2,1,8,1,4; Pannav. 482). — M. AMg. *tamba=tāmra* (Grr.; Pāīyal. 93; G.H.R.; Sūyag. 282,834; Uttar. 597; Vivāhap. 1326; Ovav.; Kappas.); AMg. *tambaga* (Uttar. 1065), *tambija* (Vivāhap. 1326; Ovav.; Kappas.); AMg. *tambaga* (Uttar. 1065), *tambija* (Vivāhap. 1326; Ovav.; Kappas.); M. Š. *tambavaṇṇī=tāmrāpāṇī* (Karp. 12,4; 71,8; Bālār. 264,3,4; Anarghar. 297,15 [so to be read]); M. *āamba*, AMg. *āyumba=ātāmra* (G.H.; Šak. 119,6; (Ovav.); *tambaki=tāmrōkrimi* (cochineal; Deśin. 5,6); *tambarati=**tāmrarakti (wheat rust; Deśin. 5,5); *tambasiha=tāmrāśikha* (cock; Pāīyal. 125); M. *tambā=tāmra* (cow; Deśin. 5,1; Pāīyal. 45; H.). — According to Mk. fol. 27 *kamra* also becomes *kamba*. — *mla* is treated in the same way. *amla* becomes either *ambila* or *amba*: AMg. *sehambadālijambehim* = *sedhāmladālikāmlaih* (Uvās. § 40); A. *ombaṇu* = *āmlatavam* (Hc. 4,376,2).

§ 296. III) If one of the sounds is *la* (Vr. 3,3; C. 3; Hc. 2,79; Ki. 2,50; Mk. fol. 19): *lka=kka*: M. *ukkā=ulkā* (G.R.); *kakka=kalka* (Vivāhap. 1025); M. Š. *vakkala=valkala* (§ 62). — *kla=kka*: AMg. *kisanṭi=kliṣyanti* (Uttar. 576), *kesa=klesa* (Uttar. 202,575), *kīva=klīra* (Thān. 181); *vikkava=viklava* (Bh. 3,3; Hc. 2,79) *śukla* forms beside AMg. *sukka* (Sūyag. 313; Thān. 25 ff.) and *suila*, AMg. *sukkila* (§ 136) according to Hc 2,11 also *suṅga*. Provided this goes back to *śukla*, the more correct writing would be *suṅga*, corresponding to *suṅka=śukla* (§ 74) with transition to the media — *lga=gga*: M. *phaggu=phalgu*, AMg. Š. *phagguna=phalgunā* (§ 62); AMg. *vaggai,vaggittā=valgati, valgitvā* (Vivāhap. 253), *vaggana=valgana* (Ovav.), *vaggū=valgu* (Sūyag. 245). — *lpa=p̥pa*: AMg. JM. Š. *appa=alpa* (Sūyag. 371; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Nirāyāv.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Mrcch. 150,18); M. AMg. JM. Š. *kappa=kalpa* (G.H.R.; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Eīz.; Kk.; Vikr. 11,4); M. AMg. JM. *sippa=silpa* (H.; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.; R̥shabhap.), AMg. Š. *sippi=silpiṇ* (Uvās.; Ovav.; Mrcch. 152,25; 153,3). In *jalp* and derivatives *l* becomes *m*: M. JM. *jampai=īlpati* (Vr. 8,24; Hc. 4,2; Ki. 4,46; G.H.R.; Erz.; Kk.); JM. *jampia* [sic], *jampantēna* (Ki. 8,15); AMg. *jampantā* (Sūyag. 50); JM. *pāyāmpae=prajalpate* (Erz.); Dh. *jampidūn, jampasi* (Mrcch. 34,24; 39,9); Š. *jampasi* (Vikr. 41,11), *jampijjadi* (Lalitav. 568,6), *jampissam* (Mālatim. 247,2); *jampaṇa* (disgrace; mouth; Deśin. 3,51); JM. *ajampana* (non-betrayal; Erz. 10,34); M.A. *jampira* (Hc. 2,145; H.; Hc. 4,350,1), AMg. *ayampira* (Dasav. 619,22; 631,13; 632,28); AMg. *pajam-pāvāṇa=***prajalpāpana* (teaching to learn; Ovav.); Mg. *yāmpideṇa* (Lalitav. 566,12), A. *pajampaha* (Hc. 4,422,10; so to be read). For *mpa* there often occurs *p̥pa*: AMg. *jappanti* (Sūyag. 26); Š. *jappeni* (Hāsy. 33,21), *jappasi* (Kāmsav. 49,7), *jappesi* (Hāsy. 25,10,12,34,3,7), *jappissadi* (Pras. 144,2), *jappidūm* (Hāsy. 33,13), *jappanti* (Prab. 44,1; so ed. Bomb. P.M.), *°apipiṇi* (Pras. 37,16; Viṣabh. 26,7), *japtida* (Pras. 120,1) etc. Probably everywhere it is to be read *m̥pa*, as certainly in M. *jampienā* for *jappienā* (Ratn. 322,4), as rightly stands *jampie* (Karp. 38,4) as well as A. *jampiām* (Piṅgala 1,60; so GOLDSCHMIDT for *im̥*, *am̥*, *jam̥*). — *pla=p̥pa*: M. *pāvāṇga=plāvāṇga*, *pāvāṇama=plāvāṇgama* (R.), *paripāvanta=pariplāvanti* (G.R.), *pappua=prapluta* (G.); AMg. *pāvium=plāvitum* (Sūyag. 508); *vippava=viplava* (Hc. 2,106). — *lpha=p̥pha*: AMg. *guppha=gulphā* (Āyār. 1,1,2,5; Ovav.); *lba=bba*: M. *ubbaṇa=ulbāṇa* (G. 734; text *uvvāṇa*); AMg. *kibbisa=kilbisa* (Uttar. 156 [text *°vvi*]; Dasav. 624,11,12), *kibbisiya=***kilbiṣika* (Ovav.); *subba=śulba* (Hc. 2,79). — *lbha=bbha*: AMg. *pāgabbhai=pragalbhate* (Āyār. 1,5,3,3[*°i*]; Sūyag. 134,150), *pāgabbhiya* (Sūyag. 31,146,198), *pāgabbhiya*

(Sūyag. 596), *pagabbhittā* (Sūyag. 358), *vippagabbhiya* (Sūyag. 50), *pagabbi-* (Sūyag. 332), *pāgabbhi-* (Sūyag. 268.296). Hence *pagambhai* (Uttar. 202) is a printing error for *pagabhbhai*=*pagabbhai*. — *lma=mma*: *kammasa=kalmaṣa* (Hc. 2,79; Pāiyāl. 53), AMg. *kummāsa=kulmāṣa* (Āyār. 1,8,4,4,13); AMg. Š. Mg. *gumma=gulma* (Āyār. 2,3,2,15; Nāyādh.; Mṛcch. 97,22; Mudrar. 185,8;197,5; Priyād. 12,3;13,3;19,17;23,14; Kāṇas. 28,7; Subhadr. 12,5; Mg. Cāṇḍak. 61,11), PG. *gumike=gulmikan* (5,5); M. *vammia*, AMg. *vammiya=valmika* (§80); Š. *vammī=vālmiki* (Bālar. 6,15). — *mla=mma*: AMg. JM. Š. A. *mēccha=mleccha* (§ 84.105). — On *lya* see §286, on *rla* §287. — *lva=lla*: Š. *gallakka=galvarka* (Mṛcch. 6,6); M. *pallala=palvala* (G.); AMg. *biila=bitva* (Hc. 1,85; Mk. fol. 7; Pāiyāl. 148; Pañṇav. 531; Vivāhap. 1530 [vi^o]; Dasav. 621,5), according to Hc. and Mk. also *bēlla* (§119).

§ 297. IV) One of the consonants is *va* (Vr. 3,3; C. 3,2; Hc. 2,79; Ki. 2,50; Mk. fol. 19); *kva=kka*: M. *kadhaī=kvathati*, Š. *kadhdida*, AMg. *sukadhiya* (§221); M. *kanakkaṇia=kvanakvanita* (Karp. 55,7); M. AMg. Š. *pikka*, AMg. Š. *pakka=pakva* (§101). — *gva* has become *vva* instead of *gga* in *divvāsā=digvāsāh* (Cāmundā; Deśin. 5,39). — *jva=jja* : M. *jalāi=jvalati*, *ujjala=ujvala*, *pajjalaī=prajvalati* (G.H.R.); M. *jara=jvara* (H.). — *rva=nna*: M. *kinna=kinra* (G.); Š. *kanna=kanva* (Sak. 9,10;14,1;15,1 etc.); Š. *rumanṇado=**rumanvataḥ (Ra'�. 320,16). On *vya* see §286, on *rva*, *vra* §287, on *lva* §296.

§ 298. In conjunction with dental stops *va* may be assimilated to the dental. *tva=tta*: PG. M. AMg. JM. *cattāri*, Mg. *cattāli=catoāri* (§439); M. Š. *saita=sativa* (H. ; Šak. 154,7); the suffix *tta = -tva*, as *pīnatla=pīnatva*; AMg. *bhaṭṭita=bhartṛiva*; **-tāna=-tāna*, as M. *pīnattāna=**pīnativa-na, Š. *nīnattāna=nīnūtvara*, A. *pattattāna=**pātritvara (§597). — *dva=ddva*: M. AMg. JM. *dāra=dvāra* (C. 3,7; Hc. 1,70; 2,79,112; G. H. R.; Sūyag. 129; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Erz.); always M. Š. A. *dia*, JM. *diyā=dvīja* (Hc. 1,94; Pāiyāl. 102; G.; Erz.; Ki. 11 [dia]; Cāṇḍak. 3,16; 52,6; 56,6; 93,13; Piṅgala 2,48), *diāhama=dvījādhama* (the bird bhāsa; Deśin. 5,39), also= *dvīpa* (Hc. 2,79); Š. *diūṇa=dvīguṇa* (Šak. 140,13), *diūṇadara=dvīguṇatara* (Mṛcch. 22,13), *diūṇida=dvīguṇita* (Nāgān. 18,2); Mg. *diūṇa* (Mṛcch. 177,10); *diraa=dvīradā* (Hc. 1,94); AMg. *dāvara=dvāpara* (Sūyag. 136), *danda=dvandva*, *digu=dvīgu* (Anuog. 358); AMg. JM. *jambuddāva=jambudvīpa* (Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Kk.); PG. *bharaddāyo=bharadvājāḥ* (5,2), *bhāradāya*, *bhāradāyasa* (6,16,19); M. *saddala=śādvala* (G.). — *dhva=ddha*: *dhattha=dhvasta* (Hc. 2,79), M. *uddhattha=uddhvasta* (G. 608; so to be read). If the prefix *ud* occurs before a word beginning with *va*, so *dva* becomes *vva*: M. *uvvatāna=udvartana* (G. H. R.), AMg. *uvvatāna* (Uvās.); JM. *uvvatīya* (Erz.); M. *uvvahāna=udvahana* (G. R.); M. AMg. JM. Š. *uvvīgga=udvigna* (§ 276).

§ 299. Dialectically *tva* becomes *cca* through *tya*, *thva* becomes *cha* through *thya*, *dva* becomes *jja* through *dya* and *dhva* becomes *jīha* through *dhya*. *tva=cca* : M. AMg. JM. *caccara=catvara* (Hc. 2,12; Ki. 2,33; H. ; Vivāga^s. 103 f.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), beside M. Š. *cattara* (Hc. 2,12; Ki. 2,33; H. ; Mṛcch. 6,7; 28,20; Bālar. 147,20); AMg. JŠ. *tacca=**tātva (§ 281); A. *pahuccāi=**prabhuṭvati (§ 286); AMg. JM. JŠ. in the absol. tives, as AMg. JŠ. *kiccā=kṛtvā*; AMg. JM. JŠ. *sōccā=śrutvā*; AMg. *bhōccā=bhuktvā*; AMg. *ciccāna, cēccāna=**tyaktvāna, *hiccānaṁ=**hitvānam (§587). — *thva=cha*: AMg. *picchi=pīthvī* (Hc. 2,15). — *dva=jja*: AMg. *vijjan=vidvān* (Hc. 2,15; Sūyag. 126,306). — *dhva=jīha*: AMg. JM. *jīhaya*

(Hc. 2,27; Nāyādh. § 47; Vivāgas. 61; Kappas. § 4.32; T. 5,10; Erz.); AMg. *isijjhaya*=*r̥idhvaja* (Uttar. 630); *arūnajjhaya* (Uvās. § 179; 277,5), *indajjhaya* (Samav. 97), *mahindajjhaya* (Thāñ. 266; Jīv. 551 f.; Kappas. p. 96,26), *maṅgalajjhaya* (Jīv. 552), *ūsiyajjhaya*=*ucchritadhvaja* (Nāyādh. 481; Ovav. § 40), *kaṇagajjhaya* (Nāyādh. 1084), *cindhajjhaya*=*cīhnadhvaja* (Nirāyāv. § 5), *dhammajjhaya* (Ovav. § 16), *chattajjhaya*=*chattradhvaja* (Pañhāv. 286), *tālajjhātūviddha*=*tāladvajodviddha* (Pañhāv. 249), *sejjhaya* (Samav. 97; Rāyap. 128; Ovav. § 2. 42. 49), beside M. *dhaa* (Hc. 2,27; H. R.), JM. *dhyā* (Pāiyal. 68; Erz.), M. Š. *maaṛaddhaa* (H.; Karp. 66,11; 76,9; 83,1; 110,5; Šak. 120,5; Bālar. 289,13; Viddiṇaś. 105,8); P. *makaraddhaya* (Hc. 4,323), but AMg. *mayārajjhaya* (Pañhāv. 286); JM. *garuḍaddhaya* (Dvār. 507,37), but AMg. *garuḍajjhaya* (Pañhāv. 235); AMg. *tāladdhaya* (Samav. 236). — A. *jhuṇi* (Hc. 1,52; 4,432), beside Š. *dhuṇi* (Pras. 14,10; Kamṣav. 9,15; Vṛṣab. 48,9)= *dhuṇi* from * *dhuṇi* with *u* according to § 104. — AMg. *bujjhā*=*buddhvā* (Hc. 2,15), *abujha* (Sūyag. 504). — M. JM. Š. *sajjhasa*=*sādhwasa* (Hc. 2,26; Ki. 2,75; Mk. f. l. 23; G.; Erz.; Jivān. 88, 14; Mālatim. 276,6; Pāvatip. 12,14,23), beside *saddhasa* (Mk.). Nāgān. 27,14 stands *adisaddhasena*; the c. d. Calc. 1873 p. 27,1 has *adisajjhaseṇa*. — *māukka* is not = *mṛduṭva* (Hc. 2,2; Mk. fol. 26), but = * *māṛdukyā* from *mṛduka* (cf. § 52), as JM. *garukka* (Ki. 13)= * *gukukya* from *guruka* (§ 123).

§ 300. However, *va* behind *ta* shifts to *pa*, behind *da* to *ba*; dialectically *tva* becomes *p̥pa*, *dva* becomes *bba*¹. *tva*=*p̥pa*: M. *pāhuppa*=* *prabhutvati* (§ 286); A. *pai*=*tvām*, *tvayā* and *tvayi* (§ 421); A. -*p̥pana*=-*tvana*, as *vaddappaṇa* beside *vaddhattana* = * *vaṭratvana*, *manusappaṇa* = * *manusatvana* (§ 597); A. absolute in -*ppi*=-*tvī*, as *jiṇēappi*, *jēppi*=* *jitvī*; *gampi*=* *gantvī*=Vedic *gatvī*, *gamēppi*=* *gamtvī*, and -*ppinu*=-*tvīnam*, as *gameppinu*, *gampinu*=* *gamtvinam*; *kareppinu*=* *karitvinam* (§ 588). This secondary *pa* becomes *va* as well, as *karevi* beside *kareppi*; *levinu* beside *leppinu*; *rameppi* beside *ramevēppi* (§ 184,588). On *p̥pa* from *tma* see § 277. — *dva*=*bba*: PG M. AMg. *be*, A. *bi*=*dve*, *bēṇṇi*, *biṇṇi*=* *dveni* (436, 437); M. *biuṇa*=*dviguṇa* (Hc. 1,94; 2,79; G. H. R.)², but Š. Mg. *diuṇa* (§ 298); AMg. JM. *bāra;a*, A. *bāraha*=*dvādaśa* (§ 443), as generally AMg. JM. A. *bā°*=*dvā°* (§ 445 ff.); M. *biia*, *bia*, *bijja*, AMg. JM. *biija*, *biya*, A. *bīa*=*dvitiya* (§ 82,91. 165. 449); M. A. *bāra*=*dvāra* (C. 3,7; Hc. 1,79; 2,79. 112; H.; Hc. 4,436); AMg. JM. *bāravai*=*dvāvatī* (Nāyādh. 524. 1296 ff.; Nirāyāv. 79; Dvār. 495,1 ff.); *bisamtaava*=*dvīṣamtaapa* (Hc. 1,177); M. *besa*=*dvēṣa* (G.); M. AMg. = *dvēṣya* (Hc. 2,92; G. H.; Pañhāv. 397; Uttar. 33), metrically also AMg. *bäissa* (Uttar. 961). *dhva*=*bbha*; JM. *ubbha*=*ūrdhva* (Hc. 2,59; Erz.); JM. *ubbhaya*=*ūrdhvaka* (Pāiyal 234); M. *ubbhia*, JM. *ubbhiya*=* *ūrdhvita* (R.; Erz.), *ubbheha*=* *ūrdhvayata* (Erz. 40,15), beside M. JM. Š. Mg. A. *uddha* (§ 83), AMg. JM. *uddha* (Āyār. 1,1,1,1,5,2 3; 1,2,5,4,6,5; 1,4,2,3,4 etc.; Sūyag. 215 273 288 304. 590. 914 931; Vivāhap. 11. 101. 105 f. 260 etc.; Erz.). — *nva*=*ṇṇa*: M. JM. *anṇesāṇa*=*anvesāṇa* (G.; Erz.), Š. *anṇesāṇa*=*anvesāṇā* (Vikr. 32,3), *anṇesiadi*=*anvisaye*, *anṇesidavva*=*anvesitavya* (Mṛcch 4,4,21); Š. *dhanṇantari*=*dhanvantari* (Bālar. 76,1); Mg. *maṇṇantala*=*manvantara* (Prab. 50,13; so correctly ed. Bombay. M. P.); Š. *evāṁ*, *nedāṁ*=*evaṁ* *nv etat*; Š. Mg. *kim* *nedāṁ*=*kim* *nv etat* (§ 174).

1. ASCOLI, Vorlesungen p. 59; KRITISCHE STUDIEN p. 197 ff.; PISCHEL, GGA. 1881, p. 1317 f. — 2. The Indian editions and WEBER in H. mostly write *v* in lieu of *b*.

§ 301. If the first member of conjunct consonant is a sibilant and the consonant following it, a tenuis, as a rule, the sibilant is assimilated

to the tenuis which gets aspirated. If, however, the sibilant stands at the end of a member of a compound, generally the aspiration of the initial tenuis of the following member does not take place, particularly when the first member is a prefix¹. *śca=ccha* (Vr. 3,40; Hc. 2,21; Ki. 2,92; Mk. fol. 25); M. Ś. *acchariu*, JM. *acchariyā*, Ś. *accharia*, M. AMg. *acchera*; *accharija*; AMg. JM. *accheraya*, AMg. *accheraga=āścarya*, *āścaryaka* (§ 138, 176); M. AMg. JM. Ś. *pacchā=paścāt* (G. H. R.; Erz.; Vivāh p. 101; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Mṛcch. 150,18; Śak. 105,14; Karp. 33,8); A. *pacchi=***paśce* (Hc. 4,388); M. AMg. JM. Ā. *pacchima=paścima* (Grr.; G. R.; Vivāhap. 63; Uvās.: Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 99,18); Ś. *pacchādāva=paścāttāpa* (Vikr. 33,11; 38,17); AMg. *pājaccutta*, AMg. A. *pacchitta=prāyaścita* (§ 165); AMg. JM. *nicchaya*, A. *nicchaa=niścaya* (Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.; Kk.; Hc. 4,422,10), but M. *niccaa* (R.); AMg. J. I. *nicchija=niścita* (Dasav. 642,7; Nirayāv.; Erz.), Ś. *nicchida* (Bālar. 87,1), but also Ś. *niccida* (Muūlār. 208,10 ed. Calc. Samvat 1926; Mahāv. 55,1 ed. Bomb.); M. Ś. A. *niccalā=niścala* (Hc. 2,21,77; Mk. fol. 25; G. H. R.; Mṛcch. 59,24; Mudrār. 44,6; Hc. 4,436), AMg. JM. *niccalā* (Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.); M. AMg. *niccēttha=niścēta* (R.; Nirayāv.); M. *duccaria*, JM. *duccariya*, Ś. *duccarida=duścarita* (H.; Erz.; Mahāv. 118,11); AMg. *duccara=duścara* (Āyār. 1,8,3,2), *duccaraga* (Āyār. 1,8,3,6); JM. Ś. *tavaccarana=tapaścarana* (Dvār. 496,19; 502,36; 505,15,38; Mṛcch. 68,8,9; 72,6; Pārvatīp. 24,3; 25,19; 26,23; 27,2,10). — *nahaara* is not = *nabhaśvara* (Ki. 2,110), but = **nabhacara* (§ 347). M. JM. Ś. *harianda* (G.; Ki. 3; Kārp. 58,4), JM. *hariyanda* (Dvār. 503,16) is not = *hariścandra* (Hc. 2,87; Ki. 2,110 [text *harianno*, LASSEN *hariando*]), whch becomes Mg. *haliccanda* (Caṇḍak. 43,5), but = *haricandra* like M. *hārianda* (G.) = *hāricandra*. — *cūī* may be = **ścutāti* (Hc. 2,77; cf. § 210, note 2), or = **cyutāti*. — In M. *viṁchua*, *viṁchia* beside *vicchua*, AMg. *vicchuya*, *vicchija=vrścika* (§ 50,118) the nasal vowel is to be explained as in M. *piṁcha=piccha*; *guṁcha=guccha*; *puṁcha=puccha* (§ 74); *viṁcua* (§ 50) is treated according to the rule which holds good for compounds. — Corresponding to the change of old *ccha* to *śca* (§ 23), in Mg. *śca* remains unaltered : *āścalia=āścarya* (§ 138); *niścaa=niścaya* (Mṛcch. 40,4; t. xt. °*cā*°); *niścala* (Mṛcch. 135,2); *paścādo=paścāt* (Veṇiṣ. 35,10 in Hc. 4,299; Bengal recension *paccādo*²); *paścā* (M. idrār. 174,8 [text *pacchā*; cf. v.1]; Caṇḍak. 42,12 [text *pacchā*]); *pīścima* (Mṛcch. 169,22; text *paccchima*; v. l. *paccima* and *paksima*); *śilaścālaṇa=śiraścālana* (Mṛcch. 126,7). — *ścha* becomes *čha*: M. *nicchallīta=niśchallīta* (G.); AMg. *niūchodejja=niśchoteyam* (Uvās. § 200); JM. *niccholiūṇa=niśchodya* (Erz. 59,13).

1. LASSEN, Inst. p. 261,264. — 2. FISCHEL on Hc. 4,299.

§ 302. *śka* and *śkha* become *kkha* as a rule (Vr. 3,29; Hc. 2,4; Ki. 2,88; Mk. fol. 24). *nikkha=niśka* (Hc. 2,4), according to Mk. fol. 24 also *nikka*; Ś. *pōkkhara*, AMg. JM. *pukkha=a=puśhara*, AMg. Ś. *pōkkhariṇī*, AMg. *pukkhariṇī* (§ 125); *mukkha=muśka* (Bh. 3,29); M. AMg. *vikkhambha=vikṣambha* (Ki. 2,88; R.; Ovav.). In many cases, however, the aspiration does not take place, even outside the compounds, while it sometimes appears in compound against the rule: M. Ś. *kikkindha=kīśkindha* (R.; Anaraghār 262,5); M. AMg. JM. *caükka=catuśka* (Deśin. 3,2; G.; Āyār. 2,11,10; Anuo. 388; Pannav. 702; Nāyādh. § 65; p. 1294; Ovav.; Nirayāv.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.); Ś. *cadukkiā=catuśkikā* (Bālar. 136,16; Viśdhaś. 52,4 [text *cāī*°]); AMg. JM. *turukka=turuśka* (Panhāv. 258; Samav. 210; Pannav. 96,99,110; Vivāhap. 941; Rāyap. 28,36,60,190; Uvās.; Ovav.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Āv. 40,17 [read so]); Ś. *dhānukka*

= *dhanuska* (Mk. fol. 24; Īlāl. 86,15 2(2,16), *ahāṇukkadā* (Bālār. 261,1); *sakkai=śvaṣkati* (Mk. fol. 55; WEBER o¹ H. 608), *osakka=***apasyaṣkna* (g. ne way; Deśīn 1,149; Pāyāl 178), AMg. *osakkai* (Pāṇṇav. 541), M. *osakkanta* (R.), AMg. *avasaṭkejjā* (Āyār. 1,2,5,3), AMg. *paccosakkai=***pratyapasyaṣkati* (Nāyādh. 1463; Vivāh. p. 1035.1217.1248), M. *parisakkai* (H. R. [text falso: *padi*^o]), M. *parisakhaṇa* (G. R.); AMg. *sakkuli* beside *samkuli=śaṣkuli* (§ 74); AMg. JM. *sukka=śuṣka* (Hc. 2,5; Anuttar. 11 13; Nāyādh. 984; Vivāhap. 270; Uttar. 758 ff; Uvās.; Kappas.; E:z), *sukkanti* (D. śīn 8,18,23), A. *sukkahī* (Hc. 4,427) = **śuṣkanti*, M. AMg. *parisukka=pariśuṣka* (G. ; Ut. ar. 53); beside M. AMg. Š *sukkla* (Hc. 2,5; H. R. ; Dasav. N 660, 16; Mṛcch. 2,15; 44,4), Š. *sukkhāṇa* (Mṛcch. 18,4) M. *sukkhanta* (H.), *osukkha*, *osukhanta*. (R.) Examples for compounds are : M. *nikkaiava=niskaitava* (H.); M. Š *nikkamfa=niskampa* (G. R. ; Śak. 126,14; Mahāv. 32,21); M. JM. *nikkaiaya=niskāraṇa* (G. R. ; Dvār.); AMg. *nikkāṇa=niskāṇa* (Vivāhas. 102), *nikkāñkada=niskāñkata* (Pāṇṇav. 118; Ovāv.); M. Š. *nikkiva=niskṛpa* (Pāiyāl. 7.); H. ; Śak. 55,16; Cand. k. 87,2); M. AMg. Š. A. *dukkara=duskara* (Hc. 2,4; G. H. R. ; Vivāhap. 817; Uvās.; Mṛcch. 77,14; Hc. 4,414,4,441), AMg. *dukkada*, JM. *dukkaya* (§ 49) *kram+ni* forms in M. *nikkhamai*, in AMg. *nikkhamai* (§ 481), AMg. *nikkhamma=niskramya* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1; Kappas.), *nikkhami santi*, *nikkhamimśu*, *nikkhamitiae* (Kappas.); AMg. JM. *nikkhanta* (Āyār. 1,1,3,2; Erz.); AMg. *padinikkhamai* (§ 481); AMg. JM. *nikkhamana* (Kappas. ; Erz.) M. has also *nikkamai* (H.), *vinnikkamai* (G.) beside *vinnikkhamai* (G.), a point on which the manuscripts vary. Š. has only *nikkamadi* (§ 481), *nikkamidūm* (Mudrār. 43,6), *nikkamanta* (Muḍrār. 186,2), *nikkanta* (Mṛcch. 1. 51,5.8.12), *nikkāññāśāmi* (Mṛcch. 52,9); Dh. *nikkamia* (Mṛcch. 36,23); D. *nikkamantassa* (Mṛc. h. 105,24). — In Mg. *ska* becomes *ska* and *śkha* becomes *skha* (Hc. 4,289): *śuṣka=śuṣka*; *dhanuskhanda=dhanuṣkhanḍu*. According to Nāmī ādhu on Rudrāta, Kāvyālāmkāra 2,12, *ska* and *śkha* appear, and thus the lat. av. has *tuluṣka=turuṣka* (565,14 17), *śuṣke=śuṣkāḥ* (566,12). The texts write *kkha*, *kka*. So Mṛcch. 21,17 *śukkhe*, but MS. A. *śuske*; 132,24;133,17; *śukkha* without v. l.; 161,7 *śukkāḥ*, v. l. *śuṣkā=śuṣkāḥ*; 133,15,16 *śukkhāvaiś-śāñ* without v. l.; 112,11 *pōkkhalinie*, 113,22 *pukkhaliṇie* without v. l.; 134,1;165,22;166,22 *nikkamadi*, *nikkama* with the v.l. *ṇiṣkama*, *nikkama* 133, 21;173,9 *nikkide*, 134,13 *nikkidām=niskṛitāḥ*, *niskṛitam* without v. l.; 43,4; 175,15 *dukkala=duskara* without v. l.; 125,1 4 *dukkida=duskṛta* with the v. l. *dukkhida*, *dukkida*, *duhkida* etc. We should read *śuṣka*, *pōskalīṇi*, *niskamadi*, *niskida*, *duskala*, *duskida* etc.

§ 303. *ṣṭa* and *ṣṭha* before *t̄tha* (Vr. 3,10.51; C. 3,8 11; Hc. 2,34.90; Ki. 2,86.49; Mk. fol. 21,19) : PG. *aggit̄thoma=agniṣṭoma* (5,1; cf. LEUMANN, EI.2,484), *at̄thārasa=aṣṭādaśa* (6,34), *vēt̄thi=vīṣṭi* (6,32); M. *it̄tha=iṣṭa* (H.), *dit̄thi=dṛṣṭi* (G. H. R.), *muṭṭhi=muṣṭi* (G. H. R.) — PG. *kaṭṭha=kāṣṭha* (6,33); *goṭṭhi=gṛṣṭhi* (G.), *niṭṭhura=nīṣṭhura* (G. H. R.), *sūṭhū=sūṣṭhū* (G. H. R.). Likewise the other dialects except Mg. In Mg. *ṣṭa* and *ṣṭha* become *ṣṭa* (Hc. 4,289,290) : *kaṣṭa=kaṣṭa*; *kōṣṭāgāla=koṣṭhāgāra*; *śuṣṭu=suṣṭhu*. Nāmī ādhu on Rudrāta, Kāvyālāmkāra 2,12 prescribes *s* for *ṣ* in consonant groups (cf. § 302): *kōṣṭāgāla* (MSS. *kosthā*^o, *kiṣṭa*^o; e¹.*kāṣṭa*^o). The manuscripts of the dramas vary; the texts have *t̄tha* mostly falsely, STENZLER in Mṛcch., mostly has *ṣṭa*. Thus in Mṛcch. *kaṣṭa=kaṣṭa* (29,18;127,13); the MSS. have *kaṣṭa*, *kaṭṭha*, *kaṭṭa*; *duṣṭuna*, *pabbhaṭṭe=***dṛṣṭvāna*, *prabhraṣṭah*; (29,21); the MSS. have *daṭṭhāṇa*, *pabbhaṭṭhe*; *duṣṭa=duṣṭa* (19,5; 20,17; 21,8; 40,9; 79,17.18; 112.14.21; 113,19; 133,19; 151,25); the MSS. have mostly *duṭṭha*, partly *duṣṭa*, *duṣṭha*, *dutṭha*, *duha*, *duṣṭa*, *duṣṭha*; *panaṣṭa*

=*pranaṣṭā* (14,11); the MSS. have °*tīhā*, °*śtā*, °*śtā*, °*śthā*; *palāmiṣṭā* =*parāmrstā* (16,23); the MSS. have °*mīśā*, °*mīśvā*, °*mitthā*, °*mīṣṭā*, °*mīṣṭhā*, °*mīṭṭā*; *uvavīśe*, °*pavīśā*, °*ppavīśtam*=*upavīśtah*, °*pravīśtam* (14,10; 21,12.21.22), the MSS. have *vīše*, °*vīt̄he*, *vīše*, °*vīśtam*, °*vīṣṭā*, °*vīt̄ha*, °*vīśtam*, °*vīt̄hā*, °*vīṣṭā*, etc.; *laṣṭia*=*rāṣṭrika* (121,12;125,21; 130,13; 138,14), the MSS. have *laṭṭhia*, *laṭṭia*, *laṣṭhia*, *laṣṭia*, *śavē tīhanām* (11,22), but *śavē ṣtanēna* (127,12) =*śavētanam*, °*nena*, the MSS. point to °*vedha*° (see STENZLER p. 242 301; GODBOLE p. 32.35 and §304), and so GODBOLE 32,9 rightly reads *śavedhanām* etc.; Prab : *mitt̄ham=miṣṭam* (46,17); *pāṇat̄hassa=pranaṣṭaya* (50,14); *uvadīt̄he=upadiṣṭah* (51,2); *dūṭha=dūṣṭa* (51,10); *dīṭhānde* (sic; 51,10; Bomb. *dīṭhāndō*, M. *dīṭhānde*, P. *dīṭhānde*); so also Bombay M., whilst P. except 50,14, h. s always °*tīhā*°. BROCKHAUS does not note any v.l. Venīs. : *pāṇat̄ha=pranaṣṭa* (35,2.7) without v.l.; Mudrār. : *pavē tīthum=praveṣṭum* (185,6), printed *pavēt̄hūm* for which the best MSS. and the ed. Calc. 156,8 have *pavisidūm* (read °*śv*°) etc.—*śtha*: Mṛcch. : *kōṣṭake=kōṣṭhaka* (113,15), the MSS. have *koghaṭake* (?), *koṣṭake*, *kōṣṭhake*, *koṣake*, *koṣṭhake*, while in Venīs. 33,6 *gōt̄hāgāle*, in the ed. Calc. p.69,1 *kōṣṭhāgāle* stand, and the MSS. have mostly *kōt̄hāgāle*, none of them has °*śta*, in the face of Hc.'s *kōṣṭāgālām*, apparently taken from here and Nāmisādhu's *kōṣṭhāgālām*; *pīṭi*, *puṭī*=*prīṭha* (79,9;165,9), the MSS. *pīṭhi*, *puṭhi*, and so Venīs. 35,5.10 *pīṭhado*, *'nupīṭhām=prīṭhato*, *'nuprīṭham*, where *pīṭhado anupīṭham* should be read; *śuṭtu=suṣṭhu* (36,11;112,9;115,16;164,25), the MS. *sut̄tu*, *śuṭtu*, *śuṣṭu*, in the face of Hc.'s *śustu*; for *śoṭhakām* (20,21) we should read *śoṭukām=***suṣṭhukām*; the MSS. have *śoṇukām*, *śoṇukām*, *śoṭhikām*, *śoṭhakām*, *śoṣakām*, the ed. Calc. has *soṭhikām*, which it explains as =*svastikām*; *śeṣti=śreṣṭhi-* (38,1), the MSS. have °*tīha*°, as is printed in Mudrār. 257,5 (the ed. Calc. 212,10 °*ttī*°) etc. *śtha* is retained according to Vr. 11,14; Hc. 4,298 in Mg. *cisthadi=tisthati*. STENZLER in Mṛcch. writes throughout *cīṣṭadi* (pro ex. 9,22.24;10,2.12; 79,16;90,3;97,2 etc.), the MSS. mostly have *cīṣṭha*, *cīṣṭa*, *cīṣṭha*, only quite rarely *cīṣṭa*; Prab. 32,11; in Mudrār. 185,8;267,2 occurs °*tīha*°, °*ttīa*°, °*tīṭha*° in the different editions. In Kī. 5,95 the printed edition has *cīṭṭa*, LASSEN, Inst. p. 393 *chiṭṭha*. P. also has the like-form according to Kī. In Simhadēvaganin on Vāgbhaṭālāmkāra 2,2 *cīṭṭha* is edited.—In P., according to Hc.4,313, the dental may enter into the ending °*śvāna* of the absol.: *naṭhūna* beside *yaṭhūna=***naśtvāna*, *tatthūna* beside *taṭhūna=***dr̄śtvāna*. On P *kasāṭa=kāṣṭa* see § 132. M. *vuttha* with its compounds, as *uvvuttha*, *pāt̄uttha*, *padiuttha*, *parivuttha*; JM. *pavuttha* (§ 564) is not = **uṣṭa* (BÜHLER, Pāīyal. s.v. *vut̄ho*), which would have given **vut̄tha* or **uṭ̄tha*, but = **vasta* with a secondary transition of *a* into *u* according to § 104. Like M. *vasia* and its compounds, such as *uvvasia*, *pavasia*, S. *uvavasida=***vasita* (§ 564), so is also *vut̄tha=***vasta*, formed from the present stem, beside the regular M. *usia=usita* (G.). Cf. § 337. ālē ddhuām (Hc. 1,24;2,164), ālē ddhuām (Hc. 2,164), āliddha (Hc. 2,49; Pāīyal. 85; Desīn. 1,66), M. āliddhaa (Vikr. 51,6) are not to be derived with Hc. from *śliṣ*, but they belong to ālihaī (touches; Hc. 4,182; cf. BÜHLER, Pāīyal. s v. āliddhām), which = āledhi from *lih* of the 6th class with ā, and is = *ālihati, and are = *ālegdhukām, *ālegdhūm, *āligdha, *āligdhaka. *h* here is to be treated according to the analogy of roots in an old gh. So correctly already BOLLENSEN, Vikr. p. 364.

§ 304. In *istiā* (Hc. 2,34), *uṣṭra* (Hc. 2,34; Mk. fol. 21) and *samdaṣṭa* (Hc. 2,34) the aspiration does not take place : M. AMg. JM. *itīā=istiā* (G.; Thān. 478; Antag 29; T. 7,9.15 [so it should be read; cf. v.l. to 7,15]), AMg. JM. *itīlagā=istiakā* (Antag. 28; Pañhāv. 128

[*rkā*]; Āv. 16,10.13; 19,4), AMg. *ittayagini*=*iṣṭakāgni* (Jiv. 293).—*uṭṭa*=*uṣṭra* (*Sūyag.* 253.724 f.; 727; *Vivāgas* 163; Jiv. 356; *Panḥāv.* 304; *Panṇav.* 366. 367; *Uvās.*; *Ovav.*), *uṭṭija*=*aṣṭrika* (*Uvās.*), *uṭṭiṭṭā*=*uṣṭrikā* (*Uvās.*; *Ovav.*). According to Mk. fol. 21 there occurs also *uṭṭha* — M. *samdaṭṭa*=*saṃdaṣṭa* (Deśin. 8,18; G.R. s v. *daṁś*, *daś*). — *daṁṣṭrā* becomes M. AMg. Š. *dāḍhā*, CP. *tāṭhā*; *daṁṣṭrin*, AMg. Š. *dāḍhi*- (§ 76). — *reṣṭate*, with the long vowel retained according to § 87, forms *vedhaṭī*=Pāli *vethati* (Vr. 8,40; Hc. 4,221; Ki. 4,67). So M. *vedhīa*, *āvedhīa* (H.); AMg. *vedhēni* (*Uvās.* § 108), *vedhe* (*Nāyādh.* 621; *Uvās.* 110; *Nirayāv* § 11; *Vivāhap.* 447), *vedhēnti* (*Panḥāv.* 112), *uvvaḍhējja* *vā* *nivvedhējja* *vā* (Āyār. 2,3,2,2), *vedhītā* (*Rāyap.* 266), *vedhāvā* (*Vivāgas.* 170), *āvedhīja*, *parivedhīja* (*Thān.* 568; *Nāyāch.* 1265; *Pannav.* 436; *Vivāhap.* 706 f. 1323); JM. *vedhēttā*, *vedhīja*, *vedhīum*, *vedheum* (K.), *parivedhīja* (*Rśabhap.* 20), *vedhījaya* (*Pāiyal.* 199), *vedhāvīja*, *parivedhāvīja* (T 7,15.17); Š. *vedhīda* (Mṛcch. 44,4; 79,20 [to be so read; see v.l.]; M. AMg. Š. *vedha*=*veṣṭa* (G.H.R.; Anuog. 557; Jiv. 862; *Nāyādh.* 1323.1370; *Rāyap.* 266; Bālār. 168,6; 267,1); M. *vedhapa*=*vṛṣṭana* (H.R.), Mg. *avedhapa* (Mṛcch. 11,22; 127,12; so i shuld be read; see § 303). AMg. *vedhīma* (Āyār. 2,12,1; 2,15,20; Anuog. 29; Panḥāv. 490.519; Thān. 339; *Nāyādh.* 269; *Vivāhap.* 823; Jiv. 348.605; *Rāyap.* 186; Nandi. 507; Dasav. N. 651, 10; *Ovav.*); M. AMg. *āvedha* (R.; Panḥāv. 185); M. *āvedhana* (G.). Likewise formed are: AMg. *kodha* from *kōṭṭha*, **kuṭṭha*=*kuṣṭha*, *kodhi*- from and beside *kōṭṭhi*, *kuṭṭhi*, *kodhiya*=*kuṣṭika* (§ 66); AMg. *sedhi* from **sēṭṭhi*, **sitti*=*śiṣṭi*, *sedhīya*, *anusedhi*, *pasedhi*, *visedhi* (§ 66); AMg. J.S. *lodha*=*loṣṭa* (Dasav. 620,14; Pav. 389,10), beside Š. *loṭṭhaka* (Mṛcch. 79,21), Mg. *loṭṭagudiā* (Mṛcch. 80,5). Beside the regular AMg. *leṭṭhu*=*leṣṭu* (Panḥāv. 502; *Ovav.*; Kappas.), JM. *leṭṭhuja*=*leṣṭuka* (Erz.); Š. *leṭṭhuā*=*leṣṭukā* (Mṛcch. 78,12) stands *leḍhukka* (Deśin. 7,24; Pāiyāl. 153) with duplication of *k* according to § 194, further with dropping of the aspiration *ledu* (Pāiyāl. 153), *ledua* (Deśin. 7,24; Pāiyāl. 153), *ledukka* (Deśin. 7,29)=Pāli *leḍḍu*, and the AMg. *lelu*, written *lelu* (§ 226; Āyār. 1,8,3,10; 2,1.3,4,5,2; 2,10,8; *Sūyag.* 647.692; Dasav. 616,14; 630,17), going back to *ledu*. Instead of *dhā* there occurs *lha* (written *lha*) in *kōlhua*=**kroṣṭuka*, *kuļha*=*kroṣṭi*, *kōlhāhala*=**kroṣṭāphala* (§ 242). The same soundchange, without lengthening of the vowels, has taken place in M. *maradhi*=*māhārāṣtri*; AMg. *adha*=*asta*, *uṣadha*=*utsrsta*, *nisadha*=*niṣṛṣṭa*; M. *visadha*=*viṣṛṣṭa*; AMg. JM. *samosadha*=*samavaṣṛṣṭa* (§ 67). Cf. § 564.

§ 305. *spa* and *spha* become *ppha* (Vr. 85.51; Hc. 2,53.90; Ki. 2,100.49; Mk. fol. 25,19); PG. *pupha* i.e. *puppha*=*puspa* (6,34), M. AMg. JM. Š. *puppha* (H.R.; Āyār. 2,3,3,9; Uttar. 981; Kappas.; Erz.; Häsy. 31,21), Š. *pupphaka*=*puspaka* (Mṛcch. 68,9); S.A. *pupphakarandaa*=*puspakarandaka* (Mṛcch. 93,9; 107,2; 100,24); A. *pupphavai*=*puspavati* (Hc. 4,438,3); *sappa*=*faspa* (Bh. 3,35; Hc. 2,53). *bāspa* in the sense of “tear”, according to § 87.188, becomes *bāha* through **bāpha*, in the sense of steam, however, it becomes *bappa* (Vr. 3,38; Hc. 2,70; Mk. fol. 25). Thus M. JM. S.A. *bāha* (tear: G.H.R.; Acyutaś. 60; Vikr. 51,8; 53,6; 54,10; Karp. 43,12; 44,6; Bālār. 156,16; Erz. 8,9 [*vāha*]; Dvār. 507,16; Sagara. 8,14; Rśabhap. 12; Mṛcch. 325,15; Šak. 82,11; Mālatīm. 89,7; Uttarar. 78,5; Rātn. 298,26; Bālār. 281,3; Karp. 83,2; Mallikām. 161,11; 196,18 [*vā*°]; Caii. 38,10 [*vā*°]; Hc. 4,395,2; Vikr. 59,6; 60,17; 61,5; 69,21); Š. *bappa* (steam; Jivān. 43,10). Instead of *bappa* Mk. fol. 25 has *bappa*, as in Pāli, and as taught by him in the section on Š. fol. 68, that in Š. in the meaning “tear” *bappa* also may be used. Whether *bappa* is not merely an error of MSS. for *bappa* cannot be said with certainty. In Venīs. 62,13; 63,17; 76,4, *bappa* is edited; the ed. Calc. has *bappa*, *bāspa*; in Mudrār.

260,4, there stands in the text *vāha*; but the best MSS. have *bāpā*, P. *bāppha*, the ed. Calc. Saṁv. t 1926 p. 214,6 has *bappa*; at Rukminīp. 30,1, there stands *bappa*, likewise in Mallikām. 85,14; 124,22 [*vā*]. The vv. ll. *vaspa*, *vāspa*, *vāppa*, *vāppha* in Śak. 140;13 also point to *bappa* or *bappha*; in Priyad. 42,4 there stands *bappa*, in the ed. Calc. 47,1 *vappa*; in Cait. 44,8 stands *vāspa*; in Śak. 82,11 Z has *bappha*. Consequently for S. *bappha* too, perhaps *bappa* also, beside *bāha* in the meaning "tear" will be correct. In Pāīyal. 112 *bappha* and *bāha* are given in the meaning "tear". — The compounds oscillate between *ppa* and *ppha*, yet *ppa* predominates: AMg. JM. *caūppāya*, AMg. *caūpāya*, A. *caupāa=catuspada* (§ 439); S. *caduppōdha=catuspatha* (Mṛcch. 25,14; text *caūppaha*); AMg. *duppādhām-saga=duspradh. rṣaka* (Uttar. 286); M. *duppātīa=dusparicita* (R.); M. JM. *duppēccha*, S. *duppēkkha=duspreksya* (R.; Erz.; Lalitav. 555,11; Prab. 45,11); M. *nippacchinu*, *nippattā*, *nippūvāsa=nispāśima*, *nispatīra*, *nispipāsa* (H.), *nippakkha=nispakṣa* (G.), *nippaampa*, *nippasara*, *nippaha=nispakampa*, *nispasara*, *nispabhā* (R.); AMg. *nippānka=nispanka* (Pañnav. 118; Ovav.). B-side M. *nippaṇṇa=nispanna* (H.), one says more usually¹ M. *nippahna*, JM. AMg. *nippahna* (R.; Erz.; Kk.; Thān. 525; Das v. N. 653,20; 657,5; Nāyādh.; Kappas.); *nipphesa=nispesa* (Hc. 2,53); AMg. *nippāra=nispāva* (Thān. 398), but more frequently *nippahāva* (Bh. 3,35; Hc. 2,53; Sūyag. 747, Pañnav. 34; JM. *nippāyiya=nispādita* (Eiz.). One always says M. S. *nippanda*, AMg. *nippanda*, which should be equated as *nispanda* (H. R.; Ait. 48; Nāyādh. 1383; Uvās.; Kappas.; Māhāv. 14,20; Mallikām. 85,14; 87,9; 124,6; 154,21; 221,12; Cait. 43,4). — *sph=ph*: M. *nippura=nispura* (G.), M. S. *nippala*, JM. *nippala=nispala* (H. R.; Dvā. 501,30; Rṣa. hap. 14; Lalitav. 555,8; Mṛcch. 120,7; Muḍrār. 266,2; Cāṇḍak. 8,11; Mallikām. 181,17; 224,5). — In Mg. *spa* becomes *spa* and *spha* becomes *spha* (Hc. 4,289); *saspakavala=saspakavala*, *nispala=nispala*. According to Nāmisādhu on Rudrata, Kāvylālamkāra 2,12 *spa* and *spha* should be written in such words. In Mṛcch. there stands *pupphakalandā=puspakaranya* (113,20), *pupphakalandāa* (96,18; 99,4; 100, 21; 158,22), *pupphakalandāka* (129,5; 132,2; 133,2; 140,8,14; 146,16; 162,18; 173,11). The MSS. have partly *puspa*, *puspha*. At 116,7, stanis *duppēkkhe=duspreksya*; the v.l. is *duppēcche*. One reads *puspa*^o and *duspe ske*.

¹. So correctly S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Rāvaṇavaho together with a translation 432; false PISCHEL, GGA. 1880 p. 329.

§ 306. *ska* and *skha* become *kha* (Vr. 3,29 51; C. 3,3; Hc. 2,4.90; Ki. 2,88.49; Mk. fol. 24,19) · M. AMg. JM. *khandha=skandha* (G. H. R.; Āyār. 2,1 7,1,8,11; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Nirāyāv.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); PG. *khamdakō m̄disa=skandakundināh* (6,19); M. AMg. JM. S. A. *khambha=skambha* (G. R.; Ac. utaś. 42,51; Sūyag. 961; Jiv. 448.481; Pañhāv. 279; Samav. 101; Vivāhap. 658. 660. 823; Rāyāp. 58. 144; Nāyādh. § 21.122; p. 1054; Ovav.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 40,22; 68,18; Viddhaś. 60,2; Dhūras. 6,5; Hc. 4,399). The grammarians (Vr. 3,14; Bh. 3,50; C. 3,10.19; Hc. 1,187; 2,8,89; Ki. 2,77; Mk. fol. 21) derive *khambha* from *stambha*, since they make classical Skt. th as basis. It is naturally = Vedic *skambha*. *avakkhanda=avaskanda* (Hc. 2,4); AMg. *amaṇakha*, *samanakha=amanaska*, *samanaska* (Sūyag. 842); *makkhara=maskara* (Ki. 2,88). In *skanda*, according to Hc. 2,5; Mk. fol. 24 aspiration may not take place; *khanda* and *kanda*. As a rule it does not occur in compounds (§ 301): *ēkkāra=ayaskāra* (Hc. 1,166); AMg. JM. *namo k̄kāra=namaskāra* (Hc. 2,4; Āyār. 2,15.22; Erz.; Kk.), beside *namo j̄āra*, *navajāra* (C. 34 p. 51) and M. *namakkāra* (G.); cf. § 195; AMg. JM. *takkara=taskora* (Pañhāv. 120; Nāyādh. 1417; Uttar. 289; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.), AMg. *tukkarattāna* (Pañhāv. 147); S. *tirakkāra=*

tiraskāra (Prab. 15,1); Š. *tirakkariṇī* = *tira-kuriṇī* (Šak. 119,3). So reads also the Kashmir recension 112,14, whilst the D̄vanāgarī recension 77,9, ed. BÖHTLINGK and the South Indian recension 256,17 read *tirakkha-riṇī*, as also BOLLESEN, Vkr. 24,4; 42,19 against his best MS ., which have °*kka*°; the ed. Bomb. 1888 has at 41,6; 72,1 rightly °*kkha*°; the South Indian MS. of Šak and Vkr. oscillate between °*kkha*° and °*kkta*°. M. Indian MS. of Šak and Vkr. oscillate between °*kkha*° and °*kkta*°. M. *sakkāa*, AMg. JM. *sakkaya*, Š. *sakkadī=saṁskṛta*, AM. JM. *asakkaya* = *asaṁskṛtī*, M. *sakkāra=saiñskāra*, JM. *sakkāriṇī=saṁskārī* (§ 76), AMg. *purakkada=puraskṛta* (Sūyag. 692), *parekāda* (Sūyag. 284,540; Dasav. 627,7; 633,17; Ovav.), beside AMg. *saṁkhāya* (§ 49), *saṁkhadi=saṁskṛti* (Kappas.), *uwakkhaḍa=upaskṛta* (Uttar. 355), *purekkhada* (Pannav. 796 ff.). Cf. § 49. 219 To it belongs also *ṇikkha* (thief; Deśin. 4,47) = **niskṛtī* AMg. *nakkhi* (nose; Deśin. 4,46; Āyār. 2,3,2,5; Sūyag. 280,748) is = **nāṣka* from Vedic *nās* with a change of gender; to it belongs *nakkasīrā* (nostril; Pāīyal. 114). — *skha* = *kkha*: M. JM. *khalaī*, Š. *khaladi* = *skhalati* (R ; Dvār. 504, 34; Šak. 131, 6), Dh. *khalantaā* (Mṛcch. 30,8); M. *khalia*, JM. *khaliya*, Š. *khalida* = *skhalita* (G. H. R.; Erz.; Vkr. 33,9); M. S. *parikkhalanta* (H. R.; Mṛcch. 72,3), M. *parikkhalia* (G. R.). In MG. *śka* and *śkha* are retained according to Hc. 4,289; *maskali=maskarin*; *piskhaladi=prashkhalati*. According to Nāmī-ādhū on Rudrata, Kāvyālāmkāras becomes Š. The texts have *kkha*: *khalaṇī* (Mṛcch. 10,15) *pakhhalanī* (Mṛcch. 9,23; 10,15), *khandēṇa* (Mṛcch. 22,8), without any v. l. *hatthikkhandam* (Šak. 117,4), where R. has °*skandham*. One *ea*'s *skhalatī=paskhalanti*, *skandhena, hastiskandham*. So in all the cases.

§ 307. *sta* and *stha* become *ttha* (Vr. 3,12,51; Hc. 2,45,90; Ki. 2,85,49; Mk. fol. 21,19); M. *thana=stana* (G. H. R.); *thui=stuti* (G.R.), *thoa=stoka* (G. H. R.), *attha=asta* (G. R.) and = *astra* (R.), *attihi=asti* (§ 498), *paithara=prastara* (H.), *hattha=hasta* (G. H. R.); PG. *vatihavāna=vāstavyānām* (6,8), *sahattha=syahasta* (7,51). Likewise the other dialects. In compounds the aspiration does not take place as a rule: AMg. JM. *duttara=dustara* (Āyār. 2,16,10; Sūyag. 213; Erz.), M. *duttāra=dustāra*, *duttārattana=***dustāratvana* (R.), AMg. *suduttāra* (Ovav.); AMg. *nittusa=nistusa* (Pāñhāv. 435). So also M. AMg. *samatla=samasta* (Hc. 2,45; R.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.), beside M. JM. Š. *samattha* (R.; Erz.; Kk.; Māhv. 27,6; 28,1; where, however, the ed. Bomb. 59,4; 62,1 has *samatta*). *uraada*, which Ki. 2,110 equates as = *wastaja*, is explained, as already recognized by LASSEN¹, from the stem *ura-* (§ 407). Beside *thēṇa=stena* (thief; Hc. 1,147; Deśin. 5,29; Pāīyal. 72), *thēṇilla* (taken; fearful; Deśin. 5,32), *thūṇa* (§ 129), AMg. and JM. exclusively have *tena* (Āyār. 2,2,2,4; 2,3,1,9,10; 2,4,1,8; Panhāv. 412 ff.; Samav. 85; Uttar. 228,990; Dasav. 623,36,40; 624,10; 627,34; Uvāc.; Āv. 44,7); AMg. *atenā=astena* (Āyār. 2,2,2,4), *tena* (Ovav.), *tenīja* (Jiyak. 87; Kappas.) = *stainya*. *thena* is related to *tēṇa*, as *stāyu* is to *tāyu* (*t* na(theft) has gone over also to Skt of the Jainas.² According to Hc. 2,46; Mk. fol. 21 *tava* also may be used beside *thava=stava*; according to Vr. 3,13; Hc. 2,45; Mk. fol. 21 *stamba* becomes *tamba*. — *stha=ttha*: M. *thāuda=sthapūta* (G.), *thala=sthala* (G. H.), *thira=sthira* (G. H.), *avatthā=avasthā* (H. R.); Š. *kāatthaa=kāyasthaka* (Mṛcch. 78,13).

¹ Inst. § 82 p. 273. — ² E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 47.

§ 308. Instead of the dental *ttha*, sometimes the cerebral *t̄tha* too occurs for *sta* and *stha*. Sometimes both of them accompany one another, even in various forms and derivatives of the same roots and in the same dialect, without it being possible to find a rule, when one of the

other sounds must stand M AMg. JM. Š. *at̄hi*=*asthi* (Vr.3,11; Hc. 2,32; Kī. 2,69; Mk. fol. 21; G. H.; Anūtar. 11,12; Āyār. 1,1,6,5; 2,1,1,2,3,4; Sūyag. 594; Vivāgas 90; Vivāhap. 89,112 168,183 280 9,6; Thāñ 54 f 186,431; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Candak 87,9); M. *at̄thia*, AMg. *at̄thiya*=*asthika* (H.; Āyār. 2,1,10,6), Š. *at̄thia*=*asthija* (Mṛcch. 69,12; so should be read; cf. v.l.); AMg. *bahuat̄thiya* (Āyār. 2,1,10,5,6). — *stambha* forms *thambha* and *thambha*, when it means “immovability” and “stiffness” (Hc. 2,9). Mk. fol. 21 has *thambha* only, and so M. (R.), JM. *gañthombha*=*gatistambha* (Erz. 82,21), *muhathambha*=*mukhastambha* (Erz. 82,22), Š. *ūrutthambha* (Šak. 27,1; Priyad. 17,12). In the meanings “pole” and “post” one says only *thambha* in M. AMg. Š. (C. 3,11; Hc. 2,1; R.; Vivāhap. 1327; Mālav. 63,1; Viddhīś. 74,7). Beside *thambhijja*=*stabhyate*, Hc. 2,9 teaches also *thambhijjai*. Frequently there occurs in the text the dental *tha*, as M. *thambhia*, AMg. JM. *thambhiya* (G.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.), M. *uttambhijjai*, *uttambhijjanti* (R. G.); M. *uttambhia* (H. R.), Š. *uttambhida* (Priyad. 4,7); cerebral *tha* occurs in A. *uṭhabbhai* (Hc. 3,365,3)¹. On *khamba*, see § 306. — Beside *thera* there occurs more frequently *thera*=*sthavira* (§ 166). — Beside AMg. *tattha*=*trasta* (Uvās.), M. *utattha* (H.), *samtattha* (G.), there occurs, according to Hc. 2,136. also *taṭṭha*. The grammarians (Vr. 8,62; Appendix A 37; Hc. 2,136; Deśin. 8,67; Pāiyāl. 260; Triv. 3,1,132) refer M. *hittha* (H. R.) and *āhittha* (R.) also to *trasta*. S. GOLDSCHMIDT² refers *hittha* to *bhīṣ*, WEBER³ refers it to *dhuasia* or *adhistāt*, which in M. AMg. JM. forms *hēṭṭha*, *hittha* (§ 107) and HOEFER⁴ thought of aspiration of the initial of *trasta*. Dialectically there occur also *hittha* (noun — shame; Pāiyāl. 167), *hitthā* (shame; Deśin. 8,67), *hittha* (ashamed; fearful; Gopāl in Deśin. 8,67; cf. Deśikōsa on H. 386), *āhittha* (angry, confused; Deśin. 1,76; Pāiyāl. 177), and with cerebralization *hitṭha*, *hitṭhahiḍa* (confused; Deśin. 8,67). The interchange of *ttha* and *ṭṭha* points to *sta*, and hence I consider the derivation from *adhistāt* as correct. — Š. *pallatha*, beside the dialectical *pallaṭṭa*, *pallaṭṭai* (§ 285)=*paryasta*; *pallaṭṭa* has lost its aspiration, as *samatta* beside *simattha*=*samasta* (§307). M. Š. A. *visamīhula*=*visāñsthula*, written in Skt. as *visamīsthula* as well (Hc. 2,32; Mk. f. l. 21; Pāiyāl. 264; G. H. R.; Mṛcch. 41,10 v. l.; 117,19; Vikr. 60,18; Prab. 39,8; Mallikām. 13,3; Hc. 4,436).

1. PISCHEL, BB. 15,122. — 2. Rāvaṇavaho s. v. *bhīṣ*. — 3. On Hāla 386. —

4. ZWS. 2,518.

§309. The oscillation between *ttha* and *ṭṭha* is especially shown in the root *sthā* and its derivatives, without one being able, with OSTHOFF¹, to explain *tha* by false analogy. One says: PG. *anuvat̄thāveti*=*anuprasthāpayati* (7,45; cf 184,189); M. JM. *thāi*=**sthāti*, M. *ṇiṭṭhāi*, *sāmthāi*, JM. *thāha*, AMg. *abhuṭṭhanti*; JM. *thājanti*, but A. *thanti*; A. *uṭṭhāi*, JM. *uṭṭhaha*, AMg. JM. *uṭṭhei*, JM. Š. *uṭṭhehi*, but Š. also *utthehi*, *utthedu*, (§ 483); M. *thia*, AMg. JM. *thiya*, Š. *thida*=*sthita* (G.H.R.; Āyār. 1,6,5,5; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Vikr. 42,18; 52,2), but also *thia*, Š. *thida* (Hc.4,16; Vikr.83,20); Mg. AMg. JM. *thavei*, A. *thavehu*, AMg. *thāvei*, JM. *thāvemi*, A. *pathāvīaī*, Š. *paṭṭhāvīa*, beside Š. *samavaṭṭhāvemi*, *pajjavat̄thāvehi* (§551), M. *uṭṭhia*, AMg. JM. *uṭṭhiya* (Hc.4,16; R.; Anuog.60; Vivāhap.169; Āyār.1,5,2,2; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.), but also *utthia*, Š. *utthida* (Hc.4,16; Vikr.75,15; v.l. °*ṭṭhi*°); *paṭṭhīa*=*prasthīta* (Hc. 4,16), but M. *paṭṭhīa* (H. R.), Š. *paṭṭhīda* (Šak. 136,16; Vikr. 16,2; 22,17; Mālatīm. 102,8; 104,2,3; 124,6; Mudrār. 228,5; 261,3; Prab. 17,9; Priyad. 8,16; AMg. JM. *uwaṭṭhīja*=*upasthīta* (Bhag.; Erz.; Kk.), but also Š. *uvat̄thīda* (Šak. 13,79; Vikr. 6,19; 10,2; 43,3); M. AMg. JM. Š. A. *thāna*=*sthāna* (Hc. 4,16; Pāiyāl. 261; G. H. R.; Āyār. 1,2,3,6; 2,2,1,1 ff.; Sūyag. 688; Uttar. 37,5; Vivāhap. 1310; Uvās.; Nāyādh.;

Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Rsabhap. 29; Pav. 313,44; Mrcch. 70,25; 141,2; Šak. 123,7; 154,8; Vikr. 23,15; 44,7 etc.; Hc. 4,362), but also M. *thāṇa* (Hc. 4,16; R.); AMg. *thāṇijja* (respectable; Deśin. 4,5; Nirayāv. § 10), beside *thāṇija* (Deśin. 4,5) = *sthāṇiya*; M. AMg. JM. *thii*, Š. *thidi* = *sthiti* (H. R.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Nirayāv.; Nayādh.; Kappas.; Erz.), but also M. *thii*, Š. *thidi* (R.; Vikr. 28,19; 72,16; at Šak. 107,12), and so many others. At the end of compounds *sthā* always becomes *ṭṭha*; M. *kamalattha*, *karattha* (H.), *dūrattha* (R.); AMg. *āgārattha* (Āyār. 1,8,1,6), *gāratthiya* (Āyār. 2,1,1,7); JM. *āsanattha*, *jōvāṇattha*, *sahāvattha*, *hiyāyattha* (Erz.), Š. *ekatha* (Mrcch. 73,3; Šak. 26,14), *vaattha* = *vayaṭṭha* (Šak. 141,9), *pādiṭṭha* = *prakṛistha* (Šak. 160,13); M. AMg. JM. JS. Š. *majjhaththa* = *madhyastha* (§ 214). Corresponding to Skt. *īṭṭha*, *āsvattha* becomes AMg. *aīsoṭṭha*, *assōṭṭha*, *āsottha* (§ 74); *kapittha* becomes AMg. Mg. *kavitha* (Āyār. 2,1,8,1,6; Mrcch. 21,22), but mostly AMg. *kavīṭṭha* (Nirayāv. 45; Panhav. 31,482; Jiv. 46; Dasav. 623,8; Uttar. 983 f.). — *sthāṇu*, according to Vr. 3,15; Hc. 2,7; Ki. 2,78; Mk. fol. 21, becomes **thāṇu* in the meaning “Śiva”, but in the meanings “stump”, “flock” it becomes *khāṇu*. So M. *thāṇu* ‘Śiva’ (Pāiyal. 21; G.); AMg. *khāṇu* “stump”, “flock” (Panhāv. 509; Nayādh. 335; Uttar. 439), but JM. also *thāṇu* “stump of a tree”, “flock” (Pāyal. 259; Dvār. 504,9), *khāṇu*, beside which *khannu* also is said (Hc. 2,99; Mk. fol. 21,27) goes back to a side-form **skhāṇu*. *thāṇu* is related to *khāṇu* as *stuh* to *to kṣubh*, *stambh* to *skambh*, Pkt. *duttha* to *dukkha* (§ 90.120.306.311). — *sthag* has in M. a dental in the beginning: *thaeu* (R.), *thaesu*, *thāśśāṁ*, *thāūṁ* (H.), *thaīā* (H. R.), *uthāīā*, *samutthaīā* (H.), *oṭṭhaīā*, *samoṭṭhaīā* (R.), in JM. a cerebral: *thaīya*, *thāīūṇa* (Āv. 30,4). The parallel root **sthak* forms in Pāli *thaketi*, in M. JM. Š. Mg. *ḍhakkaī*, *di* (§ 221). Yet there occurs also JM. *thakkissā* (T. 5,19).

¹, Jenauer Literaturzeitung 18,8, p. 486.

§ 310. In Mg. *sta* is retained (Hc. 4,289) and *sthā* becomes *sta* (Hc. 4,291; Nāmisādhu on Rudrata, Kāvyālankāra. 2,12): *hasti* = *hastin*; *uvastida* = *upasthita*; *śamuastida* = *śamupasthita*; *śustida* = *susthita*. According to Nāmisādhu *sta* becomes *śta*. The Lalitav. has: *tatihastehiḥ* = *tatrasthaiḥ* (265,20); *uvastidānāṁ* = *upasthitānāṁ*; *kadastalānāṁ* = *kaṭasthalānāṁ*, *pāśāstide* = *pāśvasthitā*, *niastānādo* = *nijasthānāt* (566,3.9.12.15); *stidā* = *stītā*, *astānastide* *asthānasthītāḥ* (567,1.2). In the Mrcch. STENZLER and GOPABOLE, who here, as in many other places, follows him, mostly write *śta* for *sta*, but *ṭṭha* for *sthā*. Thus *haśta* = *hasta* (12,14; 14,1; 16,23; 21,12; 22,4; 121,25; 122,20; 126,24), but *hattha* (32,18; 39,20; 134,1 2,3; 135,1,2; 160,3; 171,3) and *hatthi* = *hastin* (40,9; 168,4); as also at Šak. 117,4; Venīs. 34,14 it stands. In the Mrcch. the MSS mostly have *ṭṭha*, only at 16,25; 21,12 one of the MSS. has *śta*, at 21,22 one of the MSS. has also *haśce*, and once *haśce*, against this one of them has at 14,1 *hastādo*, at 22,4 five of the MSS. have *haste*, at 126,24 two of them have *haste*, so that *śta* is better warranted than *ṭṭha*. Further pro ex. *thuṇu* = *stuhī* (113,12; 115,9), the MSS. however *stuṇu*; *stuṇa* (or *śnu*, *sunu* and so on = *śnu*); *maśta* and *maśaka* = *masta* and *mastaka* (12,17; 20,17; 21,22; 149,25; 151,24) but *mattha* (161,7); the MSS. mostly have *ṭṭha*, rarely *ṭṭha* and only at 161,7 one of them has *śta*; A points to *ṭṭha* at 12,17; 149,25, as STENZLER writes in *istihiā* = *itthiā* = *strikā* (12,3,5; 119,23; 136,13; 140,10; 145,3,4; 146,4; 164,20), against *itthiā* (112,6; 135,1,2,5). The MSS again have mostly *ṭṭhi*, only at 112,6 B, 140,10 E and 145,4 D have *ṭṭi*, on the other hand 112,6 H. has *istihiā*, C *stri*, 135,13 DE, 140,10 D *ṭṭi*, to which also points *śni* 136,13 of B, to *ṭṭhi* at the most A 119,23; 140,10. One reads *istiā*. In Prab. at 62,7, there stands *itthiā* and so also in other

places here and always in *Venīs.*, *Mudrār.* in other words, and often in *Mṛcch.* [°]*ttha* stands for ^o*sta*. For *sha* stands *ttha* in *Mṛcch.* pro ex. in *thāvalaa*, ^o*laka*=*sthāvaraka* (96,17;116,4,118,10;119,11;121,9;122,9 etc.) and thus the MSS., except at 96,17, where ECDF have *sthā*; *thoam*=*stokam* (157,6); *avathide*=*avasthitah* (99,3); *uvatthida*=*upasthita* (118,23; 138,13;175,17), and ^o*ttha*, pro ex. *paṭṭhāvī*=*prasthāpya* (21,12); *śāmthā-vehi*=*sāmsthāpaya* (130,11); *śāmthida* (v. l. ^o*thi*) = *sāmsthita* (159,15); *āhalāṇṭhānehim* (v.l. ^o*thā*) = *ābharaṇasthānaih* (141,2). So also in the other dramas with a few exceptions, as ^o*mastie*=^o*mastike*, *vastie*=**vastrike* beside ^o*hatthie*=^o*hastike* (*Candak.* 68,16;69,1), *astām* (*Candak.* 70,14), beside *samutthide* (72,1); *pastide*=*prasthitah*, *nivastide*=*nivastritah* (*Mallikām.* 114,4.11). The vv. ll. furnish more information. We should, with Hc., everywhere write *st*.

§ 311. *spa* and *spha* become *ppha* (*Vr.* 3,36.51; *Hc.* 2,53.90; *Ki.* 2,100.49; *Mk.* fol. 25.19). *spa*=*ppha*: M. Š. *phamsa*=*sparsa*, Š. *pariphamsa* (§ 74), M. AMg. *pharisa*, AMg. *pharisaga* (§ 135), AMg. JM. JŠ. *phāsa* (§ 62); *phandana*=*spandana* (*Hc.* 2,53); *padippaddhi*=*pratisardhin* (§ 77). The aspiration does not take place in AMg. *put̄ha*=*spr̄ṣṭa* (*Āyār.* 1,1,4,6, 7,4;1,6,2,3;1,8,3,6,4,1; *Sūyag.* 65.111.122.144.170.350; *Uttar.* 48.51.61.106. 126; *Vivāhap.* 97 f. 116.145; *Pannav.* 134; *Ovav.*), *aput̄ha* (*Āyār.* 1,8,4,1; *Vivāhap.* 97 f.), *aput̄heya* (*Sūyag.* 104), very often immediately beside *pharisa* or *phāsa* and *phusai*=*spr̄ṣṭai* (§ 186). In *Āyār.* 1,6,5,1 there stands *phut̄ho*. So also *phusai* and *pusai* (wipes; § 486). In compounds the aspiration does not take place as a rule (§ 301): M.A. *avaroppara*=*aparaspara* (G.; *Hc.* 4,409); M. AMg. JM. Š. *paroppara*=*paraspara* (*Hc.* 1,62;2,53; G.; *Karp.* 77,10;101,1; *Panhāv.* 68; *Pannav.* 646; *Vivāhap.* 1099; *Āv.* 7,11; *Erz.*; *Prab.* 9,16; *Bālar.* 218,11; *Mallikām.* 124,8;158,19;160,8; 223,12), Š. also, probably falsely, *parappara* (*Mālatīm.* 119,6;358,1; *Uttarar.* 108,1; *Mallikām.* 184,20). Cf. § 195. — AMg. *dupparisa*=*duhs-parsa* (*Panhāv.* 508). — *nippiha*=*nihspr̄ha* (*Hc.* 2,23). From *bṛhaspati* there occurs, beside *bihappadi* and *bahappadi* also AMg. *bahassai*, *bihassai* (§ 53), and numerous other forms in the grammarians (§ 212). Likewise stands in AMg. *be-ide vanapphai*=*vanaspati* (*Hc.* 2,69; *Panhāv.* 341; *Pannav.* 35; *Jiv.* 213.316; *Vivāhap.* 93.144), JŠ. *vanappadi* (*Kattig.* 401,336), also AMg. *vanassai* (*Hc.* 2,69; *Mk.* fol. 25; *Āyār.* 1,1,5,4;2,1,7,3,6;2,2,2,13; *Sūyag.* 792.857; *Panhāv.* 29; *Jiv.* 13.316 (beside ^o*ppha*)). 969f.; *Pannav.* 44.742; *Uttar.* 1039.1048; *Vivāhap.* 30,430.465 f.; *Thān.* 25.26.52). The forms with *ssa* presuppose that *pati*, as after vowels, at the beginning of the second member of a compound, has become *vai*, so that *ssa*=*sva*. Cf. § 195.407. The simila: phonetic transformation occurs in *sihai*=**sphrq̄ti* (*Hc.* 4,34.192; *Mk.* fol. 25) for **svihai*. AMg. has *pīhejjā*=*sphrayet* (*Thān.* 158). *chihā* is not=*sphā* (*Hc.* 1,128;2,23; *Mk.* fol. 25), but belongs like *chiha* (touches; *Hc.* 4,182), to a root **ksibh*, which is a parallel root to *kshubh* (§ 66). — *spha*=*ppha*: M. AMg. Š. *phalīha*=*sphatika* (§ 206), M. *phuda*=*sphuta* (G. H. R.); *phulīga*=*sphulīga* (G. R.); *appodana*=*āsphotana* (G.), *apphālia*=*āsphālita* (G. R.); *papphurāi*=*praspfurati* (G. H.). *khodaa* is not=*sphetaka* (*Vr.* 3,16; *Hc.* 2,6; *Ki.* 2,76; *Mk.* fol. 21), and *khedaa* is not=*sphetaka*, *khēdia* is not=*sphetika* (*Hc.* 2,6), but the words presuppose side-forms with an initial *skha*. Cf. § 90.120.306.309. According to *Mk.* fol. 67 in Š. *phodaa* alone is permitted; thus *vippodaa*=*visphotaka* (*Sak.* 30,1). — In Mg., according to *Hc.* 4,289, *spa* and *spha* are retained: *buhaspadi*=*bṛhaspati*; according to Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālamīkāra 2,12 they become *śpa*, *śpha*: *bihaśpadi*. At *Mṛcch.* 133,24; *Sak.* 115,11 stands *phulanti*=*sphuranti*, *Prab.* 58,1, *phalasa*, 58,8 *phāmsa*; ed. Bomb. P. have both times,

phalasa, M. more correctly *phaliśa*. One reads *sphulanti*, *spaliśa* and so in all similar cases.

§ 312. If the consonant following a sibilant is a nasal the sibilant becomes *h* (§ 262—264) and the order of sounds is reversed. Thus *sna*, *sna* and *sna*, when they are not separated by a separation vowel (§ 131 ff.), uniformly become *mha*; *śma*, *śma*, *sma* become *mha* (Vr. 3,32.33; C. 3,6; Hc. 2,74.75; Ki. 2,90.94; Mk. fol. 25.26). — *śna=ṇha*: *ṇḥāṭi*, AMg. *ṇḥāṭi=aśnāti* (§ 512); AMg. JŚ. *paṇha=prāśna* (Sūyag. 523; Kattig. 399,311); *sinha=sīśna* (Bh. 3,33; Hc. 3,75) — *śma* becomes *mha*: *kamhāra*, Š. *kamhāra=kāśmīra* (§ 120); *kunhāna=kuśmāna* (Hc. 2,74). *raśmi* always becomes *rassi* (Bh. 3,2; Hc. 1,35; 2,74.78; Pāiyāl. 47); AMg. Š. *sahassarassi=sahasraraśmi* (Vivāhap. 169; Rāyap. 238; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Ratn. 311,8; Prab. 14,17; Priyad. 18,15). In the anlaut *ś* is assimilated to *m*: AMg. *maṁsu=śmaśru*, *nimmuṁsu=nihśmaśru*, JŚ. *maṁsuga=śmaśruka* (§ 74), also *massu* (Bh. 3,6; Hc. 2,86; Ki. 2,53) and *māsu* (Hc. 2,86); M. Š. *masāna*, Mg. *maśāna=śmaśāna*, whilst in AMg. JM. *susāna m* has been assimilated to *s* (§ 104). — *sna=ṇha*: M. AMg. JM. Š. *uṇha=uṣṇa* (G. H. R.; Karp. 45,5; Āyār. 1,5,6,4; Uttar. 58; Kappas.; Erz.; Rśabhap.; Šak. 29,5,6; 74,9; Vikr. 48,11); Š. *anunhādā=anuṣṇatā* (Mālav. 30,6), *uṇhā=uṣṇaka*, *uṇhattana=**uṣṇatvana (Hc. 4,343,1), AMg. *siunha=śitosa*, in AMg., however, usually *usina* (§ 133). — *uṇhīsa=uṣṇīsa* (Hc. 2,75); M. AMg. Š. *kaṇha*, AMg. *kiṇha*, beside M. Š. *kasāna*, AMg. JM. *kasina=kṛṣṇa*, M. AMg. JM. Š. *kaṇha=kṛṣṇa* (§ 52); JM. D. *viṇhu=viṣṇu* (§ 72.119). — *sma=mha*: M. *umhā=ūṣman* (Grr.; G.), *umhavia*, *umhāla* (G.); M. AMg. Š. Mg. A. *gimha=grīṣma* (§ 83); M. JM Š. *tumhārisa=yuṣmāḍṛṣa* (§ 245); M. JM. Š. A. *tumhe=yuṣme* (§ 422). — *mahiṣmati* becomes in Š. *mahissadi* (Bālar. 67,14). — *bhiṣma*, according to Hc. 2,54, becomes *bhippha*, *ślesman*, according to Hc. 2,55, Mk. fol. 25, becomes *sepha-* beside *silimha* and AMg. JM. A. *simbha-*, AMg. *seṁbha-* (§ 267). The forms presuppose the line of transformation **bhiṣpa*, **bhiṣpa*, *śleṣman*, **śleṣpan* (§ 251.277). On *kohāṇdi=kūṣmāṇḍi*, AMg. *kohāṇda*, *kūhāṇda=kūṣmāṇḍa* see § 127, on A *gimba=griṣma* § 267. — In the pronominal ending of the loc. sing. *-smi*, which dialectically has been extended also to nouns in *-i* and *-u*, *ś* is assimilated to *m*: M. *uahimmi*, JM. *uẏahimmi=udadhau*; AMg. *sahassarassimmi=sahasrasimmi*; AMg. *uūmmi=rtau*; M. *pahummi=prabhau* (§ 366^a.379). In AMg. *-smi* mostly becomes *-msi*: *kucchiñsi=kukṣau*; *pāṇīmsi=pāṇau*; *leluñsi=leṣtau* (§ 74.379), in A. it becomes *-hī* from *-sīm* (§ 263.313): *akkhīhī=akṣī*; *kalīhī=kalau* (§ 379). — *ksṇa*, *ksma* too are treated like *sna*, *śma*: M. AMg. *sapha=ślakṣna* (§ 315); M. AMg. *pamha=pakṣman* (Vr. 3,32; Hc. 2,74; Ki. 2,94; G.H.R.; Uvās.; Ovav.); M. AMg. Š. *pamhala=pakṣmala* (Hc. 2,74; Mk. fol. 25; G.H.R.; Vivāhap. 822; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Mālatīm. 217,4; Mallikām. 249,10 [text *vahmala*]; Candak. 87,8); Š. *pamhalida* (Mahāv. 101,17). Beside *tīṇha=tīkṣṇa* (Bh. 3,33; C. 3,6 p. 54; Hc. 2,75, 82; Ki. 2,90) the forms found in the text are M. AMg. JM. Š. Mg. A. *tikkha* (C. 2,3; 3,6 p. 48; Hc. 2,82; H.; Karp. 28,7; 38,11; 39,7; 65,2; Sūyag. 280.289; Uttar. 338; Dasav. 625,36; Kappas.; Erz.; Šak. 135,14; Prab. 4,4 [so correctly the ed. Bomb. M. P.]; Venīs. 61,14; Mahāv. 101,16; Bālar. 289,13; Mallikām. 82,14; Hāsy. 32,4; Mg. Mṛcch. 164,15; A. Hc. 4,395,1); AMg. *sutikkha* (Vivāhap. 424); Š. *tikkhattāṇa* (Viddhaś. 99,9); A. *tikkhei* (Hc. 3,344); *tikkhālia* (sharpened; Deśin. 5,13; Pāiyāl. 200) only. According to Mk. fol. 26 one says *tikkha* in literary sense, and *tīṇha* in a secondary sense, as *tīṇho rājāro* “the sharp sun”. In Karp., however, *tikkha* is used also in a secondary sense — *lakṣmī* always becomes, even as

a proper noun, M. AMg. JM. JŚ. Ś. D. A. *lacchī* (Bh. 3,30; C. 3,6.36; Hc. 2,17; Ki. 2,82; Mk. fol. 24; Pāiyal. 96; G. H. R.; Karp. 31,2;49,2; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Rśabhap. 12; Kattig. 399,319,320; 401,344; Sak. 81,11; Vikr. 35,6.11;52,5; Mālav. 33,17; Prab. 4,8; Mālatim. 218,2; Karp. 22,5;35,3;110,8; Anarghar. 277,1; Mallikām. 73,6; D. Mṛcch. 99,25; A. Hc. 4,436); on the other hand, *lakṣmaṇa* always becomes M. JM. Ś. *lakkhaṇa* (C. 3,6; Mk. fol. 24; R.; KI. 2; Uttarar. 32,5; 127,5;190.1;204.11; Mahāv. 52,14; Anarghar. 115,12;317,16; Unmattar. 6,2; Pras. 88,6).

§ 313. *sna=ṇha*, a'ways also in the anlaut in AMg. JM. JŚ., which otherwise mostly retain *n* (§ 224): *ṇhāī=snātī* (Hc. 4,14); JM. *ṇhāmo=snāmāḥ* (Āv. 17,7), *ṇhāttī* (Āv. 38,2), *ṇhaviṇṇa* (Erz.), *ṇhāvesu*, *ṇhāvinti* (T. 6,5); AMg. *ṇhānei*, *ṇhānītī* (Jiv. 610), *ṇhāne nti* (Vivāhap. 1265), *ṇhāvei* (Nirāyāv. 17), *ṇhāvē nti* (Vivāhap. 822), *ṇhāveha* (Vivāhap. 1261); Ś. *ṇhāissam* (Mṛcch. 27,14), *ṇhādum* (Mallikām. 128,11), *ṇhāia* (Nāgān. 51,6; Priyad. 8,13;12,11); M. *ṇhāo*, AMg. JM. *ṇhā a*, Ś. *ṇhāda=snāta* (Pāiyal. 238; H.; Sūyag. 730; Vivāhap. 187,790 f.; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Nirāyāv.; Āv. 17,8; Erz.; Mṛcch. 27,12); *ṇhāvaanto* [text *vayando*] = *snāpayan* (Mallikām. 239,3); AMg. JM. *ṇhāvīya=snāpita* (Uvās.; Erz.); AMg. JM. JŚ. Ś. A. *ṇhāṇa=snāna* (Vr. 3,33; Ki. 2,90; Rāyap. 56; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Erz.; Kattig. 402,358; Mṛcch. 90,14; Vikr. 34,6; Mallikām. 190,16; Hc. 4,399); AMg. *ṇhāṇa=asnāna* (Panhāv. 452), *ṇhāṇājaya* (Thān. 531; Vivāhap. 135); JM. *ṇhavana=snapanā* (T. 6,1.3.6 [text *nha°*]; Kk.); Ś. *ṇhavanaa=snapanaka* (Nāgān. 39,4.13); AMg. *ṇhāvīyā=snāpikā* (Vivāhap. 964). So also *ṇhāvia=***snāpita*; however, Ś. MG. *ṇāvīda* (§ 210). Ś. *paṇhuda=prasnuta* (Mahāv. 65,4; Uttarar. 73,10). In *sneha* and *snigdha* in M. AMg. JM. A. *s* is assimilated to *n* as a rule (Vr. 3,64; Bh. 3,1; Hc. 2,77,102.109; Ki. 2,58; Mk. fol. 26). Thus M. Ś. *neha* (G H. R.; Hc. 4,332,1.406,2.422,6.8.426,1; Piṅgala 2,118), AMg. JM. *neha* (C. 2,27; Pāiyal. 120; Nāyādh.; Nirāyāv.; Erz.; Kk.), in verses *neha* also in MG. (Mṛcch. 157,6) and D. (Mṛcch. 105,16); M. *niddha*, AMg. JM. *niddha*, *niddha* (H.R. ; Aśār. 1,5,6,4;2,1,5,5; Sūyag. 590; Jiv. 224.351; Panhāv. 285; Uttar. 1022; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.); *nehālu=snehavat* (C. 2,20 p. 45; Hc. 2,59 [*ne°*]); A. *ninnēha*, JM. *ninnēha=nihśneha* (Hc. 4, 367,5; Erz.). Beside these there occur *saneha*, A. *sasanehī*, *saṇiddha*, M. JM. Ś. *siṇiddha*, the forms which alone can be used in Ś. (§ 140). In *susā=snusā* (Hc. 1,261) beside AMg. *ṇhusā*, M. AMg. JM. Ś. *suṇhā*, M. *soṇhā* (§ 148), P. *sunusā* (§ 139.148), *n* has been assimilated. — *sma=mha*: PG. M. AMg. JM. Ś. A. *amhe=asme* (§ 419); M. JM. Ś. *amhārisa=asmā-ḍrśa* (§ 245); M. Ś. A. *vīṁhaa*, JM. *vīṁhāya=vīsmaya* (G.R.; Erz.; Śak. 38,8; Hc. 4,420,4). — *bhasman*, according to Hc. 2,51 becomes also *bhappa*, which points to the transition steps **bhasvan*, **bhaspan* (§ 251.277.312), in addition to AMg. JM. *bhāsa*, Ś. *bhassa* (§ 65), JM. *bhasama* (§ 132). The pronominal ending *-smi*, which dialectically has been extended also to nouns in *-a*, becomes either *-ssim*, MG. *śsim*, as in Ś. *tassim*, MG. *taśsim=tasmin* (§ 425). *eassim*, Ś. *edassim*, MG. *edaśsim=etasmin* (§ 426); PG. *casi* *cäsim*, AMg. Ś. *assim=asmin* (§ 429), or M. AMg. JM. JŚ. *-mmi*, as *tammi*, *eammi*, *ejammi* (§ 425.426). M. *jōvraṇammi=yauvane*, AMg. *bambhammi kappammi=brāhme kalpe*, JM. *pāḍaliputtammi=pāṭaliputre* (§ 366^a), or AMg. mostly *-misi*, as *tamsi*, *imarsi* (§ 425.430), *logamsi=loke*, *dāragamsi=dārake* (§ 366^a), as AMg. also *amisi=asmī* says (§ 74.498). *-ssim*, through **-sim*, in all the dialects in the pronominal declension, and in MG. A. also in the nominal declension, has become *-hīm*, as in *tahīm*, *jahīm*, *kahīm=tasmin*, *yasmin*, *kasmin*; MG. *kulūhim=kule*; *gharahi=ghare* (§ 264.366^a.425.427.428).

Instead of *-mmi* Hc. 1,23 permits also *-m̥mi* : *vānammi* and *vānam̥mi=vane*. This orthography is very frequent in AMg. in the MSS., and has often been retained in many editions, perhaps correctly. — *s* has been assimilated with *m* in AMg. *mi=***smi=asmī*, AMg. JM. *mo=smah*, beside the usual *mhi*, *mha*, *mho* (§ 498), on the other hand *m* has been assimilated to *s* in JM.*sarāmī*, *sarāi*, AMg. *saraī*, JM. *sarasu=smarāmī*, *smarati*, *smara*, M. *visaria*, *visaria*, JŚ. *visarida=vismṛta*, beside JM. *vissariyā*, dialectical *vimharai=vismarati*, common *sumarai*, S. *sumaredi*, *visumarāmī*, Mg. *śumaledi*, *visumaledi* (§ 478); *seram=smeram* (Hc. 2,78). M. *bharai* (Vr. 8,18; Hc. 4,74; Ki. 4,49; Mk. fol. 53; G. s. v. *smṛ*; H. R. s. v. *smar*), JM. *bhariyā=smṛta* (Pāiyāl. 194; Erz.), also *bhalaī* (Hc. 4,74), M. *sambharana* (G.) will stand for **mharai*, **mbharai* (§ 267). According to Mk. fol. 54 some taught also *maraī*, *vibharaī* (MS. *vinimbharaī*).

§ 314. In Mg. according to Hc. 4,289 *śna*, *sna* become *śna*, *śma*, *sma* become *sma*; only in *grīsma*, *śma* becomes *mha*: *viśnu=vīśnu*; *usma=ūśman*; *vismā=vismaya*; but *gimha=grīsma*. For *sma*, Silārīka too confirms this; while commenting on the readings of the text *akasmāt* (Āyār. 1,7,1,3), *akasmāddanda* (Sūyag. 682) and *asmākam* (Sūyag. 983) he notes that these words were spoken by all in the land of Magadha, even down to the wives of herdsmen, as Skt. So here too they should be pronounced. Similarly Abhayadeva on Thān. 372 *akasmāddanda*. For AMg. the sole correct forms are the forms, such as *akamhābhāya* (Samav. 19; Thān. 455); the forms with *sma* have been adopted from Skt. Nāmisādhu on Rudrata, Kāvyaśākāra 2,12 teaches *viśnu=viśnu* and indirectly also *ś* for *s*, *s* in other sound groups. For *śna*, *śma* the rules are wanting in Hc.; hence it remains uncertain, whether they become *śna*, *śma* or *sna*, *sma* or *ṇha*, *mha*. The manuscripts of the dramas do not have merely *gimha* (Mṛcch. 10,4), but also *panha=praśna* (Mṛcch. 80,18;81,5); *unha=uṣṇa* (Mṛcch. 116,17; Venis. 33,12); *vīṇhu=viśnu* (Prab. 63,15); *tunhīa=tūṣṇīka* (Mṛcch. 164,14); always *amhāṇam*, *amhe*, *tumha*, *tumhāṇam*, *tumhe* (pro ex. Mṛcch. 31,15;158,23; Prab. 53,15,16; Mṛcch. 139,13;16,19); *amhāliśa=asmādrīśa* (Mṛcch. 164,5); *ṇhāṇī=snāmī*, *ṇhāde=snātāḥ* (Mṛcch. 113,21;136,11) etc. The ending *-smīn* in the MSS. always becomes *-śśīm*, and *-sma* always becomes *-mha*. So has also the Lalitav.: *edaśśīm=etasmin* (565,6), *yāṇīśśamha=jnāsyāmāh* (565,9), *amhadeśīya*, *amhāṇam*, *tumhāṇam* (565,12,14;566,9), *payāsēmha* [sic] = *prakāśūyāma* (567,1).

§ 315. In so far as a separation vowel does not appear a semi-vowel following a sibilant is assimilated (§ 131-140), according to the principal rules (§ 279.287.296.297). — *śya=ssa*; Mg. *śśā*: JM. S. *avassāmī=avāśyam* (Erz.; Lalitav. 555,5; Śak. 44,6;128,9; Vikr. 53,12; Mudrār. 264,5; Karp. 103,6; M. *nāsāi*, AMg. *nassaī*, JM. *nāsāi*. S. *nassadi=naśyati*; JM. *nassāmō=naśyāmāh* Mg. *viṇāśśādū* (§ 63); S. *rāasāla=rājāśyāla* (Mṛcch. 23,19;58,7;151,16;173,1); M. *vesā=veśyā* (H.), S. *veśājāṇa* (Mṛcch. 57,15) and *veśsājāṇa* (Mṛcch. 53,20); AMg. *ve ssa*, *vaiśsa=vaiśyā* (§ 61). — *śra=ssa*, Mg. *śśā*: M. AMg. *mīsa*. S. *missa*, Mg. *miśśā=mīśra* (§ 64); M. JM. S. *vīśamāī=vīśrāmyāti*, S. *vīssamādū* (§ 64.489); S. *sussūśidapuruvo* *sussūśidavvo=sūśrūśitapūroh* *sūśrūśitavyah* (Mṛcch. 39,23); Mg. *śūśūśide=sūśrūśitāḥ* (Mṛcch. 37,1); AMg. JM. S. *se tīhi=śresthīn* (Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Nīrāyāv.; Ovav.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 28,20;142,12; Śak. 139,5, Mudrār. 41,8;43,1;243,2; 248,7;252,1;254,4). On *āmsu=aśru*, *māmsu=śamśru* see § 74. — *śla* becomes *ssa*, Mg. *śśā*: M. AMg. *sañha=ślakṣṇā* (Bh. 3,33; Hc. 1,118,2,75,79; Mk. fol. 21,26; H.R.; Vivāhāp. 424; Uttar. 1040; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.); M. *parisanha=pariślakṣṇa* (R.); but also with assimilation of *s* M. *lañha* (Hc. 2,77; Mk. fol. 21; Karp. 88,2;96,2), *lañhaa* (Karp. 49,11).

In AMg. both the forms sometimes stand beside one another: *sanya lanha* (Savav. 211,214; Pañnav. 96; Ovav. §166). AMg. *saggha*=*ślāghya* (Süyag. 182); Š. *sāhaṇīa*=*ślāghaniya* (Mālav. 32,5), but also *lāhai*=*ślāghate* (Hc. 1,187); AMg. *sēmbha*; AMg. JM. A. *simbha*, dialectical *sepha*=*ślesman* (§ 267,312), but also AMg. *lissanti*=*ślisante* (Süyag. 218). — AMg. *lesapayā* (Ovav.) is not, with LEUMANN, to be equated as= (*sam*) *ślesapatā*, but it is = *reṣapatā* (damage). Generally the group is separated either by *a* or *i*. — *sva=ssa*, Mg. *śśa*: M. AMg. JM. *āsa*, AMg. JM. Š. *assa=aśva* (§ 64); M. AMg. JM. Š. *pāsa=pārśva* (§ 87), falsely Š. *passa* (Priyad. 23,16); JŚ. *vīnassara=vinaśvara* (Kattig. 401,339); Š. *vīśvāvasu=vīśvāvasu* (Mallikām. 57,1); Mg. *vīśvāvaśu* (Mrcch. 11,9); M. *sāsāi*, *āsasāi*=*śvasiti*, *āśvasiti*; M. *ūsasāi*, AMg. *ussasāi=učhvasiti*; M. *nīsasāi*, AMg. *nīsasāi*, Š. *nīsasādi=nihśasiti*; Mg. *śāsādi*, *ūsāsādu*, *nīsāsādu*, *śāmaśśāsādu* (§496); M. *sāvāvā*, JM. *sāvāya*, Š. A. *sāvāda=śvāpada* (G.R.; Erz.; Śak. 32,7; Mrcch. 148,22). — *sya=ssa*, Mg. *śśa*: Š. *abhujiśā=abhujiśyā* (Mrcch. 59,25; 60,11; 65,1); AMg. *āruśa=āruśya* (Süyag. 293), beside *āruśiyanām* (Āyār. 1,8,1,2); Š. *pūssarāa=puṣyarāga* (Mrcch. 70,25; so we should read)⁸; AMg. JM. *maṇūsa*, M. AMg. Š. *maṇūssa*, Mg. *maṇūśā=manusya* (§ 63); AMg. JM. *sīsa*, JM. Š. *sīsā=śīya* (§63); in future, as A. *karīsu=kariśyāmi* (Hc. 4,396,4). *phuṭṭisu=sphuṭiśyāmi* (Hc. 4,422,12), JM. *bhavīssāi*, Š. *bhavīssādi*, Mg. *bhavīssādi*, M. *hōssām*, A. *hosāi* (§ 521). In M. AMg. JM. A. this *ssa*, after a long vowel, and often also after a short vowel, has become *ha* through *sa*, as *kāhīmi*, *kāhāmi*, *kāhām*=**kārśyāmi*=*kariśyāmi*; *hohāmi*, *hohīmi*=**bhosyāmi*; *kittāhīmi*=*kirtayiśyāmi*; A. *pēkkhīhīmi*=**prekṣiśyāmi* (§263.520 ff.). — *sva=ssa*, Mg. *śśa*: AMg. *osakkai*, *paccosakkai*=**apaśvaśkati*, **pratyapaśvaśkati*; M. *parisakkai*=**pariśvaśkati* (§302); Š. *parissaadi*=*pariśvajate* (Mālatim. 108,3; Mrcch. 327,10=484,12 ed. GODABOLE), *parissaadha*=*pariśvajadhvam* (Śak. 90,8; Vikr. 11,2; Utitarar. 204,5), *parissaīa*=*pariśvajya* (Śak. 77,9; Mālatim. 210,7). On AMg. *piusiśā*, M. *piussīā*, AMg. *piussiśā*, M. AMg. *piucchā=piṭṛśvasā*, AMg. *māusīśā*, M. *māussīā*, *māucchā=māṭṛśvasā*, dialectical *pupphā*, *pupphiā* see §148. — *sya=ssa*, Mg. *śśa*: M. JM. Š. *rahassa=rāhasya* (G.H.; Karp. 66,11; Erz.; Mrcch. 60,7; Vikr. 15,3.12; 16,1.11.18; 79,9; Karp. 67,1); M. Š. *vaassa*, M. *vāamīsa*, JM. *vayamīsa=vayasya* (§74); Š. *hassa=hāsyā* (Mrcch. 44,1); in the genitive sing. in -*sya*, as M. Š. *kāmāssa=kāmasyā* (H. 2,148.326.586; Śak. 120,6; Prab. 38,12; Karp. 93,1). Dialectically there occurs *ha* from *sa* (§264), as Mg. *kāmāha* (Mrcch. 10,24), A. *kāmahō* (Hc. 4,446); beside M. AMg. JM. JŚ. Š. Dh. *tassa*, Mg. *taśśa*, A. *tassu*, *tāsu*, M. *tāsa*, also Mg. *tāha*, A. *tahō=tasya* (§425). Likewise in future as AMg. *dāhāmo* beside *dāśāmo=dāsyāmāh* (§530); JM. *pāhāmi*, AMg. *pāham=pāśyāmi*, AMg. *pāhāmo=pāśyāmāh* (§524). — *śra=ssa*, Mg. *śśa*: M. *ūśā=vissambha=vīśambha* (§ 64); M. AMg. JM. JŚ. Š. *sahassa*, Mg. *sahāśśā=sakakāle=suakakāle* (7,44) *sahattha=suahasta* (7,51); JM. Š. *tavassi*, Mg. *tavāśśi=tapāśvin* (Erz.; Kk.; Śak. 22,7; 76,8), JM. Š. *tavāśśinī*, Mg. *tavāśśinī=tapāśvinī* (Kk.; Śak. 39,4; 78,11; 123,12; 129,16; Mg. Mrcch. 152,6); M. JM. *sarassāi*, Š. *sarassādi=sarasvatī* (G.; Erz.; Vikr. 35,5); M. *śinna=svinna* (G. H.); Š. *sādām*, Mg. *sādām=svāgatam* (§ 203). On M. *maṇāśī=manasvin*, AMg. *ojaṁsi=ojasvin* and similar other forms see § 74; on *hānsa=hrasva*, beside *hassa*, *rahassa* see § 354.

I. Hc. and with him JACOBI, KZ. 23,598 wrongly derive *sapha* from *sūksma* and Hc. at 2,75 expressly separates *sapha*=*sūksma* and *sapha*=*ślakṣṇa*. Correctly WEBER,

ZDMG. 28,402; P. GOLDSCHMIDT, Specimen p. 68; CHILDERS s. v. *sapho*. — 2. Aup. S. s. v. — 3. PISCHEL, Rudraṭa's Śringāratilaka p. 102 f.; Mahāvypatti 235,28.

§ 316. In the conjunction tenuis+sibilant, according to the grammarians the aspirate was dialectically pronounced in place of the tenuis in Skt. : *kṣira* beside *kṣira*; *vathsa* beside *vatsa*; *aphsaras* beside *apsaras*¹. Pkt. presupposes this pronunciation universally in the case of *tsa* and *psa*, in the case of *kṣa* originally only when it goes back to *śṣa*². The aspiration passed to the sibilant, which according to § 211 became *cha*. The phonetic groups thus originating, i. e. *ścha* (*kcha*), *tcha*, *pcha* regularly became *ccha*. In the case of original *kṣa*, on the other hand, the aspiration did not take place, the sounds were transposed as Mg. *ska*, *hka* (§324) points, and *ska* for *kṣu* became *khha* (§ 302). The assumption of ASCOLI³ that *ṣa* become *hha* has no support in Pkt. (§ 265), just as little as the theory of JOHANSSON⁴ that the different phonetic changes rest on pronunciation, division of syllables and the accent⁵.

1. Literature in JOHANSSON, Shāhbāzgarhi 2,21 f.; WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. §113.—2. WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. §116.—3. Kritische Studien p.236ff.—4. Shāhbāzgarhi 2,22. — 5. Cf. PISCHEL, GGA, 1881, p. 1322 f.

§317. The grammarians regard the transition from *kṣa* to *kha* as regular (Vr. 3,29; Hc. 2,3; Ki.2,88; Mk.fol.24), and they have grouped the words that show *cha* in the *ākṛitiṇa aksyādi* (Vr.3,30; Hc.2,17; Ki.2,82; Pkt. p.60). Mk.fol.24 groups the words that always have *cha* for *kṣa* in the *gaṇa kṣurādi*, and includes in it the words *kṣura*, *aksi*, *makṣikā*, *kṣira*, *sadṛkṣa*, *kṣetra*, *kukṣi*, *iksū*, *kṣudhā*, and *kṣudh*. The words which have *cha* and *kha*, he gives in the *ākṛitiṇa kṣamādi*. The statement of the grammarian, however, holds good only for M. In other dialects the sound oscillates, so much so that in one and the same dialect we find not seldom the words with *kha* beside those with *cha*, without ourselves being able to blame tradition in every case (§ 321). The Avestā shows best the original relation.

§ 318. If Skt. *kṣa* goes back to *śṣa*, so in the Avestā it becomes *s'a*, in Pkt., originally through **śsha*, **scha* (§316) it became *ccha* : *chaa*=Av. *s'ata* in *hus'ata=kṣata* from *kṣan* (Hc. 2,17); in addition to AMg. *chāṇa* (murder)=*kṣana* (Āyār.1,2,6,5;1,3,1,4;1,5,3,5); *chāṇe*=**kṣanet* (Āyār.1,3,2,3; 1,7,8,9), *chāṇvæ*, *chāṇtam*=**kṣaṇāpāyet*, **kṣaṇantam* (Āyār.1,3,2,3); but M. *khaa*=*kṣata* (G.H.R.), *parikkhaa* (R.); AMg. *khanaha*=**kṣanata* (Āyār.1,7,2,4); AMg. *akkhayā*, J.S. °da (Sūyag. 307; Pav. 385,69); Š. *parikhkada* (Mrccch. 53,25;61,24; Sak. 27,9), *aparikkhada* (Vikr. 10,4), *avarikkhada* (Mrcch. 53,18,24). — M. AMg. JM. *chuhā*=Av. *s'uda=kṣudhā* (Grr.; H.; Thān. 328; Vivāhap. 40,647; Rāyap. 258; Nāyādh. 348; Ovav.; Dvār. 500,7; Erz.), *chuhāiya* (hungry; Pāīyal. 183); but also AMg. JM. Š. *khuhā* (Thān. 572; Vivāhap. 162,493.816; Panhāv. 200; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Dasav. 635,16 [*khuppivādāe*]; Dasav. N. 662,1.2; Erz.; Karp. 76,9 ed. Bomb., whilst KONOW 75,6 reads *chuhā*); AMg. *khuhiyā=kṣudhita* (Panhāv. 340). — M. *chelta*, AMg. *chitta*=Av. *s'oiθra=kṣetra*, but also AMg. JM. J.S. Š. *khēita*, AMg. *khitta* (§84). M. AMg. JM. JM. Š. *acchi*=Av. *as'i=aksi* (Grr.; G. H. R.; Āyār. 1,1,2,5;1,8,1,19;2,2,2,1,7;2,3,2,5; Vivāgas. 11; Vivāhap. 1152; Av. 8,20;30,4; Sak. 30,5;31,13; Vikr. 43,15;48,15; Katn. 319,18; Karp. 11,2; Nāgān. 11,9; Jivān. 89,3); but also AMg. JM. Š. A. *akkhi* (Sūyag. 383; Erz.; Vikr. 34,1; Anarghar. 305,13; Hc. 4,357,2). —AMg. *accha* (§57), M. AMg. Š. *riccha* (§ 56)—Av. *areś'a=rksa*; but also M. AMg. JM. Š. *rikkha* (§ 56). — M. *kaccha*=Av. *kaś'a=kakṣa* (H.); but also AMg. JM. *kakkha* (G.R.; Nāyādh. 434). — *tacchaī* (Hc. 4,194),

AMg. *tacchiya* (Uttar. 596 [text $\circ tthi^o$]) = Av. *taśa-* = *takṣati*, **takṣita*, but also *takkhai* (Hc. 4,194); *takkhaṇa* = *tatkṣan* (§ 403).

§ 319. Original *kṣa* becomes *ḥṣa* in the Avesīā, *kkha* in Pkt.: AMg. *khattiya*, S. *khattia* = *kṣatriya* (Sūyag. 182.373.495.585; Samav. 232; Uttar. 155 f. 506.754; Vivāgas. 152 f.; Vivāhap. 135; Ovav.; Kappas.; Mahāv. 28, 14;29,22;64.21; Uttar. 167,10; Anarghar. 58,8; 70,1;155 5;157,10; Hāsy. 32,1; Pras. 47, 7;48,4.5); JM. *khattiā* (sic.; KJ 3); AMg. *khattiyāñi* = *kṣatryāñi* (Kappas.), *khatti-* = *kṣatrin* (Sūyag. 317); S. *nikkhattikada* = *nīkṣatrīkrta* (Mahāv. 27,6), to Av. *ḥṣaθra-*. — AMg JM. *khīra* = Av. *ḥṣira* = *kṣīra* (Hc. 2,17; Sūyag. 817,822; Vivāhap. 660.942; Pannav. 522; Uttar. 895; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.; Āv. 28,23;42,2); *khīrī* = *kṣīri* (Pāīyal. 240); M. *khīra*, JM. *khīroja* = *kṣiroda* (G.H.; Erz.); AMg. *khīrodāya* (Ovav.); S. *khīrasamudda* = *kṣīrasamudra* (Prab. 4,7); but also M. *chīra* (Grr.; Pāīyal. 123; G. H.); AMg. *chīrabirālī* = *kṣīrabidālī* (Vivāhap. 1532; [text $\circ vi^o$]). For S. Mk. for 67 expressly prescribes *khīra*. — *khīvai* = *kṣipati* to Av. *ḥṣiṇv* (Hc. 4,143); M. *akkhīvai* = *ākṣipati* (R.); *ukkhīvai* = *utkṣipati* (H.); *samukkhīvai* (G.); JM. *khīvasi* (Erz. 83,18), *khīvei* (Erz.); AMg. *khīvāhi* (Āyār. 2,3,1,16), *pakkhīvaha* (Āyār. 2,3,2,3), *pakkhīvējjā* (Āyār. 2,3,2,3; Vivāhap. 270), *nikkhīvāva* (Pañhāv. 373); *pakkhippa* (Sūyag. 280.282.288.378); S. *khīvidum* = *kṣeptum* (Vikr. 25,16), *khītta* = *kṣipta* (Mṛcch. 41,6.22), *akkhītta* = *ākṣipta* (Vikr. 75,12 so we should read); *uvakkhīva* = *upakṣipa* (Mṛcch. 72,14), *ukkhīvīva* = *utkṣipya* (Mṛcch. 3,17), *nikkhīvidum* = *nīkṣeptum* (Mṛcch. 24,22), *nikkhītta* (Mṛcch. 29,13;145,11; Sak. 78,13; Vikr. 84,8), *nikkhīvīva* (Vikr. 75,10), *parikkhīvīāmo* = *parikṣipyāmāhe* (Cāndak. 28,11) etc.; but also *ucchītta* = *utkṣipta* (Bh. 3,30; Deśin. 1,124; Pāīyal. 84) and M. *chīvai* (touches; Hc. 4,182; G. H. R.); *chītta* (touched; Hc. 4,258; Pāīyal. 85; H.). — AMg. JM. *khūḍda* = *ksudra*, *khūḍdaya*, AMg. *khūḍdaga* = *kṣudraka* (§ 294) = Av. *ḥṣudra* (temen). — M. *khūḍṇa* = *ksuṇṇa* (Deśin. 2,75; Pāīyal. 222; H.) from Av. *ḥṣusta*; but also *ucchūṇṇa* = *utkṣuṇṇa* (Pāīyal. 201). — M. *khubbhāi* = *kṣubhyati* (Hc. 1,154; R.); *sāmkhūhia* (G.), AMg. *khobhāiūn* = *kṣobhayitum* (Uttar. 921), *khobhittae* (Uvās.), *khubhīja* (Ovav.), *kokhubbhāmāṇa* (§ 556); S. *sāmkhōhida* = *sāmkṣobhīta* (Sak. 32,8); A. *khuhīta* (Vikr. 67,11); M. *khoha* = *kṣobha* (R.); JM. *mohakkhoha* (Pav. 380,7), but also PG. $\circ cchobhīn$ *kṣobham* (6,32); *vicchuhire* = *vīkṣubhyanti* (Hc. 3,142); AMg. *chubhanti*, *ucchubhāi*, *nicchubhāi*, JM. *chubhāi*, *chuhāi*, M. *vicchuhāi* etc. (§ 66). — M. *sikkhai* = *śikṣati* (H.); M. A. *sikkhīa*, JM. *sikkhiā*, S. *sikkhīda* = *śikṣita* (G. H.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 37,5; Vikr. 62,11), JM. S. *sikkhānta-* (Erz.; Mṛcch. 71,21), S. *sikkhīadi*, *sikkhidukāma* (Mṛcch. 39,22;51,24), *sikkhāvemi* (Priyad. 40,4) to Av. *asīkṣant*.

§ 320. Sometimes Pkt. and Av. go asunder. *uccha-* = *utkṣan* (Bh. 3,30; Hc. 2,17;3,56); *ucchāṇa-* (Hc. 3,65), but Av. *uḥṣāñ*. Mk. fol. 24 permits, however, also *ukkha*, beside *uccha-*. — PG. M. AMg. JM. S. Ā. *dakkhiṇa* = *daksiṇa* (§ 65), S. *dakkhiṇā* = *daksiṇā* (Mṛcch 5,1; Karp. 103,6), but Av. *dasīṇa*. Yet AMg. has *daccha* (Uvās.), beside AMg. JM. *dakha* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Erz.). — M. *macchī* (Grr.; H.); AMg. JM. *macchīyā* (Vivāgas. 12; Uttar. 245. 1036. 1064; Ovav.); Dvār. 503,6), AMg. *macchīgā* (Pañhāv. 72) = *maḥsi* = *makṣikā*; but also S. *nimmakkhīa* = *nīrmakṣīka* (Sak. 36,16;124,7; Viddhaś. 62,2). M. AMg. JM. S. *rakkhasa* = *rākṣasa* (R.; Sūyag. 105.339.468; Uttar. 996.1084; Thān. 90; Ovav.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 68,8; Sak. 43,6;45,1; Mahāv. 96,12;97,7.15;99,2; Bālar. 221,5), AMg. *rakkhasī* = *rākṣasī* (Uttar. 252) to Av. *ras*, *rasāñh*. — M. JM. *vaccha* = *vr̥kṣa* (Grr.; Pāīyal. 54; G.; Karp. 64,2; Erz.; Dasav. N. 645,5 in an enumeration, of words which mean “tree”) to Av. *urvāḥs* (to grow). Besides *vaccha*, according to Vr. 3,31; Hc. 2,127; KJ. 2,83; Mk.

fol. 24 *vṛkṣa* can form also *rukha*, and according to Rāmatarkavāgiśa and Mārkandeya fol. 66 only *rukha* is used in S. (PISCHEL on Hc. 1,153;2,17). AMg. S. employ only *rukha* (Āyār.1,7,2,1;1,8,2,3; 2,1,2,3; 2,3,2,15;2,3,3,13;2,4,2,11.12; Sūyag. 179.314.325.425.613; Vivāhap. 275. 445; Samav. 233; Pañnav. 30; Rāyap. 154; Jīv. 548.550 f.; Dasav. N. 645,5; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Mṛcch. 40,24;72,8;73,6.7,77,16;87,11. 12; Sak. 9,10;10,2;12,2.6; Mālav. 72,3); AMg. S. *kipparukkha*=*kalparukṣa* (Āyār. 2,15,20; Mallikām. 291,2); M. JM. have *rukha* (H. R.; Āv. 47,11 ff ; Rśabhap. 29; Erz.), JM. *kapparukkha* (Erz.) beside *vaccha*. The word *rukha* has nothing to do with *vṛkṣa*, but is=*rukṣa*, for which ROTH (Über gewisse Kürzungen des Wortendes im Veda p. 3) has shown the meaning “tree” in the Veda.

§ 321. Variation between *kkha* and *ccha* is found also in the words, other than those already mentioned. The M. AMg. JM. *ucchu* beside AMg. S. *ikkhu*=*ikṣu*, AMg. JM. *ikkhāga*=*aikṣvāka* (§ 117.84). — M. AMg. JM. *kucchi*=*kukṣi* (G.; Āyār. 2,15,2.4.10.12; Pañhāv. 281; Vivāhap. 295.1035. 1274; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.); *kucchimai*=*kuksimati* (pr.gnant; Deśin. 2, 41), beside AMg. S. *kukkhi* (Nāyādh. 308; Pañhāv. 217; Mālav. 65,16), which Hc. Deśin. 2,34 declares as provincial. — *chura*=*ksura* (Grr.), *churamaddi*, *churahattha*=*ksuramardi*, *ksurahasta* (barber; Deśin. 3,31), beside M. AMg. *khura* (Karp. 94,4; Sūyag. 546; Vivāhap. 353.1042; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Kappas.), *khurapatta*=*ksurapatta* (Thān. 321). — AMg. A. *chāra*=*ksāra* (salipetre; potash; Grr.; Uvās.; Hc. 4,365,3), *chāribhūya*=*ksāribhūta* (Vivāhap. 237), *chāriya*=*ksārīta* (Vivāhap. 322 f. 348), beside AMg. JM. *khāra* (Sūyag. 520.281; Ovav.; Kk.). — Cf. § 326. — M. AMg. JM. *pēcchai*, but S. *pekkhadi*=*preksate* (§ 84). — M. AMg. JM. *vaccha*=*vakṣas* (Grr.; G. H. R.; Karp. 84,4; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), but S. *vakkhatthala*=*vakṣahsthalā* (Mṛcch.68,19; Dhananjayav.11,9; Hāsy. 40,22), against the dialect *vacchatthala* (Bālar. 238,9; Mallikām. 156, 10 [text *ṭiṭha*]; 201,13 [text *ṭīṭa*]; Cait. 38,11;44,9). — M. JM. JS. *sāriccha*, but AMg. S. A. *sārikha*=**sādrksya* (§ 78.245). The variation shows that on the Indian soil, even in the same dialect, without regard to the different origin of *kṣa*, both the pronunciations proceeded side by side.¹ One says for example *akhṣi* and *aksi*, and their contaminations in Pkt. are *acchi* and *akhi*.

1. Thus correctly ASCOLI, Kritische Studien p. 238 f.; JOHANSSON, Shāhbāzgarhi 2,20. Cf. PISCHEL, GGA. 1881, p. 1322 f.

§ 322. With the different treatments of *kṣa* in *kṣaṇa* and *kṣamā* is connected the difference in meaning. *ksana* becomes, according to Bh. 3,31; Hc. 2,20; Mk. fol. 24, *chana* in the meaning “festival”, on the other hand it becomes *chāna* in the meaning “moment”. Thus M. AMg. JM. *chāna* “festival” (Pāiyāl. 284; G. H.; Šak. 119,7; Nāyādh. 266; Vivāhap. 822; Kk. 271,24), but M. AMg. JM. S. *khanā* “moment” (G. H. R.; Nāyādh. § 135.137; p. 300; Dasav. 613,39; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Rśabhap.; Šak. 2,14; 126,6; Viddhaś. 99,1; Karp. 58,3; 59,6; 105,4). According to Mk. fol. 67 *cha* never enters into S. Hence in Šak. 118,13, with three MSS., we should read *uvatthidakkhaṇe*. Ki. 2,83 teaches *khaṇa* and *chāna* with a difference of meaning.—*kṣamā* becomes, according to Hc. 2,18, *chamā* in the meaning “earth”, but *khamā* in the meaning “patience”. Vr. 3,31; Ki. 2,83; Mk. fol. 24 place *khamā* and *chamā* beside one another without distinguishing between their meaning; C. 3,4 has only *khamā*. In AMg. *chamā*=“earth”(Dasav.641,13), in M. AMg. JM. *khamā*=“patience”(H.; Vivāhap. 162; Dvār. 502,19), AMg. *khamāsamaṇa* = *kṣmāśramaṇa* (Kappas.).

§ 323. In AMg. JM. sometimes a long vowel is retained before *kṣa*. Then *kkha* becomes *ha* (§ 188) through *kha* (§ 87). Especially frequent it is the case in the root *īks* and its derivatives : AMg. *īhā=īksā¹* (Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.); AMg. *anuppehanti=anupreksante* (Ovav. § 31), *anuphehāe* (Āyār. 2,1,4,2), *anuppehā=anupreksā* (Thān. 211.213; Uttar. 899; Ovav.), *uehējjā* (Āyār. 2,1,5,9,2;2,3,1,16,18;2,3,2,1,3,8), *uehamāna=upekṣamāna* (Āyār. 1,3,1,3;1,4,4,4;2,16,4), *pehe=preksēta* (Uttar. 726), *pehā=preksā-sua* (Sūyag. 139), *pehamāna* (Āyār. 1,8,2,11;1,8,4,7;2,3,1,6); JM *pehamāṇṭo* (Āv. 17,10); AMg. *pehāe* (Āyār. 1,2,5,5;1,8,1,20;1,8,4,10;2,1,1,3;2,1,4, 1,4 ff.; 2,1,9,2;2,4,2,6; Uttar. 33), *pehīja* (Uttar. 919), *pehījā* (Sūyag. 104), *pehījam* (Dasav. 633,3), *pehā=preksā* (Dasav. 613,21), *pehu=preksin* (Āyār. 1,8,1,20; Uttar. 30), *pehīṇi* (Uttar. 663), *samuppehamāna* (Āyār. 1,4,4,4), *samu-pehamāna* (Sūyag. 506), *samupehījā* (Dasav. 629,39), *sampehei* (Vivāhap. 152.248.841.916; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Nirayāv.; Kappas.), *sampehai* (Dasav. 643,10), *sampehāe* (Āyār. 1,2,4,4;1,5,3,2;1,6,1,3[sa²]; Sūyag. 669), *sāpehījā* (Āyār. 1,7,8,23), *sampehittā* (Vivāhap. 152,248). Futher AMg. *lūha* beside *lukkha=rūksa*, *lūhei*, *lūhiya=rūksayati*, *rūksita²* (§ 87.257); AMg. JM. *seha=Pāli sekha=Skt. śaikṣa* (Āyār. 2,2,3,24; Sūyag. 165.511.520; Ovav.; Kappas.; Kk.); AMg. *sehanti=**śaikṣanti (Sūyag. 115), *sehāvei=**śaikṣā-payati³ (Vivāhap. 797; Ovav.; Nāyādh.). *sehāvīja* (Vivāhap. 1246). — The same phonetic change has taken place in secondarily shortened vowels in AMg. *suhuma*, *suhama=sūkṣma* (§ 82.131.140), and in secondarily lengthened vowels in M. AMg. JM. S. *dāhina=dakṣiṇa*; AMg. *dāhīnilla*, *ājāhīṇa*, *pājāhīṇa*, *pājāhīṇa* (§ 65) and AMg. *dehāi*, *dehae=**dṛksati, **dṛksate*, A. *drehī* (§ 66.554).

1. So correctly LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v.; falsely equated as = *īhā* by JACOBI, Kalpas. s. v. and STEINTHAL, Specimen s. v. — 2. So rightly LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v.; falsely equated as = *lūsi* by JACOBI and STEINTHAL l. c. — 3. So rightly LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v.; falsely STEINTHAL l. c. = *sedhayati*.

§ 324. In Mg., according to Vr. 11,8 *kṣa* becomes *ska* : *laskāsē=rākṣasah*; *daske=dakṣah* Hc. 4,297 and Nāmisādhu on Rudrata, Kāvyā-lamkāra 2,12 teach this phonetic change only for *preks* (that is to say *īks* with *pra*) and *ācaks* (i. e. *cakṣ* with *ā*) : *pēskadi=preksate*; *ācaskadi=ācaste*. In all other words, according to them (Hc 4,296) *kṣa* becomes *hko¹* in the inlaut; *yahke=yakṣah*; *lahkaśe=rākṣasah*; *pahka=pakṣa* (Hc. 4,302). In the anlaut *kṣa* is treated according to the rules that hold good for the other dialects : *khaayalahaṭā=kṣayajaladharāh*. Kṛṣṇapāṇḍita in PETERSON, Third Report p. 344 teaches *śka* : *paśka=pakṣa*; *laśkā=tāksā*; *paśkāladu=prakṣā-layatu*, for which C. 3,39 p. 52 and Hc. 4,228 have *pakkhāladu* in the same verse with *kṣa* treated as in the anlaut. I alitav. has throughout *śka*: *alaśkiyyamāna=alaśkyamāna*(565,7); *laśkīdām=lakṣitām*(566,4); *bhīskām=bhīkṣām*(566,8); *yujjhaśkamānām=yuddhakṣamānām*(566,11); *laśkām*, *laśkātām=lakṣam*, *laśkāṇi* (566,11). Likewise also *pēśkīyyāndi*, *pēśkīyyāsi* [so to be read], *pēśkīdum=preksyante*, *preksyase*, *preksitum* (569,13.15.19; 566,7). Against the MSS of the dramas and the editions based on them treat *kṣa* in Mg. exactly in the same way as in the rest of the dialects, not merely in the anlaut, as *khāṇa=kṣāṇa* (Mṛcch. 136,15.16;160,11; Prab 50,9), but also throughout in the inlaut. From quite isolated variants of some of the MSS, like that of Mṛcch. 13,6 *pesa*, *pēthā*, 21,15, *pēścha*, 132,20. *laścide*, *laśchide*, 132, 21 *pēścāmi*, *peccāmi*, the rules of the grammarian cannot be established. Nevertheless they are to be framed out. For *jena attaṇo pakhām ujjhā parapakhā pamaṇikariadi* (Mudrār. 178,6)³ Hc. 4,302 read *ye appaṇo pakhām ujjhā palāśā pakhām pamaṇikalgesi⁴* and for *amaccarakkhasam* *pēkkhidum ido*

eva āacchadi (Mudrār. 154,3)⁵ he read *amaccalahkaśām* *pēśkidum* *ido* *yyeva āścadi*. Hence, for example in Mṛcch. 120,3 instead of *akkhīhim bha-*
kkhīadi dantehim pēkkhīadi=aksibhyām bhaksyate dantaih preksyate we should
read *ahkīhim bhaḥkīadi dantehim pēskīadi*. The MSS. give no variant.

1. In the edition at p. 14,2 they have printed *kṣasya* *śko nādaul yathā yaśke laškase* *yakṣo rākṣasa iti*. The best MS. (KIELHORN, Report, Bombay 1881, p. 34 Nr. 53), however, reads *ḥko, yakh, lahkase* [sic]. — 2. From the words of Kṛṣṇapandita: *jihvā-*
mūlīyaśa kvaicchaurasenādau vakyate[t]akṣaḥ ta[ḥ]kośakāraśa māgadhyām vakyate[p]akṣaḥ
pāśa [sic] lāksā lāśka [sic] indeed does not necessarily follow, that he supported *taṭka* for Ś., at times the manuscript gives *o* in the nom. sing. in Mg. too; however, the following words make it apparent that *taṭka* cannot be Mg. Triv. and Sr. agree with Hc. here also. — 3. So TELANG. From the manuscripts it is not possible to ascertain whether *pala* or *palaśa*, and *kaliud*; or *kaleśi* to be inserted here rightly. —

4. Correctly there would be *uyyha* (§ 236). — 5. So TELANG. The MS. E correctly has *yyeva*, elsewhere quite false *eva* or *jjēvra* and *rakkhasam*, as also the edd. Calc.

§ 325. As in Pāli, in AMg. JM. also *kṣulla*, with loss of the aspiration, becomes *culla* (Deśin. 3,22; Pāiyāl. 58); JM. *cullatāya=kṣullatātā* (uncle; Erz.); AMg. JM. *cullapiu=kṣullapitṛ* (uncle; Dasav. 628,5; Erz.); AMg. *cullamāujā* (aunt; Antag. 70; Nāyādh. 84-87.95 96; Nirayāv.); AMg. *cullasajaya, °ga=kṣullasataka* (Uvās.), *cullahimavanta=**kṣullahimavat* (Thān. 72.74.176.177); *cullodaa* (the eldest brother; Deśin. 3,17). *cullaka* has been taken also into Skt. of the Jainaś (BÜHLER, Pāiyāl s. v. *cullo*).

§ 326. If *kṣa* goes back to early *z'za*, through *z'zha*, *z'jha*, it becomes *jha*¹ in Pkt.: *jharai=kṣarati* (Hc. 4,173), JM. *jharei* (Erz.); *nijjharaī=nih-*
kṣarati (Hc. 4,20); M. *ōjjhara=***avakṣara* (Hc. 1,98; Deśin. 1,160; Pāiyāl. 216; H. R.), equated by Hc. as = *nijjhara*, which itself arises from Pkt.², and becomes M. Ś. *nijjhara* (G. H.; Pras. 124,7; Ś. Mallikām. 134,7; Bālar. 241,6; 263,22 [text *°jjā°*]), AMg. JM. *nijjhara* (Pāiyāl. 216). Beside one another stand *ōjjhara* and *nijjhara* in AMg. (Pañṇav. 80.84 ff. 94 [text *ujjhara* and several times *nijjhara*]). A. *pajjhārā=prakṣarati* (Hc. 4,173; Pingala 1,102); *pajjharia* (Ki. 2,84); Ś. *pajjhārāvēdi* (Karp. 105,8). To it probably belongs also *jharaa* (goldsmith; Deśin. 3,54). — AMg. *jhiyāi* for **jhāi=***ksāti=kṣāyatī*³ (burns [intransitive]); Sūyag. 273; Nāyādh. 1117; Thān. 478), *jhiyāyanti* (Thān. 478); M. *vijjhāi* (Hc. 2,28; H.), *vijjhānta-* (H.); M. *vijjhāa* (G. H. R.), AMg. JM. *vijjhāya* (Nāyādh. 1113; Dasav. 641,29; Av. 25,3); M. *vijjhavaī* (G.), *vijjhavei* (H. R.), *vijjhavia* (H. R.); AMg. *vijjhavējjā, vijjhavēntu* (Āyār. 2,2,1,10), *vijjhāviya* (Uttar. 709). *samijjhāi* (Hc. 2,28) is an analogical formation in connection with *Vindh*⁴. — AMg. *jhāma=kṣāma* (scorched; ashes; Āyār. 2,1,10,6; 2,10,22), *jhāmei* (Sūyag. 722; Vivāhap. 1257), *jhāmāvei, jhāmanta-* (Sūyag. 722); AMg. JM. *jhāmiya* (Deśin. 3,56; Vivāhap. 1251), *jhāmāvei, jhāmanta-* (Sūyag. 722); AMg. JM. *jhāmiyā* (Deśin. 3,56; Vivāhap. 321.1251; Av. 25,1; 26,17); JM. *nijjhāmemo* (Dvār. 505,9), beside M. Ś. *khāma* (meaſure; G; Karp. 41,1). — M. AMg. *jhijjaī=kṣiyate* (Vr. 8,37; Hc. 2,3; 4,20; H. R.; Lalitav. 562,21; Utār. 633); M. *jhijjae, jhijjāmo* [so to be read], *jhijjhisi* (H.), *jhijjanti* (G. H.); JM. *jhijjāmi* (Rśabhap. 35 [so to be read with ed. Bomb.]); A. *jhijjaū* (Hc. 4,425,1); M. JM. *jhijjanta-* (G. H. R.; Kk. III, 68); Ś. *jhijjanti* (Viddhaś. 99,2); M. Ś. A. *jhīna=kṣīṇa* (Hc. 2,3; Ki. 2,84; Pāiyāl. 181; G. H. R.; Mṛcch. 29,5; 69,23; 74,20; A. Vikr. 56,21), beside M. AMg. Ś. *khīṇa* (Hc. 2,3; H.), Anuog. 282 ff.; Sūyag. 212; Samav. 88; Kappas.; Anarghar. 293,10, where, however, the ed. Calc. 216,6 has *jhīṇa*), and *chiṇa* (Hc. 2,3). — *jhodāi=kṣotayati* (throws; hurls; Dhātupāṭha 35,23) in *jhodīa* (hunter; Deśin. 3,60); *nijjhodāi=***nihkṣotayati* (tears asunder; splits; Hc. 4,124), perhaps also in *jhōṇḍalīā* (a game analogous to the shepherd's dance; Deśin. 3,60). — Apparently *jhampaī* (wanders about; Hc. 4,161) also belongs to it, however, it might have developed from *kṣap*

jhampittō=aniṣṭavacanāvakāśam kṛtvā (having blamed; Samav. 83) and *jhampia*, JM. *jhampiya* (torn; shaken; Deśin. 3,61; Erz. 85,28) and *jhampāni* (eye-brow; Deśin. 3,54; Pāīyal. 250)⁵. — *jharua* (midge; Deśin. 3,54), will be derived from the root *ksar* with the suffix *-uka* (§ 118. 596), to which belongs *ksāra* (sharp; pricking; biting) which forms AMg. A. *chāra*, AMg. JM. *khāra* in the meaning “saltpetre”, “potash” (§ 321) — Hc. 4,181 mentions also *avaajjhāi* beside *avachai*=**avacakṣati* (§ 499).

1. WACKERNAGEL, Literatur-Blatt für orientalische Philologie 3,54; Altind. Gr. § 209. — 2. JACOBI in ZACHARIAE, Beiträge zur indischen Lexicographie p. 59. — 3. Not to be interchanged with AMg. *jhijāi=dhyāi*: § 191.280.479. — 4. WEBER, ZDMG. 28,376.428; on H. 109.333.407; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākṛita p. 16 f. *vijjhāi* as *vidhyā* has entered also into Skt. of the Jainas (ZACHARIAE, Epilegomena zu der Ausgabe des Anekārtha-sāṃgraha, Wien, 1893 p. 1 ff. — 5. BÜHLER, Pāīyal., s. v. *jhampaniu*.

§ 327. *tsa* becomes *ccha* (Vr. 3,40; C. 3,4; Hc. 2,21; Ki. 2,92; Mk. fol. 25), Mg. *śca* (§ 233), through *thsa*, *tsha*, *tcha* (§ 316): AMg. *kucchanija*=*kutsaniya* (Pañhāv. 218); *kucchia*=*kutsita* (Ki. 2,92); *ciicchāi*=*cikitsati*, S. *cikicchidava*, AMg. *tigicchāi*, *vitigicchāmi* (§ 215. 555); AMg. *tiicchā*, *tiguchā*=*cikitsā*, *vitigicchā*=*vicikitsā*, *tigicchaga*=*cikitsaka* (§ 215), S. *ciicchaa* (Mālav. 27,12; so to be read with the Bengal MSS. and with the Telugu manuscript of BOLLENSEN for *cikissaa*, *ciissaa* ed. PANDIT 52,2); AMg. JM. S. *bihaccha* (Uvās. § 94; Āv. 8,19; Dvār. 506,21; Kk. 264,26; Mālatim. 215,1), S. *bihaccha* (Prab. 45,11; so to be read), Mg. *bihāśa* (Mrcch. 40,5; so to be read)= *bībhatsa*; M. JM. S. A. *macchara*=*matsara* (C. 3,4; Hc. 2,21; G. H. R.; Erz.; Śak. 161,12; Mālav. 64,20; Hc. 4,444,5); JM. S. *vaccha*=*vatsa* (Bh. 3,40; Erz.; Kk.; Mrcch. 94,15; 150,12; Vikr. 82,6,8.13; 87,17), Mg. *vaśca* (Hc. 4,302); AMg. JM. *siruvaccha*=*śrīvatsa* (Pañhāv. 259; Samav. 237; Ovav.; Erz.); M. JM. S. *vacchala*=*vatsala* (G. H.; Dvār. 501,3; 503,38; 507,30; Erz.; Śak. 158,12), Mg. *vaśala* (Mrcch. 37,13; so to be read). — Beside AMg. *charu*=*tsaru* (Pāīyal. 121; Deśin. 5,24; Pañhāv. 266; Samav. 131; Ovav.; Nāyādh.), dialectically was used also *tharu*=**staru* (Deśin. 5,24). In Pañhāv. 322, the text has *ccharu* and the commentary, *ttharu* —.

§ 327^a. In compounds, in which *t* stands at the end of a syllable and the following syllable originally begins with *śa* or *sa*, the phonetic groups **tsā*, *tsa* become *ssa*, or with lengthening of the vowel standing before *t* they become *sa*. *t+śa*: AMg. *ūsaveha*=*ucchrapayata* from **utṛāpayata*, *ūsavijā*=*ucchrapiṭa*; AMg. JM. *ūsiya*=*ucchrita*, AMg. also *ussiyya*, *samussiyya*, *ussavijā*; S. *ussāvedi* (§ 64); M. *ussūna*=*ucchūna* (G.); AMg. *ussūnka*=*ucchulkā* (§ 74); M. *ūsa:aī*=*ucchvasii*, AMg. *ūsasanti*; Mg. *ūśasādu*; AMg. also *ussasai* (§ 64,496); AMg. *ussāsa*=*ucchvāsa* (Nāyādh.; Bhag.; Ovav.), M. A. *ūsāsa* (G. R.; Hc. 4,431,2); *ūsasira*=**ucchvasira* (Hc. 2, 145); M. *ūsasia*, JM. *ūsasiya*, S. *ūsasida*=*ucchvasita* (G. H. R.; Śak. 119,6; Kk. III, 513,1; Śak. 132,13; Vikr. 7,12); *sūsāsa*=*socchvāsa* (Hc. 1,157); *ūsisa* (Pāīyal. 118), JM. *ūsīsaa* (Āv. 16,8)= *ucchīrṣaka*; the synonymous *ūsaa* (Deśin. 1,140) is = **ucchaya* from *ud+śaya*; *ūsua*=**ucchuka* from *ud+śuka* (Hc. 1,114); AMg. *tassankino*=*tacchaikināḥ* from *tad+śankināḥ* (Sūyag. 936). — *t+sa*: AMg. *ussagga*=*utsarga* (Bhag.; Kappas.); AMg. JM. *ussapīṇī*=*utsarpīṇī* (Kappas.; Rṣabhap.); AMg. *usseha*=*utsedha* Pāīyal. 168; Bhag.; Uvās.; Ovav.); AMg. *tassanni*=*tatsamījñin* (Āyār. 1,5, 4,2), *tassāndhicāri*=*tatsāndhicārin* (Āyār. 2,2,4); *ūsaraī*=*utsarati* (Hc. 1, 114), *ūsāra*=*utsānta* (Hc. 2,21), JM. *ūsāritā* (Erz. 37,28; v. l. *ūśā^o*); AMg. *ūsatta*=*utsakta* (Kappas.); *ūsita*=*utsikta* (Hc. 1,114; Pāīyal. 187), but also *ussikkai*=**utsiknati* (lets loose; throws up; Hc. 4,91.144). —

According to Hc. 1,114 *tsa* in *utsāha* and *utsanna* becomes *ccha* only: M.Ś. A. *ucchāha* (G. R.; Śak. 36,12; Mālav. 8,19 [to be 'o read with v. l.]; Piṅgala 1,96^a); *ucchanna* (Hc. 1,114); Dh. *ucchādida=utsādita* (Mṛcch. 38, 18; 39,1). In *utsuka* and *utsava*, according to Vr. 3,42; Ki. 2,93; Mk. fol. 25 *ccha* never appears: Hc. 2,22 per̄ its it b-sile s. So M. *ucchua* (Hc.; on H. 984), but mostly M. Ś. *ūsua* (Grr. G. H. R.¹; Śak. 87,14; Karp. 58,2), Ś. also *ūsua*, AMg. JM. *ussuya* (Śak. 84,13; Mālav. 35,1; 37,20; Ovav.; Erz); Ś. *pejjussua=paryutsuka* (Śak. 19,6; 57,11) and *pajjūsua* (Vikr. 21,19); Ś. *samūsua=samutsuka* (Śak. 142,4; Vikr. 67,12); M. *ūsuia=utsukita* (H.); AMg. *osuja=autsuka* (Ovav.). — M. Ś. *ūsava=utsava* (G. H. R.; Śak. 121,12; Cait. 244,18); AMg. *ussava* (Vivāhap. 822) and *ūsaa* (Nirāyāv.); M. *gāmūsava=grāmotsava* (G.); M. JM. Ś. *māhūsava=mahotsava*; Ś. *vasantūsava=vasantotsava* (§ 158), beside M. Ś. *ucchava=(*H. 369; Mallikām. 209,18), Ś. *nīrucchava* (Sak. 118,13)². — *utsaṅga* always becomes M. AMg. JM. A. *ucchāṅga* (G. H. [read 422] J. R.; Ovav.; Erz; Hc. 4,336; Vikr. 51,2). — I would prefer, with the Indians, to derive M. CP. *ucchallai* (G. H. R.; Hc. 4,326), JM. *ucchallija* (Erz.) beside *ūsalai* (Hc. 4,202), *ūsalia* (Deśin. 1,141), *ūsalija* (Paiyāl 79) from *śal* with *ud* than to derive it, with ZACHARIAE³ from **sal* with *ud*. — *utthallai* (Hc. 4,174; cf. Ki. 4,46), *utthallija* (Paiyāl. 179) *uthalia* (Deśin. 1,107) is with BÜHLER⁴ to be derived from *sthala+ud*. Like *t+śa* is treated *t+śa* too in AMg. *chassaya=satṣata* (Kappas.).

1. The v. l. to H. 479 points, as that on Vr. 3,42, rather to *ūsua* than to *ūsua*.

— 2. Wrongly doubted by LASSEN, Inst. p. 151 and rejected by BÖHTLINGK on Śak. 77,6. — 3. KZ. 33,444 ff. — 4. Paiyāl s. v. *utthallijām*,

§ 328. *psa* through *phsa*, *psha*, *pcha* becomes *ccha* (§ 316; Vr. 3,40; C. 3,4; Hc. 2,21; Ki. 2,92; Mk. fol. 25)¹: *chāa=Pāli chāta=psāta* (hungry; Deśin. 3,33; Paiyāl. 183). In the meaning "meagre" (Deśin. 3,33; Paiyāl. 87), *chāa* is = **kṣāta*². — *accharā, accharasā* = Old Hindi *apchar*, Sindhi *apcharā³=apsarā, apsarāḥ* (§ 410), from *chara=psaras* (form) in M. *samaccharehīm=samarūpāḥ* (R. 7,62) and AMg. *uttarakurumānusaccharā=uttarakurumānuśarūpāḥ* (Panjhāv. 288)⁴. — *jugucchā=jugupsā*; M. *juucchai*, AMg. *dugucchāi*, Ś. *jugucchedi=jugupsati* (§ 215.515). — JM. *ghēcchāmo=*ghṝpsyāmāḥ* (Āv. 23,6). — *licchāi=lipsati* (Hc. 2,21); *licchāi=lipsā* (Bh. 3,40; Mk. fol. 25); AMg. *lichu=lipsu* (Uttar. 961).

1. Wrongly the transition to *ppa* too has been assumed by WEBER, Bhag. 1,414 and to *ppha* by PISCHEL, Ved. Studien 1,79. — 2. BÜHLER, Paiyāl, s. v. *chāyām*, PISCHEL, ZDMG. 52,96. As *chāta* (the word has entered into Skt too (ZACHARIAE, Epilogomena to his edition of the Anekārthaśaṅgraha, Wien 1893, p. 15 note 2). — 3. BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 1,309. The form *abbharā*, which LASSEN, Inst. p. 267 mentions, and of which the etymology has been given by ASCOLI, Kritische Studien p. 262 and BARTHOLOMAE, ZDMG. 50,722, is a false reading, as shown by PISCHEL, ZDMG. 51,589 ff. — 4. PISCHEL, ZDMG. 52,93 ff.

§ 329. *hkā, hkha, hpa, hpha*, according to Hc. 2,77 more correctly *hka, hkha, hpa, hpha*, are treated like the corresponding phonetic groups with a sibilant (§ 301 ff.), therefore, become *kha* (in compounds), *kkha, ppa* (in compounds), *phpa*: Ś. *antakkaraṇa=antaḥkaraṇa* (Vikr. 72,12); Ś. *ṇikhattīkada=nīkṣatīkṛta* (Mahāv. 27,6); M. AMg. JM. JS. Ś. Mg. D. A. *dukkha=duhkha* (G. H. R.; Āyār. 1,1,1,7,2,3,3,5,6,2 etc.; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nirāyāv.; Nāyādh.; Āv. 9,6; 10,20; Erz.; Kk.; Rśabhap.; Pav. 380,12; 381,14,20; 383,75; 385,67,69; Mṛcch. 28,11; 78,12; Śak. 51,14; 84,14; 136,13; Vikr. 9,19; 51,12; 53,11; Mg. Mṛcch. 159,12; Prab. 28,17; 29,7; D. Mṛcch. 101,12; A. Hc. 4,357,4; Vikr. 59,6; 60,18); Ś. *niddukkha=nirduhkha* (Śak. 76,8); Ś. *dukkhida=duhhita* (Vikr. 16,6; 34,1).

— In AMg. JM. JŚ. is found beside *dukkha* also *duha* (Sūyag. 126, 156, 259, 406; Uttar. 505, 574, 599, 626; Panṭāv. 504; Dasav. N. 646, 6, 14; Nāyādh. 478; Erz.; Kk.; Kattig. 401, 349). Likewise M. *duhia* (Hc. 1, 13 [so to be read] ; Ki. 2, 113 [so to be read] ; H. R.), AMg. JM. *duhiya* (Uttar. 599; Viśāhap. 116; T. 6, 10; Dvār. 501, 10; Kk.), JS. *duhida* (Pav. 383, 75) = *duḥkhita*; M. *duhāvī* (G.); AMg. *duhi* = *duḥkhīn* (Sūyag. 71; Uttar. 577). The forms with *h* are found almost exclusively in verses, and *duha* often stands directly beside or in parallel with *suha* = *sukha*, which has influenced its form¹. Conversely *sugga* (comfort; well-being; Desin. 8, 56) is formed according to *dugga* = *durga* (pain; Desin. 5, 53; Triv. 1, 3, 105)². — *puṇapunakkaraya* = *punaḥpunahkaraya* (Desin. 1, 32); *antappāa* = *antahpāta* (Hc. 2, 77). Whether in Mg. the phonetic groups have been rightly treated in the MSS. is doubtful. Cf. also § 342, 347. *hśa*, *hṣa*, *hṣa* become *ssa*, Mg. *śsa*, or with lengthening of the preceding vowel *sa*, Mg. *śa* (§ 64): S. *cadussāla* = *catuhśāla* (Mallikām. 209, 19; 215, 5; text *caū*^o), *cadussālaa* = *catuhśālaka* (Mṛcch. 6, 6, 16, 11; 45, 25; 93, 16, 18; Dhūrtas. 6, 5); S. *cadussamudda* = *catuhśamudra* (Mṛcch. 55, 16; 78, 3; 147, 17); Mg. *nīśsalida* = *nīśṛta* (Lalitav. 566, 15); M. *nīśanka* = *nīśāṅka*, JM. *nīssanka* (§ 64); M. S. *nīśaha*, beside *nīssaha* (§ 64); JM. *nīsesa* = *nīśesa* (KI. I); S. *dussanta* = *duḥṣanta* (Śak. 16, 12; 76, 10), Mg. *duśanta* (Śak. 160, 10); *dussamcarā* and *dūsamcarā* = *duḥśamcarā* (Ki. 2, 113); S. *dussilittha* = *duḥśliṣṭa* (Mahāv. 23, 19); M. JM. S. A. *dūśaha* beside S. *dussaha* = *duḥṣaha* (§ 64); S. *sunasseha* = *śunahśepha* (Anar-ghar. 58, 5; 59, 12); *dussila* — *duḥśila* (Desin. 6, 67). Cf. § 340.

1. Cf. JACOBI, KZ. 25, 438 ff.; where, however, there are many errors.—2. PISCHEL, BB. 6, 95.

§ 330. The phonetic combinations *hṇa*, *hna*, *hma*, *hla* become *ṇha*, *ma*, *lha* (Vr. 3, 8; Hc. 2, 74, 75, 76; Ki. 2, 95, 96, 99; Mk. fol. 21) through transposition of the consonants. M. AMg. JM. JŚ. S. *avaranha* = *aparāhṇa* (Bh. 3, 8; Hc. 2, 75; G.H.; Anuog. 74; Bhag.; Erz.; Kattig. 402, 354; 403, 373; Vṛṣab. 41, 2); AMg. JM. *puvvānha* = *pūrvāhṇa* (Bh. 3, 8; Hc. 2, 75; Mk. fol. 21; Thān. 244; Anuog. 74; Bhag.; Kattig. 402, 354); AMg. *puvvāvaraṇha* (Nāyādh. 332, 481; Thān. 244; Kappas. § 212, 227; Nirāyāv. 53, 55; Vivāgas. 124 [text *pacci*^o]); M. AMg. JM. JŚ. S. *majjhānha* = *madhyāhṇa* (Hc. 2, 84; H. 494; Karp. 94, 6, 96, 2; Thān. 243; Av. 46, 6; Erz.; Kattig. 402, 354; Ratn. 321, 32; Dhūrtas. 7, 20; Karp. 59, 4; Viddhaś. 40, 5; Cait. 92, 13; Jivān. 46, 10, 17). On *majjhānṇi* = *madhyāmdina* see § 148, 214. — M. AMg. JM. A. *gēṇhai*, JŚ. *gīnhādi*, S. Mg. *gēṇhadī* = *grhnātī* (§ 512). — M. S. Mg. A. *cīnha* = *cīnha*, bes. de M. AMg. JM. *cindha* (§ 267). — *janhu* = *jahu* (Bh. 3, 33; Hc. 2, 75). — *nīṇhavāī* = *nīnhute*, AMg. *nīṇhavējjja*, *nīṇhavē*, *anīṇhavāmāṇa*, M. *nīṇhuvijjanti*, S. *nīṇhuvīḍi*, *nīṇhuvīda* (§ 473). — AMg. JM. S. *vānhi* = *vahni* (Bh. 3, 33; Hc. 2, 75; Ki. 2, 99; Vivāhap. 417; Erz.; Mudrār. 253, 8). — M. D. *bamha* = *brahman* (Hc. 2, 74; H.; Mṛcch. 105, 21; PG. S. Mg. *bamhāṇa* = *brāhmanā* (§ 287); S. *bamhāṇa* = *brāhmanā* (§ 282); *bamhacera*, *brahmācarya* (§ 176); beside dialectical *bambha*-, *bambhāṇa*, *bambhacera* (§ 250, 267). — *sumhā* = *suhmāh* (Hc. 2, 74). — *alhāda* = *āhlāda* (Bh. 3, 8); AMg. *kalhāra* = *kahlāra* (Bh. 3, 8; Hc. 2, 76; Ki. 2, 95; Mk. fol. 21; Pannav. 35; Sūyag. 813); *palhāa* = *prahlāda* (Hc. 2, 76); AMg. *palhāyanīja* = *prahlādanīya* (Jiv. 821; Nāyādh. § 23), AMg. *palhāyanā* = *prahlādāna* (Uttar. 838); M. AMg. S. *palhattha* = * *prahlasta*, M. *palhatthā*, AMg. *palhatthīja* (§ 285); AMg. JM. *pahlava* = *pahlava* (Panhāv. 42 [text *°hla*^o] ; Dvār. 498, 17), AMg. *pahlavi* (Nāyādh. § 117), *palhavīyā* (Vivāhap. 792; Ovav. § 55); *lhasai*, *parilhasai* = *hlasati*, *parihlasati* (Hc. 4, 197), A. *lhasiū* (Hc. 4, 445, 3).

§ 331. Through transposition of the sounds, according to Hc. 2,124, *hya* may become *yha*; *guya*=*guhya*; *saya*=*sahya*. The grammarians teach the same for the stem of the pronoun of the second person: *tuya*, *uyha* (§420ff.). This phonetic alteration, which is common in Pāli, has not as yet been authenticated in Pkt. It is apparently to be assumed for Mg. P CP. in correspondence with the phonetic rules otherwise valid for these dialects (§236 252,280.287). The editions write *jha* in Mg.; yet in Mrcch. 170,18=463,8 ed. Godbole, the MSS. have *sahya*, *sattha*, *śattha*, *ssattha* for *sajha* of the text, so that *sahya* will have to written. So in spite of § 252, in all other dialects, *ya* after its transposition has become *ja*, so that *hya* appears as *jha*, and in the inlaut, as *jha* (Vr.3,28; C. 3,20; Hc. 2,26.124; Ki. 2,87; Mk. fol. 23). Ś. *anugējjhā*=*anugrähyā* (Mrcch. 24,11); AMg. *abhirujjha*=*abhiruhya* (§ 590), *abhinigjjha*=*abhinigrhya*, *parigijjha*=*parigrhya* (§ 591); *najjhai*=*nahyate* (Hc. 2,26), M. *samṇajjhai* (R.); JM. *gujjha*=*guhya* (Hc. 2,26.124; Erz.); *gujjhaa*=*guhyaka* (Bh. 3,28); *dujjha*=*dohya* (Deśin. 1,7); *vajjha*=*vāhya* (C. 3,20; Ki 2,87), *vajjhaa*=*vāhyaka* (Bh. 3,28); Ś. *sajjha*=*sahya* (Hc. 2,26.124; Šak. 51,15); M. *sajjha*=*sahya* (R.). On *hijo*, Ś. *hio*=*hyas* see § 134.

§ 332. *hra* and *rha* are mostly separated by a partition-vowel (§132-140). *daśärha* becomes AMg. *dasāra* (Hc.2,85; Antag. 3; Thān. 80.133; Nāyādh. 528.537.1235.1262.1277; Nirayāv. 78 ff.; Samav. 235; Uttar. 665.671). *hrada* becomes AMg. *haraya* (§132), or with transposition of the consonants AMg. A. *draha*, AMg. *daha* (§268.354). — *hva*, as in Pāli, is firstly transposed as *vha*, which becomes *bha*, in the inlaut -*bbha-* (C. 3,1.21.26; Hc. 2,57; Ki. 2,97; Mk. fol. 26). *gabbhara*=*gahvara* (Ki. 2,97). — AMg. JM. *jibbhā*=*jihvā* (C. 3,1.21.26; Hc. 2,57; Mk. fol. 26; Āyār. 1,1,2,5; p. 137,1; Sūyāg. 280.639; Uttar. 943.986; Uvās.; Ovav.; Āv. 42,3); AMg *jibbhīdiya* (Vivāhap. 32.531; Thān. 300; Panhāv. 529), A. *jibhindiu* (Hc.4,427, 1; so to be read), beside M. AMg. JM. JS. Ś. *jihā*, Mg. *yihā* (§ 65). — *vibbhala*=*vihala* (C. 3,1; Hc. 2,58; Ki. 2,72), AMg *vēbbhala* (Bh. 3,47; Panhāv. 165), beside M. JM. *vihala* (Grr.; G. H. R.; Kk.), JM. *vihaliya*=*vihvalita* (Erz.). On *bhībhala*, *bimbhala*, M. *bhēmbhala*, Ś. *bhēmbhaladā* see § 209

§ 333. As in the case of simple consonants (§ 218 ff.), so also in the case of conjunct explosives of the same class we find here and there c-rebrals in place of dentals of Skt. — *ita*=*ṭṭha* : Ś. *maṭṭiā*, AMg. JM. *maṭṭiāyā*=*mṛtiikā* (§49). — AMg. *vṛṭṭa*=*vṛtta* (round; §49); AMg. *oniyatṭa*=*avaniyvṛṭṭa*. (Kappas.), *viyatṭa*=*viyvṛṭṭa* (Ovav.), beside AMg *vattia* (Ovav.), *nivattia* (Ovav.); JM. *jahāvattia*=*yathāvṛṭṭa* (Erz.), and throughout *tt* in the rest of the dialects — From both *pattana* and *pattāna*, the words that occur side by side in Skt., AMg. JM. A. have only *pattana* (Vr. 3,23; Hc. 2,29; Mk. fol. 23; Āyār. 1,7,6,4; 2,11,7; Thān. 347; Panhāv. 175.246. 406.486; Uttar. 891; Vivāhap. 40 295; Uvās.; Ovav.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.; Hc. 4,407) — *tiha*=*ṭṭha*: AMg. JM. *utṭhei*, A. *utṭhai***utthāti*, M. *utṭhia*, AMg JM. *utṭhiya*, beside Ś. *utthehi*, *utthedu*, *utthida*; AMg. *kavīṭṭha* beside AMg. Mg. *kavīṭṭha*=*kavīṭṭha*(§309). — *ddha*=*ḍḍha*: AMg. JM. *idḍhi* beside usual *riddhi* (§57). — AMg *vaddhi*, *vuddhi*=*vṛddhi*, M. *parivaddhi*=*parivṛddhi*; M. AMg. JM. Ś. Mg. *vuddhā*=*vṛddhā* (§ 53). — AMg *saddhā*=*śraddhā* (Hc. 2,41; Sūyāg. 603.611.620; Nāyādh.; Bhag.; Ovav.; Kappas.), *jājāsaddha* (Vivāhap. 11.101.115 191), *uppannasaddha*, *saṁjāyasaddha* (Vivāhap. 11.12); AMg JM. *saddha*=*śraddha* (Āyār. 2,1,11,5; 2,2,2,8, 10; Erz.); AMg. *saddhi*=*śraddhin* (Āyār. 1,3,4,3; 1,5,5,3; Sūyāg. 71; Kappas.); AMg. *mahāsaddhi*=*śraddhika* (Āyār. 1,2,5,5), *saddhiyā*=*śraddhika* (Thān. 152), *saddhai*=*śraddhakin* (Ovav.), beside M. AMg. JM. Ś. *saddhā* (Hc. 1,12;2,41; H.;

Āyār.1,1,3,2; Uvās.; Erz.; Šak. 38,5; Prab. 42,2,8;44,11;46,8;48,1.2 etc.), Mg. *saddhā* (Prab.47,2;63,4), M. *saddhālua* (H.) and always AMg. *saddahāi* (Vr. 8,33; Hc. 4,9; Ki. 4,46; Mk.fol.54; Vivāhap. 845.1215; Uttar. 805), *saddahāi* (Uttar. 804), JŚ. *saddahadi* (Ka'tig. 399,311); AMg. *saddahāmi* (Vivāhap. 134; Nāyādh. § 133); M. *sadahimo* (G. 990); AMg. *saddahanti* (Vivāhap. 841 f.), *saddha* (Āyār. 1,7,8,24; Uttar. 170), *saddahasu* (Sūyag. 151), *saddahāhi* (Vivāhap. 134); JM. *asaddahanta* (Av. 35,4); AMg. *saddahāna* (Hc. 4,238; Sūyag. 322), *asaddahāna* (Sūyag. 504); AMg. JŚ. *saddahamāna* (Hc. 4,9; Sūyag. 596.625; Pav. 388,6); AMg. *asaddahamāna* (Vivāhap. 1215); M. *saddahia* (Bh. 8,33; R. 1,38); JŚ. *saddahana* (Pav. 388,6). — *nta=ṇṭa*: AMg. *vīṇṭa*, *tālaviṇṭa*, M. *vēṇṭa*, M.AMg. Š. *tālavēṇṭa*, AMg. *tāliyāṇṭa=vṛṇṭa*, *tālavrṇṭa* (§ 53). — *ntha=ṇṭha*: *gaṇṭhai* = *grathnāti* (Hc. 4,120), beside *ganthoi* (Mk. fol 54); M. AMg. JM. JŚ. D. *gaṇṭhi=granthi* (Hc. 4,120; G. H.; Karp. 10,2;76,4; Sūyag. 719; Vivāhap. 104; Uttar. 877; Ovav.; Pav. 385,69; Šak. 144,12; Prab. 18,1; Bālar. 36,3;130,6;148,16;297,16;299,1; Viddhaś. 71,1;83,1; Karp. 23,2;76,10;112,5; Karnas. 11,1; D. Mṛcch. 104,7); AMg. *gaṇṭhilla* (Vivāhap. 1308); AMg. *ganthiga=granthika* (Sūyag. 869); AMg. *ganthibheja* (Vivāgas. 100; Uttar. 289; Panhāv. 151 [[°]da]); but *ganthibheja* (Panhāv. 121); *gaṇṭhicchea=granthiccheda* (Deśin. 2,86;3,9); AMg. *gaṇṭhicchedaya* (Sūyag. 714), *gaṇṭhiccheda* (Sūyag. 719); Mg. *gaṇṭhiścedaa* (Šak. 115,4.12; so to be read); Š. *nigganṭhīdagāṇṭhīra* (Bālar. 131,14); JŚ. *dugganṭhi* (Pav. 385, 68); AMg. *nīyaṇṭha=nirgrantha* (Sūyag. 962.986.989.992; Vivāhap. 149 ff.), *mahāniyāṇṭha* (Uttar. 635), but AMg. *ganthima* (Āyār. 2,12,1;2,15,20; Panhāv. 519; Vivāhap. 823; Jiv. 348; Dasav. N. 561,10; Anuog. 29, Nandis 507; Ovav. § 79 XI [so to be read]), seldom *gaṇṭhima* (Nāyādh. 269); AMg. JŚ. *gantha=grantha* (Āyār. 1,7,8,11; Panhāv. 506; Kappas.; Kattig. 399,317. 318; 404,386. 387); AMg. *samgaṇtha* (Āyār. 1,2,1,1); AMg. JŚ. *niggantha=nirgrantha* (Āyār. 2,5,1,1; 2,6,1,1; 2,15,29; p. 132,4 ff.; Sūyag. 938.958.964.992; Vivāhap. 381; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas. etc.; Kattig. 404,385); AMg. *nigganthī* (Āyār. 2,5,1,1). — *nda=nda*: *kandaliā=kandarikā* (Hc. 2,38), of uncertain meaning. Cf. *kandali* *vva* as v.l. for *visalaka vva=visalateva* at H. 410.— AMg. *bhīṇḍimāla* beside usual *bhīṇḍivāla=bhīṇḍipāla* (§ 248). — In the case of consonants of different classes, in cases other than those mentioned in § 289 ff. 308 f., the cerebrализація is present in the sound-group *għha* in *thadħha* (Pāiyāl. 75), M. *thaddha* (Hc. 2,39; H. 537) = **stagħha* from **vstagħi*, to which belong Pāli *thahati* (stands), Pkt. *thāha* (ground; bottom), *thaha* (residence), *thagħha* (deep), *atħħāha*, *atħagħha* (bottomless, deep; § 88), and *utħanġħa* (throws up), M. *utħanġħia* (§ 505), *utħanġħa*, *utħanġħi-* (G.). — *chūdha* with its compounds = *kṣubħha*, is a formation of analogy (§ 66).

§ 334. The rules given in the preceding paragraphs hold good for conjuncts of more than two consonants: pro ex. *uḍḍāvēi=utplāvayati* (Hc. 2,106); M. *uppuā=utpluta* (H.); *utthala=utsthala* (R.); M. *ucchevaṇa=utkṣepaṇa* (R.); AMg. *nīthāṇa=nīhsthāṇa* (Vivāgas. 102); AMg. *kayasāvattā=kṛitasāpatnyā* (Deśin. 1,25); M. *māħappa=māħātmya* (G. R.); M. AMg. Š. *maċċha=matsya* (R. ; Sūyag. 71.166.274; Uttar. 442.595.944; Vivāgas. 136; Vivāhap. 248.483); Mg. *mašča* (§ 233); AMg. *macchattāe* (Vivāgas. 148); *macchabanda* (Erz.); M. *uḍḍoa=uddiyata* (G. H. R.); M. Š. *agħha=argħya* (H. ; Šak. 18,3;72,3); M. *sāmagħa=sāmagryaka* (R.); M. AMg. *taṁsa=tryasta* (§ 74); JM. *vattā=vartman* (path; Deśin. 7,31; Erz.); M. AMg. JM. Š. A. *pāni=pānkti* (§ 269); M. AMg. JM. Š. *viṁjha=vindhyā* (§ 269); M. *attha=astra* (R.) etc. Numerous examples have been quoted in appro-

priate places. *jyotsnā* forms M. AMg. JM. Š. D. A. *jō̄nhā* (Hc. 2,75; G. H. R.; Karp. 1,4; 2,5; 29,1; 88,2; Mallikām. 29,3; Jīv. 787; Kk.; Šak. 55,2; Mālav. 28,10; Bālar. 292,15; Anarghar. 277,3; Mallikām. 124, 7,243,15; 252,3; Karnas. 16,8; D. Mrcch. 101,9; A. Hc. 4,376,1), *jō̄nhāla* = **jyotsnāla* (Hc. 2,159), Š. *jō̄nhiā* = *jyautsnī* (Mallikām. 238,9), or AMg. *dosiñā* (§ 215), Š. *dosiñī* = *jyautsnī* (§ 215). M. JM. *sāmaitha* (Hc. 2,22; G. H. R.; Erz.; Kk.) presupposes one **sāmartha* (§ 281); *sāmarthyā* regularly becomes *sāmaccha* (Hc. 2,22). — Pāli *disvā* makes it apparent that in AMg *dissā* (Sūyag. 728; Vivāhap. 1414), *padissā* = **pradiśtvā* (Vivāhap. 1415) too the long is original and the short is metrical. There-to points also AMg. *dissam* *āgajām* = *drṣṭvāgatam* (Uttar. 695), where *dissam* stands, according to § 349 for *dissām*, and this again stands for *dissā* according to § 114. The regular form from *drṣṭvā* would be **diṭṭhā*. On the loss of aspiration in conjunct consonants see § 213.

III. DROPPING OFF AND ENTRY OF CONSONANTS IN THE ANLAUT.

§ 335. The consonants may drop off when they begin the second member of a compound and stand between vowels. It so takes place also in the case of consonants standing in the beginning of enclitics and sometimes also after proclitics, whilst in the case of compounds the homogeneous words are deemed to be forming them (184). Otherwise dropping off of initial consonants is merely isolated and dialectical: *ūā* = Pāli *ūkā* = *yūkā* (Deśin. 1,139; Triv. 1,3,105) beside *jūā* (Deśin. 1,159), AMg. *jūjā* (Āyār. 2,13,18; Anuog. in WEBER, Bhag. 2,265 note), *jūva* (§ 230)¹; *ōkkaṇī* = **yūkani* (louse; Deśin. 1,159). — AMg. *ahā* = *yathā* (Hc. 1,245); pro ex. *ahāsuȳām* = *yathāśrutam* (Āyār. 1,8,1,1; p. 137,26); *ahāsuttam* *ahā-kappam* *ahāmaggam* = *yathāśrutam* *yathākalpam* *yathāmārgam* (Āyār. p. 137,26 [°*suȳām*]; Nāyādh. 369; Vivāhap. 165; Uvās.; Kappas.); *ahārāṇījyā* = **yathāratnikāya* (Āyār. 2,3,3,5; Thān. 355 f.); *ahāṇupuuvvie* = *yathāṇupūrvyā* (Āyār. 2,15,13; Ovav.); *ahārihām* = *yathārihām* (Āyār. 2,15,16; Sūyag. 695; Uvās.); *ahāsaṁthādām* = *yathāsaṁstrtam* (Āyār. 2,7,2,14); *ahāsu huma* = *yathāsūkṣma* (Āyār. 2,15,18; Vivāhap. 213); *ahattahījyām* = **yāthātatihiyam* (Sūyag. 484.506); *ahākādām* = **yāthākṛtam* (Āyār. 1,8,1,17; Sūyag. 405,408); *ahāpariggaḥi jyā* = **yāthāparigṛhita* (Ovav.). — AMg. *āvā* = *yāvat* in *āvakahā* = **yāvatkathā* (Sūyag 120); *āvakahāe* = **yāvakathāyai* (Āyār. 1,8,1,1; Thān. 274); *āvakahām* = **yāvatkathām* (Āyār. 1,8,4,16); *āvakahījyā* = **yāvat-kathika*, all in the meaning “continuously”, “for life”. — AMg. *āvanti* = *yāvanti* (Āyār. 1,4,2,3; 1,5,1,1 ff.). Dropping off of initial *t* or *y* occurs also in *uṭha*, *uṭṭha*, *ubbha*, *umha* (§ 420 ff.). Cf. *jāim* § 427.

1. PISCHEL, BB. 3,241.

§ 336. *y*, as in Pāli, is put before *eva* in Mg. P. *yeva*, behind short or shortened vowels *yyeva*. Mg. *idō* *yyeva*, *mama yyeva* (Hc. 4,302), false *eva* (Lalitav. 567,1); P. *savvassa yyeva* = *sarvayaiva*; *tūrālō yyeva* = *durād eva* (Hc. 4,316,323). As in the case of original *y* (§ 252), here also the MSS. of the dramas do not authenticate the rule, but they write *jeva*, *jjeva*, *jevvā*, *jjevvā*, that is to say, the forms of the words that can be used in Š. (§ 95). Vr. 12,23 teaches for Š. *jevvā*, Hc. 4,280 *yyeva*, which is found in only one part of South Indian manuscripts¹. In A. *jeva* with the dropping off of the syllable *va* (§ 150) and transition of *e* to *i* (§ 85) becomes *ji* (C. 2,27^b; Hc. 4,420 with Word-Index). The older form *je* is found in M. (H.

524 v. l.=Hc. 2,217; R. 4,36), AMg. (Uttar. 669) and JM. (Āv. 12,24) and is considered by the grammarians (Hc. 2,217; cf. C. 2,27^a p. 46; Ki.4,83) as an expletive. The v.l. *cia*. in H. 524 points to the correct reading. *ya* enters in A. before *iva* also, which becomes *jīva*, *jeva*=**yīva* (§261). A. *jīva* seems to report for the usual derivation of Pāli *viya* from **yīva* through transposition². But Pāli *viya* cannot be separated from M. Š. Mg. *vīa*, AMg. JM. *vīja*, and these from AMg. JM. *vīva*, *pīva* and dialectical *mīva*. Hence Pāli *viya*, M. Š. Mg. *vīa*, AMg. JM. *vīja* are to be derived from *vīva*, which is to be equated as = *v+īva* according to § 337. *vīa* is the single prevailing form in Š. Mg. (Vr. 12,24; Mrcch. 2,16.19.21. 22.25; 3,17.20; 8,3 etc. Mg. Mrcch. 10,1; 133,12.24; 134,2; 136,14 etc.), more rarely in M. (Vr. 9,16; Hc. 2,182; H. R.; Kāp. 1,4; 16,4; 64,8), yet more rarely *vīja* in AMg. JM. (C. 2,22; Bhag.; Erz.), since these dialects use *va*, *vīa*, *iva* (§ 92.143). *vīva* is found in AMg. JM. behind vowels (Hc. 2,182; Ki. 4,83; Panhāv. 505,6.7.10; Nāyādh. §35.92; p.349. 1450; Uttar. 593.596.634; Vivāgas. 83.239; Vivāhap. 171; Nirayāv.; Kāpas.; Erz.; Kk.), as v. l. also in M. (H. R.). After *anusvāra* *vīva* becomes in M. AMg. JM. *pīva* (C. 2,22; Hc. 2,182; Ki. 4,83), where on the analogy of *vi*, *pī=āpi* might have had a hand. The derivation of *pīva* from *pī=āpi+iwa*³ makes the meaning improbable. So *pīva* M. (G. s. v. *iva*; H.; WEBER on H. 1); AMg. (Sūyag. 758; Panhāv. 231.340.508; Nāyādh. § 23.122; p 269.271.289.354.439 740.1045.1433; Vivāgas. 112; Rāyap. 255; Vivāhap. 794.807.823.943; Nirayāv.; Kappas.; Āv. 7,29; Dvār. 497,37; Erz.; Rsabhap.). Vr. 10,4, hence wrongly, restricts *pīva* to P. — *mīva* (Vr. 9,16; C. 2,27; p. 47; Hc. 2,182; Ki. 4,83), which is found after *anusvāra* in M. (H.; WEBER on H. 1; R.) and is not with BLOCH⁴ to be doubted⁵, will have originated either from *vīva* or *pīva*, through assimilation with the preceding *mī*⁶, as we find also *mi* for *vi*, *pī=āpi*⁷. Cf. *hem meva*, *hevām meva* in the inscription of Aśoka in SENART s. v.

1. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,280. — 2. CHILDERS s. v. *iva*; E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 64; E. MÜLLER, Simplified Grammar p. 62; WINDISH, BKSGW. p. 232; doubtlessly WEBER on H. 1, note 2. — 3. JACOBI, Kalpasūtra p. 100; cf. also GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākrтика p. 30; WEBER on H. 1; BLOCH Vr. und Hc. p. 34. — 4. Vr. und Hc. p. 34 ff. — 5. correctly against him WINDISH, l.c. p.234 f.; KONOW, GGA.1894, p. 478. — 6. Other explanations in WEBER H. 1 p. 47; P. GOLDSCHMIDT, Specimen, p. 69; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. s. v.; WINDISCH, l.c. p. 234. In Vr. 9,16 we should probably read *pīva* for *mmīva*. — 7. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākrтика p. 31; KLATT, ZDMG. 33.459; WEBER, H. s. v. *mi*. Also inscriptionally in JM. (KI. 10; beside *vi* and *pī*).

§ 337. *v* is thrust forward in M. Š. Mg. *vīa*, AMg. JM. *vīja*, AMg. JM. *vīva=īva* (§ 336); AMg. *vuccai*, Š. Mg. *vuccadi=ucyate* (§544); AMg. JM. *vutta=ukta* (Sūyag. 74. 844. 921. 974. 986. 993; Uttar. 717; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Ovav.; Kappas.; T. 4,19;5,2; Āv. 11,22; Erz.; M. AMg. JM. *vubbhai=uhyate* (§ 266.541). *vuccai*, *vutta*, *vubbhai* may be derived also from the present stem¹=**vacyate*, **vakta*, **vabhya*te with transition of *a* to *u* according to § 104, as it is certain for M. *vutha=**vasta=ūṣita, from *Vvo*s (to live; § 303.564)², and for AMg. *parivusiya* from *Vvas* (to put on; Āyār. 1,6,2,2,3,1;1,7,4,1,5,1). JŚ. Š. Mg. have *uita* (Pav. 382,42; Cait. 41,10; 72,5; 127,17; Kāleyak. 23,11; Mg. Mrcch. 37,12), and so throughout in compounds, as M. *paccutta=pratyukta* (H. 918); AMg. *nirutta=nirukta* (Panhāv. 406); M. Š. *puṇaruttia* (G. H.R.; Mrcch. 72,3; Sak. 56,16; Mālav. 86,4; Bālar. 120,6; Vṛṣab. 15,16; Mallikām. 73,3), AMg. *apuna-uttia* (Jiv. 612; Kappas.). — A. *vutthae=uttishtanti* (Pingala 1,125a); M. JM. *vūdha=ūdha* (R.; Erz.), beside M. *ūdha* (G.)³; JM. *vuppanta=upya-māna* (Āv. 25,29); *vōccatha* (inverted cohabitation; Deśin. 7,58)=

*uccastha from *ucca*, as AMg. *vuccattha* (= *paryasta*; *bhraṣṭa*; Uttar. 245) points.

1. WINDISCH, BKSGW, 1893, 230, note 1. — 2. Cf E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 37. — 3. Sometimes it cannot be said with certainty whether or not *vūḍha* in R., as otherwise often, is = *vyūḍha*. *vu*, *vo* often is = *vi* + *ud*.

§ 338. Prothesis of *h* is found in *hare* (Hc. 2,202; Ki. 4,83), *hire* (Vr. 9,15), beside *are*¹; *hira* (Hc. 2,186; § 259) beside M. *ira*=*kira* (§ 184); AMg. *huttha*=*oṣṭha* (Āyār. 1,1,2,5); AMg. *havvāe*, dative from **arvāka* = *arvāñc* (Āyār. 1,2,2,1; Sūyag. 565.575. 578. 601.616. 625 f.). The adverb AMg. *havvām* “quickly”, which the commentators explain with *śigṛam* or *arvāk* and with WARREN² and LEUMANN³ they trace back to *arvāk*, also supports this. JACOBI⁴ doubtfully equates it as = *bhavyam*, WEBER⁵ earlier, likewise doubtfully = *savvām*=*savvam*, later⁶ = *havyam*=“at call” (Thān. 124.125.127.155 ff. 207.208.285 ff. 539.585; Antag. 14.18 f. 30.32; Samav. 89.95.110; Vivāgas. 18 ff. 130; Nāyādh. § 94; p.306.378.565.620. 624 f. 737.792.819 etc.; Vivāhap. 96 f. 125 f. 146 f. 154 f. 170.181 f. 334 etc.; Rāyap. 248 ff.; Jīv. 260.356.411; Anuog. 394.436.454.455; Pañnav. 838; Nirāyāv.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.).

1. PISCHEL, KB. 7,462; P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874, p. 474. — 2. Over de godsdienstige en wijsgeerige Begrippen der Jaina's p. 52 ff. — 3. Aup. S. s. v.—4. Kalpas, s. v. — 5. Bhag. 1,416, note 1. — 6. Verzeichniss 2. 2, 423, note 3.

IV. FINAL CONSONANTS.

§ 339. Finally only a simple or nasalized vowel can stand in Pkt. Hence final consonants, excepting the nasals, are dropped : *maṇā*=*maṇāk* (Hc. 2,669); M. AMg. JM. S. Mg. *tāva*=*tāvat* (§ 185); M. AMg. JM. S. *pacchā*=*paścāt* (§ 301); AMg. *abhū*=*abħūt*; *akāsi*=*akārṣit* (§ 516); AMg. *akarīṣu*=*akārṣuh* (§ 516). Cf. § 395. The vowels standing in the auslaut were sometimes nasalized (§ 75.114.181), and short vowels were also lengthened (§ 75.181).

§ 340. The final consonant of the first member of a compound is assimilated with the initial consonant of the following member, as a rule (§ 335), so much so that the stems ending in consonants go over to the *a*-declension (§ 355 ff.). Sometimes, predominantly in verses, the member before the consonant is treated as absolutely in the auslaut. So M. *uamahi-hara*=*udak*+*mahīdhāra* (G.631); *uasindhū*=*udak*+*sindhū* (395); M. *eaṇuṇā*=*etadguṇāh* (Hc. 1,11); *jaarakkhana*=*jagadraksana* (G. 50), and several times in *jagat* in G. R.; AMg. *taditadiyā*=*tadittadīta* (Ovav. § 16, p. 31, 13); M. *tadibhāva*=*tadidbhāva* (G. 316); M. *viasia*=*viyat*+*śrīta* in rhyme with *viasia*=*vikasita* (R. 6,48); M. *vijuvilasia*=*vidyudvilasita* (4,40), and more often in *vidyut* in G. R.; M. *saṇisāmkula*=*saṛitsāmkula* in rhyme with *saṇisām kulaṁ*=*sadśām kulaṁ* (R.2,46); M. *saūrisa*=*saṭpurusa* (G. 992), beside frequent *sappurisa*; *sabhikkhu* = *sadbhikṣu* (Hc. 1,11). Particularly frequent is the dropping off of *s* of *dus*, in dependence upon the compounds with *su*, that often stand directly by its side : M. AMg. JM. *dulaha*=*durlabha* (Ki. 2,114; Mk. fol. 32; G. 1133; H. 844; Karp. 92,4; Dasav. 618,12 in parallel with *sulaha* 14; Kk. 271,33). M. *dulahattāṇa*=*durlabha-tva* (G. 503); AMg. *duciṇṇa*=*duściṇṇa* (Ovav. § 56 p. 62,14), according to *suciṇṇa*=*suciṇna* standing before it; AMg. *dumuha*=*durmukha* (Panḥāv. 244), beside *sumuha*; AMg. *durūva*=*dūrūpa* (Sūyag. 585.603.628.669.738, Vivāhap. 117.480; Thān. 20), mostly beside *surūva*=*surūpa*; AMg. *duvanna*=*durvarṇa* (Sūyag.628.669.738; Vivāhap.480[°ṇṇa]), beside *suwanṇa*; M. *dusaha*

=duhsaha (Hc. 1,115; G. 158.511; H. 486); duhava=durbhaga (Hc. 1,115; cf. §231); M. dohagga=daurbhāgya (H.).

§ 341. Inversely the final consonant is sometimes retained, especially before vowels, in addition to in compounds, particularly before enclitics. AMg. *chac ca=sat ca*, *chac ceva=sad eva*, *chāp pi=sad api* (§ 441); AMg. *asiñād i vā avahārād i vā=aśānād iti vā apahārād iti vā* (Āyār. 2,1,5, 1); AMg. *sucirād avi=sucirād api* (Uttar. 235); AMg. *tamhād avi ikkha=tasmād apikṣasva* (Sūyag. 117); AMg. *jad atthi=yad asti* (Thān. 33); AMg. *anusarāñād uvatthāñā=ānusmarañād upasthānāt* (Dasav.. N. 656,1); Mg. *yad iścaśe=yad icchase*; *mahad antalam=mahad antaram* (Mṛcch. 123,5;136,18). In compounds : AMg. *tadāvaraṇija=tadāvaraṇīya* (Uvās. § 74); AMg. *tadajjhavasiyā, tadappiyādkaraṇā, tadaṭṭhovātītā=tadadhyanātā, tadarpitakaranāh, tadarthopayuktāh* (Ovav. § 38, p. 50,31 ff.); AMg. *tadubhaṇya* (Ovav. § 117.122); JM. *taduviikkhākāriṇo=tadupeksākārinah* (Kk. 261,27), beside the cases like M. *eāvatihā=etadavasthā* (R. 11,132), AMg. *eyāñurūva=etadanurūpa* (Kappas. § 91,107). In AMg. *tārūvatāe tāvannattāe tāphāsat-tāe=tadrūpatvāya tadvānatvāya tatsparśatvāya* (Pāṇṇav. 523 ff. 540), *tāgan-dhatītāe tāra-atītāe=tadgandhatvāya tadrasatvāya* (Pāṇṇav. 540), and in the frequent AMg. JM. *eyāñurūva=etadrūpa* (Āyār. 2,15,23. 24; Sūyag. 992; Vivāgas. 116; Vivāhap. 151. 170. 171; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.), *a* is to be explained either according to § 65 or § 70. AMg. *sādāngavī=sādāngavīd* (Ovav.; Kappas.). *r*, originating from *s* in *dus* and *nis*, is always retained before vowels (Hc. 1,14; Ki. 2,124) : *duravagāha* (Hc. 1,14); AMg. *duraikkama=duratikrama* (Āyār. 1,2,5,4); M. *durāroha* (H.); JM. *duranucara, duranta, durappa=durātman* (Erz.); AMg. *durahiyyāsa=duradhvīṣā* (Uvās.); S. *durāgada=durāgata* (Vikr. 32,11); M. JM *duria=durita* (G.; Ki. 1,22); *duruttara* (Hc. 1,14); M. S. *nirantara*, JM. *nirantara* (Hc. 1,14; G. H.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 68,19;73,8; Prab. 4,4); M. *niravēkkha=nirapeksa* (R.); M. *nirālamba* (H.); M. *nirikkhaṇa=nirikṣaṇa* (H.); A. *niruvama*, JM. *niruvama=nirupama* (Hc. 4,401,3; Erz.); *nirūsua=nirutsuka* (G.). The rule holds good also for *prādus*: AMg. *pādureṣae=prādureṣayet* (Āyār. 1,7,8,17), *pādura-kāsi=prādurakārṣit* (Sūyag. 123), beside AMg. *pāubbhūya=prādurbhūta* (Vivāgas. 4,38; Vivāhap. 190; Kappas.), *pāubbhavithā* (Vivāhap. 1201) and *pāukujā=prāduskuryāt* (Sūyag. 474), *pāukarissāmi=prāduskarisyāmi* (Uttar. 1), against *karissāmi pāum* (Sūyag. 484), *kareṇti pāum* [text *pāu*], *karemi pāum* (Sūyag. 912.914). Cf. § 181. So also M. *bāhir uṇhām=bāhir uṣṇāṇi* (H. 186). On original *r* see § 342 ff., on *m* § 348 ff.

§ 342. In all the dialects *ah*, arising from the original *ar*, mostly becomes *o*: M. AMg. *anto=antah* from *antar* (G.H.R.; Āyār. 1.2,5,5;2,1,2,7;3, 10;2,7,2,1; Sūyag. 753; Uvās.); AMg. *aho=ahah* from *ahar* (§ 386); AMg. *pāo=prātah* from *prātar* (Kappas.). *puṇah* from *punar* becomes M. AMg. JM. JS. Mg. Dh. Ā. *puṇo* in the meaning “again”, “afresh” (G. H. R.; Āyār. 1,1,5,3; 1,2,1,1 2,2; 1,4,1,3,2,2; 1,6,4,2; Sūyag. 45.151.178.277.433, 468.497; Uttar. 202; Av. 28,14; Erz.; Kk.; Pav. 383,24; 384,49;386,10; 388,8; Kattig. 403,375; Mṛcch. 29,11; 58,8,13); Mg. Mṛcch. 176,5,9; Prab. 58,8; Dh. Mṛcch. 39,17; Ā. Mṛcch. 103,3), especially in the compounds M. JM. JS. S. Mg. D. *puṇo vi* (Ki. 2,126; G.H.; Av. 8,34.52;12, 35; Erz. 27,6; 33,37; Kattig. 402,367; Mṛcch. 20,24;21,7;41,6;45,16;81,9; 94,19; Śak. 22,2;68,2; Vikr. 11,2;13,18;28,1;82,17; Mahāv.65,2; Candāk. 93,14; Mg. Mṛcch. 80,5; 115,9; 117,3; 132,22; 148,14; 162,9; D. Mṛcch. 103,17), for which AMg. prefers *puṇar avi* (Ki. 2,126; Āyār. 1,8,2,6;2,1,7,3; Sūyag. 100,643.842; Vivāhap. 1038.1496; Jiv. 287.288.296; Pāṇṇav. 848;

Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.), that is known also to JM. (Āv. 11,24; Dvār. 497,26; 498,14; Erz.); according to Kī. 2,126 one says also *puṇa vi*. For *puṇo* M. has after vowels and anusvāra also *uṇo*, with dropping off of *p* according to § 184 (G H. R.). In the meaning “but”, “now” *puṇah* becomes in AMg. JM. JŚ. *puṇa* (Āyār. 1,4,2,5; 2,1,1,3. 4. 14; 2,2,3,10; Sūyag. 46,292; Vivāhap. 139; Dasav. 642,2; Dasav. N. 648,33; 652,11; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Āv. 8,50; 12,2; Erz.; Kk.; Kattig. 404,387. 389). There is found in M. too sometimes *puṇa* after anusvāra (G. H.), but mostly *uṇa*, as in Š.Mg. (§ 184). For Mg. *kīm puṇa* (Mrcch. 169,4) we should read with Gopābole (458,9), *kīm uṇa*. In M. are found in the meaning “but”, “now” also *puṇo*, *uṇo*. In A. *puṇah*, in both the meanings, becomes *puṇu* (Hc. 4,226 and Word-Index; Piṅgala 1,33.34.37.42 ff. 77. 84.90.95.100; Vikr. 71,10). After dropping off of the final *r* the words sometimes go over to the *a*-stem and are inflected. So AMg. *antam* (Āyār. 2,10,6), *antā* in the combination *anto antena* (Āyār. 2,5,1,14; 2,6,1,11), *antāo* (Āyār. 2,10,6); AMg. *pājāmī=prātar* (Sūyag. 337.341); *na unā=na *puṇāt* (Hc. 1,65); AMg. *puṇāmī* (Panhāv. 389; Uvās. § 119. 174), *puṇāi* (Hc. 1,65; Panhāv. 414), *na uṇāi* (Hc. 1,65), which are to be considered as in the acc. plur. Cf. § 345. From *anto* AMg. forms also *antohiṁto*, that is to say, an ablative =“from within” (Āyār. 2,7,2,1; Thān. 408; Kāyāp. 254 f.). Cf. § 343.365.

§ 343. Original *r*, as the secondary one (§ 341), is mostly retained before the vocalic intial of the second member in a compound: *antarappa=antarātman* (Hc. 1,14); M. *antaria*, AMg. JM. *antariya*, Š. *antarida=antarita* (G. H. R.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Sak. 67,2; 73,10; Vikr. 31,1; 41,17; 43,7); M. Š. *puṇaruita=punarukta*, AMg. *apuṇarutta* (§ 337); AMg. *apuṇarāvatti=apuṇarāvarītī* (Uttar. 859; Kappas.), *apuṇarāvattaga* (Ovav.). So also AMg. JM. *puṇar avi* (§ 342), and cases, like AMg. *puṇar ei*, *puṇar eṇti=punareti*, *puṇar yanti* (Āyār. 1,3,1,3,2,1). Before a consonantal initial of the second member, as a rule, comes in the form of the absolute final: M. *antomuha=antarmukha* (G. 94); *antovisambha=antarvisrambha* (Hc. 1,60); M. *antohutta* (Deśin. 1,21; H. 373), *antosindūria* (H. 300); AMg. *antojala* (Nāyādh. 764), *antōjjhusira=antahsusira* (Nāyādh. 397; cf. § 211), *antoduṭṭha=antarduṣṭa* (Thān. 314), *antomāsa* (Thān. 364); AMg. JM. *antomuhutta* (Vivāhap. 180.273; Samav. 215; Jiv. 49.322; Uttar. 977 f. 997.1003.1047 ff.; Kappas.; R̄ṣabhap. 43); AMg. *antomuhuttīya* (Vivāhap. 30), *antomuhuttūṇa* (Samav. 215), *antosālā=antahśālā* (Uvās.), *antosalla=antahśalya* (Sūyag. 695; Thān. 314; Samav. 51; Vivāhap. 159; Ovav.); JM. *antonikkhanta=antarniśkrānta* (R̄ṣabhap. 45); AMg. *pāsoinōṇa=prātahśnāna* (Sūyag. 337). The same form is found sometimes also before vowels: M. *antovarīm=antarupari* (Hc. 1,14), for which in G.1056 (the place meant by Hc.) stands *antovarīm*, which with P (cf. J) is to be read as *anto avarīm ca parīṭhiṇī*; AMg. *antoventura* (§ 344). In M. *antovāsa=antaravakāśa* we should add *anta* (§ 342), which is found also before consonants, as AMg. *antabhāmara=antarbhramara* (Kappas.), *antarāyalehā=antarrājallekhā* (Kappas.); *puṇapuṇakkarāṇa=puṇahpuṇahkarāṇa* (Deśin. 1,32); AMg. *puṇapuṇāṇayāe=puṇahpuṇāṇyāṇayāe* (Vivāhap. 1128). Before consonants there takes place also assimilation: Š. *antakkarāṇa=antahkarāṇa* (Vikr. 72,12); *antaggād=antargata* (Hc. 2,60); *antappāā=antahpātā* (Hc. 2,77); JM. Š. *puṇāṇava=punarnava* (Dvār. 504, 5; Karp. 83,3); JŚ. *apuṇabbhava=apunarbhava* (Pav. 386,5); *puṇapuṇakkarāṇa* (Deśin. 1,32). The ablative *puṇā=puṇāt* (§ 342) is to be assumed in M. *apuṇāgamarāṇā* (G. 1183); AMg. *apuṇāgama* (Dasav. 640,22); likewise *antā* should be viewed in *antāvei=antarvedi* (Hc. 1,14). The lengthening in *ā* can be explained also according to § 70.

§ 344. In *antahpura* and its derivatives *ah* becomes *e* in lieu of *o* in all the dialects, as in Pāli: M. AMg. JM. S. *anteura* (Hc. 1,60; G. R.; Sūyag. 771; Panhāv. 262; Nāyādh. § 19.102; p. 1079 ff. 1273.1290.1327. 1460.1465; Vivāgas. 156.159.172 ff.; Vivāhap. 792.1278; Nirayāv.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Āv. 15,13; Erz.; Sak. 38,5;57,11;70,7;137,8;138,1; Mālav. 33,1; 38,3;74,7;84,16;85,6; Bālar. 243,12; Viddhaś. 83,7; Karp. 35,3;45,10;99, 4; Pras. 45,4.13; Jivān. 42,16; Kamśav. 55,11; Karnas. 18,22;37,16 etc.); M. *anteuraa* (at H. 980); AMg. JM. *anteuriyā*, S. *anteuriā=antahpurikā* (Nāyādh. 1229; Erz.; Kk.; Viddhaś. 11,1). Against it there appears *anto* in AMg. *antioanteura* (Nāyādh. 723.1301; Vivāhap. 791; Ovav.), *antoante-purija* (Ovav.). At Vivāgas. 145 *antapurijāñsi* is edited. *e* appars for *ah* also in *anteāri=antaśārīn* (Hc. 1,60).

§ 345. In all the dialects, except in the nom. sing. of the *a*-stems in AMg. Mg. and in certain adverbs in AMg., where it becomes *e*, *ah* arising from *as* becomes *o*. AMg. JM. *aggao*, S. Mg. *aggado=agratah* (§69); AMg. *pītthāo=pītthātī*, AMg. JM. *pītthāo*, S. D. *pītthado=pītthatah* (§69); in the nom. sing. PG. *patibhāgo=pratibhāgah* (§ 363); M. *rāo=rāgah* (H. 12); JM. *putto=putrah* (Erz. 1,2); JŚ. *dhammo=dharmah* (Pav. 380,7); S. *ṇīoo=ṇīyo-gah* (Mṛcch. 3,7); Dh. *pulico=purusah* (Mṛcch. 34,12); Ā. D. *govāladārao=gopāladārakah* (Mṛcch. 99,16;102,15); P. *tāmotaro=dāmodaraḥ* (Hc. 4, 307); CP. *mekho=meghah* (Hc. 4,325); A. *kāmo=kāmāh* (Pingala 2,4); but AMg. *purise*, Mg. *puliśe=puruṣah* (Āyār. 1,1,1,6; Mṛcch. 113,21). Likewise *maṇo=manah*, *saro=sarah*, *jaso=yāśah* (§ 356). In AMg. there is found -*o* also, instead of -*e*, for -*ah* of the nominative in verses (§ 17), and before *iva* in prose: *khuro iva=kṣura iva, vālūjākavalō iva=vālukākabala iva=vālukākabala iva, mahāsamuddo iva=mahāsamudra iva* (Nāyādh. § 144); *kummo iva=kūrma iva, kuñjaro iva=kuñjara iva, vasabho iva=vṛṣabha iva, siho iva=simha iva, mandaro iva, sāgaro iva, cando iva, sūro iva* (Sūyag. 758=Kappas. § 118). Beside Kappas. *saṅkho iva* at the place cited, Sūyag. has *saṅkha* [sic] *iva*; Kappas. has *jive* [sic] *iva*, Sūyag. *jīva* [sic] *iva*; both of them have *vihaga* [sic] *iva*, and the adjectives always end in -*e* beside them. All this makes it probable that these are cases of Sanskritism and that -*e* has to be written throughout and that perhaps *va* is to be written for *iva*, that in AMg. is found in the text just feebly and is uncertain (§ 143). The same phonetic change occurs in all other cases, in which Skt. -*ah* goes back to *as*, as in the ablative singular in -*tas*: M. *kodarāo*, JM. *kōṭtarāo= *kotarātah= *kotarāt* (H. 563; Erz. 1,10); AMg. *āgārāo=āgārāt* (Uvās. §12); JŚ. *carittādo=caritrāt* (Pav. 380,6); S. *mūlādo=mūlāt* (Sak. 14,6); Mg. *haḍakkādo=hyakāt* (Mṛcch. 115,23); in the first pers. plur. indicative in -*mah=mas*: M. *lajjāmo*; AMg. *vaddhāmo*; JM. *tālemo*; S. *pavisāmo* (§ 455); AMg. *bhavissāmo*; JM. *pēcchissāmo*; AMg. S. *jāṇissāmo* (§ 521.525.534) etc. In AMg. one always says *bahave=bahavah* and *bahūn* (§380 ff.), in M. AMg. *ne=nah* (§419). In adverbs the sound sometimes varies in AMg. in the texts, *adhah* becomes M. JM. *aho* (G.; Erz. 50,30 [so with A. to be read]; Rṣabhap. 30), AMg., however, mostly *ahē* (Āyār. 1,5,6,2;1,6,4,2;1,8,4,14;2,1,2,3,2,10,6;2,15,8; Sūyag. 52.215.222. 271.273.304.397.428.520.590; Uttar. 1031.1033; Vivāhap. 105 f. 260.410. 753; Uvās.: Ovav.; Kappas.), *ahedisāo=adhoditah* (Āyār.1,1,1,2); *ahēbhāga* (Āyār. 1,2,5,4), *ahēbhāgi=adhobhāgi* (Sūyag. 829), *ahecara* (Āyār. 1,7,8,9), *ahēgāminī* (Āyār. 2,3,1,13), *ahēvāja=adhovāta* (Sūyag. 829), *ahesirām=adhahśirāh* (Sūyag. 288), but *ahosirām* (Sūyag. 268; Ovav.; Nāyādh.), *aheloga* beside *adhologa* (Thān.61 f.) and *ahē ahologe* (Thān.189), also independently standing, probably falsely, *aho* (Sūyag.476; Uttar.513); *pure=purah* (Āyār. 2,1,4,5,9,2), *purekamma= *puraskarman* (Hc. 1,57; Āyār. 2,1,

6.4.5; Panhāv. 492); *purekaḍa*, *purekkhaḍa* and *purakkada*=*puraskṛta* (§49.306), *porekacca*=**paurahṛitya* (Ovav.; Kappas.), *porevacca*=**paurovṛitya* Panṇav. 98.100 103; Vivāgas. 28.57; Samav. 134; Ovav.; Kappas.), everywhere beside *āhevacca*=*ādīpṛatiya* (§ 77); *rahe*=*rahah* (Uttar. 331.333), but *rahokamma-* (Ovav.); Š. *suo*=*śvah*, but AMg. *sue*, *sue* (§ 139), beside AMg. *suyarāe*=*śvarātre* (Āyār. 2, 5, 1, 10). As in *suyarāe* so in AMg. *adham*=*adah* (Āyār. 1, 1, 5, 2, 3), *aham* (Āyār. 1, 2, 6, 5; 1, 4, 2, 3, 4; 1, 7, 1, 5) and *parām*=*parah* (Nāyāch.) there has occurred transition to the *a*-stem. Cf. § 342. It still remains uncertain whether in compounds as well we should throughout read or not read *ahe°*, *rahe°*. On AMg. JM. *hēṭṭhā* and derivations see § 107.

§ 346. In A. *o* from *ah* mostly becomes *u* (Hc. 4,331; Ki. 5,22); *janu*=*janah* (Hc. 4,336); *lou*=*lokaḥ* (Hc. 4,366.420,4), *sīhu*=*sīṁhah* (Hc. 4, 418,3); *bhamaru*=*bhramarah*, *makkaḍu*=*markaṭah*, *vāṇaru*=*vāṇarah* (Piṅgala 1,67); *nisiaru*=**niśicarāh*, *dhārādharu*=*dhārādharah* beside *sāmalo*=*śyāmalah* (Vikr. 55,1,2); *tavu*=*tapah*, *siru*=*śirah* (Hc. 4,441,2.445,3); *anguliu* *jajjariāu* *āngulyo* *jarjariāh* (Hc. 4,333); *vilāśinū*=*vilāśinīh* (Hc. 4,348); *sallāu*=*sallakīh* (Hc. 4,387,1). The same phonetic change is common in Dh.: *luddhu* *jūḍialu* *papalīnu*=*ruddho* *dyūtakarah* *propalāyitah* (Mṛcch. 30,1); *vippadivu* *pādu*=*vīpratīpah* *pādah* (Mṛcch. 30,11); *esu vihavu*=*eṣā vibhavah* (Mṛcch. 34,17) beside nominatives in *o* (§25 345). Further in the abl. sing. in P. *tūrātiu*, *tumātu*, *mamātu*, beside *tūrāto*, *tumāto*, *mamāto*=*dūrāt*, *tvat*, *mat* (Hc. 4,321); M. *nahaalāu*=*nabhaṭṭalāt*, *raṇṇāu*=*aranyāt* (§365); JŚ *udayādu* (Pav. 383,27), according to which Hc. permits this form also in Š. Mg. (§365). In the first pers. plur. indicative: AMg. *icchāmu*, *acceμu*, *dāhāmu*, *vucchāmu*, A. *lahimu* (§ 455). Cf. § 85.

§ 347. At the end of the first member of a compound *o* may enter for Skt. *as*, *ah* before consonants, as in the auslaut. Commonly there appears sometimes, however, assimilation in M. AMg. JM. in the stem in *-a* (§407): M. *jasavamma*=*yaśovarman* (G.), JM. *jasavaddhaṇa*=*yaśovardhāna* (Ki.4), beside *jasoā*=*yaśodā* (G.H.); AMg. JM. *namokkāra*, beside *namoṣāra*, *navajāra* M. *ṇamakkāra* (§ 306); *nahaara*=*nabhaścara* (§ 301); M. *nahaala*=*nabhaṭṭala* (G. H. R.); *nahavatīha*=*nabhaṭṭprīṣṭha* (G.), *tamarāṇjara*=*tamorajonikara* (R. 3,34); AMg. *taavalova*=*taḍolopā* (Ovav.), beside AMg. JM. *tavokamma*=*taḍakarman* (Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), Š. *tavovāṇa*=*taḍovana* (Šak. 16,13; 18,10; 19,7; 90,14; Vikr. 84,20); JM. Š. *tavaccarāṇa*=*taḍaśāraṇa* (§301); M. A. *avarōppara*=*oparaspara*, M. AMg. JM Š. *parōppara*=*paraspara* (§195. 311); M. AMg. JM. *manohara*=*manohara* (Hc. 1,156; G. H.; Rāy. p. 114; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), beside AMg. JM A. *manohara* (Hc. 1,156; Kappas.; Erz.; Vikr. 66,15); M. *maṇaharāṇa* (Karp. 51,6; 55,4); AMg. *maṇa-pañoga*=*manahṛayoga*, *maṇakarāṇa* (Thān. 114) beside *maṇojoga* (Thān. 113); *uraāda*=*urastāṭa* (Ki. 2,110); AMg. *uraparisappa*=*urahparisarpa* (Thān. 121); AMg. *mihokahā*=*mīthākīṭhā* (Āyār. 1,8,1,9); AMg. *maṇosilā* (Hc. 1,26; Ki. 2,153; Āyār. 2,1,6,6; Sūyag. 834; Jīv. 519; Rāyap. 123; Panṇav. 25; Uttar. 1041), beside *maṇasilā* (Hc. 1,26; 4,286; Ki. 2,153), *maṇasilā* (Hc. 1,26,43; cf. § 64) and *maṇamīlā* (Hc. 1,26; cf. § 74); M. *sīravīhatā*=*śiro-vibhāṭa* (G. 51), beside *sīracchea*=*śīraścheda* (G. 322), *sīrakamala*=*śīrah-kamala* (G. 342), *sīralagga*=*śīrolagna* (H. 529); but Š. *sīrodhara* (Šak. 144, 12), Mg. *sīluha*=*śīruha* (Mṛcch. 17,2). The stem *accharā* (§ 97.410) is from *apsaras* (§97.410). On AMg. *ahe°*, *pure°* see §345. If the second member of a compound begins with a vowel the *a*-stem tends to enter into the first member. The vowels in such cases follow the rules of the vocalic sāmhi (§ 156 ff.): M. *mahirāṇtaria*=*mahirajontarita* (R. 13,52), *mahirāūṭṭhāṇa*=*mahirajāūṭṭhāṇa*, *mahirāūḍghāṇa*=*mahirajāūḍghāṇa* (R. 13,37,49), *asurorāṭṭhi*=*asurorosthi*=*asura+uras+asthi* (G. 7), *nahaṅgāṇa*=*nabhōṅgāṇa* (G. 139.231).

235 etc.), *nahāhoa*=*nabhaābhoga* (G.416), *nahuddeso*=*nabhaüddeśa* (G.558), *tamāṇubandha*=*tamonubandha* (G. 506), *tamugghā*=*tamaüdgħātā* (G. 1179) etc.

§ 348. Final *n* and *m* become *anusvāra* (Vr. 4,12; G. 2,11; Hc. 1,23; Mk. fol. 34); S. *tassim*, Mg. *taśśim*=*tasmīn*; *eassim*, S. *edassim*, =*etasmin*; S. *jassim*, Mg. *yaśśim*=*yasmin*; S. *kassim*, Mg. *kaśśim*=*kasmin*; AMg. S. *assim*=*asmin*; S. *imassim*, Mg. *imaśśim*=**imasmin* (§ 425 ff.); AMg. P. *bhagavam*, S. Mg. *bhaavam*=*bhagavān*; S. Mg. *bhavam*=*bhavān*; AMg. *āyavam*=*ātmavān*, *nāyavam*=*jñānavān*, *bambhavam*=*brahmavān*; AMg. *cittham*=*tiṣṭhan*, *pojam*=*pacan*, *kuvvam*=*kurvan*, *haṇam*=*ghnan* (§ 396); AMg. *rājam*, S. *rām*, P. *rājam*, Mg. *lām*=*rājan* (§ 399); A. *vāem*=*vātena*, *kohēm*=*krodhena*, *daivem*=*daivena*, after dropping off of the final *a* (§ 146).— *aham*=*aham*; *tumam*=*tum*; M. S. *aaṁ*, AMg. JM. *ayam*=*ayam*; S. *iam*=*iyam* (§ 417 ff.); AMg. JM. JŚ. *iyāṇim*, *idāṇim*, S. Mg. *dāṇim*=*idāṇim* (§ 144); S. *sādām*, Mg. *śādām*=*svāgatam* (§ 203); M. *jalām*, *jalahim*, *vahūm*=*jalam*, *jaladhim*, *vadhūm* (H. 161; G. 147; Hc. 3,124); S. *angānam*=*angānām*, *devīṇam*=*devinām*, *vadīṇam*=*vaḍhūnām* (Śak. 32,8; 43,11; 89,6); Mg. *devadāṇam* *bamhaṇānam* *ca*=*devatānām* *brāhmaṇānām* *ca* (Mṛcch. 121,10); M. AMg. JM. *kāum*, S. Mg. *kādūm*=*kartum* (§ 574). Cf. also § 75.83.181. A vowel with bindu (§ 179, note 3) is equivalent to a long vowel (§ 74.75.83.86.114). If, therefore, in verses, the metre requires a short syllable, the old *m* remains unaltered before the following vowel (Vr. 4,13; Hc. 1,24; Mk. fol. 34)¹: M. *surahim iha gandham āsisirabālamāū-luggamāṇa jambūṇa maaranḍam āravindam ca*=*surabhīm iha gandham āsiśirabāla-mukulodgamānām jambūnām makarandam āravindam ca* (§ G. 516); M. *tam aṅgam ē phīṇam idāṇim* (H.67); AMg. *aniccām āvāsam uveṇti jantūṇo = anītyam āvāsam upayanti jantavāḥ* (Āyār. 2,16,1); AMg. *cittamantam acittām vā* (Suṇag. 1); JM. *kāravīam acalam imām bhavaṇām* (KI. 22); *appīam eam bhava-nām* (KI. 23); *vissarijām tuham egam akkharāṇ=vismṛtām tvayaikam aksaram* (Āv. 7,33); JM. *tavassīṇim ejām=tapasvinīm etām* (Kk. 262,19); JŚ. *adisāyam ādasamutthām visajādīdām anovānam arāntam=atiśayam ātmasamutthām viṣayā-titām anupānam anāntam* (Pav. 380,13); Mg. *maanām arāṇgam=madanām anaṅgam, śāmkalam iṣalam vā=śāmkaram iṣvaraṇam vā* (Mṛcch. 10,13; 17,4)².

1. WEBER, H1. p. 47. 2. The MSS. and, with them, the Indian editions write, often falsely, the nasal instead of the vowel with bindu. So also in the inscriptions (KI. 10.11.12; PG. 7,45.49). False is also *vanso* (KI. 2), *rohinsakū* (KI. 20,21). Cf. § 10.

§ 349. In AMg. JM. JŚ. *m* remains, instead of being transformed into *anusvāra*, when the word ending in *m* is to be strongly emphasized, especially before *eva*, before which in this case a small vowel is often lengthened, and against § 83, a long one is retained (§ 68): AMg. *evam ejām bhante tāham ejām bhante avitāham ejām bhante icchijām ejām bhante padicchi-jām ejām bhante icchijāpadicchi-jām ejām bhante* (Uvās. § 12; Cf. Vivāhap. 946; Ovav. § 54; Kappas. § 13,83, and above § 114); AMg. *evam akkhāyām = evam ākhyālām* (Āyār. 1,1,1,1); AMg. *evam egesīn no nāyām bhavaī=evam ekeśām no jñātām bhavati* (Āyār. 1,1,1,2); AMg. *jam ejām bhagavajā pavetijām tam eva abhisameccā=yad etad bhagavatā praveditaṁ tad evābhīsametya* (Āyār. 1,7,5,1); AMg. *āyām teṇe ajām uvacarae ajām hantā ajām ēttham akāsi=ajām steno* *yam upacarako* *yam hantāyam ittham akārṣit* (Āyār. 2,2,2,4); AMg. *aham avi=aham api* (Āyār. 2,5,2,4); JM. *amhāṇam eva kule samuppannā paramabandhavā=asmākam eva kule samuppannāḥ paramabāndavāḥ* (Dvār. 500,1); JM. *evam imām kaijjām=evam idām kāryam* (Erz. 5,35); JM. *evam avi bhanie=evam api bhanite* (Āv. 16,24); JŚ. *pattegam eva pattegam=pratyekam eva pratye-*

kam (Pav. 379,3); *sayam evādā=svayam evātmā* (Pav. 381,15). Sometimes even secondary *anusvāra* (§181) is transformed into *m* under this condition: AMg. *iham egesim āhiyām=ihaikēśām āhitam* (Sūyag. 18); *sōccam idām=śrutvedam* (Ayār. 2,16,1; cf. § 587), *dissam āgajām=dṛṣṭvāgatam* (Uttar. 695; cf. § 334), under the pressure of metre; AMg. *iham āgāe=iḥāgataḥ* (Ovav. § 38), *iham āgacchējjā=iḥāgacchet* (Ovav. § 21), where, as the context shows, the accent lies on *iha*. The MSS., even in cases other than those cited above, very often write *m* instead of *m̄*, which is considered as correct by JACOBI¹, and has been included in the text by all the publishers without any consequence and rule. Even the MSS., other than those of the Jainas, in Pkt, very often write *m* for *m̄*, as stands very often in earlier European and many recent Indian editions. Thus pro ex. the ed. Bomb. (Karp. 6,4) writes *dhuvāgīdam ālavādi*, KONOW 3,3, however, correctly has *dhuvāgīdam ālavādi*; ed. Bomb. 20,8 has *cāruttanam avalambedi*, but KONOW 17,7 *caṅgattanam avalambedi*; ed. Bomb. 25,2 *āsanam āsanām*, but KONOW 23,9 *āsanām āsanām* etc. As here, so in AMg. JM. too, we should review the writing *m* for *m̄*, i.e. the MSS. are to be corrected. However, it only remains doubtful whether *m* is right or not, as particularly in strictly homogeneous words as admitted by JACOBI. The MSS. are not clear on the point. Sanskritism has its play also in *uparilikhitam ajātāye=uparilikhitam *adyatvāya* (PG. 7,45) and in *sayam ānatam=svayam ājñaptam* (PG. 7,49), whilst *evamādikehi=evamādikaiḥ* (PG. 6,34) may be interpreted as a compound. On *m* for *m̄* HOEFER's² opinion is more correct than that of LASSEN³.

1. ZDMG. 35,677; Erz. § 24, xxx. Among the examples quoted by JACOBI, good many are from verses, hence incapable of verification, as *multūttam avi* (Ayār. 1, 2,1,3); *īqam ev a* (Ayār. 1,2,3,4); *attāqam eva* (Ayār. 1,3,3,4), where *eva* should be deleted, as also after *saccam*, so that the verse reads: *saccam samabhiyāñāhi mehāvī mārām tarāi; sañhāram evān* (Ayār. 1,6,4,1) etc. A thoroughly doubtless Sanskritism is *teṇam iti* (Ayār. 2,2,2,4). The same holds good for *m* as for *t* (§ 203).— 2. De Prakrita dialecto § 66. — 3. Inst. § 53.

§ 350. An *anusvāra*, that originated from original *n*, *m*, disappears often before vowels and consonants. M. AMg. JM. JS. *tammi, jammi, kammi, taṁsi, jaṁsi, kaṁsi=tasmin, yaśmin, kaśmin* (§425 ff.); M. *jōvvaṇammi=** *yauvanasmin=yauvane*; AMg. *logaṁsi=loke*; JM. *tihūjaṇammi=tribhuwane*; JS. *ṇānammi=jñāne* (§366^a): in the first person singular optative: M. *kuppe-jja=kupyejam*, in AMg. with lengthening of the final *hanejjā=hanyām*; even S. *kuppe*, from **kupyem=kupyejam*, has the dropping off, (§ 460); in the absolute in **tvānam*: AMg. *cittihitīṇa* (§ 583), *kāuāṇa* (§ 584); M. AMg. JM. *gantūṇa* (§ 586); JS. *kādūṇa* (§ 21,584); likewise for AMg. *-ccāna, -jāna* beside *-ccānām, -yānām* (§587.592). In the genitive plural the forms without *anusvāra* are dominant in M. (§ 370). They are found also in AMg. (§ 370), especially before enclitics, as *duhāṇa ja suhāṇa ja=duhkhānām ca sukhānām ca* (Uttar. 626); *subhaddappamuhāṇa ja devīṇām=subhadrāpramu-khānām ca devīṇām* (Ovav. § 40,47,56) against *subhaddappamuhāṇam devīṇām=* (Ovav. § 43); *dasanha vi vat̄tamāṇānām=daśānām api vartamānānām* (Uvās. § 275). Further in JM., as **purīṣāṇa atīhārasaṅgaibhāntarāṇa=puruṣāṇām aṣṭādaśapraκtyabhyantarāṇām* (Av. 12,44,45); *dōṇha *viruddhāṇa naravarindāṇa=drayor *viruddhayor naravarendrayoh* (Av. 26,7); *savaṇāṇa=śravāṇayoh* (Erz. 2,13); *puttāṇa=putrāṇām* (Erz. 29,8), and in JS., as *sāṅgāsattāṇa tadha [text tāha] asaṅgāṇām=sāṅgāsaktānām tathāsaṅgānām* (Kattig. 398,304), *radaṇāṇa [text rāya²], savvajoyāṇa riddhiṇa=ratiṇānām, sarvad�otānām, ḥddhīnām* (Kattig. 400,325); *disāṇa savvāṇa suppasiddhāṇām=disāṇi sarvāśām suprasiddhānām* (Kattig. 401,342). In the last example and similar ones, where the forms with and without *anusvāra* stand beside one another (§ 370), as in

other analogous cases (§ 180), the forms will have to be written with *ardha-candra* in place of denasalization. To me it appears necessary, especially in places where in the texts at present there stands a denasalized form in the nominative and accusative singular on account of metre. Thus M. *nīsasiā varāīa=nihśasitām varākyā* (H. 141) which is to be read as *nīsasiā varāīa*, since the *ardha-candra* does not make position; AMg. *tajā sām ca jahāī*; *serayām=tvacām svām ca jahāī svairakam* (Sūyag. 118); *pānehi nām pāvā vijaya-yanti=prāṇair nūnām pāpām vijoyayanti* (Sūyag. 278); *apege vāī juñjanti=***apeye *vācīm [=vācām] yuñjanti* (Sūyag. 169); *vāsām vayaṁ vittī pakappayāmo=varṣām vayaṁ vrttim brakalpayāmā* (Sūyag. 948); *tām isī tālāyanti=tam r̄siñ tādayanti* (Uttar. 360); *tām janāū tālāyanti* (Uttar. 365); *aṇām vā pūphā saccitām=anyad vā pūspām sacitram* (Dasav. 622,39); *tilapiṭṭhā pūpiṇnāgām=tilapiṭṭam pūtiṇnyākam* (Dasav. 623,7); MG. *gaanā gaścante=gaganān gacchan* (Mṛcch. 113,11); *khañā yūlāke=kṣanām jūtakah* (Mṛcch. 136,15); *khañā uddhacāde=kṣanām ūrdhvācādā* (Mṛcch. 136,16); A. *mām jāñiā mialo-anī=mayā jñātām mṛgalocanām*; *navatalī=navataditam*; *puhavī, piā=pṛthivīm, priyām* (Vikr. 55,1,2,18). Likewise in all cases, where at present *m̄* is printed, but the metre requires a short syllable, as AMg. *abhirujjhā kāyā viharim̄su ḥrusiyāñā tathā hīnsim̄su=abhiruhya kāyām vyahārṣur īruśya tatrāhīm-sisuh* (Āyār. 1,8,1,2); AMg. *sāmvacchārā sāhiyām māsām=sāmvataram sādhikām māsām* (Āyār. 1,8,1,3); AMg. *na vijjāī bandhanā jassa kiñci vi-na vidyate bandhanām ṣosya kiñcid api* (Āyār. 2,16,12). The same rules hold good also for the nasal vowel marked with bindu. So are found in M. AMg JM. JS. A. in the instrumental plural the from s in *-hiñ, -hi, -hi* beside one another (§ 180.368), and in AMg. Mf. the denasalized form is used before enclitics. So AMg. *kāmehi [text 'him'] ja sāmthavehi jā=kāmaīs ca sāmstavaīs ca* (Sūyag. 105); AMg. *hatthehim pāehi ja=haṣṭabhyām pādabhyām ca* (Sūyag. 292); AMg. *bahūhim dimbhaehi ja dimbhijāhi ja dāraehi ja dāriyāhi ja kumārehi ja kumāriyāhi ja saddhim* (Nāyādh. 431.1407); AMg. *parijanāṇayaramahiliyāhim saddhim=parijananagararamahilikābhīh sadhrīm* (Nāyādh. 449), but *parijanāṇamahilāhi ja saddhim* (Nāyādh. 426); AMg. *bahūhim ḥghavanāñāhi ja pañnavanāñāhi ja viñnavanāñāhi ja sannav anāñāhi ja=bahvibhir ḥkhyāpanābhīs ca prajñāpanābhīs ca viññāpanābhīs ca samjñāpanābhīs ca* (Nāyādh. 539; cf. Nāyādh. § 143; Uvās. § 222).

§ 351. A final *-am=Pkt. -ām* becomes *u* in A. So in the accusative singular of the masculine and the nominative, accusative singular of the neuter of *a-* stems, in the genitive singular of the pronouns of the first and second persons, in the first person singular of the future of Parasmaipada, in the absolute in original *tvīnam* and in isolated adverbs: *vaasu=vāyasam* (Hc. 4,352); *bharu=bharam* (Hc. 4,340,2); *haithu=hastam* (Hc. 4,422,9); *vaṇavāsū=vanavāsam* (Erz. 3,22); *aṅgu=aṅgam* (Hc. 4,332,2); *dhanu=dhanam* (Kk.272,35); *phalu=phalam* (Hc.4,341,2); *mahu, majhu=mahyam* (Hc. s. v. *ma*; *mahu* pro ex. also Vikr. 58,9;59,13,14). *tuṣju=***tuhyam* (Hc. s. v. *tu*); *pāvīsu, karīsu, paīsīsu=Pkt. pāvīssam karissam pāvisissam=prāpyāmī, karīṣyāmī, pravekṣyāmī* (Hc.4,396,4); *gamphiu, gamēppīnu=***gantvīnam, gamītūnam; kareppīnu=***karitvīnam; broppīnu=***brūtvīnam* (§ 588); *niccu=nityam* (Erz. 3,23); *nīsāku=nihśāmkam* (Hc. 4,396,1) *paramatthu=paramārtham* (Hc. 4,422,9); *sāmānu=sāmānam* (Hc. 4,418,3). So also *vinu* (Hc. 426 and s.v.; Vikr. 71,7) from **viñām=viñā* (§ 114). Also in Dh. *-ām* becomes *u*: *padimāññu deulu=pratimāññyām devakulam; ganīhu=grantham; daśasuvāññu kallavattu=daśasuvāñnam kalyavartam* (Mṛcch. 30,11;31,16;34,17); but very often beside it stands the accusative in *-āñ*: *samavīsañnam=samavīsamam; kulaññi; deulaññi; jūdaññi; savvaññam; daśasuvāññam kallavattam* etc. (Mṛcch.

30,8.9.12.18;32,8;34,12). Also in A. of Piṅgala and Kālidāsa the form in *-am* and *-ā* is dominant.

§ 352. Final *-kam* of Skt. becomes *-um*, *-ū* in A. So in the nom. acc. sing. neuter of the *a*-stems, in the nomin. sing. of the pronouns of the first and second persons, in the first pers. sing. of the indic. pres. and in certain adverbs: *hiadaū=hrdayakam* (Hc.4,350.2 and s. v.); *rūdaū=rūpākam*; *kudambāū=kutumbātam* (Hc.4,419,1.422,14); *haū=***ahakam* (Hc.4,375 and s. v.); *tuhū=tvakam* (§ 206); *jānaā=***jānakam=jānāmi*; *jīvaū=jīvāmi*; *cājaū=tyajāmi* (§ 454); *manāū=JM. manāgam* (§ 114)=Skt. **manākam=manāk* Hc. 4,418.426); *sahū=sahū=sākām* (§ 206). Further in the infinitively used nouns in original *-kam*, as *akkhānaū=ālhyānakam* (§ 579) and in *ehāū=***esakam* in the meaning of *etad* (Hc. 4,362).

V. SANDHI CONSONANTS.

§ 353. The consonants, that were originally authorized to stand finally in Skt. as sandhi-consonants for the purpose of avoiding hiatus, are employed dialectically in Pkt. too, as in Pāli, whereby the cases mentioned in § 341. 343.348.349 spring forth. Especially frequently *m* is so employed: AMg. *anna-m-anna^o*, *anna-m-anna^{o2}=anyonyā^o* (Āyār. 2,14,1; Uttar. 402; Vivāhap. 105 106), *anna-m-anno* (Āyār. 2,14,1), *anna-m-annam* (Āyār. 2,7,1,11; Sūyag. 630; Panhāv. 231; Vivāhap. 180; Uttar. 402; Kappas. § 46), *anna-m-anneñam* (Vivāhap. 123; Kappas. § 72; Nirāyāv. § 11), *anna-m-annāe* (Vivāhap. 931), *anna-m-annassa* (Āyār. 2,5,2,2.3.5,2,8, 6,2; Vivāhap. 187.508.928; Uvās. § 79; Thān. 287; Nirāyāv. § 18; Ovav. § 38.89), *anna-m-anneñim* (Sūyag. 633.635; Nirāyāv. § 27), *an̄na-m-an̄ñānam* (Vivāgas. 74); JS. *anna-m-anneñim* (Pav. 384,47). Whilst in Vedic *anyānya*, M. *an̄ñānna*, JM. *annanna* (§ 130) the stem stands in the first member, in Skt. *anyonya*, M. AMg. JM. S. *an̄ño nna* (§ 84) the nominative is benumered, it is the case in AMg. JS. with the accus., so that *m* is here originally authorized. The same holds good for M. AMg. A. *ēkka-m-ēkka*, AMg. *ega-m-ega=ekaika*, M. *ēkka-m-ēkka^o* (R. 5,85.87;13,87); M. *ēkka-m-ēkkam* (Hc. 3,1; R.5,48;8,32); AMg. *ega-m-egam* (Sūyag.948.950; Nāyādh. § 125); A. *ēkka-m-ēkkū* (Hc. 4,422,6); *ēkka-m-ēkkena* (Hc. 3,1); AMg. *ega-m-egē* (Vivāhap. 224; Nāyādh. § 125); M. AMg. *ēkka-m-ēkkassu* (H.416.517; Śak. 101,14; Uttar. 401); AMg. *ega-m-egassa* (Thān. 456; Vivāhap. 215.222); M. *ēkka-m-ēkkhe* (R.3,56); AMg. *ega-m-egam̄si* (Vivāgas.50; Vivāhap.1043ff. 1191); AMg. *ega-m-ege* (Vivāhap. 214); M. *ēkka-m-ēkkā* (R.7,59; 10,41).— Sandhi-consonant is *m* in: *aṅga-m-aṅgammi=aṅge 'nge* (Hc. 3,1); AMg. *vīrājīyāṅga-m-aṅge=vīrājītāṅgāṅgāḥ, ujjorīyāṅga-m-aṅge=uddiyotītāṅgāṅgāḥ* (Ovav. § 11.16), *haṭṭhatuṭṭhacitta-m-āṇandīya=hṛṣṭatūṭṭacittāṇandīta* (Nāyādh. § 23; Ovav. § 17; Kappas. § 5.15; Bhag. 2,260), beside *'citte āṇandīye* (Kappas. 50). Often before *ādi*: AMg. *hāya-m-āī gōṇa-m-āī gāja-m-āī siha-m-āīng=* *hayādayo gavādayo gajādayaḥ simhādayaḥ* (Uttar. 1075); AMg. *sugandhaṭṭila-m-ādieñim=sugandhatailādikaiḥ* (Kappas. § 60); AMg. *'candana-m-ādieñim* (Uvās. §29); AMg. *'rayaya-m-āieñam=* *'ratnādikena* (Kappas. § 90; cf. § 112; Ovav. § 23); AMg. *āhāra-m-āīni* (Dasav. 626,6); JM. *pālandulasuna-m-āīhim* (Av. 40,18); JM. *kāmaḍhenu-m-āīna, logapāla-m-āīnam* (Kk 270,29;275,37); JS. *rūva-m-āīnī=rūpādīnī* (Pav. 384,48). Other examples are: AMg. *ārija-m-an̄riyāñam* (Samav.98; Ovav. § 56); AMg. *sārassaya m-āiccā=sārasvatādityau* (Thān.516); AMg. *esa-m-ātthe=eso'rtihā* (Vivāhap.193; Nāyādh. § 29; Ovav. § 90; Kappas. § 13), *esa-m-āghāo=esa ṫghātāḥ* (Dasav. 625,39), *esa-m-aggi=eso 'gnīḥ* (Uttar. 282), *eya-m-ātthassa* (Nirāyāv. § 8), *āyāre-m-ātthā=ācārārthāt* (Dasav.636,9),

lābha-m-ati¹hio=lābha-hārthikah (Dasav. 641,42); AMg. *savvajinā-m-aṇuṇnā=sarvajinānūjñāta* (Panhāv. 469,539); AMg. *vatti²gandha-m-alamkāram* (Sūyag. 183; Thān. 450; Dasav. 613,17); AMg. *tiyāūppanna-m-anāgajītīm=atīto-tpannānāgatāni* (Sūyag. 470; cf. Vivāhap. 155; Dasav. 627,27); AMg. *dīha-m-addha-=dirghādhvan* (Thān. 41.129.370 570; Sūyag. 787. 789; Vivāhap. 38.39.848.1128.1225 f. 1290; Panhāv. 302,326; Ovav. § 83; Nāyādh. 464. 1137); AMg. *atīhāha-m-atāra-m-aporiśiyāmī udāyāmī=astāghātārāpauruṣīja udake* (Nāyādh. 1113); AMg. *āukkhemassa-m-apphāyo=ājuhūkṣemasyātmānah* (Āyār. 1,7,8,6); JM. *atīhārasa-m-aggalesu=asṭādaśārgaleṣu* (KI. 19), *ūru-m-antare* (Av.15,18); AMg. *purao-m-aggao ja=purato grataś ca* (Vivāhap.830). *y* and *r* are employed more rarely as sām̄dhi-consonants. In AMg. *egāhēna vā duyāhēna vā tiyāhēna vā caūjāhēna vā* (Āyār. 2,3,1,11;2,5,2,3,4) — cf. *egāham vā duyāham vā tiyāham vā* (Jīv. 261.286.295). — *caūjāhēna=caturahēna* might have been influenced by *duyāhēna=dvyahēna* and *tiyāhēna=tryahēna*, as the lengthening of the vowel is explained through analogy after *egāhēna*, *egāham*. AMg. *kiṁ aṇēna bho-j-aṇēna* (Āyār. 1,6,4,3); AMg. *su-j-akkhājā=svākhyāta* (Sūyag. 590.594), beside *suakkhāya* (Sūyag. 603. 620); AMg. *vejavi-j-āyarakkhie=vedavid ātmarakṣitāḥ* (Uttar. 453); *bahu-j-atīhiyā=bahvasthika* (Āyār. 2,1,10,5; cf., however, § 6, where excepting *j*); AMg. *mahu-j-āsava=madhvāsrava* (Ovav. § 24); JM. *rāyā-ā-j-u=rājā+u* (Av. 8,1); JM. *du-j-āngula=dvyāngula* (Erz. 59,13). *r* is established etymologically in AMg. *vāhi-r-ivosahehim=vyādhir ivauṣadhaiḥ* (Uttar. 918), *sīhi-r-iva* (Dasav. 633, 34), *vāyū-r-iva* (Sūyag. 758; Kappas. § 118), where *r* is the old ending of the nominative, therefore, *vahir ivo^o*, *sīhir iva* (so LEUMANN), *vājur iva* (so JACOBI may be written. *r* is the sām̄dhi-consonant after analogy in cases like AMg. *aṇu-r-āgajām=anvāgatam* (Vivāhap. 154)³; AMg. *du-r-āngula=dvyāngula* (Uttar. 767; commentary *duangula*; cf. above JM. *duyāngula*); AMg. JM. *dhi-r-attu=dhig astu* (Hc. 2,174; Triv. 1,3.105; Nāyādh. 1152.1170 ff.; Uttar. 672.677; Dasav. 613,31; Dvār. 507,21). AMg. *su-r-aṇucara=svanucara* (Thān. 350) is built according to *duranucara*, already cited above⁴, and reversely *duāikkham* (Thān. 349) would have been formed according to *suāikkham*, if the reading is correct.

1. E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 61 ff.; E. MÜLLER, Simplified Grammar p. 63; WINDISCH, BKSGW. i893,228, ff.—2. The texts oscillate also in the following examples from AMg. between *nna*, and *ṇṇa*. — 3. Abhayadeva says : *rephasyāgamikatvād anvāgatam anurūpam āgamanāñ he skandaka taveti dṛṣyām*. — 4. Ayhba adeva : *rephaḥ pīkṛtatvāt*. Cf. BEZZENBERGER, BB. 4,340, note 2.

VI. TRANSPOSITION OF SYLLABLES.

§ 354. Ir. certain cases, sometimes two syllables standing side by side are transposed and that without existence of any phonological ground : *aīrāhā=acirābhā* and *aīhārā* (lightening; Deśin. 1,34). — *alacapura=acalapura* (Hc. 2,118). — *āṇlāla=ālāna* (Vr. 4,29; Hc. 2,117; Ki. 2,117), *āṇlālakhambha, āṇlālakhambha=ālānastambha* (Hc. 2,97). — *kaṇeru=karenu* (Vr. 4,28; Hc. 2,116; Ki. 2,119; Mk. fol. 38). The grammarians restrict the transposition to the feminine, which corresponds with Pāli¹. In AMg. *karenu* is used in the feminine (Nāyādh. 327.328.337.338; Uttar. 337.954), and in S. in the masculine (Pāiyāl. 9; Mālatīm. 203,4). So also JM. *kareṇujā=karenukā* (Pāiyāl. 9; Erz.). According to Mk. fol. 68 transposition never takes place in S. — M. *ṇādāla*, M. AMg. JM. *ṇidāla=lalāṭa*, beside *ṇalāda*, M. AMg. *ṇilāda*, S. *lalāḍa* (§ 260). — JM. A. *draha=hrada* (Hc. 2,80; Deśin. 8,14; Av. 42,27; Hc. 4,423,1), AMg. *daha* (Hc. 2,80.120; Āyār. 2,1,2,3;2,3,3,2; Anuog. 386; Panhāv. 80; Nāyādh. 508 ff.; Vivāhap. 119.361.659; Thān. 94), frequently also in compounds, as

kesariddaha, tigicchaddaha (Thān. 75.76), *paūmaddaha, pundiṛīyaddaha* (Thān. 75 ff.; Jiv. 582 f.); M. AMg. *mahaddaha* (H. 186; Thān. 75.382); AMg. A. *mahādaha* (Thān. 176; Hc. 4,444,3), beside AMg. *haraya* with a separation vowel (§ 132). — M. AMg. JM. S. A. *dihara* for **diraha* (§ 132)² = *dirgha* (Hc. 2,171; G. H. R.; Karp. 43,11; Nandis. 377; Erz.; Uttarar. 125,6; Bālar. 235,15; Mallikām. 81,9;123,15;161,8;198,17;223,9; Hc. 4, 414,4). — AMg. *pāhanāo=upānahau, aṇo-vāṇagā, ya*, AMg. *chattovāhana*, beside S. *uānaha* (§ 141). — JM. S. A. *marahatīha=mahārāṣṭra* (Hc. 1,69; 2,119; Kk.44; Bālar. 72,19; Piṅg. 1,91.116^a;140^a), M. *marahatīhi* (Viddhaś. 25,2), beside *maradhi* (§ 67). — AMg. *rahassa* for **harassa=hrasva* (Thān. 20.40.445.452), beside *hassa* (Āyār. 1,5,6,4;2,4,2,10; Vivāhap. 38.39), *hassikareṇti* (Vivāhap. 126). The MSS. and texts more often have *hrassa* (Thān.119; Nandis.377; WEBER, Bhag.1,415). According to Bh.4,15 one says *hamsa* as well (§ 74). — AMg. JM. A. *vāṇāṣasi=vārāṇasi* (Hc. 2,116; Antag. 63; Nāyādh. 508.787.791.1516.1528 [text *vārāṇasie*]; Nirāyāv. 43 ff.; Pañnav. 60; Thān. 544; Uttar. 742; Vivāgas. 136.148 f.; Vivāhap. 284 ff.; Erz.; Piṅgala 1.73 [*varanasi*; GOLDSCHMIDT *varaṇasi*]; Hc. 4,442,1). In S. stands *vārāṇasi* (Bālar. 307,13; Mallikām. 156,24; 161,17; 224,10), likewise in Mg. (Prab. 32,9), for which the ed. Bomb. 78,11 rightly reads *vālāṇasi*, which is to be corrected as *vālāṇasi*. — *halīra* beside *hariāla=hariṭāla* (Hc. 2,121). — *halua* beside *luhua=laghuka* (Hc. 2,122). — *hulaī* beside *luhāi* (wipes off.; Hc. 4,105). For *luhāi* Vr. 8,67; Ki. 4,53 have *lubhāi*. Through this it becomes probable that *hulaī* may be equated as = **bhulaī*, and that it is identical with *hulaī* (throws; Hc. 4,143), to which might be connected *bhullai* (falls down; Hc.4,177), the passive, and JM. S. *bhulla* (forgotten; forgetfully; bewildered; Āv. 46,5; Karp. 113,1) the past passive participle³. — It is phonetically impossible to equate M. *ihaarā* (Pāiyāl. 241; G.), with the grammarians (Hc. 2,212; Mk. fol. 38) as = *itarahā*, and to explain it through transposition from **iarahā* with Mk. and WEBER⁴. Since in M. the MSS. mostly have *iarā* (H. 711; R. 11,26), *ihaarā*, as stated in § 212, will have originated from **itharata*. According to Mk. fol. 68 S. has only *idaradhā*.

1. PISCHEL on Hc. 2,116. — 2. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. s. v. — 3. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,177. — 4. On Hāla 711.

III. MORPHOLOGY.

A. THE NOUN.

§ 355. Following the rule, that in Pkt. the consonants in the final position fall off (§ 339), the consonantal declension is almost entirely lost. Its numerous remnants are found only in the stems in *t*, *n*, *s*, *s*, otherwise almost isolated in verses. So M. *riwaād=vipadā* (Sukas. 33,7); AMg. *dhammavio=dharmavidāh* (nom. plur.; Sūyag. 43); AMg. *vāyā=vācā* (Dasav. 630.32; Uttar. 28); AMg. *vejāvido=vedavidāh* (nom. plur. Uttar. 425). *āo* (water) = *āpah* (Triv. in BB. 3,239) too is to be considered as a remnant of the consonantal declension. The neuter *āpas*, which is quoted by Ujjvaladatta on Uṇādisūtra 2,58 and is found in the texts, should be traced back to the nom. plural². In AMg. *āo* has become one masculine *āu* (Hc. 2,174; Deśin. 1,61), likewise *teo=tejāh* has become *teu*, however, in the formular combination *āu teu vāū=āpas tejo vāyuh* the vowel has been arranged according to *u* of *vāū=vāyu*. Likewise *kāyasā* is said for *kāyena*, beside *maṇasā vayasā*, and *balasā* for *balena* beside *sahasā* (§ 364) and others in details (§ 358, 364, 367, 375, 379, 386). So *āu teu vāū*

(Sūyag. 606; Samav. 228 [text *teo*]; Dasav. 614,40 [text **u*]) Äyār. 2,2,2,13 [text *āo teo vāu*]); also *vāu teū āū* (Vivāgas. 50); *āu teū vā vāu* (Sūyag. 19); *āu teū ja tahā vāu ja* (Sūyag. 37); *āu agatī ja vāu* (Sūyag. 325); *puḍhavī āu gani vāu* (Sūyag. 378); *āutevāvāvaṇassaiñāṇavihānam* (Sūyag. 803); *āutevāvāvaṇassaiñāṇavihānam* (Sūyag. 806); *āusarira teusarira vāusarira* (Sūyag. 792); *āutevāvanassai* (Vivāhap. 430); *teuvāwanassai* (Äyār. 2,1,7,3); *āukājya teukājya vāukājya* (Äyār. 1,8,1,11; Samav. 17); *āukājya* (Vivāhap. 1439); *āukājya teukājya vāukājya* (Vivāhap. 1438 f. [text mostly **u*]); Anuog. 260; Dasav. 614,38), *āukājya* (Jiv. 41); *āule sse* (Vivāhap. 10); *āubahula* (Jiv. 226); *āujivā tahāgañi vāujivā* (Sūyag. 425; cf. Uttar. 1045. 1047); *teuphāsa=tejahsparsa* (Äyār. 1,7,1; 1,8,3,1); *teujiva* (Uttar. 1053); *teū vāu ya* (Uttar. 1052). The two words are used as wholly independent *u*-stems as well: nom. sing. *āū* (Sūyag. 332; Pañnav. 369³); nom. plur. *āū* (Thān. 82); gen. plur. *āūnam* (Uttar. 1047), *teūnam* (Uttar. 1055). In a non-technical sense *tejas* is treated in AMg. as the remnant of a neuter noun in-*as*.—From the nom. plur. *sarao=śaradah* of *śarad* (autumn), in M. AMg. JM. S. A., a masc. sing. *saraa*, AMg. JM. *saraya=Pāli sarada*⁴ has been inferred (Vr. 4,10, 18; Hc. 1,18,31; Ki. 2,133; Mk. fol. 34; G.H.R.; Thān. 238,527; Nāyādh. 916; Kk. 264,6; Balar. 127,14; Hc. 4,357,2); likewise *diso* from *dīśah* (Ki. 2,131; in case the word is not to be read as *disā*). In other respects the treatment of the consonantal stem is a twofold one. In a small number of cases the word, after loss of the final consonant, is made end in the vowel preceding it and crosses over the vocalic declension corresponding to the gender, and in majority of words the stem is augmented by insertion of *-a* in the masculine and neuter, and of *-ā* or *-i* in the feminine. See § 395 ff.

1. STENZLER, BB. 6,84.—2. So with WEBER, Bhag. 1,397, note 2 and E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 67, more correctly than BB. 3,240.—3. Malayagiri on Pañnav. 369 remarks: *āū iti puñliṅgatā prākṛtalakṣaṇavātāt saṅskṛte tu strītvam eva*.—4. So with CHILDERS, Dictionary s. v. more correctly than BB. 3,240.

§ 356. The gender of Skt. has not always been preserved in Pkt. The oscillation has been partly produced through the rule of the final syllable. Thus in M. JM. the neuter in *-as*, in pursuance of the nomin. in *-o* (§ 345), is used in the masculine (Vr. 4,18; Hc. 1,32; Ki. 2,133; Mk. fol. 35): M. *tūṅgo ccia hoi maṇo=tūṅgam eva bhavati manah* (Hc. 284); *esa saro=etat sarah* (G. 513); *khuḍio maheñdassa jaso=** *kṣuditām mahandrasya yasāh* (R. 1,4); *anno appnassa mano=anyad anyasya manah* (R. 3,44); *mārulad-dhatthāmo mahirao=māratalabdhasthāma mahirajah* (R. 4,25); *tamālakasaṇo tamō=tamālakṣṇam tamah* (R. 10,25); *tāriso a uro=tādṛśām corah* (Subhadrāh. 8,3); JM. *bārasāiccodayāhīo teo=dvādaśādityodayāhītam tejah* (Erz. 26,33); *taso kao=tapah kṛtam* (Erz. 26,35). *nabhas* and *sīras*, according to the grammarians (Vr. 4,19; Hc. 1,32; Ki. 2,134; Mk. fol. 35), only as neuter, are used according to the *a*-declension: M. *naham* (G. 451.495.1036; R. 4, 54; 5,2,6.35.43.74 etc.); M. *siram* (R. 4,56; 11,36.56.132 etc.). In AMg. too the neuter nouns in *-as*, not rarely, are used as masculine, and then form the nomin. sing. in *-e* (§ 345): *māuoye=mātrojah* (Thān. 159); *tame=tamah* (Thān. 248); *tave=tapah* (Samav. 26); *mane=manah* (Vivāhap. 1135 f.); *pējjie=preyah* (Ovav. § 56); *vacche=vakṣah* (Uvās. § 94); *ee sojā=etāni stro-tāni* (Äyār. 1,5,6,2). AMg. *āyām=ayas* (Sūyag. 286); AMg. *sejanī=srejas* (Hc. 1,32; § 409); *vajām=vayas* (Hc. 1,32), beside AMg. *vao* (Äyār. 1,2,1,3 in the verse); *sumanām=sumanah* (Hc. 1,32). S. Mg. so form

almost exclusively (§ 407). In A. *manu* (Hc. 4,350.422,9) and *siru* (Hc. 4,445,3), phonetically rather = *manah*, *śirah* (§ 346), may be equated as = **nānam*, **sīram* (§ 351). The voc. *ceu=cetah* (Pīngala 1,4^b; text *ceja*; v. l. *ceda*; cf. BOLLENSEN, Vikr. p. 528) speaks in support of *-ah*.

§ 357. Like the neuter nouns in *-as*, many neuter nouns in *-a* have dialectically become masculine in Pkt. This change has probably been produced from the nomin. accus. plur., which beside the endings *-āni*, *-āīm*, as in the Veda, have also the ending *-ā*, which is like that of the masculine (§ 367). So one says AMg. *tao thāñāni* (Thāñ. 143), *tao thāñāīm* (Thāñ. 158) and *tao thāñā* (Thāñ. 163.165) = *triñi sthānāni*. The nomin. sing. *thāñe* may be inferred from the last form: AMg. *esa thāñe añāriē=etat sthānam anāryam* (Sūyag. 736). Examples in AMg. are numerous beyond measure: *esa udagarājāne=etad udakaratnam* (Nāyādh. 1011); *u tīhāñe kamme bale vīrie=utthānam karma balam vīryam* (Vivāhap. 171; Nāyādh. 374; Uvās. § 73); *dvihe dāmṣane pannate=dvidhām darśanām prajñāptam* (Thāñ. 44); *marane=maraṇam* (Samav. 51.52); *mattae=mātrakam*, beside the plural *mattagāīm* (Kappas. S. § 56), and many others¹. In Āyār. at 1,2,1,3 stand in the verse beside one another: *vao accei jō vyanām ca jīvie=vayo 'tyeti yauvanām ca jīvitam*. In AMg. sometimes the pronouns too are moved into the neuter in the masculine: AMg. *e yāvanti savvāvanti logānsi karmasamārambhā=etāvantah sarve karmasamārambhāh* (Āyār. 1,1,1.5.7); *āvanti ke jāvanti logānsi samāñā ja māhanā ja=yāvantah ke ca yāvanto loke śramañāś ca brāhmañāś ca* (Āyār. 1,4,2,3; cf. 1,5,2,1.4); *yāīm tumāīm jāīm te janagā=yas twām yau te janakau* (Āyār. 2,4,1.8); *yāīm [§ 335.353] bhikkhū=ye bhikṣavoh* (Āyār. 2,7,1,1); *jāvanti vijjāpuriśa savve te dukkhasamābhavā=yāvanto 'vidyā puruṣāḥ sarve te duhkhasamābhavāh* (Uitar. 215); *je garahi jā sanjīyāñapāpāgā na tāñi sevanti sudhāradhammā=ye garhitāñi sanidānapravogā na tāñi sevante sudhāradharmmāh* (Sūyag. 504). So is explained *no in' aṭthe*, *no ināñ aṭthe* (§ 173) too; cf. also *se*, Mg. *še=tad* § 423. In JM. the predicate is generally of the neuter when it refers to persons of different genders: *tao sāgaracando kamalāmelā ja.....gahiyāñuvvayāñi sāvagāñi sāmvuttāñi=tatah sāgaracandrah kamalāpiḍā ca.....grhitānuvratāt śrāvakau sāmvṛttāt* (Āv. 31,22), and previously (31,21) it is said about the same: *paccā imāni bhoge bhufijamāñāñi viharanti=pāscād imau bhogāñ bhuñjānau viharatah; tāñi is used (Āv. 38,1) with reference to mājāpiññām=mātāpitroh; tāñi ammāpiyaro pucchiyāñi=tai ambā-pitaraū pr̄stāu* (Erz. 37,29); *tāhe rājā sā ja jayahathimmi ārūdhāīm=tadā rājā sā ca jayahastiny ārūdhau* (Erz. 34,29); *[mayamañjariyā kumaro ca] niyāja-bhavañe gayāñ sānandahi jāyāñi=[madanamatijarikā kumāras ca] nijakabhavane gatau sānandahādayau* (Erz. 84,6). More examples in JACOBI, Erz. p. LVI, § 80. Transition of the neuter *a*-stems to the masculine is frequent in Mg. too, in other dialects almost only sporadic. So Mg. *eše še daśānāmake maī kule=etat tad daśānāmakam mayā kṛtam* (Mṛcch. 11,1); *āmalāñantike vele=āmaranāñikam vairām* (Mṛcch. 21,14); *duñlae=dvārakam* (Mṛcch. 79,17); *pavahane=pravahāṇam* (Mṛcch. 96,22,97,19.20;99,2;100,20 etc.); *eše cīvara=etac cīvaram* (Mṛcch. 112,10); *śohide=sauhṛdam* (Sak. 118,6); *bhoane śām-cide=bhojanām sāṁcītam* (Venīs. 33,3); *usñe luhile=usṇām rudhiram* (Venīs. 33,12); *bhatte=bhaktam*, *eše še śuvāñake=etat tat suvāñakam* (Mṛcch. 163, 19;165,7). In Ś. D. we find the following used in the masculine: *pavahane* (Mṛcch. 97,7; D.100,15) beside the more frequent *pavahāṇam*; in Ś. *pabhbādo* (Mṛcch. 93,7) beside *pabhbādām=prabhātām* (Mṛcch. 93,5.6); more often Ś. *hiao=hṛdayam*, particularly, when the heart is spoken of (Vikr. 22,21 [so with A. to be read]; 23,10; cf. 46,17.19; Ratn. 298,11.12; Mālatīm. 348,6 [so with v. l. to be read]; Viddhaś. 97,10; Priyad. 20,2; Nāgāñ. 20,

13.15)². The dialect is not determined in *catto=cattram* (spindel; Deśin. 3,1). Cf. also § 360.

1. HOERNLE, Uvās., Translation, note 55. — 2. PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 5.

§ 358. According to the grammarians (Vr. 4.18; Hc. 1,32; Ki. 2,133; Mk. fol. 35) the neuter in *-an* becomes masculine in *-a* : *kammo=karma*; *jammo=jamma*; *nammo=narma*; *mammo=arma*; *vammo=varma*. Thus we have found PG *sammo=śarma*(7,46); AMg. *kamme=karma*(Sūyag.838.841 f. 844.848.854; Nāyādh. 374; Uvās. § 51.73.166); Mg. *camme=arma* (Mrcch. 79,9). In all the dialects, however, they, more frequently become neuter of *a*-stems¹, what is commanded by Mk. for *preman* and by Hc. for *dāman*. So M. *kammām* (R. 14.46); M. Š. *nāmām* (H. 452.905; Vikr. 30,9); M. *dāmām* (H. 172); M. *pēmām* (R. 11,88; Ratn. 299,18); M. *romām* (R. 9,87); *cammām sammām* (Hc. 1,32). The masculine in *-iman* may become feminine, to which the nomin. in *-ā* gave rise : *esā garimā, mahimā, nillajjimā, dhuitimā* (Hc. 1,35; cf. Mk. fol. 35). So M. A. *candimā=***candrimā* (§103); AMg. *mahimāsu* (Thān. 288). In the same way AMg. *addhā=adhvā* from *adhvan* (Ovav.); M. *umhā=ūsmā* from *ūzman* (Bh. 3,32; Hc. 2,74; G. R.); JM. *vattā=vartmā* from *gartman* (Deśin. 7,31; Erz. 6,30 34.35; cf. § 334); *sembhā=śleṣmā* from *ślesman*(Mk. fol. 25; cf. § 267) have become feminine. AMg. *sakahāo=sakthīni* (Samav. 102; Jiv. 621) is derived from the stem *sakthan* with a separation-vowel according to § 132, therefore, from **sakathān*, nomin. sing. **sakahā*. The Jainas divide the year according to the old custom into the three seasons *grīṣma, varṣāḥ* and *hemanta*². As in other cases (§ 355.364.367.375.379.386), the gender and the number of *grīṣma* and *hemanta* have, in AMg., been regulated, when the season is to be indicated as such, according to the feminine plural *varṣāḥ*, as a sequence to the formular combination. One says : *gimhāhi=***grīṣmābhīh* (Sūyag. 166); *gimhāsu = *grīṣmāsu* (Vivāhap. 465): *hemantagimhāsu...vāśāsu* (Kappas. S. § 55); *gimhāṇām* (Āyār. 2,15.2,6.25; Nāyādh. 880; Kappas. § 2,96.120.150.159 etc.); *hemantāṇām*(Āyār.2,15,22; Kappas. § 113.157.212. 227). The neutral forms, which might have been contributed to, here as well(cf. § 357), by the similarity of the ending *-ā*, are formed dialectically, not seldom, in the nomin. accus.plur. from the masculine in-*a*. So M. AMg. Š. *gunāīm=gunān* (Hc. 1,34; Mk. fol. 35; G. 866; Sūyag. 157; Vivāhap. 508; Mrcch. 37,14); M. *kaṇṇāīm=kaṇṇau* (H. 805); M. *pavaāī, gaīāī, turaāī, rakkhāsāī=plavagān, gaīān, turagān, rāksasān* (R. 15,17)³; AMg. *pasiṇāīni=praśnān* (Āyār. 2,3,2,17), *pasiṇāīm* (Nāyādh. 301.577; Vivāhap. 151 973.978; Nandis. 471; Uvās. § 58 121.176), as already in Skt. *praśna* is neuter (Maitryupaniṣad 1,2); AMg. *māsāīm=māsān* (Kappas. § 114); AMg. *pānāīm* (Āyār. 1,6 5,4; 1,7,2,1 ff.; 2,1,1,11; p. 132,6.22), *pāṇāīni* (Āyār. 2,2,3,2; p. 132,28) beside usual *pāne* (e. g. Āyār. 1,1,6 3;1,3,1,3; 1,6,1,4)=*prāṇān*; AMg. *phāsāīm* (Āyār. 1,4,3,2;1,8,2,10,3,1; Sūyag. 297) beside *phāse* (Āyār. 1,6,2,3,3,2,5,1; 1,7,8,18) = *sparsān*. AMg. *rukkhāīm* (Hc. 1,34), AMg. *rukkhāṇī=rukṣān* (trees; Āyār. 2,3,2,15; cf. § 320); *devāīm* (Hc. 1,34), *devāṇī* (C. 1,4)=*devāh*; JS. *nibandhāṇī=nibandhān* (Pav. 387,12); Mg. *dantiāīm=dantān* (Sak. 154,6), *gonāīm=gāh* (Mrcch. 122,15; 132,16) beside the u¹al mascul. (§ 393). Hc. 1,34 mentions in the singular too *khaggāīm* beside *khago=khadgah*; *mandalaggāīm* beside *mandalaggo=mandalāgrah*; *kararuham* beside *kararuho=kararuhah*, as reversely Mk. fol. 35 has *vaano* beside *vaṇām=vadanam*; *ṇaaṇo* beside *ṇaṇām=nayanam*. Neutral plural forms of the masculine are found from *i-* and *u-* stems too : AMg. *sālīṇi vā vīhiṇi vā = sālin vā vīhin vā* (Āyār. 2,10,10; Sūyag. 682), in dependence upon the following neuter : AMg *uūīm=rūtūn*

(Kappas. § 114); *bindūim* (Hc. 1,34; Mk. fol. 35); AMg. *heūim*=*hetūn* beside *pasiñāim* (Vivāhap. 151). Neuter forms from the feminine are seldom. So AMg. *tayāni* (Āyār. 2,13,22; Nāyādh. 1137; Vivāhap. 908) from the singular *tayā* (Pāṇnav. 32; Vivāhap. 1308. 1529); cf. *tajā-pānae* (Vivāhap. 1255) and *tajāsuḥāe* (Kappas. § 60)=**tvacā*=*tvak*; AMg. *pāujāim*=*pādukāh* (Nāyādh. 1484); Š. *ricāim* from **ricā*=*rk* (Ratn. 302,11); AMg. *pāmītijāni* (Āyār. 2,3,3,2; 2,11,5) beside *pāmītijāo* (Vivāhap. 361; Anuog. 386)=**pāntikāh*; AMg. *bhamuhāim* (Āyār. 2,13,17) beside *bhamuhāu* (Jīv. 563)=**bhruvuke* (§ 124. 206); even AMg. *itthīni vā purisāni vā*=*striyo vā puruṣā vā* (Āyār. 2,11,18), probably to be comprehended in the meaning “something womanish”, “something manly”. *aksi* may be used as feminine (Vr. 4,20; Hc. 1,33. 35; Ki. 2,132; Mk. fol. 35), according to Hc. 1,33 also as masc. Hc. 1,35 teaches that the masculine nouns *añjali*, *kukṣi*, *granthi*, *nidhi*, *raśmi*, *vali*, *vidhi*, which have been included by him in the *gaṇa* *añjalyādi*, may become feminine. So is explained AMg. *ayām atthī*, *ayām dahī*=*idam asthi*, *idam dadhi* (Sūyag. 594), the dative *atthīe* (§ 361), and so the nomin. *sappī*=*sarpīh* (Sūyag. 291) and the nomin. *havī*=*havīh* (Dasav. N. 648,9) too must be comprehended, in which the *s*-stem, after dropping off of *s*, have gone over to the *i*-declension. Cf. § 411, note. Beside *panhō*=*praśnah* Pkt has *panhā* too (Vr. 4,20; Hc. 1,35; Ki. 2,132; Mk. fol. 35; Sr. fol. 14), which occurs in AMg. *panhāvāgaranāim* (Nandis. 471; Samav.), the name of the 10. *aṅga*. In the v. l. to C. 3,6 *panham* too occurs; the plural AMg. *pasiñāim*, *pasiñāni* have been mentioned above. In the sense of *arśāmsi* AMg. has *amsiyāo*=**arśikāh* (Vivāhap. 1306). Beside *paṭṭha*, *piṭṭha*, *puṭṭha*=*pr̥ṣṭha* frequent are *paṭṭhi*, *piṭṭhi*, *puṭṭhi* (§ 53). The feminine *āśāmsā* becomes M. S. *āśamgho* (§ 267); *pr̥vṛṣ* becomes in M. AMg. JM, Š. a masculine *pāusa*=Pāli *pāvusa* (Vr. 4,18; Hc. 1,31; Ki. 2,131; Mk. fol. 35; G. H.; Nāyādh. 81.638 f. 644.192; Vivāhap. 798; Erz.; Víkr. 33,14); *taraṇi*, according to Hc. 1,31,2 used only as masculine⁴. On *diso*=*dik*, *sarao*=*sārad* see § 355, on the numerals 2—4 see § 436. 438. 439.

i. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. p. 151, note 2.—2. JACOBI on Kalpas. § 2 p. 99.—3. It is not very certain whether these forms constitute a proof rather of the spurious nature of R. 15,16. 17, as presumed by S. GOLDSCHMIDT R. p. 318, note 9.—4. Cf. in general already FISCHER, De gr. Pr. p. 5 f.

§ 359. In A. the gender is more flexible than in the rest of the dialects, if not almost wholly irregular, as meant by Hc. 4,445. In verses, very often the gender is determined by the metre and the rhyme: *jo pāhasi so lehi*=*yat pr̥ārthayase tal labhasva* (Pingala 1,5^a; cf. Víkr. p. 530 f.); *mattiāim*=*mātrāh* (P. 1,51. 60. 83.127); *rehāim*=*rehkhāh* (P. 1,52); *vikkamām*=*vikramah* (P. 1,56); *bhuape*=*bhuvanāni* (accus.; P. 1,62^b); *gāhassa*=*gāthayāh* (P. 1,128); *sagañāi*=*sagañān* (P. 1,152); *kumbhaī*=*kumbhān* (Hc. 4,345); *antrađi*=*antram* (Hc. 4,445,3); *dālāi* (Hc. 4,445,4), plural of *dālā* (branch; Pāiyāl. 136; Deśin. 4,9, where *dālī*); however, AMg. too has *dāla* in *egānsi rukkhadālayāmī thiccā* (Nāyādh. 492), and *dālagā* (Āyār. 2,7,2,5); *khalāim*=*khalān* in rhyme with *raānāim* (Hc. 4,334); *vigutāim*=**viguptīh*=*vigoptīh* (Hc. 4,421,1); *niccintāi harināi*=*niścintā hariñāh* (Hc. 4,422,20); *amhāim* beside *amhe*=*asme* (Hc. 4,376).

§ 360. The dual, with the exception of the numerals *do*=*doau* and *duve*, *be*=*duve*, is completely lost. In its place the plural has entered both into the noun and the verb (Vr. 6,63; C. 2,12; Hc. 3,130; Ki. 3,5; Āv. 6,12), which is combined even with the numeral for 2 (§ 436.437). M. *balakesā-vāñām*=*balakesavayoh* (G. 26), *hatthā tharatharanti*=*hastau tharatharayete* (H. 165), *kannesu*=*karnayoh* (R. 5,65), *achaiāim*=*aksiñī* (G. 44); AMg. *janagā*=*janakau* (Āyār. 1, 6, 1, 6), *pāhanāo*=*upānahau* (Thān. 359), *bhumagā* *acchīni*, *kaññā*, *uṭṭhā*, *aggahatthā*, *hatthesu*, *thaṇayā*, *jāñūim*, *jañghāo*,

pāyā, pāesu = bhruvau, akṣiṇī, karṇau, oṣṭhau, agrahastau, hastayoh, stanakau, jānūni, jaṅge, pādau, pādayoh (*Uvās* § 94); *JM. hatthā, pāyā = hastau, pādau* (*Āv.* 6,14), *taṇhāchuhā = tṛṣṇākṣudhau* (*Dvār.* 500,7), *do vi puttā jamalagā = dvāv api putrau yamalakau* (*Erz.* 1,8) *cittasāṁbhūheim = citrasaṁbhūtābhyaṁ* (*Erz.* 1, 26); *Ś. māhavamaarandā āacchanti = mādhavamakarandā āgacchataḥ* (*Mālatīm.* 293,4), *rāmarāvaṇāṇam = rāmarāvaṇāyoh* (*Bālar.* 260, 21), *sīdārāmehim = sītārāmābhyaṁ* (*Pras.* 64,5), *sīrisarassadīnam = śīrisarasatyoḥ* (*Viddhaś.* 108,5); *Mg. lāmakāṇhāṇam = rāmakṛṣṇayoh* (*Kāṁsav.* 48, 20), *amke vi . . . luhiλām pivamha = āvām api rudhiram pīvāva* (*Venīs.* 35, 21), *kalēmha = karavāva* (*Cāṇḍak.* 68, 15;71,10); *D. candaṇaavirāshim = candanakavirākābhyaṁ* (*Mṛcch.* 105,8), *sumbhanisumbhe = sumbhanīśumbhau* (*Mṛcch.* 105,22); *Ā. rāvaṇarāmaḥā, pāttanagāmaḥā = rāvaṇarāmayoh, pāttanagrāmayoh* (*Hc.* 4,407). In cases like *Ś. duve rukkhaseaṇake = dve rukṣasecanake* (*Sak.* 24,1) there is no dual, but the accus. plur. (§ 367^a) with a change of gender according to § 357¹.

i. HOEFER, De Prakrita dialecio. p. 136 f.; LASSEN, Inst. p. 309; BOLLENSEN on *Vikr.* 347; WEBER, IS. 14,280 f.

§ 361. According to *Vr.* 6,64; *C.* 2,13; *Kī.* 3,14; *Sr. fol.* 7; in a quotation in *Āv.* 6,12 in Pkt. the genitive occurs in the place of the dative. *Hc.* 3,132 teaches that Dative may stand when it expresses a purpose. The texts are in accord with him. A dative of the singular is found almost only in PG. M. AMg. JM., mostly in the case of the *a*-stems, as the dative of goal and purpose. PG. *ajātāe = *adyatvāya* (7,45); *vāsaſatasahas-sāya = varṣaſatasahasrāya* (7,48); M. *nivāraṇāa = nivāraṇāya, āāsāa = āyāsāya, maraṇāa = maraṇāya, harārāhaṇāa = harārādhanāya, hāsāa = hāsāya, gāravāa = gauravāya, mohāa = mohāya, apuṇāgamanāa = apunarāgamanāya* (G. 15. 90. 324. 325. 340. 869. 946. 1183); M. *vāṇāa = vanāya* (*Bālar.* 156,14), *tāvaparikhā-nāa = tāpaparikṣanāya* (*Karp* 52,3). H. and R. do not have the dative. AMg. *ahiṇyāja = ahītāya* (*Āyār.* 1,3,1,1); *gaṁbhāja = garbhāya* (*Sūyag.* 108); *āvāyāyā = atipātāya* (*Sūyag.* 356); *tāṇyāya = trāṇāya* (*Sūyag.* 377); *kūdāja = kūṭāya* (*Uttar.* 201), all in verses. Generally the dative ends in AMg. JM. in *-āe* (§ 364) and it is so extraordinarily frequent in AMg.: *parivandanaṇā-māṇaṇāpūjanāe jāimaraṇamoṇāe = parivandanamāṇaṇapūjanāya jātimaraṇamocā-nāya* (*Āyār.* 1,1,1,7); beside *tāṇyāja* in verse, there stands in prose *tāṇē* (*Āyār.* 1,2,1,2,3,4), and likewise in verse (*Uttar.* 217); *mūlattāe kandattāe kandhattāe tājattāe sālattāe paṇvattāe pattattāe pupphattāe phalattāe bījattāe vīujattāe = mūlattāya kandatvāya skandhatvāya tvaktvāya sālattāya pravālattāya puṣpatvāya phalattāya bījatvāya vivartante* (*Sūyag.* 806); *ejaṁ ne pēccabhāve ihabhave ja hiyāe suhāe khamāe nisseyasāe ḥṛugāmīyattāe bhavissāi = etan nah pretyabhava ihabhave ca hitāya sukhāya kṣamāyai nihśreyasāyānugāmikatvāya bhavisiyati* (*Ovav.* 38, p. 49; *Vivāhap.* 162) etc.; AMg. JM. *vahāe = vadhbāya* (*Āyār.* 1,3,2,2; *Vivāhap.* 1254; *Āv.* 14,16; so to be read); *vahatīhayāāe = vadhbārtha-kāya* (*Erz.* 1,21); *hiyātīhāe = hitārthāya* (*Āv.* 25,26); *mam' attħāe = mamārthāya* (*Erz.* 63,12). The dative is correct in *Ś.* Mg. in verses only, since these dialects otherwise have lost the dative even of the *a*-stems: Mg. *cāludattā-viṇāśāa = cārudattavīṇāśāya* (*Mṛcch.* 133,4). *Hc.* 4,302 read with the Devanāgari, Dravidian and Kashmirian recensions the dative also in *Sak.* 115,7; *sāmīpaśādāa = svāmīprasādāya*, where the Bengal recension has *sāmī-paśādāitām*. In *Ś.* Mg. all the good texts in prose always have the para-prasing with *attħām = artham* and *ṇimittām = nimittam*¹. The dative stands in *Ś.* in prose falsely: *nivvudilāhāa = nirvṛtilābhāya* (*Mālav.* 33,14); *āśisāa (!) = āśīṣe* (*Mālav.* 70,13); *suhāa = sukhāya* (*Karp.* 9,5; 35,6; 115,1); *asusamrakha-*

nāa=asusānarakṣanāya (Vṛśabh. 51,11); *vibudhavijaāa=vibudhavijayāya* (Vikr. 6,20); *tilodaadānāa=tilodakadānāya* (Mṛcch. 327,4) *cēdiāaccapāā* [text °āyā= *cetikācarāyā* (Mukund. 17,12)]. Other examples from bad texts have been collected by BÖHTLINGK² and BOLLESEN³. In Rājaśekhara the scribe is not responsible for this (§ 22). Datives of even stems other than *a-* are found. So AMg. *appege accāe hāvanti appege ajiñāe vahanti appege mānsāe vahanti appege soñjāe vahanti evām hidāyāe pītīāe vasāe pičhāe pucchāe vālāe singāe visānāe dantāe dādhāe nahāe nħārunie atthīe atthimimjāe atthāe aṇatthāe* (Āyār. 1,1,6,5; Sūyag. 676), where *accāe* from *accā* (body; bulk; commentary=śāriram), *vasāe=vasāyai*, *dādhāe=dāmṣṭrāyai*, *atthimimjāe=asthimajjāyai* are dative from the feminine in *-ā*, *nħārunie* is from a feminine *snāyūni (§ 255), and *atthīe* is from the neuter *asthi*, used as a feminine (§ 358), the rest are from the masculine and neuter: *se na hassāe na kiddāe nu rayie na vibhūsāe=sa na hāsāyā na krīdāyai na rātyai na vibhūsāyai* (Āyār. 1,2,1,3); JM. *kittividhīe=kītividdhaye* (KI. 20). So also falsely in Š. *kajjasiddhīe=kāryasiddhaye* (Mālav. 56,13; Jīvān. 21,7); *jadhāsamihidasiddhīe=yathāsa-mihitasiddhaye* (Viddhaś. 44,7). From consonantal stems sometimes in Š. is found the dative *bhavade* in the “solemn greeting formula”⁴ *sōtthi bhavade=svasti bhavate* (Mṛcch. 6,23; 77,17; Vikr. 81,15). But here we have a case of Sanskritization. It is to be written as *bhavado*, as CAPPELER, Rata 319,17 has done; cf. *sōtthi savvāṇam* (Vikr. 83,8) and the v. l. to Vikr. 81,15. The Infinitives in *-ttae*, *-ittae* (§ 578) too are old dative.

1. LASSEN, Inst. p. 299; PISCHEL, BB. 1,111 ff.; on Hc. 3,132. Falsely HOEFER, De Prakrita dialecto p. 126 f.; BOLLESEN on Vikr. p. 168 and Mālav. p. 233; WEBER, IS. 14, 290 ff.; BB. 1, 343 f.—2. On Šak. 40. 18, p. 203.—3. On Mālav. p. 233.—4. WEBER, BB. 1,343.

§ 362. In the following paragraphs a paradigm has been provided for the purpose of better lucidity, of all the forms, that are found in the grammarians; but the cases, that have hitherto not been found in the texts, have been put inside angular brackets. For P. CP. the material is mostly wanting, as our all knowledge exclusively rests upon the grammarians. Cf. further KIELHORN, EI., 3, 313, note 10. PG. VG are especially built up. Unusual constructions, like AMg. *saṁdhivālasaddhīm saṁparivude* (Ovav. § 48, p. 55,11; Kappas. § 61), which, along with *saddhīm*, is found more often in AMg. (Nāyādh. 574. 724. 1068. 1074.1273.1290.1327; Ovav. § 55) do not come into consideration for the purpose of morphology. The forms, which are common to all or most of the dialects have not been specifically indicated, and less important dialects, like Ā. D. Dh., are not mentioned in the paradigm.

1) STEMS IN *-a*.

a) MASCULINE AND NEUTER.

§ 363. Mascul. *putta=putra*.

Singular.

N. *putto*; AMg. Mg. *putte*; AMg. in verses also *puttu*; A. mostly *puttu*.

Acc. *puttam*; A. *puttu*.

I. M. AMg. JM. *putteṇa*, *puttenām*; JS. Š. Mg. P. CP. *puttena*; A. *putteṇa*, *puttiṇa*, *puttem*, *putte*.

Dat. M *puttāa*; AMg. *puttāja* in verses, otherwise AMg. JM. *puttē*; Mg. *puttāa* in verses.

Abl. M *puttāo*, *puttāu*, *puttā*, *puttāhi*, *puttāhiṁto*, [*puttati*]; AMg. JM. *puttāo*, *puttāu*, *puttā*; JS. *puttādo*, *puttādu*, *puttā*; Š. Mg. *puttādo*; P. CP. *puttāto*, *puttātu*; A. *puttahē*, *puttahu*.

- G. *puttassa*; Mg. *puttaśā*, *puttāha*; A. *puttassu*, [*puttasu*], *puttahō*, °*ho*, *puttaha*.
 L. M. JM. JŚ. *puttammi*, *putte*; AMg. *puttañsi*, *putiammi*, *puttammi*, *putte*; S. P. CP. *putte*; Mg. *putte*, *puttāhim*; A. *putte*, *putte*, *putti*, *puttahī*.
 V. *putta*; M. also *puttā*; AMg. *putta*, *puttā*, *putto*; Mg. *putta*, *putte*.

Plural.

- N. *puttā*; AMg. also *puttāo*; A. also *putta*.
 Acc. *putte*; M. AMg. A. also *puttā*; A. also *putta*.
 I. M. AMg. JM. JŚ. *puttehi*, °*him*, °*hi*; S. Mg. *puttehim*; A. *puttahim*, °*hi*, °*hi*, *puttehim*, °*hi*, °*hi*.
 Abl. [*puttāsimto*, *puttesumto*, *puttāhimto*, *puttāhi*, *puttehi*, *puttāo*, *puttāu*, *puttatto*]; AMg. *puttehimto*, *puttehim*; JM. *puttehim*; A. *puttahū*.
 G. M. AMg. JM. JŚ. *puttāna*, °*nam*, °*nā*; S. Mg. *puttānam*; Mg. also [*puttāhā*]; A. *puttāha*, *puttahā*, *puttānam*.
 L. M. AMg. JM. JŚ. *puttesu*, °*sū*, °*sū*; S. Mg. *puttesum*, (*puttesu*); A. *puttahī* (*puttehi*, *puttihi*).
 V. *puttā*; Mg. also *puttahō*; A. *puttahō*, °*ho*.

The neuter nouns are declined in the same manner, as *phala*, only nom. acc. sing. *phalām*, A. *phalu*;

Nom. acc. voc. plur. M. AMg. JM. *phalāim*, °*i*, °*i*; AMg. JM. also *phalāni*, *phalā*; JŚ *phalāni*; S. Mg. *phalāim*; A. as M., however, also *phalāi*.

In PG. there are found the following forms, to which are added the few sure ones from VG. with the courtesy of EI. I, p. 2, note 2.

Singular.

- N. *mahārājādhirājo* 5,1; *bhāraddayo* 5,2; *patibhāgo* 6,12; and so still nom. in -*o*; 6,14. 19-26. 29. 40; 7,44. 47.
 Acc. *parihāram* 5,7; *vātaka[m]* *puvvadattam* 6,12. 28. 30-34. 36. 37 [may be also neuter].
 I. *madena* 6,40; *likhitena* 7,51.
 D. *ajātāye* 7,45; *vāsasatasahassāya* 7,48.
 Abl. *kāmcipurā* 5,1.
 G. *kulagotissa* 6,9; *sāsanassa* 6,10; and so also G. in -*sa*, -*ssa*; 6,12-26. 38. 50; VG. 101,1. 2. 7 [*devakulassa*]. 8.
 L. *visaye* 5,3; *cillarekakodumke* 6,12; and so also: 7,42.44.
 Neuter: Acc. *nivataṇam* 6,38; *vāraṇa[m]* 7,41; *uparilikhitam* 7,44; *āṇatam* 7,49.

Plural

- N. *patibhāgā* 6,13-18. 20-22; *addhikā*, *kolikā* 6,39; *gāmeyikā* *āyuttā* VG. 101,10.
 Acc. °*desādhibitatādike*, *bhojake* 5,4; *vallave* *govallave* *amacce* *ārakhādhikate* *gumike* *tūthike* 5,5; and so also 5,6; 6,9; 7,43. 46.
 I. *evamādikehi* 6,34; *parihārehi* 6,35; VG. 101,11; apparently °*him* is meant.
 G. *pallavāṇam* VG. 101,2; *pallavāṇa* 5,2; *manusāna* 5,7; *vatihavāṇa* °*bamhanāṇam* 6,8; *bhātukāṇa* 6,18; *bamhanāṇam* 6,27. 30. 38; *paṇukhāṇam* 6,27. 38 (where °*na*). Perhaps everywhere °*nam* is meant.

§ 364. On the declension of *a*-stems see Vr. 5,1-13; 11,10. 12. 13; C. 1,3. 5. 7. 8. 13-16; 2,10; Hc. 3,2-15; 4,263. 287. 299. 300. 321; 330-339. 342. 344-347; KI.3,1-16; 5,17. 21-25. 28-34. 78; Mk. fol. 41. 42. 68. 69. 75; Sr. fol. 5-9. In A. the bare stem is often used as the nom. acc. gen. sing. and plur., and in others too as *a*-stems (Hc.4,344.345; KI.5,21). Since the final vowel is lengthened and shortened according to metre and

rhyme (§ 100), so the form of the nom. plur. often appears in the singular and vice-versa. So *phāṇihārā*, *vīśū*, *kandā*, *candā*, *kantā*=*phāṇihārah*, *vīśah*, *kandah*, *candrah*, *kāntah* (Piṅgala 1,81^a); *sīlā*=*sītalah*, *dāḍhā*=*dagdhaḥ* in relation with *gharu*=*gr̥hah* (Hc. 4,343); *gaa*=*gajāh*, *gajān*, *gajānām* (Hc. 4,335. 418.3. 345); *supurisa*=*supuruṣāḥ* (Hc. 4,367). In other dialects too, in verses, the stem is occasionally used in lieu of a case. So AMg. *buddhaputta*=*buddhaputra* for *buddhaputto* (Uttar. 13); *pāṇajāi*=*prāṇajātayah* for *pāṇajāo* (Āyār. 1,8,1,2); *pāvaya*=*pāvaka* for *pāvao* (Dasav. 634,5); Mg. *pāñcayana*=*pāñcajanāh*, *gāma*=*grāmah*; *candāla*=*cāṇḍālah*; *ṇala*=*narah*; *śīla*=*śirah* (Mṛcch. 112,6—9). Instead of *sīla* Mk. fol. 75 reads *sīli* and he teaches thence, that in Mg. the nom. ends in -e and -i. Likewise Vr. 11,9, who too recognizes the stems as nominative. *sīli* stands for *sīle* according to § 85; likewise *śakki* for *śakke*=*śakyah* (Mṛcch. 43,6—9). On the ending -o, -e = -ah see § 345, on -u=-ah § 346, on A. -u=-am § 351. According to Bhāgirathīvardhamāna, in Cāṇḍāli, the nom. sing. may end in -o too, beside in -e, -i: *eso puliśo* (Mk. fol. 82).—In Nāgarāpabhramā he teaches nom. sing. in -o too, beside that in -u (Mk fol. 79). In the instrumental singular, in AMg. several forms in -sā are found due to assimilation with the former I. of the s-stems. Thus particularly *kāyasa* from *kāya* in the combination *manasā vajasa* *kāyasa*=*manasā vacasā kāyena* (Āyār. p. 132,1; 133,5; Sūyag. 358. 428. 546; Vivāhap. 603 ff.; Ṭhāṇ. 118. 119. 187; Uttar. 248; Uvās. § 13-15; Dasav. 625,30), *kāyasa* *vajasa* (Uttar. 204); rarer is *manasā vajasa* *kāṇa* (Sūyag. 257) and *manasā kāyavakkenam* (Sūyag. 380; Uttar. 222. 752). Further *sahasā balasā*=*sahasā balena* (Āyār. 2,3,2,3; Ṭhāṇ. 368); *paṛgasā*=*prayogena*, parallel with *visras* from *visras* (Vivāhap. 64,65). According to such cases are formed in verses: *niyamasā*=*niyamena* (Ovav. § 177); *jogasā*=*yogena* (Dasav. 631,1; Sūriyapannati in the Verzeichniss 5,2,2,575,4); *bhayasā*=*bhoyena* (Dasav. 629,37), except that a s-stem stands beside it Cf. § 355. 358. 367. 375: 379. 386. On M. AMg. JM. *putteṇam* see § 182, on A. *putteṇa* § 128, *putteṇ* § 146. The dative in -āe in PG. AMg. JM (§ 361) cannot be traced back to Skt. -āya, which remains in PG. and becomes āya in AMg. and -āa in M. (§ 361). AMg. *sāgapāgāe* (Sūyag. 247. 249) phonetically corresponds to one **sākapākāyai*, that is to say to the dative of a f. feminine. In AMg. this dative is especially frequent from the abstract neuter in -tta=-tva, as *itthittāe purisatāe napūṁsagattāe* (Sūyag. 817); *devattāe*=*devatvāya* (Āyār. 2,15,16; Samav. 8. 10. 16; Uvās.; Ovav.); *rukkhattāe*=*rukṣatvāya* (Sūyag. 792. 803); *gonattāe*=*gotvāya* (Vivāgas. 51); *hāṁsattāe*=*hāṁsatvāya* (Vivāgas. 241); *neraijattāe*, *dārijattāe*, *majūratāe*=*nairayikatvāya*, *dārikātvāya*, *mayūratvāya* (Vivāgas. 244); *aṭhicammacchirattāe*=*asthicarmaśirātvāya* (Aṇutt. 12) etc.; cf. § 361. Beside these stand the datives of abstract feminine in -tā, like *paḍibūhaṇayāe*=*pratibrīhmaṇapatāyai*, *poṣanayāe*=*poṣanapatāyai* (Sūyag. 676); *karaṇayāe*=*karaṇatāyai* (Vivāhap. 817. 1254; Uvās. § 113); *savaṇayāe*=*śravaṇatāyai* (Nāyādh. § 77. 137; Ovav. § 18,38). *punaḍāṣṇayāe*=**punaḍaṣṇyatāyai* (Vivāhap. 1228; Nāyādh. § 137) etc. That the datives from femin. nouns, otherwise, frequently stand between the datives of the masc. and neut. nouns is shown by § 361. An influence therefore, through the feminine may be possible, and the AMg. *devattāe* may have its tt of the neuter *devatva* and the ending of the feminine *devatā* wrongly used with it. But the datives in -āe, even otherwise, from mascul. and neut. are so numerous that this explanation is impossible. It must be admitted that dialectically -āi too could be used as the ending of the mascul. and neut. *vahāi*=*vadhāya* (Hc. 3,132) should either be traced back to AMg. JM. *vahāe* (§ 361) according to § 85 in

case the verb would be found verses, or it is to be derived from the Avestā *yasnāi*, Greek *ἱππωι=ἱππω-*.

§ 365. Hc. 3,8 and Mk. fol. 41 show that for the abl. sing. in M. the forms in *-ādo*, *-ādu*, like *vacchādo*, *vacchādu*, which Kī 3,8 too has, are not to be deduced with Bhāmaha from Vr. 5,6. The author of R. who at R. 8,87 writes *rāmādo*, openly follows Bhāmaha, as in *udu=rdu* (§ 204). The usual form of the abl. sing. in M. AMg. JM. is in *-āo=**ātā (§ 69. 345). Beside it is found metri causa *-āu* too: M. *sīsāu=sīrsāt* (G. 37); *nāhaalāu=nabhaatalāt* (H. 75); *rannāu=aranyāt* (H. 287); AMg. *pāvāu=pāpāt* (Sūyag. 415) beside *pāvāo* (Sūyag. 110. 117); *dukkhāu=dukkhāt* (Uttar. 218). The abl. in *-du*, taught by Hc. 4,276 for S., belongs to J.S. (§ 21), where *udayādu=udayāt* (Pav. 383,27), beside *anaūdajādo* (Kattig. 399,309) and forms like *carittādo=caritrāt* (Pav. 380,6), *nānādo=jñānāt* (Pav. 382,5), *visayādo=viśayāt* (Pav. 382,6), *vasādo=vaśāt* (Kattig. 399, 311) are found. In S. Mg. the abl. always ends in *-do* (Kī. 5,79; Mk. fol. 68; § 69. 345). On forms with short *a*, as AMg., *thānāo* see § 99. Not seldom in M. AMg. JM. is also the abl. in *-ā=*Skt. *-āt*. So M.: *vasā=vasāt*, *bhāā=bhayāt*, *guṇā=guṇāt*, *veā=vegāt*, *bhavaṇā=bhavanāt*, *dehatāṇā=**dehatvanāt, *bhārvuvaḥāṇārā=bhārvodvahanārāt* (G. 24. 42. 84. 125. 242. 390. 716. 848. 854. 924.); *gharā=ghrāt*, *balā=balāt* (H. 497. 498); *aīrā=acirāt* (R. 3,15); *nacīrā* (Bālār. 179,2); *misā=misāt*, *nivesā=nivesāt* (Karp. 12,8; 75,2); AMg. *maraṇā* (Āyār. 1,3,1,3 2,1); *dukkhā* (Āyār. 1,3,1,2; Uttar. 220); *kohā*, *mānā*, *lobhā=krodhāt*, *māndāt*, *lobhāt* (Āyār. 2,4,1,1); *balā* (Sūyag. 287. 293; Uttar. 593); *ārambhā* (Sūyag. 104); *nāyāputtā* (Sūyag. 318); *bhayā=*
=bhayāt, *lābhā*, *mohā*, *pamājā=pramādāt* (Uttar. 207. 251. 434. 627); *kohā*, *hāsā*, *lobhā*, *bhayā* (Uttar. 751; cf. Dasav. 615,28), mostly in verses; JM. *nīyamā* (Kk. 259,6,18); AMg. JM. *atīhā* (Dasav. 620,20; Erz.); J.S. *niyamā* (Kattig. 400,328; 401,341). I have noted only *balā* in S. (Mṛcch. 68,22), only *kālaṇā* in Mg. (Mṛcch. 152,7; cf. 145,17) from the critical texts. The MSS., however, have *kālaṇāe* for *kālaṇā*, the ed. Cal. Šak. 1792 p.324, 11 and Gopbole, 413,1 correctly *kālaṇādo*, as STENZLER too elsewhere reads (133,1;140,14;158,21;165,7). Mk. fol. 69 permits *-ā* too in S., in addition, and quotes *kāraṇā* as an example. Frequent in M. is the abl. sing. in *-hi*: *mūlāhi*, *kusumāhi*, *gaanāhi*, *varāhi*, *biāhi=bijāt* (G. 13. 69. 193. 426. 722; cf. yet 1094. 1131. 1164); *dūrāhi*, *hiaāhi=hṛdayāt*, *aṅgaṇāhi*, *nikkammāhi vi cheṭtāhi=niśkarmano* *pi kṣetrāt* (H. 50. 95. 120. 169; cf. yet 179. 429. 594. 665. 877. 934. 998); *ravāhi*, *dhirāhi=dhairyāt*, *dantujōāhi=dantoddoytāt*, *paccakkhāhi=pratyakṣāt*, *ghadiāhi=ghatitāt*, *anuhūāhi=anubhūtāt* (R. 3,2; 4,27; cf. still 4,45. 56; 6,14. 77; 7,57; 8,18; 11, 88; 12, 8, 11; 14, 20. 29; 15,50); *hiaāhi* (Karp. 79, 12; v. 1. *hiaāu*); *daṇḍāhi=daṇḍāt* (Bālār. 178, 20; text against the metre *°him*). In AMg. is found *piṭhāhi=pṛṣṭhāt* (Nāyādh. 958f), beside *piṭhāo* (938.964). Seldom is the abl. in *-himto*: *kandalāhimto=kandalāt* (G. 5); *cheppāhimto=ṣepāt hiaāhimto=hṛdayāt*, *raiharāhimto=ratiṛghāt* (H. 240. 451. 553); *mūlāhimto=mūlāt* (Karp. 38, 3); *rūhāhimto=rūpāt* (Mudrār. 37,4). Rājaśekhara employs the abl. in *-hi* and *-himto* falsely in S. too: *candaseharāhi=candraśekharāt* (Bālār. 289,1; text *°him*); *pāmarāhimto=pāmarāt*, *candāhimto=candrāt*, *jalāhimto=jalāt*, *tumhārisāhimto=yuṣmādṛṣāt* (Karp. 20,6; 53,6; 72,2; 93,9); *pādāhimto=pādāt*, *gamāgāmāhimto=gamāgamāt*, *thaṇaharāhimto=stanabharāt* (Viddhaś. 79,2; 82,4; 117,4). On the corresponding forms of pronouns see § 415 ff. The ending *-hi* is present in adverbs M. AMg. JM. in *alāhi=alam*¹ (Vr. 9,11; Hc. 2, 189; Kī. 4, 83 [text *anāhi*]; H. 127; Vivāhap. 813.965. 1229. 1254; T. 5,6 [text *°him*]), *-himto* in AMg. *antohimto=antarāt* (§ 342) and *bāhimhimto=bāhiṣṭāt* (Thān. 408). *uttarāhi* and *dakṣiṇāhi* (WHITNEY § 1100 c), the forms in *-hi* serve,

as noted by E. MÜLLER², as adverbs. Hence one may in *-hi*, neither with LASSEN³, search for on old ending *-bhi*, nor with WEBER⁴, a plural ending, above all *-him* is never found beside it. The ending *-himto* is, with LASSEN⁶, to be considered as having originated from *-bhīs*, or more correctly from *-bhīs*, the ending of the abl. plur +*tas*, the ending of the abl. sing.; and therefore, *-himto*, (Sr. fol 7) not *hinto* is to be written. The *a* of the stem has the lengthening according to § 69. The abl. *vacchatto* (Hc. 3,8; Sr. fol.7), *rukhatto* corresponding to the form [*puttatto*] are double formations = *vṛkṣāt + tas*, *rukṣāt + tas*. —For A. the forms found in the text are *vacchahē*, *vacchahu*=*vṛkṣāt* (Hc. 4,336); *jalahu*=*jalāt* (Hc. 4,415). KI.5,30 has beside *rucchahē* also *rucchādū* [text *‘dū’*] = *vṛkṣāt*. With LASSEN⁷ it is to be read as *vacchahē*, *vacchādū*. The origin of the forms in *-he*, *-hu* is obscure.

¹. So rightly WEBER, H¹. p. 49, note 1.—2. Beiträge p. 22.—3. Inst. p. 303.—4. H¹. p. 49.—5. At Bālar. 178,20 *‘him*, as mentioned, is against the metre, 289,1 has also a false reading.—6. Inst. p. 310.—7. Inst. p. 451.

§ 366. Corresponding to the rules of the grammarians (Vr. 11,12; Hc. 4,299) we find in Mg. in the gen. sing. the ending, beside *-ssā*=*-sya*, also *-ha* from *-sa* with lengthening of *a* of the stem (§ 63. 264). In the examples with *-āha* quoted by Hc. from Šak. and Venis, the printed editions and manuscripts have *-āssā* or variant readings¹. The genitive forms in *-aha* are : *kāmāha*=*kāmasya* (Mr̄cch. 10,24); *cāludattāha*=*cārudattasya* (Mr̄cch. 13,25; 100,20; 154,10; 164,2 4), beside *cāludattaśā* (Mr̄cch. 79,15; 100,22); *aniyādamāñāha*, *aniyādamāñāha* = *niryātayamānasya*, *a°*; *ekkāha*=*ekasya*; *avalāha*=*aparasya*; *ayyamiteāha*=*āryamaitreyasya*; *śalakāha*=*śyālakasya*, *śalilāha*=*śarirasya*; *cālittāha*=*cāritrasya* etc. (Mr̄cch. 21,13.14; 24,3; 32,4 5; 45,1; 112,10; 124,21). To it corresponds the genitive in *-ha* in A., as *kanaaha*=*kanakasya*; *candālaha*=*candālasya*; *kavvaha*=*kāvyasya*; *pharindaha*=*phāṇindrasya*; *kanthaha*=*kanthasya*; *paaha*=*padasya* (Piṅgala 1,62.70 88b.104,109.117). The common form of the genitive singular in A. is that in *-ho*, mostly in *-hō* (Hc. 4,338; KI. 5,31); *dullahahō*=*durlabhasya*; *sāmīahō*=*svāmikasya*, *kṛdantahō*=*kṛtiāntasya*; *kantahō*=*kāntasya*; *sāraḥo*=*sāgarasya*; *tahō* *virahahō* *ṇāsantaḥhō*=*tasya virahasya nāsyataḥ* (Hc. 4,338. 340. 370. 379. 395,7. 419,6. 432). One *kantahō* phonetically corresponds to one **kāntasyah*, that is to say a mixture of *a*- and consonantal declensions as in the nom. plur. in *āo* (§ 367). Beside the genitive in *-su* from *-ssā*=*-sya* (§ 106), as *parassu*=*parasya*; *suaṭṭasu*=*sujanasya*; *khandhasu*=*skandhasya*; *tuttassu*=*tattvasya*; *kantassu*=*kāntasya* (Hc. 4,338.440. 445,3), according to Hc. 4,338; KI. 5,31 even the genitive in *-su* is used, as *ruk̄hasu* (KI. 3,31; in LASSEN, Inst. p. 451 *vacchasu*) in A. I can, probably accidentally, attest the form only in the pronouns (§ 425.427).

i. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,299.

§ 366^a. In the locative singular the forms in *-e* and those in *-mmi*=*-smi* (§ 313.350), quoted above from pronominal declensions (§ 313.350), often stand directly beside one another. So M. *mukke vi naramāndattārammi*=*mukte* ‘*pi naramgendrave* (G.10); *dit̄the sarisammi gune*=*dīṣṭe sadr̄se gune* (H. 44); *ṇāpūrasacchahe jō vvaṇammi*=*nadiṇpūrasadṛṣe yauvane* (H. 45); *sunaha paūrammi gāme*=*śunakapracure grāme* (H.138); *devāttammi phale*=*daivāyatte phale* (H. 279); *hantavammi dahamuhe*=*hantāye daśamukhe* (R. 3,3); *apūramāṇammi bhare*=*apūryamāṇe bhare* (R. 6,67); *gaammi paose*=*gate prādose* (R. 11,1); *ṇihaammi pahatthe*=*nihate prahāstie* (R. 15,1); JM. *pāḍaliputtammi puravare* (Āv. 8,1) and *pāḍaliputte nagarammi* (Āv. 12,40); *dullahalambhammi mānuse jamme*=*durlabhalambhe mānuse janmani* (Āv. 12, 13); *kae* ‘*kae vā vi kajjammi* = *kṛte* ‘*kṛte vāpi kārye* (Āv. 12, 18); *cēttammi ṣakkhatte viuhatthe*=*caitre nakṣatre vidhuhaste* (KI. 19); JŚ. *tivihe pattammi*=*trividhe*

prāpte (Kattig. 402, 360; text °*mhi*; *accudammi sagge* = *acyute svarge* (Kattig. 404, 391; text °*mhi*). All the examples stand in verses. In prose in JM. the locative mostly is used, as *girinagare nagaer* (Āv. 9,12); *matthae* = *mastake* (Āv. 11,1); *puratthime disibhāe ārāma-majjhe* = **purastime digbhāga ārāmamadli* e (Āv. 13, 24), more seldom that in -*mmi*, -*m̄mi* as *raigharammi* = *ratiqhe* (Āv. 11, 13); *komūmahū-savaññi* = *kaumudimahotsave* (Erz. 2, 7); *majjhār̄mi* (Erz. 9,1); forms of both the types sometimes stand in prose beside one another, as *vijjāñānnimiyāññi siyārattapādājābhūsi pāsāe=vidyāññimite sītaraktapātākābhū-site prāsāde* (Erz. 8,24). In verses both the forms are usable according to the meure, as *bharahammi=bharate*, *tihujanammi=tribhuvane*, *sīsammi=sīrse* (Āv. 7,22;8,17;12,24), and *gunasilujjāñe=guṇasilodhyāne*, *avasāñe*, *sihare=sīkhare* (Āv. 7,24. 26. 36). In JŚ too both the locatives are current. In Kattig. several times the MS. writes -*mhi* instead of -*mmi*: *kālamhi* (399,321) against *kālammi* (400,322); *pattamhi* (402,360); *accudamhi* (404, 391); also in pronouns: *tamhi=tasmin* (400,322) beside *tammi* in the same line and *jammi* (399,322). This may simply be an error of handwriting. Pav. has only -*mmi*: *dānammi* (383, 69); *suhammi*, *asuhammi* (385, 61); *kājace=tīthammi* (386,10;387,18); *jinamadammi* (386,11) etc. The same error occurs in Kattig. in *savvanhū* for the correct *savvanñū* (Pav. 381,16i) = *sarvajñāh* (398. 302. 303). Cf. § 436.—In AMg. the most usual forms that in -*msi*=-*smin* (§ 74.313); *logamīsi=loke* (Āyār. 1,1,1,5,7;1,3,1,1,2,1;1,4, 2,3;1,5,4,4;1,6,2,3;1,7,3,1; Sūyag. 213.380.381.463.465 etc.); *susāññamī vā sunnāgārāmī vā giriguhamī vā rukkhamūlāmī vā kumbhārāja yañāmī vā=sīma-sāñe vā sūnyāgāre vā giriguhyāmī vā rukṣamūle vā kumbha kārāyatanē vā* (Āyār. 1,7,2,1); *imāmī dāragāmī jāyāmī samāññamī=asmin dārake jāte sati* (Thāñ. 525; Vivāhap. 1275; cf. Vivāgas. 116). Forms in -*mmi*, -*m̄mi* are not rare: in verses: *samaññamī* (Āyār. 1,8,1,9,2,16,9); *bambhammi ja=kappammi ja=brāhme ca kalpe ca* (Āyār. p. 125,34); *dāhīnaññi pāsammi* [sic]=*dakṣiṇe pārśve* (Āyār. p. 128,20); *logamīmi=loke* (Sūyag. 136. 410); *sāṅgāmarāmī=sāṅgrāme* (Sūyag. 161); *āuñāmī=āyūmī* (Uttar. 196); *maranantammi=maranānta* (Uttar. 207); *jalapānmi=jvalane* (Nāyādh. 1394). Later such forms stand even in prose, beside the loc. in -*e*, hardly rightly, as *dāruṇamī gimhe* (Nāyādh. 340); *utthīyāmī sūre sahassarassimī dīnayāre tejasā jalante=utthīte sūrye sahasraraññau dinakare tejasā jvalati* (Vivāhap. 169; Anug. 60; Nāyādh. § 34; Kappas. § 59), and beside the loc. in -*msi*, as *gimhakālasamayāññi jettīhāmūlamāsammi=griñmakālasamaye jyeṣṭhāmūlamāse* (Ovav. § 82). The loc. in -*e* in the early prose, in comparision with that in -*msi*, is a little numerous: *harae=hrade* (Āyār. 1,6,1,2); *vijāle=vikāle* (Āyār. 2,1,3,2); *lābhe sante=lābhe sati* (Āyār. 2,1,1,1 ff.); *padipāhe=prati-pāthe*, *parakame=parākrame* (Āyār. 2,1,5,3), *sapaññiduvāre=svapratidvāre* (Āyār. 2,1,5,5), more frequently in verses, as *loe=loke* (Āyār. 1,8,4,14; 2,16,9; Uttar. 22.109); *laddhe pinde=labdhe pinde* (Āyār. 1,8,4,13); *ārāmā-gāre*, *nagare*, *susāñe*, *rukkhāmūle* (Āyār. 1,8,2,3); *maranānta* (Uttar. 213); *dharaññitale* (Sūyag. 296), also beside the loc. in -*msi* and -*mmi*, as *sisirāññt addhapaññivane=sīsire ardha prati panne* (Āyār. 1, 8, 1, 21); *samsāraññamī anantage* (Uttar. 215. 222); *pattammi āese=prāpta ādeśe* (Uttar. 227). In the later prose they stand also beside the loc. in -*msi*, as *tāññi tārisagāññi vāsaghārāññi abbhīntcrao sacittakamme bāhirao dūmiya-ghatītha matīkhe* is followed still by seven loc. in -*e* — *tāññi tārisagāññi sayāññjamī sālinīganavaññtie* is followed eight loc. in -*e* — *puvarat-takālasamayāññi* (Kappas § 32). Dialectically the loc. in -*him* from -*ssim* are also found (§ 65.264); Mg. *evañvadākāhīm gallakkappamāññāhīm kulā-hīm* = *evañvadārake galvarkapramāñe kule* (Mṛcch. 126, 9); Mg. *pavahañ-*

him²=pravahane (Mṛcch. 119,23), are also found. In addition, the loc. is put also in -*hi* in A : *desuhī=dese*; *gharahi=grhe* (Hc.4,386.422,15); *hradahī=hrade*; *padhamahī=prathame*, beside *tī pāe=tītiye pāde*; *samatāhī=samatāpāde*; *sisahī=sirše*; *antahī=ante*; *cittahī=citte*; *vansahī=vansē* (Piṅgala 1,4^b.70.71.81^a.120.155^a;2,102). The loc. in prose ends in -*e* in Ś. and mostly in Mg., too, for Ś. Mk. fol. 69 expressly remarks: Ś. *gehe, āvane=āpane* (Mṛcch. 3,9.14.15), *muhe=mukhe* (Śak. 35,10); Mg. *haste*; *vihave vihaḍde=vibhave vighatite* (Mṛcch. 21,12; 32,21); *śamale=samare* (Venis. 33,8). In verses in Mg. the loc. in -*mmi* too is found, sometimes beside that in -*e*: *caṇḍālāūlammi=caṇḍālakule*; *kūvammi=kūpe* (Mṛcch. 161,14;162,7); *śomammi=gahammi=sauṃye grahe*; *śevide apāscammi=sevite 'pathye* (Mudrār. 177,5; 257, 2; cf ZDMG. 39,125.128). Rājaśekhara errs here too against the dialect, since he uses the loc. in -*mmi* in prose also : *majjhammi* (Karp. 6,1), beside *majjhe* (Karp. 12,10;22,9); *kavvammi=kāvye* (Karp. 16,8); *rāmanmi=rāme*; *sedusimartammi=setusimarte* (Bāla. 96,3;194,14). In Indian editions the loc. in -*mmi* is often found in Ś., which perhaps is not a fault of the MSS., but of the authors, who did not know to write Ś. correctly. Cf. e. g. Pras. 35,3; 39,2; 44,8.9; 45,5; 47,6; 113,8.12; 119,14.15; Karṇas. 25, 3; 37, 6; Karisav. 50,2.14; Mallikām. 87,4; 88,23. Naturally the writings, such as *cāṇakkammi akaruna* (Mudrār. 53,8); *hiaanivisesammi Jane=hṛdayanirviśese Jane* (Viddhaś. 42,3), *gacchatammi deve* (Cait. 134,10), too are wholly false. In A. the loc. usually ends in -*i* from -*e*: *tali=tale*, *pāthari=prastare*; *andhāri=andhakāre*; *kari=kare*; *mūli vinaṭṭhai=mūle vinaṣṭe*; *bāri=dvāre* (Hc. 4,334.344.349.354.427.436); sometimes it ends in -*ē* too: *appiē ditṭhai, piē ditṭhai=apriye *dr̥ṣṭake, priye *dr̥ṣṭake; piē ditṭhe=priye dr̥ṣṭe; sughe=sukhe* (Hc. 4,365,1. 396,2).

1. So it is to be read; cf. Mṛcch. 139,23, the v.l. in GODABOLE 348,3 and in LASSEN, Inst. p. 430. — 2. So to be read with the ed. Calc. 1829 p. 227, 6 and ed. GODABOLE p. 331,8 according to the v. 1.

§ 366^b. In the voc. sing. of the *a*-stem pluti is found frequently (§ 71). According to Hc. 3,38; Sr. fol. 5 the vocative of the masculine may end in -*e* too, beside in -*a*, -*ā*: *ajjo=ārya*; *devo=deva*; *khamāsamaṇo=kṣamāśramāṇa* (Hc.); *rukkhō=rukṣa*; *vaccho=vṛksa*(Sr.). Such vocatives are found in AMg., and there they are used not only for the vocative singular, but also for the vocative plural of the masculine, so that probably they may not be considered as the nom. sing. of the masc. used as the vocative, specially when in AMg. in prose they end in -*e* always. The examples are: AMg. *ajjo=ārya* (Sūyag. 1016; Uitar.415; Vivāhap.132.134; Kappas. Th. § 1.S. §18.52); as plur. = *āryāḥ* (Thān. 146. 147; Vivāhap. 132. 188 f. 193. 332; Uvās. § 119. 174); *tāo=tāta* (Nāyādh. § 83. 85. 98); *devo=deva* (Nāyādh. § 38); *puriso=purusa* (Sūyag. 108); *amma jāo=ambātātau*, therefore, plur. (Antag. 61. 62; Vivāhap. 804. 805. 808 ff. [ostener *ammatāo*]; Nāyādh. § 134. 138. 145; p. 260. 862. 887 etc.). Likewise one says in the feminine AMg. JM. *ammo=amba* (Hc. 3,41; Uvās. § 140; Āv. 13,33; 14,27); also used as the plur. of parants (Nāyādh. § 138; Ut̥er. 574). The example given by Hc., *ammo bhanāmi bhanie* is H. 676, where WEBER and the Bombay edition read *bhanie bhanāmi attā*; T. reads *attā bhanāmi bhanie*; in Bhuvanapāla the strophe is wanting. Thus Hc. found *ammo* in M. too. Perhaps in the *o*, is inserted the particle *u*, which stands according to the lexicographers in addressing (āmantrane) and in calling from off (sambodhane). Against this, in AMg. *bhante=bhadanta*¹ (§ 165); Mg. *bhāve=bhāva* (Mṛcch. 10,22;11,24; 12,3; 13,6. 24; 14,10 etc.); *cede=ceṭa* (Mṛcch. 21,25) beside *cedā* (Mṛcch.

118,1; 119,11. 21; 121,9; 122,9 etc.); *uvāsake=upāsaka* (Mrcch. 114,7) *bhaṭṭake=bhaṭṭaka* (Śak. 114,5; 116,11); *lāutte=rājaputra* (Śak. 117,5); *puttake=putraka* (Śak. 167,7)², the nomin. must be considered to have been used vocatively. Whether even in A. *bhamaru=bhramara* (Hc. 4, 368); *mahiharu=mahidhara* (Vikr. 66,16) are to be taken as in the nomin. is doubtful, since in A. the final *a*, also elsewhere, becomes *u* (§ 106). The form in *-e* is used in Mg. as acc. sing. in *mama śile śadakhanḍe kalesī =mama śirah śatakhaṇḍam karosi* (Mrcch. 151,25). Other examples quoted by LASSEN³ have disappeared from the new edition. Cf. § 367^a. In Venīs. at 33,12 according to the ed. Calc., we should read *labbhadi* instead of *lambhai* in GRILL, so that *māṁsae, uphe*(read *uspe*), *luhile* are nom. according to § 357.

1. This is the right explanation. Cf. WEBER, Bhag. 2,155, note 3; PISCHEL on Hc. 4,287. Wrongly E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 50, where further literature.—2. Misunderstood by S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākṛtika p. 28. See PISCHEL, GGA, 1880, p. 326,—3. Inst. p. 429.

§ 367. The masculine nom. plur. ends in all the dialects in *-ā=āh*: M. AMg. JM. Š. *devā=devāh* (H. 355; Ovav. § 33; Erz. 4,31; Mrcch. 3,13); JŚ *atīhā=arīhā* (Pav. 382,26); Mg. *puliśā=purusāh* (Lalitav. 565,13); CP. *samudā, sailā=samudrāh, sailāh* (Hc. 4,326); D. *dakkhinattā=daksinātyāh* (Mrcch. 103,5); Ā. *visaddhā=visrabdhāh* (Mrcch. 99,16); A. *ghodā=ghoṭāh* (Hc. 4,330,4). In AMg. in verses the masc. nom. plur. is found in *-āo* too; *māṇavāo=māṇavāh* (Āyār. 1,3,3,3; Sūyag. 412); *tahāgajāo=tahāgatāh* (Āyār. 1,3,3,3); *hajāo=hatāh* (Sūyag. 295); *samatthāo=samarthāh; omaratiāo=avamaratrāh; sīsāo=sīsyāh; āujiāo=abiivāh* (Uttar. 755.768.794. 1045); *viraktāu* [so the commentary; text *āo*] = *viraktāh; sāgarāu=sāgarāh* (Uttar. 758. 1000). Other cases yet Uttar. 698. 895. 1048. 1049. 1053. 1059. 1061. 1062. 1064. 1066. 1071. 1084. So also in M. or JM. *vāṇāo* beside *vāṇāh* in a grammatical citation in Lakṣmīnāthabhatta on Piṅgala. 1,2(p.3,5). Indian editions have these plural forms falsely in Š. too, e.g. Dha. namjayav. 11,7 ff.; 14,9f.; Cait.43,18ff. A tracing of the plur. in *-āo*, which is the rule in the case of the feminine in *ā* (§ 376) back to Vedic *-āsas*, hence the derivation of Pkt. *janāo* from Vedic *janāsas* is linguistically impossible. V. Mg. *bhaṭṭalakāhō*, A. *loahō* (§ 377) are in direct continuation (§ 372). Pkt. shows that *-āsas* is to be explained from *ās+as*, that is to say, the ending *-as* of the consonant stem has supplemented the plur. of the *a*-stem. Pkt. *māṇavāo* is, therefore, a double form¹, like the abl. sing. *vacchatto* (§ 365). In A. the ending *-ā* appears often shortened (§ 364): *gaa=gajāh; supurisa=supurusāh; bahua=bahukāh; kāara=kātarāh; meha=meghāh* (Hc. 4,335. 367. 376. 395,5; 419,6).—In the nom. acc. plur. of the neuter the most usual form is in *-īm*, before which *a* is lengthened; beside it, in verses *-i* and *-i* are used (§ 180.182). On the forms *dhaṇāmīm, vanāmīm* taught by Ki. 3,28 see § 182. Vr. 5,26 teaches for M. *-i* only, C. 1,3 only *-ī;* Hc. 3,26 and Sr.fol.17 teach *-i, -īm, -ī;* Ki.3,28; Mk.fol. 43 *-īm* only. M. has *-īm, -ī, -i: nāyanāīm=nayanāni* (H. 5); *āngāī vi piāīm* (H. 40); *rāṇāī va garuaguṇāsāī=ratnānīva gurukaguṇāśatāmī* (R. 2, 14). In AMg., already in the oldest texts, *-ī* too, beside *-īm*, is quite usual: *pāṇāīm bhūyāīm jīvāīm sattāīm=prāṇām bhūtāmī jīvāīm sattāīm vā* (Āyār. 1,6,5,4;1,7,2,1;2,1, 1,11), beside *pāṇāī vā bhūyāī vā jīvāī vā sattāī vā* (Āyār. p. 132,28); *udagapasūjāī kandāī vā mūlāī vā pattāī vā pupphāī vā phalāī vā bīyāī vā hariyāī vā* (Āyār. 2,2,1,5). Often both the forms stand beside one another: *sējjāīm puna kulāīm jānejjā tam jahā uggakulāī vā bhogakulāī vā rāinnakulāī vā...* still follow nine compounds with *kulāī* (Āyār. 2,1,2,2); *agārāīm cejiāīm tam jahā āesāṇāī vā āyājanāī vā devakulāī vā*—yet follow

eleven forms in *āṇī-tahappagārāim* *ādesāṇāni vā...bhavaṇagihāṇi vā* (Āyār. 2,2, 2,8); *anṇāṇī ja bahūṇi gabbhādāṇai ammaṇa-m-āṇī jāim kouyāim* (Ovav. [§ 105]); *kheṭtāṇī* in the same verse with *kheṭtāṇī=kṣetrāṇī* (Uttar. 356). The form in *-ṇī*, as in JM.², especially before enclitics is selected. In verses the metre decides in AMg. too the choice between *-im*, *-i*, *.i*. So in Uttar. 357 we should read *tāim tu kkēttāim supāvajāim=tāni tu kṣetrāṇī supāpākāṇī*; Dasav. 619,17 stands *pupphāi bijāim vippāṇṇāi*; 621,1 we should read *satu-cūṇnāim kolacūṇṇāi āvāṇe*. In JM. the situation is the same, as in AMg. : *pañca egūṇnāim addāgasājāim...pakkhittāim = pañcaikonāny *ādarpakāśatāni...prakṣiptāni; nicchiddāim dārāim=niśchidrāṇī dvārāṇī* (Āv. 17,15, 19); *tāṇī vi pañca corasājāṇī...saṁbohijāṇī pavvaijāṇī=tāṇī api pañca coraśatāni...saṁbo-dhitāni pravrajitāni* (Āv. 19,2); *bahūṇi vāsāṇī* (Erz. 34,3), beside *bahūṇi vāsāim=bahūṇi varsāṇī* (Erz. 34,17). Writings, like *vatthābharaṇāṇī rājyasantiyāim* (Erz. 52,8) in prose, are probably not correct, even when both the forms often stand closely beside one another, as in *pōttāim ānehi l tie rattagāṇī āṇiyāṇī* (Erz. 31,8). In Š, according to Vr. 12,11; Ki. 5,78; Mk. fol. 69, *-ṇī* too may be used beside *-im*. So stands *suhāṇī=sukhāṇī* (Šak. 99,4) and *apaccanivivisesāṇī sattāṇī* (Šak. 154,7) in most of the MSS.; for *vaṇāṇī=vacanāṇī* (Vikr. 87,22) the best MSS. have *vaṇāim*, and so all the critical texts give in Š. Mg., elsewhere, *-im³* only. Dialectically nom. acc. plur. in *-ā* are often found beside those in *-im* or in *-ṇī*: AMg. *udagapasūjāṇī kandāṇī vā mūlāṇī vā tajā patti pupphā phalā bijā* (Āyār. 2,3,3,9); *bahuśambhūjā vanaphalā* (Āyār. 2,4,2,13,14); *pāṇā yā taṇā ja bijā ja paṇagā ja hariyāṇī ja* (Kappas. S. § 55). The form of the following words might have influenced *tajā = *tvacāh=tvacah* in the second example (cf. however, *tajāṇī* too § 358), and *pāṇā = prāṇāh*, in the third example. In other cases, however, such a hypothesis is out of question : *māuyāṅgād=mātrāṅgāṇī* (Thāṇ. 187); *sthāṇā = sthānāṇī* (Thāṇ. 163, 165); *pañca kumbhakārāvanasājā = pañca kumbhakārāpāṇaśatāni* (Uvās. § 184); *nāhā=nakhāṇī, aharō ṭīhā uttarō ṭīhā = adharoṣthe uttaroṣthe* (Kappas. S. § 43); *cattāri lakkhaṇā, ālambanā = catvāri lakṣaṇāni, ālambanāṇī* (Ovav. p. 42 f.) JM. *pañca sayā pīṇḍiyā* (Āv. 17,1), beside *pañca pañca suvaṇṇasājāṇī* (Āv. 16,30); Š. *midhūṇā* (Mṛcch. 71,22), beside *midhūṇāim* (Mṛcch. 71,14); *jāṇavattā=yāṇapātrāṇī* (Mṛcch. 72,23; 73,1); *viraīdā mae ḫāṇā=viracitāni mayāsanāṇī* (Mṛcch. 136,6), beside *āṣāṇāim* (Mṛcch. 136,3), and in Mg. (Mṛcch. 137,3); *dve piā uṣṇadā=dve priye upānate* (Vikr. 10,3); *anurāasūā akkharā=anurāgasūcakāny akṣarāṇī* (Vikr. 26,2). Hc. 1,33 mentions *naaṇā=nayanāṇī; loaṇā=locanāṇī; vaṇā=vacanāṇī; dukkhā=duḥkhāṇī; bhāṇā=bhājanāṇī*. He sees in them the masculine, which is definitely possible. The numerous neuter that have become masculine appear to me to suggest that the form in *-ā* is to be equated with the corresponding Vedic one, and that it has given cause for change of the gender. Before the endings *-im*, *-i*, a short vowel often appears in A.: *ahiulaī=ahikulāṇī; loaṇāi jāisaraī=locanāṇī jāiśmaranāṇī; manorahāī=manoraihāṇī; nīcintaī harīṇāī=nīcintāṇī hariṇāhāṇī* (Hc. 4,353. 365,1. 414,4. 422, 20).

1. That is probably already meant by LASSEN. Inst. p. 307.—2. JACOBI, Erz. p. xxxvi § 39. In AMg. the examples are frequent : I can not attest the rule from JM., so I too have listed a large number of forms in *-ṇī*.—3. FISCHER, De Kālidāsae Çākuntali recensionibus p. 29 f.; KB. 8,142. Falsely BOLLENSEN, Mälavikā. p. 113 and preface p. ix.

§ 367^a. In the acc. plur. of the masculine usual in all the dialects is the ending *-e*, that has been extended from the pronominal declension¹. M. *carane=caranau; nīaame, garuaare=nīcatamān, gurukatarān;*

dose=dosān (G. 24. 82. 887); *dosagune=doṣaṇau*; *pāe=pādau*; *sahattī=svahastau* (H. 48.130.680); *dharanīhare=dharanīdharaṇ*; *mahihare=mahīdharaṇ*; *bhinnaade a garue tarāṅgapphahare=bhinnataṭāṁś ca gurukāṁś tarāṅgapraharaṇ* (R.6.85.90;9.53); AMg. *samanamāhaṇaañikivāṇavaṇīimage=śramaṇabrahmaṇā titikṛpaṇavaṇīpakān* (Āyār. 2,2,2,8.9); *sāhe māse=sādhiṇā māsān* (Āyār. 1, 8,1,2,4.6); *ime ejārūve urāle kallāne sive dhanne maṇgale sassirie cōddasa mahāsumīṇe=imān etadrūpān udārān kalyāṇāñśvān dhanyān māṇgalayān saśrīkāṁś caturdaśa mahāsvapnān* (Kappas. § 3); JM. *bhoē=bhogān* (Āv. 8,24; 12,14. 20; Dvār. 495,7); *te nagaraloe jalanasambhramodbhrāntalocanān palāyamāne=tān nagaralokāñ jvalanasambhramodbhrāntalocanān palāyamānān* (Āv. 19,10); *te ya samāgāe=tāṁś ca samāgatān* (Kk. 263,22); JS. *sese puna titthajare sasavasid-dhe visuddhasabhbhāvē samanejya °virijāyāre=sesān punas tīrthakarān sasavasiddhān viśuddhasadhbhāvāñ śramaṇāṁś ca °viryācārān* (Pav. 379,2); *vividhe visae=vivi-dhān viṣayān* (Pav. 384,49); Š. *adikkantakusumasamae vi rukkhāe=atikranta-kusumasamayān api ruksakān* (Šak. 10,2); *purā paḍīnnāde duve vare=purā prati-jñātāu dvau varau* (Mahāv.65,5); *dārake=dārakau* (Uttarar.191,5); Mg. *avale=aparān* (Mṛcch. 118,14); *niapāne vihave kule kalatte a=nijaṛāñān vibhavān kuļāni kalatrāṇi ca* (Mudrār. 256,5)²; D. *sumbhanisumbhe=śumbhanisumbhau* (Mṛcch.105,22). That the same ending is found in neuter too, as in AMg. *bahave jīve=bahūni jīvāni* (Uvās. § 218); Š. *duve rukkhaseṇāke=dve rukṣaseca-nake* (Šak. 24,1); A. *bhuāne=bhuvanāni* (Piṅgala 1,62^b), is explained from the change of gender described in § 356 ff. Dialectically we find also the acc. plur. of the masc. in -ā=ān (§ 89; Sr. fol. 6); M. *guṇā=guṇān, ḥid-dhanā=nirḍhanān* (Šukas. 57,5. 6; Simhāsanadv. in IS. 15,355 [so to be read]; Vētālap. p. 219, No. 17 ed. UHLE; on Hc. 2,72); *dosā=dosān* (Šukas. 57,5. 6); AMg. *rukkhā mahalīā=rukṣān mahataḥ* (Āyār. 2,4,2,11. 12)³; *purisā, āsā=purusān, aśvān* (Nāyādh.1378. 1388 f.); *bandhavā=bāndhavān* (Uttar. 576); *samphāsā=sampharśān* (Āyār. 1,8,2,14); *uvassayā=upāśrayān* (Kappas. S. § 60); prosodically *guna=guṇān* (Dasav. 637,4) too. The form in -ā,-a is usual in A.: *saralā sāsā=saralāñ śvāsān; nirakkhaa gaa=niraksakān gajān; desadā=deśān; siddhatthā=siddhārthān* (Hc. 4,387,1. 418,3. 6. 423,3); *mandā mandakān; viṇakkā=viṇakṣān; kuṇjarā=kuṇjarān; kavandhā=kabandhān* (Piṅgala 1,104^a. 117^a. 120^a; 2,230). The single remnant of an acc. with a nasal vowel would be *dālām=dārān* (Prab. 47,1=55^a,5 P.=58,16 M.), if the reading be correct. The ed. Bomb. 102,3 reads *lisīṇām dālāṇām* against grammar and prosody.

1. WEBER, H¹ p. 51; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, KZ. 25,438.—2. The verse is to be read: *yā mahadha lahkīdum niapāne vihave kule kalatte a* (HILLEBRANDT, ZDMG. 39,121). *kule* and *kalatte* may be construed also as the acc. sing. according to § 366^b.—3. It might be considered also as the acc. plur. of the neut. according to § 358. 367.

§ 368. The ins. plur. ends in all the dialects in -ehīm=Vedic -ebhis (§72), which alternates with -ehī, -ehi in verses (§178) and with -ehi before enclitics in prose too in AMg. JM (§ 350): M. *amūlalahuehi sāsehiṁ=amū-lalaghukaih śvāsaih*(G.23); *avahatthiasabbhāvehi dakkhiṇyabhaniehiṁ=apahastita-sadbhāvair dākṣyabhanitaih* (H. 353); *kañcaṇasilālalehiṁ chīṇāvamanḍalehi=kañcaṇasilālalaih chīṇātāpamanḍalaih* (R. 9,55). Apparently in such cases we should read -hī for -hi (§ 178; cf. § 370). AMg. *tilahehiṁ laüehiṁ chattoveliṁ sīrīsehiṁ sattavaṇyehiṁ*—still 19 instr. follow—*=tilakair lakucaiś *chattropaih śīrīsaih saptaparnaih* (Ovav. § 6); *santehiṁ taccehiṁ tahiehiṁ sabbhūhehiṁ aṇītthehiṁ akantehiṁ appiehiṁ amāṇuṇyehiṁ amāṇāmehiṁ vāgarāṇehiṁ=sadbhis *tātīvais* (§ 281) *tathyaih sadbhūtair aniṣtair akāntair apriyair amanoññair *amanāpair*

vyākaranaih (Uvās. § 259); JM. *māyandamahuavindehim*=*mākandamadhukavrṇdaih* (Kī. 18); *vatthābharaṇehim*=*vastrābharaṇaih* (Āv. 26,27); *tehim kumārehim*=*taih kumāraiḥ* (Āv. 30,9); JS. *vihavehim*=*vibhavaih*; *sahassehim*=*sahasraih* (Pav. 380,6. 12); *manavajakēhim*=*manovacahkāyaih* (Kattig. 400,332); S. *janehim*=*janaih* (Lalitav. 568,6; Mrcch. 25,14); *jādasaṅkehim devehim*=*jāta-saṅkair devaih* (Sak. 21,5); *bhamarasāṅghavihadehim kusumēhiṁ*=*bhraramara-sāṅghavighātītaih kusumaih* (Vikr. 21,9); Mg. *tattastehim*=*tatrasthaiḥ* (Lalitav. 565,20); *attanākelakehim pādehim*=*ātmīyābhyaṁ pādābhyaṁ* (Mrcch. 13,9); *maścabandhanovāhehim*=*matsyabandhanopāyaih* (Sak. 114,2); Dh. *vippadivehim pādehim*=*vipratipābhyām pādābhyaṁ*; A. *lakkhehī*=*lakṣaiḥ*, *sarehim*, *saravarehim*, *ujjānavaṇehim*, *nivasantehim*, *suṇehim*=*śāraiḥ*, *sarovaraiḥ*, *udyānavanaiḥ*, *nivasaḍbhiḥ*, *sujanaih* (Hc. 4,335. 422,11). The inst. in *-ahim* is frequent in A.: *gunaih*=*gunaih*, *paārahī*=*prakāraih*; *savahī pānthihaihī*=*zarvaih pathikaih* (Hc. 4,335. 367,5. +29,1); *khaggahī*=*khaḍgaih*; *gaāhī*, *turaahī*, *rahahī*=*gajaih*, *turogaih*, *rathaih* (Piṅgala 1,7,145^a). On this, as well on the instr. in *-e him*, *-ihim* see § 128.

§ 369. Of the numerous forms, that the grammarians permit for the abl. plur. we have hitherto been able to demonstrate only the abl. in *-ehimto*, that is very frequent in AMg. and is shown to have clearly originated from the ins. plur. +suffix *-tas*, while that in *-suṇto* is shown to have arisen from the loc. plur. +suffix *-tus*: *tilehimto*=*tilebhyaḥ* (Sūyag. 594); *maṇussehimto vā pañcindriyatirikkhaṇoṇiehimto vā pudhavikāiehimto vā*=*manusye-bhyo vā pañcendriyatiriyagyonyikebhye vā* *pythivikāyikebhyo vā* (Thān. 58); *neraēhimto vā tirikkhaṇoṇiehimto vā manussehimto vā devehimto vā* (Thān. § 336; cf. Vivāhap. 1534 and elsewhere often); *sarisaehimto rājyakulehimto*=*saṛṭśa-kebhyo rājakulebhyaḥ* (Nāyādh. § 123); *kolagharihimto vaehimto*=*kaulagrīhikebhyo vrājebhyāḥ* (Uvās. § 342.343). In cases like *therehimto naṁ godāsehimto kāśava-gōtēhimto*; *chaluehimto rohaguttehimto kosiyago ttehimto* etc. (Kappas.Th. § 5 ff.), the plural is to be considered as that of the sovereign. Beside it AMg. JM. have also an abl. in *-ehim*=Skt. *-ebhyah*, so that the ins. and the abl. have become identical. AMg. *°nāmadhejjhehim vimānehim oīṇā = °nāmadhe-yebhyo vimānebhyo 'vatīṇāḥ* (Ovav § 37); *saehim saehim gehehimto niggacchanti*=*svakebhyaḥ svakebhyo gr̥hebhyo niggacchanti* (Kappas. § 66; cf. Nāyādh. 1048; Vivāhap. 187. 950. 943); *saehim saehim nagarehimto niggacchanti*=*svakebhyaḥ svakebhyo nagarebhyo niggacchanti* (Nāyādh. 826); *gāratthehi jā savvehim sāhavo samjamuttarā*=*gr̥asthebhyaś ca sarvebhyaḥ sādhavah sumyamottarāḥ* (Uttar. 208); JM. *jharei romakūvehim seo*=*ksarati romakūpebhyaḥ svedaḥ* (Erz. 4,23; cf. JACOBI § 95). Cf. § 376. In A. the abl. ends in *-ahū*: *giriśīṇebhyah*; *muhāhū*=*mukhebhyaḥ* (Hc. 4,337. 422,20); *rukkhahum*=*rukṣebhyah* (Ki. 5,29). *-hum*, *-hū* corresponds phonetically exactly to the ending *-bhyām* of the abl. dual. That it may be an abridgement of *-sumto* (LASSEN, Inst. p. 463) is wrong.

§ 370. The gen. plur. ends in all the dialects in *-āṇam*=Skt. *-ānām*. In M., however, the denasalised form in *-āṇa*, which is found in AMg. JM. JS. too, in AMg. particularly before enclitics (§ 350), but elsewhere too, as *gaṇāṇa majhe*=*gaṇāṇām madhye* (Kappas. § 61=Ovav. 48, p. 55,13=Nāyādh. § 35), is almost the prevalent one. In places, where in M., both the forms stand beside each other, as *kuḍilāṇa pēṇmāṇam*=*kuti-lāṇām preṇnām* (H. 10), *maāṇa onimillacchāṇām*=*mṛgāṇām avanīmilitākṣāṇām* (R. 9,87), *sajjanāṇām pamhusiadasāṇa*=*sajjanāṇām vismṛtadaśāṇām* (G. 971), as in the analogous cases in the nom. acc. neut.; insl. loc. plur., we should for *-āṇa* read *-āṇa* (§ 178), which is pointed to by the metre as well in the example from R. S. Mg., except in verses, have only the form

in *-ānam*. For Mg. Hc. 4,300 teaches also a gen. in *-ahā*. None of the MSS. writes this at the places referred to by him in Sak. (§ 178); the Lalitav too, which mostly agrees with Hc.'s rules, has the gen. in *-ānam* only (565,14; 566,3.10.11). Against this *-ahā* and shortened *-ahā*, are the most usual endings in A., which go back to the pronominal ending *-sām*: *ṇivatāhā=nivṛtānām*; *sōkkhah=saukhyānām*; *tanahā=tṛṇānām*; *mukkāhā=muktānām*; *mattahā maagalāhā=mattānām madakalānām*; *saūnāhā=śakunānām* (Hc.4.332.339.370.406.445,4); *varkakadakkhālā loanahā=vakrakatāksayor locanayoh* (Vetālap. p. 217, Nr. 13); *mahabbhadahā=mahābhātānām* (Kk. 261,5). According to C. 1,5, elsewhere the ending *-ham* too is found beside *-nam*: *devāham* beside *devānam*; *tāham* beside *tānam*. Hc. 4,301, who separates them from M., too has the rest of the examples in C. from *-ā*, *-n* and in the pronominal declension.

§ 371. In the loc., in M. AMg. JM. the principal form is in *-esu* = *-esu*, beside which sometimes *-esum* is found, as M. *sacandanesuṁ āroviaro-nesu* [read °sū; § 370] = *sacandaneṣu āropitarocaneṣu* (G. 211); *vaneṣu* (H.77); in AMg., oftener in Nāyādh. § 61-63, beside the more frequent forms in *-su*, notwithstanding the MSS. and the ed. Calc. p.106 ff. always being in accord, we should throughout read °*su*. In S. the texts partly have *-su* (Lalitav. 555,11.12; Mṛcch. 9,2; 24,25; 25,1; 37,23; 70,3; 71,17; 97,22; 100,2; etc.; Mālav. 19,12; 30,6; 611,19.20; 67,10; 75,1; Vikr. 35,6; 75,3.6), and partly *-sum* (Vikr. 23,13; 52,1. 5. 7); Sak. 9,12; 30,2; 50,11; 51,5; 53,9; 60,8; 64,2; 72,12 etc. in the Bengali recension, whilst the Kashmirian, Dravidian and Devanāgarī recensions have *-su*). The Indian editions mostly have *-su*. In Mg. stands *pāeṣu* (Mṛcch. 19,6), but *pādeṣu* (121,20. 22), beside *calaneṣu* (121,24) and *keṣeṣu* (122,22) in verses, Venis. has *keṣeṣu* (35,19), Mudrār. has *kammeṣu=karmasu* (191,9), and Prab. has *puliṣeṣu* (62,7). According to the analogy of the ins. and the gen., which always end in *-m*, for prose *-sum*, Mg. *-sum* will be more correct. In A. the loc. and the ins. have become identical: *sāhī=śateṣu*; *maggahī=mārgesu*; *gaahī=gatesu*; *kesahī=keṣeṣu*; *annahī taruarahī=anyeṣu taruvareṣu* (Hc. 4,345. 347. 370,3. 422,9). In Hc. 4,423,4 for *gavakkhehim* we should read *gavakkhahī* and in 445,2 probably *dūṅgarahī*. The instrumental stands in the sense of the loc. too in AMg. *jaganissiehī bhūehīn tasānāmehī thāvarehim ca no tesim ārabhe dāṇḍam* (Uttar. 248). Cf. 376.

i. Cf. PISCHEL, De Kāl.dāsae Çākuntali recensionibus p. 31.

§ 372. The vocative is similar to the nominative. In AMg. *ajjo* and *ammayāo* are used as the voc. plur. too (§ 366b). For the voc. plur. of Mg. Ki. 5,94 (cf. LASSEN, Inst. p. 393) permits the ending *-hu* too, Mk. fol. 75 *-ho* with *a* of the stem lengthened before it: *bamhaṇāhu=brāhmaṇāh* (Ki. 5,97). This voc. occurs in *bhaṣṭālakāho*, as at Mṛcch. 165,1.5 instead of *bhaṣṭālakā ho* we should read. It is the usual one in A., where the ending mostly is *-ho*, without lengthening of *a* of the stem: *taruṇāhō=tarūṇāh*; *loahō=lokāh* (Hc. 4,346.350;2,365,1). In A. the ending *-hō* comes after all the stems: *taruṇihō=tarunyah* (Hc. 4, 346); *aggihō=agnayah*, *mahilāhō=mahilāh* (Ki. 5,20); *cadummuhāhō=caturmukhāh*, *harihō=harayah*, *taruhō=taravah* (Sr. fol. 60 ff.). LASSEN, Inst. p. 399 has already rightly noticed, that in Mg. *-āhu* (*-āho*) the Vedic. ending *-āsas* has continued. Since he wrongly referred the rule of Ki. on Mg. to the nom. plur., he separated, the A. form from that of Mg. at p. 463 and sought in *ho* the particle *ho*, as they had done till then also in Mṛcch. 165, 1. 5. In A. the endings of the *a*-stems have been extended to the rest of the vocalic stems too.—See § 105 on AMg. *ghīṁsu-*, *pāṇu-*, *pilāṅku-*, *manthu-milakkhu-*, the nouns that have become *u*-stems.

§ 373. The declension of *a*-stems in PG.VG.(§ 363) agrees most faithfully with that in S. The dat. sing., which is not usual in S., alone is deviating; in PG. however, it appears in two forms, of which that in *-āye* is put in the dative in AMg. JM. (§ 361. 364), and the abl. sing., which ends in *-ā* here, but in S., almost always ends in *-ādo* (§ 365).

b) FEMININE IN *-ā*.

§ 374. *mālā* (garland).

Singular.

Nom.	<i>mālā</i> .
Acc.	<i>mālāni</i> .
Ins.	M. <i>mālāe</i> , <i>mālāi</i> , <i>mālāa</i> , the other dialects only <i>mālāe</i> , A. <i>mālāe</i> .
Dat.	<i>mālāe</i> ; only in AMg.
Abl.	M. AMg. JM. <i>mālāo</i> , <i>mālāu</i> , [<i>mālāhimto</i> , <i>mālāi</i> , <i>mālāa</i> , <i>mālatto</i>]; S. Mg. <i>mālādo</i> and <i>mālāe</i> ; A. <i>mālahē</i> .
Gen.	Loc. M. <i>mālāe</i> , <i>mālāi</i> , <i>mālāa</i> , the rest of the dialects only <i>mālāe</i> ; A.: gen. <i>mālahē</i> , loc. [<i>mālahē</i>].
Voc.	<i>māle</i> , <i>mālā</i> .

Plural.

Nom. Acc. Voc.	M. AMg. JM, <i>mālāo</i> , <i>mālāu</i> , <i>mālā</i> ; S. Mg. <i>mālāo</i> , <i>mālā</i> .
Ins.	M. AMg. JM. <i>mālāhi</i> , <i>mālāhī</i> , <i>mālāhim</i> ; S. Mg. <i>mālāhim</i> .
Abl.	M. AMg. <i>mālāhimto</i> , [<i>mālāsuimto</i> , <i>mālāo</i> , <i>mālāu</i>]; A. <i>mālahu</i> .
Gen.	M. AMg. JM. <i>mālāna</i> , <i>mālāñā</i> , <i>mālānom</i> ; S. Mg. <i>mālānam</i> ; A.: [<i>mālahu</i>].
Loc.	M. AMg. JM. <i>mālāsu</i> , <i>mālāsū</i> , <i>mālāsum</i> , S. Mg. <i>mālāsu</i> , <i>mālāsum</i> . In PG. are found the nom. sing. <i>paṭṭikā</i> (7,48. 51), <i>kada tti=kṛte</i> (7,51), and the acc. sing. (or plur.) <i>pilā bādhā=pīdām bādhām</i> (or <i>pīdā bādhāh</i>) (6,40), as well as the acc. sing. <i>sīmām=sīmām</i> (6,28).

§ 375. On the declension of the *ā*-stems cf. Vr. 5,19-23; C. 1,3.9. 10; Hc. 3,27. 29. 30 4,34-8,352; Ki. 3,7. 23. 25. 27; Mk. fol. 43; Sr. fol. 14 f. On the shortening of *ā* in the nom. sing. in A. see § 100. So also Mg. *sevida=sevitā* (Mṛcch. 117,1). The instrumental, the genitive, the locative, and according to the grammarians partly the ablative too, have become identical. The common form is *mālāe*=Skt. *mālāyai*, that is to say—the usual form of the gen. abl. in the Yajurveda and the Brāhmaṇas and known in the Avestā too¹. Sometimes in verses the forms in *-āe* and *-āi* stand side by side, as *pucchiāi muddhāe = pṛṣṭāyā mugdhāyāh* (H. 15). On metrical grounds, in M. the form in *-āi*, which is to be brought in throughout, where in the texts *-āe* may have to be read, is prevalent. The v. l. mostly has the correct reading *-āi*, as G. 44.46.56.65.71.212.222.243. 290.453 474.684.870.931.954. Some grammarians (Hc. 3,29; Ki. 3,27; Sr. fol. 14) teach a form in *-āa* too, which is forbidden by others (Vr. 5, 23; Mk. fol. 43). Such forms are found in M. as v. l. So: *jōñhāa=jyot-snayā*; *nevacchakalāa=nepathyakalayā*; *helāa=helayā*; *hariddāa=haridrāyāh*; *cāngimāa=cāngimatvena* (Karp. ed. Bomb. 31,1;86,4;53,9;55,2;71,4;79,12). KONOW reads for them *jōñhāi*, *nevacchakalāi*, *helāi*, *haliddāi*, *cāngimāi* (29,1; 86,9; 51,2; 52,4; 69,3; 78,9). Some MSS. sometimes have *-āa*. Since G. H. R. does not otherwise know *-āa*, *tiadāa=trijatājāh* (R. 11,100) and *nisanñāa=nisanñāyāh* (R. 10,101) are not to be accepted as doctrin lectio, with S. GOLDSCHMIDT, but as false for **āi*, as C. has. This *-āa* goes back to the abl. gen. Skt. *-āyāh*, so that *jōñhāa=jyotsnayāh*, of which the strictly corresponding form **jōñhāā* is forbidden by Vr. 5,23; Hc. 3,30; Sr. fol. 14. In A. *-āe* has been shortened to *-āe*: *ṇiddāe=nirdayā*; *candimāe=candri-*

mayā; uddāvantiae = uddāpayantyā; mañjītīhae = mañjīṣṭhayā (Hc. 4,330,2.349. 352,438,2).—On the dative in -āe in AMg. see § 361. 364.—Of the forms of the abl. sing metioned by the grammarians I can find in the texts only those in -āo, S. Mg. -ādo more often : AMg. *paratthimāo vā disāo ḍgco ahaṁ aṁsi dāhiṇāo vā disāo.. paccatthimāo.. uttarāo.. uddhāo = *purastimāto vā diśa ḍgato 'ham asmi dukṣināto vā diśā... *pratyastimātah... uttarātah... ūrdhvātah* (Āyār. 1, 1, 1, 2); *jibbāo = jihvātah* (Āyār. p. 137, 1); *sīyāo = sīvikātah* (Nāyādh. 870.1097.1189.1354.1497); *chāyāo = chāyātah* (Sūyag. 639); *atṭanāsālāo = atṭanaśālātah* (Kappas. § 60; Ovav. § 48); *māyāo = māyātah* (Sūyag. 654; Ovav. § 123); *sūnāo = sūnātah* (Nirayāv. § 10); S. *bubhukkhādo = bubhukṣātah, dakkhinādo, vāmādo = dakṣinātah, vāmātah, padolikādo = pratolikātah* (Mṛcch. 2,33; 9,9;; 162,23; Mg. *lacchādo = rathyātah* (Mṛcch. 158,13). Ablative in -āe (C. 1,19; Hc. 3,29; Sr. fol. 14) occurs in S. and Mg.: S. *ināe maataṇhiāe = ayā mrgatṛṇikāyāh* (Vikr. 17,1), which with BOLLENSEN cannot be comprehended as instr.; Mg. *śeyyāe* (text *sējjāe*) = *śayyāyāh* (Cait. 149,19).—The form *mālatto* follows from Hc. 3,124, and is taught explicitly by Triv. 2,2,34. It is an analogical formation from the masc. and neut. (§ 365). In A. the abl. sing. has become identical with the genitive. The ending -hē corresponds to the pronominal ending -syāh, so that *tahē dhanahē* (Hc. 4,350) strictly is = *tasyā *dhangasyāh = tasyā dhanyāyāh*. Hc. 4,350 comprehends *bālāhē*, so that *visamatthaṇa* is to be taken as bahuvrihi, is = “before the young woman with odd breasts”. Genitive are : *tucchamajjhahē, jampirahē, tucchaarahāsahē, alahantiahē, vammahānivāsahē, muddhaḍahē = tucchamadhyāyāh, jalpanaśilāyāh, tucchatarahāsāyāh, alabhamānāyāh, manmathanivāsāyāh, mugdhāyāh* (Hc. 4,350); *tisahē = tṛṣyāyāh; muṇāliahē = mṛṇālikāyāh* (Hc. 4,395,7. 444).—Examples for the loc. are: M. *dukkhuttarāi paavie = duhkhottarāyām padavyām; gāmaracchāe = grāmarathyāyām* (H. 107. 419); AMg. *suhammās sabhāe = sudharmāyām sabhāyām* (Kappas. § 14 and often); AMg. JM *campāe = campāyām* (Ovav. § 2.11; Erz. 34,25); JM. *sayalāe nayarie = sakalāyām nagaryām* (Dvār. 497,21); *ikkikkāe mehalāe = ekaikasyām mekhalāyām* (T. 5,11); S. *susamiddhāe = susamiddhāyām; edāe padosavelāe = etasyām pradośavelāyām; rukkhavāḍiāe = rukṣavāḍikāyām* (Mṛcch. 4,20; 9,10; 73,6.7); Mg. *andhaḍlapūlīdāe nāśiāe = andhakāra-pūritāyām nāśikāyām; padoliāe = pratolikāyām; śuvarṇacoliāe = suvarṇacorikāyām* (Mṛcch. 14, 22; 163, 16; 165, 2). AMg. *giriguhamsi* for *giriguḥāe = giriguḥāyām* (Āyār. 1, 7, 2, 1) has been determined through the loc. in -hīsi of the mascul. and neut. standing beside it. Cf. similar cases in § 355. 358. 364. 367. 379. 386. As a rule the vocative, as in Skt., ends in -e, a form that is known to Vr. 5, 28 alone; whilst Hc. 3, 41; Mk. fol. 44; Sr. fol. 14 permit even the nom. form in -ā as the vocative. Such vocatives in -ā are : M. *attā* (mother-in-law; Mk. fol. 44; H. 8, 469. 543. 553. 653. 676. 811); M. AMg. *piuccchā = piṭṛṣvasah* (Hc.; Mk.; H.; Nāyādh. 1299. 1348), M. *māuā = mātke* (H.); *māučchā = mātṛṣvasah* (Hc.; Mk.; H.); AMg. *jāyā* (Uttar. 442), *putiā = putri* (Nāyādh. 633 f. 648 f. 655. 658), and the frequent M. S. *halā* (Hc. 2, 195; H.), in S., mostly joined with the vocative in -e of a proper noun, as *halā saūntale* (Śak. 9, 10); *halā aruśue* (Śak. 10, 12); *halā nomālie* (Lalitav. 560, 9; text no°); *halā cittalehe* (Vikr. 9, 3); *halā maanie* (Ratn. 293, 29); *halā niunie* (Ratn. 297, 28) etc., or with proper nouns ending in an other vowel, as *halā uvvasi* (Vikr. 7, 17), or with adjectives, used substantively, as *halā apāndide* (Priyad. 22, 7), in M. S. used as plur. too (H. 893. 901; Śak. 16, 10; 58, 9; Vikr. 6, 13; 7, 1; 11, 1; Karp. 108, 5). JM. has *hale* too (Hc. 2, 195; Erz.), which Ki. 5, 19 mentions as A., and which is found in A.

as *hali* (Hc. 4,332,2; 358,1). S. *amba* (mother; Šak. ed. BURKHARD 201, 19; 202,20; Ratn. 315,26; 327,6; Mahāv. 56,3; Mālatīm. 179,6; 225,4; Nāgān. 84,15; Anarshar. 310,1 etc. is by origin a particle according to LANMAN³ and BECHTEL⁴. In A. the final -e is shortened, as *sahie* = **sakhike*; *ummiē*; *bahiṇe* = *bhaginike* (Hc. 4,358,1.367,1.396,2 422,14), or it becomes -i, as in *hali*, mentioned above, and in *ammi*, *muddhi* = *mugdhe* (Hc. 4,395,5. 376,1). On AMg. JM. *ammo* see § 366^b.

1. PISCHEL, BB. 6,281, note 3.—2. With LASSEN, Inst. p. 462, we may also consider it to be a case of transfer from the *a*-stems. — 3. Noun-Inflection p. 360. — 4. Hauptprobleme p. 265 f.

§ 376. In all the dialects the usual form in the nom. acc. plur. is that in -o (§ 367); nom. M. *mahilāo* = *mahilāh* (H. 397); AMg. JM. *devayāo*, S. *devadāo* = *devatāh* (Thāñ. 76; Erz. 29,3; Šak. 71,8); acc. AMg. *kalāo* = *kalāh* (Uttar. 642; Nāyādh. § 119; Oav. § 107; Kappas. § 211); JM *caūvihāo vaggañāo* = *caturividhā vargañāh* (Āv. 7,4); S. *pađivīāo* = *pradipikāh* (Mṛcch. 25,18); A. *savvaṅgāo* = *sarvāṅgāh* (Hc. 4,348). In verses -o interchanges with -u, that is widely prevalent there: M. nom. *dhaññāu tāu* = *dhanjās tāh* (H. 147) against S. *dhaññāo kkhu tāo kannāo* [so to be read] *jāo* (Mālatīm. 80,1); AMg. *thiyāu* = *strikāh* (Sūyag. 225); acc. A. *apurattāu bhattāu* = *anuraktā bhaktāh* (Hc. 4,422,10). Sometimes both the forms stand beside one another on account of metre, as M. *dāraggalāu jāāo* = *dvārārgalā jātāh* (H. 322); *raivirāmalajjiāo apattanāiñsanāu* = *rativirāmalajjiātā abrāptanivasanāh* (H. 459); *padigaāu disāo* = *pratigatā diśāh* (R. 1,19). More seldom is the nom. acc. in -ā: M. *rehā* = *rehāh* (G. 22; H. 206), beside *rehāu* (Hc. 474) and *rehāo* (G. 509. 682); *sariā sarantapavahā...vūdhā* = *saritah saratpravāhā...ūdhāh* (R. 6,50); *mehalā* = *mehalāh* (Mṛcch. 41, 2); AMg. *dōjjhā* = *dohyāh*; *dammā* = *damyāh*; *rahajo ggā* = *rathayogyāh* (Āyār. 2,4,2,9); *pakkā* = *pakvāh*; *rūdhā* = *rūdhāh* (Āyār. 2,4,2,15. 16); *bhijjā* = *bhāryāh* (Uttar. 660; *nāvāhi tārimāo tti pānipejjā tti no vae* = *nau-bhis *tārimā iti pānipeyā iti no vudet* (Dasav. 629,1)); S. *pūjjantā devadā* = *pūjjamānā devatāh*; *gañiā* = *gañikāh* (Mṛcch. 9,1. 10); *agahidathā* = *agrhitār-thāh* (Šak. 120,11); *adiṭṭhasujjapāā...nāgakannā via* = *adṛṣṭasuryapādāh...nāgakanyā iva* (Mālav. 51,21; cf., however, the v. l.). Mk. fol. 69 permits in S. -āo only, and so we should probably correct throughout. In Mṛcch. 25,20 stand beside one another: *tāo...pađivīāo avamāñidaniddhanakāmuā via gañiā nissinehāo dāñim samvuttā* = *tāh...pradipikā avamāñitanirdhanakāmuā iva gañikā nīsnehā idāñim samvuttiāh*. STENZLER has, with AB, already corrected *sañvuttā* as *sañvuttiāo*; DH, in GODABOLE p. 72, have *gañiāo* for *gañiā*, so that *kāmuāo* is to be read. Sometimes in AMg. too, both the forms stand side by side: *indabhūpamo kkhāo* cō *dasasamañasāhassio ukkosiyā samanya-sampajā* = *indrabhūtipramukhyāś caturdaśaśramanasāhasrya *ukkositāh śramana-sampadah* (Kappas. § 134; cf. 135 ff.). Cf. Āyār. 2,4,2,9. 15. 16. — For the endings of the ins. gen. loc. § 178. 350 hold good; cf. § 368. 370. 371 too.—In Mg. the ins. is in -elīm instead of that in -ālīm in *ambikamādukehim* = *ambikāmātykābhīh* (Mṛcch. 122,5) is not to be objected to in the mouth of the Sakāra, since the writer has openly and intentionally changed the gender. Against this R. 7,62 does not have the ins. *accharehim* = *apsarobhīh* from the stem *accharā* (§ 410)¹, which was formerly read also at Vikr. 40,11², but the first *samaccharehim* is to be analysed as *sama* + *charehim* = *sama* + *psarobhīh* (§ 328)³. — As in the masc. and neutr. (§ 369), in the fem. too, the form in -him is used for the abl., what is forbidden by Hc. 3,127: M. *dhārāhim* = *dhārābhīh* (H. 170) and for the loc. (§ 371): M. *mehalāhi* (Karp. 16,1), in the sense of *mehalāsu*, as Kāvyaprakāśa 74,1

has in the v. l., = *mekhalāsu*; AMg. *hatthuttarāhim* = *hastottarāsu* (Āyār. 2, 15, 1. 2. 5. 6. 17 22. 25; Kappas.); *gimhāhi* (Sūyag. 166), in the sense of *gimhāsu* (Vivāhap. 465) = **grīsmāsu* (§ 358); *anantāhim* *osappinīussappinī-him* *viikkantāhim* = *anantāsu avasarpinyutsarpanīsu vyatikrāntāsu* (Kappas. § 19); *visāhāhim* = *viśākhāsu* (Kappa. § 149); *cittāhim* = *citrāsu* (Thān. 363; Kappas. § 171. 174); *uttarāsādhāhim*, *āsādhāhim* (Kappas. § 205. 211); *chinnañi sāhāhi* = *chinnañsu śākhāsu* (Uttar. 439; text °*him*)⁴. — Abl. in -*himto* are AMg. *antosālāhimto* = *antaśālābhyaḥ* (Uvās. § 195); *itthiyāhimto* = *stri-kābhyaḥ* (Jiv. 263. 265). In the A. the abl. has the ending -*hu* = -*bhyaḥ*: *vajāṁsiahu* = *vayasyābhyaḥ* (Hc. 4, 351). According to Hc. the same form is used for the gen. plur. too. Cf. § 381. In the loc. the form in -*su* prevails here too (cf. § 371). In S. Śak. 29, 4, there stands *viralapādavac-chāsuṁ vaṇarāsiṁ* = *viralapādapacchāyāsu vanarājīṣu* in the Bengal recension, and -*āsu*, -*īsu* in the rest. — In the oc. the form in -*o* is prevalent: S. *devadāo* (Bālar. 168, 7; Anarghar. 300, 1); *dāriāo=dārikāḥ* (Vikr. 45, 6); *avaloidā buddharakkhidaō=avalokitābuddharakṣite* (Mālatīm. 284, 11). On *halā* see § 375. — On *ajū=āryā* see § 105.

1. The question, raised by S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. p. 247, note 8, whether we should recognize a neuter *acchara* is naturally to be answered in the negative.— 2. BOLLENSEN on Vikr. p. 326; cf. HOEFER, De Pr. dialecto p. 150 f.; LASSEN, Inst. p. 3¹6f. and § 410. — 3. PISCHEL, ZDMG. 52, 93 ff.— 4. That here we have a case of the instr. is proved by instances like *hathuttardāhim nakkhatteṇam jogavaṇenām* (Āyār. 2, 15, 6, 17; cf. Kappas. § 2). Cf. Kappas. § 157. 174. 211, and SPEYER, Vedische und Sanskrit Syntax (Strassburg 1896; Grundriss I, 6) § 42.

2) STEMS IN -i, ī AND -u, ū.

a) MASCULINE AND NEUTER.

§ 377. Masculine *aggi=agni*.

Singular.

N. *aggi* [*aggim*].

Acc. *aggiṁ*.

I. *aggiñā*, A. also *aggiṇa*, *aggiṁ*.

Abl. M. AMg. JM. *aggiō*, *aggiū*, *aggiṇo*, *aggihīmto*, [*aggihi*, *aggitto*]; JS. [S. Mg.] *aggiido*; A. *aggihe*.

G. M. AMg. JM. *aggiṇo*, *aggiṣsa*, [*aggiō*]; S. Mg. *aggiṇo*; A. [*aggihe*].

L. *aggiimmi*, AMg. mostly *aggiṁsi*, AMg. JM. *aggiimmi* too; A. *aggihi*.
V. *aggi*, *aggi*.

Plural.

N. M. AMg. JM. *aggiṇo*, *aggi*, *aggiō*, *aggao*, *aggau*; S. *aggiō*, *aggiṇo*.

Acc. M. AMg. JM. *aggiṇo*, *aggi*, *aggao*.

I. M. AMg. JM. *aggihi*, *aggihī*, *aggihīm*; S. Mg. *aggihīm*.

Abl. M. AMg. JM. *aggihīmto*, [*aggiṣumto*, *aggitto*, *aggiō*]; A. *aggihū*.

G. M. AMg. JM. *aggiṇa*, *aggiṇa*, *aggiṇam*; S. Mg. *aggiṇam*; A. *aggihā*, *aggihū*.

L. M. AMg. JM. *aggiṣu*, *aggiṣū*, *aggiṣum*; S. Mg. *aggiṣu*, *aggiṣum*; A. *aggihī*.

V. M. AMg. JM. *aggiṇo*, *aggi*; A. *aggihō*.

Likewise go the neuter, as *dahi=dadhi*; only nom. acc. sing. M. AMg. JM. *dahīm*, *dahī*, *dahi*, S. Mg. *dahīm*, *dahi*; V. *dahi*; nom. acc. voc. plur. *dahīm*, *dahī* (not S. Mg.), *dahīni* (not S. Mg.), *dahī* (not S. Mg.). — In PG. is found the acc. sing. neutr. *udakādīm* 6, 29, the gen. sing. masc. *sattissa* = *sakteh* 6, 17, *bhaṭisa* = *bhaṭṭeh* 6, 19, and the acc. plur. masc. *vasudhādhīpataye* = *vasudhādhīpatīn* 7, 44 (cf. LEUMANN, EI. 2, 484).

§ 378. Masc. *vāu*=*vāyu*.

Singular.

N. *vāu* [*vāum*].Acc. *vāum*.I. *vāuñā*; A. *vāuña*, *vāum* too.Abl. M. AMg. JM. *vāuo*, *vāuu* [*vāuño*, *vāuhimto*, *vāutto*]; A. *vāuhē*.G. M. AMg. JM. *vāuño*, *vāussa* [*vāuo*]; Š. Mg. *vāuño*, Mg. in verses *vāusśā* too; [A. *vāuhē*].L. *vāummi*, AMg. *vāumsi* too, AMg. JM. *vāummi*.V. *vāu*, *vāu*.

Plural.

N. M. AMg. JM. *vāuño*, *vāū*, *vāuo*, *vāavo*, *vāao*, *vāaü*; Š. *vāuño*, *vāao*.Acc. M. AMg. JM. *vāuño*, *vāū*, AMg. also *vāavo*.I. M. AMg. JM. *vāuhī*, °*hi*, °*him*; Š Mg. *vāuhim*.Abl. [*vāuhimto*, *vāusmto*, *vāutlo*, *vāuo*]; AMg. *vāuhim* also, A. *vāuhū*.G. M. AMg. JM. *vāuña*, °*ñū*, °*nam*; Š. Mg. *vāuñam*, A. *vāuhā*; *vāuhū*.L. M. AMg. JM. *vāusu*, °*sz*, °*sum*; Š. Mg. *vāusu*, *vāusum*; A. *vāuhī*.V. A Mg. *vāavo*; A. *vāuhō*.

Likewise go the n-uer, as *mahu*=*madhu*; only nom. acc. sing. *mahuṁ*, *mahu*, *mahu*; Š. Mg. *mahuṁ*, *mahu*; voc. *mahu*; nom. acc. voc. plur. *mahuṁ* (not Š. Mg.), *mahuñi* (not Š. Mg.), *mahu* (not Š. Mg.).—In PG. an u-stem is not found.

§ 379. On the declension of *i*- and *u*- stems see Vr. 5,14-18. 25-27. 30; C. 1,3. 11-14; Hc. 3,16—26; 4,340 341. 343—347; Ki. 3,8. 11,13. 15. 17—22. 24. 28. 29. 5,20. 25—27. 33—35. 37; Mk. fol 42—44; Sr. fol. 9—12. In the nom. sing., according to Hc. 3,19, some taught beside the lengthening also the equivalent (§ 74) nasalization : *aggim*, *nihim*, *vāum*, *viuhim*. Triv. and Sr. do not mention this form; at Pāñhāv. 448 *susāhūm*, as the nom., is edited, probably falsely for *susāhū*, since beside it stand *suisī*, *sumuñi*=*suryih*, *sumunih*. On the nom. in -*i*, -*u* see § 72. From *sakhi* the nom. sing. is JM. *sahī* (Ki. 14). In the neuter prevalent is the denasalized form in the nom. and the nasalized form and in the acc.; yet AMg. *taü*=*trapu* (Süyag. 282) is made certain on account of the metre; probably it is to be read *taü*. In the nom. stands AMg. Š. *dahim* (Thān. 230; Mṛcch. 3,12 [to be read so for *dahim*]), but AMg. *dahi* (Thān. 514); AMg. Š. *vatthu*=*vastu* (Uttar. 172; Lalitav. 51, 12); Š. *naaṇamahu*=*nayanamadhu* (Mālav. 22,3). On AMg. *aṭhi*, *dahī* see § 358. Acc. are AMg. Š. *acchim* (Āyār. 1,1,2,5; Šak. 31,13); *aṭhim*=*asthi* (Süyag. 594); AMg. *dahim* (Āyār. 2,1,4,5; Ovav. § 73; Kappas. S. § 17); AMg. Š. *mahuṁ* (Āyār. 2,1, 4, 5, 8, 8; Ovav. § 73; Kappas. S. § 17; Šak. 81,8); JŠ. *vatthum* (Kattig. 400. 335). The very frequent Skt. *svasti* always becomes *sōt̄hi* in Š. (e. g. Mṛcch. 6,23; 25,4; 54,11. 19; Vikr. 15,16; 29,1; 44,5; Ratn. 296, 32; 319,17 etc.), and therefore, it is to be considered as a nom. in the same manner as *sāhu* (e. g. Mṛcch. 28,24; 37,16; 41,19; Vikr. 26,6; Ratn. 300, 13; 309,1) and *sut̄hu* (e. g. Mṛcch. 27,21; 28,24; 29,14; 41,18; Prab. 18,3), Mg. *sāhu* (Venīs. 34,3. 23; 35,14; Mṛcch. 38,7; 112,9; 161,15 etc.). Against this we should read *lahum*, with edd. Calcc. and GODABOLE for *lahu* (Mṛcch. 75,8; Vikr. 28,10) as stands at Šak. 39,3; 76,1; Mṛcch. 21,13; 59,8; 107,11; 112,11; 116,5; 166,16; 169,24; Ratn. 300,5; 302,25; 303,20; 312,8; 320,32 etc.; in the verse *lahu* is correct (Mṛcch. 99,24; Venīs. 33, 13).—With regard to the ins. it is to be noted that M. *pāinā*=*patyā*, forms as one says M. *gahavañā* (H. 172), AMg. *gāhāvañā* (Uvās. § 6)=*grhapatinā*, Mg. *bahiñipadiñā*=*bhaginipatinā* (Mṛcch. 113,19). From *aksi* the ins. is M. *acchinā*=*akṣṇā* (G. 32); from *dadhi* is found Š. *sadahinā*=

sadadhna (Mṛcch. 69,3). For the expected *aṭṭhiṇā* = *asthnā*, *muṭṭhiṇā* = *muṣṭinā*, *lalunā* = *lesunā* AMg. has *aṭṭhiṇa*, *muṭṭhiṇa*, *leṭūṇa*, with shortening of ā before the enclitic vā and lengthening of the final vowel of the stem according to the analogy of the preceding and following ins in -ena in the combination *dandēṇa vā aṭṭhiṇa vā muṭṭhiṇa vā leṭūṇa vā kavāleṇa vā* (Āyār. 2,1,3,4; Sūyag. 647. 692. 863). On similar cases of assimilation see § 355. 358. 364. 367. 375. 386, on the instr. *aggīṇa*, *aggīm*, *vāūm* in A. see § 146.—For the abl. the following have been found in the texts: M. *uahiu* = *udadheh* (G. 56. 470); AMg. *kucchio* = *kukṣeh* (Kappas. § 21.32); *dahio* = *dadhna* (Sūyag. 594; text ^ohi^o); JŚ. *himsādo* = *himsādēh* (Pav. 386, 4; text ^oti^o); JM. *kammagino* = *karmāgnēh* (Āv. 19,16); AMg. *ikkhūo* = *ikṣoh* (Sūyag. 594; text ^oto^o); JM. *sūrihinto* (Kk. II, 509,4); A. *girihe* (Hc. 4,341,1).—In the gen. in M. JM. AMg. the forms *aggīṇo*, therefore, the form of the neut. transported into Skt., but evidently from the n-stems, which often become identical with the i-stems (§ 405), and *aggissa*, therefore, the form built on the analogy of the a-stem, are current side by side; likewise in the case of the u-stems, in JŚ. too: M. *girīṇo* (G.141) and M. AMg. *girissa* (G. 510; Sūyag. 312); M. *uahīṇo* (R. 5,10) and *uahissa* (R. 4,43,60) = *udadheh*; M. *ravīṇo* (G. 50. 272; H. 284) and *ravissa*, *rävissa* (R. 4,30; Karp. 25,13) = *raveh*; M. *paino* (H. 54. 55. 297) and *päissa* (H. 38. 200) = *patyuh*; M. *pasuvaiṇo* = *paśupateh* (H. 1), *paāvaiṇo* = *prajāpateh* (H. 969), *bhuāmgavaīṇo* = *bhujaṁgabateh* (G. 155); *naravaīṇo* = *narabateh* (G. 413), but AMg. JM. *gāhāvāissa* = *grhāpateh* (Sūyag. 846; Vivāhap 435 f.; 1207 ff.; Uvās. § 4. 6. 8. 11; Kappas. § 120; Āv. 7, 7); AMg. *muṇissa* = *muneh* (Āyār. 2,16,5; Sūyag. 132); *isissa* = *ṛseh* (Uttar. 363; Nirāyāv. 51); *rāyarisissa* = *rājarseh* (Vivāhap. 915 f.; Nāyādh. 600. 605. 611. 613); *sārahissa* = *sāratheh* (Uttar. 668); *andhagavaṇhissa* (Antag. 3) and *andhagavaṇhīṇo* (Uttar. 678 = Dasav. 613,33) = *andhrikavṛṣṇeḥ*; *aggissa* (Vivāhap. 909; Dasav. N. 654,6; Nirāyāv. 50); JM. *pañcālāhīvaīṇo* *pañcālādhipateh* (Erz. 8,8); *hariṇo* = *hareh* (Āv. 36,30; 37,49); *nābhissa* = *nābhēh* (Āv. 48,13,33).—M. *pahuno* (G. 847.1006.1065) and *pahussa* (H. 243) = *prabhōh*; AMg. *bhikkhuṇo* (Āyār. 1,5,4,1; 2,16,8; Sūyag. 133. 144; Uttar. 284) and more frequently AMg. JŚ. *bhikkhussa* (Āyār. 1,7,5,1 ff.; Pav. 387,19); AMg. *usussa* = *isoh* (Vivāhap. 1388); *maccussa* = *mṛtyoh* (Panhāv. 401); *sāhussa* = *sādhoh* (Uttar. 418. 571); *vattussa* = *vastunoh* (Panhāv. 398); JM. *bandhussa* = *bandhoh* (Sagara 8,5); M. *viṇhuno* = *viṣṇoh* (G. 16); *candāṁśuṇo* = *candāṁśoh* (Karp. 35,7); *ambuno* = *ambunah* (G. 1196). In S. Mg. the form in -ssa is not used in prose: S. *rāesiṇo* = *rājarseh* (Sak. 21,4;50,1;130,1; Vikr. 7,2; 22,16; 23,14;36,8;80,4; Uttarar. 106,10; 113,1; Pras. 46,9; Anarghar. 111,13), *vihīṇo* = *vidheh* (Vikr. 52,18; Mālatīm. 361,10); *sahassarassino* = *sahasraraśmeh* (Prab. 14,17; Venīs. 25,6); *paāvadino* = *prajāpateh* (Ratn. 306,2; Mālatīm. 65,6); *udarambhariṇo* = *udarambhareh* (Jivān. 43, 15); *dāsarahiṇo* = *dāśarathēh* (Mahāv. 52, 18; Anarghar. 157,10); *guruṇo* = *guroh* (Sak. 22,13;158,3; Vikr. 83,1; Anarghar. 267,12); *muhamahuno* = *mukhamadhoḥ* (Sak. 108, 1); *adhammabhiruno* = *adharmaṁbhīroḥ* (Sak. 129,10); *vikramabāhūṇo* = *vikramabāhoh* (Ratn. 322,33); *satiṇo* = *śatreh* (Venīs. 62, 3; 95, 15; Jivān. 19,9); *pahuno* = *prabhōh* (Prab. 18,1; Jivān. 9,1); *induṇo* = *indoh* (Jivān. 19,10); *mahuṇo* = *madhunah* (Hāsy. 43,23); Mg. *lāesiṇo* = *rājarseh* (Venīs. 34,1); *sattuṇo* = *śatreh* (Sak. 118,2). In the verse stands Mg. *viśvāvaśuṣsa* = *viśvāvasoh* (Mṛcch. 11,9). The gen. M. *dahino* (Karp. 15,1) is from *dadhi*. On PG. see § 377.—In A. for the gen. we have to assume the same ending, as in the case of the a-stems, as for the abl., therefore *girihe*, *taruhe*. In the loc.

in M. JM. JŚ. the ending is *-mmi*, in JM. *-mī* too : M. *pāimmi* = *patyau* (H. 324. 849); *jalahimmi* = *jaladhau*; *girimmi* = *girau*; *asimmi* = *asau* (G. 146. 153. 222); *uahimmi* = *udadhau*; *jalanihimmi* = *jalanidhau* (R. 2,39; 7,2. 7. 12; 5,1); JM. *girimmi* = *girau* (KI. 17); *vihimmi* = *vidhau*, *ujahimmi* = *udadhau* (Sagara 7,1; 9,3). In AMg. the common form is that in *-mī* : *kucchimī* = *kuksau* (Āyār. 2,15,2 ff.; Vivāhap. 1274; Kappas. S. 29); *rāsimī* = *pānau* (Āyār. 2,1,11,5; 2,7,1,5; Vivāhap. 1271; Kappas. S. 29); *rāsimī* = *rāsau* (Āyār. 2,1,1,2). Beside them are found in AMg. *tāmī* *rāyarisimī* *nāmimī* *abhinikkhamantamī* = *tasmin rājarṣau namāv abhiniskramati* (Uttar. 279); *accimī*, *accimālinī* (Vivāhap. 417); *agīmī* (Dasav. 620,24); more often *sahassarastimī* (366a). Likewise with the *u*-stems : M. *pahu-mī* = *prabhau* (G. 210); *seummi* = *setau* (R. 8,93); JM. *merūmī* = (T. 5,3); JŚ. *sāhumī* = *sādhau* (Kattig. 399.315; MS. °ha°); AMg. *lelūmī* = *leṣṭau* (Āyār. 2,5,1,21); *bāhūmī*, *ūrūmī* = *bāhau*, *ūrau* (Dasav. 617,12); *wūmī* = *rtau* (Thān. 527; text *udu*). Corresponding to *rāo* = *rātrau* (§ 386) AMg. has also *ghīmī* for **ghīmso* = *ghramīse* (§ 105; Sūyag. 249; Uttar. 58. 109) in the verse. Mg. has in the verse *kedummi* = *ketau* (Mudrār. 176, 4). Ś. has *rāthūni* = *rastuni* (Bā'ar. 122, 11; Dhūrtas. 9,10). According to Mk. fol. 69 in Ś. the correct forms are *aggimī* and *vāumī*. — In A. the ending of loc. is *-hī* = *-śmin*: *kalihī* = *kalau*; *akkhihī* = *akṣṇī*; *sāndhihī* = *sāndhau* (Hc. 4, 341, 3. 357, 2. 430, 3), *āihī* = *ādau* (Pingala 1, 85. 142). For the *u*-stems the examples are wanting; Hc. 4, 341 teaches *-hi* for *i*- and *u*-stems. — In the voc. beside short vowel is found the long vowel too (§ 71); M. *gahavāi* (H. 297), but AMg. *gāhāvāi* (Āyār. 1,7,2,2. 3,3. 5,2; 2,3,3,16) = *grāpate*; AMg. *muṇī* = *mune* (Āyār. 1,6,1,4; Uttar. 713. 714. 719); AMg. JM. *māhāmūnī* (Sūyag. 419; Kk. II, 505,25); AMg. *māharisī* = *māharse* (Sūyag. 182); AMg. *subuddhī* = *subuddhe* (Nāyādh. 997. 998. 1003); AMg. *jambū* = *jambo* (Uvās.; Nāyādh. and often). Vr. 5,27 forbids the long, and so mostly even the short vowel only is found: M. *khaviasavvari* = *kṣapitaśavarīka*, *dīnavāi* *dīnapate* (H. 655); M. *pāvāngavāi* = *plāvāṅgāpate* (R. 8,19); JM. *pāvavīhi* = *pāpāvīdhe* (Sagara 7,15); JM. *suravaī* = *surapate* (Kk. 276,19); AMg. *muṇī* (Sūyag. 259); AMg. *bhikkhu* = *bhikṣo* (Sūyag. 245. 301); M. JM. *pāhu* = *prabho* (G. 717. 719. 736; R. 15, 90; Kk. 269, 35); Ś. *rāesi* = *rājarse* (Uttarar. 125,8). Ś. *jadāo* = *jatyo* (Uttarar. 70,5) is probably a false reading.

§ 380. In the nom. plur. the forms *aggiṇo* and *aggi*, *vāṇo* and *vāū* go side by side in M. JM. AMg. : M. *kaiṇo* = *kavayah* (G. 12) and *kai* = *kapayah* (R. 6,59. 83); *giriṇo* (G. 114) and *giri* (G. 450; R. 6,34.60) = *girayah*; *riuṇo* (G. 1195) and *riū* (G. 245. 721) = *ripavah*; *pahuṇo* (G. 858. 861. 873. 880. 894) and *pahu* (G. 868) = *prabhavah*; AMg. *amuṇī* beside *munino* = *munayah*, *munayah* (Āyār. 1,3,1,1); *gīyaraṇo* = *gitaratayah* beside *gīyanaccaṇarai* = *gītanṛiyaratayah* (Ovav. § 35); *nānārū* = *nānāucayaḥ* (Sūyag. 781); *isīno* = *īsayah* beside *muṇī* = *munayah* (Uttar. 367); *hāya-m-āī gona-m-āī gaja-m-āī sīha-m-āīṇo* (§ 353; Uttar. 1075); *vinnū* = *vijñāh* (§ 105; Āyār. 1,4,3,1); *gurū* = *guravah* (Āyār. 1,5,1,1); *pasū* = *paśavah* (Āyār. 2,3,3,3); *cpasū* (Sūyag. 601); *ūū* = *ītarah* (Samav. 97; Vivāhap. 798; Anuog. 432); *dhāṇo* = *dhātarah* (Sūyag. 37); JM. *sūrino* = *sūreyah* (Kk. 264.41; 267.41; 270.6. 36. 42 etc.); *sāhūṇo* = *sādhavah* (Āv. 9,22; 26, 36; 27,7; 46,3,9; Kk. 274,36) and *sāhū* (T. 4,20); *guruṇo* = *guravah* (Kk. 271,6; 274,28. 36). In AMg. prevalant is the form in *-i*, *-ū*, which is found perhaps exclusively in certain words, such as *ūrū* (Uvās. § 94; Sūyag. 639 and often; also in M. G. 489), provided that one could see in them something like the old dual, likewise, a few cases as in AMg. *pāṇī* (Kappas. S. § 43), AMg. *indaggi* = *indrāgnī* (Thān. 82), AMg. *do-*

vāū = dvau vāyū (Thāñ. 82), M. *bāhū = bāhū* (G. 428). The forms other than those quoted are found just sporadically in the three dialects. Thus: AMg. *nāyao = jñātayah* (Sūyag. 174. 179. 628. 635), *anāyao* (Sūyag. 628); AMg. *rāgaddosādayo = rāgadvesādayah* (Uttar. 707); JM. *bhavadattādayo* (Erz. 17,28); AMg. *risao = ṛsayah* (Ovav. § 56, p. 61,29); JM. *maharisao* (Erz. 3,14); AMg. *°ppabhiyao = °prabhītayah* (Ovav. § 38, p. 49,32; 73 so to be read; cf. v. l.); AMg. *jantavo* (verse! Äyār. 1,6,1,4; Uttar. 712. 798. 799; Sūyag. 105) beside *jantuṇo* (Äyār. 2,16,1); AMg. *sāhavo = sādhavaḥ* (Uttar. 208). From *bahu* (many) the nom. in AMg. is always formed as *bahave* (§ 345; Äyār. 1,8,3,3. 5. 10; 2,1,4,1,2; 2,5,2,7; 2,15,8; Sūyag. 852. 916; Uttar. 158,169; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kappas. etc.). So also in JM. (Erz. 17,28), probably falsely for *bahovo* (Erz. 38,24) or *bahū* (Erz. 38,21). In S. the forms in *-i*, *-ū*, which are formed according to the nom. of the *a*-stems, are not used. The *i*-stems, like the feminine, partly have the nom. in *-io*, as *isiō = ṛsayah*, *girio = girayah* (Šak. 61,11; 98,8; 99,12; 126, 15); *risio = ṛsayah* (Mṛcch. 326, 14), partly in *-no*, as *kaiṇo = kapayah* (Bālar. 238, 5); *mahiśiṇo = maharṣayah* (Bālar. 268, 1); *isiṇo = ṛsayah* (Unmattar. 3,7); *cintāmatipahudīṇo = cintāmaṇiprabhītayah* (Jivān. 95,1). In the case of the *u*-stem in S. beside the forms in *-no*, as *pāṇguṇo = pāṇgavah* (Jivān. 87,13); *bālātaruno = bālātaravah* (Karp. 62,3); *taruno* (Karp. 67, 1); *binduno* (Mallikām. 83,15) occurs also the form *bindao = bindavah* (Mṛcch. 74,21). *bandhū = bandhavaḥ* (Šak. 101,13) is not S., but M. only *dīhagomāo* from **dīhagomāao* (§ 165) = *dīrghagomāyavah* is traceable in a verse in Mg. (Mṛcch. 168, 20); otherwise examples for *i*- and *u*- are almost wanting.

§ 381. According to Vr. 5,14, in the acc. the forms *aggiṇo* and *vāuṇo* alone are permissible. But dialectically almost all the forms of the nom. are found in the acc. too: M. *paiṇo = patīn* (H. 705); JM. *sūriṇo = sūrin* (Kk. 267,38; 270,2); AMg. *mahiśiṇo = maharṣin* (Äyār. 1,5,5,1); but also AMg. *mittanāī = mitrajñāīn* (Uvās. § 69. 92; so to be read for *°nāīm*); *mallāī, lečchāī = mallakīn, ličchavīn* (Vivāhap. 499 f.; Nirāyāv. § 25); *nāyao = jñāīn* (Äyār. 1,6,4,3; Sūyag. 378 [text *nāīo*]); AMg. *pasavo = paśūn* (Sūyag. 414); JM. *guruno = gurūn* (Kk. 269,35); JM. *sāhuno = sādhūn* (Kk. 271,15); AMg. *bāhū = bāhū* (Sūyag. 222. 286); AMg. *sattū = śatrūn* (Kappas. § 114); AMg. *bahū = bahūn* (Äyār. 1,6,1,4; Uttar. 216), beside *bahave*, as in the nom. (Äyār. 2,2,2,8,9; Uvās. § 119 184), for which is put *vasudhādhipataye* of PG. — Examples of the neuter, of which the nom. and acc. are identical are: M. *acchīum = akṣīṇi* (Hc. 1,33; 2,217; G. 44; H. 40,54), *acchī* (H. 314); M. AMg. JM. *acchīni* (H. 314 in Mk. fol. 44; cf. v. l.; Äyār. 2,2,1,7; Uvās. § 94; Av. 8,20; 30,4); AMg. *acchī* (Vivāgas. 11), falsely in S. too (Jivān 89,3) AMg. *aṭṭhīṇi = asthīni* (Sūyag. 590); AMg. *sālini = sālin* (Äyār. 2,10,10); *vīhīṇi = vīhīn* (Äyār. 2,10,10; Sūyag. 682); *dariṇi = dariḥ* (Äyār. 2,10,11); JM. *āīṇi = ādīni* (Kk. 274,4); JS. *ādīṇi* (Pav. 384,48); M. *amīṣūṇi = aśrūṇi* (G. 130. 1208); *pāṇḍūi = pāṇḍūṇi* (G. 384. 577) and *pāṇḍūṇi* (G. 462); *bindūṇi = bindūṇi* (G. 223); AMg. *māṁsūṇi = śmaśrūṇi* (Uvās. § 94); *māṁsūṇi* (Äyār. 1,8,3,11); *dārūṇi* (Sūyag. 247); *pāṇūṇi = prāṇāṇi* (Anuog. 432; Vivāhap. 423); *kāṅgūṇi = kāṅgavah* (Sūyag. 682); *milakkhūṇi = *mīlaicchāṇi* (Äyār. 2,3,1,8); A. *amīṣu* (Pingala 1,61). According to Vr. 5,26 only the forms like *dahīi*, *mahīi* would be usable; Ki. 3,28 teaches *dahīi*. — For the endings of the ins. gen. loc. § 178. 350 hold good; cf. § 368. 370. 371 also. Examples for the ins. are: M. *kaihī, °hi = kavibhīh* (G. 84. 88) and = *kapibhīh* (R. 6,64. 78. 94); AMg. *kimihīm = krmibhīh* (Sūyag. 278); JM. *āīhīm = ādibhīh* (Av. 7, 12); S. *isihīm = ṛsibhīh* (Šak. 70, 6); Mg. *°ppahudīhīm = °prabhītibhīh* (Šak.

114,2); M. *acchihim*, °*hi* (H. 338. 341. 457. 502); Š. *acchihim* (Vikr. 48,15; Ratn. 319,18); Mg. *akkhīm* (Mṛcch. 120,13; 152,22) = *aksibhyām* M. *riūhim* = *ripubbih* (H. 471; G. 718); M. *sisūñi* = *sīśubhī* (G. 1046); AMg. *vaggūhim* = *vagnubhīh* (Vivāhap. 946; Nāyādh. § 25. 79; p. 302. 736. 757. 1107; Rāyāp. 266 f.; Uttar. 300; Thān. 527; Ovav. § 53. 181; Kappas.); AMg. *ūrūhim* = *ūrubhyām* (Thān. 401); Š. *gurūhim* = *gurubhīh* (Hāsy 40,17); Š. *bindūhim* = *bindubhīh* (Venis. 66,21; Nāyādh. 24,13; Karp. 72,1).—The abl. occurs in M. *acchihimto* = *aksibhyām* (G. 223); JM *ujjāñāthimto* = *udyāñādibhyāh* (Dvār. 498,20); AMg. *kāmidḍhīhimto* = *kāmarddheḥ* (honorific pl. ral; Kappas.; Th. § 11). As in the case of the *a*-stems (§ 369), so in the case of *i*- and *u*-stems too, the ins. is used as the abl.: *santi egehim bhikkhūhim gāratthā samjāmūtarā* = *santy ekebhī* *bhikṣubhyo grhasthāḥ samyamottarāḥ* (Utrār. 208).—A. *taruhū* = *tarubhyāh* (Hc. 4,341) is properly = *taruṣu*, that is to say = the loc., with which the ablative has become identical, according to Hc. 4,340 the gen. too; yet it will be better to consider *taruhū* as in the loc., whilst *bihū* = *dvayoh* (Hc. 4,383,1), by all means, stands in the sense of the gen.—The examples for the genitive are: M. *kāṇāñ* = *kāvīnām* (H. 86); *kāṇa* = *kapīnām* (R. 6,84); *girīṇa* (G. 137. 449; R. 6,81); AMg. *dhammasārahiṇām* = *dharmaśārahiṇām* (Ovav. § 20; Kappas. § 16); metrically *iśīṇa* = *risinām* (Sūyag. 317) and *isīṇām* (Uttar. 375. 377); *udahīna* = *udāśinām* (Sūyag. 316); *vīhiṇām* = *vrihiṇām* (Vivāhap. 421); JS. *jadīnām* = *yatīnām* (Pav. 385,63); *ādīnām* (Kattig. 401,340); Š. *mahīvadīnām* = *mahīpatīnām* (Lalitav. 555,14); Š. *acchinām* = *akṣoh* (Vikr. 43,15; Nāgān. 11,9); M. *icchūnām* = *ikṣūnām* (H. 740); *riūṇa* = *ripūnām* (G. 106. 166. 237); *tarūṇa* = *taruṇām* (G. 140); AMg. *bhikkhūṇām* = *bhiksūṇām* (Āyār. 1,7,72); *savaṇṇūṇām* = *sarvajñānām* (Ovav. § 20); *milakkhūṇām* = *mlecchānām* (Sūyag. 817); Mg. *bāhūṇa* = *bāhvoh* (in the verse; Mṛcch. 129,1); *pahūṇām* = *prabhūṇām* (Kāṁsav. 50,4); JS. *sāhūṇām* = *sādhūṇām* (Pav. 379,4). A. has the ending *-hā* = *-sām* of the pronoun: *sañīhā* = *śakunīnām* (Hc. 4,340); on *-hū* see above.—The loc., for example is found as M. *girisu* (G. 138); M. AMg. *acchisu* (H. 132; Āyār. 2,3,2,5); Š. *acchisūm* (Sak. 30,5); M. *riūsu* = *ripusu* (G. 241); JS. metrically *ādisu* = *ādiṣu* (Pav. 383,69); AMg. *uūsu* = *tusu* (Nāyādh. 34+); Š. *ūrūsu* = *ūrvoh* (Bālar. 238,7; text *ru°*). In A. the form *duhū* corresponds to one **duṣu* (femin., Hc. 4,340), whilst *tihī* (Hc. 4,347), properly is = *tribhīh*, therefore = the instr., as in the case of the *a* stems (§ 371).—The voc. occurs in JM. *sāyālaguṇānihiṇo* = *sakalaguṇānidhayaḥ* (Sagara 7,12); AMg. *jantavo* (Sūyag. 335. 424), *bhikkhavo* (Sūyag. 157; text *kkhu°*). For JM. *guruo* (Kk. III, 513,22) we should read *gurūo*. On A. see § 372.

§ 382. In AMg. the forms, of the plur. of *bahu* (much) that are valid for the masculine, are mostly used for the feminine too: *bahave pānajāi* = *bahuyah prānajātayah* (Āyār. 1,8,1,2); *bahave sāhamminīo* = *bahōiḥ *sādharmīnīḥ* (Āyār. 2,1,1,11; 2,2,1,2; 2,5,1,2; 2,10,2); *bahave devā jā devījā* (Āyār. 2,15,8); *bahave khusdākhusdājā vāvīo* = *bahoyah kṣudrākṣudrīkā vāpyah* (Jiv. 476); *bahūṇām samanānām bahūṇām samanīṇām bahūṇām sāvajānām bahūṇām sāvījānām bahūṇām devāṇām bahūṇām devīṇām* (Kappas. S. § 64; Nāyādh. 498. 518. 615. 654; Vivāhap. 242); *bahūṇīm āghavanāhi a pañnavānāhi jā viñnavānāhi jā sañnavānāhi jā* = *ba'vibhir *ākhyāpanābhiś ca *prajñāpanābhiś ca *viññāpanābhiś ca *sañjñāpanābhiś ca* (Nāyādh. § 143; p. 539. 889; Uvās. § 222; Vivāhap. 814); *bahūṇīm khujjāhīm* = *bahibhīh kubjābhiḥ* (Nirāyāv. § 4; Vivāhap. 791; Nāyālh. § 117; p. 832. 837; Vivāgas. 226); *bahūsu vāvīsu* = *bahīsu vāpīsu* (Nāyādh. 915); *bahūsu vijjāhāriṣu* = *bahīsu vidyādhāriṣu* (Nāyādh. 1275; so the commentary; text *bahusu vijjāṣu*). Cf. also Ovav. § 8. On the retained Skt. forms like *girisu*,

vaggūhim see § 99, on the stepping over of the *a*-stems into the *u*-declension in M. AMg., § 105, on AMg. *sakahāo* = *sakthini* § 358.

§ 383. The masculine in *-i* and *-ū* shorten their *i* and *ū* according to Hc. 3,43; Mk. fol. 42. 43; Sr. fol. 12 and are declined fully as the nouns in *-i*, *-u*. So nom. *gāmanī* = *grāmanīh*; acc. *gāmanīm*; ins. *gāmanīnā*; gen. *gāmanīnō* and *gāmanīssā*; voc. *gāmanī*; nom. *khalapū* = *khalapūh*; acc. *khalapūm*; ins. *khalapūnā*; gen. *khalapūno*; voc. *khalapū* (Hc. 3,24. 42. 43. 124). Sr. adds the nom. plur.: *khalavāū*, *khalavāo*, *khalavānū*, *khalavā*. Examples are: M. *gāmnī*, *gīmnīnī* = *grāmanīh*, *grāmnīyah* (H. 449.633); *gāmnīnīnā* (R. 7,60); JM. *asogasirī*, *asogasirīnī* = *asokaśrīh* *asokaśriyah* (Av. 8,2. 32); S. *candasirī*, *candasirīnā* = *candraśrīyah* *candraśriyah* (Muirār. 39, 3; 56,8; 227,2. 7); Š. *mīhavasirī* = *mādhavaśrīyah* (Mālatim. 211,1); Š. *aggnī* = *agrāpih* (Mṛcch. 4,23; 327,1). The forms *saambhūm*, *saambhūno* = *svayambhūvam*, *svayambhuvah* (G. 1. 813), *saambhuno*, *saamabhūssa*, *saam-bhunā* (Mk. fol. 42 f.) may be derived from *svayambhū* or from *°bhu*.

b) FEMININE.

§ 384. In Pkt. the feminine forms in *-i*, *-u*, as *bhūmisu*, *suttisu*, (§ 99) are retained only in isolated places in verses. Otherwise the feminine in *-i*, *-u*, with which those in *-i* and *-ū* have become identical, provided there is differentiation between words of one and more syllables. The declension exactly corresponds to that of the feminine in *-ā* in § 374ff, and it holds good for all the endings, as already noted there. In isolated cases the following one is to be considered.

§ 385. The grammarians give, for the ins. abl. gen. loc. sing. the forms: *ṇāī*, *ṇāie*, *ṇāia*, *ṇāīā* from *ṇāi* = *nadi* (Bh. 5,22; KI.3,26; Mk. fol.43); *rūī*, *rūīā*, *rūie*, *rūie* from *rui* = *ruci* (Sr. fol. 15); *buddhī*, *buddhīā*, *buddhī*, *buddhī* from *buddhi*; *sahī*, *sahīā*, *sahī*, *sahīe* from *sahī* = *sakhi*; *dhenū*, *dhenūā*, *dhenūi*, *dhenūe* from *dhenū* = *dhenu*; *vahū*, *vahūā*, *vahūi*, *vahūe* from *vahū* = *vadū* (Hc. 3, 29). Of these, the forms in *-īā*, *-ūā* are not available, and those in *-īi* *-ūi* are rare in the texts: M. *ṇāīi* = *natyāh* (G.1000); AMg. *māhūi* = *mahyāh* (Sūyag. 312), more often correctly in the v. l. for *-īē* of the texts, as G. 139. 860. 922. For *gabbhiṇī* = *garbhinyāh*, now WEBER H. 166 correctly reads *gabbhiṇīa*. In all cases, where the forms in *-ie*, *-ue* stand in the text, and the metre requires a short, as *mahūē*, *sīrū*, *tajjanīē*, *pavittharāṇīē*, *naariē*, *nivasirīē*, *lacchiē* etc. (G. 122. 212. 247. 268. 501. 928); *vahūē* (H. 874. 981), the forms in *-īa* or in *-ii*, *ūa* or in *-ūi* are to be put, as WEBER H. 69 has corrected *-īa*, which is attested for all the examples collected by him at p. 40 in Hāla¹ by the manuscripts. At H. 86, there is found the v. l. *hasamītī* beside *hasamītā*, *hasamītīe* (cf. IS. 16,53 too). For *vahūē* (H. 874. 981) the old Sāradā manuscripts of Kāvyapra-kāśa give the v. l. at 874 *vahūo*, *bahūa*, at 981 *bahūi*, *bahūi*. We have, therefore, to write *vahūa* or *vahūi*, as also H. 786. 840. 874; *vahūa* stands at H. 457. 608. 635. 648, everywhere with the v. l. *vahūe* or *bahūe*. Cf. §375. The forms in *-īa*, *-ūa* are, likewise those in *-ii*, *-ūi*, confined to verses, but with the stems in *-i*, *-i* in M. very frequently : ins. *bandīa* = *bandyā*; *vāhīa* = *vyādhyā*; *lalīangulīa* = *lalitāngulyā* (H. 118. 121. 458); *āhiāīā* = *abhiājtyā*; *rāasirīa* = *rājaśrijyā*; *ditīthīa* = *dr̥ṣtyā*; *sthīā* = *sthityā*; *jāṇāīā* = *jāṇakyā* (R. 1,11. 13. 45; 4,43; 6,6); *sippīa* = *śuktyā*; *muṭṭhīa* = *mustyā*; *devīa* = *devyā* (Karp. 2,4; 29,4; 48,14); gen. *kodīa* = *kotih*; *gharinīa* = *ghrinīyah*; *girīnāīa* = *girinadyāh* (H. 3,11. 14. 37); *dhanariddhīa sīrīa a saliluppannīā vārunyās ca* (R. 2,

17); *dharanī* = *dharanyāh* (R. 2,2; 7,28); *sarassāī* = *sarasatyāh*; *rūdhīa* = *rūdheh* (Karp. 1,1; 51,3); L. *pāṇaūdia* = *prāṇakutyām* (H. 227; on the meaning cf. Pāiyāl. 105 with Desin. 6,38); D. *ṇaaria* = *nagaryām* (Mrcch. 100,2). For the ablative examples are wanting. The usual forms in all the dialects, except A., that C. 1,9 alone knows, is that in -ie, -ue, which, however, is not found in the abl. So ins. M. *bhanantie* = *bhanantyā* (H. 123); AMg. *gāie*, Š. *gadie* = *gatyā* (Kapas. § 5; Šak. 72,11); Mg. *satue* = *śaktyā* (Mrcch. 29,20); P. *bhagavatie* = *bhugavatyā* (Hc. 4,323); gen. *lacchie* = *laksmyāh* (G. 68); AMg. *nāgasirīe māhaṇie* = *nāgaśriyā brāhmaṇyāh* (Nāyādh. 1151); Š. *radanāvalie* = *ratnāvalyāh* (Mrcch. 88,21); Mg. *majjālie* = *mārjāryāh* (Mrcch. 17,7); loc. *paavie* = *pañdayām* (H. 107); AMg. *vānārasie nāyarie* = *vārānasyām nagaryām* (Antag. 63; Nirayāv. 43. 45; Vivāgas. 136. 148. 149; Vivāhap. 284 ff.; Nāyādh. 1516. 1528). AMg. JM. *adavie* = *aṭavyām* (Nāyādh. 1137; Erz. 1,4; 13,30; 21,21); Š. *masānavidhīe* = *śmaśānavithyām* (Mrcch. 72,8); Mg. *dhalarie* = *dharanyāh* (Mrcch. 173,16). The form is found to have been shortened to -ie in A. too: ins. *maragaakantie* = *marakatakāntyāh*; gen. *gānantiē* = *gānentyāh*; *radiē* = *ratyāh* (Hc. 4,349. 333. 446).

§ 386. In the ins., *diṭhiā* = *diṣṭyā* (e. g. Mrcch. 68,2; 74,11; Vikr. 10,20; 26,15; 49,4 etc.) used adverbially, Š. has retained an old ins. in -ā. In the A. of Piṅgala, more than once, we find an ins. in -i: *kitti* = *kirtyā* (1,65^a; 2,66); *bhatti* = *bhaktyā* (2,67), and so is also *eavīsattī* for *°ttā* (S. GOLDSCHMIDT, *°ttī*) = *ekavīśatyā* to be read at 1,142. — On the dative in -ie in AMg. JM. Š. see § 361. — The abl., except in A., where, according to Hc. 4, 350, like the gen., it ends in -he, has the endings -io, -uo, JŚ. Š. Mg. -ido, -uđo: AMg. *arařraio* = *aratirateh* (Sūyag. 654; Ovav. § 123); *kosio* = *kośyāh* (Sūyag. 593); *nayario* = *nagaryāh* (Nirayāv. § 19; p. 44.45; Nāyādh. 1135); *poikkhariṇio* = *puṣkarinyāh*; *corapallio* = *corapallyāh* (Nāyādh. 1060.1427.1429); *gaṅgāsindhūo* = *gaṅgāsindhoh* (Thān. 544; Vivāhap. 482 f.) Š.: *adaido* = *aṭavyāh* (Šak. 35,8); *ujjaiñndo* = *ujjayinyāh* (Ratn. 321,22; 322,9); *sacido* = *śacyāh* (Vikr. 44,8); Mg. *naalido* = *nagaryāh* (Mrcch. 159,13). — The gen. has in A., as in the case of *a*-stems (§ 375), the ending -he, before which the vowel is shortened: *joantihe* = *paśyantyāh*; *mēllantihe* = *muñcantyāh*; *gorihe* = *gauryāh*; *tumbinihe* = *tumbinyāh* (Hc. 4,332,2. 370,4. 395,1. 427,1); *kaṅguhe* = *kaṅgoḥ* (Hc. 4,367,4). — In the loc. in AMg. we find frequently *rāo* = *rātrau* as well, the only form (Āyār. 1,8,2,6; Sūyag. 247. 255. 519; Nāyādh. 302. 374), as in the combinations *aho ja rāo* (Āyār. 1,2,1,1,2,2; 1,4,1,3; Sūyag. 295. 412. 485; Uttar. 430), or in *aho ja rāo ja* = *ahaś ca rātrau ca* (Panjhāv. 373), *rāo vā vijāle vā* (Āyār. 2,1,3,2; 2,2,3,2.23 [so correctly ed. Calc. p. 126]), *diyā ja rāo ja* = *divā ca rātrau ca* (Āyār. 1,6,3,3. 4,1; Uttar. 847), *diyā vā rāo vā* (Sūyag. 846; Dasav. 616,13). Sometimes in AMg. the ending of the masc. and neutr. is extended over to the feminine: *pīthīmī* from *pīthī* (§ 53; Nāyādh. 940); *bhītīmī* = *bhītāu* (Āyār. 2,5,1,21); *rāyādāñmī* = *rājadhānyām* (Āyār. 2,1,2,6; 2,1,3,4; 2,3,1,2) between pure loc. in -mī of the masc. and the neutr. Cf. § 355.358. 364. 367. 375. 379. Š. has *rattimmi* = *rātrau* (Jīvān. 9,23; 17,23; Mallikām. 226,4); *bhūmimmi* = *bhūmau* (Mallikām. 337,21). In A. the loc. en's in -ī = -syām; *mahiī* = *mahyām*; *riddhiī* = *rddhau*; *sallaīnī* = *śallakyām*; *vāṇārasiīnī* = *vārānasyām*; *ujjenīhī* = *ujjayinyām* (Hc. 4,352.418.8,422,9,442,1); *nadiīhī* = *nadyām* (Piṅgala 1,5a), in the A. of Piṅgala, in the case of the i-stems, also in -i and shortened: -i *puhavi* = *pīthivyām* (1,121; text °mī); *dharanī* = *dharanyām* (1, 137^a); *puhavi* = *pīthivyām* (1, 132^a); *mahi* = *mahyām* (1, 143^a). — The vocative ends in -i, -u: M. *māhavi* = *mādhavi*; *bhařravi* = *bhairavi*; *devi* = *devi* (G. 285. 287. 290. 331);

thorattāni = *sthūlastani* (H. 925); Š. *bhaavadi bhāradhi* = *bhagavati bhāgirathi* (Bālar. 163,10; Pras. 83,4); JM. Š. *puti* = *putri* (Āv. 12,11. 17; Bālar. 165,3; 174,8); Š. *sahi māladi* = *sakhi mālati* (Mālatim. 94,2); Mg. *vuddha-kustāni* = *vṛddhakutṭāni* (Mṛcch. 141,25; 152,22); *kaccāṇi* = *kātyāyani* (Candak. 69,1); M. *vevantoru* = *vepamānoru* (H. 52); *suanu* = *sutanu* (G. 186; H.); *kariaroru* = *karikaroru* (H. 925); in Mg. with *pluti vāśu* (Mṛcch. 127,1).

§ 387. The nom. acc. voc. plur. end in -ī, -ū, which alternate with -īu, -ūu in verses: nom. M. *kattiō* = *krttayah* (H. 951); *riddhio* = *riddhayah* (G. 92); *lumbio* = * *lumbyah* (H. 322); *naio* = *nadyah*; *naario* = *nogaryah* (G. 360. 403); AMg. *māhāṇiō* = *māhānadyah* (Thān. 76. 77. 79); *hirannakodio* = *hiranyakotyah* (Uvās. § 4); *itthiō* = *striyah* (Thān. 121); M. *tarunii* = *tarunyah* (G. 113; H. 546); JM. *palarantio*...*avarohajuvāo* = *pralapantiyah*...*avarodhayuvatayah* (Sagara 4,13); *vasahīo* = *vasatayah* (T. 4, 22); Š. *gīdīo* = *gītayah* (Mahāv. 121,7); *mahuario* = *madhukaryah* (Mṛcch. 29,5. 7; 70,2); *ādīo* = *ākṛtayah* (Šak. 132,6); *paṭdīo* = *praktitayah* (Vikr. 73,12; Mudrār. 39,1; 56,8); A. *aṅguliu* = *aṅgulyah* (Hc. 4, 333), with a short vowel, as on metrical grounds in the other dialects too (§ 99); M. *kulavahūo* = *kulavadvah* (H. 459); AMg. *suravadhūo* (Ovav. § [38]); *rajjūo* = *rajjavah* (Jiv. 503). — Acc. M. *sahirīo* = *sahanāślāh* (H. 47); AMg. *vallīo* = *vallih* (Āyār. 2,3,2,15); *osahīo* = *osadhīh* (Āyār. 2,4,2,16; Sūyag. 727; Dasav. 628,33); *savattīo* = *sapatinīh* (Uvās. § 239); *sajagghīo* = *śataghnīh* (Uttar. 285); JM. *gonīo* (Āv. 7,10); Š. *bhaavadio* = *bhagavatih* (Šak. 79,13); A. *vilāsiṇiu* = *vilāsinīh* (Hc. 4,348), and with ī *sallāū* = *sallakīh* (Hc. 4,387,1). AMg. *bahūo coravijjāo* = *bahūiś coravidyāh* (Nāyādh. 1421), but also *bahave sāhamminīo* = *bahūiḥ *sādharminīh* (§ 382). — Voc. JM. *bhajavaō devayāō* = *bhagavatyo devatāh* (Dvār. 503,25); M. Š. *sahīo* = *sakhyah* (H. 131. 619; Šak. 12,1; 90,8; Cait. 73,7; 83,12 etc.); Š. *bhodīo* = *bhavatyah* (Viddhaś. 121,1); *bhaavadio* = *bhagavatyah* (Uttarar. 197,10; Anarghar. 300,1); M. *sahū* (H. 412. 743). In A. the voc. has the ending -hō: *taruṇīhō* = *tarunyah* (Hc. 4,346). The forms in -ī, -ū, taught by Hc. 3,27. 124, are likewise found in the texts: nom. M. *asaiṁ mha* = *asatyah smah* (H. 417); voc. M. *pīasahī* = *priyasakhyah* (H. 903); acc. AMg. *itthī* = *strih* (Verse 1; Uttar. 253). For the rest of the cases of the plur. a few examples will suffice. Ins. M. Š. *sahīhim* = *sakhībhīh* (H. 144; Šak. 167,9); M. *dītīhīm* (G. 752), *sahīt*, *sahī* (H. 15. 60. 69. 810. 840); JS. *dhūlīhim* (Pav. 384,60); AMg. *cilāhīm vāmaṇīhīm vādabīhīm babbarīhīm*...*damīlīhīm simhalīhīm*...= *kirātībhīr vāmanībhīr vādabībhīr barbarībhīr dravidībhīh simhalībhīh* (Ovav. § 55); Š. *aṅgulīhīm* = *aṅgulībhīh* (Mṛcch. 6,7; Šak. 12,1). Āyār. 1,2,4,3, without a v. l., has *thībhī* = *stribhīh*; A. *pūpphavaihī* = *pūspavaihīh* (Hc. 4,438,3) and with a shortened vowel: *asaihī* = *asatībhīh*, *dēntībhīh* = *dādatībhīh* (Hc. 4,396,1. 419,5). — Gen. M. *sahīna* = *sakhī-nām* (H. 482); *thuiṇa* = *stutinām* (G. 82); *taruṇīnām* (H. 545); cf. H. 174; AMg. *savattīnām* = *sapatinīnām* (Uvās. § 238. 239); M. Š. *kāmīnīnām* (H. 559; Mṛcch. 71,22); M. *vahūnām* = *vadūnām* (G. 1158; H. 526; R. 9, 71, 93) and *vahūṇa* (R. 9,40. 96; 15,78). Loc. M. *rāīsu* = *rātriṣu* (H. 45); *giriādiśu* = *girītāīsu* (G. 374); AMg. *itthīsu* = *striṣu* (Āyār. 2,16,7; Sūyag. 405. 409); JM. *kujonīsu* = *kuyonīsu* (Sagara 11, 4); M. AMg. *vāīsu* = *vāpīsu* (G. 166; Nāyādh. 915); M. *īthalīsu* (G. 256) and *īthalīsu* (G. 350. 421) = *sthaliṣu*; Š. *vanarāīsu* = *vanarājīsu* (Šak. 29,4; Uttarar. 22,13; text *īsu*); *devīsu* (Šak. 141,9). In A. the loc. has become identical with the ins.: *disīlu* = * *disīṣu* = *dikṣu*, but also *duhū* = *dvayoh* (Hc. 4, 340; cf. § 381).

§ 388. In PG. is found only the loc. sing. *āpiṭīyām* (6,37) that is to say *āpiṭīyām* = *āpiṭīyām*, therefore, the form of Pāli.—If the feminine forms

in *-i*, *-u*, *-ī*, *-ū* enter at the end of a compound, they naturally, as in Skt., take the endings of the masc. or those of the neuter, when they refer to a masc. or neuter. So M. *karena va pañcāṅgulinā* (G. 17); M. *sasiśūttipā... kavaleṇa = śaśikalāśuktinā . kapaleṇa* (G. 40); S. *mae mandabuddhinā=maya mandabuddhinā* (Sak. 126, 10); S. *mohidamadiṇā = mohitamatinā, nidiṇiupabuddhiṇā = nīliniupabuddhinā* (Mudrār. 228,1; 269,3); S. *ujumadiṇo = rjumatē* (Pras. 46,9). Mg. *muṣṭie = muṣīṇā = muṣīmūṣī*, really = *muṣṭyā muṣīṇā* (Mṛcch. 170,15) is also noticed.

3) STEMS IN *-ṛ*.

§ 389. The distinction, that Skt. makes between nomina agentis and words of relationship, has been maintained in Pkt. In the nom. acc. sing. and nom. plur., the forms identical with those of Skt. are retained. Otherwise, corresponding to the change of *r* to *i* or *u* (§ 50ff) the stems in *-ṛ* have become *i*- or *u*-stems, or the stem of the acc. sing. is employed as new the *na*, according to the *a*-declension: *pii-*, *piu-*, *piara=pitr-*; *bhatti-*, *bhattu-, bhatīrā-*. The feminines of the words of relationship too are declined as the *ā*-stems, to which the nom. sing. gave the impulse: *māī-*, *māī-*, *māū-*, *māārā-*. Hence the grammarians (Vr. 5, 31—35; Hc. 3, 44—48; Kī. 3, 30—34; Mk. fol. 44; Sr. fol. 13 16.18) teach for the *ṛ*-stems, all the forms that are valid for the *a*-, circumstantial *ā*-, and *u*-stems, and it has not as yet been possible to get all of them authenticated. The forms that have been found in the texts provide with the following paradigms.

§ 390. Nomina agentis. — *bhattu = bhartṛ* (husband).

Singular.

Nom. *bhattā*; AMg. *bhattāre*, also JM. *bhattāro*.

Acc. *bhattāram*; Mg. *bhattālam*.

Gen. *bhattuṇo*, AMg. *bhattārassa* also.

Loc. JM. S. *bhattāre*.

Voc. *bhattā*.

Plural.

Nom. M. AMg. *bhattāro*; AMg. *bhattā* also.

Ins. AMg. *bhattārehim*.

Loc. AMg. *bhattārcsu*.

Voc. AMg. *bhattāro*.

In the meaning "master" *bhartṛ* has become an *i*-stem in S. (§ 55.289) and as such is declined in the oblique case. S. nom. *bhattā* (Lalitav. 563, 23; Ratn. 293,32; 294,11 etc.); acc. *bhattāram* (Mālav. 45,16; 59,3; 60,10); ins. *bhattīṇā* (Sak. 116, 12; 117, 11; Mālav. 6, 2. 9; 8, 7); gen. *bhattīno* (Sak. 43,10; 117,7; Mālav. 6,22; 40,18; 41,9. 17; Mudrār. 54,2; 149,2); voc. *bhattī* (Ratn. 305,17. 23; Sak. 144,14), also in Dh. (Mṛcch. 34,11. 17).—Examples for individual cases are: nom. AMg. *ne ā = netā* (Sūyag. 519; text *netā*); *kaṇṭhacchēttā* (Uttar. 633); JM. *dāyā = dātā* (Erz. 58,30); M. JM. S. *bhattā* (Karp. 43,4; Āv.11,2; Erz.; Mṛcch.4,4.5); JS. *ṇādā = jñātā, jhādā = dhyātā* (Pav. 382, 42; 386, 70), *kattā = kartā* (Pav. 384,36. 58. 60); S. *sāsidā = sāśitā, dādā = dātā* (Kāleyak. 24,16; 25,22); S. *rakkhīdā = rakṣitā* (Sak. 52,5; Mukund. 15,5); AMg. *udagadā-yāre* [text *dātāro*] = *udakadātā* (Ovav. § 86); AMg. *bhattāre* (Nāyādh. 1230); AMg. *uvadāmīṣītāre* [text *ṛo*] = *upadarśayitā* (Sūyag. 593); JM. *bhattāro = bhartā* (Āv. 12,5. 12. 16. 17; Erz. 6,36; 85,22).—Acc. M. AMg. JM. S. *bhattāram* (H. 390; Samav. 84; Erz.; Mālatim. 240, 2); Mg.

bhattālām (Venīs. 33,8); AMG. *udagadāyāram* = *udakadātāram* (Ovav. § 85); *pasaithāram neyāram* = *praśāstāram netāram* (Samav. 84); *sathāram* = *śāstāram* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1); AMG. JM. *kattāram* = *kartāram* (Uttar. 412; Pav. 379,1).—Gen. M. JM.Ś. *bhattuno* (Karp. 7,1; Erz. 41,23; Śak. 81,70; Vikr. 52,14; 82,6. 16; 88,14 etc.); AMG. *udagadāyārassa* = *udakadātuḥ* (Ovav. § 85). — For the loc. S. *bhattari* (Śak. 109,10) we should, with the v. l. read *bhattāre*, that Hc. 3,45 teaches and JM. too has (Āv. 23, 5). False is also the reading *bhaṭṭari* of the Kashmirian - (105,15), *bhattuṇi* of the Devanāgari- and *bhattummi* of the Drāvidian recensions (70,12 ed. BÖHTL.; 248,6 ed. Madras). The manuscripts of the Drāvidian recension waver between *bhattummi*, *bhaṭṭari*, *bhattari*, *bhattammi*.—Voc. *bhattā*, see above.—Plural: nom. M. *soāro* = *śrotārah* (Vajjalagga 325,17); AMG. *pasaithāro* = *praśāstārah* (Sūyag. 585; Ovav. § 23. 38); *uvavattāro* = *upapattārah* (Sūyag. 699. 766. 771; Vivāhap. 179. 508. 610; Ovav. § 56. 69. ff.); *akkhājāro*, *āgantāro*, *neyāro* [text *netāro*], *pannattāro* = *ākhyātārah*, *āgantārah*, *netārah*, **prajñaptārah* (Sūyag. 81. 439. 470. 603); AMG. *gantā* = *gantārah* (Sūyag. 150); *savijā* = *savītarau*, *tatthā* = *tvaṣṭarau* (Thān. 82). To it belongs also AMG. *bhajantāro*, which, at Ovav. § 56 appears as *bhavantāro*¹, and is used as the nom. of the sing. too, (Āyār. 2,1,11,11; 2,2,2,6—14; 2,5,2,3; Sūyag. 562.766; Ovav. § 56.129) and the voc. (Āyār. 2,1,4,5; Sūyag. 239.585. 630.630.635). Its meaning is = *bhavantāh* or *bhagavantāh*, with which the scholiasts inter alia restore, and it is derived directly from *bhavant*, the participle used as a pronoun, like the voc. *āusantāro* = *āyusmantah* (Āyār. 2,4,1,9; used as a sing.) from *āyusmant*. There is found also the gen. *bhajantāraṇam* (Āyār. 2,2,2,10; Sūyag. 635). Ins. *dāyārehim* = *dātybhīḥ* (Kappas. § 112).—Loc. *āgantāresu* = *āgantṛsu* (Āyār. 2,7,1,2. 4. 5; 2,7,2,1. 7. 8.), *dāyāresu* = *dātṛsu* (Āyār. 2,15,11. 17). — The neutra are inflected, according to Sr. fol. 18 either according to the *a*-declension of the stem, e. g. *kattā-*, or according to the *u*- declension of the stem, e. g. *katī-*.

1. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v. considers the word as an admixture of the pres. part. *bhavant* and the fut. part. *bhavīt*. — 2. The hypothesis of STEINTHAL (Specimen der Nāyādhammakaḥ p. 40), that the nomina agentis are wanting in Jaina-Prākrt (i. e. AMG.) is wrong. AMG., on the contrary, is the single dialect, in which they are frequent.

§ 391. Words of Relationship. — *piu* = *pitr*.

Singular.

Nom. *piā* [*piaro*]; S. Mg. *pidā*.

Acc. *piaram*; AMG. JM. *piyaram*; S. *pidaram*; Mg. *pidalam*.

Ins. *piunā* [*piareṇa*]; S. Mg. *pidunā*; A. *piara*.

Gen. *piuno*; AMG. *piuno*, *piussa*; JM. *piuno*, *piyarassa*; S. Mg. *piduno*; A. *piaraha*.

Voc. [*piā*, *piā*, *piaram*, *piaro*, *piara*].

Plural.

Nom. [*piaro*], [*piuno*]; AMG. JM. *piyaro*; AMG. also *piii*; S. *pidaro*.

Acc. [*piare*, *piuno*]; AMG. *piyaro*; S. *pidaro*, *pidare*.

Ins. [AMG. *piūhim*, also *piūhim*; [*piarehim*].

Gen. AMG. *piūnam*, also *piūnam*.

Loc. [*piūsum*].

Sing.: nom. is very frequent : M. *piā* (R. 15,26); AMG. JM. *pijā* (Sūyag. 377. 635. 750; Jiv. 355; Nāyādh. 1110; Erz. 14,13); S. *pidā* (Śak. 21,2; Uttarar. 113,6; Kaleyak. 24,18); Ā. *pidā* (Mṛcch. 104,17); Mg. *pidā* (Mṛcch. 32,11); AMG. JM. *bhāyā* = *bhrātā* (Āyār. 2,15,15; Sūyag. 377. 635. 750; Uttar. 217; Erz. 14,13); S. Ā. *bhādā* (Uttarar. 128,10;

Pras. 83,6; Venīs. 102,4; 103,22; Ā. Mṛcch. 104,18); Š. *jāmādā* = *jāmātā* (Mālatim. 235,4; Mallikām. 210,23; Priyad. 27, 4 [text °*do*]); Mg. *vāmādā* (Mṛcch. 139,25). Acc. Mg. *piyaram* (Āyār. 1,6,4,3; Sūyag. 176. 217. 330. 345); *ammāpiyaram* (Thān. 126; Uttar. 573); Š. *pidaram* (Vikr. 81,10; 82,8; Mālav. 84,5; Venīs. 61,4; Kāleyak. 18,22; Karṇav. 50,12 etc.), in Ā. too (Mṛcch. 101,17) and Dh. (Mṛcch. 32,10); JM. *bhāyaram* Š. *bhādaram* = *bhātarām* (Erz. 85,4; Venīs. 95,14; 104,12; Mālatim. 240, 2). — Ins. M. AMg. *piunā* (G. 1197; Vivāhap. 820. 827), Mg. *pidunā* (Mṛcch. 167,24), A. *piara* (Sukas. 32,3); JM. *bhāunā* (Erz. 45,28), Š. *bhādunā* (Mālav. 71,2; Mālatim. 244,2); Š. *jāmāduṇā* (Ratn. 291,2). — Gen. M. AMg. *piuno* (R. 8,28; Kk. 262,28; Nāyādh. 784; Kappas. Th. § 3); AMg. *ammāpiuno* (Thān. 125) beside *ammāpiussa* (Thān. 126); JM. *piuno* (Erz. 9,19; 17,17) and *ammāpiyarassa* (Erz. 77,30); Š. *piduno* (Mṛcch. 95,2. 15; Uttarar. 73,10; Mudrār. 262,6; Pārvatīp. 11,4; 28,6; Mukund. 34,3); Š. *bhāduno* (Mālatim. 242,1; 245,5; 249,4; Bālar. 113,7; 144,10; Venīs. 60,21; 64,7; Mudrār. 35,9); Š. *jāmāduṇo* (Venīs. 29,12; Mallikām. 21,4; 212,17; Viddhaś. 48,9); A. *piaraha* (Piṅgala 1,116, in the meaning of the acc.). — Plural: nom. AMg. *piyaro* (Thān. 511. 512), frequently in the compound *ammāpiyaro* (Āyār. 2,15,11. 16; Vivāhap. 809. 926; Thān. 524.525; Antag. 61; Nāyādh. § 114. 116; p. 292. 887. 965 and often); AMg. JM. *bhāyaro* (Sūyag. 176; Samav. 238; Kk. 267,36; Erz.); also AMg. *bhāyārā* (Uttar. 402.622) and AMg. *do piū=dvau pitarau* [as the names of stars; Thān. 82], Š. *bhādaro* (Uttarar. 12,7; Venīs 13,9). False are Š. *mādarapiarā* (sic; Karṇav. 50,14) and *bhāarā* (sic; Karṇav. 50,10). We should read *mādāpidaro* and *bhādaro*. — Acc. AMg. JM. *ammāpiyaro* (Antag. 4, 23,61. Nāyādh. § 134.138; p. 260.887; Vivāhap. 808; Erz. 37,29); Š. *pidaro* (Vikr. 87,17); AMg. also *ammāpiyare* (Uttar. 643; Commentary °*ram*); Š. *mādāpidare* = *mātāpitarau* (Šak. 159,12). — Ins. AMg. *ammāpiūhim* (Āyār. 2,15,17; Nāyādh. § 138; p. 889) and AMg. JM. *ammāpiūhim* (Kappas. § 94; v. l. °*piū*; Thān. 527; Vivāhap. 1206; Āv. 37,2; 38,2) JM. *mājā-piūhim* (Āv. 17,31); AMg. *piūhim*, *bhāhim* (Sūyag. 694; text °*ihim*), false, *piyāhim* (104) and *pitāhim* (692); Š. *bhādarehim* (Mṛcch. 106,1) is merely conjectural. — Gen. AMg. *ammāpiūnam* (Kappas. § 90; Nāyādh. § 120; p. 905. 965) and *ammāpiūnam* (Ovav. § 72; v. l. °*piū*; 103. 107); JM. *mājāpiūnam* (Āv. 37,21). The proper noun AMg. *culanipīya=culanipītī* is declined as: nom. *culanipīyā*, acc. °*piyām*, gen. °*piyāssa*, voc. °*piyā* (Uvās.s.v.).

§ 392. *mātṛ* (mother) forms the nom. M. *mādā* (H. 400.508); AMg. JM. *mājā* (Āyār. 1,2,1,1; Sūyag. 115.161.377.635.750; Nāyādh. 1110; Jiv. 355 Kappas. § 46. 109; Erz. 5,19; 10,4. 7); Š. A. Mg. *mādā* (Uttarar. 126,6; Venīs. 29,12; Ā. Mṛcch. 104,17; Mg. Mṛcch. 129,6). In the meaning ‘divine mother’, the stem *mārā*, which is declined like the feminine in -ā, makes its appearance according to Hc.3,46.—Acc. M. *mārām* (Hc.3,46), AMg. JM. *mājārañ*, Dh. Š. *mādaram* (Āyār. 1,6,4,3; Sūyag. 176. 217. 330. 345; Erz.; Dh.; Mṛcch. 32,12; Š. Mṛcch. 141,11; Šak. 59,7; Vikr. 82,3; 88,16 etc.); in M. also *māam* (H.741), and so the word may generally be declined as an ā-stem: sing. ins. JM. *mājāe* (Āv. 11,3,9); gen. Š. *mādāe* (Karp. 19, 5); voc. M. *māe* (H. s. v. *mādā*), Š. *māde* (Venīs. 58,17; Viddhaś. 112,8); plur.: ins. AMg. *mājāhim* (Sūyag. 104); gen. A. *māhā* (Hc. 4,399). The nom. plur. is AMg. *māyaro* (Thān. 512; Samav. 230; Kappas. § 74. 77); besides AMg. JM. have i- and ū- stems (Hc. 3,46); gen. loc. sing. *māue* (Kappas. § 93; Āv. 12,9; loc. Vivāhap. 116): ins. plur. *māhiṁ* (Sūyag. 692 [°*i*]. 694); gen. plur. *māiñam*, *māiña* (Hc. 1,135; 3,46) which appears more frequently in compounds (§ 55). Voc. sing. *māi* in A. Piṅgala 1,2.—From *duhitṛ* the nom. Š. is *duhidā* (Mālav. 37,8; Ratn. 291,1; Viddhaś.

47,6. 10; Priyad. 52,6), the acc. S. *duhidaram* (Śak. 128,2), the voc. S. *duhide* (Viddhaś. 38,3 ed. Calc.). JM. *dhiyā*, S. Mg. *dhidā* and M. *dhūā*, AMg. JM. *dhūyā*, S. Mg. *dhūdā* are mostly used (§ 65.148), JM. *dhiyā*, S. Mg. *dhidā* which are inflected as ā-stems, especially in the combinations JM. *dāśedhījā*, S. *dāśedhīdā*, Mg. *dāśedhīdā*, comprehended as compounds (cf. *dāśieutta*). In S. Mg. the manuscripts and texts write *dhiā* mostly falsely. Nom. S. *dāśedhīdā* (Ratn. 302,8); AMg. JM. *dhūjā* (Āyār. 1,2, 1,1; 2,15,15; Sūyag. 635,657); Vivāgas. 105. 214. 228; Antag. 55; Nāyādh. 586 781. 1068. 1070. 1228; Vivāhap. 602. 987; Jiv. 355; Āv. 10,23; 11,10; 12,3; 29,14; 37,26ff.; Erz. 5,38); S. *ajjādhūdā* = *āryāduhitā* (Mṛcch. 53,23; 54, 7; 94,11; 325,14); acc. M. *dhūām* (H. 388), AMg. *dhūjām* (Vivāgas. 228. 229; Nāyādh. 820); ins. M. *dhūāi* (H. 370); *dhūē* (H. 864); S. *dāśedhīdāe* (Nāgān. 57,4). Mg. *dāśedhīdāe* (Mṛcch. 17,8); gen. S. *dāśedhīdāe* (Mṛcch. 77,12; Nāgān. 47,10); S. *ajjādhūdāe* (Mṛcch. 53,15; 94,4); loc. AMg. *dhūjāe* (Nāyādh. 727); voc. JM. *dāśedhīe* (Erz. 68,20); S. *dāśedhīdāe* (Mṛcch. 51,7. 10; 72,19; Karp. 13,2 [KONOW °*dhūde*]; Viddhaś. 85,11; Ratn. 294,3; 301,18; Nāgān. 57,3; Cāndak. 9,16); Mg. *dāśedhīdāe* (Mṛcch. 127,23). Plural: nom. acc. AMg. JM. *dhūjāo* (Āyār. 2,1,4,5; 2,2,1,12; Vivāgas. 217; Āv. 10,23; 12,1; Erz. 14,12); ins. JM. *dhūjāhi* (Erz. 14,16); gen. AMg. *dhūjānam* (Āyār. 1,2,5,1); S. *dhidānam* (Mālatīm. 288,5); voc. S. *dāśedhīdāo* (Cait. 84,7). From the stem *dhūjārā* is found the acc. sing. AMg. *dhūjāram* (Uttar. 641), ins. plur. *dhūjārāhi* (Sūyag. 229).—From *sasā* the nom. sing. is AMg. *sasā* (Hc. 3,35; Pāīyāl. 252; Sūyag. 176).

4) STEMS IN *o* AND *au*.

§ 393. Of the old flexion of *go* only a few remnants are traceable in AMg.: nom. *suyago* = *abhinavaprasütā gauh* (Sūyag. 180); nom. plur. *gāo* = *gāvah* (Dasav. 628,15); acc. plur. *gāo* = **gāvah* = *gāh* (Āyār. 2,4,2,9. 10); ins. plur. *gahim* = *gobhih* (Anuog. 351); gen. plur. *gavam* = *garām* (Samav. 83; Uttar. 293). The nom. sing. in AMg. is *gave* = **gavah* (Āyār. 2,4,2,10; Dasav. 628,17), as also at Sūyag. 147 we have to read for *gavam* of the text; the nom. plur. is AMg. *gavā* in *jaraggavā* = *jaradgāvah* (Sūyag. 185). For the masc. *goṇo* is mostly used in AMg. Mg. (Hc. 2,174; Deśin. 2,104; Triv. 1,3,105; Āyār. 2,1,5,3; 2,3,3,8. 13; 2,4,2,7; Sūyag. 708. 720. 724 f. 727; Jiv. 356; Pānhāv. 19; Samav. 131; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Uvās.; Mṛcch. 97,21; 98,20; 99,12; 100,13; 107,18; 112,17; 117,15; 118,5. 12-14. 24; 122,15; 132,16; at the last two places *goṇāim* with a change of gender according to § 358); AMg. *goṇattāe* = *gotvāya* (Vivāgas. 51). The fem. is JM. *goṇī* (Āv. 7,10. 12; 43,10), or M. *gāī* (Hc. 1,158; H.), AMg. JM. *gāvī* (C. 2,16; Hc. 2,174; Āyār. 2,1,4,3. 4; Vivāgas. 67; Jiv. 356; Dasav. 618,39; Dasav. N. 658,7; Āv. 43,11. 20; Dvār. 504,12. 14; Erz.). For the masc. Hc. 1,158 mentions *gāuo*, *gāo*, for the femin. *gāiā*, *gāī*. Of them *gāuo* = *gavayah*, *gono* is either = **gōṇṇo* for **guṇṇo* = **gūṛṇāh* from *Vgur* according to § 66¹, or = **gavana*. Cf. also § 8. 152.

¹ So more correctly than BB. 3,237.

§ 394. *nau* (ship) always forms, from the strong stem, a femin. *nāvā*, which is inflected according to the ā-declension (Hc. 1,164; Sr. fol. 16); sing. nom. AMg. *nāvō*, S. *nāvā* (Nāyādh. 741. 1339; Vivāhap. 105; Uttar. 716; Mṛcch. 41,20), A. *nāva* (Hc. 4,423,1); acc. M. *nāvām* (G.812), AMg. *nāvām*, *nāvām* (Āyār. 2,3,1,13 ff.; Sūyag. 68. 271. 438; Vivāhap. 105; Nāyādh. 741); ins. gen. AMg. *nāvāe* (Āyār. 2,3,1,15 ff.; Nāyādh. 1339 f.; Uvās. § 218); abl. AMg. *nāvāo* (Āyār. 2,3,2,2. 3); plur. ins. AMg. *māvāhi* (Dasav. 629,1).

5) STEMS IN *-t*.

§ 395. The nouns in *-t*, having a single stem, of which *t* is preceded by a vowel, cross over to the corresponding vocalic declension after dropping off of the final *t*: M. *indaīnā* = *indrajītā* (R. 14,16), gen. *indaīnō* (R. 12,58; 84) and *indaīssā* (R. 15,61), loc. *indaīmī* (R. 13,99); *tadī* = *tadit* (Hc. 1,202), A. *taī* = *tađitam* (Vikr. 55,2); *marū* = *marut* (Kī. 2,123; M. *vijjū* = *vidyut* (Vr. 4, 9; Bh. 4, 26; Hc. 1, 15; Kī. 2, 129; H. 585). From *jagat*, the nom. sing. is M. *jaām* (R. 5, 20; 9, 73), AMg. *jage* (Sūyag. 74), A. *jagu* (Hc. 4,343,1); the acc. AMg. *jagam* (Sūyag. 405, 537); the gen. A. *jaassu* (Hc. 4,440); the loc. M. *jaammi* (H. 364; R. 3,12; Karp. 78,4; 80,4) and *jae* (G. 239; H. 303), AMg. *jagai* (Sūyag. 104; text *°ti*), and *jagamī* (Sūyag. 306), JS. *jagadi* (Pav. 382, 26; text *°ti*), A. *jagi* (Hc. 4,404; Kk. 261,1). The feminine nouns mostly take the endings *-ā*: *sarit* becomes Pāli *sariṭā*, M. *sariā* (G. H. R.), JM. *sariyā* (Erz.), A. *saria* (Vikr. 72,9); M. gen. plur. *sariāhā* (Hc. 4,300); A. also ins. plur. *sariū* = **saribhih* = *saridbhīh* (Hc. 4,422,11). The transition to the *ā*-declension is prohibited by the grammarians for *vidyut*. Cf. § 244. According to Hc. 1,33 there is found *vijjunā* too beside *vijjū*, according to C. 1,4 nom. plur. *vijjuṇo* too.

§ 396. The stems in *-at*, *-mat*, *-vat* partly go according to the mode of Skt., especially in AMg., and partly according to that of the *a*-declension of the strong stems in *-anta*, *-mania*, *-vania*. Thus with the flexion of Skt.: sing. nom. AMg. *jānañ* = *jānan* (Sūyag. 1,332); *vijjañ* = *vidvān* (Sūyag. 126, 306, 380 ff.); *cakkhumāñ* = *cakṣuṣmāñ* (Sūyag. 546); *dītthimāñ* = *dr̥śtimāñ* (Sūyag. 200, 531); *āyavañ nānavāñ dhammavañ bambhavāñ* = *ātmavāñ jñānavāñ dharmavāñ brahmavāñ* (Āyār. 1,3,1,2), *putthavāñ* = *spṛṣṭavāñ* (Āyār. 1,7,8,8; in the passive sense), *thāmavāñ* = *sthāmavāñ* (Uttar. 50, 90), *ciṭṭhāñ aciṭṭhāñ* = *tiṣṭhan*, *atiṣṭhan* (Āyār. 1,4,2,2), *kuvvāñ* = *kurvan* (Sūyag. 31,863), *kināñ hanāñ pañāñ* = *krinan*, *ghnan*, *pacan* (Sūyag. 609); AMg. JM. *mahañ* (Āyār. 1,7,1,4; Sūyag. 582; Ovav. § 5; Kk. 271, 11); JM. *arahāñ* = *arhan* (Dvār. 495,9). In M. I have not been able to find this form. In S. Mg. it is confined to *bhagavat* and *bhavat* (pronoun) (Hc. 4,265). So S. *bhaavāñ* (Mrcch. 28,1; 44,19; Mudrār. 20,7; 179,3; Ratn. 296,5, 23; Vikr. 10,2; 23,19; 43,11 etc.); likewise Mg. (Mudrār. 178,6; Candak. 43,7); S. *bhavāñ* (Mrcch. 4,24; 6,23; 7,3; 18,25; Šak. 37, 1 etc.), *atthabhavāñ* = *atrabhavāñ* (Šak. 33,3; 35,7), *taṭhabhavāñ* = *tatra-bhavāñ* (Vikr. 46,5; 47,2; 75,3, 15); likewise P. *bhagavāñ* (Hc. 4,323), as in AMg. (Āyār. 1,8,1,1 ff.; Uvās. and very often). —Ins. AMg. *maiñayā* = *matimatā* (Āyār. 1,7,1,4, 2,5), *maiñayā* (Āyār. 1,8,1,22,2,16, 3,14,4,17; Sūyag. 373); AMg. *jānayā pāsyā* = *jānatā paśyatā* (Āyār. 1,7,1,3); AMg. JM. *mahañyā* = *mahatā* (Āyār. 1,2,1,1; Sūyag. 718; Vivāgas 239; Nayādh. § 15,135 etc.; Kk. 259,37), used also for the femin. in consonance with the following masculine and neuter (§ 355): *mahañyā idhīe mahañyā juie mahañyā baleñāñ...* = *mahatyardhyā mahatyā dyutyā mahatā balena...* (Jiv. 588 [text *jutie*]; Kappas. 102; Ovav. § 52); M. *bhaavañ* (G. 896), AMg. JM. *bhagavayā* (Āyār. 1,1,1,1. 7, 3, 5 etc.; Uvās.; and very often; Kk. 268, 17), S. *bhaavadā* = *bhagavatā* (Lalitav. 265,18; Šak. 57,17; Vikr. 23,6; 72,14; 81,2); likewise in S. *bhavadā* = *bhavatā* (Šak. 36,16; Vikr. 19,15), *atthabhavadā*, *taṭhabhavadā* (Vikr. 16,11; 30,9; 80,14; 84,19; Šak. 30,2), as also in the gen.: S. *bhaavado* (Šak. 120,5; Ratn. 294,5; 295,6), likewise Mg. (Prab. 52,6; Candak. 43,6); S. *bhavado* (Šak. 38,6, 8; 39,12; Mrcch. 52,12; Vikr. 18,10,20,19; 21,19 etc.), *atthabhavado* (Vikr. 21,10), *taṭhabhavado* (Mrcch. 6,4; 22,12; Vikr. 38,18; 51,113; 79, 16). So also in the proper

noun Š. gen. *rumanñado* = *rumanvatah* (Ratn. 320,16), of which the nom. is *rumanño*, as of an *n*-stem (Priyad. 5,5). In the case of adjectives and participles only the forms of the *a*-stems are otherwise usual in S.Mg. Hence false is Š. *gunavado* (Śak 84,8 ed. BURKHARD), for which ed. BÖHTLINGK 43.14, ed. Madras. 186,11 and the Kashmir recension 72,15 have likewise the false dative *gunavade*. On the dative *bhavade* see § 361. — Gen. AMg. *mahao* = *mahatah* (Sūyag. 312), *bhagavao* = *bhagavatah* (Āyār. 1,1,2,4; 2,15,9 ff.; Kappas. § 16. 28; Vivāhap. 1271; Uvās.; and very often), *padwajjao* = **pratipadyatah*, *viharao* = *viharatah* (Uttar. 116), *avijānao* = *avijānatah* (Āyār. 1,1,6,2; 1,4,4,2; 1,5,1,1), *akuvvao* = *akurvatah* (Sūyag. 540), *pakuvoa* = *prakurvatah* (Sūyag. 340), *karao* = *kurvatah* (Āyār. 1,1,1,5) *hañao* = *ghnatah* (Āyār. 1,6,4,2; 1,7,1,3), *kittayao* = *kirtayatah* (Uttar. 726), *dhiimao* = *dhrtimatah* (Āyār. 2,16,8). On Š. Mg. see above. — Loc. Š. *sadi* = *sati* (Śak. 141,7); M. *himavaī* = *himavati* (Mudrār. 60,9). — Voc. AMg. JM. *bhagavam*, *bhajavam* (Vivāhap. 205; Kappas. § 111; Erz. 2,32; 44,18; Dvār. 495,13); Š. *bhaavam* (Ratn. 296,24; 298,14; 300,33; Prab. 59,4; Śak. 73,5; V.kr. 86,10; Uttarar. 204,8 etc.); P. *bhagavam* (Hc. 4,323). Beside AMg. *āusam* = *āyusman* (Āyār. 1, 1, 1, 1; Sūyag. 792; Samav. 1) AMg. very frequently has *āuso* (Āyār. 1,7,2,2; 2,2,2,6—14,2,5,1,7, 13, 2,6,1,5. 10. 11; 2,7,1,2; 2,7,2,1. 2; Sūyag. 594; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas. etc.); further *samañāuso* (Samav. 31; Ovav. § 140; Nāyādh. 518. 614. 617. 652 ff.), that is used for the plural too (Sūyag. 579.582; Nāyādh. 497.504). *āuso* is rightly equated by LEUMANN (Aup. S. s. v.) as = **āyusmas*, hence it is to be connected with the Vedic vocative in *-as* (WHITNEY § 454). — In the plur. the nom. and the voc. only are formed dialectically according to the old flexion too. Nom.: AMg. *silamanto guṇamanto vā̄manto* (Āyār. 2,1,9,1); *mūlamanto kandamanto khandhamanto tāyāmanto sālamanto pāvālamanto* etc. (Ovav. § 4), *bhagavanto* (Āyār. 1,4,1,1; 2,1,9,1; Vivāhap. 1235; Kappas. §. 61), and so also Š. nom. *bhaavanto* (Mudrār. 20,5). For Š. *kidavanta* = *kṛtavantah* (Jivān. 40,26) we have to read *kidavantā*, on the other hand for voc. *bhavantā* (Śak. 27, 16 ed. BÖHTLINGK) we should with the ed. Madras. 135,7 read *bhaavanto*, as stands at Venis. 102,2. — Nom. plur. neut. are AMg. *pariggahāvanti* (Āyār. 1,5,2,4; cf. 1,5,3,1); *balavanti* (Uttar. 753); *eyāvanti savāvanti* = *etāvanti* **sarvāvanti* (Āyār. 1,1,1,5. 7); *āvanti* = *yāvanti* (Āyār. 1,4,2,3; 1,5,2,1. 4; cf. § 357); *jāvanti* (Uttar. 215). The sing. *abhiddavam* = *abhidravan* metrically stands for the plural (Āyār. 2,16,2). Cf. PISCHEL, Ved. Stud. 2.227. So also in prose the voc. JM. *bhajavam* (T. 4,14. 20) in addressing more than one. — As AMg. *samañāuso* is used for the plur. too, so is used very frequently the plural *āusanto* for the singular; hence it is to be taken as pluralis majestatis, since the nom. sing. in prose would be *āusante*: *āusanto samañā* = *āyusmañ śramana*, *āusanto gāhāvai* = *āyusman grhapate* (Āyār. 1,7,2,2; 5,2; 2,1,3,2; 2,3,1,16 ff.; 2,3,2,1. 2. 16; 2,3,3,5 ff. etc.); *āusanto gojāmā* = *āyusman gotama* (Sūyag. 962. 972. 981) beside *āyuso goyamā* (Sūyag. 964); *āusanto udagā* = *āyusmann udaka* (Sūyag. 969. 972. 1012. 1014). A doubtless plural is for example *āusanto niyanthā* = *āyusmanto nirgranthāh* (Sūyag. 989. 992). From the weak stem are formed *jānao*, *ajānao* (Āyār. 2,4,1,1), in case one, with the commentary and JACOBI (S B E. XII, 149) will like to take it as the nom. plur. However, it is not possible for the prose, so that the forms are to be explained as the gen. sing., which gives also a better sense.

§ 397. With the exception of the cases noted under § 396 the formation in *-anta*, *-manta*, *-vanta* prevails in all the dialects: sing. nom. M. *pianto* = *pibān*; *calanto* = *calan*; *bahugunavanto* = *bahuguṇavān*; *kunanto* =

kr̄nvan (H. 13. 25. 203. 265); AMg. *sāsanto* beside *sāsām* = *sāsat* (Uttar. 38); *anusāsanto* (Uttar. 39); *kiṇanto*, *vikkīnanto* = *kr̄inan*, *vikriṇan* (Uttar. 1010); *mūlamante*, *kandamante* = *mūlavān*, *kandavān* (Ovav. § 5); *vāṇīmantē*, *gandhamante* = *varṇavān*, *gandhavān* (Bhag. 1,420); *virājante* = *virājan* (Ovav. § 48); *viśīyanto* = *viśidān*, *ramanto* = *ramamāṇah* (Dasav. 613, 16; 641, 21); *cullahimavante* = *cullahimavān* (Thān. 176); JM. *sāṁthuvvanto* = *sāṁstūya-māṇah*; *gāyanto* = **gāyan*; *de n̄to* = **dayan*; *agūhanto* = *agūhan*; *paloe n̄to* = *pralokayan* (Āv. 7,25; 8,26; 9,5. 6; 15,21); *kandanto* = *krandan* (Erz. 42,12); JM. Š. *mahānto* (Erz. 8,5; 50,5; 63,28; Kk. 274,4; Vikr. 45,1; Mallikām. 245,5; Mudrār. 43,8); Š. *kareṇto* = *kurvan* (Mṛcch. 6,13; 40,23), *jāṇtanto* (Mṛcch. 18,23; 104,1); *puloanto* = *pralokayan* (Mahāv. 99,3), *cītānto* = *cittavān* (Śak. 87,13); Mg. *puścamde* = *pṛcchan* (Lalitav. 565,20); *mahante* = *mahān* (Mṛcch. 132,11; 169,18; Prab. 58,9; Venīs. 35,17; 36,3); *colaante* = *corayan* (Mṛcch. 165,9); *dāṁśaante* = *dārśayan* (Śak. 114,11); *mantaante* = *mantrayan* (Prab. 32,10; so to be read; ed. Bomb. 78,12 °to); Dh. *ācakkhanto* = **ācaksat* (§ 88; Mṛcch. 34,24); P. *cintayanto* = *cintayan*, *paribbhamanto* = *paribhraman* (Hc. 4,323); A. *hasantu* = *hasan*, *dāṁsijāntu* = *darśyamāna* (Hc. 4,383,3,418,6), *jagganto* = *jāgrat* (Piṅgala 1,62^a), *valanta* = *valan*; *ulhasanta* = *ullasan*; *gunavanta* = *guṇavān* (Piṅgala 1,4^b; 2,45); nom. neut. *bhaṇtaṁ* = *bhaṇat* (H. 218); *kirantam* = *kirat* (G. 1182); Š. *dīṣantaṁ* = *dr̄śyamānam* (Uttarar. 77,6); A. *dhaṇamāṇī* = *dhanavat* (Piṅgala 2,45). Mg. *dahante* (more correctly *dahadahante* with the v. l.; Venīs. 35,23) is related to the neuter *śonidam* = *śonitam*. In the acc. masc. the Skt. form becomes identical with the later formation of Pkt.: AMg. Š. *mahantam* (Āyār. 1,3,2,3; Sūyag. 944; Mṛcch. 40,22); M. *pījjantam*, *anu-nījjantam*, *avalambijjantam*, *paāsantam* = *pījyamānam*, *anunīyamānam*, *avalambya-mānam*, *prakāśayantam* (G. 466–469); AMg. *sāmārabhāntam* = *sāmārabha-māṇam*, *kiṇantam* = *kr̄inātam*, *gīṇhantam* = *gr̄hṇinātam* (Āyār. 1,2,2,3; 1,2,5,3; 2,7,1,1); JM. *jampantam* = *jalpantam* (Kk. 262,5); Š. *jāṇtāntam*, *santam*, *asantam* (Mudrār. 38,2; 63,9,10), *kappījjantam* = *kalpyamānam* (Mṛcch. 4,10), *uvvahantam* = *udvahantam* (Mṛcch. 41,10). False is Š. *bhaavadaṁ* for *bhaavantam* (Vikr. 87,17). Mg. *mālantam* = *mārayantam*, *yāntam* = *jīvāntam* (Mṛcch. 123,22; 170,5); *alihantam* = *arhantam* (Laṭakam. 14,19); A. *dārāntu* = *dārayantam* (Hc. 4,345); neuter: M. *santam* *asantam* (H. 513); Š. *mahantam* (Mṛcch. 28,11). — Ins. M. *pīanteṇa* = *pībatā*, *padanteṇa* = *patatā* (H. 246. 264); AMg. *vinīmuṣyanteṇam* = *vinīmuñicatā* (Ovav. § 48); *anukampantenam* = *anukampatā* (Āyār. 2,15,4); JM. *jampanteṇa* = *jalpatā* (KI. 15; Erz. 10,26); *kuṇanteṇa* = Vedic *kṛṇvatā* (KI. 15); *vaccanteṇam* = *vrājatā* (Āv. 11,19); JŚ. *arahanteṇa* = *arhatā* (Pay. 385,63); Š. *calāntena* = *calatā* (Lalitav. 568,5); *gāṇteṇa* = *gāyatā*, *karēṇtēna* = *kurvatā* (Mṛcch. 44,2; 60,25; 61,24); *harantena* (Uttarar. 2,9) *bhutīvantena* = *bhuktavatā* (Jivān. 53,11); Mg. *gaścantenā* = *gacchatā* (Mṛcch. 167,24); *āhiṇdantena* = *āhiṇḍamāṇena* (Candak. 71,12); A. *pāvasantena* = *pravasatā* (Hc. 4,333), *bhamaniē* = *bhramatā* (Vikr. 55,18; 58,9; 69,1; 72,10); *roantē* = *rudatā* (Vikr. 72,11). — Abl. AMg. *cullahimavaniō* = *cullahimavatā* (Thān. 177). — Gen. M. *ārāmbhantassa* = *ārabhamāṇasya*, *ramantassa* = *ramamāṇasya*, *jāṇtassā* = *jāṇataḥ* (H. 42, 44, 243), *visahantassa* = **viśahataḥ*, *vōcchindantassa* = *vyavacchiñdataḥ* (R. 12, 23; 15, 62); AMg. *āusantassa* = *āyuṣmatā* (Āyār. 2, 7, 1, 2; 2, 7, 2, 1); *bhagavantassa* = *bhagavataḥ* (Kappas. § 118); *vasantassa* = *vasataḥ* (Uvās. § 83), *cajantassa* = *tyajataḥ* (Ovav. § 170); *cullahimavantassa* (Jiv. 388 f.); *kahantissa* = *kathayataḥ* (Sūyag. 907); *jīṇtassa* = *jayataḥ* (Dasav. 618, 14); JM. *acchantassa* = *rcchataḥ*, *dhūvēṇtassa* = *dhūpayataḥ*, *sārakkhantassa* = *sāmra-kṣataḥ* (Āv. 14, 25; 25, 4; 28, 16); *karēṇtassa*, *kuṇtassa* = *kurvatā*

(Erz. 1,24;18,10); JM. *cintantassa*, Š. *cintaantassa* = *cintayataḥ* (Erz. 11,8; 18,16; Šak. 30,5); Š. *mahantassa* = *mahaīataḥ* (Uttarar. 105,5); *maggantassa mārgamāṇaya, nikkamantassa* = *nīkrāmataḥ* (Mṛcch. 95,7; 105,24); *hanumantassa* = *hanumatataḥ* (Mahāv. 125,14); Mg. *vaññamdaśśa* = *vrajataḥ* (Lalīav. 566,7), *alihantaśśa* = *arhataḥ* (Prab. 52,7); CP. *naccantassa* = *nṛiyataḥ* (Hc 4,326); A. *mellantahō* = *tyajataḥ*, *dēntahō* = **dayataḥ*, *jujjhan-tahō* = *judhyataḥ*, *kārantaḥ* = *kurvataḥ* (Hc. 4,370,4.379,1. 400).—Loc. M. *samāruhantammi* = *samārohati*, *hōntammi* = *bhavati*, *ruantammi* = *rudati* (H. 11. 124. 596); *hañūmane* and *hañumanlammi* = *hanumoti* (R. 1,35; 2,43); AMg. *jalante* = *jvalati* (Kappas. § 59; Nāyādh § 34; Uvās. § 66; Vivāhap. 169); *sante* = *sati* (Āyār. 2,5,1,5; 2,8,1; 2,9,1), *himavante* = *himavati* (Uvās. § 277); *arahantaṁsi* = *arhati* (Kappas. § 74; Nāyādh. § 46), *abhinikkhamantammi* = *abhiniskrāmati* (Uttar. 279); Š. *mahante* = *mahati* (Šak. 29,7); D. *jiante* = *jivati* (Mṛcch. 100,9); A. *pavasanī* = *pravasati* (Hc. 4,422,12).—Voc. M. *āloanta sasanta jambhanta ganta roanta mucchanta padanta khalanta* = *ālokayan śvasan jṛmbhamāṇa gacchan rудан mūrchan pātan skhalan* (H. 547); *mahanta* (desiring), *muanta* = *muñcan* (H. 510. 643), Mg. *alihanta* = *arhan* (Prab. 54,6; 58,7; Latakam. 12,13).—Plural: nom. M. *padantā, nīvadantā* = *patantah, nīpatantah* (G. 122. 129. 442); *bhīndantā* = *bhīndataḥ*, *jāṇantā* = *jānataḥ* (H. 326. 821); AMg. *sīlamantā* = *sīlamantah* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1); *jampantā* = *jalpantah* (Sūyag. 50); *vāyantā* ja *gāyantā* ja *naccantā* ja *bhāṣantā* ja *sāsantā* ja *sāvēntā* ja *rakkhantā* ja = *vācayantaś ca gāyantaś ca nrityantaś ca bhāṣamāṇaś ca sāsataś ca śrāvayantaś ca raksantaś ca* (Ovav. § 49, V); *pūrayantā, pēcchantā, ujjoeñtā, kareñtā* = *pūrayantah, prekṣamāṇāḥ, uddyotayantah, kurvantah* (Ovav. [§ 37]); *buddhimantā* = *buddhimantah* (Sūyag. 916); *arahantā* = *arhantah* (Kappas. § 17. 18), even in the combination *arahantā bhagavanto* (Āyār. 1, 4, 1, 1; 2, 4, 1, 4 [so to be read]; Vivāhap. 1235), likewise *samañā bhagavanto sīlamantā* (Āyār. 2, 2, 2, 10); JM. *kiḍantā* = *krīdantah* (Av. 30,15); *gavesantā* = *gavesayantah*, *coijantā* = *codyamānāḥ* (Kk. 273, 42; 274, 3); *santā* = *santah*, *carantā* = *carantah* (Erz. 1. 12. 13); Š. *pūjijantā* = *pūjīyamānāḥ*, *sikkhantā* = *sīksantah* (Mṛcch. 9, 1; 71, 21), *khelantā* = *khelantah* (Uttarar. 108, 2); Mg. *śāśantā* = *śvasantah*, *pañivasantā* = *prativasantah* (Mṛcch. 116,17; 169,3); A. *phukkijjanta* = *phūṭkriyamāṇih* (Hc. 4,422,3); *guṇamanta* = *guṇavantah* (Piṅgala 2,118); neuter: AMg. *vāññamantāṁ* *gandhamantāṁ* *rasamantāṁ* *phāsamantāṁ* = *varṇavanti gandhavanti rasavanti sparśavanti* (Āyār. 2,4,1,4; Vivāhap. 144; Jiv. 26); acc. M. *unnamante* = *unnamataḥ* (H. 539); AMg. *arahante bhagavante* = *arhato bhagavataḥ* (Vivāhap. 1235; Kappas. § 21), *samārabhante* = *samārabhamāṇḍan* (Āyār. 1,1.3 5); JS. *arahante* (Pav. 379,3); neutrum: AMg. *mahantāṁ* (Vivāhap. 1308 f.). — Ins. M. *visarṇghadanteḥiṁ* = *visarṇghaṭadbhiḥ* (H. 115), *vinjanteḥiṁ* = *vinirgacchadbhiḥ* (G. 138); AMg. *jīvanteḥiṁ* = *jīvadbhiḥ*, *ovāyanteḥiṁ* ja *uppāyanteḥiṁ* ja = *apāpataḍbhiś coipataḍbhiś ca* (Kappas. § 97); *pannāñnamanteḥiṁ* = **praññānamadbhiḥ* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1); *āvasanteḥiṁ* = *āva-sadbhiḥ* (Āyār. 1,5,3,4); *bhagavanteḥiṁ* = *bhagavadbhiḥ* (Anuog. 95); *arahanteḥiṁ* = *arhadbhiḥ* (Thān. 288; Anuog. 518 [°ri°]); *santeḥiṁ* = *sadbhiḥ* (Uvās. § 220. 259. 262); JM. *āpuccanteḥiṁ* = *āprchadbhiḥ* (Av. 27,11); *magganteḥiṁ* = *mārgamāṇaiḥ* (Av. 30,17); *gāyanteḥiṁ* = *gāyadbhiḥ*, *bhananteḥiṁ* = *bhanadbhiḥ*, *āruhanteḥiṁ* = *ārahadbhiḥ* (Erz. 1,29; 2,15. 21); Š. *gacchanteḥiṁ* = *gacchadbhiḥ* (Mudrār. 254,3); *anicchanteḥiṁ* = *anicchadbhiḥ* (Bālar. 144,9); *gāñanteḥiṁ* = *gāñadbhiḥ* (Gait. 42,2); Mg. *pavisanteḥiṁ* = *pavisiḍdbhiḥ* (Candak. 42,11); A. *nivasantahī* = *nivasadbhiḥ*, *valantahī* = *valadbhiḥ* (Hc. 4,422,11. 18). — Gen. M. *entānam* = *āyatām*, *cintantāna* = *ciniyatām* (H. 38,83); AMg. *arahantānam* *bhagavantānam* (Vivāhap. 1235);

Kappas. § 16; Ovav. § 20. 38); *santānam* = *satām* (Uvās. § 85); *pannānamtānam* = **prajñānamatām* (Āyār. 1 6,1,1); JM. *āyārantānam* = *ācaratām* (Dvār. 502,28), *carantānam* = *caratām* (Āv. 7,9), *kunantānam* = *kurvatām* (Kk. 270,40), *joyantānam* = *paśyatām* (Erz. 73,18); JŚ. *arihantānam* (Pav. 379,4; 383,44 ["ra"]); Š. *pēkkhantānam* = *prekṣamāṇānām* (Venīs. 64,16; Nāgān. 95,13); Mg. *alihantānam* = *arhatām*, *namantānam* = *namatām* (Prab. 46,11; 47,1); *niskamantānam* = *niskṛamatām* (Caṇḍak. 42,12); A. *pēcchantāna* = *prekṣamāṇānām*, *cintantāhā* = *cintayatām*, *navantāhā* = *namatām*, *jo-intāhā* = *paśyatām* (Hc. 4,348. 362. 399. 409). — Loc. M. *dhavalā-ntesu* = **dhavalā-yatsu* (H. 9); JM. *naccantesu* = *nṛiyatsu* (Erz. 2,2), *gacchantesu* = *gacchatsu* (Āv. 7,26; Erz. 7,19), *kīlantesu* = *kṛidatsu* (Erz. 16,16); Š. *parihariantesu* = *parihriyamānesu* (Mudrār. 38,10), *vartantesu* = *vartamānesu* (Pārvatīp. 2,5; text *vat̄adesu*). — Voc. AMg. *āusantā* = *āyuṣmantāh* (Āyār. 2,3,2,17).

§ 398. In the case of the stems in -at, -mat, -vat we find isolated forms, that are built according to the model of the nouns, having a single stem, that is to say, from the weak stem: nom. JM. *bhagavo* (Erz. 25,19); Mg. *hanūme* = *hanūmān* (Mṛcch. 11,8); cf. Mg. *haṇūmaśihale* (Mṛcch. 133,12) and M. *variahanumām* (R. 12,88); AMg. *asaṁ* = *asat* (Sūyag. 35); acc. AMg. frequently *mahaṁ* = *mahantām* and *m̄hat* (Āyār. 2,15,8; Uttar. 325; Vivāgas. 221; Vivāhap. 1325; Uvās. s. v. *maha*; Nāyādh. § 22. 122), femin. too (Vivāhap. 105), and *bhagavām* = *bhagavantām* (Uvās. s. v.; Kappas. § 15. 16. 21; Bhag. 1,420; Ovav. § 33. 38. 40 etc.). — Forms from the weak stem in -ta, transported to the a-declension, are also found. So nom. sing. AMg. *ajānao* = **ajāntāh* = *ajānan* (Sūyag. 273; text *avijāṇao*), *vijāṇao* = *vijānan* (Nandis. 1); nom. plur. femin. *amatimajā* = **amatimatāh* = *amatimatyāh* (Sūyag. 213); gen. plur. mascul. *bhavajāṇām* = **bhavatānām* = *bhavatām* (Uttar. 354). For Š. *himavadassa* (Pārvatīp. 27,13; 32,19; 35,1) we should read *himavantassa*, as in the last place GLASER (31,15) has. — From *arhat* are always formed the nom. *arahā*, *arihā*, as from the stem *arhan* (e. g. Uvās. § 187; Kappas.; Ovav.); likewise is found M. *haṇumā* (Hc. 2,159; Mk. fol. 37; R. 8,43). Cf. also § 601. — On AMg. *āusantāro*, *bhajantāro* see § 390).

6) STEMS IN -n.

§ 399. 1) Stems in -an, -man, -v-n. -rāā-, AMg. JM. *rāyā-*, Mg. *lāā-* = *rājan*. In the declension of *rājan*, the old n-stem and the a-stem, that appears at the beginning of a compound come beside one another. Besides an i-stem should be deduced from the original separation-vowel i (§ 133).

Singular.

Nom. *rāā*, [*rāo*]; AMg. JM. *rāyā*; Mg. *lāā*; P. *rājā*; CP. *rāoā*.

Acc. *rāānam*; [*rāinam*, *rāum*]; AMg. JM. *rāyānam*, *rājam*; Mg. *lāānam*.

Ins. *rānnā*, *rāñā*; JM. also *rāṇa*; [*rāṇā*, *rāñā*]; Mg. *lāññā*; P. *raññā*, *rāciñā*.

Abl. [*rāṇo*, *rāiṇo*, *rāōo*, *rāādo*, *rāāu*, *rāādu*, *rāāhi*, *rāāhimto*, *rāā*, *rāāṇo*].

Gen. *rāṇo*, *rāiṇo*; AMg. JM. also *rāyassa*; [*rāāṇo*, *rāāno*]; Mg. *lāñño*, *lāiṇo*; P. *raññō*, *rāciñō*.

Loc. [*rāimmi*, *rāammi*, *rāe*].

Voc. [*rāā*, *rāā*, *rāo*]; AMg. JM. *rāyā*, *rāyā*, AMg. also *rāyam*, Š. *rāam*; Mg. [*lāam*]; P. *rājam*.

Plural.

Nom. *rāāṇo*; AMg. JM. *rāyāṇo*, *rāiṇo*; [*rāā*]; Mg. *lāāṇo*.

Acc. *rāāṇo*; AMg. JM. *rāyāṇo*; [*rāiṇo*, *rāe*, *rāā*].

Ins. *rā̄him*; [*rā̄heim*].

Abl. [*rā̄himū*, *rā̄himuto*, *rā̄hīmto*, *rā̄isumto*, *rā̄asumto*].

Gen. *rā̄nam*, [*rā̄nam*, *rā̄nam*]; JM. *rā̄nam*, *rā̄yānam*.

Loc. [*rā̄sum*, *rā̄esum*].

Voc. = nom.

On the declension of *rājan* see Vr. 5,36—44; Hc. 3,49—55; 4,304; Ki. 3, 35—40; Mk. fol. 44. 45; Sr. fol. 20. Cf. § 133. 191. 237. 276. Most of the cases are found in the texts often in AMg. JM. S.: sing. nom. S. *rāā* (Mrcch. 28,2. 12; 68,8; Śak. 40,7; Vikr. 15,4; 39,13; 75,3; 79,7 etc.); AMg. JM. *rājā* (Sūyag. 105; Ovav. § 11. 15; Uvās.; Kappas.; Āv. 8,37; 21,1 ff.; Erz.); Mg. *lāā* (Mrcch. 128,10; 139,25; 140,1; Candak. 43,5); P. *rājā*, CP. *rācā* (Hc. 4,304. 323. 325). — Acc. JM. *rāyānam* (Erz. 2,5; 24,26; Kk. III, 510,32) and *rāyān* (Uttar. 443; Ovav. § 55; Nāyādh. §78; Nirāyāv. § 8. 22; Erz. 33,23); Mg. *lāānam* (Mrcch. 138,25). — Ins. AMg. JM. *rāñā*, *rānnā* (Nāyādh. § 23; Ovav. § 41; Kappas.; Āv. 8,23. 30. 33. 40. 53; Erz. 24,23; 25,11) and JM. *rāñā* (Āv. 8,35. 38; 9,17; Erz. 1,22; 18,19; 24, 28; 25,6; Kk. 260,30; 261,7; 270, 42; III, 510, 6); JM. *rāena* (Āv. 8,6); S. *rāñā* (Mrcch. 4,10; 102,1; 103,15; Śak. 57,4); Mg. *lāñā* (Śak. 113,7; 117,3) in agreement with Hc. 4,302, whilst at Mrcch. 158,23. 25 *lāñā* stands; P. *rāñā*, *rāciñā* (Hc. 4,304. 320). — Gen. AMg. JM. *rānno*, *rānno* (Uvās. § 113; Ovav. § 12. 13. 47. 49; Kappas.; Āv. 8,12. 27. 29. 54; Erz. 1,2; 32,13; 33,25); JM. also *rāino* (Erz. 46,24; 47,3. 4; 49,1) and *rāyassa* (Kk. II, 505,17; III, 512,34); S. *rānno* (Mrcch. 99,25; 101,21. 23; Śak. 29,3; 54,2; Vikr. 28,19) and *rāino* (Mālatim. 90,6; 99,4; Kāmasav. 49,10); Mg. *lāñño*, written as *lānno* (Mrcch. 168,3) and *lāino* (Mrcch. 171,11); P. *rāñño*, *rāciño* (Hc. 4,304). — Voc. AMg. *rājā* (Nirāyāv. § 22); mostly *rāyām* (Uttar. 409.414.417.418.444.503 etc.); JM. *rājā* (Kk. 261,12); S. *rāam* (Hc. 4,264; Śak. 31,10); Mg. *lāam* (Hc. 4,302); P. *rājam* (Hc. 4,323); A. *rāā* (Hc. 4,402). — The nom.voc. *rāo*, ins. *rāñā*, abl.gen. *rāñō* are mentioned only by Sr., and the abl. *rāādo*, *rāādu* by Bh. Ki. 3,40 mentions also the ins. *rāñā*, which is meant by C. 3,19, p. 49 too, where we should read *rāñā* in place of *rādā*. — Plural: nom. AMg. JM. *rāyāño* (Āyār. 1,2,3,5; Sūyag. 182; Nāyādh. 828. 830; Jiv. 311; Erz. 17,29; 32,24. 32; Kk. 263, 16), JM. also *rāino* (Erz. 9,20; Kk. III, 512,13 [so to be read for *rāyāno*]); S. *rāāno* (Śak. 58,1; 121,12; Mudrār. 204,1); Mg. *lāāno* (Śak. 115,10). — Acc. AMg. JM. *rāyāño* (Nāyādh. 838; Kk. 263,16). — Ins. AMg. JM. *rā̄him* (Nāyādh. 829. 833; Erz. 33,12). — Gen. AMg. JM. *rāñām* (Āyār. 1,2,5,1; Nāyādh. 822 ff. 832 ff.; Āv. 15,10; Kk. 263,11), JM. also *rāyāñām* (Erz. 28,22).

§ 400. At the end of compounds, the *a*-stem does not prevail, as in Skt., but all the stems appear dialectically as unconnected words: sing. nom. AMg. *ikkhāgarājā* = *aiksvākarājah* (Thān. 458; Nāyādh. 692. 729); *devarājā* = *devarājah* (Āyār. 2,15,18; Uvās. § 113; Kappas.); JM. *vikkamarāo* = *vikramarājah* (Kk. II, 507,12), but *dīharājā* = *dīgharājah* (Erz. 6,2); S. *mahārāo* = *mahārājah* (Śak. 36,12; 56,11; 58,13; Vikr. 5,9; 9,4; 10,20); *juarāo* = *juvarājah* (Śak. 45,6); *āngarāo* (Venis. 66,3); *vaccharāo* = *vatsarājah* (Priyad. 32,2; 33,7); *vallaharāo nāma rāā* (Karp. 32,4). — Acc. JM. *gaddabhillarājāñām* (Kk. 261,29); S. *mahārāam* (Vikr. 27,17). — Ins. AMg. *devarānnā* (Kappas.); S. *āngarāēna* (Venis. 60,5); *nārāēna* = *nāgarājena* (Nāgān. 69,18); *mahārāēna* (Vikr. 8,9; 29,13). Nāyādh. 852 has the mixed form AMg. *devarāñēnam*. — Gen. AMg. *asurakumārārānno*, *asurārānno* (Vivāhap. 198); *devarānno* (Vivāhap. 220 ff.), *devarānno* (Kappas.); JM. *sagarānno* = **śakarājñāh* (Kk. 268,15); *vā̄rasim̄harājāyassa* (Kk. II, 505, 17); S. *vaccharāassa* (Priyad. 33, 9); *kalingarānno* (Priyad. 4, 15);

riurāīno = ripurājasya (Lalitav. 567, 24); *mahārāassa* (Vikr. 12, 14; 28, 1); *aṅgārāassa* (Venīs. 62, 13); Mg. *mahālāasā* (Prab. 63, 4). — Voc. AMg. *pañcālarāyā* (Uttar. 414); *asurārāyā* (Vivāhap. 254), both with pluti; S. *aṅgārāā* (Venīs. 66, 14); *mahārāā* (Vikr. 12, 13). — Plural nom. AMg. *gaṇarāyāño* (Kappas. § 128); JM. *lādāyavisayārāyāño = lātakaviṣayārājāḥ* (Kk. 264, 18); S. *bhimasenaṅgarāā = bhimasenāṅgarājāu* (Venīs. 64, 9). — Acc. AMg. *gaṇarāyāño* (Niāyāv. § 25). — Ins. AMg. *devarāhiṁ* (Vivāhap. 241). — Gen. AMg. *devarāīnam* (Vivāhap. 240 f.; Kappas.); JM. *sagarāīnam* (Kk. 266, 41). For S. Mg. only the forms of the *a*-stems will be correct.

§ 401. *ātman* forms: sing. nom. AMg. *āyā* (Āyār. 1, 1, 3, 4; Sūyag. 28, 35; 81, 838; Uttar. 251; Vivāhap. 132, 1059 ff.; Dasav. N. 646, 13); JS. *ādā* (Pav. 380, 8 etc.; § 88); M. AMg. JM. JS. *appā* (G. 333. 798. 887. 899. 952. 956. 1120; H. 39. 193. 361. 672. 754. 880; R.; Uttar. 19; Dasav. N. 646, 5; Nāyādh.; Bhag. 1, 420; Erz.; Kk.; Pav. 380, 11; 382, 27; 385, 61; Mṛcch. 12, 7; 78, 11; Śak. 19, 7; 137, 6; 140, 7; Ratn. 291, 2; 295, 9; 299, 17; 307, 31 etc.); S. Mg. *attā* (Śak. 104, 4; Mg. Mṛcch. 140, 21)¹. — Acc. M. AMg. JM. JS. Dh. *appānum* (G. 240. 860. 898. 953. 1070. 1201; H. 516. 730. 756. 902. 953; R.; Āyār. 1, 3, 3, 2; 2, 3, 1, 21; Sūyag. 415 [°nā]; Vivāhap. 178; Kappas. § 120; Nāyādh.; Nirayāv.; Āv. 17, 9, 10; Erz.; Kk.; Pav. 382, 27; 385, 65; 386, 70; Kattig. 399, 313; Mṛcch. 32, 14); AMg. also *attānam* (Āyār. 1, 1, 3, 3; 1, 3, 3, 4; 1, 6, 5, 4; 2, 5, 2, 2 [so to be read for *attā nām*]; Sūyag. 474 [°nā]) and *ājānam* (Sūyag. 367); S. Mg. only *attānaam* = **ātmānakam* (Mṛcch. 90, 21; 95, 4; 96, 7. 10, 14; 141, 17; Śak. 14, 3 [so to be read]; 24, 1; 60, 8; 63, 9; 64, 2; 74, 5; 124, 8; 137, 12; 159, 12; Vikr. 7, 17; 23, 13 etc.; Mg. Mṛcch. 37, 13; 133, 21; 162, 21. 24; 169, 7); false *attānam* (Mṛcch. 327, 3; Priyad. 41, 14); *appānam* (Priyad. 12, 9; 23, 10; 28, 1, 5) and *appānaam* (Cait. 75, 16)². — Ins. M. AMg. JM. S. *appānā* (G. 78. 83. 910; H. 159; R.; Āyār. 2, 5, 2, 2; Sūyag. 170; Vivāhap. 67. 178; Kappas. S. § 59; Erz.; Vikr. 84, 7). — Abl. AMg. *ājao = *ātmataḥ* (Sūyag. 474), and so, or we have to read *attao* for *āttao* of the text at Sūyag. 472 too; JM. *appāppāno* (T. 5, 18). — Gen. M. AMg. JM. JS. D. Ā. *appāno* (H. 6, 281. 285; R.; Āyār. 1, 2, 5, 1, 1, 5; 1, 3, 2, 1; Sūyag. 16; Kappas. § 8. 50. 63. 112; S. 2; Nāyādh.; Erz.; Pav. 380, 7; D. Mṛcch. 103, 20; Ā. Mṛcch. 104, 9); M. *attāno* (G. 63. 90 [v. l. °ppā°]. 96; H. 201 [v. l. °ppā°]), and so always stands in S. Mg. (Mṛcch. 141, 15; 150, 13; 166, 15; Śak. 13, 10; 25, 1; 32, 1, 8; 51, 4; 54, 7 etc.; Vikr. 13, 4; 15, 3; 32, 17; 46, 7; Ratn. 297, 32; 303, 32; 304, 11 etc.; Mg. Mṛcch. 114, 14; 116, 19; 154, 20; 164, 4). — Voc. *appām* (Hc. 3, 49). — Plur. nom. *appāno = ātmānah* (Bh. 5, 46; Hc. 3, 56; Ki. 3, 41; Mk. fol. 45). — From *appa-* = *ātma-*, that appears in the beginning of a compound, has been deduced a substantive *appa-*, that is declined according to the *a*-declension (Hc. 3, 56; Mk. 45): nom. *appo*; abl. *appāo*, *appāu*, *appāhi*, *appāhiṁto*, *appā*; loc. *oppē*; voc. *appa*, *appā*; Plur. ins. *appēhi*; abl. *appāsumto*; gen. *appānam*; loc. *appesu*. Found in the texts are: acc. AMg. *appām* (Sūyag. 282); ins. AMg. *appēna* (Sūyag. 282), *appēnam* (Sūyag. 207); gen. A. *appāho = *ātmasyaḥ* (Hc. 4, 346); loc. AMg. *appē* (Uttar. 293); plur. *appā* in M. *suhambharaṇṇa ecia = suhambhārātmāna eva* (G. 993). With *kah svārthe*, this stem occurs in JM. *appāyām* (Erz. 52, 10) and A. *appāū* (Hc. 4, 422, 3) = *ātmakam*. Further new *a*-stems are built from the old strong and weak stems. Thus from the strong stem: sing. nom. M. JM. *appāno = *ātmānah = ātmā* (Vr. 5, 45; Hc. 3, 56; Mk. fol. 45; G. 882; H. 133; R.; Sagara 10, 1); *attāno* (Mk. fol. 45); AMg. *ājāne* (Vivāhap. 132). — Ins. AMg. *appāneṇam* (Āyār. 1, 1, 7, 6; 1, 5, 5, 2; 2, 1, 3, 3, 5; 2, 15, 2, 24; Vivāhap. 178). — Gen. JM. *appānassa* (Erz.). — Loc. M. *appāne* (R.). — Plur. nom. AMg. *ājānā* (Sūyag. 65); *appānā* (Hc. 3, 56). With *kah svārthe*:

acc. JM. *attānayām* (Erz.); Š. Mg. *attānaam* (see above). — Gen. M. *appānaassa* (G. 955). In the first member of a compound there appears the strong stem in AMg. *appāparakkhi* = *ātmarakṣi* (Uttar. 197); JS. *appānasamām* (Kattig. 400,331). From the weak stem: sing. nom. *appano* (Ki. 3,41). — Acc. A. *appanu* (Hc. 4,337). — Ins. M. *appanena* (Ki. 3,41; H. 827); A. *appanem* (Hc. 4,416). With *kah svār̥te*: acc. *appanaam* (Hc. 2,153); A. *appanai* (Hc. 4,350,2); gen. Mg. *attānaśā* (Mṛcch. 163,20). — In the first member of a compound the weak stem appears in Š. *attākeraka* (Mṛcch. 74, 8; 88, 24), Mg. *attānakelaka* (Mṛcch. 13, 9; 21, 20; 118,17; 130,10; 139,16: 164,3; 167,2); A. *appanachandaū* = *ātmacchandakam* (Hc. 4,422,14). It occurs also in the ins. *appanāt*, *appanātā* (Hc. 3,14, 57) of which the explanation is uncertain and in JM. *savvappaṇayāe* = **sarvātmanatayā* (Erz. 58, 31). Whilst the nom. sing. AMg. *ājā* would be taken as in the femin. (§ 358), one built as ins. sing. AMg. *ājāe* = *ātmanā* (Vivāhap. 76. 845), *añāyāe* = *anātmanā* (Vivāhap. 76).

1. In Šak. 104,4 we should probably read with I. *appā*. — 2. PISCHEL on Hc. 3,56. Wrongly WEBER, IS, 14,235.

§ 402. The stems, appearing in Skt. in compounds and as the strong-stems, also of other masculine nouns ending in *-an*, are used, as independent *a*-stems, beside the old flexion of Skt., as in the case of *ātman* (§ 401); So sing. nom. *addhā* and *addhāno* = *adhvā* (Bh. 5,47; Hc. 3,56; Mk. fol. 45)-acc. AMg. *addhī* for *addham* (§ 173; Sūyag.59) and in the bahuvrihi *dīha-mt addham* = *dirghādhvānam* (§ 353); loc. AMg. *addhāne* (Uttar.712). In the first member of a compound stands the strong *a*-stem in AMg. *addhānapaṭidivanna* = *adhvapratipanna* (Vivāhap.153). Since *addhā* elsewhere in AMg. is used as feminine (§ 358), the acc. *addham* too may be derived from it. — Sing. nom. D. *bamhā* (Vr. 5,47; Hc. 3,56; Mṛcch. 105,21), JM. *bambho* (Erz. 30,20), AMg. *bambhe* (Kappas. Th. § 6) = *brahmā*; acc. M. *bamham* (H. 816); gen. AMg. *bambhassa* (Jiv. 912); plur. nom. AMg. *bambhā*, as *ajjamā* = *aryamaṇau* (Thāṇ. 82). — Sing. nom. *muddhā* and *muddhāṇo* = *mūrdhā* (Hc. 3,56; Mk. fol. 45); acc. AMg. *muddhāṇam* (Ovav. § 19; Kappas. § 15); ins. AMg. *muddhēṇa* (Uttar. 788) and *muddhāṇēṇam* (Uvās. § 81. 83); loc. AMg. *muddhi* = *mūrdhni* (Sūyag. 243) and *muddhāṇī* (Vivāhap. 1442); plur. nom. AMg. *°kayamuddhāṇā* = *°kṛtamūrdhāṇā* (Nāyād. § 40). — M. *mahimām* = *mahimānam* (G. 885). — M. *savvathāmēṇa* = *sarvasthāmnā* (H. 567). — Š. *vijaavamā* = *vijayavarmā* (Ratn. 320,16), voc. *vijaavamāṇa* (Ratn. 320,19 32); Š. *dīḥavamā* = *drīḥavarmā* (Priyad. 4,15); but PG. *sivakhandavamo* = *śivakandavarmā* (5,2), *bhaṭṭisammasa* = *bhaṭṭisarmaṇah* (7,50), VG. *śirvijayaabuddhavamassa* (101,3); Š. *cittavamīmo* = *citravarmā* (Mudrār. 204,2); Š. *miankavammo* (Viddhaś. 73,2), *miankavammasa* (Viddhaś. 43,7; 47,6; 113,2); A. *vāṇikima* = *vakrimāṇam* (Hc. 4,344); *ucchā* and *ucchāṇo* = *uksā* (Hc. 3,56; Mk. fol. 45), also *ukkhāṇo* (Mk. fol. 45); *gāvā* and *gāvāṇo* = *grāvā*; *pūṣā* and *pūṣāṇo* = *pūṣā* (Hc. 3,56; Mk. fol. 45); *takkhā* and *takkhāṇo* = *takṣā* (Hc. 3,56). So is explained also AMg. *simghāna* = *ślesman* (§ 267). At the end of bahuvrihi the words mostly go over to the *a*-declension from the stem of the composita, particularly when the last member is a neuter (cf. § 404): M. *thīrapēṇmo* = *sthāra-premā* (H. 131; so to be read with H. 134, as also Bhuvanapāla (IS. 16,117) has *pimmo*); M. *añōṇṇapparūḍhapēṇmāṇam* (Pārvatip. 45. 13); AMg. *akamme* = *akumā* (Āyār. 1,2,3,1); AMg. *kayabalikamme* = *kṛtabali-karmā* (Ovav. § 17), femin. *°kammā* (Kappas. § 95); JS. *rahidapariṇammo* = *rahitapariṇamā* (Pav. 388,27); AMg. *samvuḍakammasa* = *saṁvṛtakarmanāḥ* (Sūyag. 144); AMg. *bahukūrakammā* = *bahukūrakarmāṇah* (Sūyag. 282);

JM. *kajāyamaṇakammā* = *kṛtācamanakarmānah* (Dvār. 500,39); AMg. *jāyathāmē* = *jātasthāmā* (Kappas. § 118; AMg. *itihiyā.. parūḍhanahakesakakkharomā* = *striyah... prarūḍhanakhakesakakṣaramnyah* (Ovav. § 72); JM *namuñāmo* = *namucināmā* (Erz. 1,20), but also *cittasambhūjanāmāno* = *citrasambhūtanāmānau* (Erz. 1,19); S. *laddhañāmassa* = *labdhanāmānah* (Ratn. 321, 29); S. *kidāāraparikammā* = *kṛtācāparikarmāṇam* (Sak. 30,6); S. *anyasāñkantapēmmā* = *anyasāñkrāntapremānah* (Vikr. 45,2); Mg. *dinnakalavī-ladāmē* = *dattiakaravirādāmā* (Mṛcch. 157,5), *uddāmē* = *uddāmā* (Mr. ch. 175,14). For Mg. *uddānē* *iva* *kiśclī* (Mṛcch. 161,5) we should read *uddāma vva kiśclī*.

§ 403. From *maghavan* the n.m. sing. is *maghono* (Hc. 2,174) from the extended weak stem, the acc. is AMg. *maghavām* (Vivāhap. 249). — *yuvan* is inflected as: sing. nom. M. JM. S. *yuvā*, *juā* (Bh. 5,47; Hc. 3, 56; H.; Dvār. 501,15; Mṛcch. 28,5. 9; Pārvatip. 31,8); beside M. JM. *yuvāno* (Bh. 5,47; Hc. 3,56; Ki. 3,41; Mk. fol. 45; H.; Prab. 38,10; Dvār. 506,31 also at the end of compounds), AMg. *yuvāne* (Vivāhp. 212. 214. 218. 222. 280. 287. 349) and *yuvām*, as from a t-stem (§ 396; Āyār. 2,4,2, 10; 2,5,1,1); with *kah svārthe*: M. *hāmsajuāṇo* (Vikr. 64,5; 74,4); femin. M. *juāñā* (H.); ins. M. *juāñēṇa* (H.), JM. *juvāñena* (Erz. 43,18); voc. M. *juāñā* (H.); plur. nom. M. *juāñā*, AMg. *juvāñā* (H. also at the end of compounds; Thān. 371; Antag. 55); ins. M. *juāñehi* (H.); gen. AMg. *juvāñāñam* (Anuog. 323); voc. AMg. *juvāñā* in *he juvāñā tti* (Thān. 488; Anuog. 324). — From *sīan* are found: sing. nom. *sāñō* (Bh. 5,47; Hc. 3,56), AMg. *sāñe* (Āyār. 2,4,1,8), A. *sāña* (Piṅgalā 1,99), therefore, the stem, which likewise occurs in AMg. (Panhāv. 20); gen. AMg. *sāñassa* (Uttar. 12). — From the different stems, which one tends to put together under *panthan* or *pathin* or *pathi* or *path*, are found exclusively from *patha*: sing. nom. *pantho* (Hc. 1,30) and *paho* (Vr. 1,13; Hc. 1,88; Ki. 1,18; Mk. fol. 7); acc. AMg. JM. *panthām* (Hc. 1,88; Āyār. 1,7,1,2; Thān. 248; Āv. 22,26; 46,5. 11. 15), AMg. *pan:h-* = *pantham* (§ 173; Sūyag. 59), AMg. *paham* (Sūyag. 59; Uttar. 3,4); ins. M. JM. *pahena* (G. 423; Kk. 269,29; Āv. 36,33), AMg. *pahenām* (Uttar. 635); abl. JM. *panthāo* (Kk. 264,4); loc. JM. *panthe* (Erz. 36,28); A. *panthi* (Hc. 4,429,1), AMg. *pahe* (Uttar. 324), JM. *pahammi* (Dvār. 504,1); plur. nom. M. *panthāno* (H. 729), AMg. JM. *panthā* (Sūyag. 110; Erz. 7,3); gen. AMg. *panthāñām* (Sūyag. 189); loc. AMg. *panthesu* (Uttar. 53). — In compounds appear the stems M. JM. *pantha*, *vāntha* (H.; R.; Āv. 46,6) and *paha*, *vaha* (G.H.R.; Kk.; Erz.).

§ 404. The neutra in *-an* sometimes become masculine (§ 358); but they are inflected mostly like the neutra in *-a*. Thus e.g. *pēmmā* = *preman*: sing. nom. M. S. *pēmmāñ* (H. 81. 95. 124. 126. 232; Ratn. 299,18; Karp. 78,3. 6); acc. M. S. *pēmmām* (H. 522; Vikr. 51,16; Karp. 76,8. 10); ins. *pēmmena* (H. 423. 746. 966); gen. M. S. *pēmmassa* (H. 53, 390. 511. 910. 940; Karp. 75,9); loc. M. *pēmmammi* (Karp. 79,5), M. S. *pēmme* (H. 304; Karp. 75,10); plur. nom. M. *pēmñāñim* (H. 127. 236.287); gen. M. *pēmmāñām* (H. 10). — Sing. nom. M. S. Mg. *nāmāñ*, AMg. JM. *nāmāñ* (H. 452; Kappas. § 108; Āv. 13,29; 14,19; Erz. 4,34; Vikr. 30,9; Mg. Mudrār. 191,5; 194,7); acc. S. Mg. *nāmāñ* (Mṛcch. 28,21, 37,25); ins. S. Mg. *nāmena* (Vikr. 16,9; Mṛcch. 161,2), JM. *nāmena* (Āv. 8,5), AMg. *nāmenāñ* (Ovav. § 105), *nāmenām* (Kappas. § 107); loc. M. *nāme* (G. 89); plur. nom. JM. *nāmāñi* (Āv. 13,28) and AMg. JM. *nāmāñim* (Uvās. § 277; Āv. 14,18). Skt. *nāma* (by name; namely) becomes M. S. Mg. *nāma* (G. H. R.; Mṛcch. 23,22; 28,23; 40,22; 94,25; 142,12 etc.; Mg. Mṛcch. 21, 10; 38, 2; 40, 9), JM. *nāma* (Āv. 15, 8; 16, 29; 39, 2;

Erz. 1,1. 20; 11,17 etc.), but AMg. *nāmāṁ* (Ovav. § 11; Kappas. § 124; Uvās.; Bhag.; Nāyādh.; Nirāyāv.) and *nāma* (Ovav. § 1. 12; Kappas. § 42. 129).—Sing. nom. AMg. JŚ. *jammāṁ* = *janma* (Uttar. 636; Kattig. 399,321); acc. M. AMg. *jammāṁ* (H. 844; Āyār. 1,3,4. 4; Sūyag. 689); ins. Š. *jammēṇa* (Šak. 141,10); abl. AMg. *jammāo* (Sūyag. 689. 756); gen. AMg. *jammassa* (Sūyag.); loc. JM. Š. *jammē* (Āv. 12,13; 25,37; Nāgān. 35, 5), A. *jami* (Hc. 4, 383, 3; *so* to be read).—Sing. nom. M. AMg. *kammam* = *karma* (R. 14, 46; Uttar. 247. 413. 505); acc.; AMg. JŚ. *kammāṁ* (Sūyag. 381. 382. 456. 496; Kattig. 399,319; 400, 327; 403,373. 374. 377); ins. AMg. *kammēṇāṁ* (Vivāhap. 168. 190; Uvās. § 72. 76); gen. M. AMg. JŚ. *kammassa* (H. 614; Uttar. 178; Pañṇav. 665. 671 f.; Kappas. § 19; Pav. 383,27), Mg. *kammāha* (Hc. 4,299, with note; the Kashmir recension of Šak. reads 108,13 *kammāo*); loc. AMg. *kammānsi* (Thān. 208; Rāyāp. 249), JM. *kamme* (Erz. 38,31); against the dialect is Š. *kammammi* (Kāmsav. 50,2) for the correct *kamme* (Kāleyak. 25,8); plur. nom. AMg. *kammā* (Uttar. 113); acc. AMg. *kammātīṁ* (Sūyag. 284; Uvās. § 138; Ovav. § 153) and *kammā* (Uttar. 155), *ahākammāṇi* (Sūyag. 873), JŚ. *kammāṇi* (Pav. 384,59); ins. AMg. *kammehīṁ* (Āyār. 1,4, 2,2,3,3; 1,5,2,3; Sūyag. 716. 718. 719. 721. 771; Uttar. 155. 175. 205. 218. 221. 593; Vivāhap. 147. 168. 185), *ahākammehīṁ* (Uttar. 155. 205); gen. AMg. *kammāṇāṁ* (Sūyag. 1012; Uttar. 156. 205; Samav. 112; Uvās. § 74), *kammāṇa* (Uttar. 177); M. according to Hc. 4,300 also *kammāhā*; loc. Š. *kammesu* (Viddhaś. 28,6), Mg. *kammēsu* (Mudrār. 191,9). On the nom. Š. *kamme* see § 358. Of the isolated forms may be mentioned: sing. loc. AMg. *cammaṇsi* = *carmani* (Kappas. § 60), *romānsi* = *romni* (Uvās. § 219), *ahaṇsi* = *ahani* (Āyār. 2,15,11); Š. *pavve pavve* = *parvani* (*parvani* (Kāleyak. 13,20)); plur. acc. M. *cammātīṁ* (H. 631); ins. AMg. *lomehīṁ* = *lomabhiḥ* (Uvās. § 94. 95); AMg. Š. *dāmehīṁ* = *dāmabhiḥ* (Jiv. 348; Rāyāp. 63; Mrccch. 69,1); loc. M. *dāmesu* (G. 784); JŚ. *pavvesu* = *parvasu* (Kattig. 402,359). Dialectically the old Skt. forms have sometimes been retained: sing. nom. M. *camma* = *carma* (H. 955); nom. acc. AMg. JŚ. Š. Mg. *kanma* = *karma* (Āyār. 1,4,3,2; 2,2,2,13. 14; Sūyag. 282; Uttar. 113. 178; Pav. 386,4; Venīs. 62,5; Uttarar. 197,10; Mg. Šak 114,6 [verse]; Venīs. 33,5). For Š. Mg., except in verses, the form will be false for *kammāṁ*. In Mrccch. 70,24 we should read *amūṁ...kammatoranātīṁ*, which is pointed to by the v. l. in GODABOLE p. 201; for Š. *pema* (Prab. 41,6) the ed. Bomb. 91,6 reads *ppema* for *pēmma* (Karp. 77,10 ed. Bomb.) KONOW 76,8 rightly has *pēmmāṁ*. Ins. AMg. *kammāṇā* (Āyār. 1,3,1,4); probably wrongly for *kammunā*, as AMg. JM. otherwise have (§ 104; Āyār. 1,4,4,31; 1,8,1,13. 17; Sūyag. 108. 151. 377. 542. 873. 978; Uttar. 28. 43. 177. 217. 505. 592. 753 f.; Jiv. 796; Pañhāv. 134. 391; Vivāhap. 283. 1808; Erz. 25,20; Sagara. 2,9). Likewise there appears *u* for *a* in the gen. sing. AMg. *kammuṇo* (Uttar. 170. 223. 312), in the gen. plur. AMg. *kammūṇāṁ* (Sūyag. 542) and in the ins. sing. AMg. *dhammuṇā* from *dharman* in the combination *kālādhammuṇā samijutta* = *kālādharmanā samyukta* (Thān. 157; Vivāgas. 82 ff. 117. 155. 207. 217. 225. 238; Nāyādh. 329. 1099. 1421). Corresponding to Skt. *karmataḥ* AMg. has *kammao* (Uvās. § 51), and Š. *jammado* (Raṭn. 298,11) is = Skt *janmataḥ*. The loc. Š. *kammāṇi* (Bālar. 251,8) will be false. A loc. plur. AMg. *kammasu* = *karmasu* stands at Sūyag. 403 in the verse.—As the masculina form a new stem in *-āṇa* (§ 401. 402), so the neutra dialectically form a stem in *-ana*: AMg. *jammanāṁ* = *janma* (Hc. 2,174; Jiv. 122. 123. 136 ff.); AMg. JM. *jammanāo* (Uttar. 1105; Pañhāv. 72 ff.; Nāyādh. 290; Vivāhap. 1159. 1738. 1741 f. 1773; Sagara 6,10; Erz.); JM. *jammanāṁ* = *karma* (Erz. 52,17; 56,31), *jammanāo* (Erz. 29,23). The same stem with *u*, as in the ins. gen sing., gen. plur. of *karman*, occurs in the abl. sing. AMg. *kammūṇā*

(Āyār. 1,7,8,2; Sūyag. 17)². *bamhaṇa* = *brahman* (*Ki.* 3,41) too is to be included in the neuter.

1. JACOBI reads with the ed.Calc. against the MSS. *kammāṇi*, to accept which he is constrained to refer to this acc. plur. by *saphalaṁ* (SBE. XXII, p. 4.). We should with the MSS. read *kammuṇā*, and *saphalaṁ* is to be assumed as = *svaphalaṁ*.—2. *kammuṇā* u can also be deduced. Yet perhaps the interpretation given above is better.

§ 405. 2) Stems in -in, -min, -vin. The stems in -in, -min, -vin, partly according to the style of Skt., and partly on the basis of the stem in -i, that appears in the beginning of the composita, are declined according to the i-declension. Sing. nom. M. AMg. JM. Š. *hatthī*, Mg. *hastī*, A. *hatthī* = *hasī* (R. 8,36; Ovav. § 11; Erz. 16,18; Mrcch. 40,22,25; Mg. Hc. 4,289; Mrcch. 40,9; 168,4; A. Hc. 4,443); M. *sihi* = *śikhī* (H. 13); AMg. JM. Š. *tavaśī*, Mg. *tavaśī* = *tapasvī* (Kappas. S. § 61; Āv. 32,18; Erz. 25,6; Šak. 132,8; Mg. Mrcch. 97,3); AMg. *mehāvī* = *medhāvī* (Āyār. 1,2,2,3; 1,2,6,2,5; 1,6,4,2,3), metrically also *mehāvī* (Sūyag. 414); JS. *nānī*, AMg. *nānī*, = *jñānī* (Kattig. 402. 358. 360; 403. 377. 379. 382. 384; 404. 386; Sūyag. 318); neuter M. *viāsi* (Mukund. 14,10); Š. *kāri* (Bālar. 56,14). The acc., in majority of cases, is formed according to the i-declension: M. AMg. JM. *hatthīm* = *hastinam* (Mrcch. 41,16; Āyār. 2,1,5,3; Vivāhap. 850; Nirāyāv. § 18; Erz. 72,21); AMg. *tavaśīm* (Āyār. 2,2,2,4; Vivāhap. 232), *bambhayārīm* = *brahmacārinam* (Uttar. 487), *oyassim* *teyassim* *vaccassim* *jasassim* = *ojasvinam* *tejasvinam* *varcasvinam* *yaśasvinam* (Āyār. 2,2,1,12), *pakkhīm* = *paksinam* (Āyār. 2,3,3,8; 2,4,2,7), *sēṭṭhīm* = *śreṣṭhinam* (Samav. 84); JM. *sāminī* = *svāminam* (Āv. 32,14. 32; 33,6); Š. *kañcūniṁ* = *kañcukinam* (Vikr. 45,10; Priyad. 48,21), but otherwise Š. *piaārinam* (Vikr. 10,14), *uaārinam* (Vikr. 12,11; 13,18), *jālovaṇivinam* = *jālopaṇivinam* (Šak. 116,7), *vālinam* (Mahāv. 55,12).—Ins. M. *sasiṇā* (R. 2,3,10,29,42), *avalambīṇā* (G. 301); AMg. *gandhahatthīṇā* (Nirāyāv. § 18), *nīhāriṇā* = *nīhāriṇā* (Ovav. § 56), *tāmalīṇā* *bālatavassīnā* (Vivāhap. 235); JM. Š. *sāminā*, Mg. *sāminā* = *svāminā* (Āv. 32,24; Kk. 260,29; Šak. 116,8; Mahāv. 120,12; Venīs. 62,23; 64,5; 66,8; Mg. Mrcch. 118,21; 162,17,19; Venīs. 35,12); JM. *visambaghātīṇā* = *visrambhaghātīṇā* (Erz. 68,4), metrically also *mantīṇa* for *mantīṇā* = *mantriṇā* (Āv. 13,13); Š. *kāṇṇovaghādīṇā* = *karnopaghātīṇā* (Šak. 29,8); Mg. *kālinā* = *kāriṇā* (Mrcch. 158,21; Prab. 54,6).—Abl. AMg. *sihārī* = *śikhariṇā* (Thān. 177).—Gen. M. *piṇāīṇo* = *piṇākinā* (G. 41), *sasiṇo* (G. 60. 953. 1108. 1132; H. 319; R. 10,46), *guṇasālīṇo* *vi kariṇo* = *guṇasālīṇo* *pi kariṇā* (H. 788); AMg. *jasassīṇo* = *yaśasvinā* (Sūyag. 304), *gihīṇo* = *grihinā* (Uvās. § 83. 84); JM. *sāmino* (T. 5,12) and AMg. JM. *sāmissa* (Vivāhap. 188; Āv. 32,27); JM. *egāgiṇo* = *ekākinā* (Erz. 9,16). In AMg. JM. more frequent is the ending -issa, that is yet otherwise traceable in JS. only: AMg. *mājissa*, *amājissa* = *māyiṇā*, *amāyinā* (Thān. 150), *bambhayārīssa* = *brahmacārinā* (Nāyādh. § 87; Uttar. 917 f.), *vatthadhārīssa* = *vastradhārīṇā* (Āyār. 2,5,2,1), *abhikāñkissa* = *abhikāñkīṇā* (Uttar. 921), *tavassissa* (Vivāhap. 231. 233. 236), *hatthīssa* (Rāyap. 270; Vivāhap. 491. 493); both forms stand beside one another in AMg. *egantacārīssa* *tavassino* (Sūyag. 909); JM. *panaīssa* = *pranayinā*, *virahissa* = *virahinā* (Kk. 270,23; 274,4), *kāmissa* = *kāminā* (Erz. 71,4), *sēṭṭhīssa* = *śreṣṭhīṇā* (Āv. 37,26); JS. *kavalanānīssa* = *kevalajñānīṇā* (Pav. 381,20); Š. *virohīṇo* = *virodhīṇā*, *vāsīṇo*, *paribhoīṇo* = *parit hogīṇā* (Šak. 18,11; 23,8; 38,5), *ahiṇīvesīṇo* = *abhiṇīvesīṇā* (Mālav. 41,17), *sohīṇo* = *sobhīṇā* (Ratn. 292,12); Mg. *sāmīṇo* = *svāminā* (Šak. 117,6), *aṇumagga-*
gāmīṇo = *anumārgagāmīṇā* (Venīs. 35,6).—Loc. AMg. *rūppimī* = *rūkmiṇī*, *sīharamī* = *śikhariṇī* (Thān. 75), *cakkavaṭīṁsi* = *cakravartīṇī* (Nāyādh. § 46).—Vec. AMg. JM. *sāmī* (Kappas. § 49; Nāyādh. § 46. 73; Āv. 32,

26); JM. *sāmi* (Āv. 15,24; Erz. 6,34; 8,19); Š. *kañcui* (Vikr. 45,15; Ratn. 327,7; Priyad. 50,8 [text [?]]).—Plural: nom. M. *phañiṇo*, *virāviṇo*, *sañkiṇo* (G. 390. 611. 863. 880), *guṇiṇo* = *guṇinah*, *cāṇo* = *tyāginaḥ* (H. 673), also *sāmī* = *svāminah* in *sāmī cca* (H. 91), *vāṇahatthī* = *vanahastinah* (R. 8,36); AMg. *dvālaśāṅgiṇo* = *dvādaśāṅginah* (Ovav. § 26), *dāñdiṇo mundiṇo sihāndiṇo jadiṇo picchino* beside *dāñdī mundisihāndī picchi* in the similar following verses (Ovav. § 49, V), *agāriṇo*, *dañsiṇo* = *darśinah* (Sūyag. 301. 368. 370), *tassanīkīṇo* = *tacchañkīṇah* (Sūyag. 936), *abambhacāriṇo* = *abrahmacārinah* (Uttar. 351), *pāragāmiṇo*, *dhuvacāriṇo*, *sammattadāniṇo* = *samyaktavadarśinah* (Āyār. 1,2,2,1; 1,2,3,4; 1,2,6,3), beside the more frequent nom. in -ī, as *nānī* = *jñāninah*, *akkandakārī* = *ākrandakārinah*, *pakkhī* = *pakṣinah* (Āyār. 1,4,2,3; 1,6,1,6; 2,3,3,3), *hatthī* = *hastinah* (Āyār. 2,3,2,17; Sūyag. 172; Nāyādh. 348), *ojasī tejasī vaccamī jasamī* = *ojasvinas tejasvino varcasino yaśasvinah* (Vivāhap. 185), *rūvī ja arūvī ja* = *rūpiṇas ca cārūpiṇas ca* (Vivāhap. 207), *cakkavatī* = *cakravartinah*, *cakkajohī* = *cakrayodhinah* (Thān. 197. 512). JM. too has both the forms beside one another: *mantiṇo* = *mantriṇah* (Kk. 262,30), *dariddiṇo* = *daridriṇah* (Erz. 50,2), beside *mahātavassi* = *mahātapasvinah* (Kk. 269,24), *hatthī* = *hastinah* (Erz. 32,6). In Š. and presumably in Mg. too the form in -ī is not used so little, as in the case of the *i*-stems (§ 380): Š. *pakkhiṇo* = *pakṣinah*, *sippiṇo* = *śilpinah*, *avattabhbhāsiṇo* = *avyaktabhāsiṇah* (Mṛcch. 38,21; 71,2; 103,6), *kusumadāiṇo* = *kusumadāyinah*, *dhammaāriṇo* = *dharmaśāriṇah* (Šak. 10,2; 20,1), *parivanthiṇo* = *paripanthinah* (Vikr. 8, 9), *kañcuiṇo* = *kañcukinah* (Mallikām. 186,16). Very seldom and probably a false reading is the ending -io in Š.: *sāmīo* = *svāminah* (Karṇav. 48,19; 50,1). Neuter: AMg. *akālapadibohīṇi* *akālapaṭibhōṇi* = *akālapratibodhīṇi* *akālapratibhōṇi* (Āyār. 2,3,1,8), *rāyakulagāmīṇi* (Nirayāv. § 21).—Acc. AMg. *pāniṇo* = *prāṇinah* (Sūyag. 266), *mauli* = *mukulinah* (Pañhāv. 119), *thāṇi* = *sihāninah* (Sūyag.); JM. *bharahanīvāsiṇo* (Sagara 9, 8).—Ins. AMg. *pakkhīhim* = *pakṣibhīḥ* (Sūyag. 289), *savvadarisīhim* = *sarvadarśibhīḥ* (Nandis. 388), *paravārīhim* = *paravādībhīḥ* (Ovav. § 26), *mehāvīhim* = *medhāvībhīḥ* (Ovav. § 48; Kappas. § 60), *hatthīhī* (Nāyādh. 330. 344); JM. *manihi* = *mantrībhīḥ* (Āv. 8,36; Kk. 262,17); Mg. *vāndīhim* = *vandībhīḥ* (Lalitav. 565, 13).—Abl. AMg. *asannīhīmto* = *asamīñībhīyah*, *pakkhīhīmto* = *pakṣībhīyah* (Jīv. 263. 265); A. *sāmīlū* = *svāmībhīyah* (Hc. 4,341,2).—Gen. M. *barāṇīna* = *barīṇām* (G. 349); AMg. *mahāhīmavāntaruppiṇam* = *mahāhīmavādrukmīṇoh* (Samav. 114. 117), *pakkhīṇam* = *pakṣīṇām* (Jīv. 325), *gandhaḥatthīṇam*, *cakkavatīṇam*, *savvadarisīṇam* (Ovav. § 20; Kappas. § 16); JM. *kāmatīkīṇam* = *kāmōrīthīnām*, *vāṇīṇam* = *vādinām* (Erz. 29,31; 69,20), *pāṇīṇa* = *prāṇayinām* (KI. 15); JŚ. *dehīṇam* (Kattig. 402, 363); Mg. *śāmīṇam* = *svāmīnām* (Karṇav. 48,17; 49,12; so to be read for "mī").—Loc. M. *pāṇīsu* = *prāṇayīsu* (G. 728); AMg. *hatthīsu* = *hastiṣu*, *pakkhīsu* = *pakṣīṣu* (Sūyag. 317), *tavassīsu* = *taṭpasiṣu* (Pañhāv. 430); Š. *sāmīsu* (Mahāv. 119,14; so to be read).—Voc. Š. *sañkaraghārādhīvāsiṇo* (Mālatīm. 128, 7); Mg. *vāndīno* (Lalitav. 565, 17; 566, 5. 15). Numerous forms built according to Skt. flexion are retained in verses, especially in AMg. (§ 99).

§ 406. Sometimes also in the case of nouns in -in, we find a stem extended by *a*: *sakkhiṇo* = *sākṣī* (Hc. 2,174), but JM. Š. *sakkhī*, Mg. *sahkī* (Āv. 38,5; Mṛcch. 53,11; 164,25), Š. *sakkhīkādava* = **sākṣikṛtvā* (Vikr. 45,20), plur. nom. M. Š. *sakkhīno* (Kaṛp. 86,5; Š. Uttarar. 77,4; Karp. 14,2); M. *sīhiṇam* = *sīkhi*, plural nom. *sīhiṇā*, ins. *sīhiṇehī* (bosom; Desin. 8,31; Triv. 1,4,121; Karp. 31,7; 79,10; 95,10); AMg. *kīmīṇa* = *kīmīṇa*, *sakīmīṇa* = *sakīmī* (Nāyādh. 995; Pañhāv. 525. 529); AMg. Š.

barahiṇa, A. *barhiṇa* = *barhin* (Pañnav. 54; Ovav. § 4; Nāyādh. § 61. 62; p. 914; Uttarar. 21,9; A. Vikr. 58,8), A. *barhiṇa* (Hc. 4, 422, 8), beside M. S. *barahi-* (G.; Viddhāś. 51,7); M. JM. *gabbhiṇa* = *garbhīn* (Vr. 2,10; Hc. 1,208; Ki.2,31; Mk.fol.15; G.R.; Sagara 4,11; cf. § 246). — In PG. the following forms are found: ^oyājī (5,1), gen. ^oppadāyino = *pradāyinah* (6, 11), but also *khaṇḍakōmāsa* = *skandakundinah* (6, 19), *nāgaṇamāsa* = *nāgaṇandinah* (6,25), *golisa* = *godinah* (6,25) from *goda* = *gonda* 2) in B.-R. appropriately; ins. plur. ^osāmīhi = ^osvāmibhiḥ (6,11). ^ovāsīhi = ^ovāsibhiḥ (6,35, 36).

7) STEMS IN -s.

§ 407. Like the nouns in -t and -n, those in -s too have three stems: 1) the old stem in -s, 2) after dropping off of s, a stem in -a, -i, -u, i. e. in the vowel that was immediately before the s, and 3) a stem in -sa, formed by extension with a. So: M. *siroampa* = *śirakkampa* (R. 12, 31), *sirakavalāṇa* = *śirakkavalana* (G. 351); AMg. *devio...raiyasirasāo* = *devyah...racitaśiraskāh* (Ovav. § 55); Mg. *śilāścālāṇa* (Mrcch. 126,7). Cf. § 347. AMg. *joīthāṇa* = *jyotiḥsthāna*, *joisama* = *jyotihsama* (Uttar. 375. 1009); PG. *dhamāyubalayasovadhanike* = *dharmaṇyurbalayaśovardhanakān* (6,9; cf. VG. 101,8); M. JM. *āukkhae* = *āyuhksaye* (H. 321; Erz. 24,36), JM. *āudalāṇi* = *āyurdalāṇi* (Kk. 268,22). In M. JM. AMg. the neuter nouns in -as are used as masculine as a rule (§ 356).

§ 408. Nouns in -as. — The forms built from the old s-stem are: sing. masc. nom. AMg. *dummaṇā*, *sumāṇā* (Sūyag. 692), S. *durvāsā* = *durvāsāh* (Śak. 72,10), the stem also in the compound *durvāṣāśāvō* = *durvāsahśāpah* (76, 5), with a long vowel according to § 64; S. *purūravā* = *purūravāh* (Vikr. 40,21), Mg. *śamaśāśidamaṇā* = *samāśvastamanāḥ* (Mrcch. 134,23). One must consider, M. JS. S. Mg. *namo*, AMg. JM. *namo* = *namas*, as neuter, since S. Mg. do not turn the neuter in -as to masc. (e. g. M.: G. H.; AMg.; Vivāhap. 172; Ovav.; Kappas.; JM.: Kk.; R̄shabhap.; JS.: Pav. 379,4;389,4; S.: Mrcch. 128,18,21; Śak. 120,5; Mg.: Mrcch. 114,10, 22; 133,17; Prab. 46,11). Cf. § 175. 498. Neuter is also JS. *tao* = *tapañ* (Pav. 387,26). Acc. S. *purūravasām* (Vikr. 36,9); neut. AMg. JS. *mano* = *manas* (Kappas. § 121; Pav. 386, 70). The old forms in the ins. are frequent in AMg. JM.: AMg. *manasā vajyasā* = *manasā vacasā* (Thāṇ. 40), more often *manasā vajyasā kājyasā* (§ 364), *na cakkhusā na manasā na vajyasā* (Pañhāv. 461); AMg. JM. *tejasā* = *tejasā* (Āyār. 2,16,5; Pañhāv. 507; Thāṇ. 568; Ovav. § 22; Vivāhap. 169; Rāyāp. 238; Kappas. § 39. 59. 118; Erz. 39, 8); AMg. JS. *tavasā* = *tapasā* (Sūyag. 348; Uttar. 174; Uvās. § 76, 264; Ovav. § 21. 24. 38. 62; Pav. 388,27); AMg. *rajatasā* = *rajasā* (Āyār. 2,1,1, 3,4; Sūyag. 551), *sahasā* (Thāṇ. 368), *cejyasā*, *jatasā* (Samav. 81. 83. 85), *strasā* (Kappas.; Ovav.), also in S. (Vikr. 27,17). On the irs. in -sā of a-stems see § 364. — Loc. *urasi*, *sirasi*, *sarasi* (Hc. 4,448); AMg. *tamasi* (Āyār. 1,6,1,3); S. *purūravasi* (Vikr. 35,15), *tavasi* (Śak. 21,5); Mg. *śilasi* (Mrcch. 17,1; 116,15).

§ 409. The usual flexiop is with the a-stem: sing. nom. M. *vimano* (R. 5,16); AMg. *uggatavo* = *ugratapah* (Uttar. 362), *tammane* = *tanmanāḥ* (Vivāhap. 114), *pūmane* = *pritimanāḥ* (Kappas. § 15,50; Ovav. § 17), *uggatave dittatave tattatave mahātave ghoratave* (Ovav. § 62); ^oraijavacche = ^oracitavaksāḥ (Ovav. § 19); JM. *tammano* = *tanmanāḥ*, *bhāsurasiro* = *bhāsuraśrūḥ* (Erz. 12,6; 69,6); JS. *adhikatejo* = *adhikatejāḥ* (Pav. 381,19); fem. M. *vimāṇa vva* (R. 4,31), AMg. *pūmaṇā* (Kappas. § 5); S. ^osanikantamanāḥ = ^osaṅkrāntamanāḥ (Mrcch. 29, 3); *pajjassuamanāḥ* = *pratyutsukamanāḥ*.

(Śak. 50,2); neut. M. *dummaṇām* (R. 11,14); AMg. JM. *sejām* = *śreyah* (Uttar. 204. 672. 678; Vivāgas. 218; Vivāhap. 232; Nāyādh. 333. 482. 574. 609 616; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.). Mg. *sīla* (Mr̄cch. 112,8,9) stands on account of metre for *śīle* = *śīrah*. Cf. § 364. In the masc. the comparative in *-yas* in AMg. JM. partly have extended their strong stem with *a*, as *sejāmīṣe* = *śreyān*, *pāvīyāmīṣe* (text *pāvāmīṣe*) = *pāpīyān* (Thān. 314. 315), and partly have weakened them, as AMg. *kaṇīyāse* = *kaṇīyān* (Kappas. Th. § 1; Antag. 32), JM. *kaṇīyāsō* (Dvār. 501,29), which, however, as the acc. AMg. JM. *kaṇīyāsām* (Uvās.; Dvār. 495, 30) too can be equated as = Skt. *kāṇīyāsa*, which, however, is probably itself a first secondary. From the old comparative *bāliyas* has developed an adjective **bāliya*, nom. S. *bāli* (Śak. 50,5; 51,2), which has regularly shortened its *i* under the influence of the old accent: *bālia* (strong, thick, dense; Desin. 6,88; Mg. Mr̄cch. 14,10; JM. Āv. 35,17; Erz. 9,17; Kk. 261,42) and of which the neuter *bāliān* is used adverbially in the meaning "very" (Pāīyāl. 90; M. Śak. 55,16; S. Vikr. 27,21; 51,15; Mālav. 68,11; Mg. Śak. 154,13; Venīs. 34,3). — Acc. AMg. masc. *dummaṇām* (Kappas. § 38), *jājaveajīm* = *jālavedasam* (Uttar. 365), *jājateyām* = *jātatejasam* (Samav. 81); femin. M. *vimanām* (R. 11,49); very frequently in the neuter: M. AMg. *urām* (R. 1, 48; 4,20. 47; Āyār. 1,1,1,5; Vivāgas. 127); M. AMg. *jasām* = *yaśas* (R. 2, 5; 4,47; Uttar. 170), Dh. *jaśām* (Mr̄cch. 30,9); M. *nahām*, AMg. *nahām* (R. 1,7; 5,2. 64; Ovav.); AMg. *tamām* (Sūyag. 31. 170); M. *sirām* (R. 11,35. 64. 73. 90. 94); AMg. Mg. *maṇām* (Uttar. 198; Mr̄cch. 30,24); AMg. *vajām* = *vayas* (Āyār. 1,2,1,2. 5 beside nom. *vao* in 1,2,1,3); JM. *tejām* = *tejas* (Erz. 3,10; 8 24); AMg. JS. *rajām* = *rajas* (Sūyag. 113; Fav. 385,61); A. *taū*, *tavu* = *tapas* (Hc. 4,441,1. 2). — Ins. M. *vaccheṇa* = *vaksasā* (G. 301); *sireṇa* = *śīrasā* (Hc. 916), also in A. (Hc. 4,367,4) and S. (Bālar. 246,6), AMg. *sireṇām* (Thān. 401); M. *tameṇa* = *tamasā* (R. 2, 33); AMg. *teena* (Uttar. 363), *teṇām* = *tejasā* (Uttar. 341; Vivāhap. 1250; Uvās. § 94); M. AMg. *raeṇa*, AMg. *raeṇām* = *rajasā* (H. 176; Uttar. 109; Ovav. § 112); M. *manena*, AMg. *maṇēṇām* = *manasā* (G. 347; Sūyag. 841 f. 844; Panhāv. 134); JM. *paritūṭhamanēṇām* = *paritūṭhamanasā* (masc.; Erz. 39,9); S. *purūravēna* (Vikr. 8,14); A. *chandeṇa* = *chandasā* (Piṅgala 1,15); femin. M. *vimanāī* (H. 118); S. *taggadamanāē* = *tadgatamanaskayā* (Viddhaś. 43,8). — Abl. M. *sīrāhī* (G. 58), *nahāhī* (G. 1164; R. 13,51); AMg. *tamāo* and metrical *tamāo* = *tamasah* (Sūyag. 31. 170), *pējjāo* = *preyasaḥ* (Ovav. § 123). — Gen. M. *asuddhamanassa* = *asuddhamanasāḥ* (masc.; H. 35); S. *purūravassa* (Vikr. 22,16), *tamassa*, *rajassa* (Prab. 48, 1; 56, 14); JM. *jasassa* (KJ. 21), A. *jasaha* = *yaśasāḥ* (Erz. 86,19). — Loc. M. AMg. *ure* (G. 773; H. 31. 276. 299. 671; R. 11,76; 12,56. 62; 15,50. 53. 64; Vivāgas. 168), M. also *uramni* (G. 1022; R. 11, 100; 15, 46) and AMg. *uramni* (Kappas. S. § 29; Uvās.); M. *nahammi* (G. 135. 476. 819. 829; R. 13,53; 14,23. 83), *nahe* (R. 13,58), AMg. *nabhe* (Sūyag. 310); AMg. *tamaṇsi* (Āyār. 1, 4, 4, 2); S. *sōtṭe* = *srotasi* (Karp. 71, 1); AMg. *tave* = *tapasi* (Vivāhap. 194); AMg. M. *sīre* (R. 4,4; Uttar. 664); JM. *sīrammi* (Erz. 58,1; Kk. 268,39); M. *sarammi* = *sarasi* (H. 491. 624); M. JM. D. *mane* = *manasi* (R. 5,20; Erz. 79,34; Mr̄cch. 104,2); AMg. A. *chande* = *chandasī* (Vivāhap. 149; Piṅgala 1,93); A. *maṇi*, *sīri* (Hc. 4,422,15.423,4). — Plural: nom. M. *sarā* = *sarāñsi* (masc.; G. 524); AMg. *ahosīrā* = *adhaḥśīrah*, *mahājāsā* = *mahāyaśāḥ*, *hāravīrāijavacchā* = *hāravīrājītavakṣasah* (Ovav. § 31. 33), *thūlavaṇyā* = *sthūlavacasah* (Uttar. 15), *pāvacejā* = *pāpacetasah* (Sūyag. 289); A. *āsattamāṇa* = *āsaktamanasah* (Kk. 261,4); femin. M. *gaavaḍāo* = *gatavayashāḥ* (H. 232); AMg. *raijasīrāsō* = *racīlaśīrashāḥ* (Ovav. § 55), *mīyāsīrāsō* = *mṛgaśīrasi* (Thān. 81). — Acc. femin. S. *sumanāḍō* = *sumanasāḥ* (Mr̄cch. 3, 1, 21); neut. AMg. *sarāṇi* (Āyār. 2, 3, 3, 2).

—Ins. M. *sarehi* (H. 953), *sirehi*, *sirehim* (H. 682; R. 6,60), ^o*manehi* (masc.; G. 88), *urehi* (R. 6,60); femin. M. *vimanāhim* (R. 11,17), *māngalamanāhi* (R. 15,43).—Gen. M. *sarāṇa* (H. 953); JM. *gajavajyāṇa* (KI. 14), femin. M. *gaavaāṇa* (H. 233).—Loc. AMg. *tavesu* (Sūyag. 318), *saresu* (Nāyādh. 412). Like *āpas* becoming *āū* and *tejas* becoming *teū* (§ 355), *vacas* too becomes AMg. *vāū* (femin.): *ithivāū* = *strīvacah* (Panṇav. 363. 368. 369); *pūmāvāū* (Panṇav. 363), *pūmāvāū* (Panṇav. 363. 368. 369), *nāpūmāsagāvāū* (Panṇav. 363. 369), *egāvāū*, *bahvāū* (Panṇav. 367).—In the case of words in *-as* the stem *-sa* is rare: AMg. *adīnamanaso* = *adīnamanāh* (Uttar. 51); JM. *viuso* = **vidusah* = Vedic *viduh* = *vidvān* (Erz. 69,18).

§ 410. *apsaras* is declined in all the dialects as an **ā*-stem, which is found in Skt. *toः*: sing. nom. AMg. JM. Š. *accharā* (Panhāv. 229; Thān. 269. 489; Nāyādh. 1525; Erz. 64,26; Šak. 21,6; Vikr. 16,15; Karnas. 15,2); Š. *anaccharā* = *anapsarāh* (Vikr. 7,18); plur. nom. AMg. Š. *accharāo* (Ovav. [§ 38], Panhāv. 288; Vivāhap. 245. 254; Bālar. 218, 11); ins. AMg. Š. *accharāhīm* (Vivāhap. 245; Ratn. 322,30; Bālar. 202, 13), and so to be read also at Vikr. 40,11 for *accharohīm*. On the suggested form *accharehīm*, that is correct at R. 7, 45, at the end of a bahuvrihi relative to *dharāhārehim*, see § 328. 376, on the stem *accharā°*, AMg. *accharā°* see § 97. 347. According to Hc. 1,20; Sr. fol. 25 the stem *accharasā* is also formed: nom. sing. *accharasā*, nom. plur. *accharasāo*. To it belongs the acc. M. *accharasām* R. 13,47.

§ 411. 2) Nouns in *-is* and *-us*. The old forms are : sing. ins. AMg. *cakkhusā* = *caksusā* (Panhāv. 461; Uttar. 726. 734. 779); AMg. *viusā* = *viduṣā* (Hc. 2,174 p. 68).—Gen. Š. *āuso* = *āyuṣah* (Vikr. 80,4), *dhaṇuho* = *dhanusah* (§ 263; Bālar. 113,17; rightly?).—Plur. gen. AMg. *jōisām* = *jyotiṣām* (Ovav. § 36; so to be read with the MSS. ABBD), also *jōisām* in the combination *jōisām ayane* (Vivāhap. 149; Kappas. § 10; Ovav. § 77). The nominative sing. in *-ū* may be tugged either with it or with the *ā*-stem: AMg. *viū* = Vedic *viduh* (Sūyag. 89. 147. 342. 560. 665; Uttar. 644. 691; Āyār. 2,16,5²), *dhammaviū* = *dharmaviduh* (Āyār. 1,3,1,2), *egaviū* = *ekaviduh*, *dhammaviū* = *dharmaviduh*, *maggaviū* = *mārgaviduh*, *pāraviū* = *pāraviduh* (Sūyag. 560. 565. 665), *ekkārasāṅgaviū* = *ekādaśāṅgaviduh* (Nāyādh. 967), *bārasāṅgaviū* = *dvādaśāṅgaviduh* (Uttar. 691), *cakkhū*, *ega°*, *bi°*, *ti°* = *cakṣuh*, *eka°*, *dvi°*, *tri°* (Thān. 188); *dhanū* = *dhanuh* (Hc. 1,22); Š. *āū* = *āyuh* (Vikr. 81,20; cf. *āuo* = **āyukah* 82,13); Š. *dīhāū* = *dīrghāyuh* (Hc. 1,20; Mṛcch. 141,16; 154,15; Šak. 165,12; Vikr. 80,12; 84,9; Uttarar. 71,8 etc.).—From the *ī*- or *u*-stem are derived: sing. nom. AMg. *sappi* = *sarpīh* (Sūyag. 291; neuter), *jōi* = *jyotih* (Uttar. 374 f.; mascul.); cf. 358³; M. *havīm* = *havīh* (Bh. 5,25); M. *dhanūm* = *dhanuh* (H. 603. 620; R. 1,18. 24. 45); AMg. *āūm* = *āyuh* (Āyār. 1,2,1,2).—Acc. AMg. *joinām* = *jyotiḥ* (Uttar. 375. 677. 1009; Nandis. 146), *sajor* = *sajyoṭīṣam* (Sūyag. 270), *sappīm* = *sarpīh* (Āyār. 2,1,8,8; Kappas. S. § 17; Ovav. § 73), *cakkhum* = *caksuh* (Āyār. 1,8,1,4), also *cakkhu* (Sūyag. 223), as in the nom. (Uvās. § 5; so to be read), *paramāūm* (Ovav. § 53; Samav. 112); M. AMg. Š. *dhanūm* = *dhanuh* (H. 177.631; Nirāyāv. § 5; Venīs. 62,17); Š. *dīhāūm* = *dīrghāyusaṁ* (Uttarar. 132,9).—Ins. AMg. *joinā* = *jyotiṣā* (Āyār. 2,16,8; Sūyag. 460. 731), *accīe* = *arcīṣā* from *arcis* has become feminine (Ovav. § 33. 56); Š. *dīhāūna* (Šak. 44,6; so to be read).—Abl. AMg. *cakkhuo* (Āyār. 2,15,5,2).—Gen. AMg. *āūssa* (Sūyag. 504), *cakkhussa* (Uttar. 924 f.).—Loc. AMg. *āummi* (Sūyag. 212); JM. *cakkhummi* (Āv. 15,17).—Plur. nom. masc. AMg. *vejaviū joisāṅgaviū*, *viū* (Uttar. 743. 756), *dhammavidū* (Āyār. 1,4,3,1), *anāū* = *anāyusah* (Sūyag. 322); neuter *cakkhūm* (Hc. 1,23); AMg. *cakkhū* (Sūyag. 549. 639).—Ins. *dhaṇūhim* (Nirāyāv. § 27).—The stem in *-sa* occurs: nom. Š. *dīhāuso* = *dīrghāyuh* (Hc. 1,20;

Mālav. 55,13); M. *adīharāuso* (H. 950); *dhanuhām* = *dhanuh* for **dhanusam* (§ 263; Hc. 1,22), from which the loc. M. *dhanuhe* (Karp. 38,11), the stem M. *dhanuhāo* (Prab. 65,5); JM. *cirāusā* (T. 7,8; femin.). *āśis* forms, according to Triv. 1,1,3,3, the nom. *āśi* = *āśih*, or the form *āśisā*, derived from it, that Hc. 2, 174 too teaches. There are found in JM. the acc. *āśisām* (Erz. 80,11) and *laddhāśiso* = *labdhāśih* (Erz. 84,25); S. ins. *āśisāe* (Venīs. 23,17), ins. plur. *āśisāhiṁ* (Mallikām. 79,3). Beside them is assured the extended form *āśisā* built from the weak stem: S. nom. (Sak. 83,1); acc. *āśisām* (Mālatīm. 351,7); gen. *āśisāe* (Nāgān. 84,15; so to be read with the v. l. for *āśisām* of the text); gen. plur. *āśisāṇam* (Mālatīm. ed. Bomb. 107,12; cf. v. l. ed. BHĀNDĀRKAR p. 363; Mahāv. 133,5).

1. PISCHEL, Ved. Stud. 2,236.—2. The words *viū* [text *vidū*] *nae dhammapāyātāna* *aputtarām* belong to verse 4. The conjecture of JACOBI with regard to the use of *vidūnātā* in the meaning of *vidūnātā* (SBE. XII, 212, note 2) is linguistically impossible. *nate* is a false form used for *nae* (§ 203) = *nayet* (§ 493, note 4).—3. Where *sappī* is to be erased,

§ 412. From *pūns* are found four stems: 1) *pūm-* from *pūns-* in M. AMg. JM. *pūngava* (G. 87; Uttar. 666; Nāyādh. 1262. 1272; Erz. 4,25); AMg. *pūmvejā* (Samav. 62 [text °veda]; Bhag.), *pūmvaū* = **pūmvacah* (Pannav. 363), *pūmāns-*, which occurs in the nom. sing. AMg. *pūmān* = *pūmān* (Dasav. 628,9); 3) the stem *pūma-* deduced from it, AMg. nom. sing. *pūme* (Thān. 479. 482), AMg. acc. sing. *pūmān* (Āyār. 2,4,1,8,9; Dasav. 637,8), and in the derivatives and compounds like AMg. *pūmavaū* = **pūmvacah* (Pannav. 363 [text °veū]. 368. 369), *pūmañnamāni* = **pūmā-jñāpanī* (Pannav. 363 ff. 369), *pūmapannavañi* = **pūmpraññāpanī* (Pannav. 364), *pūmīthivejā* = *pūmstiveda* (Uttar. 960), *pūmattān* = *pūmstvam* (Uttar. 421), *pūmatītē* = *pūmstvāya* (Ovav. § 102; Thān. 479. 482. 523), *pūmavajāna* = *pūmvacana* (Pannav. 370. 388; Thān. 174 [text *pūmma-*]), 4) the stem *pūmsa-* extended from *pūns-* in AMg. *pūmsakolaga* = *pūmsakolaka* (Thān. 568), *nāpūmsavejā* (Uttar. 960). In PG., from the s-stem, is found only *bhūyo* (7,41).

8) THE REMAINING CONSONANTAL STEMS.

§ 413. In addition to those from *t-*, *n-*, and *s-* stems, numerous forms built according to the old flexion from the *s-* stems only, particularly from *disi*, and that mostly in formulaic phrases, as AMg. *diso disam* (Āyār. 2,16,6); AMg. JM. *diso disim* (Panjhāv. 197; Uttar. 793; Nāyādh. 348; Erz. 13,6. 38,26; 63,25), M. JM. *disi disi* (Viddhaś. 90,5; Erz. 7,29); AMg. *padiso disāsu* (Āyār. 1,1,6,2); otherwise seldom, as gen. M. *pūvvādiso* = *pūrvadiśah* (Bālar. 179,2); Mg. *niśi* (Mṛcch. 10,14; Verse) are retained. Otherwise only isolated forms are found (§ 355), as ins. sing. AMg. *vāyā* = *vācā* (Uttar. 28; Dasav. 630,32), *kāyaggirā* = *kāyagirā* (§ 196; Dasav. 634,24). All the remaining consonantal stems almost always are taken over to the *a-*, the feminine ones to the *ā-* or *ī-* declension. Thus *vāc*, through **vācā* becomes M. *vāī* (Bh. 4,7; G. 69), AMg. *vāyā* (Sūyāg. 931. 936); acc. *vāām*, AMg. *vāyām* (G. 67; Sūyāg. 932), ins. M. S. Mg. *vāāe* (G. 63; Pras. 46,14; 47,1; Mg. Mṛcch. 152,22), M. *vāai* (H. 572), AMg. *vāyāe* (Dasav. 631,34; Panjhāv. 134); gen. Mg. *vāāe* (Mṛcch. 163, 21); loc. M. *vāai* (H. 32); plur. nom. M. *vāā* and *vāāo* (G. 93); acc. AMg. *vāyāo* (Āyār. 1,7,1,3); ins. AMg. *vāyāhi* (Āyār. 2,16,2); loc. M. *vāāsu* (G. 62). Beside them AMg. frequently has *vāī* = **vācī* from **vācī* with *a* according to § 81¹: sing. nom. *vāī* (Āyār. p. 132,16. 17; Vivāhap. 70), acc. *vāīm* (Āyār. 1,5,3,1 [so to be read]); 2,3,1,21; 2,3,3,16; p. 132, 15. 17; Sūyāg. 169 [read *vāī*], 866), *vāīo* (Āyār. 1,5,5,4; 1,7,2,4; 2,13,22; p. 133,2; Sūyāg. 128; Uttar. 646; Jīv. 25. 276; Vivāhap. 1431.1453.1462;

Kappas. § 118 [so to be read].—*tvac* forms sing. nom. AMg. *tajā* = **tvacā* (Sūyag. 639; Vivāhap. 1308, 1529); abl. AMg. *tajāo* (Sūyag. 639); plur. gen. AMg. *tajāṇam* (Sūyag. 806); nom. AMg. *tajāni* (§ 358). The stem often appears in compounds, as AMg. *tajappavāla* = *tvakprabāla* (Panhāv. 408), *tajāsuha* = *tvaksukha* (Nāyādh. § 34; Ovav. § 48; Kappas. § 60), *tajāmanta* (Ovav. § 4, 15), *sarittajā* = *sadīktvacah* (Vivāhap. 123; nom. plur.). From *rc* is found the acc. plur. S. *ricām* (§ 358). From *bhisaj* follows the nom. sing. *bhisao* (Hc. 1, 18), from *yakti*, the gen. sing. AMg. *jagajassa* = **yakrtasya* (Vivāhap. 869), from *śārad* the nom. sing. *sarao* (§ 355).—From *vid* AMg. forms nom. sing. *sadāngavī* (Vivāhap. 149; Kappas. § 10; Ovav. § 77), *vejavi* = *vedavit* (Āyār. 1, 4, 4, 3; 1, 5, 4, 3; 1, 5, 5, 2; Uttar. 742), from *pariṣad*, sing. nom. AMg. *parisā* from **pariṣadā* (Vivāgas. 4, 13, 15, 58, 138, 242; Ovav.; Uvās.; and very often, also in JM. Erz. 33, 10), i. r. s. gen. loc. AMg. *parisāe* (Kappas. § 113; Ovav. § 56); plur. nom. AMg. *parisāo* (Vivāhap. 303), ins. *parisāhim* (Nāyādh. 1026), gen. *parisānam* (Vivāgas. 201). From *sāmpad* the nom. is *sāmpāā*, from *pratipad*, nom. *padivāā* (Hc. 1, 15), JM. *sāmpayā*, *āvayā* (Erz. 81, 35); A. *sāmpāi* = **sāmpadi*, likewise *āvāi* = *āpad*, *vivāi* = *vipad* (Hc. 4, 335, 372, 400); cf. AMg. *āvāikālām* = *āpatkālam* (Ovav. § 86); acc. A. *sāmpaa* (Pingala 1, 81^a; GOLDSCHMIDT *maigala*), plur. nom. M. *sāmpāā*, AMg. *sāmpayā* (H. 518; Kappas. § 134 ff.), *āvāio* (G. 988). From *hyd* the acc. is AMg. *hiyām* (Āyār. 1, 1, 2, 5).—*kṣudh* forms nom. *chuhā*, *khuhā* (§ 318).—On *āū* see § 355. — *kakubh* builds nom. *kaūhā* (Hc. 1, 21), *gir* forms nom. *girā*, likewise *dhur*, nom. *dhurā*, *pur*, nom. *purā* (Hc. 1, 16); acc. D. *dhurām* (Mṛcch. 102, 2); plur. nom. AMg. *girāo* (Panhāv. 287), ins. *girāhim* (Vivāhap. 944; Kappas. § 47; Nāyādh. § 23), gen. *girāṇam* (Uttar. 358).—In AMg. *aho* is the acc. from *ahar* (day; § 342), frequently in the combination *aho ja rāo* or *aho ja rāo ja* (§ 386).—The very frequent *dis* forms mostly in all the dialects *disā*, Mg. *disā* in compounds as in flexion: nom. *disā*, acc. *disam*, ins. gen. loc. *disāe*, abl. *disāo*, AMg. also *ahedisāo*, *anudisāo* (Āyār. 1, 1, 1, 2; Sūyag. 574), S. *puvvadisādo* (Ratn. 313, 7); plur. nom. acc. *disāo*, ins. *disāhim*, gen. *disānam*, loc. *disāsu*, AMg. also *vidi:āsu* (Thān. 259 ff.). From **disī*, we frequently have in AMg. JM. the acc. *disim*, particularly in the combination *diso disim* (see above), but elsewhere too (Vivāgas. 4, 38; Kappas. § 28. S. § 61 [v. l. *disam*], *anudisim* (Kappas. S. § 61), *chaddisim* (Vivāhap. 145), *padiidisim* (Thān. 135; commentary: *ikāras tu prākṛitavāt*), and in the composition *disi*° (Vivāhap. 161; Ovav. § 2; Kappas. § 27, 63; Uvās. § 3, 7; Av. 14, 10) and *disi*° (Uvās. § 50); so also gen. plur. J. S. *disiṇam* (Kattig. 402, 367) beside *disāna* (401, 342), loc. J. S. *disisu* (Kattig. 401, 341), A. *disihī* (Hc. 4, 340, 2).—*prāvṛṣ* becomes *pāuso* (§ 358); from *upānah* the stem is S. *uvāñaha* (Mṛcch. 72, 9), nom. acc. plur. in AMg. *pāhanāo*, *vāhanāo* (§ 141).

i. WEBER (Bhag. 1, 404) wrongly traces *vai*° back to *vacas*.

B. COMPARISON

§ 414. Pkt. employs *-tara*, *-tama*, *-iyas*, *-iṣṭha*, as suffixes of the comparative and superlative wholly as Skt.: M. *tikkhaara* = *tiksṇatara* (H. 505); JM. *ujjalatara* = *ujjalatara* (Av. 40, 6), *dadhayāra* = *dr̥dhatara* (Erz. 9, 35); AMg. *paggahiyyatara* = *praghītatara* (Āyār. 1, 7, 8, 11), *thovatara* = *stokatara* (Jiyak. 92); S. *adhiatara* = *adhitatara* (Mṛcch. 72, 3; 79, 1; Mālatīm. 214, 1; Vṛśabh. 10, 21; Nāgān. 24, 5), *nihudatara* = *nibṛtatara* (Vikr. 28, 8), femin. *diunadarā* = *dviguṇatarā* (Mṛcch. 22, 13), °*rī* (Priyad. 25, 7); JM. S. *mahattara* (Erz.; Uttarar. 118, 5), Mg. *mahattala* (Śak. 118, 5); *piama* (H. R.), JM. *piya* 'ama (Dvār. 498, 26; Erz.), S. *piadama* (Vikr.

28,9; 52,20; 58,5; Prab. 39,2), A. *piaama* (Vikr. 66,16) = *priyatama*; AMg. *taratama* (Kappas.); AMg. JM. *kanīyasa* (§ 409), Š. *kanīsī* (femin.; Mālav. 78, 9); Š. *kanīttha* = *kanīṣha* (Mahāv. 3, 14; Kālēyak. 26, 20; Subhadr. 3,18), AMg. *kanītthaga* (Uttar. 622); AMg. *sejām* = *śreyas* (§ 94), *sejāmsa* (§ 409); PG. *bhūyo* (7,41), AMg. JM. *bhujjo* (§ 91; Āyār. 1,5, 4,2; 1,6,3,2; 2,2,2,7; Sūyag. 361. 579. 787. 789. 979; Uttar. 212.232.238. 239. 365. 434. 842; Vivāhap. 18. 27. 30 ff. 145. 238 f. 387 etc.; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.), Š. *bhūo* (Sak. 27,6; 90,14; 123,13; Mālav. 48,7), Š. *bhūttha* (Šak. 27,5; Mālav. 71,8) = *bhūyas*, *bhūyiṣṭha*, beside Š. *bahudara* (Mrcch. 37,23; Šak. 73,3; Uttarar. 66,1; Cait. 42,2; 43,5; 45,11); AMg. *pējja* = *preyas* (§ 91; Āyār. 1,3,4,4; Sūyag. 885; Pannav. 638; Vivāhap. 125. 1026; Uttar. 199; Uvās.), also *pījja-* (Uttar. 822. 876); AMg. *pāvīyāṁse* = *pāpīyān* (§ 409), JM. *pāviṭṭha* = *pāpiṭṭha* (Kk.); AMg. JM. Š. *jēṭṭha* = *jyeṣṭha* (Āyār. 2,25,15; Vivāhap. 333. 511; Uttar. 622 [ji]; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.; Dvār. 495,26; Erz.; Vikr. 88,16; Uttarar. 128,12; Anarghar. 297,13); AMg. *dhammiṭṭha* = *dharmaṭṭha* (Sūyag. 757); JM. *dabbiṭṭha* = *darpistha* (Kk. 270,9); Š. *adibaliṭṭha* (Pras. 83,10). On AMg. *hēṭṭhima* see § 107. Double formations are: AMg. *uttaratara* (Ovav.), *baliyataram* (Vivāhap. 839); *jēṭṭhayara*, *kanītthayara* (Hc. 2, 172). Noteworthy hybrid formations are the adverbs AMg. *bhujjataro* and *bhujjajaro*, in which the comparative suffix *-tara* has been added to the comparative stem *bhujja* = *bhūyas*, and which have retained the ending *-o* of *bhujjo* = *bhūyas*. On their pattern¹, as in numerous other cases², are regulated *appataro*, *oppajaro* = *alpataram* in the combination *appataro vā bhujjataro vā* or *oppajaro vā bhujjajaro vā* (Āyār. 2,3,1,13; Sūyag. 628. 699. 751. 986; Vivāhap. 40; Ovav. § 69). — Sometimes the positive is used in the sense of the comparative: M. *ovaanāhi vi lahuam* “quicker than downward rush” (R. 6,77), *seubandhalahuam* “smaller than a bridge” (R. 8, 15); Š. *taito vi...pia tti* “dearer than thou” (Šak. 9,10), *padhumadamsaṇādo vi savisesam piadamsaṇo* “more charming than at the first view” (Vikr. 24,1).

1. LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v. *appataro*. — 2. § 355 on *āñ*.

C. THE PRONOUN.

§ 415. Pronoun of the first person.

Singular.

- Nom. *ahām*, *ahaam*, JM. *ahājām*, *haṁ*, [*amhi*, *ammi*, *mmi*, *ahammi*]; Mg. *hage*, *hagge*, [*hake*, *ahake*]; A. *haū*.
- Acc. *mām*, *mamām*, *mahām*, *me*, [*mi*, *mimām*, *ammi*, *amhām*, *amha*, *mamha*, *ahām*, *ahammi*, *ne*, *nām*]; A. *maī*.
- Ins. *mae*, *mai*, [*mamae*, *mamāi*, *maāi*], *me*, [*mi*, *mamām*, *ne*]; A. *maī*.
- Abl. [*matio*, *mamatto*, *mahatto*, *majjhatto*, *maitto*], *mamāo*, [*mamāu*, *mamāhi*] *mamāhiṁto* etc. (§ 416); P. [*mamāto*, *mamātu*]; A. [*mahu*, *majjhū*].
- Gen. *mama*, *maha*, *majjha*, *mamām*, *mahām*, *majjhām*, *me*, *mi* [*maī*, *amha*, *ahām*]; A. *mahu*, *majjhū*.
- Loc. [*mael*; *mai*, [*me*, *mi*, *mamāi*], *mamammi*, [*mahammi*, *majjhām*, *amha-mmī*]; A. *maī*.

Plural.

- Nom. *amhe*, [*amha*, *amho*, *mo*, *bhe*]; D. *vaam*; AMg. JM. *vajām* too; Mg. [*hage*] too; P. *vayaṁ*, *ampha*, *amhe*; A. *amhe*, *amhai*.
- Acc. *amhe*, *amha*, [*amho*], *no*, *ne*; A. *amhe*, [*amhai*].

- Ins. *amhehim̄*, [*amhāhīm̄*, *amhe*, *amha*], *ne*; A. *amhehī*.
 Abl. [*amhatto*, *amhāhīm̄to*, *amhāsum̄to*, *amhesum̄to*, *mamatto*, *mamāhīm̄to*,
mamāsum̄to, *mamesum̄to*; A. *amhahā*]; JM. *amhehīm̄to*.
 Gen. *amhānam̄*, °*na*, *amham̄*, *amha*, *mha*, [*amhāhā*], *amhe*, [*amho*, *mamānam̄*,
°*na*, *mahānam̄*, °*na*, *majjhānam̄*, °*na*, *majjha*, *ne*], *no*, *ne*; A. *amhahā*.
 Loc. *amhesu*, *amhāsu*, [*amhasu*, *mamesu*, *mamasu*, *mahesu*, *mahasu*, *majjhесу*,
majjhasu]; A. *amhāsu*.

Cf. Vr. 6,40—53; 11,9; 12,25; C. 1,26—31; 2,27; 3,105—117; 4,301.
 375—381; Ki. 3,72—83; 5,40—48.97.114; Mk. fol. 49. 70; Sr. fol. 30—32

§ 416. A very great number of forms taught by the grammarians have not as yet been attested in the texts, and without that, therefore, their correctness still remains doubtful¹. From amongst the forms, which perhaps are inferred only according to the schema, given by Sr., one can be in doubt about only some of them. Sr. teaches, of course not merely, as Hc., in th. abl. sing. of the all the stems given above the forms: *mamatto*, *mamāo*; *mamāu*, *mamāhi*, *mamāhīm̄to*; *mahatto*, *mahāo*, *mahāu*, *mahāhi*, *mahāhīm̄to*, *majjhatto*, *majjhāo*, *majjhāu*, *majjhāhi*, *majjhāhīm̄to*; *maitto*, *maiō*, *maiū*, *maihi*. *maihi*; *mamā*, *mahā*, *majjhā*; but also the special feminine forms *mamāā*, *mamāā*, *mamāi*, *mamāē*, likewise from the stems *maha*, *majjha*, *mai*, so that he mentions 39 forms for the abl. In the loc. sing. he teaches in addition *amhattha*, *amhāsi*, *amhammi*, *amhahim̄*, *amhe*, further the feminine forms *amhāā*, *amhāā*, *amhāi*, *amhāē*, and all these forms also from the stems *mama*, *maha*, *majjha*, altogether 41 forms. Likewise in the case of the pronoun of the second person from the stems *tuma*, *tupa*, *tuha*, *tumha*, *tubbha*, *tujjha*, *tui*, *tai*. How far would such forms be used in literature, only the future can tell.

i. BLOCH goes too far, Vr. und Hc. 36. Cf. KONOW, GGA. 1894. 478.

§ 417. Singular. In the nom. all the dialects, including Dh. (Mṛcch. 32,7; 34,25; 35,1), Ā. (Mṛcch. 101,17; 103,10; 105,1) and D. Mṛcch. 102, 23; 104, 19; 106,1) employ *ahām̄* = *aham̄*, Mg. *hage* (e. g. Mṛcch. 12,14; 136,16; 175,15; Lalitav. 565,17; 566,6. 16; Sak. 113,5. 9; 114,2; Mudrār. 193,8; 194,2 etc.). So teach also Vr. 11,9, who has also *hake* and *ahake*, Hc. 4,301; Sr. fol. 63; Ki. 5,97, who has *hake* too; Mk. fol. 75, who has also *hakke*, *hake*, *hagge*. In Mṛcch., with the exception of the three particular places, which are all in verse, STENZLER has *hagge* elsewhere throughout (12,5; 13,4. 8; 16,18; 20,14; 21,20; 37,4 etc.), as also at Häsy. 31,3; Prab. 32,6. 14 stands, and at Prab. 55,15; 58,17 (K. *hakke*) is to be read for *haggo*; so has the ed. P. 58, 17; whilst at 55,15 it has *ham̄*; the ed. Bomb. has *ahām̄* (55,15) and *hagge* (58,17); the ed. M. has *ahām̄* in both the places, as also Mudrār. 178,2 (v. l. *hage*); 187,1; 193,1 (v. l. *hage*), 267,2; Vanis. 35,4 and elsewhere stands in uncritical editions. The MSS. of Mṛcch. in GODABOLE almost throughout have *hage*, as is to be read there. Both the forms are correct, since they go back to one **ahakād* (§ 142. 194) i. e. *ahakām̄* (Vyākaraṇamahābhāṣya I, 91,11), Aśoka *hakām* with so frequent change of gender in Mg. (§ 357). A. *hāñ* (Hc. s. v. *hāñm̄*; Piṅgala 1,104^a; 2,121; Vikr. 65,3 [so to be read for *hāñ*, *hāñm̄*; cf. v. l. A]) and M. *ahañm̄* (H. R.), JM. *ahāyāñ* (Āv. 7,34; 36,49; Erz.) go back to *ahakām̄*. Sometimes after vowels (§ 175) appears M. AMg. JM. Mg. *ham̄* (R. 15,18; Karp. 75,2; Uttar. 575. 623; Samav. 83; Erz. 12,22; 53,34; Mṛcch. 136,11). Of the remaining four forms Vr. and Mk. have *ahammi* only, Ki. has *amhi*, Hc. alone has *mmi* too. All the four forms are rejected by BLOCH¹, as mistakes of the

grammarians. However, it is certain that already in Skt. *asmi* is used in the sense of "I"², a meaning, that has developed from the original parenthetical *asmi* "I am", as is shown appropriately by the much quoted *rāmo'smi sarvam̄ sahe*. One may compare this with the use of *asti* in B-R. s.v.l as p. 535, which is found in Pkt too: AMg. *atthi nām bhante gihīṇo...ohināne nām samuppnijāi* (Uvās. § 83); *atthi nām bhante jiṇavāyane...ālojjāi* (Uvās. § 85); *atthi nām bhante...siddhā parivasanti* (Ovav. § 162); *taṁ atthi jāīm te kahīm pi* [ed. vi] *devāṇuppiyā erisae orōhe dīṭṭhapuvve* (Nāyādh. 1284); *taṁ atthi jāīm* [ed. jā] *ittha kei bhe* [ed. te] *kahīm pi* [ed. vi] *accherae dīṭṭhapuvve* (Nāyādh. 1376); S. *atthi ē ittha naare...tinpi purisā...sirim̄ na sahanti* (Mudrār. 39,2). Likewise *santi* (Āyār. 2,1,4,5; Sūyag. 585) and more often *siyā = syāt* (as in Pāli *siyā* and *assa*) are used (Āyār. 1,1,2,1; 1,1,6,3; 1,2,6,1; 1,5,5,2; 2,5,1,11; 2,6,2,2; Dasav. 613,22), and so certainly *amhi = asmi* too is to be used. *ammi* and *mmi* are not made up forms, as AMg. *mi, mo, mu* (§ 498) show, when even the examples given by Hc. 3,105 rest on false readings.³ *ahammi* should be = *ahām̄ mi*.

1. Vr. und Hc. p. 37.—2. KONOW, GGA. 1894, 478; JACOBI, Compositum und Nebensatz. (Bonn 1897), p. 62, note 2.—3. BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p. 37. In Hc. 3,105 we should with ed. Bomb. read *jena ham̄ viddhā* for *tena ham̄ dīṭṭhā* (WEBER on H. 441). Correct, however, is the analysis *jen' aham̄* (§ 173). Cf. § 34.

• § 418. Except in A., the form that can be used in all the dialects in the acc. is *mām̄ = mām̄* (H. R.; Uvās. s. v. *ma-*; Erz. Kk. s. v. *ahām̄*; Rśabhap. s. v. *ma¹*; S. e. g. Mṛcch. 2,22. 25; Śak. 16. 10; Vikr. 16,6; Mg. Mṛcch. 11,1; 29,23; 32,5, 15). A. has *mai* (Hc. 4,377. 414,4; Vikr. 69, 2). In M. AMg. JM. is found also *mamām̄* (H. 16; R. 11,84; Thān. 477; Nāyādh. s. v.; p. 932; Uttar. 791; Vivāhap. 257. 1215; Uvās. § 68 [so to be read with the MSS. for *mama*]. 140. 219; Dvār. 500,8; Erz. 43,29). For Mg. *mama* (Mṛcch. 129, 4) we should, in the verse, read *mamā*. After *mamām̄*, AMg. has formed also a feminine *mamīm̄*; *mamām̄ vā mamīm̄ vā* (Sūyag. 680). We should read *amhi ahammi* for *asmī asammi* in KI. 3, 73. Rare is M. AMg. *mahām̄* (R. 15. 90; Vivāgas. 221), which escaped the notice of the grammarians, more often *me* in AMg., as in the Veda², (Āyār. 1,1,6,5; Uttar. 362. 710; Thān. 158. 360. 361; Kappas. § 16).—The ins. is *mae* in all the dialects, except in A., which has *mai* (Hc. 4,330, 2. 346. 356 etc.; Vikr. 55, 1). *me* stands in the sense of the ins. in JM. (Erz. 72,12; 83,32); Mg. Mṛcch. 40,5; *mai* in Mg. (Mṛcch. 11,1) in a verse.—In the abl. *mamāhimto* alone is traceable in AMg. JM. (Vivāhap. 1245; Nāyādh. 1329; Erz. 54,20) and *mamāo* in JM. (Āv. 27,25; Dvār. 495,23).—In the gen. *mama* is rare in M. At H. 123 we should read *mamām̄ ti* with the v. l. (§ 182), so that G. H. R. do not have *mamā*, except in H. 617; it stands in M. (Śak. 55,15). M. uses *maha*, *mahām̄*, *majjha*, *majjhām̄*, *me*, JM. AMg. often in addition to *mama* also *mamām̄* (Vivāgas. 121 f.; Uvās.; Bhag.; Āv. 12,28), S. *mama* (Mṛcch. 9,7; Śak. 9,13; Vikr. 16,5), *maha* (Lalitav. 554,7; Pras. 83,6; 123,3; Venīs. 11,25), *me* (Mṛcch. 15,25; Śak. 27,9. 10; Vikr. 8,15); *majjha*, that is forbidden by Mk. fol. 70 for S., stands at Karp. 10,10; 58,1 against the dialect for *mama* or *maha*; Mg. *mama* (Mṛcch. 14,1; 21,8. 12; 30,25), *maha* (Mṛcch. 114,18; Venīs. 33,13), *me* (Mṛcch. 9,25; 10,3. 5; Venīs. 34,22; 35,2. 8,14); Dh. *mama* (Mṛcch. 31,1; 34,17); A. *maha* (Mṛcch. 102,25; 103,22), likewise D. (Mṛcch. 104,2. 11); A. *mahu* (Hc. 4,333. 370,2. 379,1; Vikr. 59, 13, 14), *majjhū* (Hc. 4,367,1. 379,2); under the pressure of the rhyme with *pāi* also *mai* at Vikr. 63,4. — *maha* goes back likewise as *majjha*, to *mahyam*. For *me* stands *mi* metri causa in AMg. Uttar. 489. False readings are JM. *mujjha*, *muha* (Erz.). We should read *yad imām̄* in the place of P.

yati mani (Hc. 4,323).—Loc. M. JM. *mamammi* (R.; Erz.); Š. *mai* (Mālav. 41,18); A. *mai* (Hc. 4,377).

I. These references hold good also for the rest of the cases in the sing.; besides one should also see Nāyādh. ed. STEINTHAL s.v. s.v. In places, where nothing has been noted, the old texts, like Āyār., Sūyag., Uttar., Āv., have the same forms. Only a few examples have been given from Š. Mg., as most of the forms are very frequent. This holds good also for the remaining pronouns.—2. PISCHEL, ZDMG. 35,714.

§ 419. Plural. Nom. The form that can be used in all the dialects, including PG. (6,41), is *amhe*, for which in Mg. *asme* is to be written (§ 314) = Vedic *asme*¹: M. (G. 1072; H. s. v. *amha*); AMg. (Āyār. 2,6,1,10; Nāyādh. § 137; Vivāgas. 229; Sūyag. 1016; Vivāhap. 134); JM. (Erz. 3,28; 12,13, 19; Kk. 271,7); Š. (Mṛcch. 20,18; Šak. 16,12; Víkr. 6,13); Mg. (Mṛcch. 158,23; 161,14, 17; 168,11; Venīs. 35,21); A. (Hc. 4,376,1). In AMg. *vāyām* = *vayam* too is frequent (Āyār. 1,4,2,5; 1,7,1,5; 2,1,9,11; 2,2,2,10; 2,3,1,17; 2,5,1,10; 2,6,1,10; Sūyag. 585. 603. 633. 935. 948,972; Uttar. 432. 446. 748; Vivāhap. 1180; Dasav. 613,11), which occurs in JM. too (Kk. 270,1). For Š. too Vr. 12,25 and Mk. fol. 70 permit *vām*. It stands in Mṛcch. 103,5 in D., in Š. only in bad texts (also Mālav. 46,12; 48,18)². For Mg. Hc. 4,301 teaches in the plural too *hage*, as is attested by 4,302 (p. 148) in a quotation from Vikiāntabhimā; A. has also *amhaī* (Hc. 4,376). For P. Ki. 5,114 teaches *vayam*, *ampha* and *amhe*.—According to C. 2,27 *bhe* may be used in the plural in all the cases.—Acc. M. AMg. *ne* = *nas* with the ending -e of the nouns in -a (§ 367a) (R. 3,16; 5,4; Āyār. 1,6,1,5 [*ne*]; Sūyag. 174. 176. 239), but Š. *no* (Šak. 26,12); JM. Š. also *amhe* (T. 5, 3; Mālatim. 361,2; Uttarar. 7,5; Venīs. 70,5), Mg. *asme* (Venīs. 36,5), M. *amha* (H. 356), A. *amhe* (Hc. 4,422,10), according to Hc. 4,376 also *amhaī*.—Ins. M. AMg. JM. Š. *amhehim* (H. 509; Nāyādh. § 137; Āv. 16,6; Erz. 5,10; Mṛcch. 23,23; Viddhaś. 27,4; Mālatim. 283,2), M. also *amhehi* (H. R.), as also PG. has (6,29); Mg. *asmehim* (Mṛcch. 11,19; 21,11); in AMg. also *ne* (Āyār. 1,4,2,3); A. *amhehi* (Hc. 4,371).—Abl. JM. *amhehimto* (Āv. 47,20).—Gen. M. JM. Š. *amhānam* (H. 951 [*ne*]; Erz. 2,17; Kk.; Mṛcch. 2,18. 19,24), Mg. *asmānam* ([text *amhānam*]; Lalitav. 565,14; Mṛcch. 31,15; 139,13; Šak. 116,2); M. AMg. JM. *amham* (H.; Uttar. 356. 358; Vivāgas. 217. 218; Nāyādh. § 26. 116; p. 482. 609. 616; Vivāhap. 233. 511; Āv. 8,17; 14,16; 17,17; Erz. 6,35; 12,34), M. JM. also *amha* (H.; Āv. 11,9; 17,7; Erz.; Kk.), that falsely stands in Š. in Víkr. 73,12, for which with P should be read either *amhe* and which is to be considered as in the acc. (cf. *marī* of the Dvāvid. recen.), or with the ed. Bomb. 119,7 *amhānam*. In M.'*mha* too (H.). *amham* is in AMg. JM. the prevalent form, which PG. too has (5,3; 7,42). It corresponds to one Skt. **asmām*, i. e. a gen. built from the stem *asma-* with the ending of the consonantal declension, whilst *amhānam* presupposes one **asmānām*, and M. *amhām*, A. *amhām* (Hc. 4,379. 380. 439) mentioned by Hc. 4,300 presuppose one **asmāsām*, therefore, with the ending of the pronominal declension. On AMg. *asmākam* see § 314. AMg. JM. have also *amhe* (Sūyag. 969; T. 5,6), Š. very frequently has *no* = *nah* (Šak. 17,11; 18,8; 26,12; Víkr. 5,11; 6,16; 10,3), AMg. *ne* (Vivāhap. 132 f.).—Loc. Š. *amhesu* (Šak. 30,1; Mālav. 75,1; Venīs. 70,2). *amhāsu*, quoted from an unnamed author in Hc. 3,117, mentioned in Sr. fol. 32, and taught by Hc. 4,381 himself for A., stands in M. R. 3,32.

i. PISCHEL, ZDMG. 35,716 —2. PISCHEL, KB. S. 142 f.

§ 420. The Pronoun of the Second Person.

Singular.

Nom. *tumām*, *tum*, *taṁ*, [tuha, tuvam]; Dh. *tuhām*; A. *tuhū*.

- Acc.** *tumāñ*, [*tum*, *tam*], *te*, [*tuha*, *tuvam*, *tume*, *tue*]; S. Mg. *de* too; Dh. *tuhāñ*; A. *taī*, *pai*.
- Ins.** *tae*, *taī*, *tue*, *tui*, [*tumam*], *tumae*, [*tumai*], *tumāi*, *tume*, *te*, *de*, [*di*, *bhe*]; A. *taī*, *pai*.
- Abl.** *tatto*, *tumāhi*, *tumāhimto*, *tumāo*, [*tumāu*, *tumā*, *tumatto*, *taītto*, *tuitto*], *tuvatto*, [*tuhatto*, *tubbhatto*, *tumhatto*, *tujjhatto*, further from all these stems with the endings -*o*, -*u*, (S. Mg. -*do*, -*du*), -*hi*, -*himto*, then *tumā*, *tuvā*, *tuhā*, *tubbhā*, *tumhā*, *tujjhā*, *tumha*, *tuyha*, *tubbha*, *tujjha*, *tahimto*]; P. [*tumāto*, *tumātu*]; A. *tujju*, *taū*, *tudhra*].
- Gen.** *tava*, *tujjha*, *tuha*, *tuhāñ*, *tubbha*, *tubbham*, *tumha*, *tumhāñ*, *te*, *de*, [*taī*], *tu*, [*tuva*, *tuma*], *tumam*, *tumma*, [*tume*, *tumo*, *tumāi*, *di*, *i*, *e*, *ubbhā*, *uyha*, *umha*, *ujjha*]; S. *tuha*, *de*; Mg. *tava*, *tuha*, *de*; A. *taū*, *tujju*, *tujjhaha*, *tudhra*, *tuha*.
- Loc.** *taī*, *tumammi*, *tume*, *tuvi*, *tui*, [*tue*, *tae*, *tumae*, *tumāi*, *tummi*, *tuvammi*, *tuhammī*, *tubbhammi*, *tujjhammi*]; AMg. *tumānsi*; S. *taī*, *tui*; A. *taī*, *pai*.

Plural.

- Nom.** *tumhe*, *tubbhe*, [*tubbha*, *tumha*, *tujjhe*, *tujjha*, *tuyhe*, *uhe*, *bhe*]; AMg. *tubbhe*; JM. *tumhe*, *tubbhe*; S. Mg. (?) *tumhe*; A. [*tumhe*, *tumhāñ*].
- Acc.** as the nom., and *vo*, AMg. *bhe*.
- Ins.** *tumhehim*, *tubbhehim*, [*tujjhehim*, *tuyhehim*, *tummehim*, *umhehim*, *ubbhelim*, *ujjhehim*, *uhehim*], *bhe*; AMg. *tubbhehim*, *tumehim*, *tubbhe*, *bhe*; JM. *tumhehim*, *tubbhehim*; S. *tumhehim*; A. *tumhehim*.
- Abl.** [*tumhaitto*, *tubbhatto*, *tujjhatto*, *tuyhatto*, *umhatto*, *ubbhaitto*, *ujjhatto*, *uyhatto*; from the same stems with the endings -*e*, -*u* (S. Mg. -*do* -*du*), -*hi*, -*himto*, -*sumto*]; A. *tumhahā*.
- Gen.** *tumhāñam*, ^o*na*, [*tubbhāñam*, ^o*na*, *tujjhāñam*, ^o*na*, *tuhāñam*, ^o*na*, *tuvāñam*, ^o*na*, *tumāñam*, ^o*na*], *tumam*, *tumha*, *tubbam*, [*tubbha*, *tujjhām*, *tujjha*, *tu*], *bhe*, *vo*; AMg. *tubbham*, *tumhāñam*, *tubbhe*, *bhe*; JM. *tumhāñam*, *tubbham*, *tumha*, *tumhām*; S. Mg. *tumhāñam*; A. *tumhāhā*.
- Loc.** [*tumhesu*, *tubbhesu*, *tujjhesu*, *tuhesu*, *tuvesu*, *tumesu*, *tusu*, *tumhasu* etc., *tumhāsu* etc., *tujjhisu*, *tumbhisu*; A. *tumhāsu*].

Cf. Vr. 6,26—39; C. 1,18—25; 2,26; Hc. 3,90—104; 4,368—374; Ki. 3,59—71; 5,113; Mk. fol. 47—49. 70. 75; Sr. fol. 26—30 and take notice of § 416.

§ 421. Singular. Nom. The prevalent form is *tumam* from the stem *tuma* in all the dialects, except Dh. A.: (M. G. H. R.; AMg. e. g. Āyār. 1,5,5,4 [read *tumam* si]; Uvās.; Kappas.; JM. e. g. Āv. 8,33; 14,29; Erz.; Kk.; S. e. g. Lalitav. 561, 5. 11. 15; Mṛcch. 4. 5; Śak. I2, 8; Mg. e. g. Lalitav. 565, 15; Mṛcch. 19, 8; Prab. 58, 1; Mudrār. 267, 1; Ā. Mṛcch. 99, 18. 19; 101, 23; 103, 2; D. Mṛcch. 101, 10. 21; 103, 17. 18)¹. In AMg. the nom. *tume* too appears to occur (Nāyādh. § 68 against *tumam* § 70; p. 448. 450), which would be related to *tumam*, like Mg. *hage* to *ahakám* (§ 417). In M. *tam* too (G. H. R.), which AMg. (Uttar. 637. 670. 678. 712) and JM. (Rśabhap.; Erz.) too have in verses, is very frequent; beside it seldom appears *tum* (H.; Śak. 78,11 ed. BÖHTL.). Dh. *tuhāñ* (Mṛcch. 34,24; 35,1. 3; 39,8), A. *tuñ* (Hc. s. v. *tu*; Piṅgala 1,4^b) go back to *tvakám* (§ 206)². At Piṅgala 1,5^b *taī* (GOLDSCHMIDT *taīm*, text *taī*; cf. BOLLESEN on Vikr. p. 530) has been used as nom.—Acc. *tumam* as in the nom. in the same dialects (S. Mṛcch. 4,9; Śak. 51,6; Vikr. 23,1; Mg. Mṛcch. 12,10; Mudrār. 183,6); Dh. *tuhāñ* (Mṛcch. 31, 12); A. *taī* (Hc. 4, 370) and *pai* (Hc. 4, 370; Vikr. 58,8; 65, 3).

On *pa* see § 300. *te* is acc. in AMg. (Uvās. § 95. 102; Uttar. 368.677.696), likewise in Š. (Mṛcch. 3,13) and *de* in Š. (Mṛcch. 54,8) and Mg. (Mṛcch. 128,12. 14)³.—Ins. M. *tai*, *tae*, *tui*, *tue*, *tumāe*, *tumāi*, *tume* (G. H. R.); JM. *tae*, *tumāe*, *tume*; AMg. *tume* (so also Uvās. § 139. 167 to be read with the v. l.); Š. *tae* (Lalitav. 554,6; 555,5; Šak. 12,12; Ratn. 299,1,2), *tue* (Mṛcch. 7,5; Vikr. 25,5; Mahāv. 56,3); Mg. *tae* (Lalitav. 566,4), *tue* (Mṛcch. 31,23. 25; Venīs. 34,3; Prab. 50,9). The dramas waver; Mṛcch. Vikr. Venīs., and most others have *tue* (Vikr. 42,6 is to be corrected as *tue* with A), Šak. Ratn. have *tae*. Often the MSS. waver at the same place in M. Ā. has *tue* (Mṛcch. 102,1; 103,2; 105,1), D. *tue* (Mṛcch. 101,25) and *tae* (105,4), where, however, GODABOLE p. 299,5 reads more correctly *tue*.—*te*, *de*, even where they stand in the past passive participle, may be considered as gen. But the interpretation as the ins. is sometimes necessary, as Š. Mṛcch. 60,24 *na hu de...sāhasām karēntēpa...ācaridām = na khalu tvayā...sāhasām kurvatā...ācaritam*, or very probably, as Š. Mṛcch. 29,14 *sūt̄hu de jāṇidām = sūt̄hu tvayā jñātam*, compare with 27,21; 28,24 *sūt̄hu tue jāṇidām*. A. *taī*, *paī* (Hc. 4,370; 422,18; Vikr. 55,18; 58,9), as in the acc.—Abl. M. *tumāhi*, *tumāhimto*, *tumāo* (G. H.); Š. *tatto = tvattah* (Šak. 9,10), *tuвато* (Mallikām. 219,8) and undoubtedly in the meaning of the sing., but against the dialect, *tumāhimto* (Karp. 53,6; Viddhās. 71,6; 113,6); P. *tumāto*, *tu* (Hc. 4,307. 321).—Gen. M. *tuha*, *tuham*, *tujjha*, *tujjhām*, *tumhañ*, *tumma*, *tu*, *te*, *de* (G. H. R.); AMg. *tava*, *te*, *tubbham*⁴, *tuham* (Uttar. 444.597 f.), *tumām* (Āyār. 1,3,3,4; Uttar. 358); JM. *tuha*, *tumha*, *tujjha*, *tava*, *tujjhām* (Āv. 7,11; 22,5), *tuham* (Āv. 7,33; 12,14); Š. *tuha* (Lalitav. 554,5; Mṛcch. 22,25; Šak. 15,1; Vikr. 26,9); *te* in Š. only Mṛcch. 3,16 (v. l. *de*); 80,20; Vikr. 24,7, elsewhere always *de* (§ 185), hence *te* apparently false.⁵ Against the dialect are also *tava* and *tujjha*. In Vikr. *tava* stands at 27,21 only, where the MSS. BP have *tuha*, as also the ed. Bomb. 48,5 reads, in Mṛcch. only at 17,21; 24,3 in the repetition of words of the Šakāra; 138,23 in the reproduction of the Skt. words; 151,21. In Ratn., in places where *tava* or *tua* stood earlier, CAPPELLER reads *tuha*, so that Ratn. has only *tuha* (294,21; 299,3; 305,8; 309,6; 313, 12, 27; 318,26) and *de*. We should read *tuha*, as at 39,5 of the ed. Bomb. has, for *tuva*, *tua* in Prab. 37,14; 39,5 of the editions. *tujjha* correctly stands in the dramas, Mṛcch. 100,11 (Ā.); 104,1 (D). 17 (Ā.); Šak. 55,15 (M.), Nāgān. 45,7 (M.); in Š. it occurs only in Šak. 43,9, and is, therefore, false, since Lalitav. 554,4; Karp. 10,9; 17,5; Nāgān. 71,11; Karnas. 52, 13 and other Indian editions do not come into consideration. Against this Mg., like AMg. JM., has *tava* (Mṛcch. 12,19; 13,9; 14,1; 21,3; 22,4 etc.; Šak. 116,11), *te* (Mṛcch. 31,17; 113,1); for which the observation made above holds good, elsewhere very often *de* (e.g. Mṛcch. 21,22; Šak. 113, 7; Mudrār. 184,2), false *tujjha* (Mṛcch. 176,6, for which we should read *tue* with GODABOLE 478,1; Nāgān. 67,1 for which we should read *te* [de] with the ed. Calc. 63,1; Prab. 58,17 where BROCKHAUS perhaps has *ujjha*, and for which, with the v.l., we should read *tuha*; Dh. *tuha* (Mṛcch. 39,5); A. *taū*, *tujjhu* (Hc. 4,367,1. 370,4. 372,425), the noteworthy *tudhra* (Hc. 4,372), *tujjhaha* (Vikr. 72,10; in addition to BOLLESEN), *tuha* (Hc. 4,361. 370,1. 383,1; Pingala 1,123^a), *tumha* (Pingala 1,60a), *tujjhe* in rhyme with *jujjhe = yudhi* (Pingala 2, 5). AMg. *tubbham* is = *tubhyam*; *tuha*, *tujjha*, *tuyha* presuppose one **tuhayam* (cf. *mahyam*). From this are deduced the stems *tubbha*, *tujha*, *uyha*, which appear in the plural.⁶ The stems *tuyha*, *uyha* must have originated either from Mg. or from a dialect allied to Mg. (§ 236. 331).—Loc. M. *tai*, *tvi*, *tumammi*, *tume* (G. H. R.); AMg. *tumāsi* (Nirāyāv. § 15); JM. *tai*, *tumammi*; Š. *tai* (Vikr. 30,3; 84,4), *tui* (Mālav. 41,19; Venīs. 13,8 [so to be read with the ed. Calc. 1870, p.26,5]);

A. tāī, pāī, as in the acc. ins. (Hc. 4,370). In JM. too *pāī* and *pāīm* have been used by Dhanapālā⁷.

1. See note 1 to § 418. — 2. BOLLENSEN on Vikr. p. 528 reads *tūhā* and wants to derive it from *tumhā* at p. 529. — 3. PISCHEL, GGA. 1877, 1066; BB. 3,250 note; ZDMG. 35, 714. — 4. HOERNLE on Uvās., Transl., note 262. — 5. Certainly false is *de* in the beginning of the sentence in Śak. ed. BÖHTEL, 107,13, as already noted by BOLLENSEN on Vikr. 176. — 6. Others KERN Jaartelling 102; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge 55, note 1. — 7. KLATT, ZDMG. 33,448.

§ 422. Plural. Nom. Except in AMg. the usable form, in all the dialects, is *tumhe* = **tuṣme*: M. (H. R.); JM. (Erz.); S. (Mṛcch. 24,15; 70,15; Śak. 106,2; 109,7); Mg. (Mṛcch. 16,19; 149,17); A. (Hc. 4,369). For Mg. the correct form will be **tusme* or even *tuyhe*; cf. *tusmā*, El. 3,313,4, which KIELHORN has correctly equated as = *yusmat*. The same stems are to be presupposed also for the plural forms of the other cases for this dialect, in which now °*mh*° stands in the editions. AMg. has throughout *tubbhe* = Aśoka *tuphe* (Āyār. 1,4,2,4; 2,3,3,5. 7; Sūyag. 192. 194. 783. 972; Vivāhap. 132. 332; Nāyādh. [also § 138 to be read so with v. l. for *tumhe*]; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nirāyav.). In contemptuous sense is used *tumāīm* (Āyār. 2,4,1,8). JM. has, beside *tumhe*, also *tubbhe* (Āv. 14,28. 30; 41,22; Erz.; Kk.); according to Hc. 4,369 A. has also *tumhaī*, according to Ki. 5,113; P. has *tumpha*, *tuppha*, *tumhe*. — Acc. *tumhe*: M. (R. 3,27); S. (Mṛcch. 24,17; Nāgān. 48,13); JM. *tubbhe* (Dvār. 497,18; 498,38) and *tumhe* (T. 5,3); AMg. *tubbhe* (Uvās.) and *bhe*¹, which originated from it under the influence of loss of accent (Nāyādh. 938. 939; Uttar. 363); A. has *tumhe*, *tumhaī* according to Hc. 4,369. — Ins. M. *tumhehi* (H.420); AMg. *tubbhehim* (Vivāgas. 17; Uttar. 579 [°*bhbhe*°]; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nāyādh. s. v. p. 359. 361. 363. 419 etc.), also *tumehim* (Nāyādh. 454, if the reading is correct), *tubbhe* (Sūyag. 932) and *bhe* (Āyār. 1,4,2,4; Nāyādh. 1284.1376 [text te]); JM. *tumhehi* (Erz.), *tubbhehim* (Āv. 11,26; 18,27; Erz.); S. *tumhehim* (Mahāv. 29,4; Viddhaś. 48,5); *tumhehi* (Hc. 4,371). — Gen. in all the dialects *tumhāṇam*: M. (H. 676, °*na*); AMg. (Sūyag. 964); JM. (Erz.; Kk.); S. (Lalitav. 568,5; Mṛcch. 17,22; Vikr. 48,4; Mālatim. 285, 2); Mg. (Lalitav. 566,9; Śak. 118,4; Mudīār. 178,4; 258,4). In M. more frequent is *tumha* (R.), in AMg. the prevalent form is *tubbham* (Sūyag. 967. 1017; Nāyādh. § 79; p. 452. 590; Uttar. 355; Vivāhap. 1214; Vivāgas. 20,21; Uvās.; so to be read with the v.l. for *tumham* in also Kappas. § 79). Besides there is found in AMg. *tubbhe* (Uvās. § 68,153 [so to be read]) and often *bhe* (Āyār. 1,4,2,6; 2,1,5,5. 9,6; Sūyag. 284. 734. 972; Nāyādh. 907; Uttar. 50; Vivāhap. 132), which JM. too has (Āv. 24,8. 12). M. S. frequently have also *vo* = *vah* (G. H. R.; Śak. 20,7; 52,15; Vikr. 51,16), likewise PG. (7,46), which I cannot find in other dialects and in Mṛcch. At Āv. 41,18 we should read *kena bhe kiṁ gahijam*. A. has *tumhāḥā* (Hc. 4,373). According to Hc. 4,300 there is found in M. *tumhāḥā* too. I cannot quote any form of the loc. Śākalya,² according to Mk. fol. 48 f., taught, without any strong justification, the forms *tujjhisum*, *tumbhisum*; A. has *tumhāsu* according to Hc. 4,374. According to C. 2,26 *bhe* is used in all the cases in the plural. It is found in the texts in the acc., the ins. and the gen. On the écriture *hha* for *bbha* (*bhbha*) in the MSS. of Sr. see PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 3.

i. E. MÜLLER (Beiträge p. 55) has already noted that *bhe* is not = Skt. *bho* (WEBER, Bhag. 1,404, note 4; LEUMANN, Aup. S. s. v.). — 2. PISCHEL, De gr. Pr. p. 2 f.

§ 423. Not only are the nom. singular masculine and the fem. of the stem *sa-*, as in Classical Skt., been retained, but dialectically also other cases, partly in agreement with the Iranian dialects¹. Sing.: nom. masc. M. JM. JS. S. Ā. D. P. *so* (H. s. v. *sa-*; G. R. Erz. R̄śabhap. s. v.

ta-; Kk. s. v. *tad*; JŚ. Pav. 380,7; 381,16. 21; Kattig. 398,302; 399,312; Ś. Lalitav. 555,1; 560,19; Mrcch. 6,8; Sak. 52,5; Vikr. 10,2; Ā. Mrcch. 99,16; 101,6; D. Mrcch. 100,5.9; P. Hc. 4,322. 323), seldom *sa* (Hc. 3,3; PG. 7,47; M. R. 11,21 [where, however, with C. we should read *a* = *ca*]); AMg. (Āyār. 1,5,5,4 [where *sa cceva* is to read]); Uttar. 361 [*sa eso* beside *eso hu so* 362]; JM. (Erz. 6, 36; Kk. 258, 4); Ś. Mrcch. 42,11 [in A. only]; 63,18); AMg. *se* (Āyār. 1,1,1,4 ff.; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kappas. s. v. *ta²*); Mg. *se* (Lalitav. 565,6; Mrcch. 19,17; Sak. 114,2; A. *su* and *so* (Hc. s. v. s. v.). Falsely stands *so* in AMg. in Āyār. 1,1,1,4 and elsewhere in prose (cf. § 17). In conformity with the alteration of gender (§ 356 ff.) one says AMg. *se ditthām ca ne = tad drṣṭam ca nah;* *se duddiṭṭham ca bhe = tad durdṛṣṭam ca vah* (Āyār. 1,4,2,3. 4); Mg. *eše se daśanāmake = etad tad daśanāmakam* (Mrcch. 11,1), *še munde = tun mundam* (Mrcch. 122,7), *eše se śuvannake = etat tat suvarnakam* (Mrcch. 165,7), *še kamma = tat karma* (Sak. 114,6); A. *so sukku = tat saukhyam* (Hc. 4,340, 1). — Acc. AMg. *se* corresponding to *me* (§ 418) and *te* (§ 421) in *se s'* *evaṁ vajantām = sa tam evaṁ vadantam* (Āyār. 2,1,7,8. 9,6), whilst in *se s'* *evaṁ vajantassa* (Āyār. 2,1,2,4,6,4. 7,5. 9,2; 2,5,1,11; 2,6,1,10) the second *se* gen. is = *sa tasya vātam vadataḥ*; A. *su* (Hc. 4,383,3; masc.), *so* (Piṅgala 1,5^a; neuter.). — Inst. AMg. *se* (Sūyag. 838. 848. 854. 860). — Gen. M. AMg. JM. Ś. *se*, Mg. *še*, very frequently as masc. and fem., corresponding to *me* and *te* (Vr. 6,11; C. 1,17; Hc. 3,81; Ki. 3,48; Sr. fol. 22; Ś. masc. Mrcch. 12,24; Sak. 37,10; Vikr. 15,10; femin. Lalitav. 561,9; Mrcch. 25, 8; Sak. 21, 2; Vikr. 46, 1; Mg. masc. Mrcch. 36, 10; 161, 7; femin. Mrcch. 134,8; Venīs. 34,12); AMg. JM. metri causa also *se* (Dasav. 633,17; 635,4; Āv. 8,2,16) and AMg. *si* (Sūyag. 282)³. — Plural. nom. AMg. *se* (Āyār. 1,4,2,1 [ed. Calc. *te*]; Sūyag. 859); Mg. *še* (Mrcch. 167,1)⁴. — Acc. JŚ. *se* (Pav. 388,4; beside nom. *te*). — Gen. JM. *se* (C. 1,17; Hc. 3,81; Sr. fol. 22; Kk. 273,29; cf. § 34) and *sīm* (Vr. 6,12; Hc. 3,81; Sr. fol. 22). — Voc. AMg. *se* (Āyār. 1,7,2,1). As in the Atharvaveda 17, 1,20 f.⁵, in the Śatapathabrahmaṇa (B.-R. s. v. *sa p. 452*), in Pāli *sace* (when) *sa*, in Pāli *seyyathā se*, so in AMg. *se* is placed before pronouns and pronominal adverbs without any effect on the meaning. Initial *t* of the pronoun *ta-* and *j* of the pronoun *ya-* are in most cases reduplicated after it. So AMg. *se ttām* (Āyār. 2,1,1,2. 4,4. 5,2. 5; 2,3,1,14; 2,4,2,7. 8; Jiv. 36ff. 316f.; Vivāhap. 160,596; Pannav. 7 ff. 63,480); *se tam* (Āyār. 1,2, 5,5; Kappas. Th. § 7—9); *se ten' atthēnam* (Vivāhap. 34 ff. 47 ff.); *se jjām* (Āyār. 1,2,6,5; 2,1,1,1. 4,11; 2,1,2,3. 3,4 ff.; 2,3,1,2 ff.; 2,7,2,2 ff.); *se jjāim* (Āyār. 2,1,1,14. 2,2. 3,10; 2,5,1,4); *se jjān' imāni* (Āyār. 2,2,2,10); *se jjā me* (Ovav. § 70. 71. 73 ff.); *se jjāo* (Āyār. 2,1,1,3; Ovav. § 72); *se jaṁ* (Āyār. 1,1,1,4); *se kiṁ tam* (Anuog. 356; Nandis. 471; Pannav. 62, 480; Ovav. § 30; Kappas. Th. § 7—9); *se ke naṁ* (Nāyādh. § 138); *se kahaṁ eyaṁ* (Vivāhap. 142); *se kei* (Sūyag. 301); *se kiṁ tu hu* (Sūyag. 846). In contrast to Pāli *seyyathā* in AMg. *j* of *jahā* is never reduplicated after *se*; *se jahā* (Āyār. 1,6,1,2; Sūyag. 593 f. 613. 747; Vivāhap. 134. 161 f. 270. 929; Uvās. § 12. 210; Ovav. § 54; Nāyādh. § 133). The scholiasts explain *se* with *tad*; e. g. Śilāṅka on Āyār. 230 *se tti tacchabdārthe*; p. 300 *sesabdas tacchabdārthe sa ca vākyopanyāsārthah*, an explanation, that is more correct than that by CHILDERS⁶ and WEBER⁷. The reduplication of *t* and *j* in Pkt. and of *y* in Pāli *seyyathā* shows that *se* should not be taken as the AMg. nom. *se*, which Pāli too makes improbable, if not impossible⁸. *se* is rather = Vedic *se'd* i. e. *sá = id*, that is used almost as *sá*. It is proved through the RV. 4,37,6 : *se'd ṛbhavo yám dvatha yūyám īndrasāca mārtym | sa' dhūbhīr astu sániātā medhāsātā so' árvatā*, where *se'd yám...sa'*⁹

almost is = AMg. *sējjam se*. Hence one writes in a better way *sēttam*, *sējjam*, etc., like Pāli *sēyyathā* and *sace⁹*.

1. WACKERNAGEL, KZ. 24,600 ff. In the Veda there occurs also the loc. *sasmin*. — 2. The remark made under § 418, note 1 holds good. — 3. *se* is merely an enclitic, hence a false reading at Śak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 25,6, as also *de* (§ 421, note 5). — 4. *śe* cannot be gen. sing., since after p. 166,24 both the Cāndālas speak. The Calc. editions (316,10 ed. Calc. 1829; 357,1 ed. Calc. Śak. 1792) and GOPABOLE p. 452,6 read *śte*, that is translated in the old Calc. edition and in GOPABOLE as *ete*, rightly with the scholiasts. — 5. Hitherto overlooked, even by DELBRÜCK, Altind. Syntax § 140. — 6. Dictionary s. v. *sa*. — 7. Bhag. 1, 421 f., where also additional examples from Vivāhap. are given. — 8. E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 9. — 9. The Vedic accent prohibits assumption of proclisis of *se* and reduplication according to § 196.

§ 424. The impersonal pronouns partly have the special endings of the pronouns as in Skt., and partly they are inflected like nouns. Only the loc. sing. masc. and neut. and the nom. plur. masc. have the pronominal endings. In the abl. sing. masc. and neut., abl. gen. loc. sing. fem. and gen. plur. masc. fem. neut., both the endings are found to be used, partly for the sake of dialectical distinction. The stem of the fem. ends in the case of *tad*, *etad*, *yad*, *kim*, *idam* in -ā or -ī (Hc. 3,32; Ki. 3,45): *tā-*, *tī-*; *etā-*, *etī-*; *jā-*, *jī-*; *kā-*, *kī-*; *imā-*, *imī-*. *yad*, *tad*, and *kim*, however, have only ā in the nom. acc. sing. and gen. plur. (Hc. 3,33), S. Mg. in the case of all the pronouns have only ā. Cf. Vr. 6,1 ff.; Hc. 3,58 ff.; Ki. 3,42 ff.; Mk. fol. 45 ff.; Sr. fol. 19 ff.

§ 425. The pronoun *ta-*. Sing. nom. acc. neutr. M. AMg. JM. JŚ. S. Mg. Dh. A. D. A. *tam* (JŚ. Pav. 381,20; 385,61; S. Lalitav. 561, 13; 562,23; Mrcch. 2,18; Śak. 27,6; Mg. Lalitav. 565,19; Mrcch. 40,5; Dh. Mrcch. 31,4; 32,3. 8; 35,7; A. Mrcch. 102,1; D. Mrcch. 102,19; A. Hc. 4,360); A. in the sense of "therefore" also *tram* (Hc. 4,360; see § 268 and cf. § 427) and *tu* in the combination *tam tu* (Vikr. 55,19) corresponding to *ju* (§ 427). — Acc. masc. and fem. in all the dialects *tam*, — Ins. *tena*, AMg. *tenam*, A. *tem* (Hc. s. v. *ta-*); according to Hc. 3,69 also *tinā*; femin. M. *tie*, *tia*; AMg. JM. *tie*, *tāe*; S. *tāe* (Lalitav. 555,1; Mrcch. 79,3; Śak. 40,4 [so to be read for *tae*, as also Mrcch. 77,10 with D]; Vikr. 45,21); Mg. *tāe* (Mrcch. 133,21); P. *tie* (Hc. 4,323); A. *tāe* (Hc. 4,370,2). — As pure abl. AMg. JM. have *tāo* (e. g. Ovav. § 101; Uvās. § 90. 125; Av. 8,48; Sagara 6,4), AMg. also as femin. (Dasav. 613,24). The forms *tatto*, *tao*, S. Mg. *tado* (Ki. 3,50; where also *tadao*), to, *tamhā*, taught by the grammarians (Vr. 6,9.10; Hc. 2,160; 3,66.67; Mk. fol. 46), are adverbially used, *tamhā* in AMg. and JŚ. only (Pav. 380,8; 381, 20; 382,23. 27; 384,36); *to*, that stands, except in M. AMg. JM. A. (Hc. s. v.), also in the verse in Mg. (Mrcch. 11,11), is apparently = *ātas* (§ 142). In addition there comes AMg. *taohimto* (Vivāhap. 1047. 1189. 1240 f. 1283. 1288 f.; Nāyādh. 1178) and *tā* M. JM. JŚ. (Pav. 398,303); S. (Lalitav. 555,2; 561,15; Mrcch. 2,16. 18. 22; 3,20); Mg. (Lalitav. 565,8. 15; 567,1; Mrcch. 20,21; 21,12); Dh. (Mrcch. 29,15; 30,13; 32,8); A. (Mrcch. 101,23; 105,2); D. Mrcch. 101,1.9; 102,18; 103,16; 104,19); A. (Hc. 4,370,1). *tā*=Vedic *tāt̄*, is wrongly translated as = *tāvat*. From A. Hc. gives also *tahām* (Hc. 4,355). — Gen. masc. neut. M. AMg. JM. JŚ. S. Dh. *tassa*, also PG. *tasa* (7,41.45); Mg. *tassā* (Mrcch. 14,1. 7; 19,10; 37,25) and *tāha* (Mrcch. 13,25; 36,13; 112,9; 164,2); M. also *tāsa* (Vr. 6, 5. 11; Hc. 3,63; Vetalap. p. 218 Nr. 15); A. *tassu*, *tasu*, *tāsu*, *tahō* (Hc. s. v. *ta-*); femin. M. *tissā*, *tie*, *tīa*, according to Vr. 6,6; Hc. 3,64 also *tīā*, *tīi*; AMg. JM. *tīse* (also in Vr. Hc.), *tāe*, *tīe*; S. *tāe* (Mrcch. 79,3; 88,20; Śak. 21,8; Vikr. 16,9. 15); Mg. *tāe* (Mrcch. 133,19; 152,5); P. *tie* (Hc. 4,323); A. *tahē* (Hc. s. v. *ta*), *tāsu* (acc. in rhyme with *jāsu*; Piṅgala 1,109. 115). — Loc. masc. neut. M. JM. *tammi*; AMg. *tamhi*, *tammi* (also Āyār. 1,2,3,6); S. *tassim* (Mrcch. 61,24; Śak. 73,3; 74,1; Vikr. 15,12); Mg.

tassim (Mrcch. 38,16; 121,19; Prab. 32,7); according to Hc. 3,11 also *tam*. False is JS. *tamhi* (Kattig. 400,322) beside the correct *tammi*. A. h as, according to Ki. 5,50, also *tadru*, as in relation with *yadru* (§ 427). In the sense of “there”, “thither” very frequent is *tahim* (Vr. 6,7; Hc. 3,60) in all the dialects. As *tatra* in Skt., so *tattha* in Pkt. is used in the sense of the loc. too (Vr. 6,7; Hc. 2,161, who has also *taha*, *tahi*). Femin. *tī*, *tīa*, according to 3,60 also *tāhim*, *tāe*; AMg. *tīse* (Ovav. § 83; Nāyādh. 1148). As loc. feminine, we should probably consider also M. AMg. JM. *tāhe* for **tāse* (corresponding to *tīse*, that mostly stands in correlation with *jāhe* and has the meaning “then” = *tadā* (Vr. 6,8; Hc. 3,65; G. R. Erz.; s. v. *tāhe* and *jāhe*; Uvās. s. v. *ta-* and *ja-*; Nāyādh. § 143; p. 768, 944. 1052, 1420, 1435 etc.). — Plural. Nom. *te*, femin. *tāo*, neuter *tām*, in all the dialects, AMg. JM. also *tāni*. S. Mg. have, beside *te*, also *de* behind other pronouns²: S. *ede de* (Mrcch. 39,3; Uttarar. 68,8; Mālatīm. 243,3 [*ede kkhu de*]; 273,4); Mg. *ede de* (Mrcch. 38,19), *ye de* (Mudrār. 183,2), elsewhere also S. *te* (Uttarar. 77,4,5; Mudrār. 260,1), as *tāo* (Mrcch. 25,20;29,7; Mālatīm. 80,1; Prab. 17,8) and *tāim* (Uttarar. 60,5). — Acc. *te*, also JS. (Pav. 379,3; 381,21) and A. (Hc. 4,336); falsely S. *de* in the beginning of the sentence (Uttarar. 72,5); femin. AMg. *tāo* (Nirayāv. 59). — Ins. *tēhim*, femin. *tāhim*, in M. AMg. JM. also *tēhi*, *tāhi* (S. masc. Mrcch. 25,14; Prab. 10,9; 12,11). — Abl. AMg. *tēbbho* (Sūyag. 19; correct?); AMg. JM. *tēhimto* (Pañnav. 308 f.; Āv. 48,14) and JM. *tēhim* (Erz. 22,5). — Gen. M. *tānam*, *tāna*; S. *tānam* (Uttarar. 73,10), also femin. (Prab. 39,1); AMg. *tesim*, *tesi*, femin. *tāsim*, *tāsi*; JM. *tesim*; femin. *tāsim* and *tānam* for masc. and femin.; JS. masc. *tesim* (Pav. 379, 5; 383, 44); A. *tāna*, *tāhā*, *tāhā* (Hc. s. v. *ta-*); according to Hc. 4,300 *tāhā* in M. too, according to 3,62 *tāsa* in the plural too. — Loc. *tesu* (Hc. 3,135; M. R. 14,13; JM. Erz. 4,3); S. *tesu* (Vikr. 35,6; Mudrār. 38,10; 160,2) and *tesum* (Sak. 162,13); femin. JM. S. *tāsu* (Erz. 15,14; Mālatīm. 105,1); A. *tāhi* (Hc. 4,422,18). On AMg. *tām*, *teñam* see § 68, on AMg. *se ttām* § 423.

1. HOEFER, De Prakrita dialecto p. 171; PISCHEL, BB. 16,171 ff.—2. BOLLENSEN on Vikr. I. 176 too strictly limits *de*, when he permits it to stand only after *je*; it is not used even as a correlative. The form makes it certain, that *de* was enclitic in such cases.

426. The pronoun *eta-* is essentially inflected like *ta-* (G. s. v. *etat*; H. R. s. v. *ea-*; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.; Erz.; Kk. s. v. *eyā-*). The nom. sing. masc. is M. JM. JS. S. A. D. *eso* (JS. Kattig. 398,314; S. Mrcch. 6,10; Sak. 17,4; Vikr. 7,2; Ā. Mrcch. 99,19; 100,23; D. Mrcch. 102,16), AMg. *ese*, in verses also *eso* (Uttar. 361 f.) Mg. *eṣe* (Lalitav. 565, 6, 8; 567,2; Mrcch. 11,1; Prab. 32,10; Sak. 113,3; Venīs. 33,15), Dh. *esu* (Mrcch. 31,12; 34,17; 35,15), A. *ehō* (Hc. s. v. *eha*). Unlike *sa* (§ 423), beside it there occurs very frequently, however, *esa* (Hc. 3,3), which, according to Hc. 3,85 is used also for the femin. and neut.: *esa*, *mahi*, *esa* *sirām*. *esa* does not stand merely as an adjective before substantives, but also as a substantive, in verse, as in prose, e. g. JS. Pav. 379,1; S. Mrcch. 54,13; Vikr. 82,14. In Mg. *esa* is seldom (Mrcch. 139,17); in Dh. stands *esa* (Mrcch. 36,23). The femin. is *esā* (S. Lalitav. 555,2; Mrcch. 15,24; Vikr. 7,13; Sak. 14,6; also P. Hc. 4,320 and D. (Mrcch. 102,23), Mg. *esā* 10,23,25; 13,7,24; Prab. 32,9), A. *ehā* (Hc. s. v.; Piṅgala 2,64), the neut. PG. *etām* (6,30), M. *eam*, AMg. JM. *ejām*, S. Mg. Ā. D. *edām* S. (Lalitav. 555,18; Mrcch. 2,18; Vikr. 6,1; also acc. Mrcch. 49,8,14; Sak. 25,1; Vikr. 13,4; Mg. nom. Mrcch. 45,21; 168,18; 169,7; acc. Mrcch. 29,24; 132,21; Ā. nom. Mrcch. 100,18; D. acc. Mrcch. 100,16); A. *ehu* = **esām* (Hc. s. v. *eha*), also acc. *ehāū* = **esākam* (Hc. 4,362). — Acc. masc. femin. neut. M. *eam*, AMg. *eyām*. S. Mg. *edām*; A. masc. *ehu* (Piṅgala 1,81). — In the

ins. M. has *eena* (H. R.), AMg. *eeṇam*, JM. beside *eena* also *einā*, Š. Mg. beside *edenā* (Š. Mṛcch. 42,12; Vikr. 31,14; Uttarar. 78,3; 163,3; Mg. Mṛcch. 118,11; 133,19; 154,9) much more frequently *edinā* (Š. Mṛcch. 5,5; 18,3; Šak. 10,12; Vikr. 53,1; Uttarar. 13,11; Mālatim. 31,4; 73,3; 100,3; Ratn. 293,21; Mg. Mṛcch. 39,25; 40,11; Venīs. 36,1). See § 128. In the femin. beside *ejāye* JM. has also the form *eie*, from the femin. stem *ei-* = **eti-*, mentioned by Hc. 3,32; both the forms are valid for the abl. gen. loc. too. In Š. Mg. the ins. gen. loc. is spelt as *edāe*: ins. (Š. Mṛcch. 94,16; 95,8; Vikr. 27,15; 41,7; Ratn. 299,8; Mg. Mṛcch. 173,8; Prab. 61,7); gen. (Mg. Mṛcch. 123,3); loc. (Š. Mṛcch. 9,9; 42,11). — For the abl. Vr. 6,20 gives *ētto*, *edādo*, *edādu*, *edāhi*; Hc. 3,82: *ētto*, *ēttāhe*, *ēdō*, *ēāu*, *ēāhi*, *ēāhīnto*, *ēā*; KI. 3,51: *etto*, *edo* [sic], *edādu*, *edāhi*, *ēttha*. From it is *ētto* = **etatah* (§ 197); it is used in AMg. M JM. in the sense of “hence”, “thence”, “now”, in AMg. as pure abl. too: *ētto uvasaggāo* = *etasmād upasargāt* (Nāyādh. 761); *ētto annayāram* = *etasmād anyataram* (Āyār. 2,1,2,4. 6,4. 7,8; 2,2,3,18; 2,6,1,5). This use is wrong in Š. In Indian editions in places where it occurs, such as in Mālatim, ed. Bomb. 69,9; 255,1, we should read *imādo*, as in the first place, the ed. Calc. 1866 p. 37,13 and the ed. BHĀNDĀRKAR 92,3 have. In AMg. *itto* too (Sūyag. 360; Uttar. 599). *ēttāhe*, however, is derived from the stem *ēttā-* = *etā⁻¹*, and like *tāhe* (§ 425) is to be taken as the loc. sing. of the feminine. It is used in M. adverbially in the sense of “now (Hc. 2,134; G. H. R.), in A. as *ēttāhe* in the meaning “hence (Hc. 4,419,6. 420,6) and of “hither (Hc. 4,436). According to it is built A. *teēttāhe* “thither (Hc. 4,436). JM. *ejāo* (Dvār. 495,27). — Gen. M. *eassa*; AMg. JM. *ejassa*; Š. *edassa* (Šak. 29,2; Vikr. 32,3; Uttarar. 67,6); Mg. *edaśśa* (Lalitav. 565,8; Mṛcch. 19,5; 79,19) and *edāha* (Mṛcch. 145,4; 164,4). — Loc. according to Hc. 3,60 *eassim*, according to 3,84 *eammī*; AMg. JM. *ejammi*, *ejāmī*; in AMg. also *ejāmī* (Sūyag. 790; Vivāhap. 116. 513 [text *eesi*, correctly in the commentary]. 1119); Š. *edassim* (Šak. 78,12; Vikr. 6,3; 23,17; Ratn. 301,5; Priyad. 13,16; Prab. 36,1); Mg. *edaśśim* (Lalitav. 565,6; Mṛcch. 134,22; 137,4; Mudrār. 185,1). On *aammi*, *īammi* see § 429. — Plural. Nom. M. AMg. JM. *ee*; JS. Š. *edē* (Pav. 386,8; 389,1; Mṛcch. 8,2; Šak. 41,1; Mālatim. 243,3; 284,10); Mg. *ede* (Mṛcch. 29,23; 38,19; 71,22); striking *ede akkhalu* Mṛcch. 40,2 (all editions) = *etāni aksarāni*; A. *ei* (Hc. 4,330,4.363); femin. M. *ēāo*; AMg. JM. *ejāo*, Š. *edāo* (Candak. 28,10; Mallikām. 336, 8. 13), JM. also *ejā*; neut. M. *eāi*; AMg. JM. *ejāmī*, AMg. JM. also *ejāñī* (Sūyag. 321; Erz.), Š. *edāmī* (Mṛcch. 128,4; 153,9,13); Mg. *edāmī* (Mṛcch. 132,16; 169,6). — Acc. masc. AMg. JM. *ee*, A. *ei* (Hc. 4,363). — Ins. masc. neuter. M. JM. *eehiṁ*, *ehi*; Š. Mg. *edehiṁ* (Š. Mṛcch. 24,1; Prab. 12,10; 14,10; Mg. Lalitav. 565,13; Mṛcch. 11,12; 122,19; 132,15); femin. AMg. JM. *ejāhiṁ*. — Gen. masc. neutr. M. *eāna* (Hc. 3,61; G.H.); PG. *etesī* (6,27); AMg. JM. *eessim*, *eesi*, JM. also *ejānam*; Š. *edānam* (Mṛcch. 38,22; Uttarar. 11,4; 165,3; 197,10); femin. M. *eāna* (H. 89), according to Hc. 3,32 also *einām*, *eānam*; AMg. JM. *ejāsim*, JM. also *ejānam*; Š. *edānam* (Ratn. 293,13; Karp. 34,3.4). — Loc. M. AMg. (e.g. Āyār. 1,2,5,3); JM. *eesu*, *eessūm*; Š. *edesum* (Šak. 9,12,14) and *edesu* (Mudrār. 72,3).

i. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prāktica p. 22.

§ 427. The relative pronoun *ja-*, Mg. *ya-* is inflected almost wholly like the demonstrative *ta-*. In the nom. acc. sing. neut. A. has *ju* too (Hc. 4,350,1; 418,2), beside the frequent *jaṁ* (Hc. s. v. *jo*); both the forms stand beside one another in *jaṁ ju* (Vikr. 55,19; cf. *taṁ tu* § 425). Besides A. uses *dhrum* too (Hc. 4,360; cf. *tram* § 425). According to KI. 5,49 *jrum* is used in the acc. sing. for the demonstrative *drum*. The example is: *jrum*

cintesi drūm pāvasi = yac cintayasi tat prāpnosi. The old form *yad* has been retained in AMg. *jad athi* and Mg. *yad iścāse* (§ 341).— In the ins. sing. is found, according to Hc. 3,69 also *jinā*; A. has *jem* (Hc. 4,350,1) beside *jeṇa* (Hc. s. v. *jo*); in Piṅgala 2,272. 280 stands *jīṇi*, for which probably we have to read *jinā = jīṇā*. — In the abl. is found also *jā = Vedic yāt* (BB. 16,172), in A. also *jahām* according to Hc. 4,355, beside *jāo, jao, jado, jatto, jamhā* (Vr. 6,9; Hc. 2,160; 3,66), about which the remark made in § 425 holds good. — In the gen. Mg. has also *yāha* (Mṛcch. 112,9), beside *yaśā* (Mṛcch. 19,10; 165,7) also *yāha* (Mṛcch. 112,9); A. *jāsu, jasu* (Hc. s. v. *jo*; Piṅgala 1,68.81^a.89^a etc.), also in the fem. Hc. 4,368; Piṅgala 1,109.111 ff.), for which are used *jīa, jie* (G.H. s.v. *ja-*), *jussā* (Vr. 6,6; Hc. 3,64; Karp. 49,4.7;84,11), according to Vr. Hc. also *jād, jīi, jise* in M.; A. has *jahē* for **jāsē* (Hc. 4,359); Š. *jāe* (Mṛcch. 170,25;172,5; Prab. 39,6). — In the loc. is used in AMg. *jamśi = yasmin*, in verses also *jamsī* (§ 75), sometimes for the femin. too: *jamsī guhāe* (Sūyag. 273) and in relation to *nāī = nadi* (Sūyag. 297), in relation to *nāvā = nauh* (Uttar. 716); in A. stands *jassāmmī = yasyām* (Piṅgala 1,52), in AMg. *jassāmmī* in the sense of the gen. (Vivāhap. 264). According to Hc. 3,60 beside *jāe, jie*, also *jāhim* is used in the femin., as *jahim* in the masc. neut., which is frequent in all the dialects in the sense of “where”, “whither”. A. has also *jāhi, jahi* (§ 75), according to Ki. 5,50 also *yadru*, as in the demonstrative *tadru* (§ 425). Presumably *jadru* is to be written. On *jāhe* see § 425. According to Vr. 6,7 even *jattha* is used in the sense of the locative; beside it Hc. 2,161 teaches also *jahi, jaha* in the sense of *yatra*. In the nom. plur. beside the usual *je* (Hc. s. v. *jo*) A. has also *ji* (Hc. 4,387,1), in the neut. AMg. has *yāim* too (Āyār. 2,1,3,4, 5,5, 9,1; 2,2,2,10; 2,3,3,8; 2,4,1,8; 2,5,1,10. 2,4; 2,7,1,1; Nāyādh. 450. 1248; cf. 1376), beside *jāim*; the adverbial *yāim = yad* stands, and may be comprehended as *āim* with the scholiasts on Nāyādh. 450, since it appears only after *i* (*pi, avi, ii, atthi*), and *ji* would be explained as that in *jāvi* (§ 335). — In the abl. plur. AMg. has *gehīmto* (Pañnav. 308 f.), in the gen. plur. M. JM. *jāna, jānam*, JM. also, as always AMg. *jesim, jesī, Š. jānam* (Uttarar. 68,9), A. *jāhā* (Hc. 4,353.409); in the fem. AMg. has *jāsim* (Vivāgas. 189). On AMg. *jām, jenām* see § 68, on AMg. *sē jjām, se jahā* § 423. In PG. we find only the nom. sing. *jo* (7,44).

§ 428. In the interrogative in Skt. there are found two stems: *ka-* and *ki-*.—The stem *ka-* is inflected like the stems *ta-* and *ja-* (§ 425. 427). The abl. *kāo, kao, kado, katto, kamhā* (Vr. 6,9; Hc. 2,160; 3,71; Ki. 3,49) are analysed in the same way as those in the case of *ta-* and *ja-*. A. has also *kaul* (Hc. 4,416—418) and *kahām* (Hc. 4,355), AMg. also *kaohimto* (Jiv. 34. 263; Pañnav. 304; Vivāhap. 1050ff. 1340.1433.1522.1526.1528.1603ff.). In the gen. Vr. 6,5; Hc. 3,63; Ki. 3,47; Mk. fol. 46 give, beside *kassa*, also *kāsa* (Ki. ed. *kāso*), that occurs as *kāsu* in A. (Hc. 4,358,2) and as *kāha* in Mg. (Mṛcch. 38,12), and according to Hc. 3,63 is also feminine. The loc. is M. *kammi*, AMg. *kamśi* (Āyār. 1,2,3,1), and *kamhi* (Uttar. 454; Pañnav. 637), Š. *kassīm* (Mṛcch. 81,2; Mahāv. 98,14), Mg. *kassīm* (Mṛcch. 80,21; Prab. 50,13); in all the dialects *kahim*, *kattha* (§ 293) in the sense of “whither?”, “where?”, beside which Hc. 2,161 mentions also *kahi, kaha*, as *kāe kāhim* for the femin. 3,60. are frequent. AMg. *kāhe* “when?” (Vr. 6,8; Hc. 3,65; Ki. 3,44; Mk. fol. 46; Vivāhap. 153) is to be considered as *tāhe jāhe* (§ 425.427). As the gen. there occurs in A. *kāhe* (Hc. 4,359). In the nom. plur. femin. is found many times in Š. *kā* instead of *kāo* in the phrase *kā amhe [kā vaam]* with the gen. loc. or inf. (Šak. 16,12; Mālav. 46,12;65,3). Probably it is to be corrected as *kāo* (§ 376)¹. The neuter A. *kāī* (Hc. s.v.) Prabandhac. 109,5 is used like *kim*, also adverbially in the sense of “why”, “on what reason?”, likewise *kai* (Hc. 4,426; Vikr. 62,11). The gen.

is M. *kānam*, *kāṇa* (G. s. v. *kim*); AMg. JM. *kesim*. In PG. is found only the nom. sing. *ko* in *ko ci* (6,40).—In all the dialects is formed the nom. acc. sing. neut. *kim* = *kim* from the stem *ki-*. Š. *kitti* (Lalitav. 555,4), that occurs as a v.l. also in Šak. 15,4 and elsewhere, is not with JOHANSSON² and KONOW³ to be considered as **kiditi*, but as an erroneous écriture for *kim ti*⁴. The ins. *kinā* (Hc. 3,69; Ki. 3,55; Mk. fol. 45) occurs in M. *kinā vi* (G 413), and as adverb “in which manner ?”, “by what means ?” in AMg. (Uvās. § 167). *tiṇā*, *jīnā* might be formed accordingly.—*kiṇo* and *kīsa* are referred to as abl. by Hc. 3,68, *kiṇo* as an interrogative particle also by Hc. 2,216; Ki. 4,83, as it is in M. (G. 182 ; H. s. v.), is used⁵. *kīsa*, Mg. *kīśa* occur in M. (H. R. but not G.), JM. (Āv. 18,14; Erz.), AMg. (Nirayāv. § 13; Dasav. N. 648,23. 33), especially frequent in Š. and Mg. (Š. Mṛcch. 29,8; 95,18; 151,12; 152,12; 161,16; Ratn. 290,30; 295,19; 299,1.15; 301,25; 302,5; 303,23.30; 305,24; 310,29; 314,32; 316,23; 317,33; Mallikām. 253,5; 266,6 etc.; Mg. Mṛcch. 113,17; 114,8; 121,2; 151,24; 170,16; Venīs. 33,16), but not in Kālidāsa (PISCHEL on Hc. 3,68). Although it is undoubtedly used later as abl., as Mg. *kīśa kālaṇādo* = *kasmāt kāraṇāt* (Karīsav. 49,6), nevertheless according to its origin gen., is corresponding to Pāli *kissa*, as also Ki. 3,46 mentions, and it has, like it, almost always the adverbial meaning “why ?”, as Ki. 4,83 mentions. Striking is the meaning “what ?” in Mg. Mṛcch. 112, 8. *kiṇo* too is to be interpreted accordingly. For the gen. sing. femin. Vr. 6,6; Hc. 3,64; Ki. 3,46; Mk. fol. 46 give the forms *kissā*, *kīse*, *kīa*, *kīā*, *kīe*, Hc. 3,60 the last one also for the loc. *kīa* stands at H. 604 and so we have to read for *kīe* in G. 1123. 1152, also, that is correct at G. 1145.—A. has as interrogative also *kavāṇa*, from which are found the nom. sing. masc. *kavāṇu*, feminine *kavāṇa*, ins. sing. neut. *kavāṇena*, gen. sing. masc. *kavāṇahē* (H. s. v. *kavāṇa*), acc. sing. neutr. *kavāṇu* (Prabandhac. 70,11.13). Cf. therewith also Skt. *kavapatha*, *kavāgni*, *kavōṇa* and Pkt. *kavatṭia* (§ 246).

I. So rightly LASSEN, Inst. p. 326; wrongly BOLLESEN on Mālav. p. 191.—WEBER, IS. 14,262 ff. has overlooked that in the Devanāgarī-recension of Śakuntalā too, in all the places referred to by him at p. 263, the MSS. have only -ā, and that -āo is just a conjecture of BOHTLINGK.—2. Shāhbāzgarhi 1,176.—3. GGA. 1894, 480.—4. So rightly BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. 35.—5. Cf. also Haripāla on G. 182: *kiṇo iiii kas-mādarthe deśinipātah*.

§ 429. The stems combined together under the pronoun *idam* in Skt. have been retained as a whole in Pkt. The *a*-stem is very much restricted in its use, the stem *ima-*, except in A., where it is almost completely wanting became prevalent. From the *a*- and *i*- stems are found to have been formed: nom. sing. masc. AMg. JM. *ajām* (Uvās. Nāyādh. Nirayāv. s. v.; Kappas. Kk. s. v. *ima*); Š. Dh. *aam* (Š. Mṛcch. 3,24; Šak. 13,3; Vikr. 29,12; Dh. Mṛcch. 34,9. 12). Whilst *aam* is very frequent in Š., it has almost entirely vanished from M. It stands only in R. 14, 44 *ahavāām kaakajo* = *athavāyām kṛtakāryah*, a passage, that is cited as example by Hc. 3,73 too; elsewhere *imo* occurs for it. It is completely wanting in Mg., where *eṣe* is used. In fact Hc. 4,302 cites *ajām dāva se āgame* = Šak. 114,11; but here only the Dravidian and Devanāgarī recensions read *aam*, as throughout, offending against the dialect. The Bengali recension has *ettake*, the Kashmirian *ittake*. In AMg. *ayām* in the combination *ayām eŷārūve* = *ayām etadrūpah* has become completely indeclinable, so that one says also *ayameŷārūvāñ*, *ayameŷārūvassa*, *ayameŷārūvāñsi* too¹. As in Pāli, so in AMg. too, *ayām* is used as femin. at the same time: *ayām kosi* = *iyām koṣi*, *ayām arañi* = *iyām arañih* (Sūyag. 593. 594), and so on, or it is to be taken as the masc. (§ 358), also *ayām atthi* =

idam asthi; ajam dahī = idam dadhi (Sūyag. 594). As neuter it stands in AMg. *ajam tēllam = idam tailam* (Sūyag. 594), therefore, formed from the *aya*-stem. The femin. *iyam* has been attested by S. only: *iam* (Mrcch. 3,5,21; Sak.14,1; Vikr.48,12). Since Mg. employs elsewhere only *eśā*, *iam* is a false reading in Mrcch.39,20(all editions), brought in through the similar following S. *iam* in the same combination with *kalā*. The neut. *idam* has been retained in AMg. M. and S. only, and that in fact as nom. only (Karp. 92,6[rightly?]; Sūyag 847[rightly?]; Mrcch.3,20[so to be read with C for *imam*]; 7,8;42,8; Sak.15,1; Vikr. 19,15;45,15;86,6); as acc. is used *imam* (Mrcch.24,21;38,23;39,14;42,3;61,24;105,9;147,18; Sak.57,8;58,13). For *idam* (Vikr.40,20) we should read, with A *edam*, and for *idam* at Vikr.47,10, where it would have been used as masc., we should, with ed. Bomb. 79,3 and ed. Sh. P. PANDIT 94,7, read *imam*. In Mg. *idam* appears in *taṁ nidaṁ* at Lalitav. 566,20, falsely for *taṁ nedam*; Mg. has as nom. and acc. neut. only *imam* (Mrcch. 108,11; 166,24; 169,22), as also P. as acc. (Hc. 4,323). — Ins. M. *ena* (R. 14,47); A. *em* (Vikr. 58,11). — Abl. M. *ā* = Vedic *āt* in the sense of *tāvati*². — Gen. M. JM. *assa* = *asya* (Hc. 3,74; KI. 3,56; Mk. fol. 47; Karp. 6,5; Pārvatip. 30,15; KI. 4. 5); falsely assumed for *jassa* of the editions and best MSS. by WEBER on H. 979; falsely in S. too at Vikr.21,1, where we have to read *°sūdassa* for *°sūdām assa* with the MSS. BP and the ed. Calc. 1833, and at Prab.8,7, where we should read *jado se* for *jado ssa* (so all the four editions). — Loc. *assim* = *asmin* (Vr. 6,15; Hc. 3,74; KI. 3,56; Mk. fol. 47), in AMg. in verses (Āyār. 1,4,1,2; Sūyag. 328, 537. 938. 941. 950; Uttar. 22), and in prose (Āyār. 1,1,2,1; 1,5,3,3;2,2,1,2;2,2,9; Sūyag. 695; Vivāhap. 163; Jiv. 797,801), as already *casi* = *cāsmin* in PG. 7,46³. For S. *kānīt̄hamādāmaha assim* (Mahāv. 98,4) we should, with the ed. Bomb. 219,8, read *°mādāmahassa*. It stands in S. in the text in Pārvatip.5,10; Mallikām. 219,23. — Ins. plur. *ehi*, AMg. Dh. *ehim* (Rāyap.249; Mrcch.32,7), femin. *āhi*, loc. JM. *esu* (Hc.3,74; T.7,16), gen. M. *esim*(H.771). — Probably *aammi* and *iammi* are the loc. from it, and with the grammarians (Hc. 3,48; Sr. fol. 22), are not to be derived from *etad*. For *iammi* Triv. 2,2,87 and Sr. fol. give, probably more correctly, *iammi*, as likewise Hc. 3,89, gives from *adas* both *aammi* and *iammi*. Therefore. *aammi* is to be derived from *ada-* = *adas*, but also from *aa-* = *aya-* (§ 121), as undoubtedly the loc. sing. AMg. *ayam̄si* (Uttar. 498), the nom. sing. neut. AMg. *ajam* (Sūyag. 594; see above), and at least according to the meaning A. *āa-*, whereof have been found in the text, the ins. *āena* = *anena*, *āahō* = *asya*, *āahim̄* = *asmin*, *āai* = *imāni* (Hc. 4,365. 383,3). *iammi*, however, belongs to *idam*, hence to the stem *ia-* = *ida-*. Loc. from one *i*-stem are *ihā* "here", "hither" = **iithā* (§ 266; Vr. 6,17; Hc. 3,75. 76), A. as masc. and femin. = *asmin* and *asyām*, *ithī*, in all the dialects *īttha* = Vedic *ītthā* (§ 107), and M. AMg. JM. *īnhim̄* "now" (Bh.4,33; Hc. 2,134), for which the MSS. give more often as v. l. *inhim̄* (G. H. R. s. v.), likewise false as *ithha*, which Vr. 6,17 and Hc. 3,76 expressly forbid, so that in S. too at Prab. 46,8 and in P. with v l. and Hc. 4,323 too we have to read *īttha*. In Mg. is used *ēnhim̄* in verses only (Mrcch. 29, 22; 40, 6), in S. not absolutely, but *idānīm*, *dānīm* (Hc. 4,277; § 144). Hence falsely in Häsy. 36,11; Karp. 62,10 and more often in Indian editions. Likewise the word has not been as yet traced in A., where *īvahī* stands in the sense of "now" (Hc. 4, 420). Desin. 1,50 mentions the pronoun *ajjho* (according to Drona), femin. *ajjhā*, by which the audience is to be pointed to, can be derived from the gen. *asya* through **ahya*.

1. STEINHAL, Specimen Anm. 77. — 2. FISCHEL, BB. 16,172. — 3. FISCHEL, GN. 1895,211 ff.

§ 430. The stem *ana-* has been retained in the ins. only and indeed

only in prose of AMg. (Āyār. 1,6,4,3), JM. (Erz. 30,14), in Š. (Mṛcch. 95,2; Śak. 163,8; Vikr. 41,11) and in Mg. (Mṛcch. 149,24; Mudrār. 192,3); AMg. also *aneṇām* (Uttar. 487).—The most usual stem is *ima-*, femin. *imā-* or *imi-* (Hc. 3,32), in Š. Mg. only *imā-*, as in all the dialects in the nom. acc. sing. and plur. It is used in all the cases of the sing. and plur. (G. s. v. *idam*; H. R.; Erz.; Kk.; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nāyādh. s.v. *ima-*; Hc. s. v. *imām*). Sing.: nom. *imo*, AMg. *ime*, in verses also *imo* (Uttar. 247; Dasav. N. 654,26; Nandis. 84), femin. *imā*, also *imiā* = **imikā* (Hc. 3,73), neut. *imam*. In Š. Mg., these forms, not even the neuter (§ 429), are not used by good writers. In many of the later-day dramas *imo* is found in Š. so frequently, that it can hardly be considered to be a contribution of the edition, as Pras. 11,12. 18; 12,5. 9. 13; 14,9; 17,9; 34,6; 35,1; 45,1. 12. 14; 46, 1. 2 etc.; Mukund. 14,15. 17; 19,14; 70,15; Unmattar. 4,12; Vṛṣabha. 23,9; 26,5; 48,3 etc. Here we have cases of error against the dialect. A. has only the neut. *imu*. In AMg. *ime*, like *ayām* (§ 429), in the combination *im'* *eyārūva*, has wholly weakened, so that one says also *im'* *eyārūvā* (nom. sing. fem.; Uvās. § 113. 167 [so to be read; see v. l.]. 168), *im'* *eyārūveṇām* (v. l. to Uvās. § 72). In addition, the usage described in § 173 has indeed made its contribution after the weakening of the nasal sound.—Acc. masc. fem. neut. *imām* (masc. Š. Mṛcch. 24,21; Śak. 18,10; Vikr. 72,18; Mg. Mṛcch. 169,17; Śak. 115,11; femin. Š. Mṛcch. 54,18; Śak. 14,2; Ratn. 297,23; neut. § 429); A. neut. *imu* (Hc.; Ki. 5,10).—Ins. masc. fem. neut. M. *imena*; AMg. *imēnam*, *imena*; JM. *imena*, *imīnā*; Š. Mg. only *imīnā* (Š. Mṛcch. 24,16; Śak. 16,10; Vikr. 24,10; Mg. Venis. 35,1); femin. M. *imie*, *imīa* (also Śak. 101,13), Š. *imāe* (Mṛcch. 90,15; Śak. 81,10; Ratn. 291,2). At Viddhaś. 96,8, where *imīā* stands, falsely, we should with the v. l. read *iam*, as *nijjhādi* = *nirdhyāyati* shows.—Abl. AMg. (Sūyag. 630. 635), JM. *imāo*, Š. Mg. *imādo* (Š. Mṛcch. 12,25; 74,25; Mudrār. 57,3; Ratn. 299,11; Mg. Lalitav. 565,8), also femin. (AMg. Āyār. 1,1,1,4; Š. Ratn. 315,12; Mg. Mṛcch. 162,23).—The remark made under § 375 on Š. *imāe* (Vikr. 17,1) holds good in this place too.—Gen. *imassa* (Š. Mṛcch. 148,12; Śak. 108,1; Vikr. 45,4); Mg. *imassā* (Mṛcch. 32,17; 152,6; Śak. 118,2); femin. M. *imie*, *imīa* (Karp. 27,12); AMg. *imīse*; JM. *imīe*, *imāe*; Š. *imāe* (Śak. 168,14).—Loc. masc. neutr. M. *imammi*; AMg. *imammi* (in verses; Uttar. 180; Āyār. 2,16,12), *imāsi* (prose; Āyār. 2,3,1,2; 2,5,2,7; Vivāhap. 1275; Ovav. § 105); Š. *imassīn* (Mṛcch. 65,5; Śak. 36,16; 53,8; Vikr. 15,4); Mg. *imassīm* (Venis. 33,7); femin. AMg. *imīse* (Vivāhap. 81 ff.; Uvās. § 74. 253. 255. 257; Thān. 31. 79; Samav. 66); JM. *imāī* (Rśabhap. 7; so to be read with ed. Bomb. for *imāīm*, as at Erz. 35,18 for *imāe*); Š. *imassīn* (Śak. 18,5), for which *imāe* would be expected.—Plural nom. masc. *ime* (Š. Mṛcch. 69,18; Vikr. 41,19; Mālatīm. 125,5; Mg. Mṛcch. 99,8); femin. *imāo* (Š. Mṛcch. 70,1 and also at 71,8 so to be read for *imā*); M. also *imā* (Karp. 101,4) and *imu* (Karp. 100,6); neut. *imāīm* (Š. Mṛcch. 69,16; Mālatīm. 125,3), AMg. JM. also *imāī* (Āyār. 2,2,2,10; Āv. 31,21).—Acc. masc. *ime*; femin. JM. *imīo*; ins. masc. neutr. M. *imehi*; AMg. Š. *imehim* (Sūyag. 778; Śak. 62,6; Vikr. 45,9; Ratn. 296,23), fem. AMg. *imāhim* (Āyār. 2,2,3,18; 2,7,2,7).—Gen. masc. neutr. *imāna*; AMg. *imesīm* (Hc. 3,61); femin. M. *imānam*, *imānam* (Hc. 3,32); AMg. *imāsim* (Uvās. § 238); Š. *imānam* (Śak. 119,3; Vṛṣabha. 15,8).—Loc. M. *imesu*; Š. *imesum* (Śak. 53,9; Vikr. 52,1) and *imesu* Mālatīm. 125,1).

§ 431. The stem *ena-* exists in the acc. sing. only, and indeed only in M. Š. Mg., but even here it is seldom: masc. M. *enām* (R. 5,6); Š. (Mṛcch. 51,9); Mg. (Mudrār. 265,1); femin. *enām* Š. (Mṛcch. 24,2, in repetition

of the word of Šakāra in Mg.); Mg. (Mṛcch. 21,12; 124,17) Mk. fol. 47 teaches them also in the ins. sing., but both the forms are restricted to the neuter. In M AMg. JM. either under the influence of accent (*ená-*) or that of the early loss of accent *ena-* has become *inā-*, from which frequently is formed the nom. acc. sing. neut. *inām* (Vr. 6,18; Hc. 3,79; Ki. 3,57), especially in AMg. (G. s. v. *idam*; H. Erz. Kk. s. v. *inām*; Āyār. 1,1,2,2, 4; 1,1,3,4, 5,4, 6,3,7,2; 1,2,4,3; 1,2,5,5; 1,3,3,1; 1,4,2,2 etc.; Uttar. 281 ff. 351, 355; Ovav. § 94). Cf. § 81. 173. In AMg. *inām* is also acc. masc. (Sūyag. 142, 307). Perhaps *imām* is to be read. In M. AMg. JM. *ināmo* too is used as the nom. acc. neut. (Vr. 6,18; Hc. 3,79; Ki. 3,57; Mk. fol. 47; G. s. v. *idam* and *etat*; Sūyag. 259; Dasav. N. 658,30; 661,27; Ovav. § 124; Āv. 7,21. 29; 13,11). At Dasav. N. 647,12 it is used as plural too: *ināmo udāharanā*. LEUMANN writes in Āv. *inām-o*, hardly rightly. The explanation of the form is uncertain. Through *inā-* the stem has been weakened further to *na-*, P. *na*, which is used in the acc. sing. masc. fem. neut., acc. plur. masc., ins. sing. and plur. masc. fem. neut. (Hc. 3,70, 77). Sing. acc. masc. *nañ* (M. G. 1071; H. 131; R. s. v. *na*; AMg. Uttar. 601, 670; Š. Mṛcch. 68,5; Šak. 12,2; Vikr. 15,13; Mg. Mṛcch. 164,11; Prab. 32,11; 53,12; A. Hc. 4,396); femin. *nañ* (M. H. R. s. v. *na*; Š. Šak. 77,9; Vikr. 12,19; Mg. Mṛcch. 123,4; 132,23); neut. *nañ* (M. R. s. v.; Š. Mṛcch. 45, 25; Šak. 11,1; Vikr. 31,9; Mg. 96,12; Dh. Mṛcch. 31,9). — Ins. masc. neut. M. JM. A. *nena* (R. Erz. s. v. *na*; Āv. 11,21; 15,31; 16,15; 28,10; Dvār. 501,3; Piṅgala 1,17), P. *nena* (Hc. 4,322)¹; fem. *nāe* (Hc. 3,70; Erz. s. v. *na*); P. *nāe* (Hc. 4, 322). — Plur. acc. *ne* (Hc. 3, 77). — Ins. masc. neut. JM. *nehiñ* (Āv. 18,4; Erz. 3,28; Dvār. 500,31. 35; 505, 27); femin. *nāhim* (Hc. 3,70). In P. Hc. 4,322 restricts the stem to the ins. sing. In Š. Mg. it is noticeable in acc. sing. only in critical texts; false reading is *neñā* Šak. BÖHTL. 68,10; 108,8.

i. For *tattha ca nena! katasinā nena* we should read *tattha ca nena katasinānena=tatra ca tēna k̄tasinānena*. Cf. § 133.

§ 432. The pronoun *adas* according to Vr. 6,23; Hc. 3,88; Ki. 3, 58; Mk. f.l. 47 is inflected: sing. nom. masc. femin. *amū*, neut. *amum*; acc. *amum*; ins. *amuñā*; abl. *amūo*, *amūu*, *amūhimto*; gen. *amuñō*, *amussa*; loc. *amummi*; plur.: nom. *amuñō*, as also Vr. 6,23 we should read for *amūo* (cf. v. l.); femin. *amūu*, *amūo*; neutr. *amūñi*, *amūñim*; ins. *amūhi*; abl. *amūhimto*, *amūsuñto*, gen. *amūña*; loc. *amūsu*. A few forms only have as yet been found in the text. Sing. nom. AMg. *aso = asau* (Sūyag. 74) and *amuge = *amukah* (Āyār. 2,4,1,9; Nandis. 361,363,364), JM. *amugo* (Āv. 34,30); acc. masc. A. *amum* (Hc. 4,439,3); neutr. Š. *amum* (Mṛcch. 70,24); ins. M. *amuñā* (Karp. 27,4); loc. AMg. *amugamni = *amukasmin* (Panhāv. 130); plur. nom. masc. M. *amī* (G. 246). According to Vr. 6,24; Hc. 3,87 the nom. sing. for all the three genders would be *aha*: *aha puriso*, *aha mahilā*, *aha vanam*. In the first two examples from the literature, which Hc. quotes as proof and which can be attested (= G. 892 and R. 3,16) *aha* is = *atha*, likewise in all the places in G. (s.v. *etat*) and H. (s.v. *aha*), where the scholiasts assume it as = *ayam*, *iyam*, *eṣāh*, *eṣā*, *asau*, so that upto the present day the assumption for a pronoun *aha* is nowhere necessary. Ki. 3,58 has the nom. sing. *aho*, which may be = *asau* according to § 264. In A. the nom. acc. plur. is spelt as *oi* (Hc. 4,364); it is = **ave* from the stem *ava-*, which is current in the Iranian dialect.—On loc. sing. *aammi*, *iammi* see § 429.

§ 433. All the remaining pronouns are inflected according to § 424. 425. One says, therefore, for example in the sing. abl. *parāhimto = parasmāt* (G. 973), AMg. *savvāo = sarvasmāt* (Sūyag. 743), and also in the

femin. (Āyār. 1,1,1,4); femin. AMg. *annayarī* (Āyār. 1,1,1,2, 4); in the loc. JM. *annammi* (Āv. 25,5; Sagara 10,15), *annassim* = *anyasmin* (Mahāv. 98, 14; Mālatīm. 111, 7; Ratn. 298, 24); JS. *kadarassim* = *katarasmin* (Anarghar. 271,9), but AMg. *kayaramsi* (Vivāhap. 227) and *kayarammi* (Ovav. § 156 ff.); Š. *kadamassim* = *katamasmin* (Vikr. 35,13); Š. *avarassim* = *aparasmin* (Cait. 40,10); Š. *parassim* = *parasmin* (Lalitav. 567,18), but AMg. *paramsi* (Sūyag. 750), JS. *parammi* (Pav. 387,25); AMg. *sāmi* = *svasmin* (Vivāhap. 1257) beside abl. *sāo* = *svāt* (Vivāgas. 84); AMg. also *annayare* = *anyatarasmin* (Ovav. § 157). Plural: acc. PG. AMg. *anne*, JS. Š. *anñe* = *anyān* (PG. 5,6; 7,43; Āyār. 1,1,6,3; 1,1,7,2; Pav. 383,24; Bālar. 229,9); abl. AMg. *kayarehīnto* = *katarebhyah* (Pannav. 160 ff.; Vivāhap. 260, 262, 460, 1057 f.), *saehim* = *svakebhyaḥ*, *savvehim* = *svarvebhyaḥ* (§ 369); gen. AMg. JM. *annesim* = *anyesām* (Āyār. 1,1,4. 7,1; 1,5,6,1; 1,7,2,3; 1,8,1,16; Sūyag. 387. 663; Nāyādh. 1138. 1140; Kappas. § 14; Āv. 14,7); AMg. JM. *savvesim* = *sarvesām* (Āyār. 1,1,6,2; 1,2,3,4; 1,4,2,6; 1,6,5,3; Uttar. 625. 797; Āv. 14,18); AMg. JS. *paresim* = *paresām* (Uttar. 625. 797; Pav. 385,65), but M. *annānam* (Mudrār. 83,3; Karp. 1,2), also femin. Š. (Priyad. 24,8); Š. *savvānam* (Vikr. 83,8); *avarāṇam* = *apareśām* (Mṛcch. 69,10). According to Hc. 3,61 *annesim* and *savvesim* are used for the femin. too, and so JS. has *savvesim itthēṇam* = *sarvāsām striṇām* (Kattig. 408,384). The regular forms in AMg. JM. are *anñāsim*, *savvāsim*. I occ. plur. in A. is *anñahī* (Hc. 4,422,9). On kati see § 449.

§ 434. Of the nouns *ātman* (§ 401) and *bhavat-* (§ 396) are used as in Skt. Of the pronominal derivatives *-iya*, Hc. 2,147 mentions *maia madiya*. Besides, for it are used *kera*, *keraa*, *keraka* (§ 176). Without epenthesis *kārya* would become **kara*, and from it have originated in A. *mahāra*, *mahāraū* = **mahakāra* from the gen. *maha* (§ 418) + *kāra* (Hc. 4,351. 358,1. 434) in the sense of *madiya*, likewise *tuhāra* = *tvadīya* (Hc. 4,434), *amhāra* = *asmadīya* (Hc. 4,345. 434). A. *hamāra* (Piṅgala 2,121), metri causa also *hammāra* (Piṅgala 2,43) has originated through the line of development **mhāra* (§ 141), **māhāra* (§ 132), *hamāra* (§ 354). A. *tohāra* = *yuṣmākam* (Piṅgala 2,25 stands metrically for **tohāra*, and has arisen from *tumhāra*, **tōm̄hāra* (§ 125), **t̄hāra*, *tohāra* (§ 76. 89. 127), exactly as *kohāndī* from *kūsmāndī* (§ 127). On the derivatives in *-dr̄s*, *-dr̄sa*, *-dr̄ksa* see § 121.122.245.262, on *ettī*, *ittīa*, *ettīla*, *ettīla*, *tēttīa*, *tīttīa*, *ettīla*, *iettīa*, *jittīa*, *je'ttīla*, *jettīla*, *kēttīa*, *kittīa*, *kēttīla*, *kēttīla* see § 153, on A. *sāha* = *śāsvat* § 64. 262, on AMg. *evaīya*, *kevaīya* § 149. A. *evaḍu* in the sense of *iyat* (Hc. 4,408) is = **ayavadra* = JM. *evaḍda* (§ 149), as *kevaḍu* (Hc. 4,408) = **hayavadra*. Analogical formations are *jevaḍu*, *tevaḍu* (Hc. 4,395,7. 407). At Mṛcch. 164,5 we should read *evaḍḍe* for Mg. *evaḍḍhe*.

D. THE NUMERALS.

435. 1 is *e'kkā* = *eka* in all the dialects (§ 91), femin. *ēkkā*, in AMg. JM. frequently *ega*. It is inflected according to the pronominal inflection. So sing. loc. M. *e'kkammi* (G. 153. 441; H. 827), very seldom *e'kke* according to the nominal declension (H. 846), AMg. *egamī* (Vivāhap. 1394 ff.); AMg. JM. *egamī* (Pannav. 521; Erz. 2,21), AMg. JM. *eganī* (Vivāhap. 922f. 928. 931. 1658. f. 1736. 1752; Āv. 10,22; 11,12.18; 17,22; 19,9.18; 22,10; etc.); JM. *e'kkammi* (Āv. 27,19); Š. *e'kkassim* (Karp. 19,17); Mg. *e'kkassim* (Mṛcch. 81,13); A. *e'kkahī* (Hc. 4,357,2), also as femin. (Hc. 4,422,9); plur. nom. masc. M. JM. *e'kke* (G. 721. 866. 909; Kk. 273,23); AMg. *ege*

(Āyār. 1,1,2,2. 3,4. 4,6; Sūyag. 74. 204. 240. 438. 597; Uttar. 219; cf. § 174); gen. masc. AMg. *egesim* (Āyār. 1,1,1,1. 2; 1,1,2,4; 1,2,1,2. 4; 1,2, 3,3 etc.; Sūyag. 46. 81) and *egesi* (Sūyag. 19. 35. 74). Of the less frequent forms mention should be of sing. ins. AMg. *ēkkenam* (Vivāhap. 258 ff.), JM. *egeñam* (Āv. 33,24); gen. Mg. *ēkkāha* (Mṛcch. 32,4). In J.S. Dh. the following has been found in the text: *ēkkam* (Kattig.403.370.377; Mṛcch. 30,5). Before other numerals *ēkha*, AMg. JM. also *egā* appear as stems; but *ēkkā*, AMg. JM. also *egā*; A. *eā*, *eggā* in *ēkkārasa*, AMg. JM. *egārasa* A. *eāraha*, *ēggāraha* (11), *ēkkārasama* (the eleventh) (§ 443. 449); AMg. *ēkkānaūm* (91) (§ 446). The *ā* is to be explained according to § 70. In PG. is found *aneka* (6,10), in which *k* is never duplicated: M. S. *anea* (G. H.; Mṛcch. 28,8; 71,16; 73,8). AMg. JM. *anega* (Vivāhap. 145.1285; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.); JM. *aneja* (Erz.); AMg. *'nega* (§ 171); S. *aneaso* = *anekaśah* (Śak. 160,3); AMg. *'negaso* (§ 171).

436. 2 in the nominative and accusative is *do*, *duve*, *be*, neuter *dōnnī* *dunni*, *bēnnī*, *binni* (Vr. 6,57, where *doṇi*; C. 1,10^a p. 41; Hc. 3,119. 120; Ki. 3,85. 86; Mk. fol. 49)¹. *do* = *dvau* and *duve*, *be* = *dve* (neuter) are old duals, and are declined and used as plurals. Nom. acc. *do* is frequent in M. (G.H.R.), AMg. Uvās. s. v. *du*; Kappas. s. v.; WEBER, Bhag.1,424), JM. (Erz.)¹; traceable in A. too (Piṅgala 1,5), and in D. (Mṛcch.101,13), and it has as yet not been found in the text in S. Mg. S. *do vi* (Pras. 84, 4; Bālar.216,20;246,5) is an error for *duve vi*, as at Śak.106,1 stands. *do* is used for all the genders. As femin., it stands e. g. in M. *do tiṇpi* [mahilāo] (H. 587), *do tiṇpi rehā* = *dvitrā rekhāh* (H. 206); AMg. *do gihāo* = *dve*, *guhe*, *do devayāo* = *dve* *devate*, *do mahānaio* = *dve* *mahānadyau*, *do kattiyāo*, *do rohiṇio*, *do migasirāo*, *do addāo* = *dve* *kārttikyau* *dve rohinyau* *dve mrgasirasi* *dve ārdre* (Thān. 73. 75. 76. 77. 79, 81); *do disāo* = *dve* *diśau* (acc.; Thān. 55); as neuter.: M. *do vi dukkhāi* = *dve* *api dukkhe* (H. 24); AMg. *do do paẏāni* = *dve* *dve pade* (Thān. 27), *do saẏāin* = *dve* *śate* (Samav. 157), *do khuddāin* *bhavaggahanāin* *samajyūnāin* = *dve* *ksudre* *bhavagrahane* *samayone* (Jīv. 1027. 1110), *do nāmadhejjā* = *dve* *nāmadheye* (Āyār. 2,15. 15). It appears also in the beginning of compounds: M. *doaṅgulaa* = *dyaṅgulaka* (H. 622); AMg. JM. *domāsiya* = *dvimāsika* (Āyār. 2,1,2,1; Sūyag. 758; Vivāhap. 166; T. 4,6); AMg. *dokiriyā* = *dvikriyā* (Vivāhap. 52; Ovav. § 122); M. JM. *dojiha* = *dvijihva* (Prabandhac. 289,1; Erz. 82,17), *domuha* = *dvimukha* (Erz. 39,21), *dovajāṇa* = *dvivadana* (Hc. 1,94; Erz. 39,13). So also *doghatṭa* (elephant; Pāiyāl. 9; Prākṛtamañjari on Vr. 4,33; Erz. 35,28; Bālar. 50,1;86,12), that is read as *dōgghatṭa* in Mallikām. at 55,7 in S. and at 144,10 in Mg., as *dugghutṭa* in Deśin. 4,44 and as *dugghoṭṭa* in Triv. 2,1,30 from *ghatṭa-*, *ghutṭa-*, *ghoṭṭa-* (to drink)²; *dohada*, *dohala* (§ 222. 244) = **dvihrd*³. Beside *do*, there occurs frequently in this case *du*, which has gone off the compounds, in which the accent did not fall on the first syllable. So M. *duuna* = *dvigund* (R. 11,47); AMg. *duguna* (Āyār. 2,2,2,7; Sūyag. 241; Vivāhap. 969); *duāi* = *dvijātiḥ* (Hc. 1,94; 2,79); AMg. JM. *dupaya* = *dvipada* (Āyār. 2,1,11,9; Uvās. § 49; Kk. 265,4. 5; III, 511,32); AMg. *duviha* = *divividha* (Thān. 44; Āyār. 1,7,8,2; 1,8,1,15; Uvās.), *dukhura* = *dvikhura* (Uttar.1075; see commentary; Jīv. 75), *dupakkha* = *dvipakṣa* (Sūyag. 456), *du-j-āhena* = *dvyahena* (Āyār. 2,5, 2,3,4), *du-j-āhām* = *dvyaham* (Jīv. 261. 286. 295), *duhattha* = *dvihasta* (Thān. 208); JM. *dugāuja* = *dvigavyūta*, *du-y-āṅgula* = *dvyāṅgula* (Erz. s.v. *du*). Beside M. *dohāra*, *dohājjai* = *dvidhākṛta*, *dvidhākriyate* (R. s.v. *duhā*), AMg. *dodhāra* = *dvidhākāra* (Thān.401) stands AMg. *duhā* = *dvidhā* (Sūyag. 351. 358); M. *duhāia* (R. 8,106); AMg. *duhākijjamāṇa* (Vivāhap. 137); AMg. *duhao* = * *dvidhātah* (twice; twofold; in two parts; Āyār. 1,3,3,5;

1,7,8,4; Uttar. 234; Sūyag. 35,640; Thān. 186; Vivāhap. 181,282) etc. The regular successor of *dvi* are *bi* (§ 300) and *di*, which always occurs in certain words like *dia*, JM. *diyā* = *dvija*, *diraa* = *dvirada* (§ 298), and always in Ś. Mg. except in the ordinals (§ 449). S. *dudhā* (Śak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 78,8) is false, in the same way *duunia* (Mallikām. 224,5) for *diunida*.—The neut. *dō nni*, sometimes also *dunni*, is formed according to *tinni*⁴. It is combined with the masculine and feminine too, as masc. M. *dō nni vi bhinnaśarūā* = *dvāvapi bhinnasvarūpau* (G.450), *dō nni vi bāhū* = *dvāv api bāhū* (Hc. 3,142); AMg. *dō nni vi rājāñpo* = *dvāvapi rājānau*, *dō nni vi rāñnam anījā* = *dvāv api rājñām anikau* (Nirayāv. § 26, 27), *dō nni purisajāe* = *dvāv *purusajātāu* (Sūyag. 575); JM. *dunni munisimhā* = *dvau munisimhau* (T. 4,4), *te dōnni vi* (Erz. 78,35); Ś. *dō nni khattiakumārā* = *dvau kṣatriya-kumārau* (Pras. 47,7; cf. 48,4); femin. AMg. *dō nni saṅghaṇagāhāo* = *dve saṅgrahaṇagāthe* (Kappas. § 118); Ś. *dō nni kumārī* = *dve kumāryau* (Pras. 48,5).—Of *do*, the ins. is *dohim*, *dohi* (in C. 1,7 p. 40), in the fem. too, as in M. *pāntihī dohim* = *pāntibhyām dvābhyaām* (Karp. 101,1); AMg. *dohim ukkhāhīm* = *dvābhyaām ukhābhyaām* (Āyār. 2,1,2,1); JM. *dohi vi bāhāhīm* = *dvābhyaām bāhābhyaām* (Dvār. 507,33).—The abl. is, according to Hc. 3,119, 130, *dohinto*, *dosuñito*, according to C. 1,3 p. 39 *dohimto*, according to Mk. fol. 49 *dosuñito*.—In the gen. the numerals for 2-19 according to Vr. 6,59; Hc. 3,123, according to Hc. also *kati*, according to C. 1,6 the numerals generally, according to Ki. 3,89 the numerals 2—4 have the endings *-nha*, *-nham*. So M. AMg. JM. *dō nha*, *dō nham* (also Āyār. 2,7,2,12; Thān. 47, 67, 68; Ki. 10), also in the fem. AMg. *tāsiñ dō nham* (so rightly in the commentary; text *dūñhim*) = *taylor dvayoh* (Uttar. 661). In Ś. and apparently in Mg. too, on the contrary, the ending is *-nñam*, corresponding to the Lena-dialect and Pāli⁵: *dō nñam* (Śak. 56,15; 74,7 [femin.]; 85,15 [fem.]; Venīs. 60,16 [so to be read with v. l. for *dohinam*]; 62,8; Mālav. v. l. to 77,20 [fem.], as also in M. is found more often as v.l. (H. s.v. *do*), and in Mk. fol. 49 the MSS. give. Whilst *dō nñam* has followed the analogy of *tiñnam* = *triñnam*, the ending *-nham* seems to have originated from an intermixture of the nominal and the pronominal forms **doyañ* and **dosam* respectively, since it presupposes one **dvauñpām*.—The loc. is *dosum*, *dosu* (in C. 1,3 p. 39), also JS. (Kattig. 402,359), and in the femin., as M. *dosum dokandalisum* = *dvayor dohkandaloyoh* (Karp. 95, 12), A. *duhū* (Hc. 4,340,2).

1. These quotations hold good for all cases, although not noted. In the text of Ki. there stands *dophi* (3,85), *dopī* (3,86); *be* is wanting.—2 PISCHEL on Hc. 4,10; also Ki. 4,46.—3. LÜDERS, GN. 1898,2 ff.—4. PISCHEL, KZ. 35,144 f.—5. PISCHEL, KZ. 35,144 f.

§ 437. *duve* = *dve* is used as the nom. and the acc. for all the three genders in all the dialects: M. (H. 846; neut.); AMg. (Āyār. 1,8,4,6 (acc.); Sūyag. 293 (acc.). 620.853.972; Uttar. 200; Samav. 238; Kappas. Th. § 4; UVās. s. v. *du*), as femin. *bhajā duve* = *bhāryā dve* (Uttar. 660); JM. *due vi* (Āv. 8,49); *duve vi* (Erz. 21,6); *duve jañā* (Āv. 19,10); *duve corase nāvāñi* = *dvau corasenāpāti* (Erz. 13,4); A. *dui* (Pingala 1,31. 42). Whilst in comparison with *do*, it is not frequent in these dialects, particularly in M. and A., it is the only form in Ś. Mg. So Ś. masc. (Mrch. 24,15; Śak. 24,1; 41,1; Vikr. 21,19; Mālav. 17,8; 18,22; 30,1; Malatīm. 358,1; Viddhas. 66,1; Mallikām. 223,5; 227,12; 250,1; Kāleyak. 25,20), femin. (Viddhas. 44,7), neut. (Mrch. 61,10; Mālav. 54,7), masc. or neut. (Mrch. 153,18; Vikr. 10,3); Mg. (Mrch. 81,13; acc., neut.). From it builds Ś. also the ins. *duvehim* (Mrch. 44,1; 51,23; 327,3; Mudrār. 232,7) = **duebhīh*, the gen. *duvenam* (Śak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 38,5; 45,23; 53,19 [the Kashmīri rec., however, has *dōñham*, the Bengali recension has *dōñnam*];

Mallikām. 102,6; Kāleyak. 21,1; 23,11), the loc. *duvesu* (Mallikām. 335, 10).—*be* is found in PG. 6.14. 20. 31. 39 seldom in M. (H. 752), in AMg. in the beginning of compounds as *beindiya*, *bēndīja* = *dvīndriya* (§ 162), *bedoṇija* = *dvidroṇika* (Uvās. § 235); in JS. (Kattig. 399,310; acc.); in A. (Hc. 4,439; Piṅgala 1,9. 68); in A. shortened to *bi* (Piṅgala 1,153). From it, the neuter is *biṇṇi* (C. 1,10^a p. 41; Hc. 3,120; A. Hc. 4,418,1; Piṅgala 1,95). According to C. 1,3 p. 39; 1,6 p.40; 1,7 p.40; Hc. 3,119 *be* is inflected as: ins. *behi*, abl. *behimto*, gen. *bēñham*, loc. *besu*, *besum*. A. has ins. *bih̄* (Hc. 4,367,5), gen. *bih̄u* (Hc. 4,383,1), loc. *behi* (Hc. 4,370,3). Corresponding to Skt. *dvā*^o stands *bā*^o before other numerals, e.g. in AMg. *bārāsa* (12), *bāvīśam* (22), *bāyālīśam* (42), *bāvattarīm* (72). Cf. § 443 ff.

§ 438. 3, in the nom. and the acc. masc. and femin. is *tao* = *trayah*, neut. *tiṇṇi* = *trīni*, with *ṇṇi* according to the gen. *tiṇṇam*. The forms are used in all the three genders without distinction; *tao* is not mentioned by the grammarians (Vr. 6, 56; Hc. 3, 121; Ki. 3, 85 [text *tīnhi*]; Mk. fol. 49) and is seen in AMg. only: AMg. masc. (Thān. 110. 112. 118. 197; Kappas. s. v. *ti*; Sūyag. 293 [acc.] and often); metrically *taū* too in *taū āyāñā* = *trīny ādāñāni* (Sūyag. 65); femin. *tao parisāo* = *tisrah pariṣadah* (Thān. 138; Jiv. 905. 912. 914. 917); *tao kāmmabhūmio* = *tisrah karmabhu-mayah* (Thān. 165; cf. 176); *tao antarāpañao* = *tisro ntaranadyah* (Thān. 177); *tao uccārapāśavaṇabhuñio* (Kappas. S. § 55; acc.); neut. *tao thāñāni* = *trīni sthāñāni* (Thān. 143), beside *tao thāñām* (158) and *tao thāñā* (163. 165); *tao pāṇagāīm* = *trīni pāṇakāni* (Thān. 161.162; Kappas. S. § 25); *tao vatthāīm* = *trīni vastrāni*, *tao pājāīm* = *trīni pātrāni* (Thān. 162). Likewise *tiṇṇi* is used in all the dialects: M. *tiṇṇi rehā* = *tisro rekhāh*, *tiṇṇi* [*mahiāo*] (H. 206, 587); neut. (R. 9,91); AMg. masc. *tiṇṇi purisajāe* = *trīn *puruṣajatān* (Sūyag. 575); *jāmā tinni* = *yāmās trayah* (Āyār. 1,7,1,4); *tinni alāvagā* = *traya alāpakaḥ* (Sūyag. 814. 815 [°ṇṇi°]); *ime tinni nāmadhejjā* = *imānī trīni nāmadheyāni* (Āyār. 2,15,15); *tiṇṇi vi uvasaggā* = *trayo 'py upasargāḥ* (Uvās. § 118); *tiṇṇi vanijā* = *trayo vanijah* (Uttar. 233); femin. *eyāo tinni pājadio* = *etās tisrah prakrtayah* (Uttar. 970); *tinni lēssāo* = *tisro leśyah* (Thān. 26), *tinni sāgarovamakodākoḍio* = *tisrah sāgaropamakoḍākotyah* (Thān. 133); neut. (Āyār. 1,8,4,5; p. 125,26; Sūyag. 778; Samav. 157; Vivāhap. 90; Kappas. § 138. Th. § 1); JM. femin. *tinni dhūyāo* = *tisro duhitaraḥ* (Āv. 12,1); *tinni bherio* = *tisro bheryah*, *tinni vi gosisacandaṇamaio devayāpari-gahiyāo* = *tisro 'pi gośīṣacandanamayyo devatāparigṛhitāḥ* (Āv. 34,7,8); neut. *tāpi tiṇṇi vi* = *tāni trīny api* (Erz. 37,11); Š. masc. *tiṇṇi purisā* = *trayah puruṣāḥ*, *ede tiṇṇi vi* = *ete trayo 'pi*, *ede khku tiṇṇi alāmkārasamjōā* = *ete khalu trayo 'laṁkārasamjōyāḥ*, *tiṇṇi rāñō* = *trayo rājānah* (Mudrār. 39,3; 72,1; 108,9; 204,4), *ime tiṇṇi miāngā* = *ete trayo mṛdāngāḥ*, *bālataruṇo tiṇṇi* = *bālataravas trayah* (Karp. 3,2; 62,3); femin. *tiṇṇi āñdīo* = *tisra ākṛtayah* (Šak. 132,6); JS. neut. (Kattig. 402,363); A. *do tiṇṇi vi* = *dvau trayo 'pi*, *tinni rehāīm* = *tisro rekhāh* (Piṅgala 1,5. 52).—The ins. is *tīhim* (Vr. 6,55; C. 1, 7 p. 40; Hc. 3,118; Ki. 3,84; Mk.fol. 49; G. 265; Kappas. § 227; Nāyādh. 1026; Uttar. 987), more often in AMg. JM. printed as *tīhim* (Sūyag. 97; Āyār. 2,1,2,1; Thān. 114. 116. 117; Samav. 232; Ovav. § 136; Erz. 49, 22), a form that probably is correct in verses only on account of prosodic requirement, as in A. (Hc. 4,347); cf., however, *cālūhim* § 439.—Ablative *tīhimto* (C. 1,3 p. 39; Hc. 3,118; Mk. fol. 49); according to Ki. 3,84; Mk. fol. 49 also *tiṣumto*.—For the genitive Vr. 6,59; C. 1,6 p. 40; Hc. 3, 118. 123 teach *tiṇṇam*, *tiṇha*, and so *tiṇṇam* in AMg. JM. (Thān. 125; Āyār. 2,7,2,12; Vivāhap. 53. 140; Kappas. § 114; Erz. 28,21); also as femin. AMg. *pasatthalesāṇa tiṇṇam pi* = *prāṣṭastaleśyānām tiṣṇām api* (Uttar. 986 f.); JM. *tiṇṇam parisāṇa* = *tiṣṇām parisadām* (Kk. 275,31).

Mk. fol. 49 teaches, as it appears, *tīṇam* = *trīṇām*, a form that is to be expected S. Mg. (§ 436).—Loc. M. *tīsu* (Vr. 6,55; C. 1,3 p. 39; Hc. 3, 118; R. 8,58), also *tīsum* (C. 1,3 p. 39), and metri causa *tīsu* (Hc. 3,135).

—At the beginning of compounds there appears in all the dialects *ti*°, in AMg. also *te*° = *traya-* in *teindija*, *tēndija* = *trīndriya* (§ 162), and before other numerals, as *teraha* = *trayodaśa*, *tevīśam* = *trayovīṁśati*, *tēttīsa* = *trayastrīṁśat*, *teālīsā* = *trayaśatūrīṁśat* etc. (§ 153). AMg. has also *tājattīsā* (33; Kappas.; Thān. 125) and *tāvattīsā* (Vivāhap. 218), and the thirty three gods in AMg. JM. are called *tājattīsagā*, *tāvattīsagā*, *tāvattīsagā* = *trayastrīṁśakāḥ* (Kappas. § 14; Vivāhap. 215.218.223; Kk.275,34). See § 254.

§ 439. 4 has the nom. masc. *cattāro* = *catvārah* (Vr. 6,58; C. 1,3 p. 39; Hc. 3,122; Ki. 3,87; Mk. fol. 49; S. Uttarar. 12,7). The same form is valid for the acc. too according to the grammarians. Likewise the acc. *caūro* = *caturah* (C. 1,3 p. 39; Hc. 3,122; AMg. Uttar. 768) in AMg. is used in verses also as the nom. (Hc. 3,122; Uttar.1033; Vivāhap. 82). Hc. 3,17 mentions as nom. acc. also *caūo*, *caūo* from the stem *caū-*. In S. at Prab. 68,7 there is a nom. femin. *catasso* (all editions) edited, for which at least *cadasso*=*catasrah* is to be written. As in the case of 2 and 3 (§ 436.438), in the case of 4 too, almost always the neutr. *cattāri* = *catvāri* (Vr.6,58;C.1,3 p.39; Hc.3,122;Ki.3,87; Mk.fol. 49) is used in connection with all the genders : masc. PG. *cattāri* *pattibhāgā* = *catvārah* *pratibhāgā* (6,18); *addhikā cattāri* = *ārdhikāś catvārah* (6,39); M. *cattāri* *pakkalabaillā* (H. 812); AMg. *cattāri* *ālāvagā* = *catvārah* *ālāpakah* (Āyār. 2, 1, 1,11; Sūyag. 812); *cattāri* *sthānā* = *catvāri* *sthānāni* (Sūyag. 688); *cattāri* *purisajāyā*=*catvārah* **purusajātāh* (Sūyag.626); *ime cattāri therā*=*ime catvārah* *sthavirāh* (Kappas. Th. § 5,11), *cattāri hatthi*=*catvāro hastināh* (Thān. 236); acc. *cattāri aganio* = *caturo* *gnīn* (Sūyag. 274); *cattāri māse* = *caturo* *māśān* (Āyār. 1,8,1,2); *cattāri mahāsumine* = *caturo* *mahāsuṇpānā* (Kappas. § 77; Nāyādh. § 49); JM. *mahārāyāno cattāri* = *mahārājāś catvārah* (Erz. 4,36); Mg. *cattāli* *ime* (Mṛccch. 158,4); femin.: AMg. *imāo cattāri sāhāo* = *imāś catasrah* *sākhāḥ* (Kappas. Th. § 5); *cattāri kiri jāo*=*catasrah* *kriyāḥ* (Vivāhap. 47); *cattāri aggamahiśo* = *catasrah* *gramahiṣyāḥ* (Thān. 228 ff.); acc. *cattāri samghāḍio* = *catasrah* *saṁghāḍīḥ* (Āyār. 2,5,1,1); *cattāri bhāśāo* = *catasro* *bhāśāḥ* (Thān. 203); neutr. AMg. *cattāri samosaraṇāni* = *catvāri* *samavasaraṇāni* (Sūyag. 445); *cattāri sayāim* = *catvāri* *satāni* (Samav. 158); JM. *cattāri angulāni* (Erz. 37,2).—Ins. *caūhim* throughout in AMg. (Hc. 3,17; Ki. 3,88; Mk. fol. 49; Vivāhap. 437; Thān. 207; Samav. 14; Uvās. § 18. 21; Ovav. § 56; also femin. : *caūhim* *padimāhiṁ* (Āyār. 2,2,3,18; 2,6,1,4; 2,8,2); *caūhim* *kiri jāhiṁ* = *catasrbhīh* *kriyābhīh* (Vivāhap. 120 ff.); *caūhim* *ukkhāhiṁ* = *catasrbhīh* *ukhābhīh* (Āyār. 2,1,2,1); *caūhim* *hiranyakoḍihīṁ* °*paūtīhiṁ* = *catasrbhīh* *hiranyakoḍībhīh* °*prayuktābhīh* (Uvās. § 17). In prose *caūhim*, which Sr. fol. 18 quotes beside *caūhi*, *caūhi*, *caūhim*, would be expected. Hc. 3,17 too mentions *caūhi* beside *caūhi*. Cf. *tīhiṁ* § 438.—Abl. *caūhīmto* (Mk. fol. 49), *caūsumīto* (Ki. 3,88; Mk. fol. 49; Sr. fol. 18), *caūsumīto* (Sr. fol. 18).—Gen. PG. *catuṇham* (6,18), M. AMg. *catuṇham* (Vr. 6,59; C. 1,6 p. 40; Hc. 3,123 [also °*nha*]; Ki. 3,89; Āyār. 2,7,2,12; Kappas. § 10,14; Vivāhap. 149. 787; Erz. 9,18], also feminine *eyāṇam* (*eyāsiṁ*) *caūṇham* *padimāṇam* = *etāsāṁ catasṛṇāṁ pratiṁāṇām* (Āyār. 2,2,3,21; 2,5,1,9; 2,6,1,7; 2,8,6), *poriṣṇām* *caūṇham* = *pauruṣṇām* *catasṛṇām* (Uttar. 893). According to the analogy of *dōṇṇam*, *tiṇṇam*, expected is in S. Mg. *caduṇṇam*, as Mk. fol. 49 appears to teach. Examples are wanting.—Loc. AMg.JM. *caūsu* (Uttar. 769; Vivāhap. 82; Erz.41,35); *caūsum* (Erz. 44,8), also as femin. *caūsu vidisāsu* = *catasṛṣu* *vidikṣu* (Thān. 259; Jīv. 228; Vivāhap. 925. 927); *caūsu vi gaisu* = *catasṛṣu* *api* *gatisu*

(Uttar. 996). The expected *caūsu* is mentioned by Hc. 3,17 beside *caūsu* and by Sr. fol. 18 beside *caūsum*, *caūsum*, *caūsu*.—In compounds, before vowels, there appears *caūr^o*, as AMg. *caūramsa* = *caturaśa* (Thān. 20. 493; Uvās. § 76), *caūrānguli* (Thān. 270), *caūrindija* (Thān. 25. 122. 275. 322; Samav. 40. 228; Vivāgas. 50 etc.); M. *caūrāṇḍa* (G.); also before other numerals, as AMg. *caūrāśīm* (84; Kappas.). Before consonants partly appears *caūr^o* with regular assimilation, as M. *caūddisam* = *caturdiśam* (R.), AMg. JM. *caūmmuha* = *caturmukha* (Ovav.; Erz.), Š. *cadussālā* = *catuhśālaka* (Mṛcch. 6,6; 16,11 [°sālā]; 45,25), *cadussamudda* = *catuhsamudra* (Mṛcch. 55,16; 78,3; 147,17), partly *caū*^o, as M. *caūjāma* = *caturyāma* (H. R.), *caūmuha* = *caturmukha* (G.), AMg. *caūppaya* = *catuspada* (Āyār. 2,1,11,9) beside *caūppaya* (Uttar. 1074; Uvās.), A. *caūmuha* (Hc. 4,331), *caūppaa* (Piṅgala 1,118) D. *caūsāara* (verse; Mṛcch. 101,12) = *catuhsāara*. Cf. § 340 ff. Both appear also before other numerals: AMg. *caūddasa* = *caturdaśan* (Kappas. § 74), beside *caūdasa* in the verse (Kappas. § 46^b) and with contraction, *coḍdasa* (Kappas.; Nāyādh.), M. *coḍdaha*, *coḍdasī*, as also *coṄguṇa* beside *caūṄguṇa* = *caturgūṇa*, *covvāra* beside *cauvvāra* = *caturvāra* etc. (§ 166. 443 ff.). In A. *co* appears not only in the beginning of compounds, but also independently (Piṅgala 1,65; cf. § 166). The neuter is in A. *cāri* (Piṅgala 1, 68. 87. 102) from *catvāri*, **cātvāri* (§ 65), **cātāri* (§ 87), **cārī* (§ 186), *cāri* (§ 165). It is employed as the first member of compounds: *cāripā* = *catuspāda*, *cāridahā* = *caturdaśa* (Piṅgala 1,102. 105. 118). as also *caūro* in AMg. *caūropañcindiya* = *catuspañcendriya* (Uttar. 1059), A stem *caūra-* occurs in AMg. *caūrāśīm*, *corāśīm* = *caturaśīti*, *caūrāśīma*, *caturaśīta* (Kappas.; Samav. 139–142). On *caūr^o* see § 78.

§ 440. 5 is inflected: nom. acc. AMg. JM. Š. *pañca* (Vivāhap. 138. 141; Thān. 361; Kappas.; Uvās.; Erz.; Mudrār. 204,1); ins. AMg. *pañcaḥīm* (Uttar. 374; Vivāhap. 120 ff.; Thān. 353; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; etc.), A. *pañcaḥi* (Hc. 4,422,14); gen. AMg. *pañcaḥīṁ* (Hc. 3,123; Āyār. 2,7; 2,12; Samav. 16), A. *pañcaḥā* (Hc. 4,422,14); loc. JM. *pañcasu* (Erz. p. xli), in verses also AMg. *pañce* (Uttar. 704). Rāmatarkavāgīśa in LASSEN, Inst. p. 319 note ** mentions also the abl. *pañcaḥīṁto*, *pañcasuṁto*, gen. *pañcaḥīṇam*, loc. *pañcasuṁ* and a loc. femin. *pañcāsum*, Rishikesh p. 128 also femin. nom. *pañcā*, ins. *pañcāḥīṁ*. In the beginning of compounds mostly appears *pañca^o*, in AMg. JM. also *pañca^o* in *pañcāṇḍāūṁ* (95), Thān. 261; Samav. 150. 151; Kk. 263, 11. 16. 17; many times false °*pañyām*); likewise in *pañcāṇḍā* (55; Hc. 2,174; Desin. 6,27; Triv. 1,3,105 = BB. 3,245). The *ā* is to be explained according to § 70. In combination with other words there appears *pañca* in AMg. JM. A. also as *pañṇa* (*panna*), *pañā*, *pañu* (§ 273).

§ 441. 6 *ṣaṭ* becomes *cha* according to § 211. It is inflected: nom. acc. AMg. *cha* (Kappas. § 122; Vivāhap. 54; Samav. 159. 163; Uvās.); ins. AMg. *chahīm* (Sūyag. 380. 844; Samav. 232; Thān. 194; Bhag. 1,425; Nāyādh. 833; Uttar. 768. 778); gen. AMg. JM. *chanhāṁ* (Hc. 3,123; Āyār. 2,15. 16; Vivāhap. 82. 89. 123; Uttar. 776. 979; Jiv. 271; Nāyādh. 832. 834. 844; Kattig. 399,309), also *chanha* (Hc. 3,123); loc. *chasu* (Thān. 27; Uttar. 987). The old nom. *ṣaṭ* has been retained before enclitics: AMg. *chep pi* = *ṣaṭ api* (Āyār. 1,8,4,6; Nirayāv. 81; Vivāhap. 798; Dasav. 639,2; Nāyādh. 828. 830. 836. 845 ff.), *chac ceva* (Uttar. 1065), *chac ca* (Anuog. 399; Jiv. 914; Jiyak. 61; Vivāhap. 82. 1237; Kappas. Th. § 7). Rāmatarkavāgīśa, according to LASSEN, Inst. p. 320, knows: nom. *chā*, femin. *chāo*; ins. *chaehīm*; *chaāhiṁ*; abl. *chaāhiṁto* (so to be read); gen. *chaāṇḍā* (read *chaāṇḍām*); loc. *chasu* (*chāsu*), *chīsu*. As the first member of compounds seldom there appears *cha^o*, as JM. *chakhaṇḍa* (Erz. 18,8;

probably a false reading for *chakkhaṇḍa*), mostly *sat°*, before vowels as *chad°*, as *chadakkhara* = *sadakṣara* (Skanda; Desin. 3,26), AMg. also *sad°* in *sadaṅgavī* = *sadaṅgavid* (Vivāhap. 149; Kappas.; Ovav.), or *chal°*, as *chalaṁsa* = *sadaśra* (Thān. 493; see § 240), before consonants with regular assimilation (§ 270), as M. Ś. *chagguna*, *chaggunaa* = *sadguna*, ^o*guṇaka* (Mudrār. 233,9; Anarghar. 67,11); AMg. *chaddisīm* (Vivāhap. 97 ff. 145); AMg. *chabbhāya* = *sadbhāga* (Uttar. 1036; Ovav. [ga]); M. Ś. *chappaa*, JM. *chappayā* (C. 3,3; Hc. 1,265; 2,77; G. H. Kk.), AMg. *chattala* = *sattala* (Thān. 495); M. A. *chaṁmuha* = *saṁmukha* (Bh. 2,41; C. 3,3,14; Hc. 1, 25. 265; Karp. 1,10; Hc. 4,331); M. JM. *chaṁmāsa* = *saṁmāsa* (H.; Erz.); AMg. *chaṁmāsiya* = *saṁmāsika* (Āyār. 2,1,2,1); M. Ś. *chaṁmāśa* = *saṁmāsika* (Karp. 47,10; 82,8); Ś. *chaccaraṇa* (Bālar. 66,7). Likewise before other numerals: AMg. *chalusii* (86; Samav. 143; Vivāhap. 199); AMg. JM. A. *chavvisām* (26; Uttar. 1092; Erz.; Piṅgala 1,68); AMg. *chattisām*, ^o*sā* (36; Kappas.; Ovav.; Uttar. 1043), *chappanñām* (56; § 273); AMg. *chaññātūm* (Samav. 151), JM. *channavaī* (Kk. III, 514,24). Before 40,60,70 there enters in AMg. *chā°*, with ā according to § 70; *chāyālīsām* (46; Kappas.), *chāvātthīm* (66; Samav. 123), *chāvattariñ* (76; Samav. 133). A. has *chaha* = **sasa* (§ 263) in *chahāvīsa* (26; Piṅgala 1,95 [GOLDSCHMIDT *chavīsa*]. 97 [GOLDSCHMIDT *caññīsā*]) and *chaha* (6; Piṅgala 1,96). In agreement with Skt. *sodasa* Pkt. has *solasa*, A. *solaha* (§ 443).

§ 442. 7 is inflected: nom. acc. M. AMg. JM. *satia* (H. 3; R. 15,29; Āyār. 2,1,11,3,10; Thān. 445; Erz. 14,4); ins. AMg. *sattahīm* (Thān. 446); gen. AMg. JM. JS. *sattarham* (Hc. 3,123; Āyār. 2,1,11,11; Kappas. § 14; Vivāhap. 26. 222; Thān. 445; Kk. 275, 33; Kattig. 399,308), also *sattanha* (Hc. 3,123); loc. *sattasu* (Thān. 44; Uttar. 904). In compounds there appear *satta°*, *sattā°*, Mg. *śatī°* (Mṛcch. 79,13; Prab. 51,8). On *chattavaṇna*, *chattivarna* = *saptaparṇa* see § 103. – 8 is inflected: nom. acc. AMg. *at̄ha* (Ovav.; Kappas.; Uvās.), also *adha* (Vivāhap. 82; verse; text *atha*; see § 67); A. also *at̄hāt̄* (Piṅgala 1,9. 83) and *at̄hāt̄ā* (1,116); ins. AMg. *at̄hahīm* (Uvās. § 27; Vivāhap. 447; Uttar. 768; Thān. 475); gen. AMg. JM. *at̄hāthām* (Hc. 3,123; Kappas. § 14; Vivāhap. 416, 417; Erz. 12,21), also *at̄hānha* (Hc. 3,123); loc. AMg. *at̄hasu* (Vivāhap. 416. 417). In compounds there appears *at̄hā°*: AMg. *at̄haviha* = *astāvidha* (Uttar. 895); Ś. *at̄hāpaōt̄ha* = *astāprakoṣṭha* (Mṛcch. 78,2) and *at̄hā°*: AMg. JM. *at̄hāvāja* = *astāpada* (Ovav.; Erz.). Before other numerals *at̄hā°* stands in AMg. *at̄hahattarīm* (78; Samav. 134,135); JM. *at̄hatīsām* (38), *at̄hāsat̄hi* (68); Erz. p. xli), against this *at̄hā°* in *at̄hārasa*, A. *at̄hāraha* (18; § 443); AMg. JM. *at̄hāvīsaṁ* (28), *at̄hāvānnām* (58), *at̄hānātūm* (98; Samav. 78. 79. 117. 152,153; Erz. xli), and *adha°* in AMg. *adhayālīsām* (48; Samav. 111), AMg. also *adhayāla* (Samav. 210), *adhasat̄thīm* (68; Samav. 126; text. mostly *ada°*). So also A. *adhaisa* (Piṅgala 1,127 [text, BOLLENSEN, Vikr. 549, GOLDSCHMIDT *at̄hāisa*]. 144 [text *at̄haisa*, GOLDSCHMIDT *at̄hāsāo* for *at̄hāisa pāt̄a bho* of the text]), *adhaālīsa* (text *at̄hāt̄ā°*; 48; Piṅgala 1,95) beside *at̄hāisa* (28; Piṅgala 1,64. 86) and *at̄hāsat̄thā* (68; Piṅgala 1,106). See § 67.— 9 is inflected: nom. acc. AMg. JM. *nava* (Kappas. § 128; Erz. 4, 14); inst. *navahīm* (Uttar. 998); gen. AMg. *navānham* (Hc. 3,123; Āyār. 2, 15,6; Ovav. § 104; Kappas.; Nāyādh.), also *navanha* (Hc. 3,123). In compounds *nava°* enters: *navanavāñjana* (G. 426), likewise before other numerals: A. *navadaha* (19; Piṅgala 1,111); AMg. *navanātūm* (99; Samav. 154).— 10 M. *dasa* or *daha*, AMg. JM. Ś. *dasa*, Mg. Dh. *dāśa* (§ 262) is inflected: nom. acc. M. AMg. Ś. *dasa* (Karp. 12,7; Uvās.; Samav. 162. 165, 166; Pras. 19,5); false Mg. *daha* for *dāśa* (Lalitav. 566,11); ins. AMg. JM. *dāśahīm* (Kappas. § 227; Erz. 32,12), M. also *dāśahi* (R. 11,31; 15,81). Mg. *dāśehīm* (Mṛcch. 32,18); gen. AMg. JM. *dāśahīm* and *dāśanha* (Hc.

3,123; Uvās. § 275; Erz. 28,22), Mg. *daśānam* (Mṛcch. 133,20). In AMg. *uvāsagadasānam* (Uvās. § 2,91), the gen. in the femin. *dasā*=**daśā* occurs. Loc. M. AMg. *dasasu* (R. 4,58; Uvās. p. 168,7), CP. *tasasu* (Hc. 4,326). In compounds appears M. A. *dasa°* and *daha* AMg. JM. Š. *dasa°*, Mg. *daśā* (§ 262); A. *daha°* is found also in combination with other numerals: *ekkadaha* (11; Piṅgala 1,114), *cāridahā* and *dahacāri* (14; Piṅgala 1,105. 110), *dahapāñca* and *dahapāñcātī* (15; Piṅgala 1,49. 106. 113), *dahasaita* (17; Piṅgala 1,79. 123), *navadaha* (19; Piṅgala 1,111).

§ 443. The numerals from 11 to 18 are: 11 AMg. *ekkārasa*, *ikkārasa* (Vivāhap. 82. 165; Kappas.; Uvās.), M. A. *eāraha* (Bh. 2,44; Mk. fol. 19; Piṅgala 1,66. 109–112) and *eggāraha* (Piṅgala 1,77.78.106. 134), also *grārahā* (2,111) and *ekkādaha* (§ 442); CP. *ekātasa* (Hc. 4,326). —12 AMg. JM. JS. *bārasa* (Āyār. 2,15.23.25; Pannav. 52; Vivāhap. 82; Uttar. 691; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kattig. 402,369; 403,371 [text *vā°*]), femin. JM. *bārasī* (T. 6,7), and AMg. JM. *duvālasa* (§ 244); M. A. *bāraha* (Bh. 2,44; Mk. fol. 19; Piṅgala 1,49. 69 etc.). —13 AMg. *terasa* (Sūyag. 669; Uvās.; Kappas.), femin. *terasi* (Āyār. 2,15,4; Kappas.), M. A. *teraha* (Bh. 2,44; Mk. fol. 19; Piṅgala 1,9. 11. 58. 66). —14 *cōddaha* (Hc. 1,171), AMg. JM. *cōddasa* (Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz¹), and *caūddasa* (Kappas.), metri causa also *caūddasa* (Kappas. § 46^b), A. *caūddaha* (Piṅgala 1,133.134), also *cāuddāhā* (2,65) and *cāridahā*, *dahacāri* (§ 442). —15 AMg. JM. *paṇṇarasa* (§ 273), A. *paṇṇaraha*, as Vr. Hc. generally teach (§ 273), A. also *dahapāñca*, *dahapāñcātī* (§ 442). —16 AMg. JM. *solasa*, also AMg. *solasajā* (Jiv. 228), A. *solaha* (Piṅgala 1,103. 104. 105), also *solā* (2,67. 97). —17 AMg. JM. *sattarasa* (Vivāhap. 198; Erz.), A. *dahasaita* (§ 442). —18 AMg. JM. *atīthārasa*, as also PG. 6,34, A. *atīthāraha* (Piṅgala 1,79). On *ra* for *da* see § 245, on *la* for *da* § 244. Therefore, the flexion is that of *daśan* (§ 442), e. g. ins. A. *eārahahī* (Piṅgala 1,66 [[°]*hi*], 109 ff.; BOLLENSEN, Vikr. p. 538 *eggārahahī*), AMg. *bārasahīm* (Sūyag. 790; Uttar. 1034); A. *bārahahī* (Piṅgala 1,113); AMg. *cōddasahīm* (Jiv. 228; Ovav. § 16, p. 31, 21); AMg. *paṇṇarasahīm* (Jiv. 228); gen. AMg. *duvālasaṇham* (Uvās.); AMg. *caūddasanham* (Vivāhap. 952), *cōddasanham* (Kappas.); *paṇṇarasasahīm* (Hc. 3,123); AMg. JM. *solasasahīm* (Vivāhap. 222; Erz. 28,20), *atīthārasanham* (Hc. 3,123) and *°nha* (Erz. 42,28); loc. *paṇṇarasasu* (Āyār. p. 125,33); Vivāhap. 734).

1. These citations are valid also for the following numerals, when other works are not quoted. The numerals for 11–100 are frequently found mostly in Mg., particularly in compounds, in addition partly in JM.A. For the rest of the dialects examples are wanting.

§ 444. 19 is AMg. *egūṇavīśam* = *ekonavīśati* (cf. § 445; Vivāhap. 1243; Nāyādh. § 12), A. *egūṇavīśā* (Piṅgala 2,238) and *navadaha* (§ 442). Beside it AMg. JM. have also *aūṇavīśai* and *aūṇavīśam* (Uttar. 1091; Erz. XLI). The same forms occur in AMg. JM. in other tens too, beside one another. So: *egūṇapannāsāīma* (the 49th.; Samav. 153) and *aūṇāpanna* (49; Ovav. § 163; Vivāhap. 158); *egūṇasātīm* (59; Samav. 118) and *aūṇātīm* Kappas. § 136; cf. v. l.); *egūṇasattarīm* (69; Samav. 126) and *aūṇattarīm* (Kappas. § 178). Further one says AMg. JM. *aūṇātīm*, *aūṇatīsāī* (29; Uttar. 1093; Erz. p. XLI) and AMg. *egūṇātīm* (79; Samav. 136), *egūṇātīm* (89; Samav. 146). *aūṇā°*, *aūṇā°* (§ 70) cannot be derived with E. MÜLLER¹ and LEUMANN² from *ekona*, but is = *agūṇa*, corresponding to *dvigūṇa*, *trigūṇa* etc., M. *duṇa*, AMg. *dugūṇa* (§ 436), AMg. *aṇṭagūṇa* (Vivāhap. 1039). Cf. old Hindī. *agunis*, *gunīs* (19), Gujarāti *ogunīs*³, which is = **apagūṇavīśati*.

1. Beiträge p. 17.—2. Aup. S. s. v. *aūṇāpanna*.—3. HOERNLE, Comp.-Gr. p. 257.

§ 445. The numerals for 19—58 form in AMg. JM. the nom. as the neut. in *-am* or as the fem. in *-ā*, in A. in *-a*, and those for 59—99 as the neuter in *-im* or the femin. in *-ī*. In the rest of the cases they are mostly inflected as the femin. sing., and have, as in Skt., the numbered object either in the gen. plur. or in the corresponding case of the plur. beside them.—20 forms also *vīsaī=vīmśati*(Kappas.;Uvās.), nom. *vīsaī* and *vīsaīm* (Erz. XLI¹) AMg. *aūnavīsaī* (19), *vīsaī* (20), *eṄkkavīsaī* (21), *panavīsaī* (25), it *sattavīsaī*(27), Uttar.1091-1093), A. *caūvīsaī*(24; Piṅgala 1,87). However, *vīsaīm*(Kappas.; Erz.) or *vīsā*(Hc.1,28.92; Erz.), A. *vīsa* (Piṅgala 1,95; Hc. 4,423,4), have been mostly regulated according to the following tens and been formed, as reversely also *tīsaī=trīmśatī* in AMg. is found(Uttar.1093), in correspondence with *vīsaī = vīmśatī*. If other numbers enter before it one says: AMg. JM *eṄkkavīsaīm*, *egavīsaī*, *igavīsaīm* (21; Uttar.1092; Vivāhap. 198; Erz.); *bāvīsaī* (22; Uttar.1070.1091.1092; Vivāhap.198;Erz.), A.*bāisa* (Piṅgala 1,68); *tevīsaī* (23; Uttar. 1092; Samav. 66; Erz.), A. *teisa* (Piṅgala 1,150); *caūvīsaīm* (24; Hc. 3,137; Vivāhap. 180; Uttar. 1092; Thān. 22), *caūvīsaīm* (Vivāhap. 198; Erz.), A. *caūvīsaīha* (Piṅgala 1,87 [so GOLDSCHMIDT]), *covīsa* (2,291) and *covīsa* (2,279); *panavīsaīm*, *panuvīsaīm* and *panuvīsaī* in *panuvīsaīhi* (25; § 273), A. *pacīsa* (Piṅgala 1,120); *chavvīsaīm* (26; Uttar. 1092; Erz.), A. *chavvīsa* and *chahavīsa* (§ 441); AMg. *sattavīsaīm* (27; Uttar. 1093), and *sattavīsaīm* (Vivāhap. 85 ff.); *sattavīsaī* (Hc. 1,4); A. *sattāsā* (Piṅgala 1,51. 52.58); *atīthāvīsaīm*, *°vīsā* (Vivāhap. 82), A. *atīthāisa*, *adhaīsa* (28; § 442); *aūnātīsaīm*, *aūnattīsaīm* (29; § 444).—30 is *tīsaī* (Kappas.; Nāyādh.; Erz.) and *tīsā* (Hc. 1,28. 92), A. *tīsā* (Piṅgala 1,51.60), also in *tīsakkhārā = trīmśadaksarā* (1,52), *tīsaī* (1,61). The numerals, that enter before it, as in all the following tens, are the same as in the case of 20, with the alterations required by the phonetic law. So *battīsaī* (32; Vivāhap. 82; Erz.), *battīsā* (Kappas.), A. *battiā* (Piṅgala 1,62.69), for which in M. also *dosoļaha = dvīsodaśa* is said (Karp. 100,8); *tēttīsaīm*, *tittīsaīm* (33; Kappas.; Vivāhap. 18. 33. 391; Uttar. 909. 994. 1001. 1094; Erz.), AMg. also *tājyattīsaī*, AMg. *tāvattīsaga*, JM. *°ja* (§ 438); *cōttīsaīm* (34; Ovav.; Samav. 100); *paṇatīsaīm* (35; Vivāhap. 200); *chattīsaīm*, *sā* (36; Kappas.; Ovav.), *atīthattīsaīm* (Kappas.) and *atīthattīsaīm* (Erz.).—40 *cattālīsaīm*(Kappas.; Vivāhap.199; Erz.) and *cattālīsaīm*(Vivāhap. 82), *cāyālīsaīm* (Erz.) and contracted *cālīsa* in JM. *cālīsaśāhassa = catvārimśatsāhasya* (Erz. 10,35) and independently in A. (Piṅgala 1,153. 155), a form, which in AMg. JM. A. appears almost always, when other numerals enter before it, as A. *iālīsa* (41; Piṅgala 1,125), AMg. JM. *bāyālīsaīm* (42; Vivāhap. 158; Kappas.; Ovav.; Nāyādh.; Thān. § 262; Erz.); *teālīsaī* (43; Hc. 2,174); JM. *teyālīsaīm* (Erz.); AMg. *caūyālīsaīm* and *coyālīsaīm*, *coyālīsaī* (44; Samav. 108. 109; Vivāhap. 218; Paṇṇav. 105 f.). A. *caūālīsa* (Piṅgala 1,90 [GOLDSCHMIDT *pa[ñ]catālīsaī*]. 97) and *coālīsaī* (2, 238); AMg. *panayālīsaī* (Paṇṇav. 55), *panayālīsaīm* (45; Vivāhap. 158; Samav. 109; Ovav.); for A. *pacatālīsaīha* (Piṅgala 1,93. 95) we should read *pacatālīsaīhi*; AMg. *chāyālīsaīm* (46; Kappas.); AMg. *siyālīsaīm* (47; Vivāhap. 653)²; AMg. JM. *adhayālīsaīm*, A. *adhaālīsa* (48; § 442), but AMg. also *atīhacattālīsaīm* (Vivāhap. 372); AMg. *eṄkūnapanna* (49; Jiv. 62). There appears in verses in AMg. even the abridged form *cālī* (Uvās. § 277,6), an in AMg. JM. *cattā* (Uvās. § 277,6; Erz.), also in compounds with other numerals, as JM. *bicattā*(Erz.)and as *cāla* in AMg. *igajāla*(text *igu°*; Vivāhap. 199); JM. *bājāla* (42; Erz.); A. *beāla* (Piṅgala 1,95); AMg. *panayāla* (45; Samav. 109); *panayālasayāhassā* (4,500,000; Uttar. 1034); AMg. *adhayāla* (48; Samav. 210; Paṇṇav. 99 [*ada°*]; Vivāhap. 290 [*ada°*]).—50 *paṇṇāsaīm*, *paṇṇāsā*, *paṇṇā* in the rest of fifties *paṇṇām*, *°vāṇṇām*

(§ 273). The shortened forms are derived probably from *pañcasat, *poñcasat, *pañcat (§ 81. 148).

1. This citation is valid for the entire paragraph, as well as for the following ones. The assumptions of JACOBI are based partly on unprinted sources, and hence they cannot generally be checked by me.—2. Cf. *sāyāri* § 446,

§ 446. 60 AMg. *sattīm* (Samav. 118. 119), in compound *sattī*: *sattītanta* (Vivāhap. 149; Ovav.); JM. *sattīm*, *sattī* (Erz.); S. *chatthīm* (acc.; Mrch. 54,16), probably with the majority of MSS. and editions to be read as *sattīm*; A. *sattī* (Pingala 1,105; in composition 1,61). In compounds with other numerals in AMg. JM. °*sattīm* alternates with °*vattīm* and °*attīm* (§ 265): *egūnasattīm* and *aññasattīm* (59), *igasattīm* and *egañtīo*; *bāsañtīm* and *bāvattīm*; *tesattīm* and *tevatīm*; *cāusattīm* and *cosattī* (Vivāhap. 82) and *cāvattīo*; *panasañtīm* and *pannatīm* (Kappas.); *chāvattīm*, *sattasattīm*, *adhasattīm*, *atthasattīo* (WEBER, Bhag. 1,426; Samav. 118—126; Erz.). — 70 AMg. JM. *sattarīm* and *sattīo*, JM. also °*sayāri*°, *sayāri*° (Samav. 127. 128; Prabandhac. 279,12; Erz.). On the *r* see § 245. In combination with other numerals °*sattarīm* alternates with °*hattarīm*, °*vattarīm* with °*attīrīo*; AMg. *egūnasattarīm* with *añnattarīm* (69; § 444), °*ekkasattarīm* (Samav.; text *eka*°); *bāvattarīm*, JM. also *bisattīrīo*; *tevattarīm*; *covattarīm*, JM. *cāuhattari*; AMg. *pañcahattarie* (instr.; Kappas. § 2), *pannattari* (so Samav. thrice; read °*rīm*), JM. *pan-sayāri* (Prabandhac. 279,12); *chāvattarīm*; *sattahattarīm*; *atthahattarīm*, JM. *atthattarīo* (WEBER, Bhag. 1,426; 2,248; Samav. 126—135; Erz.). In A. is found *ehattari* (71; Pingala 1,95. 97. 100) and *chāhattari* (76; text *che*°; 2, 238). — 80 AMg. *asiīm*, JM. *asiī*, *asiī*° (Samav. 137; Vivāhap. 94. 95; Erz.). In combination with other numerals: AMg. *egūnañsiīm* (79); JM. °*ekkāsī*°; AMg. *bāsiīm*; AMg. *tesiīm*, ins. also *tejāsīe* (Samav.), JM. *tesīi*; AMg. *cāvāsiīm*, *corāsiīm*, *corāsī*, JM. *cātāsīi*, *cūlāsīi*°; AMg. *pañcañsiīm*, *chañsīi*, *sattāsiīm*, *atthāsiīm* (Samav. 136—145; Kappas.; Erz.). In A. are found *asi* (80), *beāsī* (82), *atthāsī* (88) (Pingala 1,81.98; 2,238). — 90 AMg. *nañsiīm*, JM. *nañsī* (Samav. 147; Erz.). In combination with other numerals: AMg. *egūnañnañsiīm* (89); °*ekkānañsiīm* (Samav.; text *ekā*°), *bā*°, *te*°, *caū*°, *pañcā*°, *chañnañsiīm* and *chañnañsī* (Vivāhap. 82), *sattānañsiīm*, *atthā*°; JM. *bānañsī*, *te*°, *pañcā*° and *pana*°, *channañsī* (Samav. 146—153; Erz.). In A. is found *chañnavañ* (96; Pingala 1,95).

§ 447. The following examples show flexion and construction of numerals for 19—99: AMg.: nom. *tevisām titthakarā* = *trayovimśatis tittha-karāh* (Samav. 66); *bāyālisām suminā tisām mahāsuminā bāvattarīm savvasu-minā* = *dvācatvārimśat svapnās trīmśan mahāsvapnā dvāsaptatiḥ sarvasvapnāh* (Vivāhap. 951 [where °*vi*°]; Nāyādh. § 46; Kappas. § 74); *tāyātīsā loga-pālā* = *trayastrīmśal lokapālāh* (Thān. 125). — Acc. *visām vāsām* = *vimśatiṁ varṣāni* (Uvās. § 89. 124. 266); *pannāsām jojanasahassām* = *pañcasatām yajanasahassārāni* (Thān. 266); *pañcañnañsiīm* (text °*jām*) *jayañnasahassām* = *pañcañnavātīm yojanasahassārāni* (Thān. 261). — Ins. *pañcahattarie vāsehiṁ... ekkā-visāe titthāyarehiṁ... tevisāe titthāyarehiṁ* = *pañcasaptatyā vārsaih... ekavimśatyā titthakaraih*; *tētitīsāe sattāvannāe dantisahashehiṁ* = *trayastrīmśatā, saptapāñca-satā dantisahasraih* (Nirayāv. § 24. 26). — Gen. *eesīm tisāe mahāsumināñnam* = *et esām trīmśato mahāsvapnāñnam* (Vivāhap. 951; Nāyādh. § 46; Kappas. § 74); *batīsāe °sayāsāhassīnam caūrāsīe* [so to be read] *sāmāniyāsāhassīnam tāyātī-sāe tāyātīsāgānam cātāpām loga-pālānam* = *dvātrīmśatah °sātāsāhassīnam catura-śīyāh sāmānikasāhassīnam trayastrīmśatas trayastrīmśakānām cātūrānam lokapālā-nām* (Kappas. § 14; cf. Vivāhap. 211). — Loc. *tisāe nirayāvāsāsatasahasreśu* (Vivāhap. 83 ff.); *egavisāe savalesu bāvisāe parisahe* (metrically for °*hesu*) = *ekavimśatyām śabalešu dvāvimśatyām *pari-*

sahesu (Uttar. 907).—JM. *pañcanāmī rāñjanām* and *rājāno* (Kk. 263, 11, 17). Seldom are these numerals inflected in the plural. According to C. 1, 6, as in the case of numerals for 2–19 (§ 436), the gen. is formed in *-nhām*: *vīsañham*, *tīsañham*. AMg. *tinnī tevatthāmī pāvāduyasañāmī* = *trīñi trayahṣaṣṭāñi prāvādukaśatāñi* (Sūyag. 778); *pañuvīśāhi ja bhāvañāhīm* = *pañcavīśātīmī*; *śatyā ca bhāvañābhīh* (Āyār. p. 137, 25); *pañcahīm chattiṣehīm anagāraśaehīm* = *pañcabhīh sattrīmśair anagāraśataih* (Kappas. § 182); JM. *tinñham tevatthāñam nayarasayāñam* = *trayāñam trayahṣaṣṭāñam nagaraśatāñam* (Erz. 28, 21)¹; M. *cāuśatthīsu suttisu* = *catuhṣaṣṭyāñm śuktisu* (Karp. 72, 6). This flexion is usual in A.: *eāsehi bāsehi* (Piṅgala 1, 58, 69); *chahavisai* (P. 1, 97); *sattāisātī* (P. 1, 60); *paeālīsai* (P. 1, 93, 95; see § 445); *ehatariu* (acc.), *chattarihīm* (P. 1, 95, 100). Cf. also § 448.

1. The three examples *tinnī tevatthāmī pā*^o *pañcahīm chattiṣehīm ana tinñham tevatthāñam na*^o might have formed a single paragraph. They correspond to the “wholly illogical construction” of the numerals in the Vedic language in WHITNEY § 480, note; KIELHORN § 202, I owe the correct explanation to KIELHORN, who also is of the opinion, that at Erz. 28, 21 with the v.l. we should read “*thā* instead of “*thī*”

§ 448. 100 is M. *saa* (H. R.), AMg. JM. *saya* (Kappas.; Ovav.; Uvās.; Erz.), S. *sada* (Mṛcch. 6, 6; 151, 22; Vikr. 11, 4), Mg. *śada* (Mṛcch. 12, 5; 116, 8; 122, 20; Venīs. 33, 4). It is inflected as neuter according to the *a*-declension. The rest of the hundreds are formed of which the units enter before the plural of 100: AMg. *do sajāmī* (200), *tiṇṇi sajāmī* (300), *cattāri sajāmī* (400) (Samav. 157, 158); *pañca sajā* (500; Kappas. § 142), *cha sajāmī* (600), also *cha sajā* (Samav. 159) and *chassayā* (Kappas. § 166); A. *cāiśaa* (400; Piṅgala 1, 81). Substantive neuter is *sattasaa* (H.).—1000 is M. AMg. JM. JS. S. *sahassa* (G. H. R.; Kappas.; Uvās.; Erz.; Pav. 380, 12; Mṛcch. 72, 22; Prab. 4, 4, 5), Mg. *śahaśśā* (Lalitav. 566, 10; Venīs. 33, 3; 34, 21; 35, 8). It is inflected as neuter according to the *a*-declension. In AMg. one says also *dasa sajāmī* (Samav. 162) or *dasa sajā* (Kappas. § 166), as for 1100 *ēkkārasa sajāmī* (Samav. 163) or *ēkkārasa sajā* (Kappas. § 166), for 1200 *bārasa sajā*, for 1400 *cāuddasa sajā* (Kappas. § 166), for 1721 *sattarasa ēkkavīse joyaṇasae* (1721 yojanas; acc.; Vivāhap. 198). The rest of thousands are formed like the corresponding hundreds: AMg. *do sahassāmī* (Samav. 163), acc. *duve sahasse* (Sūyag. 940); *tiṇṇi, cattāri, cha, dasa sahassāmī* (Samav. 163–165); *aūṇātthīm sohassā* (59000; Kappas. § 136); JM. *putiṇāmī satthī sahassā* (60000; Sagara 1, 13) and *satthīm pi tuha suya-sahassā* (7, 7; cf. 10, 4; 11, 5), gen. *satthīe puttasaḥassānāmī* (8, 5); also with *sāhassī* = *sāhasrī*, as AMg. *coddasa samāṇasāhassīo, chattiṣam ajiyāsāhassīo, tiṇṇi sajasāhassīo* etc. (Kappas. § 134–137; cf. § 161 ff.; Vivāhap. 287). In the combination of hundreds and thousands with units the units are placed first, and are combined in a compound: *aṭhasa yamī* = 108 (Vivāhap. 831; Kappas.; Ovav.); *aṭhasahassāmī* = 1008 (Ovav.). The tens are expressed behind it in the following manner: *tiṣam ca sahassāmī dōṇṇi ja aūṇāpāṇye joyaṇasae* = 30249 yojanas (Vivāhap. 158); *sattarasa ēkkavīse joyaṇasae* = 1721 y.; *cattāri tīse joyaṇasae* = 430 y.; *dasa bāvīse jo*^o = 1022 y.; *cattāri cāuvīse jo*^o = 424 y., *satta tevīse jo*^o = 723 y., *tiṇṇi igajāle jo*^o = 1341 y., *dōṇṇi jo joyaṇasahassāmī dōṇṇi ja chaṭaṣte jo*^o = 2286 y. (Vivāhap. 198, 199), *siyālīsam joyaṇasahassāmī dōṇṇi ja tevatthīe joyaṇasae* = 47 263 y. (Vivāhap. 653), also with *uttara*, as *tiṇṇi joyaṇasahassāmī dōṇṇi ja battisuttare jo*^o = 3232 y^o (Vivāhap. 198); *bāvaṇṇuttaram, adhāyālīsuttaram, cattālīsuttaram, aṭṭhatālīsuttaram, chattiṣuttaram, aṭṭhālīsuttaram* *joyaṇasayasahassāmī* = 100052, 100048, 100044, 100038, 100036, 100028 y. (Jiv. 243), and with *ca*, as *chakkodise paṇavaṇṇam ca koḍio* = 655 **koṭi** (Vivāhap. 200). Here the acc. occurs throughout. 100,000 is PG. *satasa-hassa* (6, 11; 7, 42, 48), AMg. *egām sajāsahassāmī* (Samav. 165) or *egā sajāsā-hassi* (Kappas. § 136); cf. S. *suvaraṇṇasadasāhassīo* = *suvarṇasatasāhassīkuḥ*

(Mṛcch. 58,4); AMg. JM. also *lakkham* = *lakṣam* (Kappas. § 187; Ki. 12; Erz.), Mg. *laśkam* (Lalitav. 566,11).—**1,000,000** = AMg. *dasasajasya-hassāīm* (Samav. 166), Mg. *daha* (falsely for *dasa*) *laśkāīm* (Lalitav. 566, 11).—**10,000,000** *kodi* = *kotih* (Samav. 167; Erz.). In AMg. *kodākodi*, *paliovamā*, *sāgarovamā*, *sāgarovamākodākodi* etc. designate still higher numbers (Kappas.; Ovav.; Uvās. etc.).

§ 449. The ordinals, of which the feminine ends in *-ā*, when not noted below, are: **1.** *padhama*, *pudhama*, *padhuma*, *pudhuma* (§ 104. 221). AMg. also *padhamilla* (Vivāhap. 108. 177. 380) and *padhamillaga* (Nāyādh. 624), with the suffix *-illa* (§ 595), A. also *pahilla*, femin. *pahili* (Ki. 5,99; Prabandbac. 62,5; 157,3 [text here *pātīlī*]), as in the new Indian dialects (BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 2,142; HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. § 118. 400. 401). The word can be derived from **prathara* neither with BEAMES, nor from AMg. *padhamilla*, **padhailla* with HOERNLE, but it presupposes one **prathila*.—**2.** M. *duia*, *bīa*, *bīja*, *bījja*; JM *duīja*, AMg. JM. *bīja*, *bīja*; A. *bīa*; AMg. also *ducca*, *dōcca*; S. Mg. *duidia*, in verses also *dudia* (§ 82. 91. 165. 300).—**3.** M. *tāia*, AMg. JM. *taiyā*; S. *tadia*; AMg. also *tacca*; A. *tīa*, *taijjī* (fem.) (§ 82. 91. 165. 300). Ki. 2,36 knows also *tiijja*, which occurs in AMg. *addhātīja* (§ 450).—**4.** M. AMg. JM. A. *caūttha* (Hc. 1,171; 2,33; H. R.; Sūyag. 606; Āyār. p. 132 ff.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.; Piṅgala 1,105), according to Hc. 2,33 also *caūttha*; M. also *cōttha* (§ 166; Hc. 1,171; H.); S. Mg. *caduttha* (Mṛcch. 69,21. 22 [cf. v. I]; Mg. 169,7; text everywhere *caūttha*), D. *caūttha* (Mṛcch. 100,6), S. also *caduttha* (Śak. 44,5). The feminine is M. JM. *caūtthī*, *cōtthī* (Hc. 1,171; Erz. p. XLII), AMg. *caūtthā* (Āyār. p. 132 ff.). In *addhūttha* (3¹/₂; § 450) there is one **tut̄tha* = **turtha* (cf. *turya*, *turiya*).—**5.** *pañcama* occurs in all the dialects (H.; Kappas.; Uvās.; Erz.; S. Mṛcch. 70,5,6; D. Mṛcch. 100,7; A. Piṅgala 1,59). The feminine ends in *-ī*, in AMg. in *-ā* too (Āyār. p. 132 ff.).—**6.** in all the dialects *chat̄tha*, femin. *-ōī* (Vr. 2,41; Hc. 1,265; 2,77; Ki. 2,46; H.; Sūyag. 606,686; Vivāhap. 167; Kappas.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Erz.; S. Mṛcch. 70,22. 23; Śak. 40,9; D. Mṛcch. 100,7. 8; A. Piṅgala 1,50), in AMg. femin. also *chat̄thā* (Āyār. 2,1,11,9) by form-association with the preceding numbers. For Mg. *sat̄tha* [sic!] Prab. 28,16, as also the ed. P.31,4 has, and for which at 73,1 in the ed. Bomb. stands *sat̄tha* and at 36,13 the ed. M. reads *saṭṭha*, is to be corrected as *chat̄tha*. By *pañcabhabhāia* = *pañcābhādhika* the numeral is expressed in M. in Śak. 120,7.—**7.** M. AMg. JM. S.A. *sattama* (H.; Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 71,11. 12; Piṅgala 1,59).—**8.** AMg. JM. S. D. *at̄thama* (Vivāhap. 167; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 72,1; D. Mṛcch. 100,6).—**9.** AMg. JM. *navama* (Uvās.; Kappas.; Erz.), D. *navama* (Mṛcch. 100,8).—**10.** M. AMg. JM. *dasama* (R.; Vivāhap. 167; Uvās.; Erz.), femin. AMg. *dasāmī* (Kappas.).—The ordinals **11.**—**19.** are derived from the corresponding cardinals with the ending *-ma*, feminine *-mī*. Hitherto they have been found in the texts in AMg. JM. only. Thus: **11.** AMg. *ekkārasama* (Sūyag. 695; Vivāhap. 167; Uvās.; Kappas.).—**12.** AMg. JM. *bārasama* (Sūyag. 699; Vivāhap. 167; Erz.), AMg. also *duvālasama* (Āyār. 1,8,4,7; Sūyag. 699. 758).—**13.** AMg. *terasama* (Āyār. 2,15,22; Vivāhap. 167; Sūyag. 695; Kappas.).—**14.** *caūdasama* (Sūyag. 758) and *cōddasama* (Vivāhap. 167).—**15.** *pannārasama* (Vivāhap. 168).—**16.** *solasama* (Vivāhap. 167).—**18.** AMg. *at̄thārasama* (Vivāhap. 167; Nāyādh. 1450. 1451) and *adhārasama* (Vivāhap. 1429; Nāyādh. 1404).—**19.** *egūpavīsama* (Nāyādh. § 11), *andaegūnāvīsama* (Vivāhap. 1606). On *khodasama* (16) see § 265.—**20.** *vīsāīma* or *vīsa*; **30.** *tīsāīma* or *tīsa*; **40.** *cattālīsāīma*; **49.** *aūnāpānna*; **55.** *pannapānnaīma* (Kappas.); **72.** *bāvattara*, **80.** *asūma*; **97.** *sattānāūja*. In the case of other numbers sometimes the long forms and sometimes the short forms

are used, as 23. JM. *tevīsaīma* (T.4,2); 24. AMg. *caūvisaīma* (Vivāhap. 167) and *caūvisa* (Thān. 31); 84. *caūrāsiīm*, 85. *pañcāsiīma* (Kappas.). Cf. WEBER, Bhag. 1,426.—*kai* is inflected: AMg. JM. A. *kai* (Vivāhap. 289.301.413 f. 416.855.878 ff.; Erz. 17,21; Hc. 4,376,1.420,3); ins. AMg. *kaihīm* (Panṇav. 662; Vivāhap. 74. 332); gen. *kaiñham* (Hc. 3,123); loc. AMg. JM. *kaisu* (Panṇav. 521. 530; Vivāhap. 736 f. 1536; Erz. 66,16).

§ 450. As the expression of 1/2 enters in AMg. *addha* or *addha* = *ardha*, as in Skt., before the next higher ordinal (§ 291): *addhāijja* from *addha*+**tijja*, **tijja*, *tijja*=*ardhatṛtiya* (§ 449) ($2\frac{1}{2}$; Samav. 157; Jiv. 268 270. 660. 917. 982; Nāyādh. 347; Panṇav. 51. 55. 81. 611 f.; Vivāhap. 199. 202. 734. 1786; Nandis. 198. 200; Kappas.); *addhuṭṭha* from *ardha*+**turtha* = *ardhacaturtha* ($3\frac{1}{2}$; Kappas.); *addhaṭṭhamā* = *ardhaṭṭama* ($7\frac{1}{2}$; Āyār. 2,15,6 [so to be read]; Kappas.; Ovav.); *addhanavama* ($8\frac{1}{2}$; Kappas.); *addhachatṭhehim* *bhikkhūsaehim* (550); *addhāijjāim* °*sajāim* (250), *addhuṭṭhāim* °*sajāim* (350), *addhapañcamāim* °*sajāim* (450) (Samav. 156—158); *addhaṭṭhāim* *jojanāim* ($5\frac{1}{2}$ yojanas; Jiv. 231). $1\frac{1}{2}$, on the contrary, is expressed by *divaḍḍha* (Vivāhap. 137. 1123; Samav. 157; Jiv. 149; Panṇav. 685 f. 692. 698), which is neither = *adhyardha*¹, nor, as the position of the members of the compound shows, = *dviṭṭiya* + *ardha*², but is = *dvikārdha* (§ 230). So also: *divaḍḍham* °*sajām* (150; Samav. 157).

1. WEBER, Bhag. 1,398. 409. 411; ERNST KUHN, Beiträge p. 41.—2. CHILDERS s.v.; BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 1,237 f.; E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 34.

§ 451. I × is in AMg. *saīm* = *sakrt* (§ 181), in JM. *ekkavāram* = *ekavāram* (Kk. 266,25; 274,21) and *ekkasīm* (Sagara 4,4), which in Hc. 2, 162 is spelt as *ekkasi* and *ekkasiam* and is equated as = *ekadā*. For the purpose of numeration AMg. uses *khutto* = *kṛtvah* (§ 206); *dukkhutto*, *dukhutto*=*dvikṛtvah* (Thān. 364; Āyār. 2,1,1,6); *tikhutto*, *tikkhutto*=*trikṛtvah* (Thān. 5. 11. 17. 41. 60. 364; Āyār. 2,1,1,6; 2,15,20; Antag. 5. 11. 17. 41. 60; Vivāhap. 12. 156. 161 etc.; Uvās.; Kappas.); *sattakkhutto*, °*kh*° (Nāyādh. 910. 925. 941; Jiv. 260. 621), *tisattakkhutto* = *trisaptakṛtvah* (Ovav. § 136; Vivāhap. 230 [°*kh*°]. 411); *anegasajāsahassakkhutto* = *anekaśatasahasrakṛtvah* (Vivāhap. 145. 1285); *anantakhutto* (Jiv. 308; Vivāhap. 177. 414. 416. 418); *evaīkhutto* = **evatikṛtvah* (Kappas.). In M. the word is spelt as *huitam*: *saahuttam*, *sahassahuttam* (Hc. 2,158; Dhvanyāloka 52,6). For ‘for the second time’ AMg. uses *dōccām*, *duccām* (Āyār. 2,15,21; Vivāhap. 166. 234. 235; Ovav. § 85; Uvās.; Kappas.), ‘for the third time’ is *taccām* (Vivāhap. 166. 234. 235; Uvās.). — As the expression of times Pkt., like Skt., uses °*viha* = °*vidha*, in the case of adjectives and °*hā* = °*dhā* in the case of adverbs: AMg. *duviha*, *tiviha*, *caūviha*, *pañcaviha*, *chavviha*, *sattaviha*, *aṭhaviha*, *navaiviha*, *dasaviha* (Uttar. 885—990), *duvulasaviha* (Jiv. 44; Vivāhap. 159), *solasaviha* (Uttar. 971; Thān. 593 [°*dhā*]), *aṭhāvī-saviha* (Uttar. 877), *battiscivīha* (Vivāhap. 234); JŚ. *tiviha* (Kattig. 402, 360) etc.; AMg. *duhā*, *pañcāhā*, *dasahā* (Uttar. 1046. 889. 704), *duhā*, *tihā*, *caūhā*, *pañcāhā*, *chahā*, *sattahā*, *aṭhahā*, *navahā*, *dasahā*, *samkhējjahā*, *asamkhējjahā*, *anantahā* (Vivāhap. 997—1012). — AMg. JM. *egao* (Vivāhap. 277. 282. 950; Āv. 46,24) is = *ekatah*, the more frequent *ega jao* (Vivāhap. 137—141. 187. 510. 513. 970. 983. 996 ff. 1430. 1434) = **ekakatah*; *duhao* see § 436.—As in Skt., in AMg. JM. JŚ. too, one says *duga* (Thān. 568. 569; Erz.; Kattig. 403,371), *dujā* (Uttar. 903) = *dvika*; AMg. JM. *tija*=*trika* (Uttar. 902; Erz.); *chakka* = *saṭka* (Uttar. 904) etc.; likewise JM. *sahassaso* = *sahasraśah* (Sagar. 6,5); S. *aneaso*, AMg. 'negaso' = *anekaśah* (§ 435).

E. THE VERB.

§ 452. In Pkt. the verb has undergone far greater loss than the noun has. As in the case of nouns (§ 355), the *a*-stems prevail on phonetic grounds, so that the second conjugation, with comparatively a fewer exceptions, has followed the analogy of the first one. Thereby the character of the class has almost been effaced. The ātmanepada is frequent in all the dialects in the participles only; otherwise it is somewhat usable only in M. AMg. JM. JS., but it is restricted completely to the sing. and the third person plural of the present indicative in S., in Mg. almost exclusively to the first person sing. ind. present. The cases found in S. mostly are formulaic expressions (§ 457). Many verbs, that in Sanskrit are conjugated in the ātmanepada only, have in Pkt. the endings of the parasmaip., as mostly in the passive too. Further *āsi*, *āsi=āsīt*, that are used as the sing. of the first, second and third persons and the plur. of the third person of the imperfect, have been retained in M. AMg. JM. S., in addition to *abhvī* in AMg. (§ 515). Remnant of the strong and *s*-aorists, including stray ātmanepada forms in frequent use (§ 517), are found in AMg. and in grammarians (§ 516), from the perfect in AMg. only (§ 518); the conditional has completely disappeared. All these tenses are expressed by means of participles with the auxiliary verb *as* or *bhū* or only with the past passive participle. The pluperfect too is formed in the same manner (519). Besides we find the simple future in the parasmaip., ātmanep. and passive, from the verbal nouns, the infinitive, in the passive too (§ 580), the absolute, the present participle of the parasmaipada, the ātmanepada and passive, the past passive participle and the particip. necessitatis, of the moods, the indicative, the optative, (the precatitive too) and the imperative. Of the secondary verbal forms, like Skt., Pkt. has the causative, the desiderative of the intensive and the numerous denominatives. The dual is completely lost. Except those in A., the endings generally correspond to those of Skt. Deviations are shown in the following paragraphs. Characteristic is the extension of the present stem, from which all the tenses, the verbal nouns and the passive can be formed. It serves also for nominal derivation.

a) PRESENT.

I. INDICATIVE OF THE PARASMAIPADAM.

453. The flexion shows the paradigm of the first conjugation *vattā-* = *varta-*, in Skt. in ātmanepada only.

Sing.

1. *vattāmi*
2. *vattasi*
3. *vattai*, JS. S. Mg. Dh.
vattadi, P. CP. *vattati*

In A. the common flexion is :—

1. *vattāñ*
2. *vattasi*, *vattahi*
3. *vattāñ*

Plur.

- | |
|---------------------------------|
| <i>vattāmo</i> |
| <i>vattaha</i> , JS. S. Mg. Dh. |
| <i>vattadha</i> , P. CP. |
| <i>vattatha</i> |
| <i>vattanti</i> |

§ 454. The grammarians (Vr. 7,30; Hc. 3,154; Mk. fol. 51; Sr. fol. 47) mention also *-āmi*, beside *-āmi*, that is the common ending in all the

dialects, excluding A.: *jāṇami* = *jānāmi*; *lihami* = *likhāmi*; *sahami* = *sahē*; *hasami* = *hasāmi*. It is attested in A. too: *kaḍḍhami* = *karṣāmi* (Hc. 4, 385); *pāvami* = **prāpāmi* = *prāpnomi*; *bhāmami* = *bhramāmi* (Vikr. 71, 7, 8), *bhaṇamī* = *bhaṇāmi* (Piṅgala 1, 153). The vowel has been regulated according to that of the second and third persons. In some cases, as in the first person plur. (§ 455), *i* has entered for *a*: M. *jāṇimi* = *jānāmi* (H. 902), *anūṇijimi* = *anuniye* (H. 930); A. *pucchimi* = *prcchāmi*, *karimi* = **karāmi* = *karomī* (Vikr. 65, 3; 71, 9). Forms in -*mhi* and -*mmi*, sometimes found in the MSS. and editions¹, are false², as *ṇivedēmhi* for *ṇivedemī* (Nāg. 20, 3; cf. 20, 10), *pasādēmhi* for *pasādemī* (Nāg. 44, 8), *gacchamhi*, *gacchahmi* for *gacchāmi* (Mālav. 5, 5; Vṛṣabha. 20, 17).—In A. the usual ending is -*āū*: *kaddhaū* = *karṣāmi* (Hc. 4, 385), *kijjaū* = *kriye* in the sense of *karisyāmi* (Hc. 4, 385; 445, 3); *jāṇāū* = *jānāmi* (Hc. 4, 391, 439, 4), *joijjaū* = *viłoye*, *dēkkhaū* = *draksyāmi*, *jhijjaū* = *ksīye* (Hc. 4, 356, 357, 4, 425); *pāvāū* = *prāpnomi*, *pakvāvāū* = **pakvāpāyāmi* = *pacāmi*, *jivāū* = *jivāmi*, *cayaū* (text *tajāū*) = *tyājāmi* (Piṅgala 1, 104^a; 2, 64); *piāvāū* (text *piyāvāū*) = **pibāpāyāmi* = *pāyāyāmi* (Prabandha. 70, 11, 13). According to the phonetic laws of A., *jāṇāū* has to be traced to **jānakam* only (§ 352). The verbal forms with infixes *ak*, such as *pacataki*, *jalpataki*, *svapitaki*, *pathataki*, *addhaki*, *ehaki*, mentioned by the grammarians, in addition to the first pers. sing. *yāmaki* = *yāmi*, discovered by AUFRECHT in Kauśitakibrāhmaṇa 27, 1³, are to be compared with **jānakam*. It is to be admitted that, as in the future (§ 520), the ending of the subsidiary tenses has taken the place that of the principal tenses⁴.

1. BOLLENSEN on Mālav. p. 123; WEBER on Hāla 417.—2. BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p. 47, AMG. *anuśāsahī* for **anuśāsāmi* = *anuśāsīmi* Uttar. 790 is hardly correct. — 3. ZDMG. 34, 175f.—4. HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. § 497 seeks in it the ending of the imperative.

§ 455. In the 2. sing. indic. A. has beside the ending -*si* also -*hi* (§ 264): *marahi* = **marasi* = *miyase*, *ruahi* = Vedic *ruvasi* = *rodiśi*, *lahahi* = *labhase*, *visūrahi* = *khidyase*, *ṇisarahi* = *nihsarasi* (Hc. 4, 368, 383, 1, 422, 2, 439, 4). In Mg. the ending is naturally -*śi*: *yāśi*, *dhāvāśi*, *palāśi*, *malihiśi*, *gaścaśi* (Mṛcch. 9, 23, 24; 10, 3).—In the 3. sing. indic. in verses AMG. and A. do not rarely contract the ending -*āi* to -*e* (§ 166); in S. Mg. Dh. the ending is -*di*, in P. CP. -*ti*: M. AMG. JM. *vatṭai*, but JS. S. *vatṭadi* (§ 289); M. *vadḍhai* = *vardhate*, but S. *vaddhadi* (§ 291); Mg. *cilāadi* = *cirāyati* (Śak. 115, 9); Dh. *vajjadi* = *vrajati* (Mṛcch. 30, 10); P. *lapati*, *gacchati* (Hc. 4, 319).—The 1. plur. indic., in all the dialects, excluding A., ends in -*mo*, in verses in -*mu* and -*ma* too, the ending of the subsidiary tense (Vr. 7, 4; Hc. 3, 144, 167; Ki. 4, 7; Mk. fol. 51); *hasāmo*, *hasāmu*, *hasāma*. Except in PG. *vitarāma* (5, 7), M. future *dacchāma* = *draksyāmāḥ* (R. 3, 50) and in -*mha* = *smāḥ* (§ 498), -*ma* has, up to this time, been seen merely as v. l.¹ and for prose it is not correct. M. *tajjāmo*, *vaccāmo*, *ramāmo* (H. 267, 590, 888), *kāmemo* = *kāmayāmāḥ* (H. 417), in the passive *musi-jāmo* = *muṣyāmahe* (H. 335); AMG. *vadḍhāmo* = *vardhāmahe* (Kappas. § 91, 106), *jivāmo* (Nāyādh. § 137), *āciṭṭhāmo* = *ātiṣṭhāmāḥ* (Sūyag. 734), *uvanemo* = *upanayāmāḥ*, *āhāremo* = *āhārayāmāḥ* (Sūyag. 734), *icchāmu* (Uttar. 376), *accemu* beside *accimo* = *arcayāmāḥ*, *arcāmāḥ* (Uttar. 368, 369), in the future *dāhāmu* = *dāsyāmāḥ* (Uttar. 355, 358), also in the aor. *vucchāmu* = *avātsma* (Uttar. 410); JM. *tālēmo* = *tādayāmāḥ* (Dvār. 497, 1), *pēcchāmo* = *prekṣā-māhe* (Āv. 33, 15), *vaccāmo* = *vrajāmāḥ* (Kk. 263, 16; 272, 18), *pajjōsavemo* (Kk. 271, 7); S. *pavisāmo* = *pravisāmāḥ* (Śak. 92, 1), *jāṇāmo* = *jānīmāḥ* (§ 510), *sumarāmo* = *smarāmāḥ* (Mālatīm. 113, 9), *uvacarāmo* = *upacarāmāḥ* (Mālatīm. 232, 2; text *tuvarāma*; cf. v. l. and ed. Calc. 1866 p. 91, 17).

vaddhāmo = *vardhāmahe* (Mallikām. 153,10; Mahāv. 17,11; [so to be read; cf. ed. Bomb. 38,3]), *cintemo* = *cintayāmah* (Mahāv. 134,11), *vandāmo* = *vandāmahe*, *uvaharāmo* = *upaharāmaḥ* (Pārvatīp. 27,11; 29,13); D. *böllāmo* (Mrcch. 105,16). The forms in -*mha*, which are sometimes found in the text, as *cittamha* (Ratn.315,1), *vinnavēmha*, *sāmpādēmha*, *pārēmha*, *kareṁmha* (Sāk. 27,7; 53,5; 76,60; 80,5), are false. They belong to the imperative (§ 470). In M. JM. and in verses in AMg. the post-tonic syllable ā often becomes i, so that the ending, then, is -*imo* (§ 108); M. *jampimo* = *jālpā-mah* (H. 651); M. JM. *namimo* = *nāmāmah* (G. 35. 969; Kk. 277,30); M. JM. *bhanimo* = *bhānāmah* (Hc. 3,155; H.; Prabandhac. 100,8; Kk. 266,14) beside *bhanāmo* (H.); M. AMg. *vandimo* = *vāndāmahe* (H. 659; Nandis.81); *pacimo* = *pācāmāḥ* (Mk. fol. 51); M. *savimo* = *śāpāmāḥ* (G.240); M. *sahimo* = *sāhāmahe* in *visahimo* (H. 376); *hasimo* = *hāsāmāḥ* (Bh. 7,31). Likewise M. *gamimo* = **gāmāmāḥ* (H. 892), *jāṇimo*, *na āṇimo* = **jānāmāḥ*, *na *jānā-māḥ* (H.), *bharimo* = **bhārāmāḥ* and *sāmbharimo* (we remember; H. s. v. *smar*; G. 219), *ālakkhimo* = *ālakṣāmāhe* (G. 188), and on its analogy: *pucchimo* = *pṛcchāmāḥ* (H. 453), *lihimo* = *likhāmāḥ* (H. 244), *suṇimo* = **śruṇāmāḥ* (H. 518, falsely also in S. Bālar. 101,5). The grammarians (Vr. 7,4. 31; Hc. 3,155; Mk. fol. 51; Sr. fol. 47) know also the forms in -*āmu*, -*āma*, -*imu*, -*ima*: *paḍhamu*, *padhama*, *pacimu*, *bhaṇamu*, *bhaṇi-mu*, *bhaṇima*, *sahamu*, *sahama*, *sahimu*, *hasamu*, *hasama*, *hasimu*, *hasima*.—In A. the usual ending is -*hū*: *lahahū* = *labhāmahe*, *cadahū* = *ārohāmāḥ*, *marahū* = *mriyāmahe* (Hc. 4,386. 439,1). The abl. plur. of the a-stems has the same ending, where it would be derived from -*bhyām* (§ 369). Here the origin is completely obscure². Beside this there is found *lahimu* too (Hc. 4,386).

1. Particularly in S., as *vatṭāma* Prab. 68,8, for which the ed. P. p. 69^a reads *vasāhma*, the ed. M. p. 84,15, *vasamha*, the ed. Bomb. 137,7, *ahēvatṭahmo*. One emends *vatṭāmo* or *vasāmo*; *viraema* = *viracyāmāḥ* (Sāk. ed. BOHTLINGK 49,17; *tavarāma* (Mālatūm. 232,2 etc.).—2. Improbable is the explanation of HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. § 497 p. 335.

§ 456. The ending of the 2. plur. in M. JM. AMg. is -*ha*, in S. Mg. Ä. -*dha*, in A. -*hu* or -*ha*: *ramaha*, *paḍhaha*, *hasaha* (Vr. 7,4); *hasaha*, *vevaha*, (Hc. 3,143); *pacaha*, *saṅkaha* (Ki. 4,6); *hoha* (Mk. fol. 51); M. *na āṇaha* = *na jānātha*, *dēcchiha* = *draksyatha* (R. 3,13. 23), *taraha* (you know; H. 897); JM. *jāṇaha* (Kk. 273,44); *kuppaha* = *kupyaḥtha*, *payacchaha* (Erz. 10, 20; 15,36); AMg. *āikkhaha*, *bhāsaha*, *pannaveha* (Āyār. 1.4,2,4); *bhuñjaha* (Sūyag. 194); *vayaha* = *vadatha* (Kappas.; Ovav.; Uvās.; Nāyādh.), *ādhāha*, *parijānaha*, *aggihāyaha*, *uvāṇimanteha* (Nāyādh. § 83); S. *pēkkhadha* = *preksadhve* (Mrcch. 40,25; Sāk. 14,8), *nedha* = *nayaḥha* (Mrcch. 161,9)¹; Mg. *pēskadha* (Mrcch. 157,13; 158,2; 162,6), *pattiādha* = *pratyayadhvē* (Mrcch. 165,9); Ä. *acchadha* (Mrcch. 99,16); A. *pucchaha* and *puccahu* (Hc. 4,364. 422,9), *icchahu* and *icchaha* (Hc. 4,384), *paampaha* = *prajalpatha* (Hc. 4,422,9). Probably ^o*hu* should be read throughout. On the ending -*itthā* see § 517.—The 3. plur. ends in all the dialects in -*nti*. M. *muanti* = **mucanti*, *ruanti* = *rudanti*, *hōnti* = *bhavanti*, *dēnti* = *dayante* (Erz. 3,14. 15); AMg. *cayanti* = *tyajanti*, *thananti* = *stananti*, *labhanti* = *labhante* (Āyār. 1,6,1,2); S. *gacchanti*, *pasidanti*, *sāmcaranti* (Mrcch. 8,4; 9,1. 11); Mg. *anvesanti* = *anvesanti*, *pianti* = *pibanti* (Mrcch. 29,23; 113,21); CP. *ucchallanti*, *nipatanti* (Hc. 4,326); A. *vihasanti* = *vikasanti*, *karanti* = *kurvanti* (Hc. 4,365; 445,4). In A., however, the common ending is -*hī*, of which the origin is obscure²: *mailliahī* = *mukulayanti*, *anuharāhī* = *anuharanti*, *lahahī* = *labhante*, *pavahī* = *namanti*, *gajjahī* = *garjante*, *dharahī* = *dharanti*, *karahī* = *kurvanti*, *sahahī* = *sobhante* etc. (Hc. 4,365,1. 367,4. 5. 382). I in the

passive *ghēppahī* = *grhyante* (Erz. 158, 14). The same ending is found in AMg. *acchahīm* = *tīsthanti* (Uttar. 667)³ in the verse, and in *ādhāhīm*, *parijāñāhīm* (Vivāgas. 217; cf. § 223. 500. 510) in prose.

1. According to Hc. 4,268. 302 -*ha* too would be permissible in S. Mg. Cf. also PISCHEL, KB. 8,134 ff. — 2. Improbable is the explanation of HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. § 497 p. 337.—3. So to be read with JACOBI, SBE. 45,114, note 2. The edition has in the text and commentary *atthihīm*; the commentary explains *atthahi* (sic?) *iti tīsthātti*. Cf. *assāsi* § 461.

2. INDICATIVE OF THE ĀTMANEPADAM.

§ 457. The flexion is :

	Sing.	Plur.
1. <i>vātta</i>		is wanting
2. <i>vāttase</i>		is wanting
3. <i>vāttae</i> , JS. <i>vattade</i>		<i>vattante</i>

Cf. Vr. 7,1. 2. 5; Hc. 3,139. 140. 145; 4,274. 302. 319; Ki. 4,2. 3; Mk. fol. 50. Vr. and Hc. restrict the use of the endings -*se*, -*e* explicitly to the *a*-stems, as is mentioned by Mk. too. According to Hc. 4,274, in S. and according to 4,302, in Mg. too, the ending -*de*—*te* would be permissible with the *a*-stems. The best texts do not attest these. All the MSS., in the example quoted by Hc. from Mg., from Venīs. 35,17; 36,3, read *śunīade* = *śūṇyate* and the text has *śuniadi*. Without doubt by S. here, as in other cases (§ 21), is meant JS. Vr. 12,17 and Mk. fol. 70 forbid the ātmane pada for S. Mg. completely. It is found in isolated forms in verses and in prevalently standing expressions. Examples from the different dialects are: 1. M. *jāne* (H. 902), *na āne* (R. 3,44; Šak. 55,15); frequently in S.: *jāne* (Šak. 131,9; Mālav. 66,8; Lalitav. 564,4; Anarghar. 66,5; Uttarar. 22,13; 64,7; Viddhaś. 67,1; 96,1) and *na āne*, as we should read throughout with the v. l., in places where sometimes even now there stands in the text *na jāne* (Šak. 70,11; 123,14; Vikr. 35,5; Mālav. 30,8; 34,9; Venīs. 59,5); in AMg. too (Uttar. 512); M. *mānne* = *manyē* (G. H. [delete 546]; R.), in S. too (Mṛcch. 22,13; Mallikām. 56,1; 60,7; 74,22; 80,15; 83,5; Anarghar. 61,3; 66,10; Viddhaś. 20,6), and *anumānne* (Šak. 59,11), and in AMg. *manne* (Uttar. 571), in M. also according the l. class *māne* (H. R.; Hc. 2,207), and so is probably also the adverbially used *vāne* (Hc. 2,206), originally a l. sing. ātmane., if it be = *māne* (§ 251) or = *vane* (cf. Dhātupāṭha in B.R. s. v. *van*). S. GOLDSCHMIDT has reconstructed the word in R. 14,43 (ZDMG. 32,103) according to Hc. In Vr. 9,12 *vale* stands. AMg. *rame* (Uttar. 445); S. *lāke* = *labhē* (Vikr. 42,7), *icche* (Mṛcch. 24,21; 25,10); Mg. *vāe* = *vāmī* and *vādayāmī*, *gāe* = *gāyāmī* (Mṛcch. 79, 12. 13).—2. M. *maggase*, *jānase*, *vijjhase*, *lajjase*, *jañpase* (H. 6,181.441.634. 943), *sohase* (G. 316); AMg. *pabhāsase* = *prabhāsase*, *avabujjhase* = *avabudhyase* (Uttar. 358. 503); AMg. *īscāse* = *icchase* (Mṛcch. 123,5; P. *phaya-cchase* = *prayacchase* (Hc. 4,323).—3. M. *tanuāae*, *padicchae*, *vaccae*, *pecchae*, *dāvae*, *niacchae*, *palambae*, *andolae*, *laggae*, *parisakkae*, *vikuppae* (H. 59. 701; 140.169.397.489.507.582.855.951.967), in the passive *tīrae* = *tiryate* (H. 195.801.932), *jujjae* = *yujyate*, *jhijjae* = *kṣiyate*, *ṇivarijjae* = *nirvijyate*, *khijjae* = *kṣiyate* (H. 12. 141. 204. 362); JM. *bhuñjae* = *bhūnkte*, *nirikkhae* = *nirikṣate* (Erz. 25,30; 70,7); *cintae* (Āv. 36,25; Erz. 70,35; 74,17); *ciṭṭhae* = *tīsthate*, *viuvvae* = **vikurvate* = *vikurute* (Āv. 36,26. 27); passive *mucae* = *mucyate* (Erz. 71,7); *tīrae* = *tiryate*, *dajjhae* = *dahyate* (Dvār. 498,21. 22); AMg. *lahae*, *kilue*, *bhañjae* (Uttar. 438. 570. 789); *titikkhae* = *titikṣate*, *sāmpavevaē* = *sāmpravedate* (Āyār. 2,16,3); JS. *māṇade* = *manyate*, *bandhade* = *bandhnite*,

jājade = *jāyate*, *bhāsade* = *bhāṣate*, *bhuñjade* = *bhūñkte*, *kuvvade* = **kurvate* = *kurute* (Kattig. 399,314; 400,327. 332, 333; 403,382. 384; 404,309); passive *ādijade* (Pav. 384,60); *thuvvade* = *stūyate*, *jujjade* = *yujyate*, *sakkade* = *śakyate* (Kattig. 401,351; 403,380; 404,387); D. *jāac* = *jāyate*, *vat̄iae* = *vartate* (Mrcch. 100,3. 6). For Ś. Hc. 4,274 mentions *acchade*, *gacchade*, *ramade*, for P. 4,319 *lapate*, *acchate*, *gacchate*, *ramate*, for the passive Ś. *kijjade* = *kriyate* (4,274), P. *giyyat̄*, *tiyyate* (so to be read), *ramiyyate*, *padhiyyate* (4,315); at 4,316 stands *kīrate* = *kriyate*.—In the 1. plur. sometimes the forms, such as *kānamhe* = *kāmayāmahe*, which, however, are not attested by good manuscripts, are also found (WEBER on H. 417).—3. plur. M. *gajjante* = *garjante* (Hc. 1,187 [see the translation]; 3,142), *bihante* = **bhisante*, *upbājjante* = *utpadyante* (Hc. 3,142), *ucchāhante* = *utsāhayante* (H. 638); AMg. *uvalabhante* (Sūyag. 755), *rīyante* (Āyār. 1,8,2,16; Dasav. 613, 12), *cittbhante* = *tisthante* (Āyār. 1,8,4, 10). The examples from AMg., on the whole, and from JM. in the largest measure are from verses.

§ 458. Beside the ending *-nte*, Pkt. has also the ending *-ire*, corresponding to Vedic Skt. and Pali: *pahuppire* = **prabhatvire* (§ 286) in *dōñni vi na pahuppire bāhū* = *dvāv api na prabhavato bāhū*; *vicchuhire* = **viksubhire* (Hc. 3, 142); *haseite*, *hasaüre*, *hasire* = *hasante*; *sahuire*, *sahaüre*, *sahire* = *sahante*; *hueire*, *huaüre*, *huire*, *hoeire*, *hoaire*, *hoire* = *bhavante* (Sr. fol. 46, 47). Sr. fol. 49 teaches this ending for the optative too: *huijäire*, *huijäire*, *huejjäire*, *huejjäire* = *bhaveran* and fol. 51 for the future: *hasehiüre*, *hasihüre* = *hasisyante*. According to Hc. 3, 142 *-ire* is used in the 3. sing. too: *süsäire gäma-cikkhallo* = *śusyati grāmacikkhalah*. Triv. 2, 2, 4, who gives as examples *süsäire tāna tāriso kantho* = *śusyati tāsām tādrśah kanthah*, teaches the same.

1. E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 94; E. MÜLLER, Simplified Grammar p. 97; WINDISCH, Über die Verbalformen mit dem Charakter *r* im Arischen Italischen und Celtischen, Leipzig, 1887 (AKSGW. X, No. vi), where further literature is also provided. Cf. particularly p. 32 f. of the SA. 478 f.

3. OPTATIVE

§ 459. The optative is extraordinarily frequent in AMg. and JM., more seldom in M. and only isolated in the other dialects. Its manner of formation is a two-fold one. In M. AMg. JM. the flexion is usual, that P. too has, but in Mg. A. it is sporadic.

Sing.	Plur.
1. <i>vattējjā, vattējja, vattējjāni</i>	<i>vattējjāma</i>
2. <i>vattējjāsi, vattējjāsi, vattējjāhi, vattējjahī,</i> <i>vattējjāsu, vattējjasū, vattējjā.</i>	<i>vattējjāha, vattējjahā</i>
3. <i>vattējjā, vattējjā, [vattējjā]</i>	<i>vattējjā, vattējjā</i>

These dialects, AMg. JM. especially in verses, JS. almost always, S. exclusively, Mg. A. sporadically, have beside these the flexion:

1. Š. *vattēam*, *vattē* is wanting
 2. AMg. A. *vattē*, A. *vatti* is wanting
 3. AMg. JM. JS. Š. Mg. *vattē* AMg. Š. *vattē*

That the two kinds of formation go back to the optative of the first conjugation in *-eyam*, as assumed by JACOBI¹, is phonetically impossible. It follows clearly that the 1. sing. in *-e* has followed the analogy of the 2. and 3. sing., a form, that is used as 3. plur. too. The forms in *-ejjā* and *-ejja* become hence closely fitting. The lengthening of the final vowel is the original. The

shortening is found in prose only before enclitics, as: *āgacchejjā* *vā ciṭṭhejjā* *vā nisīejjā* *vā tuyatējjā* *vāullānghējjā* *vā palaṅghējjā* *vā* = *āgacched* *vā tiṣṭhed* *vā* *nīśidē* *vā śayita* *vā ullānghed* *vā pralaṅghed* *vā* (Ovav. § 150; cf. Vivāhap. 116; Āyār. 1,7,2,1; other examples in Āyār. 2,2,1,8; 2,3,2,7 etc.), beside with the lengthening, as *avaharejjā* *vā vikkhirejjā* *vā bhindhejjā* *vā acchindējjā* *vā paritthavejjā* *vā* = *apahared* *vā viṣkired* *vā bhindyād* *vā acchindyād* *vā pariṣṭhāpayed* *vā* (Uvās. § 200), elsewhere in verses only, as always in M. One compares AMg. *kujjā* = *kuryāt* (§ 464), *dējjā* = *deyāt*, *hojjā* = *bhūyāt* (§ 466), thus it is clear that even *kuvvejjā* presupposes one **kurvyāt*, *karejjā* one **karyāt* and *havejjā* one **bhavyāt*, that is to say the optative in -*ejjā* goes back to the optative formed with -*yā-* of the second conjugation². For *ē*, very often the MSS. give *i*, which is not possible to be explained according to § 84, since the development has resulted from the 1. sing., hence -*eya*- was by no means originally existent in the form. Rather *ē*, according to § 119, has originated from *i*, that is a separation-vowel: AMg. *bhuñjejjā* = **bhuñiyāt*, *bhuñyāt*, *karejjā* = **karyāt* = **karyāt*, likewise *jāñijjā* *jāñejjā* = *jāniyāt*. The first conjugation might have excercised its influence in the prevalent *e*-colouring. It is only in this manner that *ā* and the reduplication of *j* are explained³. On remnant of the old flexion of the second conjugation and the precative see § 464. 465. 466.

1. KZ.36,577.—2. Whether one will derive *karyāt* from the present stem *kar* with JACOBI or take it as = precative *kriyāt* with PISCHEL, KZ. 35,143, it is equally valid for the explanation. That I exactly meant, as JACOBI, is shown by the affix of the passive **karya'te* KZ. 35,141, what JACOBI has overlooked. The question is only about the equation *karijjai*: *kriyātē*=*karejjā*: *kriyāt* (KZ. 35,143).—3. PISCHEL, KZ. 35,142 ff.

§ 460. Singular: 1. AMg. *āosejjā* *vā hanējjā* *vā bandhejjā* *vā mahējjā* *vā tajjejjā* *vā tālejjā* *vā nicchodejjā* *vā nibbhacchejjā* *vā...varovejjā* = *ākrośeyam* *vā hanyām* *vā badhnyām* *vā* (*mathnyām* *vā*) *tarjayeyam* *vā tādayeyam* *vā nīśchoṭayeyam* *vā nirbhartsayeyam* *vā...vyaparopeyam* (Uvās. § 200), *pāsijjā* = *paśyeyam* (Nirayāv. § 3), *muccējjā* = *mucyeya* (passive; Uttar. 624), *aīvāejjā*, *aīvājāvejjā* = *atipātayeyam*, *samanujānejjā* = *samanujāniyām* (Hc. 3,177); JM. *langhejjā* (Āv. 8,18); M. *kuppejjā* = *kupyeyam* (H. 17); S. *bhaveam* (Vikr. 40,21; Pārvatīp. 29,9) and *bhave* (Śak. 65,10; Mālav. 67,10) = *bhaveyam*, *pahave* = *prabhavyam* (Śak. 25,1), *laheam* (Śak. 13,9; 30,9; Pārvatīp. 27,16; 29,8) and *lahe* (Mudrār. 38,2; cf. Vikr. 42,7?) = *labheya*, *jiveam* = *jiveyam* (Mālav. 55,11), *kuppe* = *kupheyam* (Mālav. 67,10)¹. Seldom -*mi* is the ending of the primary tense : M. *nejjāmi* = *nayeyam* (R. 3,55); AMg. *karejjāmi* = *kuryām* (Vivāhap. 1281).—2. In the 2. sing. the form ends in -*ejjā*, seldom in -*ējjā*: AMg. *udāhārijjā* = *udāhareh* (Sūyag. 932); *uvadāmsejjā* = *upadarseyeh* (Āyār. 1,5,5,4); *vīṇaejjā* = *vinayeh* (Dasav. 613,27). In AMg. the ending -*si* comes in generally: *payāejjāsi* = *prajāyethāh* (Nāyādb. 420); *nivedijjāsi* = *nivedayeh* (Ovav. § 21); *samanuvāsējjāsi* = *samanuvāsayeh*, *uvalimpijjāsi* = *upalimpeh*, *parakkamejjāsi* = *parākrāmeh* (Āyār. 1,2,1,5; 4,4; 5,3; 6,2 etc.); *vattējjāsi* = *vartethāh* (Uvās. § 200).—Beside that stands the form in -*e* : *dāve* = *dāpayeh*, *padigāhe* = *pratigrāhayeh* (Kappas. S. § 14–16), almost always in verses only: *gacche* = *gaccheh* (Sūyag. 178); *pamājāe* = *pramādayeh*, *āie* = **ādriyeh* = *ādriyethāh*, *sāmbhare* = *sāṁsmareh* (cf. § 267.313), *care* = *careh* (Uttar. 310 ff. 322. 440. 504). Sometimes the form in -*ejjāsi* is used in place of that in -*e* or in -*ējjā* at the end of verses corresponding to the paragraph in prose against the metre². Thus *āmo'kkhāe*, *parivvaējjāsi* metrically false for *parivvaē* (Sūyag. 99. 200. 216); *ārambham ca susamoude carejjāsi*, metrically false for *care* (Sūyag. 117); *no pāṇīyam pāṇē samārabhejjāsi*, metrically false for *samarābhejjā* (Āyār. 1,3,2,3). Cf. besides in prose: Āyār. 1,2,1,5; 4,4; 5,3; 6,2; 1,3,1,4; 1,4,1, 3; 3,3; 1,5,2,5; 4,5; 6,1 etc. The

2. sing. in *-ējjāsi* occurs in JM. too: *vilaggejjāsi* = **vilazyeh* (Erz. 29,12), *āhanējjāsi* (Āv. 11,1), *vattējjāsi* (Āv. 11,11), *pēcchejjāsi* (Āv. 23,18).

1. PISCHEL, Die Recensionen der Çakuntalā p. 22 f.; BOLLENSEN on Mālav. p. 228.
—2. JACOBI, who has not recognized the forms in *-ējjāsi* in his edition of Āyār., thinks that *si* is to be separated and may stand for *se=asau* (SBE. 22,17, note 1). The scholars give the correct direction.

§ 461. Besides *-ējjāsi* there occurs in AMg. also *-ējjasi*: *-āsējjasi* = *ākrośeh*, *haṇējjasi* = *hanyāh*, *vavarovējjasi* = *vyaparopoyeh* (Uvās. § 200). Moreover, the 2. sing. has the endings of the imperative *-hi* and in M.JM. A. especially *-su* (§ 467), before which the vowel is either short or long: M. *hasejjahi* = *haseh* (Hc. 3,175; Sr. fol. 50); AMg. *vandejjāhi* = *vandethāh*, *pajjuvāsējjāhi* = *paryupāsīthāh*, *uvanimanterejjāhi* = *upanimantrayeh* (Uvās. 187); JM *vaccejjasu* = *vrajeh* (Āv. 25,20), *bhaṇējjasu* = *bhaneh* (Āv. 25,31. 43); M. JM. *karejjasu* (H. 154. 181. 634; Erz. 81,10), JM. *karejjasu* (Sagara 7,5), M. *kunijjāsu* (Sukasaptati 48,4) = *kuryāh*, A. *karijjasu* (Piṅgala 1,39. 41. 95. 144 etc.); JM. *sāhijjāsu* = *sādhaya* in the sense of *kathaya* (Kk. 272,19); M. *galijjāsu* = *galeh*, *pamhasijjāsu* = *prasmareh*, *pariharijjāsu* = *parihareh* (H. 103. 348. 521); A. *salahijjāsu* = *slāghasva*, *bharijjāsu* = *bhaṇa*, *thavijjāsu* = *sthāpayā* (Piṅgala 1,95. 109. 144). Since in A. the passive is used in the sense of the active too, many of these forms may be taken also as the imperative of the passive, as *munijjāsu* beside *muniāsu* (§ 467), *dijjasu* (§ 466) on account of *i* by the side of *dejjahi*. A critical edition of the Piṅgala may same day make the disclosure whether *i* or *ē* is to be read. The forms in *-ē*, *-i* in A., indicated by Hc. 4,387 as of the imperative, likewise are of the optative: *kare* = *kare* = **kareh* = *kuryāh* (Hc. 4,387) and thence *kari* (Prabandhac. 63,7; Sukasaptati 49,4) according to § 85. So: A. *viāri* = *vicārayeh*, *thavi* = *sthāpayeh*, *dhari* = *dhārayeh*, properly = **vicāreh*, **sthāpeh*, **dhāreh*, (Piṅgala 1,68. 71. 72); *joi* = **dyoteh* = *paśya* (Hc. 4, 364. 368), *runujhuṇi*, *roi* = **rodeh* = *rudyāh*, *cari* = *careh*, *melli* in the sense of *tyajeh*, *kari* = **kareh* = *kuryāh*, *kahi* = **katheh* = *kathayeh* (Hc. 4,368. 387, 1. 3. 422,14). The same formation is found in AMg. in the verse in *assāsi* (text *asāsi*, correctly in the commentary): *evam assāsi appānam* (Uttar. 113), expained by the commentator with *evam ātmānam āsvāsayu*. Cf. also *acchāhim*, *ādhāhim*, *parijānāhim* (§ 456). So is explained also *punde* = *vraja* (Deśin. 6,52) as optative. Cf. also *puda* *utsarge* Dhātupāṭha 28,90. *hasejjie* = *haseh*, that is taught by Hc. 3,175 and Sr. fol. 50 as the imperative, is a double formation with the optative character of both the conjugation. Sr. teaches also *hasejjahi*, *hasejjasu*, *hasejje*.

§ 462. 3. sing. PG. *kareyya*, *kāravējjā* (6,40); M. *jivējjā* = *jivet* (H. 588), *paavējjā* = *pratapet*, *dharejjā* = *dhriyeta*, *viharejja* = *viharet*, *namējjā* = *namet* (R. 4,28; 5,4; 8,4); JM. *vivajjējjā* = *vitpadyeta*, *nirakkhijjā* = *nirik-seta*, *sakkējjā* = *sakyet* (Erz. 43,22; 49,35; 79,1), *aikkamijjā* = *atikrāmet* (Kk. 271,7); AMg. *kuppējjā* = *kupyet*, *pariharejjā* = *pariharet* (Āyār. 1,2, 4,4; 5,3), *karejjā* = **karyāt* = *kuryāt* (Āyār. 2,5,2,2. 4. 5; Panṇav. 573; Viyāhap. 57. 1524. 1549 ff.), *karejja* (Āyār. 2,2,2,1), *labhējjā* = *labheta* (Kappas. S. § 18), passive: *ghēppējjā* = *grhyeta* (Panḥāv. 400); in verses often with a short final vowel: *rakkhējjā* = *rakṣet*, *vinaejjā* = *vinayet*, *sevējjā* = *seveta*, passive: *muccejjā* = *mucyeta* (Uttar. 198. 199. 247); P. *huvēyya* = *bhavet* (Hc. 4,320.323); A. *caējjā* = *tyajet*, *bhamējjā* = *bhrāmet* (Hc. 4,418,6). Sr. fol. 51 gives also *hasejjai*. Beside those in *-ējjā*, *-ējjā* AMg. JM. often have the forms in *-e* = *-et*: *gijjhe* = *grdhyet*, *harise* = *harṣet*, *kujjhe* = *krudhyet*

(Āyār. 1,2,3,1.2), *kine, kināvæ* = *krīñet, *krīñāpayet (Āyār. 1,2,5,3), especially in verses: *care* = *caret* (Āyār. 1,2,3,4; Uttar. 190. 567), *citthe* = *tiśhet*, *uvacitthe* = *upatiśhet* (Uttar. 29. 30) beside *uvacitthejjā, citthejjā* (Uttar. 34. 35), *labhe* = *labheta* (Uttar. 180); sometimes both the forms occur in the same verse: *acchim pi no pamajjīyā no vi ja kanduyae munī gōjam = aksy api no pramārjayen no api ca kanduyayen munir gātram* (Āyār. 1,8,1,19); JM. *parikkhae* = *parikṣeta*, *dahe* = *dahet*, *vināsae* = *vināśayet* (Erz. 31,21; 38,18). In S. Mg. is found only -e: S. very frequently *bhave* = *bhavet* (Mṛcch. 2,23; 51,23; 52,13; Śak. 20,3.4; 50,3; 53,4; Vikr. 9,3; 23, 5, 16 etc.), *pūrae* = *pūrayet* (Mālav. 73,18), *uddhare* = *uddharet* (Vikr. 6, 16)¹; Mg. *bhave* = *bhavet* (Mṛcch. 164,6; 170,18. 19), *mūše* = *mūset*, *khayye* = **khādyet* = *khādet* (Mṛcch. 119,16. 17)². With the exception of *hojjā* (§ 466) JM. too hitherto has the only opt. in -e: *have* = *bhavet* (Pav. 387, 25; Kattig. 398,302. 309. 312. 315; 400,336; 401,338. 343. 345 ff. etc.), *nāsae* = *nāśayet* (Kattig. 401,341).

1. So we should read for *uddharedi* with the v.l., ed. Calc. 1830, LENZ, Sh.P. PANPIT (6,7 *sav udhdare*), since *avi nāma* is joined with the optative only (Śak. 13,9; Vikr. 13, 18; 40,21; Mālav. 44,1; Mahāv. 17,9; Mālatīm. 56,2; 289,4; Mg. Mṛcch. 170,18) or future (Mālatīm. 74,3; 100,1; 284,9) when a wish will have to be expressed. The indicative (Venīs. 58,7) and imperative (Mg.; Mṛcch. 114,16) denote an interrogation.— 2. Cf. Mṛcch. 121,3, where for *khajje* we should read *khayyedi* beside *mūṣedi*.

§ 463. The 1. plur. occurs only in PG. *karejjyāma* (7,41). For JM. JACOBI (Erz. XLVII) suggests (*pucchējjāmo*) and (*kahejjāmo*). Forms such as *rakkhemō* (Erz. 52,15) are not optative (JACOBI, Erz. s.v. *rakkhai*), but indicative (§ 472). In the 2. plur. as in the 2. sing. the ending of the imp. enters: AMg. *bhavejjāha* = *bhaveta* (Nāyādh. 912.915.918.920), *viharejjāha* = *vihareta* (915. 918), *gacchejjāha* = *gaccheta* (916. 918), *citthējjāha* = *tiśheta*, *uvagacchejjāha* = *upāgaccheta* (921); JM. *pāejjāha* = *pāyayeta* (Erz. 38,1), and with a': *khamējjaha* = *kṣamedhvam*, *dhoējjaha* = *dhaukedhvam*, *duhējjaha* = = *duhyāta* (Erz. 25,26; 26,16; 37,37), *kahejjaha* = *kathayeta* (Av. 47,23), *bharijjaha* = **bhareta* (may you fill; KK. 265,10); D. *karejjāha* (Mṛcch. 99,24); A. *rakkhejjahu* (Hc. 4,350,2). — 3. plur. AMg. *āgacchejjā* (Thān. 125: *loganti yadevā...āo*); S. *bhave* = *bhaveyah* (Vikr. 26,2; *akkharā...visajjida bhave*; Ranganātha: *bhave ity atra bahuvacana ekavacanām ca*); AMg. *manne* = *manyeran* (Sūyag. 575. 576. 578; *jahā naṁ ee purisā* [text purise] manne; uncertain, since beforehand 575 *jahā naṁ esa purise manne*), *samabhiloe* = *samabhilosayeh* (Vivāhap. 929; *te pecchāgā tam natṭiyām...samabhiloe* tti | *hanta bhante samabhiloe*).

§ 464. The old formation of the optative of the 2. conjugation has been retained in a few verbs in AMg. JM. So particularly the very frequent AMg. *sijā* = *syāt* (e.g. Āyār. 1,1,2,2; 6,3; Vivāhap. 39.40. 146f. etc.; Kappas.), also *asiyā* = *na syāt* (Āyār. 1,5,5,2); AMg. *kujjā* = *kuryāt* (e. g. Āyār. 1,2,6,1; Uttar. 28. 29. 198; Dasav. 613,15; Kappas. etc.), also in *pāukujjā* = *prāduṣkuryāt* (Sūyag. 474); AMg. *būyā* = *brūyāt* (e. g. Āyār. 1,4,2,6; 1,5,5,3), especially frequent in the combination *kevali būyā* (Āyār. p. 72.77 f. 132 ff.); besides AMg. *hanijā* = *hanyāt* (Āyār. 1,3,2,3), found sporadically in verses, beside *hanijjā* (Jiv. 295; Uttar. 198), *haṇējjā* (Panhāv. 396. 397), JM. *āhaṇējjāsi* (Av. 11,1) and AMg. *haṇē* (Āyār. 1,2, 6,5; 1,3,2,3). A 2. sing. with the ending -hi of the imperative is AMg. *ejjāhi* = *ejāh* (Āyār. 2,5,1,10; Erz. 29,5).

§ 465. An old optative is the hitherto obscure Pāli, AMg. JM. *sakkā*. CHILDERS¹ wished to take it as past passive participle = *śakta*,

which became an indeclinable, FISCHEL² considered it as a shortened dative sing., and FRANKE³, with whom JOHANSSON agrees, thought it to be the nom. sing. fem., which became the nom. plur. neut. It is strictly = Vedic *śakyāt* and is appropriately used as the opt. in the earliest writings. So: AMg. *na sakkā na souṁ saddā sojavisayām āgajā* “the sounds, that have come within the reach of hearing cannot be not heard” (Āyār. p. 136,14); *na sakkā rūvam adatthum cakkuvisayām āgajām* “one cannot not see a form that has come within the range of eye-sight” (Āyār. p. 136, 22; cf. p. 136,31; 137,7, 18); *egassa dō̄nha tīpha va samkhējjāna va pāsiṣum sakkā disanti sarirāim nioyajivān’ anantānam* “one can see one, two or three or numerable (*nioyā*- being), they see bodies of unending number of many *nioyā*- being”; *kim sakkā kām je jam nēccchaha osahā muhā pāum* “what can one do when you do not like to drink medicine in vain” (Panḥāv. 329; cf. Dasav. N. 644,28). Cf. also Nāyādh. § 87. JM. *kim sakkā kām* “what can one do” (Āv. 30,10); *na sakkā eṇa uvāenam* “it cannot be done in this manner” (Āv. 35,11); *na jā sakkā pāum so vā anne vā* “and neither he nor others can drink” (Āv. 42,8; cf. 42,28 *na vi appaṇo pīvai na vi annaṇ saktei jūham pāum*). In consequence of the phonetic resemblance with *sakkai*=*śakyate* the infinitive is later used in the passive sense. So : *no khalu se sakkā kenai subāhueṇa vi uraṇ ureṇam ginhītae* “he could not be caught breast to breast even by a very strong person” (Vivāgas. 127); *no khalu se sakkā kenai ... nigganīhāo pāvayaṇo cālītae vā khobhītae vā vi pāriṇāmittae vā* “he could not by anybody be staggered or made waver from or made disloyal to his faith in Jainism (Uvās. § 113), and the same change with the first pers. sing., as in the other opt. in -ā, in *no khalu aham sakkā...cālītae...* (Nāyādh. 765. 770). Cf. still Uvās. § 119. 174; Dasav. 636,25. Manifestly an exactly similar development takes place in the case of AMg. *cakkiyā*, about whose optative character there cannot be any doubt. So: *eyāmsi yañ bhante dhammatthikāyāmsi...cakkiyā kei āsītae vā ciṭṭītae vā...* “can, O Lord, anybody sit or stand in this religious organisation?” (Vivāhap. 513; cf. 1119.1120.1346.1389); *erāvai kuṇālāe jattha cakkiyā siyā egam pāyām jale kiccā egam pāyām thale kiccā evam cakkiā* “when there (is a stream) like the Airāvati in Kuṇālā, where he (can go across), he should go across it with one foot in the water and one foot on the dry land” (Kappas. S. §12; cf. §13). *cakkiyā* standing for **cakiyā*=**cakyāt* according to §195 belongs to M. *caāi* (can; is capable; Vr. 8,70 [so to read for *vaa*]; Hc. 4,86; Ki. 4,60; R.)=**cakati*, to which Aśoka *caghati* for **cakhati* with aspiration belongs according to § 206⁵. I derive *caāi*=**takati* from *taki sahane* (Dhātupātha 5,2; cf. Patañjali on Pānini 3,1,97, ed. KIELHORN 2,82) with palatal for dental according to § 216.—Accordingly Pāli AMg. *labbhā*=**labhyāt*, like AMg. *savve pānā...na bhaṭṭadukkham ca kiñci labbhā pāveum*, “may no creature experience the least fear and grief” (Panḥāv. 363; Abhayadeva : *labhyā yogyo* [sic; read *yogyāh*]); *na tāim samareṇa labbhā datthum na kaheum na vi jā sumareum* “a mendicant should not see it, should not talk about it and should not even think about it” (Panḥāv. 466; Abhayadeva; *labbha tti labhyāni ucitāni*); *dugumchāvatti�ā vi labbhā uppāeum* (ed. *uppāteu*; Panḥāv. 526; Abhayadeva: *labhyā ucitā yogyety urthah*), for which at 537 f. stands: *na dugumchāvatti�ā avvam labbhā uppāeum* “he should not excite the feeling of abhorrence”.

1. Dictionary s. v. *sakko* p. 420.—2. Ved. Stud. 1,328.—3. BB. 17,256.—4. BB. 20,91.—5. MORRIS, Journal of the Pāli Text Society 1891—3, p. 28 ff., who at p. 30 wrongly maintains that I had wrongly equated, under Hc. 4,86, *caāi*=*tyajati*, where I have merely translated Hc. and have expressly separated *caāi* from its other synonyms.

Cf. also KERN, Jaartelling p. 96. Wrong GRIERSON, Academy 1890, No. 964, p. 369. WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. p. xx, note 9 compares Greek τέχνη.

§ 466. Of the precative too, which is used in the sense of the optative, only scanty remnants have been retained, prevalently in AMg. and JM. So PG. *hoja* (7,48); M. *hōjjā* (R. 3,32; 11,27. 28. 120); AMg. JM. *hōjjā, hōjjā = bhūyāt* (Thān. 98; Vivāhap. 729 ff.; Dasav. 620,27. 28; 621,36; Erz. 35,18; 37,37; 70,14). The form is in JM. also 1. sing.: *cakkavatī hōjjāham* (Erz. 4,28) and in AMg. JM. 3. plur.: *savve vi tāva hōjjā kohovaūttā, lobhovaūttā = sarve 'pi tāvad bhūyāsuh krodhopayuktāh, lobhōpayuktāh* (Vivāhap. 84 [where text *hōjjā*; cf. WEBER, Bhag. 1,430]. 92. 109); *kevāyā hōjjā = kiyanto bhūyāsuh* (Vivāhap. 734. 738; cf. 753 ff.); JM. *kiha dhūjāo suhiyāo hōjjā = kathām duhitaraḥ sukhita bhūyāsuh* (Āv. 10, 23; cf. 12,2). AMg. JM., however, form the 1. sing. also *hōjjāmi* (Dasav. 621,43; Erz. 29,19), JM. the 2. sing *hōjjāsi* (Erz. 29,14; 37,9), *hōjjāhi* (Āv. 10,42) and *hōjjasu* (Erz. 23,4), as in the optative of the present. AMg. has also *hōjjāi* (Vivāhap. 1042) and a participle *hōjjamāna* in the sense of the present (Vivāhap. 733 ff.; 1736 ff.; Pannav. 521). JS. *hōjjā* (Pav. 385,69; text *hōjjam*). In places where *hōjjā* is found in S. (Mallikām. 84,1; 87,5; 109,4; 114,14; 156,20) it is against the dialect. AMg. *dējjā=dēyāt* (Āyār. 2,1,2,4; 11,5), in addition to which JM. has the 2. sing. *dējjā* (Āv. 12,6), *dējjāsi* (Erz. 37,9), A. has *dējjahi* (Hc. 4,383,3), *dijjasu* (Piṅgala 1,36. 121; 2,119; cf. § 461), JM. has the 2. plur. *dējjaha* (Erz. 61,27). AMg. *samdhējjā = samdhēyāt* (Sūyag. 223), *ahitihējjā = adhitheyāt* (Thān. 368), *pahējjā = prahēyāt* (Uttar. 199). Perhaps also A. *kijjasu = kriyāt*, in case it is not considered rather as the imperative of the passive (§ 461. 467. 547. 550). The grammarians (Vr. 7,21; Hc. 3,165. 178; Ki 4,29. 30; Sr. fol. 48) teach, besides *hōjjā* and *hōjjā*, also the forms *hōjjai*, *hōjjāi*, *hōjjāu*, *hōjjāu*, *hōjjasi*, *hōjjāsi*, Ki. 4,29 also *hōjjāia*, *hōjjāīa*, Sr. also *hōjjā*, *hoejjā*, *huejjā*, *huejjā*, *hujja*, *hujjā*, *hujjāre*, *hujjāire*, *huejjāre*, *huejjāire* (§ 458), the forms that are found partly, in the text only, and according to Hc. 3,177; Sr. fol. 49 there stand *hōjjā* and *hōjjā* in the sense of the ind., opt., imp. and imperf. pres., of the aor., perf. prec., future I and II, and of the conditionalis. Cf. with this KEILHORN, IA. xvii, p. 135; Inscriptions Sanskrītes du Combodge, Index, p. [625] 445, column 2. I owe this reference to KIELHORN. Thus in fact there stands AMg. *dējjā* in the sense of *adāt* (Uttar. 621), and *būjā* in the combination *kevalī būjā* (§ 464) in the sense of *braviti* or *abratit*, and through this it is apparent, so inexplicable it seems, that even AMg. *care* (Uttar. 532. 549. 552), *pahane* (Uttar. 561), *udāhare* (Uttar. 674) and *pucche* (Vivāhap. 149. 150; Rāmacandra = *prastavān*, the forms that undoubtedly stand in the preterite, in addition to *acchia*, *geṇhīa*, *daliddāīa*, *marīa*, *hasīa*, *huvīa*, *dehīa* mentioned by the grammarians in the sense of the imperf., aorist and perfect (Vr. 7,23; Hc. 3,163; Ki. 4,22. 23. 25; Mk. fol. 52) are optative of the present, *kāhīa*, *thāhīa*, *hohīa* (Vr. 7,24; Hc. 3,162; Ki. 4,23. 24; Mk. fol. 51) are optative of the aorist. What is right has already been substantially noticed by LASSEN (Instit. p. 353 ff.), who liked to explain the forms in -īa as in the precative. AMg. *acche*, *abhe* (Āyār. 1,1,2,5), which stand in the sense of the optative = *āchindīyāt*, *ābhindīyāt*, reversely may be old aorist, which are regulated by Vedic *chedma* and *abhet*. The explanation as the 3. sing. imperf. or aorist¹ leaves the form as obscure as the explanation as the optative the meaning².

1. WEBER, Bhag 1,430, and according to him E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 60; JACOBI, Āyār. p. xii, both of whom, according to WEBER, give *kare*, although, according to Bhag. 2,301, it is clearly an error for *kareṇti* (MS. *kareti*); the edition reads at p. 173 *kareṇī*.—2. In the sense of preterite stands *prabīyāt* (Hastyāyurveda 2,60,2); at the parallel places stands *provāca* or *abratit*.

4. IMPERATIVE.

§ 467. The paradigm is:

Sing.

1. [vaṭṭāmu, vaṭṭamu]

2. vaṭṭa, vaṭṭasu, vaṭṭesu, vaṭṭehi, AMg.
also vaṭṭāhi, A. vaṭṭu, vaṭṭāhi

3. vaṭṭāū, Š. Mg. Dh. vaṭṭadu

Plur.

AMg. JM. vaṭṭāmo; M. Š. Mg.
Dh., also JM. vaṭṭamha, vaṭṭeṁha
vaṭṭaha; Š. Mg. [Dh] vaṭṭadha,
vaṭṭedha; A. vaṭṭahu, vaṭṭehu; CP.
vaṭṭatha

vaṭṭantu, A. also vaṭṭāhi

The 1. sing. is traceable only in the grammarians, who mention *hasāmu*, *pēcchāmu* (Hc. 3,173), *hasamu* (Bh. 7,18; Ki. 4,26; Sr. fol. 51) as examples. As regards the correctness of this form there is so little to be doubted, as the 2. sing. in *-su* is used all the dialects, especially in M., as also in the optative (§ 461). This form has hitherto been considered to be in the ātmanepada and the ending *-su*, we have been equating as = Skt. *-sva*, hence *rakkhasu* = *rakṣasva*¹. The circumstance, that this ending is found also with the verbs, that are never conjugated in the ātmanepada in Skt. and further that it frequently occurs in Š. Mg., that otherwise use ātmanepadam, shows little that this is wrong. The endings *-mu*, *-su*, *-u* correspond to the endings *-mi*, *-si*, *-i* of the indicative. M. *viramasu* = *virama*, *rajasu* = *rajyasa* (H. 149), *rakkhasu* = *rakṣa* (H. 297), *parirakkhasu* = *parirakṣa* (R. 6,15), *osarasu* = *apasara* (H. 451); M. JM. Š. *karesu* = *kuru* (H. 48; Sagara 3,12; Kk. 273,41; Ratn. 299,5; 316,6; 328,24; Karnas. 21,7; 30,5; 37,20; Venīs. 98,15; Pras. 84,9 etc.); M. *anunesu* = *anunaya* (H. 152. 946); Š. *ānesu* = *ānaya* (Šak. 125,8²; Karnas. 51,17), *avānesu* = *apanaya* (Viddhāś. 48,10); M. AMg. JM. Š. *bhuñjasu* = *bhūngāhi* (H. 316; Uttar. 369; Āv. 12,14; Mrch. 70,12); AMg. *jāsu* = *yāhi* (Sūyag. 177); AMg. *kahasu* (Uttar. 700. 703), Š. *kadhesu* (Bālar. 53,12; 164,17; 218,16; Karnas. 37,7. 12) = *kathaya*, AMg. *saddahasu* = *śraddhehi* (Sūyag. 151); JM. *khamasu* = *ksamava* (Sagara 3,12; Dvār. 497,13), *varasu* = *vṛṇīsva* (Sagara 1,15), *sarasu* = *smara* (Āv. 7,34); M. JM. *kunasu* = *kuru* (H. 607. 771; Sagara 6, 2. 11. 12; Kk. 266, 16; 274, 27); Mg. *lahkaśu* = *rakṣa* (Candak. 69,1), *āgaśceśu* (Mrch. 116,5) = *āgaccha*, *dēśu* Prab. 58,8; B. *dessu*, P. M. Bb. *dehi*), *dikkasu* (Prab. 58,18; B. *dikkhassu*, P. *dikkhassa*, M. *dikkhehi*, Bb. *dikkhaṣa*) = *dikṣaya*, *dhāleśu* (Prab. 60,10; B. *dhālēśsu*, P. Bb. *dhālesu*, M. *dāvaa*) = *dhāraya*; A. *kijjasu* = *kuru* (passive in the sense of the active § 550; Piṅgala 1,39; 2,119. 120), *muniāsu*, metrically for *muniāsu*, passive of *mun* (§ 489) in the sense of the active (Piṅgala 1,111,112) beside *muniijjasu* (2,119), *bujjhasu* = *budhyasva* (Piṅgala 2,120). In Š. in the texts we find, more than once, ātmanepada forms in *-ssa*, as *uvālāhassa* (Šak. 11,4), *avalambassa* (Šak. 119,13; 133,8), *pēkkhassa* (Prab. 56,14), *padivajjassa* (Venīs. 72,19), also *parirambhasu* (Viddhāś. 128, 6), and many others in Indian editions. We should see in them examples of Sanskritisms, that are to be removed from the texts. The v.l. has mostly the correct reading. In AMg. the imp. in *-su* is traceable only in verses.

1. LASSEN, Inst. p. 179. 338; WEBER, Hāla¹ p. 61; JACOBI, Erz. § 54; BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p. 43.—2. So to be read with R; cf. BLOCH l. c. p. 43.

§ 468. If the stem ends in a short vowel, as a rule, as in Skt., it is used as the 2. sing. imp.; if it ends in a long vowel, the ending *-hi* enters in. In AMg. mostly and in M. JM. Mg. sometimes, the *a*-stems take the ending *-hi*, before which *a* is lengthened. A. too has this formation frequently; here,

however, *ā* is again shortened. In S. Mg. the ending *-āhi* appears beside *-a* in the case of the roots of the ninth conjugation and those formed on their analogy, in connection with the 3. sing. in *-ādu*. In Dh. and A. final *a* becomes *u* (§ 106): M. AMg. JM. S. Mg. *bhāra*, A. *bhanu* (H. 163, 400; Nāyādh. 260; Āv. 15,3; Śak. 50,9; 114,5; Piṅgala 1,62; Hc. 4,401,4), but D. S. Mg. also *bhanāhi* (D. Mṛcch. 100,4; on S. Mg. see § 514), A. *bhanāhi* (Vikr. 63,4); Ā. *cīṭha* = *tīṣṭha*, *ehi*, *vāhehi* (Mṛcch. 99,18. 20; 100, 18); AMg. S. *gaccha* (Uvās. § 58. 259; Lalitav. 561,15; Śak. 18,2; Mṛcch. 38,22; 58,2), Mg. *gaśca* (Mṛcch. 38,22; 79,14), but AMg. also *gacchāhi* (Uvās. § 204); M. JM. *pēccha* (H. 725; Āv. 18,12); S. D. *pēkkha* (Śak. 58,7; Mṛcch. 17,20; 42,2; D. 100,14), Mg. *pēska* (Mṛcch. 12,16; 13,6; 21, 15), A. *pēkkhu* (Hc. 4,419,6) and *pēkkhāhi* (Piṅgala 1,61); M. S. *hasa* (H. 818; Nāgān. 33,5), Mg. *haśa* (Mṛcch. 21,4); Mg. *piva* = *piba* (Prab. 60,9) and *pivāhi* (Venīs. 34,2. 15), *palittāhi* = *paritrāyasva* (Mṛcch. 175, 22; 176,5. 10); M. *rūa* (H. 895) beside *ruehi* (784) and *ruasu* (143. 885. 909), S. *roda* (Mṛcch. 95,15; Nāgān. 24,8. 12) = *rudihi*; AMg. *vigīñca* = **virkṇtya* = *virkṇta* (Āyār. 1,2,4,3; Uttar. 170), *jānāhi* = *jānihi* (Āyār. 1,2,1,5), *bujjhāhi* = *budhyasva*, *vasāhi* = *vasa*, *harāhi* = *hara*, *vandāhi* = *vandasva*, *akkamāhi* = *ākrāma* (Kappas. § 111. 114; Ovav. § 53; Uvās. § 58. 204; Nirayāv. § 22); JM. *viharāhi* = *vihara* (Āv. 11,6); M. JM. AMg. S. *karehi* (H. 225. 900; Āv. 11,4; Kk. s v. *kar*; Ovav. § 40; Mṛcch. 66,14; 325,18; 326,10; Śak. 78,14; 153,13), Mg. *kalehi* (Mṛcch. 31,8; 123,10; 176,5); A. *karāhi*, *karahi* (Piṅgala 1,149; Hc. 4,385) and *karu* (Hc. 4,330, 2); D. *oṇāmehi* = *avanāmaya* (Mṛcch. 102,2); AMg. *paḍikappehi* = *prati-kalpaya*, *sāmnāhehi* = *sāmnāhaya*, *uvaṭṭhāvehi* = *upasthāpaya*, *kāravehi* = *kāraya* (Ovav. § 40), *roehi* = *rocaya* (Vivāhap. 134); JM. *pucchehi* = *pṛccha* (Kk. 272,31), *maggehi* = *mārgaya*, *vijāṇehi* = *vijāṇihi* (Erz. 59,6; 71,12); S. *mantehi* = *mantraya*, *kadhehi* = *kathaya* (Lalitav. 554,8; 565,15), *siḍhilehi* = *śithilaya* (Śak. 11,1; Venīs. 76,4), *jālehi* = *jvālāya* (Mṛcch. 25,18); Mg. *mālehi* = *mārāya* (Mṛcch. 123,15; 165,24), *ghośehi* = *ghoṣaya* (Mṛcch. 162, 9); Dh. *pasalu* = *prasara* (text **ru*; Mṛcch. 32,16), whilst elsewhere the MSS. falsely give *-a* in the final syllable: *geṇha* (29,16; 30,2), *paaccha* (31,4. 7. 9; 32,3. 8. 12. 14; 34,24; 35,7), *āaccha* (39,17); *dehi* (32,23; 36,15); A. *sunehi* = *śru* (Piṅgala 1,62); M. JM. S. *hohi* = **bhodhi* = Vedic *bodhi* = *bhava* (H. 259. 372; Erz. 11,31; 39,24; Mṛcch. 54,12; Śak. 67,2; 70,9; Vikr. 8,8; 12,12; 23,6 etc.). On the assumptive imp. in *-e*, *-i* in A. see § 461.

§ 469. The 3. sing. ends in *-u*, S. Mg. D. Dh. *-du* = *-tu*: M. *maraū* = *mriyatām* (H. s. v. *mar*), *paaṭṭaū* = *pravartatām* (R. 3,58), *deu* = **dayatu* (G. 58); AMg. *pāsaū* = *paśyatu* (Kappas. § 16), *āpucchaū* = *āpṛcchatu* (Uvās. § 68), *viṇeu* = *vinayatu* (Nāyādh. § 97. 98); JM. *kīraū* = *kriyatām*, *suvaū* = *śriyatām* (Erz. 15,9; 17,14); *deu* = **dayatu* (Kk. II, 508,29), *sujāū* = *svapitu* (Dvār. 503,3); S. *pasidadu* = *prasidatu* (Lalitav. 561,9; Śak. 120,11), *āruhadu* = *ārohatu* (Uttarar. 32,6.7), *kadhedu* = *kathayatu* (Śak. 121,10), *suṇādu* = *śrṇotu* (Vikr. 5,9; 72,14; 80,12; Venīs. 12,5; 59,23 etc.); D. *gacchadu* (Mṛcch. 101,1); Mg. *muṇḍadu* = *muṇḍatu*, *śuṇādu* = *śrṇotu*, *nīṣādadu* = *nīṣādatu* (Mṛcch. 31,18. 21; 37,3; 38,9); A. *ṇandāū* = *nandatu* (Hc. 4,422,14), *dijjaū* = *dīyatām*, *kijjaū* = *kriyatām* (Piṅgala 1, 81^a); M. JM. AMg. A. *hou*, S. Mg. Dh. *bhodu* = *bhavatu* (M. H. R.; Hc. s. v. *bhū*; JM. Erz. 18,12; Kk. s. v. *ho*; AMg. Kappas. Nāyādh. s. v. *ho*; S. Mṛcch. 4,23; Śak. 24,13; Vikr. 6,17; Mg. Mṛcch. 38,8; 79,18; 80,4; Dh. Mṛcch. 30,14. 18; 31,19. 22; 34,20).

§ 470. As 1. plur. imp. in AMg. and partly in JM. is used the

1. plur. indicative: A Mg. *gacchāmo...vandāmo namarīnsāmo sakkāremo saṁmāñēmo...pa(j)uvāsāmo = gacchāma...vandāmahai namasyāma satkārayāma saṁmāñāyāma...paryupāsāmahai* (Vivāhap. 187, 263; Ovav. § 38), *gīñhāmo = grhātāma, sājjāmo = *svādyāmahai = svādāmahai* (Ovav. § 86), *jujjhāmo = yudhyāmahai* (Nirayāv. § 25); JM. *harāmo = harāma* (Erz. 37,11), *gacchāmo = gacchāma, pavisāmo = praviśāma* (Sagara 5,1,6). Vr. 7. 19 and Hc. 3, 176 know only the ending *-āmo*: *hasāmo, tuvarāmo*, Sr. fol. 51 also *hasimo, hasemo, hasamo*, as in the indicative. Thus we find AMg. *bhuñjimo = bhuñjāma* (verse; Uttar. 675); JM. *nijjhāmemō = nīkṣāmayāma* (Dvār. 505, 9), *karemo* (Erz. 2,27; 5,35), *pūremō = pūrayāma* (Sagara 3,17); AMg. *homo* (Uttar. 678 = Dasav. 613,34). The special ending of the imp. is *-mha*, which has not been found in AMg., in M. JM. it is found very seldom, therefore, it has not been mentioned by Vr. Hc. Sr.¹, on the other hand, the only form occurring in S. Mg. Dh. is prescribed by Mk. fol. 70 for S. A rich collection from Mr̄cch., Šak., Vikr., Mālav., Ratn. has been given by BLOCH². M. *abbañattheṁha = abhyarthayāma* (R. 4,48); JM. *cīñhamha = tīñhāma, gacchamha = gacchāma* (Erz. 14,33; 60,21)³; S. *gacchamha* (Mr̄cch. 75,3; Šak. 67,10; 79,8; 115,3; Vikr. 6,14; 18,13; Mālav. 30,12; 32,13; Ratn. 294,8; 295,11; 303,20; 312,24 etc.), *uvavisamha = upaviśāma* (Šak. 18,9), *upasappamha = upasarpāma* (Šak. 79,11; Vikr. 24, 3; 41,14; Nāgān. 13,8; Bālar. 216,1), *pēkkhamha = prekṣāmahai* (Mr̄cch. 42,14; Vikr. 31,14; 32,5; Ratn. 303,25 etc.), *kareṁha = karavāma* (Šak. 81,16; Vikr. 6,15; 10,15; 53,14; Ratn. 303,21; Prab. 63,11; Venis. 9,23 etc.), *nivedeṁha = nivedayāma* (Šak. 160,7 [so to be read]; Mālav. 45,15; 293,29; 309,26), *adivāheṁha = ativāhayāma* (Ratn. 299,32), *hoṁha = bhavāma* (Šak. 26,14; Vikr. 36,12); Mg. *anñeśamha⁴ = anvesyāma* (Mr̄cch. 171,18), *pivamha = pibāma* (Venis. 35,22), *palāamha = palāyāmahai* (Candak. 72,2), *kaleṁha* (Mr̄cch. 167,19; 168,7; 170,21; Candak. 68,15; Venis. 36, 6); Dh. *anusaleṁha = anusarāma* (Mr̄cch. 30,13; 36,19), Dh. Mg. S. *kileṁha = kridāma* (Mr̄cch. 30,18; 94,15; 131,18), Dh. Mg. *ṇivedeṁha* (Mr̄cch. 36,22; 171,11). Forms in *-mo* and *-ma* that are found in manuscripts and editions sporadically, as *pēkkhāmo* (Mālav. 15,17), Mg. *pēskāmo* (Mr̄cch. 119,1), *pavisāmo* (Mālav. 39,19; v.l. *pavissamha*; Sh. P. PANDIT 75,2 correctly *pavisamha*; cf. Ratn. 294,17; 302,29; Nāgān. 27,7; Mahāv. 35, 17), *avakkamāma* (Mālav. 48,18; correctly *avakkamamha* Mr̄cch. 22,2), *ṇivārema* (Mālav. 62,13; v. l. *ṇivārehmi*), Mg. *naccāno* (Prab. 61,7; ed. M. 75,22 correctly *ṇaccamha*)⁵ are likewise false for the imp., as the forms in *-mha* for the ind. (§ 455). If, therefore, *-mha* is merely related to the imperative, its derivation from *smaḥ* (we are)⁶ is false. *-mha* is *= -sma* of the aorist and one *neṁha = *neṣma* (§ 474) is regulated exactly in the same manner as Vedic *jeṣma*, *gesma*, *desma*, used only imperatively and according to the 2. sing. *nesa*, *parsa* (WHITNEY § 894c, 896: cf. also NEISSER, BB. 20,70 ff.). A. uses the 1. plur. of the ind.: *jāhū = yāma* (Hc. 4,386).

i. S. Mg., in which the imp. in *-mha* is throughout frequent, are treated by these grammarians very briefly. It is, therefore, not astonishing that this form is not mentioned by them, what BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p.43 has severely criticised—2. l.c.p.44, unfortunately with many false quotations and without distinguishing between the three dialects. The examples given above have been selected with discretion, here upon.—3. Overlooked by JACOBI, Erz. p. xlvi.—4. For Mg., according to Hc. 4,289, *anneśasma*, *pivasma*, *kaleṁsma* etc. would be expected. Cf., however, § 314.—5. More in BLOCH, l. c. p. 45.—6. BOPP, Vgl. Grammatik 1¹, 120; BURNOUF et LASSEN, Essai sur le Pali (Paris 1826) p. 180 f.; HOEFER, De Prakrita dialecto § 187 nota III; LASSEN, Inst. § 117,2; BRUGMANN, Grundriss II¹, 1354, note 1; BLOCH, l. c. p. 46 f.

§ 471. As 2. plur. of the ind. (§ 456) is used M. *namaha* (G. H. R.; Karp. 1,7), A. *namahu* (Hc. 4,446), CP. *namatha* (Hc. 4,326);

M. *rañjeha* = *rañjayata*, *raeha* = *racayata*, *deha* = **dayata* (H. 780); M. *uaha* = **upata*¹ = *pasyata* (Bh. 1,14; Deśin. 1,98; Triv. 2,1,75; G. H.; Sak 2,14); also *uaha* (Sr. fol. 45; Karp. 67,8; Pratāp. 205,9; 212,10; v. l. to H.); AMg. *hañaha khañaha chañaha dahaha, pajaha ālumpaha vilumpaha sahasakkāreha viparāmusaha* = *hata khanata kṣanuta dahata pacata ālumpata vilumpata sahasātārayata viparāmīṣata* (Sūyag. 596; cf. Äyār. 1,7,2,4), *khamāha* = *kṣamadhvam* (Uttar. 366. 367), *tāleha* = *tādayata* (Nāyādh. 1305); JM. *acchaha* = *rcchata* (Āv. 14,30), *kandūjaha* (Erz. 36,21), *cittihaha, āisaha, gīñhaha* = *tiṣṭhata, ādiśata, gr̥hṇita* (Kk. 264,11. 12), *thaveha, dāmseha* = *sthāpayata, darśayata* (Kk. 265,7; 274,21); Š. *paritādha* = *paritrāyadhvam* (Šak. 16, 10; 17, 6; Vikr. 3, 17; 5, 2; Mālatīm. 130,3), Mg. *paññitādha* (Mṛcch. 32,25); AMg. JM. *kareha* (Kappas.; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kk. 270, 45), AMg also *kuvvahā* (Äyār. 1,3,2,1), A. *karehu* (Piṅgala 1,122), *karahu* (Hc. 4,346; Piṅgala 1,102. 107), *kunhu* (Piṅgala 1,90. 118), *kunahu* (text °ha; Piṅgala 1,16. 53. 79), Mg. *kaledha* (Mṛcch. 32,15; 112,2; 140,23); Š. *paattadha* = *prajatadhvam* (Šak 52,15), *samassasadha* = *samāśvasita* (Vikr. 7,1), *avanēdha* = *apānayata*, *hodha* = *bhavata*, *māredha* = *mārayata* (Mṛcch. 40,24; 97,23; 161,16); Mg. *ośaladha* = *apasarata* (Mṛcch. 96,21. 23; 97,1; 134,24. 25; 157,4. 12 etc.; Mudrār. 153,5; 256,4 [so to be read]; Candāk. 64,5), *śunādha* = *śṛ̥puta* (Lalitav. 565,17; 566,5; Mṛcch. 158,19; Prab. 46,14. 16), *māledha* = *mārayata* (Mṛcch. 165,123; 166,1)². Dh. *ramaha* (Mṛcch. 39,17) is to be emended as *ramamha* with BLOCH³; A. *pīhu* = *pībata* (Hc. 4, 422, 20), *thavahu* = *sihāpayata*, *kahehu* = *kathayata* (Piṅgala 1,119. 122). D. has *āacchadha* = *āgacchata* beside *jatteha* = *yatadhvam*, *karejjāha* = *kuruta*, *johaha* (Mṛcch. 99,24; 100,3). — The 3. plur. ends in all the dialects in *-ntu* : M. *dēntu* = **dayantu* (G. 44), *ṇandantu*, *vilihantu* (Karp. 1,1. 4); AMg. *bhavantu* (Vivāhap. 508), *nijantu* = *nirjāntu*, *phusantu* = *spīṣantu* (Ovav. § 47. 87), *sunantu* = *śṛ̥ṇvantu* (Nāyādh. 1134); Š. *pasīdantu* = *prasidantu* (Mudrār. 253,4), *pekkhantu* = *prekṣantām* (Mṛcch. 4,3), *hōntu* = *bhavantu* (Vikr. 87,21); Mg. *paśidantu* = *prasidantu* (Šak. 113,5); A. *pīdantu* (Hc. 4,385), and the ind. *lehi* (Hc. 4,387,3)⁴.

1. PISCHEL on Hc. 2,211. Wrong WEBER, Hāla¹ p. 29, note 4 and on Hāla² 4.—

2. On Š. cf. PISCHEL, KB. 8,134 ff.—3 Vr. und Hc. p. 45.—4. In case one reads *jāh* for *je* here we have a case of the indicative.

§ 472. As remarked in § 452, the *a*-stems have become prevalent through coalescence of the 1. and 2. conjugations. Beside them, except in A., the *e*-stems have undergone wide extension. Vr.7,34 and Ki. 4,37—39 permit *e* in all the tenses and moods, Hc 3,158, with whom Mk. fol. 51 seems to be in agreement, limits its entry into the indic. imp. and the paras-maipada pres. participle. Bh. gives as examples *hasei*, *hasāi*, *padhei*, *padhai*, *hase'nti*, *hasanti*, *haseu*, *hasāū*; Hc. has *hasei*, *hasāi*, *hasema*, *hasemu*, *hasemo*; *haseu*, *hasāū*; *sueu*, *sunāū*, *hase'nto*, *hasanto*, Ki. *hasāi*, *hasei*, *caai*, *caeī*, Mk. *bhañāi*, *bhanei*, *bhanasi*, *bhanesi*. These forms in *-e* are found in a large number in all c'asses, beside those in *-a*, and it can be determined with certainty, in spite of the great fluctuation of the MSS. Its entire mode of formation forbids it directly to be put in a series with the causatives and denominatives¹. From *ky* one forms *karaī* and *karei*, JŚ. Š. Mg. *karedi*, the causative, however, *kāreī*, Š. Mg. *kāredi*, JŚ *kārayadi* (Kattig. 403,385). One says *hasāi* and *hasei*, but in the caus. *hāsei*, Š. *muñcadī*, *muñcedī*, but in the caus. *moāvedī* etc. Hence it is more correct to say that the character *-e* from *-aya* of the derived verbs can plainly enter into Pkt.² too. I do not consider it correct to say that the forms such as Š. *gacchē'mha*. (Mṛcch. 43. 20; 44,18), Dh. *gēñhē'mha* (Mṛcch. 36,24), *anusalē'mha* (Mṛcch. 30,13; 36,

19), Dh. Š. Mg. *kileṁha* (Mṛcch. 30,18; 94,15; 131,18), Š. *suveṁha* (Mṛcch. 46,9) are to be explained as false with BLOCH³.

1. JACOBI, Erz. § 53, II, where *nemi*, *demi* are to be deleted completely (§ 474).—2. LASSEN, Inst. § 120,3.—3. Vr. und Hc. p. 45.

§ 473. The verbs of the first conjugation, of which the roots end in *-i*, *-u* are conjugated mostly as in Skt.: *ji* forms *jaai* (Hc. 4,241; G. H. s. v. *ji*; Karp. 2,6), AMg. JM. *jayaī* (Nandis. 1,22; Erz.), Š. *jaadi* (Vikr. 44,4; Mudrār. 224,4. 5,6), imperative Š. *jaadu* (Šak. 41,1; 44,3; 138,6; 162,1; Vikr. 27,8; 28,14; 44,3; 47,20; 82,8,9; Ratn. 296,1; 305,15; 320,16; 321,28 etc.). The form *jeđu*, which is often found beside *jaadu*, pro ex. Veniš. 59,13 beside *jaadu* 29,11, further in Prab. 32,12 Mg. *yedu* beside Š. *jaadu* 40,8 and occurring only in the Devanāgari recension of Šak. (ed. BÖHTLINGK 27,12; 29,17; 89,15; 90,9; 107,8) is badly accredited¹. In M. JM. AMg. Dh A. *ji* is conjugated also according to the 9. conjugation, in M. JM. AMg. A. it crosses over to the 1. conjugation at the same time: Dh. *jinādi* (Mṛcch. 34,22); AMg. *jināmi* (Uttar. 704); M. *jināi* (Vr. 8,56; Hc. 4,241; Sr. fol. 49); AMg. *parāināi* (Vivāhap. 123,124); A. *jināi* (Pingala 1,123^a); M. *jinanti* (R. 3,40); AMg. *jinējja* (Uttar. 291), *jināhi* (Jīv. 602; Kappas. § 114; Ovav. § 53), *jinantassa* = *jayataḥ* (Dasav. 618, 14); JM. *jinium* (absol.; Āv. 36,42); A. *jinia* (Pingala 1,102^a). On the passive *jinijjai*, *jivvai* see § 536. For S. Mk.fol. 71 appears to prescribe or to forbid *jinādi*. From the absolute Š. *samassaia* (Šak. 2,8) follows a present **samassaaī* = *samāśrayati*. In AMg. Šri too is conjugated according to the 9. conjugation, like *ji*: *samussiṇāmi*, *samussiṇāsi* (Āyār. 1,7,2,1. 2).—Of *ci* and *mi* are found also the contracted forms (§ 502). For the roots in *-u*, *-ū* Hc. 4,233 teaches, without distinguishing between their class, a similar conjugation: *nihavaī*, *nihavaī* = *nihnute*, *cavaī* = *cyavate*, *ravaī* = *rauti*, *kavaī* = *kavate*, *savaī* = *sūte*, *pasavaī* = *prasūte*. So AMg. *pasavaī* (Uttar. 641), *nīnaavejjja* (Āyār. 1,5,3,1), *nīnhave* (Dasav. 631,31), *anīphavamāṇa* (Nāyādh. § 83); whilst the passive M. *nīnhuvijjanti* (H. 657), Š. *nīnhuvīādi* (Ratn. 303,9) and the past participle Š. *nīnhuvido* (Šak. 137,6) = **nīnhuvaī* presuppose the flexion according to the 6. conjugation; M. *panhāaī* = *prasna-uti* (H. 409,462 v. l. *panhuāī*); AMg. A. *ravaī* (Thān. 450; Pingala 2,146). Beside *ravaī*, *ru* is conjugated according to the 6. conjugation too: *ruvāī* (Hc. 4,238); M. *ruvāī*, *ruvantī*, *ruvasu* (H. s. v. *rud*), *padiruanti* (R.), passive *ruvvāī* and *ruvijjāī* (Hc. 4,249), M. *ruvvasu* in the middle sense (H. 10). Thence is deduced a root *ruv*, which, like *dhau*, *svap* (§ 482,497), secondarily is conjugated according to the first class: *rovāī* (Hc. 4, 238); M. *rovanti* (H.494); JM. *rovāī* (Dvār. 503,17), inf. *rovīum* (Dvār. 503,15; 504,6), past passive participle *rovīya* (Dvār. 504,7). The grammarians derive the forms from *rud* (Vr.8,42; Hc.4,226) occasioned through similarity in flexion (§ 495) and similarity in meaning. Cf. Gypsy *ruvāā*, *rovāā* “to weep” and English *to cry* “to weep” and “to howl”². — AMg. *luējjā* = **luvejjā* = *lunīyāt* (Vivāhap. 1186), *puvanti* = *plavante* (Vivāhap. 1232) according to the 6. conjugation. Cf. also § 494. 503. 511.

1. CAPPELLER on Ratn. p. 369; almost everywhere is found in the text the v. l. *jaadu*, beside *jeđu*, in the best MSS.; cf. pro ex. Mudrār. 38,4; 46,4: 54,6; 84,7 etc.—2. WEBER on Hāla 141; PISCHEL on Hc. 4,226.

§ 474. The roots of the 1. conjugation in *-ī* tend to change *-aya* into *-e* through *saṁprasāraṇa*: M. *nesi*, *nei* = *nayasi*, *nayati* (H. 553. 939. 647), *ānei* (R. 8,43); AMg. JM. *nīnei* = *nīnayati* (Uttar. 578; Erz. 29,6); JM. *nei* (Erz. 11,11), M. *parinei* (Karp. 7,4), Š. *parinēdi* (Viddhaś. 50,1), *ānedi* (Karp. 109,8). According to these also the 1. sing. JM. *nemi* (Sagara 9,6), M. *ānemi* (Karp. 26,1), Š. *avaṇemi* = *āpanayāmi*, *āṇuṇemi*, *parāṇemi*

(Mrcch. 6,7; 18,23; 166,16); 3. plur. M. *nēnti* (R. 3,14; 5,2; 6,92). Imp. JM. S. *nehi* (Erz. 43,24; Vikr. 41,2), AMg. S. *uvanehi* = *upanaya* (Vivāgas. 121, 122; Mrcch. 61,10; 64,20, 25; 96,14; Vikr. 45,9), S. *ānehi* (Vikr. 41, 1) and *ānesu* (Śak. 125,8 [so to be read]; Karṇas. 51,17); *avanesu* = *apānaya* (Viddhaś. 48,10), S. *nedu* (Mrcch. 65,19; 67,7); S. Mg. *nēmha* (Mudrār. 233,5 [so to be read; cf. v. l. and the Calcutta editions, that have *nehma*]; Mg. Mrcch. 170,12), JM. *nīneha* (Dvār. 496,5); Mg. S. *nedha* (Mrcch. 32, 15; 161,9). In verses are found JM. *ānasu* (Erz. 78,9) and A. *ānāhi* (Hc. 4,343,2). They are to be explained from **ānaasu*, **ānāsu*, **ānāahi*, **ānāhi*. The flexion of Skt. occurs in M. *naāi* (Viddhaś. 7,2), *naanti* (G. 803), S. *parīnaadu* (Śak. 39,3), *naia* = **nayiya* = *nītvā* (Mrcch. 155,4) and in the parasmaipada pres. participle Mg. *naante* = *nayan* (Mrcch. 169,12).—*di* with *ud* forms *uddeti*, 3. plur. *udde'nti* (Hc. 4,237; H. 218; G.232 [to be read *uddinti* with J]. 770; Mg. Mrcch.120,12), particip. parasmaip. *udde'nta* (G. 543; so to be read with P).—*lei* = *layati* from *li* (Hc.4,238); M. *ahilei* (G.R.), *ahile'nti* (H.) *parile'nta* (R.), whilst M. *alliai* (G.H.R.), JM. *alliya'i* (Āv.47,16), AMg. *uvalliyai* (Āyār.2,2,2,4), M. *samalliai* (R.), JM *samalliyai* (Āv.47,17) presuppose a flexion **liyate*, the participia M. *āliamāna* (G.), S. *nīliamāna* (Vikr. 80,20) the flexion of Skt. (§ 196). Likewise is inflected *daya-* (to give; Hc. s. v. *dā*; Ki. 4,34) : M. JM. *dei*, *dēnti*, *dehi*, *desu*, *deu*, *deha*, particip. *dēnta* (G. H. R.; Erz.; Kk.); AMg. *dei* (Nirāyāv. § 21. 22), *demo* (Vivāhap 819); JS. *dedi* (Kattig. 399,319. 320; 402,360. 365. 366); S. *demi* (Ratn. 312,30; Mrcch. 105,9), *desi* (Mālav.5,8), *dedi* (Mrcch. 66,2; 147,17; Vikr. 43,14; Viddhaś. 29,7), *dehi* (as in Skt.) very frequently (pro ex. Mrcch. 38,4. 23,44,24;94,17; Śak. 95,11;111,6 etc.), *dedu* (Karp. 38,1); D. *deu* (Mrcch. 105,21); particip. S. *dēnia* (Mrcch. 44,19); Mg. *demi* (Mrcch. 31,17; 45,2; 79,18; 127,12; 131,9. 10. 13), *dehi* (Mrcch. 45, 12; 97,2; 132,4), *desu* (Prab. 58,8), *dedha* (Mrcch. 160,11; 164,14. 16; 170,6); Dh. *dehi* (Mrcch. 32,23; 36,15); P. *teii* (Hc. 4,318), *tīyyate* (to be read so; Hc. 4,315); A. *desi*, *dei*, *dēnti*, *dehu*, *dēntahō* = *dadatah*, *dēntihim* = *dadatibhiḥ* (Hc. s. v. *dā*), absol. *dēppinu* (Hc. 4,440) and *devam* (Hc. 4,441). The flexion **dāaī* = **ddyati* presupposes the future S. *dāissam* = *dayiye* (Mrcch. 80,20), false *dāissam* (Śak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 25,6; Karp. 112,5), *dāissamo* (Viddhaś. 121,3; s. v. l.); cf. Vr. 12,14; Mg. *dāissam* (Mrcch. 31, 6. 8. 15; 32,9. 24; 33,22; 35,8; 80,19; 81,5; 97,3; 123,21; 124,5. 9), and the absol. S. Mg. *daia* = **dayiya* = *dayitvā* (Mrcch. 32,19 [a°]; 37,12; 51,12;168,2). The root *dā* has retained only in M. JM *dāūna*, *dāum*, *dijjai* (G. H. R.; Erz.), AMg. inf. *dāum* (Uvās.; Nāyādh.), S. *dīadi* (Mrcch. 55, 16;71,6; so to be read also at Mrcch. 49,7 for *dijjadi*), *diadu* (Karp.103,7), *dādāvva* (Mrcch. 66,2;150,14; Karp. 103,6; Jīvān. 43,12. 15); Mg. *dīadi*, *diadu* (Mrcch. 145,5); in the fut. M. JM. AMg. *dāham*, *dāsam* (§ 530), past participle *dīṇa*, *datta* (§ 566). AMg. mostly uses *dālayai* (§ 490), for which we often find *dālaī* as v. l. (HOERNLE, Uvās.; Translation, note 287).

§ 475. Hc. 4,60 teaches the forms *hoi*, *huvāi*, *havaī*, *bhavaī*, in compounds *pabbhavaī*, *paribhavaī*, *sambhavaī* and *ubbhavaī*, which presupposes a simplex **bhuvāi* from *bhū*. This occurs in *bhuvadi*, that is taught by Hc. 4, 269 beside *huvadi*, *havaadi*, *havadi*, *bhodi*, *hodi* as S.; further in the aorist AMg. *bhuvi* (§ 516) and in P. *phuvati* (Ki. 5,115). Vr. 8,1; Ki. 4,56; Mk. fol. 53 teach *hoi*, *huvāi* and Vr. 8,3; Mk. fol. 53 in compounds *bhavaī*, as *pabbhavaī*, *ubbhavaī*, *sambhavaī*, *paribhavaī*, Ki. 4,58, *havaī* as *pahavaī*. Vr.'s rule 12,12 is not clear on S., for which Ki. 5,81 and Mk. fol. 71 prescribe *bhodi*, while Śākalya, according to Mk., accredits *hodi* too and Sr. fol. 71 teaches *bhodi*, *hodi*, *bhuvadi*, *huvadi* etc. (ityādi). *bhavaī*, the form that exactly corresponds

to Skt. *bhavati* is frequent in AMg. (Āyār. 1,1,1,1 ff.; Thān. 156; Vivāhap. 116, 137, 917, 926, 935 ff.; Nandis. 501 f.; Pañnav. 666, 667; Kappas. S. § 14–16), *bhavasi* (Vivāhap. 1245, 1406), *bhavanti* (Vivāhap. 926, 1309; Ovav. § 70 ff.; Kappas.), *bhavaū* (Kappas.); also in JM. it is not seldom: *bhavaī* (Āv. 10,20; 13, 37; 20,11 ff.), *bhavanti* (Erz. 3,14), *bhavasu* (Erz. 11,10). Beside them AMg. JM. have also the forms with initial *ha*: JM. *havāmi* (Erz. 35,15), AMg. JM. *havāi* (Pañnav. 32, 115; Nandis. 329, 361 ff.; Uttar. 342, 344, 754 (beside *hoi*); Āv. 36,44); AMg. *havanti* (Sūyag. 253, 255; Vivāhap. 138; Pañnav. 40, 42, 91, 94, 102, 106,115 etc.; Nandis. 461; Jiv. 219; Ovav. § 130); likewise in the optative beside *bhavejjā* (Ovav. § 182), 2. plur. *bhavejjāha* (Nāyādh. 912, 915, 918, 920) in verses also *havējjā* (Sūyag. 341; Vivāhap. 426; Ovav. § 171), *havējjā* (Uttar. 459.), JM. *havijja* (Erz. 74,18). In prose in Āv. 29,19 we should read *hojjā*, JM. *havijja* with the v. l. for *havējjā*. AMg. JM. have also the opt. *bhave* (Vivāhap. 459; Uttar. 678; Nandis. 117; Erz.). In Ś. Mg. 1. sing. is *bhaveam*, 1. 2. 3. sing. 3. plur. are *bhave*, the only form that can be used (§ 460–402). In compound is found also Ś. *pahave* (Śak. 25,1); false is Ś. *have* (Mālav. 44,1, 3)¹. In JŚ. the form that is very usual is *havadi* (Pav. 380,9; 381, 16; 382,24; 384,54, 58; 385,65; 386,70,4; 387,18, 19; 388,5; Kattig. 398, 303; 400, 334), also *havedi* (Kattig. 401,341; MS. *havei*), beside *hodi* (Pav. 381,18; 385,64; 386,6; Kattig. 399,308; 400,326, 328, 329, 330; 402, 368; 403,372, 376, 381; 404,391), *homi* (Pav. 385, 65), *hundi* (Kattig. 401, 352), *hōnti* (Kattig. 402,363, 364; 404,387), inf. *hodun* (Kattig. 402,357); MS. *hourū*. The opt. is *have* (Pav. 387,25; Kattig. 398,302; 399,309, 312, 315, 400,336; 401,338, 345 etc.). Therefore, Hc. should have Ś. *havadi*, *hodi* (§ 21, 22). Of the stem *bhava-*, the forms, excluding the mentioned ones, are only sporadic: Mg. *bhavāmi* (Mṛcch. 117,6); Ś. *bhavidavaṁ* (Sak. 32, 6; Karp. 61, 11), which is supported by JŚ. *bhavidavaṁ* (Kattig. 404,388; MS. *vija*) and Ś. *bhavidavatā* (Sak. 126,10; Vikr. 52, 13); inf. *bhaviūm* (Hc. 4,60), Ś. Mg. *bhavidum* (Sak. 73,8; 116,1 [so to be read]); in Mālav. 47,7 false reading¹). Very frequent is the absolute *bhavia* in Ś. (Mṛcch. 27,12; 45,8; 64,19; 78,10; Sak. 30,9; 119,3, 13; 160, 1; Vikr. 24,5; 25,15 etc.) and Mg. Mṛcch. 16,16; 124,23; 134,23; 170,11), JŚ. *bhaviya* (Pav. 380,12; 387,12), AMg. *bhavitā* (Ovav.; Kappas.). *pāubbhavittānam* (Uvās.). On the future see § 521. The passive Mg. *bhaviadi* (Mṛcch. 164,10) stands in the sense of the fut. parasmaip. (§ 550). M. *aggabhabantio* in G. 588 is a false reading for *aggabharantiu* (see v. l. p. 376). Except in the forms mentioned in AMg. JM. JŚ. the stem *hava-* is found also in M. *havanti* (G. 901.936.976). The stem *bhava-* is prevalent in the formation with prefixes. I have only to add BLOCH's examples from Ś. Mg.: Ś. *anubhavanto* = *anubhavan* (Vikr. 41,9), *anubhavida* (Karp. 33,6). The stem *hava-* is usual only after the prefix *pra-*, further in the substantive *vihava³*; otherwise it occurs just sporadic after *anu-* in M. *anuhavai* (H. 211), Ś. *anuhavanti* (Mālav. 51,22; Prab. 44,13); yet Mālav. has the v. l. *anuhoṇti*, in Prab. *anubhavanti*, as it should be read; similarly in Sak. 74,6 we should, with the v. l., read *vibhāvedi* for *vihāvedi*. Vr. is substantially correct when he requires *bhava-* for the composita.

1. BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p. 41, who has compiled a plentiful collection for Ś. Mg. from Mṛcch. Sak. Vikr. Mālav. Ratn. on *bhū*, should be supplemented for completing the list.—2. l. c. p. 39–40.—3. BLOCH, l. c. p. 40.

§ 476. *huvā-*, that is to say the flexion according to the sixth conjugation is found in M. *huvanti* (G.988;H.285), in the opt. *huvia*(§ 466) and P. *huveyya* (Hc.4,320.323), ind. pass. Mg. *huvādi* (Venīs.33,6,7;35,8; in the sense

of the fut. *parasmaip.*; cf. *bhaviādi* § 475) and especially in the future in S. and Mg. (§ 521). Transgressing against the dialect, a false form is S. fem. *parasmaip.* pres. part. *huvantī* and probably also Mg. particip. necessitatis *huvidavvam* (Lalitav. 555,5; 565,13).—In M. JM. A. in the simplex the dominant stem is *ho-* from *hava-*, which AMg. too has more seldom and JŚ. has frequently: *homi*, *hosī*, *hoī*, *ho'ntī* and *huntī*; imp. *hohi*, *hosu*, *hou*, *homo*, *ho'ntu*; indic. pres. pass. *hoīāi*, *hoijāi*; *parasmaip.* pres. particip. *ho'nto*, *hunto*, ātmanep. *homāno*; inf. *hounī*, JŚ. *hodumī*; absol. *hoīna*; particip. nec. AMg. JM. *ho'yava¹*. On *hojjā*, *hojja* see § 466. Besides this, the precative in AMg. are *hoī*, *hou* only, especially in the combination *hoū nāmī*, and the preterite *ho'īthā* in frequent use. In S. one says *homi*, *hosī*, *ho'ntī*, imp. *hohi*, *ho'nmha*, *hodha*, *ho'ntu*, Mg. imp. *hodha²*, but S. Mg. Dh. only *bhodi*, *bhodu³*. False forms in the texts are *bhomi*, *hodi*, *bhohi*, *hodu*, *bho'ntu⁴*. P. has *photī* (Ki. 5,115). The particip. nec. is S. Mg. *hodava⁵*; on S. JŚ. *bhavida^{va}* see § 475, on Mg. *huvidava* see above. The particip. pret. is M. *hūa* (Hc. 4,64; Ki. 4,57; Mk. fol. 53) in *māndanīhūam* (H. 8), *anuhūa* (Hc. 4,64; H. 29), *parihūena* (H. 134; so to be read with v. l. and ed. Bomb.), *pahūa* (Hc. 4,64), A. *hūā* (Hc. 4,384) and *huā* (Hc. 4,351), S. Dh. D. *bhūda* (S. e. g. Mrcch. 55,16; 78,3; Śak. 43,9; 80,2; Vikr. 23,14; 52,21; 53,12 [read °*bhūdo*]; Dh. Mrcch. 36,21; 39,16; D. Mrcch. 101,13), Mg. *kiappahūda* = *kīyatprabhūta* (Venīs. 34,16).—Sr. fol. 47 knows also the flexion *hoaī*, *hoei*, *huai*, *huei*, exactly according to the type of the *a*-stem.

1. References in § 469 under the instances quoted on *hou* and in the relevant paragraphs, on JŚ. in § 475. Cf. also WEBER, Sitzungsberichte der Kgl. Preuss. Ak. der Wissenschaften zu Berlin 1882, 811 f.; IS. 16,393.—2. References in BLOCH, I. c. p. 41.—3. PISCHEL, KB. 8,141 and above § 469; Mg. *bhodi* e. g. Mrcch. 121,6; 168,3. 4, 5, false *hodi* 168,6.—4. BLOCH, I. c. p. 41; collection also in BURKHARD, Flexiones Pracriticæ p. 20 f.—5. BLOCH, I. c. p. 42. On the flexion of *bhū* cf. also DELIUS, Radices Pracriticae ticeae s. v.

§ 477. The roots in *-rī* and *-rī* form the stem in *-ara*: *dharāi*, *varaī*, *sarāi*, *haraī*, *jaraī*, *taraī* (Vr. 8,12; Hc. 4,234; Ki. 4,32). In OIA. this flexion has been carried over to a few roots found in the Vedas, or merely mentioned by the grammarians, such as in the case of *jīrī*, *dhrī*, *mrī*, *vṛī*, *stī*, in Pkt. this is the rule. Beside it the flexion with the *e*-stem is frequent. So: M. JM. *dharāi* and *dharemi*, *dharei*, *dhare'nti*, particip. pres. *dharanta* and *dharēnta* (G. H. R.; Erz.); S. *dharāmi* = *dhriye* (Uttarar. 83,9); A. *dharāi* (Hc. 4,334; 438,3) and *dharei* (Hc. 4,336), *dharāhī* (Hc. 4,382), imp. *dharahi* (Hc. 4,421; Piṅgala 1,149).—M. *osarāi* = *apasarati*, *osaranta* = *apasaratī*, *osaria* = *apasṛta* (G. H. R.), imp. *osara*, *osarasu* (H.); JM. *osarāi* (Erz. 37,30); Mg. *osaladi* (Mrcch. 115,23), *osālia* = *apasṛtya* (Mrcch. 129,8); imp. JM. S. *osara* = *apasara* (Erz. 71,31; Vikr. 10,12), Mg. *osāla* (Prab. 58,2; so rightly ed. M. 73,6), S. *osaramha* (Uttarar. 66,7), JM. *osaraha* = *apasarata* (Kk. 265,6; II, 507,1), Mg. *osāladha* (§ 471); M. *samosarāi*, *samosaranta*- etc. (G. H. R.), AMg. imp. *samosaraha* (Nāyādh. 1233. 1235); S. *nīsaradi* (Dhūrtas. 8,6); M. AMg. *pasaraī* (R.; Vivāhap. 908), S. *pasaradi* (Śak. 31,10), Mg. *paśalaśī* (Mrcch. 10,15), Dh. imp. *pasalu* (Mrcch. 32,16), also Dh. *anusale'mha* (§ 472) beside S. *anusaramha* Viddhaś. 105,5). Cf. § 235.—M. JM. *marāmi* = *mriye*, *marāi*, *maranti*, imp. *mara*, *marasu*, *marāū*, particip. pres. *maranta* (H.; Erz.); AMg. *mara* (Sūyag. 635; Uttar. 214; Vivāhap. 363 f.), *maranti* (Uttar. 1099 ff.; Vivāhap. 1434), *maramāṇa* (Vivāhap. 1385); S. *maradī* (Mrcch. 72,22; so to be read); Mg. *malāmi* (Mrcch. 118,13), but also *maledu*, *male'nti* (Mrcch. 114,21; 118,12); A. *marahi*, *marāi* (Hc. 4,368. 420,5). M. *marijjaī* = *mriyatiām* (H. 950) stands in the sense of the passive, AMg. inf. *marijjuīm*

(*Dasav.* 624, 40; cf. § 580) in the sense of the active. AMg. *mijjāi*, *mijjanti* (*Sūyag.* 275. 328. 333. 540. 944) are correctly equated by the scholiasts as = *mīyate*, *mīyante*. — JM. *varasu* = *vṛṇusva* (*Sagara* 1,15). — M. JM. *haraī* (G. H. R.; Erz.), JS. *haradi* (*Kattig.* 400,336), M. also *haremi* (H. 705), AMg. opt. *harejjāha* (*Nāyādh.* 915. 918), Mg. *halāmi*, *haladi* (*Mrcch.* 11,8; 30,21. 24); very frequently in compounds in all the dialects, as M. *ahiharaī*, *paharaī* (G.), JM. *pariharāmi* (*Kk.* 272,16), AMg. *sāhāranti* = *samharanti* (*Thān.* 155), *padisāharaī* = *pratisāmharati* (*Vivāhāp.* 239), *viharaī* (*Kappas.*; *Uvās.* etc.), Š. *uvahara*, *uvaharantu* (*Šak.* 18,3; 40,9); *avaharadi* = *apaharati* (*Mrcch.* 45,24), Mg. *palihalāmi* = *pariharāmi* (*Mrcch.* 125,10), *śamudāhālāmi* (*Mrcch.* 129,2), also *vihaledi* = *viharati* (*Mrcch.* 40,9), A. *añuharāhī*, *añuharaī* (Hc. 4,367,4; 418,8). — M. *taraī* (G. H.); AMg. *taranti* (*Uttar.* 567), *uttaraī* (*Nāyādh.* 1060), *paccuttaraī* (*Vivāhāp.* 909); Š. *odaradi* = *avatarati* (*Mrcch.* 44,19; 108,21; *Mālatim.* 265,6), imp. *odaramha* = *avatarāma* (*Mālatim.* 100,3; *Priyad.* 12,4); Mg. imp. *odala* = *avatara* (*Mrcch.* 122,14. 15. 16), absol. *odalia* (*Mrcch.* 122, 11) = Š. *odaria* (*Vikr.* 23,17); A. *uttaraī* (Hc. 4,339). — *kṛ* forms, corresponding to Skt. *kirāti*, M. *ukkiraī* (H. 119), *kiranta-* (G. R.).

§ 478. According to Hc. 4,74 *smṛ* may form *saraī*, so stands JM. *saraīmi* (*Āv.* 41,20), AMg. *saraī* (*Uttar.* 277) in the verse, JM. *saraī* (*Āv.* 47, 27), *sarasu* (*Āv.* 7,34) in prose. The common form in all the dialects, which Vr. 12,17; Mk. fol. 72 particularly prescribe for Š. in addition, is *sumara-* for *smara-* with a separation-vowel (Vr. 8,18; Hc. 4,74; Ki. 4,49; Mk. fol. 53). Beside it the prose very frequently has the *e*-stem *sumare-*. So M. *sumarāmi* (R. 4,20 [so to be read]. 22); JM. absol. *sumariūṇa* and *sumarija*, past passive particip. *sumarija* (Erz.); AMg. imp. *sumaraha* (*Vivāhāp.* 234); Š. *sumarāmi* (*Mrcch.* 134,15; *Uttarar.* 118,1), *sumarasi* (*Uttarar.* 126,6), better accredited *sumaresi* (*Mrcch.* 66,15. 18; 103,20; 104,10; 105,15; *Vikr.* 23,9), as *sumaredi* (*Šak.* 70,7; 167,8; *Mālatim.* 184, 4; *Viddhaś.* 125,11) and in the imp. *sumarehi* (*Ratn.* 137,17), *sumaresu* (*Vikr.* 13,4), *sumaredha* (*Šak.* 52,16), yet *sumara* (*Mālatim.* 251,2; all texts) and A. *sūvaraḥi* (Hc. 4,387), opt. *sumari* = *smareḥ* (Hc. 4,387,1); Š. *sumarāmo* (*Mālatim.* 113,9); Mg. *śumalāmī*, *śumaleśī*, *śumaledi* (*Mrcch.* 115,23; 127,25; 134,13), imp. *śumala* and *śumalehi* (*Mrcch.* 128,20; 168,11; 170,8); particip. praet. pass. Š. *sumarida* (*Mālatim.* 249,6; *Prab.* 41,7), Mg. *śumalida* (*Mrcch.* 136,19); particip. nec. Š. *sumaridava* (*Vikr.* 48,14; *Mālatim.* 184,3), Mg. *śumalidava* (*Mrcch.* 170,9). In conjunction with *vi* Hc. 4,75 teaches *vimharaī* and *vīsaraī*, whence M. *vīsaria* = *vismṛta* (H. 361; *Šak.* 96,2), JM. *vissarija* (*Āv.* 7,34); JS. *visarida* (*Kattig.* 400,335; text ^o*yā*). Mk. fol. 54 teaches *vīsaraī*, *visurāī* and *visaraī*, which occurs in M. *visaria* (R. 11,58) and in the modern Indian dialects¹. In Š. and Mg. the stem is strictly the same as in the simplex; pro ex. Š. *visumarāmi* (*Šak.* 126,8), *visumaresi* (*Vikr.* 49,1); Mg. *viśumaledi* (*Mrcch.* 37,12). Š. *vimharida mhi* of *Vikr.* 83,20 has been wrongly equated in the text against all the MSS. by BOLLENSEN; the ed. *Bomb.* p. 133,9 correctly has *visumarida mhi*², as *visumarida* stands also at *Šak.* 14,2; *Vṛṣabh.* 14,6. On *bharāi* see § 313.

1. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,75.—2. Wrongly BÖHTLINGK on *Šak.* 59,10, where we should at least read *vimhario* with the ed. *Bomb.* 1883, p. 64,11.

§ 479. The roots in *-ai* are conjugated as a rule as in Skt. (Vr. 8,21. 25. 26), Hc. 4,6; Ki. 4,65. 75); M. *gāanti* (*Kāleyak.* 3,8; *Bālar.* 181, 6), *uggāanti* = *udgāyanti* (*Dhūrtas.* 4,14), *gāanta-* (*Karp.* 23,4); JM. *gājāi* (*Āv.* 8,29), *gāyānti* (*Dvār.* 496,36), *gāyantehim*, *gāium* (Erz. 1,29; 2,10); AMg. *gāyānti* (*Jiv.* 593; *Rāyap.* 96. 181), *gāyāntā* (*Ovav.* § 49 V),

gājamāne (Vivāhap. 1253); Š. *gāāmi* (Mudrār. 35,1), *gāadi* (Nāgān. 9,6), *gāadha* (Viddhaś. 12,4), imp. also of the *e*-stem *gāedha* (Viddhaś. 122,10; 128,4), *gāantenā*, *gāanto* (Mṛcch. 44,2,4); Mg. *gāe*, *gāidam* (Mṛcch. 79,14; 117,4).—Š. *parittāadi* = *paritrāyate* (Mṛcch. 128,7), *parittāasu* (Mahāv. 30,19; Bālar. 173,10; Viddhaś. 85,5), *parittāāhi* (Uttarar. 63,13), *parittāadu* (Ratn. 325,9,32), *parittāadha* (Śak. 16,10; 17,6; Vikr. 3,17; 5,2; Mālatim. 130,3); Mg. *palittāadha*, *palittāadu* (Mṛcch. 32,25; 128,6).—JM. *jhāyasi* = *dhyāyasi* (Erz. 85,23), *jhāyamāñi* (Erz. 11,19); AMg. *jhiyāyāmi*, *jhiyāyāsi*, *jhiyāyāi*, *jhiyāyaha*, *jhiyājamāna* (Nāyādh.); M. *nijjhāā* = *nirdhyāyati* (H. 73. 413); Š. *nijjhāādi* (Mṛcch. 59,24; 89,4; Mālatim. 258,4), *nijjhāanti* (Mṛcch. 69,2), *nijjhāido* (Mṛcch. 93,15), *nijjhāidā* (Vikr. 52,11), *samjhāādi* (Mṛcch. 73,12).—Š. *niddāadi* = *nidrāyati* (Mṛcch. 46,5; 69,2; Mālav. 65,8).—Š. *parimilāadi* = *parimlāyati* (Mālatim. 120,2; so to be read with ed. Bomb. 92,2 and ed. Madras 105,3).—Since in Pkt. the roots ending in *-ā* may be inflected according to the fourth conjugation (§487), so reversely the roots in *-ai* follow sometimes in M. JM. AMg. the analogy of the roots in *ā*: M. *gāī* (Vr. 8,26; Hc. 4,6; H. 128.691), *gāū* (Bh. 8,26), *ganta-*(H. 547); JM. *uggāī* (Āv. 8,28); M. *jhāī* = Epic *dhyātī* (Vr. 8,26; Hc. 4,6; R. 6,61), JS. *jhāī* (Pav. 385,68) beside *jhāyādi* (Pav. 385,65; 403, 372); *jhāū* (Bh. 8,26), *nijjhāī* (Hc. 4,6); AMg *jhiyāī* (Vivāgas. 219; Uvās. § 280; Nāyādh.; Kappas.), *jhiyāmi* (Vivāgas. 114. 220; Nāyādh.), *jhiyāsi* (Vivāgas. 114), *jhāijja* (verse; Uttar. 14). Likewise AMg. *jhiyāī* = *kṣāyāti* beside *jhiyājāanti* (§ 326); AMg. *gilāī* = Epic *glātī* (Āyār. 2,1,11,1. 2), beside *vigilāējjā* (Āyār. 2,2,3,28); M. *niddāī*, *mlāī* (Hc. 4,12,18), in addition to which Epic *mlāntī*. — In Š. is found several times *parittāāhi* (Śak. 145,8; Prab. 11, 13); Uttarar. 60,4,5; Mālatim. 357,11), in Mg. *palittāāhi* (Mṛcch. 175,19). The v. l. almost everywhere has in Š. the correct *parittāāhi*. On *palājā* see § 567.

§ 480. The verbs *is*, *gam*, *yam*, of the old *-ska*- class are conjugated in all the dialects as in Skt.: *icchaī*, *gacchaī*, *jacchaī*. Isolated is Mg. *sānyamadha* (§ 488) and AMg. *uggamamāna* (Pannav. 41). Hc. 4,215, besides derives *acchāī* from *ās*, Ki.4,10 from *as* (to be), the scholiasts mostly equate it with *tiṣṭhati*. ASCOLI will like to trace it back to the corresponding Pāli *acchati*, from a hypothetical future **ātsyati* or **ātsyate*¹ of *ās*, CHILDERS² and PISCHEL³ to **āssakadi* from *ās*, as Hc., E. MÜLLER to *gam* with dropping out of *g*⁴, later with TRENCXNER and TORP to an aorist **ātsit* from *ās*⁵; E. KUHN considers it to be an inchoative formation from *as*⁶, JOHANSSON thinks it to be a future **assyati*, **atsyati* from **as*⁷. However, it strictly corresponds to the fourth verb in Skt., built according to *rcchati* from *r* “to come into”, “to knock at”, of the *-ska*- conjugation, and which the Indian grammarians post as *rch* and B.-R. as *arch*. The meaning “to remain”, “to stand” is proved from the statement, that it is used in the sense of *indriyapralaya* as well as of *mūrtibhāva*, given in the Dhātupātha at 28,15. One compares also the use of *rcchati*, *ārchat* in the Brāhmaṇa⁸. Examples are: M. *acchāsi*, *acchānti*, *acchāū*, *acchijjā* (G. H.); JM. *acchaī*, *acchae*, *acchāmo*, *acchāsu*, *acchaha*, *acchāntassa*, *acchium*, *acchiya*, *acchiyavām* (Erz.; Dvār. 498,12; 500,9; 501,9; Āv. 14,25. 30; 24,17; 26,28; 29,22); AMg. *acchāī* (Āyār. 1,8,4,4; Uttar. 902 ff.), *acchāhi* (Āyār. 2,6,1,10; Vivāhap. 807. 817), *acchējjā* (Hc. 3,160; Vivāhap. 116; Ovav. § 185), Ā. *acchādha* (Mṛcch. 99,16)⁹; P. *acchati*, *acchate* (Hc. 4,319); A. *acchāū* (Hc. 4,406,3). On *acchia* see § 466.

1. Kritische Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft p. 265, note 49.—2. Dictionary s. v. *acchati*.—3. GGA. 1875, 627 f.; BB. 3,155 f.; on Hc. 4,215.—4. Beiträge p. 36.—5. Simplified Grammar. p. 100.—6. In E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 66.—7. Shāhbzgarhi II, 23; KZ. 32,460, note 2.—8. B.-R. s. v. *arch*; PISCHEL, GGA. 1890,532. JOHANSSON

I. c. note 3 wrongly rejects this derivation, since he thinks of *ɛpxovtāt*.—9. On Vr. 12,19 see PISCHEL, KB. 8.143 f.

§ 481. Deviating from Classical Skt. *kram* retains, as not seldom in the Epic in Skt., the short vowel in the parasmaip. : M. *kamanta*, *akkamasi*, *akkamanta*, *nikkamaï*, *nikkhamai*, *viñikkamaï*, *sañkamaï* (G. H.); JM. *kamai* (Rsabhap. 38), *akkamāmo* (Erz. 35,36), *aikkamaï*, *aikkamejjā* (Āv. 47,23; Kk. 271,2.7); AMg. *kamaï* (Vivāhap. 1249), *aikkamaï* (Vivāhap. 136,137), *aikkamanti* (Kappas. S. § 63), *avakkamaï*, *°anti* (Vivāhap. 845,1252), *avakkamejjā* (Āyār. 2,1,10,6), *nikkhamai*, *nikkhamanti* (Vivāhap. 146; Nīraŷāv. § 23; Kappas. § 19), *nikkhamējjā* (Āyār. 2,1,1,7; 2,1,9,2), *nikkhamamāna* (Āyār. 2,2,3,2), *padinikkhamai*, *°anti* (Vivāhap. 187,916; Nāyādh. § 34; p. 1427; Ovav.; Kappas.), *pakkamaï* (Vivāhap. 1249), *vakkamaï*, *°anti* (Vivāhap. 111. 465; Pañnav. 28. 29. 41. 43; Kappas. § 19. 46^b), *viukkamanti* (Vivāhap. 465), metrically also *kammaï* = *krāmyati* (Uttar. 209); S. *adikkamasi* (Ratn. 297,29); S. D. *avakkamadi* (Mṛcch. 97,24; 103,15); *nikkamāni* (Sak. 115,6), *nikkamadi* (Mṛcch. 51,4; Vikr. 16,1), *nikkama* (Mṛcch. 16,10; Sak. 36,12), *nikkamamāna* (Priyad. 17,16; Nāgān. 18,3; Ratn. 306,30; Karp. 85,7). In Mālaūm. 188,2 *parikkāmadi* is a false reading for *paribbhāmadi* or *paribbhāmanti*, as the ed. Bomb. 1892 and the ed. Madras (both *°mandi*) have, at 285,2 stands *parikkamedha*; MG. *adikkamadi* (Mṛcch. 43,10), *avakkamamha*, *ṇiskamadi*, *ṇiskama* (Mṛcch. 22,2; 134,1; 165,22; 166,22). Cf. § 302.

§ 482. Several verbs, that in Sanskrit are of the first conjugation, presuppose in Pkt., as the vowel points, to be of the sixth conjugation. M. *jiai* = **jivatī* for *jīvati*, *jiānti*, *jiaü*, *jiānta*, but also *jīasi*, *iñvejja*, *jiānta* (Hc. 1,101; G. H. R.), S. Mg. have only a long vowel. So S. *jiāmi* (Uttarar. 132, 7; so to be read with ed. Calc. 1831, p. 89,10), *jīvādi* (Mṛcch. 172,6; 325,18), *jīāmo* (Mudrār. 34,10), *jīveam* (Mālav. 55,11), *jīva* (Mṛcch. 145,11; Sak. 33,7; 67,7), *jīadu* (Mṛcch. 154,15); MG. *yīadi*, *yīvāsi*, *yīva*, *yīanta-* (Mṛcch. 12,20; 38,7; 161,19; 170,5; 171,8,9), also *yīvesi* (Mṛcch. 119,21).—*ghisai* = **ghāsati* for *ghāsati* = *ghasti* (Vr. 8,28 [so to be read]; Hc. 4,204; Ki. 4,46 [text *gha°*]; Mk. fol. 55).—*jīmaï* beside *jīmaï*, *jīmmai* (§ 488).—AMg. *bhisanta-* (Ovav.), *bhisamīna* (Nāyādh.), *bhisamāna* (Rāyap. 47. 105), intensive *bhibbhisamīna*, *°māna* (§ 556) from *bhisai* = **bhāsati* for *bhāsati* (§ 109; Hc. 4,203).—*uvvīvai* = **udvīpāte* for *udvīpāte* (§ 236).—The doubling of *l* in M. *alliai*, *uvalliai*, *samalliai* = *älīyate*, *upā°*, *samā°* (§ 196. 474), AMg. causative *aliiyāvei* (§ 551) too may point to the same flexion. Cf. § 194. *ruh* tends to be inflected according to the 6th conjugation, when it is combined with a prefix: M. JM. *āruhai*, *samāruhai*, *samāruhasu* (G. H. R.; Erz.); AMg. *duruhai* = *udrohati* (§ 118; Ovav.; Uvās.; Nāyādh. and often), in Vivāhap. throughout (pro ex. 124,504, 506. 824 f. 980. 1128. 1231.1301.1311.1317.1325 ff.) and elsewhere often as v. l. *duruhaï*, hardly correct, *duruhejjā* (Āyār. 2,3, 1,13. 14), JM. *duruhejjā* (Erz.); AMg. *paccoruhai*, *paccoruhanti* (Ovav.; Kappas.; Nāyādh. also 870. 1354. 1456); Vivāhap. 173. 948), *viruhanti* (Uttar. 356), *āruhai* (Vivāhap. 1273); S. *āruhadha*, *āruha* (Mṛcch. 40,24; 66,14. 17), *āruhadi* (Pras. 35,8), *āruhadu* (Uttarar. 32,6. 7); MG. *āluha* (Nāgān. 68,3), *āluhadu*, *ahiluha*, *ahiluhāmi*, *ahiluhadu* (Mṛcch. 99,8; 119,3. 6,9. 11. 13). The simplex is inflected: M. JM. *rohanti* (G. 727; Dvār. 503,7) and so also *ārohadu* (Sak. 39,12; 97,18; Vikr. 39,2).—*dhau* (to wash) may, according to Hc. 4,238, form *dhāvai* = Skt. *dhāvati*. In M. however, it behaves as of the 6. conjugation: *dhuvasi* (Hc. 2,216 = H. 369), *dhuasi* (H.), *dhuvaï* (Hc. 4,238), *dhuai* (H.), *dhuventa-* (R.). Thence a root *dhu* is deduced, which secondarily is inflected according to the 1. conjugation, as *ru*, *svap* (§ 473. 497): AMg. *dhouasi*, *dhouai* (Nīraŷāv. 77;

Sūyag. 344); also according to the *e*-conjugation *dhowei* (Nirayāv. 76. 77; Nāyādh. 1219. 1220. 1501), *pādhowe nti* (Āyār. 2,2,3,10); JM. *dhowanti* (Āv. 25,22); S. *dhoadi* (Mṛcch. 70,10), inf. *dhoodum* (Mṛcch. 45,5); Mg. *dhowehi*, fut. *dhoissām* (Mṛcch. 45,7. 20). So Pāli *dhowati*. — *hivai*, which Hc. 4,238 mentions beside *havaī*, is derived from *bhu* by Sr.fol.47.—Beside Hc. 4,219, *sidaī* too is in use (PISCHEL on Hc. 4,219). On *pasia* see § 80, on *bhan* § 514.

§ 483. *ghrā*, *pā*, *sthā* build the present stem, as in Skt. by reduplication: *āigghai* = *āigghrati* (Hc. 4,13), *jiggha* = *ghrāta* (Desin. 3,46).—M. *piaī*, *pianti*, *piaū*, *piantu* (G. H. R.), *pivāi* (Nāgān. 41,5), *pīamo* (Karp. 24,9 = Kālēyak. 16,17, where *pibāmo*); JM. *pivāi* (Āv. 30,36; 42,12. 18. 20. 28. 37), *piyaha* = *pibata* (Dvār. 496,35), also *piei* (Erz. 69,1); AMg. *pīvāi* Vivāhap. 1256), *pīva* (Nāyādh. 1332), *pie* (Dasav. 638,26), *pījja* (Āyār. 2,1,1,2), *piyamāne* (Vivāhap. 1253); S. *pivadi* (Viddhaś. 124,4), *pianti* (Mṛcch. 71,10), *pivadu* (Śak. 105,13), *āpivanti* (Mṛcch. 59,24); Mg. *pīvāmi*, *pīvāhi*, *pīvamha* (Venīs. 33,4; 34,2. 15; 35,22), *pianti* (Mṛcch. 113, 21), *pīva* (Prab. 60,9); A. *pīaī*, *pianti*, *pīahu* (Hc. 4,419,1. 6; 422,20). On *pījja* see § 539. — *sthā* forms M. AMg. JM. *cīthai* (Hc. 4,16; H.; Āyār. 1,2,3,5. 6; 1,5,5,1; Sūyag. 310,613; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kk.); JM. *cīthae* (Āv. 36,26; Kk.); AMg. *cīthanti* (Sūyag. 274. 282,291. 612 f.; Kappas.), *cīthante* (Āyār. 1,8,4,10), *cīthējjā* (Āyār. 2,1,4,3 [text false *cē*]); 2,1,5,6. 6,2; 2,3,2,6; Vivāhap. 116. 925), *cīthē* (Āyār. 1,7,8,16), *cīthām*, *acīthām* (Āyār. 1,4,2,2); M. *cīthāu* (H.); JM. *cīthaha* (Kk.); AMg. inf. *cīthittae* (Vivāhap. 513. 1119) beside *thāttae* (Āyār. 2,8,1. 2), particip. nec. *cīthīyava* (Vivāhap. 163); AMg. *acīthāmo* (Sūyag. 734), *parivicīthai* (Āyār. 1,4,2,2), also in the noun *sāmcīthāna* = *avasthāna* (Vivāhap. 55ff.). Whilst *cīthai* is seldom in M., so that Vr. Ki. Mk. do not at all mention it for M., *cīthadi* is the exceptional form in S. (Vr. 12,16; Ki. 5,81 [text *thīthadi*]; Mk. fol. 71; Mṛcch. 27,4; 45,23; 54,4. 10; 57,3; 59,23; 72,10 etc.; Śak. 34,3; 79,11; 155,10; Vikr. 15,12,14; 24,6; 41,9 and exceedingly often), *cīthām* (Mṛcch. 6,8; Vikr. 33,4), *cīthā* (Mṛcch. 65,5; Śak. 12,4; Vikr. 32,5), *cīthamha* (Priyat. 17,4; Mālatim. 255,5), *cīthadha* (Mālatim. 247,4) and very often, also in compounds, as *anucīthadi* (Mṛcch. 151,16; 155,5; Vikr. 41,6), *anucīthāmī* (Prab. 69,3), *anucīthā* (Vikr. 83,1), *anucīthida* (Mṛcch. 54,2; 63,25; Vikr. 80,15), *anucīthādu* (Mṛcch. 3,7; Śak. 1,9; Prab. 3,5) etc. so also Ā. *cītha* (Mṛcch. 99,18); D. *cīthāu* (Mṛcch. 104,2), *anucīthidum* (Mṛcch. 102,19); A. *cīthadi* (Hc. 4,360). Mg. has *cīthadi*, which is pointed to by the manuscriptis (§303), according to Vr. 11,14; Hc. 4,298; Ki. 5,95 [text *cīthā*]; Mk. fol. 75 [MS. *cīthītrā*]. According to Ki. 5,96 P. too has the same form as Mg. Cf. also § 216. 217. Like all roots in -ā (§ 487), *ghrā* and *sthā* too behave according to the 2. and 4. conjugations: M. AMg. *aggħai* = Epic *āghrāti* (H. 641; Nāyādh. § 82; Pannav. 429, 430); M. *aggħāanta* = *āigghrat* (H. 566; R. 13. 82); AMg. *aggħāyaī* (Āyār. p. 136,27. 33); opt. *aggħāijja* (Nandis. 363); AMg. *aggħāyaha*, *aggħāyamāne* (Nāyādh. § 83,104); M. JM. *thāi* = **sthāti* (Vr. 8,26; Hc. 4,16; Ki. 4,76; H. K.; Erz.; Āv. 41,8), M. *nītħāi* (H. R.); JM. *thāha* (Āv. 27,27); A. *thanti* (Hc. 4,395,5); AMg. *thāejjā* (Āyār. 1,5,4,5), *abbħutħanti* = *abhyuttishanti* (Sūyag. 734); JM. *thāyanti* (Rśabhap. 27) corresponding to *thāanti*, *thāaī*, *thāaū* in Vr. 8,25,26; Ki. 4, 75,76 (§487). After *ud*, the vowel, according to the analogy of the *a*-declension, is shortened: *utħāi* (Hc. 4,17); so JM. *utħaha* (Erz. 59,30); A. *utħāi* (Pingala 1,137^a). Usually the flexion with the *e*-stem is selected: AMg. *utħei*

(*Vivāhap.* 161. 1246; *Uvās.* § 193), *abbhuṭṭhei* (*Kappas.*); *JM. utṭhemī* (*Āv.* 41,19), *utṭhei* (*Dvār.* 503,32), *utṭhehi* (*Erz.* 42,3), *samuṭṭhehi* (*Dvār.* 503,27. 31); *Ś. utṭhehi* (*Mṛcch.* 4,14; 18,22; 51,5. 11; *Nāgān.* 86,10; 95,10; *Priyad.* 26,6; 37,9; 46,24; 53,6. 9), *utṭhehi* (*Vikr.* 33,15), *utṭhedu* (*Mṛcch.* 93,5; *Śak.* 162,12), *utṭhedha* (*Mṛcch.* 24,17); *Mg. utṭhehi*, *utṭhedu*, *utṭhedi*, also *utṭhanta-* (*Mṛcch.* 20,21; 134,19; 169,5). Cf. § 309.

§ 484. *daṁs* forms, according to *Hc.* 1,218, *dasaī* (§ 222) corresponding to Skt. *daśati*. So *JM. dasaī* (*Āv.* 42,13); *AMg. dasamāne*, *dasantu* (*Āyār.* 1,8,3,4). *Ś.* forms, with retention of the nasal *daṁsadi* (*Śak.* 160, 1), past passive participle from the present stem: *daṁsido* (*Mālav.* 54,6). — *labh* shows nasal in the stem in *AMg. lambhāmi* (*Uttar.* 103) and in the fut. and passive in *Ś. Mg.* (§ 525. 541). On *khāi* = *khādati* (also *Ki.* 4, 77) and *dhāi* = *dhāvati* see § 165.

§ 485. Of the verbs of the 6th class, those which insert a nasal in the present stem, *lip*, *lup*, *vid* and *sic* are treated as in Skt. From *lip* is found *allivaī* = *ālimpati* (§ 196; *Hc.* 4,39). Beside the *a*-stem the *e*-stem too may be used, as *Ś. siñcedi* (*Śak.* 74,9) beside *siñcamha*, *siñcadī* (*Śak.* 10,3; 15,3). *sic* forms also *secaī* = **secati* (*Hc.* 4,96). — *muc* does not usually insert a nasal in *M. JM. AMg.* (*Hc.* 4,91): *M. muasi*, *muai*, *muanti*, *mua*, *muasu*, *muanta-* (*G. H. R.*; *Śak.* 85,3), *āmuai* (*G.*); *JM. mujaī* (*Āv.* 17,4; *Erz.* 52,8), *mujasu* (*Kk.* 262,19), *mujanto* (*Erz.* 23,34; so to be read); *AMg. mujaī* (*Vivāhap.* 104. 508), *omujāi* (*Āyār.* 2,15. 22; *Vivāhap.* 796. 835. 1208. 1317; *Kappas.*), *mujantesum* = *muñcatsu* (*Nāyād.* § 62. 63), *viñimmuñjamāṇa*, *muñjamāṇa* (*Vivāhap.* 254), *viñimmuñjamāṇi* = *vinirmuñcamāṇā* (*Vivāhap.* 822). So also *JŚ. mujādi* (*Kattig.* 403,383). The nasalized stem too is not seldom in *M. JM. M. muñcaī* (*H.* 614; *R.* 3,30; 4,9; 7,49; 12,14), *muñcanti* (*G.* 258), *muñcaha* (*R.* 15,8; *Karp.* 12,6), *muñcantō* (*Karp.* 67,6; 86,10); *JM. muñca*, *muñcasu*, *muñcaha* (*Erz.*), *muñca*, *muñcanti* (*Kk.* 261,12; 272,7); in *Ś. Mg.* it alone can be used: *Ś. muñcadi* (*Mudrār.* 149,6), *muñca* (*Mṛcch.* 175,21; *Śak.* 60,14; *Ratn.* 316,4; *Nāgān.* 36,4; 38,8), *muñcadu* (*Vikr.* 30,2), *muñcadha* (*Mṛcch.* 154,16; 161,18); *Mg. muñnadu*, *muñcanti* (*Mṛcch.* 31,18,21; 168,19), *muñca* (*Prab.* 50,6). Not seldom is also the *e*-stem: *M. muñcesi* (*H. 928*); *Ś. muñcedi*, *muñcesi* (*Śak.* 51,6; 154,12), *muñcedha* (*Mṛcch.* 161,25; *Śak.* 116,7), *muñcehi* (*Mṛcch.* 326,10; *Vṛṣabh.* 20,15; 59,12). — *krt* (to chop) forms *AMg. kantāi* (*Sūyag.* 360), dialectically *oandaī* = *apakṛntati* (*Hc.* 4,125 = *āccinatti*; cf. § 275). In *AMg.* the root in conjunction with *vi* under retention of the nasalization goes over to the 4. conjugation: *vigiñcaī* = **vikṛntyati*, *vigiñcamāṇe* (*Āyār.* 1,3,4,3; 1,6,2,4); *vigiñca* (*Āyār.* 1,3,2,1; *Uttar.* 170), *vigiñcejjā* (*Āyār.* 2,3,2,6); *absol. viginā* (*Sūyag.* 500. 506). Cf. *kicci* § 271 and *niruñjhāi* § 507.

§ 486. *spr̥s* forms in *AMg.* regularly *phusai* = *spr̥sati*, *phusanti* = *spr̥santi*, *phusani* = *spr̥sanī*, *phusamāne* = *spr̥samānah* (*Āyār.* 1,6,1,3. 3,2. 5,1; 1,7,7, 1; *Vivāhap.* 97. 98. 354. 355. 1288; *Ovav.*). Identical with it are *phusai* *pusai* (polishes; *Hc.* 4,105; *G. H. R.*) and *phusai* (roams about; *Hc.* 4, 161)¹. *Hc.* 4,182 mentions also *phāsai*, *phānsai*, *pharisai*, which presuppose one **spar̥sati*. *phāsai* occurs in *AMg. saṁphāse* = **saṁspar̥set* = *sañspṛ̥set* (*Āyār.* 2,1,3,3. 5,5,9,2. 4. 5. 6. 10,2. 3; 2,3,2,13). *pharisai* is formed as *karisai* = *karsati*, *marisaī* = *marṣati*, *varisai* = *varsati*, *harisai* = *harsati* (*Vr.* 8,11; *Hc.* 4,235; *Ki.* 4,72)². The same type of conjugation is presupposed by *pum̥sai* (polishes; *Hc.* 4,105), *uppum̥sia*, *ōppum̥sia* (*G.* 57. 778 beside *ōppusia* 723), which has been forced into Skt. as *utpum̥saya*³. — *trūṭ* forms also *todaī* = **trōṭati* (*Hc.* 4,116), beside *tudaī* = *trūṭati* and *tuṭṭai*

= *trūtyati*, as *mil* forms *melaī* in M. *melāṇa* (§ 562), AMg. *melanti* (Vivāhap. 950), A. *melavi* (Hc. 4,429,1).—On *kṛ*, *mṛ* see § 477, on *sṛ* § 235, on *phūttai* § 488, note 1.

1. The common basic meaning is “to slip over something, to go away”, which easily yields “to rest”. The derivation from *prōñch* (WEBER, Hāla s. v. *pus*; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 32, 99) is linguistically impossible.—2. References in PISCHEL on Hc. 4,235 and above under § 135.—3. ZACHARIAE, Beiträge zur indischen Lexicographie p.58. The nasal in *pūnsāi* is not original as S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG.32,99 note 2 means, but it is to be explained, as in *phānsāi*, according to § 74. In H. 766 Dhvānyāloka 155,11 reads *mā pūnsā* for *mā pusās*.

§ 487. The 4. class has in Pkt. undergone a wider extension than that in Skt. There are a larger number of roots, that are conjugated according to this class, that were either never or only seldom so conjugated in Skt¹. All the roots and stems ending in a vowel, other than *a*, may according to Hc. 4,240 (cf. Vr. 8,21. 25. 26; Ki. 4,65. 75. 76; Mk. fol. 54) follow this conjugation: *pāāi* = **pāyati* beside *pāi* = *pāti* (protects); *dhāāi*, *dhāi* = *dadhāti*; *thāāi*, *thāi*, 3. plur. *thāanti*, JM. *thāyanti*, A. *thānti* (§ 483); *vikkeāi* beside *vikkei* = **vikrayati*²; *hoaūṇa* beside *hoūṇa* from the stem *ho* = *bhava*, which according to Sr. fol. 47 is inflected also as *hoāmi*, *hoasi*, *hoāi* (§ 476). The duplicity of flexion is sometimes found already in Vedic, as *uvvāāi* = Vedic *udvāyati*, *uvvāi* = Skt. *udvāti*. — *jambhāāi*, *jambhāi* are denominatives from *jrmbhā*. AMg. *jāi* (Sūyag. 540; Uttar. 170), beside the usual M. *jāāi* = *jāyate*, too is formed from *jan* according to a similar analogy. Examples from literature are M. *māasi*, *māāi*, *māanti*, *amāanta-* (H.), JM. *māyanti* (Erz.), S. *nīmmāanta-* (Mālatim. 121,1) from *mā*, which forms *māyate*; A. *māi* (Hc. 4,351,1) too, in addition to *māti*, *mīmīte*, according to Dhātupātha 26,33.—M. *vāāi* (Ratn. 293,3), *vāanti*, *vāanta-* (G. R.), *nīvvāanti*, *nīvvāanta-* (R.), *parivāāi* (G.), *pavvāāi* (R.), S. *vāadi* (Šak 115,2 v. 1.), beside M. *vāi*, *āvāi*, *nīvvāi* (G. H.), JS. *nīvvādi* (Pav. 388,6), M. *vanti* (Karp. 10,2 v. 1.; Dhūrtas. 4,20 v. 1.), yet *vāanti* (Karp. 12,4).—JM. *pañihāāi* (Āv. 33,28); S. *padihāadi* = **pratibhāyati* = *pratibhāti* (Bālar. 135,11) beside *padihāsi* (Vikr. 7,18), *padihādi* (Mṛcch. 71,25 [¹bhā²]); Šak. 12,7; Vikr. 13,2;24,2; Nāgān. 5,9), often with the v. l. *pañihāadi*; M. A. *pañihāi* (Hc. 3,80; G. H.; Hc. 4,441,1); S. *bhādi* (Mṛcch. 73,14), *vihādi* (Prab. 57,2).—S. *pattiāasi* = *pratiyāsi* (§ 281) (Mṛcch. 82,3; Ratn. 301,7;317,9; Nāgān. 37,7 [so correctly the v. l.]), *pattiāadi* (Nāgān. 30,3 [so rightly ed. Calc. 29,8]); Pras. 46,14; Ratn. 309,24; Vikr. 41,10 [so to be read with v. l.]; Mg. *pattiāasi* (Mṛcch. 130,13), *pattiāadi* (Mṛcch. 167,2), *pattiāadha* (Mṛcch. 165,9; Mudrār. 257,4 [so rightly v. l. and ed. Calc. 212,9]), *pattiāanti* (Mṛcch.167,1), passive *pattiāadi* (Mṛcch. 165,13)³. Against this are inflected in AMg. JM. M. completely according to the analogy of the first class: AMg. *pattiyāni* (Sūyag. 1015; Uvās. § 12; Nāyādh. § 133; Vivāhap. 134. 161. 803), *pattiyāi* (Vivāhap. 845), *pattiyānti* (Vivāhap. 841 f.), opt. *pattiējjā* (Pannav. 577; Rāyāp. 250), imperative *pattiyāhi* (Sūyag. 1016; Vivāhap. 134); JM. *pattiyāsi* (Erz. 52,20), *apattianēra* (T. 6,18); M. *pattiāsi*, *pattiāi* (R. 11,90; 13,44); from which the imperative is M. *pattia* (H.), falsely M. *pattihi* (R. 11. 94; the v. l. rightly *pattia*; Kāvyaprakāśa 195,2; v. l. rightly *pattia*) and M. *pattisu* (H. v. l.), in dependence upon the false etymology = *pratihi*. S. *pattiijāmi* (Karp. ed. Bomb. 42,12), *pattiijasi* (Karpas. 31,11) are false; for the first KONOW 40,9 reads *pattiāmi*. — *nhāi* = *snāti* (Hc. 4,14); AMg. *sināi* (Sūyag. 344); JM. *nhāmo* = *snāmāh* (Āv. 17,7); Mg. *snāāmi* = *snāmi* (Mṛcch. 113,21).—Cf. § 313. 314.—AMg. *paccāyānti* (Ovav. § 56) belongs to *jan* (LEUMANN s. v.), likewise *āyānti*, as Kappas. § 17 is to be read according to the v. l.; opt. 1. sing. *payāējjā* (Nirayāv. 59), 2. sing. *payāējjāsi* (Nāyādh. 420). On AMg. *jāi* = *jāyate* see above. Cf. also § 479.

1. LASSEN, Inst. p. 343; PISCHEL, BB. 13,9. — 2. *vikkeai* is more correctly to be taken as the denominative from *vikreya* (§ 511). — 3. There with the help of the v. l. it is to be read: *yam saccakan pi na pattiānadi*. The form *pattiāedi* is likewise false as *S. pattiādedi* at Mrcch. 325,19.

§ 488. The auslaut of the roots ending in a consonant in conjunction with *ya* undergoes the alteration described in the section on Phonology (§ 279—286): *paccaī* = *nṛtyati*; *jujjhaī* = *yudhyate*; *tutṭhaī* = *truyati*; *manṇaī* = *manyate*; *kuppai* = *kupyati*; *lubbhai* = *lubhyati*; *uttammai* = *uttāmyati*; *nassai*, AMg. JM. *nāsai*, M. *nāsai* = *naśyati* (§ 63); *rūsai*, *tūsai*, *sūsai*, *dūsai*, *pūsai*, *sīsai* (Bh. 8,46; Hc. 4,236; Ki. 4,68), AMg. JM. *pāsai* = *paśyati* (§ 63). — According to the *e*-conjugation is formed JM. *tūsedi* (Kattig. 400,335). Deviating from Skt. are conjugated according to the 4. class pro ex. *kukkai*, *kōkkai* = **krukyati* = **kruśyati* = *krośati* (Hc. 4,76)²; *callai* = **calyati* = *calati* (Vr. 8,53; Hc. 4,231) beside the usual *calāi*, also in compounds, as *oallanti* = *avacalanī*, *oallanta-* (R.), *paallāi* (Hc. 4,77), *pariallaī* (Hc. 4,162); *jimmai* = **jīmyati* beside *jimai*, *jemai* = *jemati* (Hc. 4,230; cf. 4,110); *thakkaī* = **sthakyati* (Hc. 4,16)³; **millai* = **mīlyati* = *mīlati* in compounds: *ummillai*, *nimillaī*, *pamillaī*, *sāmillaī* (Vr. 8,54; Hc. 4,232; G. R.; in A. *ummillai* (Hc. 4,354); Mg. *śāmyamadha* = **sāmyamyata* = *sāmyacchata* (Mrcch. 11, 3); S. *ruccadi* = **rucyate* = *rocate* (Vikr. 31,3; 40,18; Mālav. 15,14; 77, 21), A. *ruccaī* (Hc. 4,341,1), beside *roadi* (Mrcch. 7,14; 44,5; 58,14; Šak. 54,4; Vikr. 24,7; 41,18), Mg. *loadi* (Mrcch. 139,16; Šak. 159,3); *laggai* = **lagyati* = *lagati* (Vr. 8,52; Hc. 4,230); S. *olagganti* (Mālav. 39,14), *vilaggantam* (Mrcch. 325,14); Mg. *laggadi* (Mrcch. 79,10); A. *laggai* (Hc. 4,420,5; 422,7), *laggiwi* (Hc. 4,339); Dh. *vajjasī*, *vajjadi*, *vajja* from *vraja* (Mrcch. 30,4. 10; 39,10), S. *vajjamha* (Pras. 35,17), false *vaccasi* (Cait. 57, 2)⁴, Mg. *vayyeṇti*, *paravayyāmi* (Mrcch. 120,12; 175,18). In Mg. A. *vraj* may be conjugated also according to the 9. class: Mg. *vaññāmī*, *vaññan-*
daśā (Lalitav. 566,7. 17), *vaññādi* = **vrajñāti* (Hc. 4,294; Sr. fol. 63)⁵; A. *vuññai*, absol. *vuññeppi*, *vuññeppinu* (Hc. 4,392). AMg. has also *vayāmo* (Sūyag. 268), *vajyanti* (Sūyag. 277).

1. PISCHEL, BB. 13,18 f. In many cases one could think of the flexion according to the 6. class, as it certainly is in *phutṭai* = *sphuta-ti* (Vr. 8, 53; Hc. 4,231). — 2. PISCHEL, BB. 3,256. — 3. PISCHEL, BB. 3,258 f. — 4. Cf. § 202. In Mrcch. 109,19 we should for *vajjissamo* read *bajjissāmo*, fut. pass. from *bandh*; cf. v. l. — 5. In the verse in Mudār. 250,5 we should approximately read *vayyeda* instead of the transmitted *vajjeha*, as with HILLEBRANDT, ZDMG. 39,109 *vaññēdha*. Cf. also *vaññē* (Mallikām. 144,7).

§ 489. Some roots that follow the 4. class in Sanskrit go over to the first class or to the sixth class, partly compulsorily and partly optionally in Pkt. *man* may form *manṇai* = **manate* too (Hc. 4,7) beside the usual *manṇai* = *manyate*. Thereof the 1. sing. pres. ātm. *mane* is frequent in M. (§ 457). M. AMg. JM. A. *muṇai*, JS. *munadi* (Vr. 8,23; Hc. 4,7; Mk.fol. 53; G. H. R.; Acyutaś. 82; Pratāp. 202,15; 204,10; Vikr. 26,8; Āyār. 1,7,8,13; Ovav.; Kappas.; Erz.; Kl.; Hc. 4,346; Pingala 1,85. 86. 90. 95 etc.; Kattig. 398, 303; 399,313. 316; 400,337) and AMg. *munejavva* (Pannav. 33), JS. *mune-dava* (Pav. 380,8; text °*ja*°) too are traced back to *man*. Against this derivation speaks the meaning “to know” as well as Pāli *munāti*. I derive *muṇai* from Vedic *mūta* in *hāmamūta* and Skt. *muni*. Cf. *animō movere*. — As sometimes already in Epic Skt., *śama* in Pkt. may form its stem according to the 1. class: *samaī* (Hc. 4,167), *uvasamaī* (H. 4,239). So M. *padisamaī* (R. 6,44); AMg. *uwasamaī* (Kappas. S. § 59); JM. *uwasamasu* (Erz. 3,13), *pasamanti* (Āv. 16,20); Mg. *waśamadi* (Hc. 4,299 = Venīs. 34,11, where GRILL reads *uvaśammadi*; cf. however, the v. l. and ed. Calc. 71,7). But more frequent is the inflection according to the 4. class, as

in Skt.: M. *nīsammai*, *nīsammanti*; *nīsamasu*, *nīsammanta-* (G.), *pasammai*, *pasammanta-* (G.R.); *parīsāmai* (Hc. 4,167).—*śram* is conjugated according to the 1. class: AMg. *samai* (Uttar. 38); JM. *uvasamanti* (Āv. 35,29); M. JM. *vīsamāmi*, *vīsamasi*, *vīsamāi*, *vīsamāmo*, *vīsamasu*, *vīsamaū* (G.H.R.; Erz.; Hc. 1,43; 4,159); JM. *vīsamamāna* (Dvār. 501,5); S. *vīsama* (Mṛcch. 97,12), *vīsomamha* (Ratn. 302,32), passive *vīsamādu* (Mṛcch. 77,11), *vīssamādu* (Śak. 32,9; Vikr. 77,15).—*vidh* (*vyadh*) goes in M. AMg. JM. according to the 6. class with nasal inserted: M. *vindhanti* (Karp. 30,6); AMg. *vināhai* (Uttar. 788), opt. *vindeῆja* (Vivāhap. 122), *āwindheῆja vā pivindheῆja vā* (Āyār. 2,13,20), also in the causative *āwindhāvei* (Āyār. 2,15,20); JM. *āwindha* (Āv. 38,7,10,35), *āwindhāmo*, *āwindhasu* (Āv. 17,8; 38,33), *oindhai* (Av. 38, 36). In AMg. *vehaī* = *vedhati* (Sūyag 186) goes according to the 1. class, and with *ud* according to the 6. class without nasal: *uvihai* = **udvidhāii* = *udvidhyati* (Nāyādh. 958, 959; Vivāhap. 1388).—*śliṣ* forms *silesai* = **śleśati* = *śliṣyati* (Hc. 4,190) according to the first class.

§ 490. The verbs of the 10. class and the denominatives and causatives, so far as they are built similarly, tend to contract *-aya-* to *-e-*: PG. *abhatthemi* = *abhyarthayāmi* (7,44); M. *kahei* = *kathayāti* (H.), *kaheṇti* (G.); JM. *kahemī*, *kaheha* (Erz.); AMg. *kahei* (Uvās.), *parikahemo* (Nirayāv. 60); S. *kadhehi* = *kathaya* (Mṛcch. 4,14; 60,2; 80,17; 142,9; 146,4; 152,24; Śak. 37,16; 50,12; Vikr. 51,11 etc.), *kadhesu* (Bālar. 53,12; 164,17; 218,16), *kadhedu* = *kathayatu* (Mṛcch. 28,2; Śak. 52,7; 113,12); Mg. *kadhedi* (Śak. 117,5).—M. *ganei* = *ganayati*, *ganeṇta* (R.); *ganesi* (Śak. 156 5).—M. *cintesi*, *cinteṇti*, *cintēum* (G. H. R.); AMg. *cintei* (Uvās.); JM. *cintesi* (Erz.), *cintēnti* (Āv. 43,21); S. *cintemi* (Vikr. 40,20), *cintehi* (Śak. 54,7; Vikr. 46,8; Ratn. 309,13), *cintemo* (Mahāv. 134,11).—S. *takkemi* (Mṛcch. 39,3; 59,25; 79,1,4; 95,3; Śak. 9,11; 98,8; 117,10; 132,11 and very often), likewise Mg. (Mṛcch. 99,11; 122,12; 141,2; 163,22; 170,17); A. *takkei* (Hc. 4,370, 3).—AMg. *pariyāvēnti* = *paritāpavanti* (Āyār. 1,1,6,2); S. *samīvēdi* (Śak. 127,7).—AMg. *vedhei* = *veṣṭayati* (Vivāhap. 447; Nāyādh. 621; Nirayāv. § 11), *varemo* = *varayāmah* (Vivāgas. 229), *vedemo* = *vedayāmah* (Vivāhap. 70). Non-contracted forms are more frequent only before the following double consonants, especially *nt*, as AMg. *tālāyanti* = *tādayanti* (verse; Uttar. 360,365) beside *tālēnti* (Vivāhap. 236), *tālei* (Nāyādh. 1236, 1305), *tāleha* (Nāyādh. 1305), *sobhayantā* (Jiy. 886), *padisamvēyajāyanti* (Āyār. 1,4,2,2); M. *avaāmsaanti* = *avatamsayanti* (Śak. 2,15); JM. *cintayanto*, *cintayantānam* (Erz.); S. *cintaanto* (Vikr. 42,8), *cintaantassa* (Śak. 30,5); P. *cintayamānū*, *cintayanto* (Hc. 4,310, 322); S. *dām̄saantie* = *dārśayantyā*, *dām̄saamha*, *dām̄saāsām*, *dām̄saāssasi*, °*di*; Mg. *dām̄saante* beside S. *dām̄semi*, *dām̄sesi*, *dām̄sehi*, *dām̄semī* (§ 554); S. *pāāsaanto* = *prakāśayan* (Ratn. 313,33) beside M. *paāsei*, *paāsēnti*, *paāsēntiñ* (G.); Mg. *paẏāsēṁha* (text °*se*°) = *prakāśayāma* (Lalitav. 567,1); S. *pesaantena* = *presayatā* (Śak. 140,13); S. *āāsaanti* = *āyāsayanti* (Vṛṣabh. 50,10). More seldom in other cases, as S. *pavesāāmi* (Mṛcch. 41,25) beside S. *pavesehi* (Mṛcch. 68,5); Mg. *pavesehi* (Mṛcch. 118,9, 19); S. *virāāāmi* = *viracyāāmi* (Śak. 79,1), S. *assāsaadi* = *āśvāsayati* (Venīs. 10,4); S. *ciraadi* = *cirayati* (Mṛcch. 59,22); S. *janāadi* = *janayati* (Śak. 131,8), where, however, we should, with the v. l. read *jānedi*, as M. *janei* (H.), *janeṇti* (H. R.); M. *vāṇyaāmo* = *varnayāmah* (Bālar. 182,10). Always in AMg. JM., and in AMg. very frequently is used *dalaya-* “to give”: *dalayāāmi* (Nāyādh. § 94; Nirayāv. § 19; p. 62; Erz. 67,27), *dalayāi* (Vivāgas. 35, 132, 211, 223; Nāyādh. § 55, 125; p. 265, 432, 439, 442, 449; Rāyap. 191 ff.; Āyār. 2,1,10,1; Uvās.; Kappas.; Ovav. etc.), *dalayāmo* (Vivāgas. 230; Nāyādh. 291), *dalayanti* (Vivāgas. 84,209; Nāyādh.

§ 120), *dalajejjā*, *dalajāhi* (Āyār. 1,7,5,2; 2,1,10,6. 7; 2,6,1,10), *dalajāha* (Nirayāv. § 19), *dalajamāne* (Nāyādh. § 113; Kappas. § 103 [so to be read, as § 28 *dalajāi* with A]). Cf. § 474.

§ 491. In Skt. denominatives may be derived from nominal stems without a particular affix, as *aṅkurai* from *aṅkura*, *kṛṣṇati* from *kṛṣṇa*, *darpaṇati* from *darpaṇa* (KIELHORN § 476; WHITNEY § 1054). This sort of formation, that is not frequent in Skt., is very usual in Pkt., especially in M. and A. The denominatives derived from the feminine forms ending in -ā shorten it, as in all similar cases (§ 487. 500. 510 f.) and are conjugated according to the 1. class. Thus from M. *kahā* = *kathā* are derived *kahāmi* *kahasi*, *kahāi*, *kahāmo*, *kahaha*, *kahanti*. From this it results that beside the forms ending in -e=—aya-, mentioned in § 490, those in -a- are found not seldom. So: M. *kahāi* (Hc. 1,187; 4,2; H. 59); AMg. *kahāhi* (Sūyag. 423), *kahasu* (Uttar. 700,703); A. *kahi* = **katheh* = *kathayeh* (Hc. 4,422, 14).—M. *gaṇāi*, *gaṇanti*, *gaṇantī* (H.); A. *gaṇāi*, *gaṇanti*, *gaṇantī* (Hc.; also 4,353).—M. *cintāi*, *cintanta-* (H.), *viintantā* = *vicintayantā* (G.); A. *cintaī*, *cintantāhā* = *cintayatām* (Hc.).—M. *ummūlanti* = *unmūlayanti* (H.), *ummūlanta-* (R.) beside *ummūlēnti* (R.), *kāmantā* = *kāmayamānah* (H.) beside *kāmei* (Hc. 44), *kāmemo* (H.), *kāmṛnti* (G.), *pasāntī* = *prasādayati* beside *pasāesi*, *pasāmānassa* (H.), *papphoḍāi*, *papphoḍantī* = *prasphoṭayati*, *yāntī* (H.), *maūlanti* = *mukulayanti* (H.), *maūlaū* (G.), *maūlanta-* (R.) beside *maūlei*, *maūlēnti* (R.), *maūlīntā* (G.); A. *pāhasī* = *prāthayasi* (Pingala 1,5^a; cf. BOLLENSEN, Vikr. p. 530). *a* is found preponderantly before *nt*, as the uncontracted forms (§ 490). Thence it is possible that the entire formation of these forms has developed. A *gaṇāntī* = Skt. *gaṇayanti* must have through **gaṇāntī* become *gaṇāntī*, whence are deduced *gaṇāmi*, *gaṇasi*, *gaṇāi*. In S. Mg. the forms with *a*, except in verses, are never found. In no case we can assume transition of *e* into *a¹*. On the causatives see also § 551 ff., on the denominatives § 557 ff.

i. WEBER, Hāla¹ p. 60; cf., however, there the note 4.

§ 492. The roots in -a of the 2. class are conjugated as in Skt. or according to the 4. class (§ 487). *khyā* in conjunction with prefixes may in AMg. be conjugated according to the 2. class: *akkhāi* = *ākhyāti* (Vivāhap. 966); *akkanti* = *ākhyānti* (Sūyag. 456. 465. 522); = *āghām* = *ākhyān* (Sūyag. 397), *paccakkhāmi* (Uvās.), *paccakkhāi* (Thān. 119; Vivāhap. 119. 607; Uvās.); *paccakkhāmo* (Ovav.). Dh. *akkanto* (Mṛcch. 34,24) is a false reading for *ācakkhanto* (§ 499). However, in AMg., as in Pāli, the roots are mostly reduplicated and inflected according to the a-conjugation as *ghrā*, *pā*, *sthā* (§ 483)¹: *āikkhāmī* = **ācikhyāmi* (Sūyag. 579; Thān. 149; Jiv. 343; Vivāhap. 130. 139. 142. 325. 341. 1033), *āikkhaī* (Sūyag. 620; Āyār. 2,15,28,29; Vivāhap. 915.1032; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.) = Pali *ācikkhati*; *samcikkhaī* (Āyār. 1,6,2,2), *āikkhāmo* (Āyār. 1,4,2,5), *āikkhanti* (Āyār. 1,4, 1,1; 1,6,4,1; Sūyag. 647. 969; Vivāhap. 139. 341; Jiv. 343), *abbhāikkhāi*, *abbhāikkhējjā* (Āyār. 1,1,3,3), *abbhāikkhanti* (Sūyag. 969); *paccāikkhāmī* (Āyār. 2,15,5,1), *āikkhe*, *āikkhējjā* (Āyār. 1,6,5,1; 2,3,3,8; Sūyag. 661.663), *padijāikkhe* (Āyār. 1,7,2,2), *padisamcikkhe*, *samcikkhe* (Uttar. 103. 106), *āikkhāhi* (Vivāhap. 150), *āikkhaha* (Āyār 2,3,3,8 ff.; Nāyādh. § 83), *āikkhamāna* (Ovav. 59), *paccāikkhamāṇa* (Vivāhap. 607), *samcikkhamāṇa* (Uttar. 440).

i. PISCHEL, BB. 15,126. The usual derivation from *caks* (§ 499) is wrong.

§ 493. i is inflected mostly as in Skt. The 3. plur. parasmaipada nevertheless is M. AMg. *ēnti* (G. R.; Kāleyak. 3,8; Āyār. p. 15,6), likewise in compounds: M. *anñeēnti* = *anyayanti* (R.); M. *ēnti* = *āyanti* (R.;

Dhūrtas. 4,20 Karp. 10,2); M. AMg. *uvēnti* = *upayanti* (G.; Āyār. 2,16,1; Sūyag. 468; Dasav. 627,12), AMg. *samuvēnti* (Dasav. 635,2). AMg. has for it also *inti* (Panḍav. 43), *niinti* = *niyanti* in the sense of *niryanti* (Panḍhāv. 381,382), *palinti* = *paryanti* (Sūyag. 95,134), *sāmpalinti* (Sūyag. 52), *uvinti* (Sūyag. 259) and *uvinte* (Sūyag. 271), *samanninti* = *samanuyanti* (Ovav. [§ 37]). It is obvious to consider *e* as the original, that developed on the analogy of the sing. *emi*, *esi*, *ei* and to derive *i* from it according to § 84. If, however, AMg. *niinti* is the correct reading, it cannot be separated from M. *ninti* (G.; H. v. l.; R.), *vininti* (Dhvanyāloka 237, 2 = H. 954), *ānti* (G.), *parinti* (R.), which then must be explained as having arisen from *niinti*, **nīnti*, **viñinti*, **viññinti*, **āñnti*, **añnti*, **pariñnti*, *inti*, as Pāli too has, is formed according to **imo*, **iha* = Skt. *imāh*, *itha*. The participle is JM. *into* (Dvār. 499,27); it occurs in M. *ñinta-* (G.H.R.), *vininta-* (G.), *ānta-*, *parinta-*, (R.), *parininta-* (Sarasvatik. 9,21)² = *niyant-*, *viniyant-*, *atiyant-*, *paryant-*, **pariniyant* too. *e*, in the v. l. *ñēnti* (G.H.R.), *viñēnti* (Sarasvatik. 206,25)³ must be explained as having arisen from *i* according to § 119, in the same way as in the forms *ēnti*, *aññēnti*, *uvēnti*, cited above. From the plural forms **āmo*, **āha* = *atimah*, *atitha*, **ñimo*, **ñīha* = *nīmah*, *nītha*, **parīmo*, **parīha* = *parīmah*, *parītha* and others a singular is deduced : M. *āii* = **atīii* (Hc. 4,162; R.), *ñīsi* = **nīsi* (R.); M. JM. *ñīi* = **nīti* (G. H. R.; Áv. 41,13, 22'), M. *parīi* = **parīli* (Hc. 4,162; R.).⁴ AMg. gives the regular forms *ei* (Āyār. 1,3,1,3; 1,5,1,1,4,3; Sūyag. 328, 460), *accei* (Āyār. 1,2,1,3, 6,4; 1,5,6,3; Sūyag. 540), *uei* = = *udei* (Sūyag. 460), *ueu* (Āyār. 2,4,1,12; text *udeu*), *uei* = *upaii* (Āyār. 1,2,6,1; 1,5,1,1; Sūyag. 268, 523) etc. AMg. *ejjāsi* (Āyār. 2,6,1,8) is = *eyāh*; imp. is *ejjāhi* (Āyār. 2,5,1,10). On *i* with *palā* see § 567.—*śi* forms in AMg. *sayaă*, *āsayāi* (Kappas. § 95); opt. *sae* (Āyār. 1,7,8,13) and *saejjā* (Āyār. 2,2,3,25, 26), particip. pres. *sayamāna* (Āyār. 2,2,3,26). Monstrous is S. *serade* (Mallikām. 291,3).

I. E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 96, — 2. So correctly ZACHARIAE, KZ. 28,414. — 3. So correctly ZACHARIAE, KZ. 28,415 — 4. On these forms treated collectively by S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 32,110 ff. and ZACHARIAE, KZ. 28,411 ff., where further literature. The establishment of a root *ni* “to go beyond” is impossible. From the Satapathabrahmaṇa *ubanayati* (OLDENBERG, KZ. 27,281) and Pkt. *niñāi* + * *nirnayati* (Hc. 4,162) is deduced a root *ni* “to go”, which occurs in AMg. *nae=nayet* (§ 411; note 2; Āyār. 2,16,5), but that has nothing to do with *ñīi*, as the flexion and parallel forms *āii*, *parīi* show. The assumption that *ni* stands in the sense of *ñīi* simply creates difficulty. Further instances on this are wanting. It is impossible to derive *ni*, with WEBER, ZDMG. 26,741, from *nis* “weakened”.

§ 494. The roots ending in *-u*, *-ū* of the second class mostly go over to the first class: *panhaaīi* = *prasnauti*, *ravaīi* = *rauti*, *savaīi* = *sūte*, *pasavaīi* = *prasūte*, *ariñhavamāna* = *anirhuvāna*; *hnu* goes over to the 6th class too (§ 473). *stu* is conjugated in M. JM. AMg. as a verb of the 9. class in Pkt.: *thunaīi* (Hc. 4,241; Sr. fol. 49), *thunimo* (Bālar. 122,13); AMg. *sām-thunaīi*, absol. *sāmthunīttā* (Jiv. 612), *abhiithunānti* (Vivāhap. 833), *abhiithu-namāñā*, *abhisāmthunamāñā* (Kappas. § 110, 113); JM. *thunēi* according to the *e*-conjugation (Kk. II, 508,23), absol. *thunīya* (Kk. II. 508,26). In S. Mg. it follows the 5. class: S. *uvathuñnanti* = **upastunvanti* (Uttarar. 10,9; 27,3; so to be read; cf. LASSEN; Inst. p. 264 note); Mg. *thunu* (Mṛcch. 113,12; 115,9). The passive *thuvvai* (§ 536) presupposes a flexion also according to the 6. class = **thuvaīi* = Skt. **stuvātī*, the absol. JM. *thoñna* (Kk. 277,31; II, 507,25; III, 513,3) one **stotvāna*.—*brū* has retained its old flexion of Skt. in the frequent AMg. *bemi* = *bravīni* (§ 166; Hc. 4,238; Āyār. p. 2 ff.; 8 ff.; Sūyag. 45, 84, 99, 117, 159, 200, 322, 627, 646f. 863, 950; Dasav. p. 613 f.; 618,16; 622 ff.). From it is built a 3. plur. AMg. JM. *bēnti* (Dasav. N. 651,5. 16. 20; 658,25; 661,8; Erz. 4,5),

binti (Sūyag. 236); the 1. plur. is AMg. *būma* (Uttar. 784; verse), the imp. *būhi* (Sūyag. 259. 301. 553). On the optative *būjā* see § 464. In A. it goes according to the 6. class : *bruwaha* = *brūta* (Hc. 4,391); AMg. *buija* presupposes the same flexion (§ 565).

§ 495. The roots *rud*, *śvas* and *svap* have completely gone to the *a*-conjugation. *rud* is conjugated according to the 6. class in M. and mostly in JM. A. too: M. *ruāmi*, *ruasi*, *ruai*, *ruanti*, *rua*, *ruehi*, *ruasu* (H. R.; Dhvanyāloka 173,3 = H. 966); JM. *rujāsi* (Āv. 13,33; 14,27), *rujāi* (Āv. 14,26), *rujasu* (Sagar. 6,11), *rujaha* (Āv. 14,28), *rujanti* (Āv. 13,33; Erz. 15,24), *rujantie* (Erz. 22,36), *rujamāṇi* (Erz. 43,19), *rujāmāṇīm* (Āv. 14, 26); A. *ruahi* = *rodi* (Hc. 4,383,1), *ruai* (Piṅgala 1,137^a). More seldom is the flexion according to the 6. class in AMg. JM.A.:AMg. *rojanti* (Sūyag. 114); JM. *rojāi* (Āv. 17,27), particip. fem. *rojantī* (Āv. 12,34); JM. AMg. *rojāmāṇā* (Erz. 66,24; Uttar. 169; Vivāhap. 807; Vivāgas. 77. 118. 155. 225. 239. 240); A. *roi* = **rodeh* = *rudyāh* (Hc. 4,368), *roantē* = *rudatū* (Vikr. 72,11). This alone is prevalent in S. Mg., as S. *rodasi* (Mṛcch. 95,22), *roadi* (Mṛcch. 95,5; Venīs. 58,20 [so to be read for *roidi* with v. l. as also Uttarar. 84,2]), *roanti* (Venīs. 58,15), *roda* (Mṛcch. 95,12; Nāgān. 24,8. 12; 86,10 [*roa*]), *rodiḍum* (Śak. 80,8; Ratn. 318,27), also according to the *e*-conjugation *rodesi*. (Mālatim. 278,7), as with the v. l. for *rodi* of the text we should read, if one will not like to read with the ed. Bomb. 1892 p. 207,3 and the ed. Madras. II, 65,4 *rodiadi* [both texts *rodiadi*], as stands also at Ratn. 318,9 and Mudrār. 263,6; Mg. *loda*, *loda-māṇaśā* (Mṛcch. 20,25; 158,12). The flexion according to the 6. class is found in Mg. only in Mṛcch. 158,7. 9 *luadi* in verses; in S. stands *rudatu* [sic] Viddhaś. 87,9 in both the editions, certainly falsely. Cf. § 473.

§ 496. *śvas* is inflected: M. *sasāi*, particip. pres. *parasmaip. sasanta-* (H. R.), *āsasāi* (G.), *āsasu* for *āsasasu* (H.), *ūsasāi*, *ūsasantā-* (Hc. 1,114; G. R.), *samūsasantī*, *samūsasantā-* (G. H.), *nīsasāi*, *nīsasantā-* (Hc. 4,201; G. H.), *vīsasāi* (Hc. 4,43; H. 511 v. l.); AMg. *ussasāi* (Vivāhap. 112), *ūsasantī* (Vivāhap. 26. 852; Pañnav. 320 ff. 485), *ūsasejjā*, *ūsasantāne* (Āyār. 2,2,3,27), *nīssasāi*, *nīssasantī* (Vivāhap. 112.852; Pañnav. 320 ff. 485), *nīssasantā* (Vivāhap. 1253; Āyār. 2,2,3,27), *vīsase* (Uttar. 181); S. *nīsasantī*, *nīsasadi* (Mṛcch. 39,2; 69,8; 70,8; 79,1), *visasāmi*, *visasadi* (Śak. 65,10; 106,1), *samassasa* = *samāśasati* (Vikr. 7,6; 24,20; Ratn. 327,9; Venīs. 75,2; Nāgān. 95,18), *samassasadu* (Mṛcch. 53,2. 23; Śak. 127,14; 142,1; Vikr. 71,19; 84,11; Ratn. 319,28 and often, also Venīs. at 93,16 with the ed. Calc. 220,1 to be read), *samassasadha* (Vikr. 7,1); Mg. *śāsadi*, *śāsantā* (Mṛcch. 38,8; 116,17), *ūśāsādu* (Mṛcch. 114,20), *śāmuśāsādi* (Mṛcch. 133,22), *nīśāsādu* (Mṛcch. 114,21), *śāmāśāsādu* (Mṛcch. 130,17).

§ 497. *svap* as a rule follows the 6. class : M. *suasi*, *suvasi* = **supāsi* (H.), *suaī* (Hc. 4,146; H.), *suvaī* (Hc. 1,64), *suanti* (G.), *suvasu*, *suaha* (H.); JM. *suvāmi* (Erz. 65,7), *suyaī* (76,32), *suyaū* (Erz. 50,13; Dvār. 503,3), *suyantassa* (Erz. 37,12), *suyamāṇo* (Dvār. 503,4); S. *suvāmi* (Karnas. 18,19); *suveṁha* (Mṛcch. 46,9), particip. nec. *suvidavvām* (Mṛcch. 90,20); A. *suahī* = *svapanti* (Hc. 4,376,2). The secondary root *suv* = *sup* is sometimes conjugated according to the 1. class, just as *rovaī* beside *ruvāi* and *dhovaī* beside *dhuvaī* (§ 473. 482); *sovāi* (Hc. 1,64); JM. *sovēntī* (Dvār. 503,28), inf. *sovūm* (Dvār. 501,7); A. particip. nec. *soevā* (Hc. 4,438,3).

§ 498. With the exception of AMg., in all the dialects the 1. and 2. sing. and plur. of *as* are used only as enclitics, in which the sing. forms loose the initial *a* (§ 145). Sing. M. JM. S. *mhi*, *si*, Mg. *smi* (text *mhi*) *si*. The 1. plur. reads according to Vr. 7,7 *mha*, *mho*, *mhu*, according to Hc. 3,147; Ki. 4,9; Sr. fol. 50 only *mha*, *mho*. We have found in the text M.

mha and *mho* (H.), Š. *mha* (Šak. 26,11; 27,6; 55,13; 58,6; Vikr. 23,8. 14 etc.) corresponding to *sma* of the Epic. The very seldom 2. plural is M. *ttha* (R. 3,3). In AMg. the 1. sing. is *ansi* (§ 74.313; Āyār. 1,1,1,2,4; 1,6, 2,2; 1,6,4,2; 1,7,4,2; 1,7,5,1; Sūyag. 239. 565 ff. 689), enclitic *mi* (Uttar. 113. 116. 406. 439. 574. 590. 597. 598. 615. 625. 708; Kappas. § 3. 29), as also in JM. (Āv. 28,14. 15; Erz. 65,10; 68,21) the 1. plur. *mo* (Āyār. 1,1,2,2. 3,4 [so to be read according to § 85]), as also JM. has (Āv. 27,4). The 3. sing. in all the dialects is *atthi*, that in Mg. is to be equated as *asti*. *atthi* is used for all persons of the sing. and plur. as a non-enclitic form (Hc. 3, 148; Sr. fol. 50). Thus Š. *atthi dāva aham* (Mudrār. 42,10; 159,12); Mg. *asti dāva hage* (Mudrār. 193,1; cf. v. l.); plur. AMg. *natthi sattovavājā = na santi sattvā upapāditāh* (Sūyag. 28), *natthi nām tassa dāragassa hatthā vā pāyā vā kāññā vā = na sto nūnām tasya dārakasya hastau vā pādau vā karṇau vā* (Vivāgas. 11); JM. *jassa ḍītāhā natthi = yasyauṣṭhau na stah* (Āv. 41,6); Š. *atthi aṇṇāim pi candaūttassa kovakāraṇāim cānakke = santi anyāny api candra-guptasya kopakāraṇāni cānakye* (Mudrār. 164,3; so to be read; cfr. v. l. and ed. Calc. Saṁvat 1926 p. 141,14). The 3. plur. *santi* is seldom: AMg. (Uttar. 200; Āyār. 1,1,2,2; 2,1,4,5; Sūyag. 585); JŚ. (Pav. 383,74; 385, 65); Mg. *santi* (Venīs. 34,21; cf., however, v. l.). From the imp. is found in AMg. *tthu* in the combination *namo tthu nām* (Hc. 4,283; 380. 760; Ovav. § 20,87; Kappas. § 16). The optative is AMg. *siyā* (§ 464). On the use of *atthi*, *santi*, *siyā* at the beginning of the sentence, as well on the pronominal use of *amhi*, *ammi*, *mmi* see § 417. Accordingly we have the following flexion:

Sing.

Plur.

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. AMg. <i>ansi</i> , <i>mi</i> ; M. JM. JŚ. Š. <i>mhi</i> , | 1. M. <i>mho</i> , <i>mha</i> ; Š. <i>mha</i> ; Mg. <i>sma</i> ; |
| JM. also <i>mi</i> ; Mg. <i>smi</i> . | AMg. <i>mo</i> , <i>mu</i> ; JM. <i>mo</i> . |
| 2. M. JM. Š. <i>si</i> ; Mg. <i>si</i> . | 2. M. <i>ttha</i> . |
| 3. M. JM. AMg. JŚ. Š. <i>atthi</i> ; Mg. <i>asti</i> . | 3. M. AMg. JŚ. <i>santi</i> ; Mg. <i>santi</i> . |

Opt. AMg. *siyā*; imp. AMg. *tthu*. On the imperf. *āsi* see § 515.

§ 499. The remaining roots that in Skt. behave as of the 2. class go over to the *a*-conjugation and are conjugated according to the 1. class. So one says: AMg. *ahiyāsae* = *adhyāste* (Āyār. 1,8,2,15) and = *adhyāsīta* (Āyār. 1,7,8,8 ff.); AMg. *pajjuvāsāmi* = *paryupāse* (Vivāhap. 916; Nirayāv. § 3; Uvās.), *pajjuvāsai* (Vivāhap. 917; Nirayāv. § 4; Uvās.), *pajjuvāsāhi*, *pajjuvāsējjāhi* (Uvās.); *pajjuvāsanti* (Ovav.).—M. *niacchāi* = **nicakṣati* = *nīcaṣte* (Hc. 4,181; R. 15,48), *niacchāmi* (Šak. 119. 7), *niacchae*, *niacchaha*, *niacchanta-*, *niacchamāṇa*, also according to the *e*-conjugation, *niacchesi* (H.), *avaacchāi*, *avaakkhaī*, *avakkhaī*, *oakkhaī* = *avacaste* (Hc. 4, 181; *avakkhaī* also Vr. 8,69); AMg. *avayakkhaī* (Nāyādh. 958); Š. *ācakka* (Ratn. 320,32), past pass. part. of the pres. stem *ācakkhida* = **ācakṣita* (Šak. 63,15 [so to be read]; 77,14; 160,15), *āṇācakkhida* (Vikr. 80,4); Mg. *ācaskadi* (Hc. 4, 297), *anācaskida* (Mṛcch. 37,21); Dh. *ācakkhanto* (Mṛcch. 34,24; so to be read; cf. v. l. in GODABOLE p. 101,4); A. *āakkhahi* (Vikr. 58,8; 59,14; 65,3), *āakkhiu* (Vikr. 58,11); Š. inf. *paccācakkhidum* (Šak. 104,8). Cf. § 324.—JŚ. *padusedi* (Pav. 384,49) is not = *praduṣti*, as the translation suggests, but = *praduṣyatī* and enters for the explanation of AMg. JM. JŚ. *dosa* (§ 129). *sāhāi* = *sāste* (Hc. 4,2); M. JM. *sāhāmi*, *sāhāi*, *sāhāmo*, *sāhanī*, *sāhasu* (H. R.; Erz.; Kk.), also according to *e*-conjugation: *sāhemī*, *sāhēntī*, *sāhesu*, *sāhehi*, *sāheu*, *sāhēntī* (H. R.; Erz.; Kk.); of the weak root *śis* according to the 4. class: *sīsaī* (Hc. 4,2), seen up

till now only as passive = *sīsyate* (G. R.); AMg. *anusāsamī* = **anuśā-*
sāmi = *anuśāsmi* (Uttar. 790)¹, *anuśāsanti* (Sūyag. 517; Uttar. 33); passive
D. *sāsijjaī* (Mrcch. 103,16), Š. *sāsiadi* (Mrcch. 155,6); Mg. *sāsadi* (Mrcch.
158,25). — M. *haṇāī* = *hanti* (H. 214), *nīhananti*, and according to the *e*-
conjugation *nīhanemi* (R.); AMg. *haṇāī* (Vivāhap. 254. 850 f.), *haṇāī*
(Vivāhap. 849 f.), in the verse also *haṇāī* (Uttar. 630), *abhihaṇāī* (Vivāhap.
348), *saṁohaṇāī* (Vivāhap. 114. 212 ff. 420; Nāyādh. § 91. 96; p. 1325;
Kappas.); JŚ. *nīhanādi* (Kattig. 401,339); AMg. *haṇāha* (Uttar. 365),
hananti (Sūyag. 110), *saṁohaṇanti* (Rāyap. 32. 45). *sāhananti* = *saṁghnanti*
(Vivāhap. 137.138.141), in the verse also *vinīhananti* (Sūyag. 339), opt. *haṇījā*
haṇijjā, *haṇējjā*, *haṇē* (§ 464), imp. *haṇāha* (Sūyag. 596; Āyār. 1,7,2,4);
JM. *āhanāni* (Āv. 28,2), *haṇāī* (Erz. 5,32), imp. *haṇa* = *jahi* (Erz. 2,15),
opt. *āhanējjāsī* (Āv. 11,1); Š. *pādihaṇāmī* = *pratihaṇmī* (Mudrār. 182, 7
v. l.), *vīhananti* (Prab. 17,10); Mg. *āhaṇedha* (Mrcch. 158,18); A. *haṇāī*
(Hc. 4,418,3).

i. JACOBI, SBE. 45,151, note 1 wrongly likes to read *apusasammi*. Cf. § 74. 182.

§ 500. The 3. class of Skt. has been retained in Pkt. just in scanty
remnants. For *dā* in the present is used *de-* = *daya-* (§ 474), mostly in
AMg., sometimes in JM. *dalayā-* (§ 490). — Of *dhā*, the old stem *dahā-*
= *dadhā-* has generally been retained only in compounds with *sad* = *śrad*, which
behaves almost exclusively according to the *a*-conjugation, as sometimes
also in Vedic and Epic Skt. and in Pāli *dahati*¹. So *saddahaī* = *śraddadhāti*
(Vr. 8,33; Hc. 4,9; Ki. 4,46; Sr. fol. 57); M. *saddahimo* = *śraddadhāmāḥ*
(H. 23), past passive participle of the present stem *saddahia* (Bh. 8,33;
Hc. 1,12; Acyutaś. 8); AMg. *saddahāmī* (Vivāhap. 134. 1316; Nirāyāv.
60; Uvās. § 12. 210; Nāyādh. § 132), *saddahaī* (Vivāhap. 845; Pannav. 64;
Uttar. 805), in the verse also with old flexion *saddahāī* (Uttar. 804); JŚ.
saddahadi (Kattig. 399,311); opt. *saddahe* (Uttar. 170), *saddahejjā* (Rāyap.
250; Pannav. 577. 583), imp. *saddahasu* (Sūyag. 151) and *saddahāī* (Vivā-
hap. 134; Rāyap. 249. 258); JM. *asaddahanto* (Āv. 35,4); AMg. *saddaha-
māna* (Hc. 4,9; Āyār. 2,2,2,8). In AMg., in addition, is found also *ādhaī*
(Ovav. § 44), *ādhānti* (Sūyag. 286). Cf. § 222. Otherwise *dhā*, as all
other roots in -ā (§ 483. 487), is inflected according to the 2. or 4. class:
dhāī, *dhāī* (Hc. 4,240); M. *saṁdhanteṇā* = *saṁdadhātā* (R. 5,24); AMg.
JM. with cerebralization (§ 223) very frequently: *ādhāmī* (Āyār. 1,7,2,2;
Vivāhap. 1210), *ādhāī* (Thān. 156. 285. 479 ff.; Vivāgas. 460. 575; Nirā-
yāv. § 8. 18. 19. p. 61 ff.; Rāyap. 78. 227. 252; Uvās. § 215. 247; Nāyādh.
§ 69; p. 460.575; Vivāhap. 228.234; Āv. 27,3), AMg. *ādhānti* (Vivāgas. 458;
Vivāhap. 239), *ādhāyānti* (Vivāhap. 245; Nāyādh. 301.302.305), *ādhāhim*
(Vivāgas. 217; cf. § 456), *ādhāha* (Nāyādh. 938) and *ādhaha* (Vivāhap. 234),
ādhāmāna (Vivāhap. 240); *ādhāyamīṇā* (Āyār. 1,7,1,1; 1,7,2,4,5), *anādhāyā-
mīṇā* (Āyār. 1,7,1,2) and *anādhāyamāna* (Uvās. [so to be read; see v. l. ;
Vivāgas. 217; Rāyap. 282], passive *anādhāyījamāṇā* (Vivāhap. 235; Uvās.).
Like *sthā* (§ 483), *dhā* with prefixes usually goes over to the *e*-conjugation:
M. *saṁdhēi* (H. 733; R. 15,76), *saṁdhēnti* (R. 5,56), *saṁdhinti* (G. 1041; so to be
read; see v.l.); *vihesi* (G. 332; read *khambhehi* va *vihesi* and cf. v.l.); AMg.
saṁdhēi (Āyār. 1,1,1,6), *saṁdhēmāṇā* (Āyār. 1,6,3,3), opt. *nihe* (Āyār. 1,2,
5,3; 1,4,1,3), *pihe* (Sūyag. 129); JM. *āśaṁdhēi* (Āv. 46,25); Š. *anusaṁdhēmī*
(Karp. 70,3), *anusaṁdhēda* (Karp. 23,1). AMg. *saṁdhāī* (Sūyag. 527),
**nihaī*, **pihaī* is the connecting link.—*hā-* forms AMg. *jahāī* (Sūyag. 174.
176), *jahāī* (Sūyag. 118), *jahaī* (Thān. 281), *pajahāmī* (Uttar. 377), *vippajahā-
mī* (Vivāhap. 1237. 1242), *vippajahāī* (Vivāhap. ; Ovav.), *vippajahānti*
(Sūyag. 633. 635. 978), opt. *jahē* (Āyār. 2,16,9), *pajahījja* and *pajahējjā*
(Sūyag. 128. 147), *pajahē* (Sūyag. 410), *pajahē* (Uttar. 456), *vippajahē*

(Uttar. 244), imp. *jahāhi* (Sūyag. 414), particip. *vippajahamāṇā* (Vivāhap. 1385); JŚ. *jahādi* and *jahadi* (Pav. 383,24; 385,64). According to the 4. class: AMg. *hāycī* (Thān. 294 f.); future S. *parihāissadi* = *parihāsyate* (Śak. 2,1). — *mā* see § 487.

i. FISCHER, BB. 15, 121.

§ 501. *bhī* shows the old flexion in *bihemi* = *bibhemi*, *bihei* = *bibheti* (Hc. 1,169, 4,238). M. JM. *bihāi* (Vr. 8,19; Hc. 3,134. 136; 4,53), *bihante* (Hc. 3,142), JM. *bihasu* (Erz. 81,34), dragged to *bhī*, and M. *bihei* (H. 311. 778), JM. *bīhehi* (Erz. 35,33; 83,7), *bihesu* (Erz. 82,20), formed according to the *e*-conjugation, do not belong to *bhī*, but is = **bhīsatī* from *Vbhīś*, of which only the causative is used in Skt. AMg. *bihāṇa*, *bihāṇaga* (§ 213. 263) proves it. Generally *bhī* is conjugated as the *ai*-roots (§ 479), always in S. Mg. So JM. *bhāyasu* (Erz. 31,18); S. *bhāāmi* (Vikr. 24,13; 33,11), *bhāadi* (Ratn. 301,18; Mālav. 63,12), *bhāāhi* (Śak. 90,12; Mālav. 78,20; Ratn. 300,10; Priyad. 16,18; 21,5; Mallikām. 293,15); Mg. *bhāāmi*, *bhāāsi* (Mṛcch. 124,22. 23; 125,21). In M. according to the analogy of the *a*-roots too (§ 479); *bhāi* (Vr. 8,19; Hc. 4,53); *bhāsu*, v. l. *bhāhi* (H. 583). — *hu* (to offer) goes over to the 9. class in AMg.: *hunāmi*, *hunāsi* (Uttar. 375), *hunāi* (Vivāhap. 910); also with retention of reduplication: AMg. *juhūnāmi* (Thān. 436. 437). Cf. Skt. *hunet* in BÖHTLINGK s. v. *hun* (!).

§ 502. Remnants of the 5. class of Skt. are found almost only in S. and there they are doubtful. Most of the roots of the 5. class have gone over to the 9. class, but prevalently they behave according to the *a*- and *e*-conjugations: AMg. *samciṇu* (Uttar. 170); S. *avacīnomi* (Mālatīm. 72,5 [ed. Bomb. 1892 p.53,1 and ed. Madras. 61,3 *avañnummi*]; Unmattar. 6,19), *avaciṇumō* (Pārvatip. 27,14), *uccīṇosi* (Viddhaś. 81,9; both the editions; nevertheless doubtful); false forms also Priyad. 11,4; 13,15. 17. Against that *cinaī* (Vr. 8,29; Hc. 4,238. 241), fut. *cīṇihī* (Hc. 4,243), passive *cīṇijjāi* (Hc. 4,242. 243); *uccīṇai* (Hc. 4,241); M. *uccīṇasu*, *samuccīṇai* (H.), *viciṇanti* (G.); AMg. *cīṇai* (Uttar. 931. 937. 942. 948. 952 etc.; Vivāhap. 112. 113. 136. 137), *uvacīṇai* (Uttar. 842; Vivāhap. 113. 136. 137) and *samcīṇai* (Uttar. 205), *uvacīṇai* (Vivāhap. 38. 39), *cinanti* (Thān. 107; Vivāhap. 62. 182), *uvacīṇanti* (Thān. 108; Vivāhap. 62); S. imp. *avacīṇamha* (Śak. 71,9; Mālatīm. 111,2. 7 [so to be read; cf. v. l.; Cait. 73,11; 75,12 [°nu]], past passive participle *viciṇida* (Mālatīm. 297,5), also according to the *e*-conjugation: S. *uccīnedi* (Karp. 2,8), inf. *avacīnedūm* (Lalitav. 561,8). In M. Mg. A. *ci* is conjugated according to the 1. class too: *uccei* (Hc. 4,241, H. 159), *ucceṇti* (G. 536), imp. *ucceu* (Sr. fol. 49), inf. *ucceūm* (H. 159); Mg. *śamcīehi* (Venīs. 35,9), A. opt. *samci* (Hc. 4,422,4); likewise *mi* in M. *nimesi* (G. 296). Cf. 473.

§ 503. *dhu* (*dhū*) forms AMg. *dhuṇāī* (verse; Āyār. 1,4,4,2), usually M. AMg. *dhunaī* (Vr. 8,56; Hc. 4,59. 241; Ki. 4,73; G. 437; H. 532; R. 15,23; Viddhaś. 7,2; Sūyag. 321), opt. AMg. *dhuṇe* (Āyār. 1,2,6,3; 1,4,3,2; 1,5,3,5; Sūyag. 408. 550); AMg. *vihuṇāmi* (Nāyādh. 938); M. *vihuṇai* (R. 7,17; 12,66); M. AMg. *vihuṇanti* (G. 552; R. 6,35; 13,5; Thān. 155); AMg. *vihuṇe* (Sūyag. 921), *vihuṇāhi* (Uttar. 311), *niddhuṇe* (Uttar. 170), absol. *dhuṇīya*, *vihuṇīya* (Sūyag. 111. 113), *vihuṇīyā* (Āyār. 1,7,8,24), *samvidhuṇīya* (Āyār. 1,7,6,5), *niddhuṇittāna* (Uttar. 605), particip. pres. *ātmāne*, *viniddhuṇamāṇa* (Vivāhap. 1253); passive *dhuṇijjāi* (Hc. 4,242); S. absol. *avadhuṇia* (Mālatīm. 351,6). It may be conjugated according to the 6. class too: *dhuvaī* (Hc. 4,59), from which the passive *dhuvaī* (§ 536); further according to the *e*-conjugation M. *vihuṇēnti* (R. 8,35); S. *vidhuvedi* (Mṛcch. 71,20). On the past passive participle *hūṇa*, *vihuṇa*, *vippahuṇa*

see § 120.—Of *śru* is found the flexion according to the 5. class only in the 2. sing. imp. in S. Mg. So S. *sunū* (Śak. 78,4; Vikr. 42,12); Mg. *śunū* (Mrcch. 121,23; Venis. 34,19, [GRILL false *śinū*], in the 2. plur. too *śunādha* Śak. 113,9). But in S. at both the places stands the v. l. *sunā*, as in Ratn. 304,9; 309,9; Viddhaś. 63,2 against *sunū* 72,5 stands, and since beside it is found *sunāhi* too (Mrcch. 104,16; Śak. 77,6; Mälav. 6,5; 45,19; Vṛśabha. 42,7), the 1. plur. *sunamha* (Vikr. 41,17; Ratn. 302,7; 316,25), or according to the *e*-conjugation *sunēmha* (Nāgān. 28,9; 29,7), the 2. plur. is *sunadha* (Śak. 55,12), so *sunā* will have to be read throughout in S. In Mg. too *sunū* for *sunā* will be a Sanskritism. The 2. plur. in Mg. is *śunādha* (Lalitav. 565,17; 566,5; Mrcch. 158,19; 162,17; Prab. 46,14. 17) or *śunedha* (Mrcch. 154,9), and so at Śak. 133,9 with the v. l. and in Hc. 4,302 we should read either *śunadha* or (cf. Z) *sunādha*. In any case, in S. Mg. the conjugation according to the model of the 9. class is usual: S. *sunāmi* (Mälatim. 288,1); Mg. *śunāmi* (Mrcch. 14,22); falsely S. *sunomi* (Venis. 10,5; Mudrār. 249,4.6), for which with the v. l. *sunāmi* or *sunemi* is to be read. S. *sunādi* (Mälav. 71,3; Mukund. 13,17; Mallikām. 244,2), also *sunedi* (Mrcch. 325,19); Mg. *śunādi* (Mrcch. 162,21). Against the dialect is S. *sunimo* (Bālar. 101,5), for which *sunāmo* is correct. Very frequent is the 3. sing. imp. S. *sunādū* (Mrcch. 40,21; 74,5; Śak. 20,12; 21,4; 57, 2; 159,10; Vikr. 5,9; 72,14; 80,12; 83,19; 84,1; Mälav. 78,7; Mudrār. 159,12 etc.), Mg. *śunādū* (Mrcch. 37,3); 3. plur. imp. S. *sunantu* (Mrcch. 142,10), Mg. *śunantu* (Mrcch. 151,23). In M. the stem is carried over to the *a*-conjugation: *sunāi*, *sunimo*, *sunanti*, *sunasu*, *sunaha* (G. H. R.), likewise in A. 2. plur. imp. *nīsunahu* (Kk. 272,37), JM. *sunāi*, *sunanti* (Kk.), *sunā* (Dvār. 495,15), *sunasu* (Kk.; Erz.); AMg. JM. *sunaha* (Ovav. § 184; Āv. 33,19); AMg. *sunantu* (Nāyādh. 1134), *sunamāna* (Āyār. 1,1,5,2), *apadisunamāna* (Niraśāv. § 25). In JM. AMg., however, the flexion according to the *e*-conjugation prevails: JM. *suei* (Āv. 35,30; 42,41; 43,2; Kk.; Erz.); AMg. *sunemi* (Thān. 143), *suṇei* (Vivāhap. 327; Nandis. 371. 373. 504; Āyār. 1,1,5,2; p. 136,8. 16; Pannav. 428 ff.), *padisuei* (Uvās.; Niraśāv.; Kappas.), *padisunēnti* (Vivāhap. 1227; Niraśāv.; Uvās.; Kappas. [so or ^oni^o to be read also at § 58] etc.). AMg. *padisunējjā* (Rāyāp. 251), *padisunijjā* (Kappas.), *padissuṇe* (Uttar. 31,33) are opt. For the 3. sing. imp. Hc. 3,158 gives *sunāi*, *suneu*, *sunāu*. AMg. has *suṇeu* (Sūyag. 363), 2. plur. *sunaha* (Sūyag. 243. 373. 397. 423 f.; Uttar. 1). The passive M. JM. *suvaī* (§ 536) presupposes a conjugation according to 6. class **suvaī* = **śruvātī*.

§ 504. AMg. *pappoi* [text *pappōtī*; commentary *papputī*] = *prāpnōti* (Uttar. 430), JS. *pappodi* (Pav. 389,5) in verses are formed according to the 5. class from *āp* with *pra*. Otherwise *āp*, in AMg. with the stem of the 9. class, is inflected according to the *a*-conjugation: *pāunai* = **prā-pūnāti*, **prāpunati* (Vivāhap. 845; Ovav. § 153; Pannav. 846), *pāunanti* (Sūyag. 433. 759. 771; Ovav. § 74. 75. 81. 117), *sāmpāunanti* (Vivāhap. 926), opt. *pāunējjā* (Āyār. 2,3,11. 2,6; Thān. 165. 416), *sāmpāunējjāsi* (text ^ose; Utīar. 345); inf. *pāunittae* (Āyār. 2,3,2,11). In M. JM. JS., in verses in AMg., S. A. the usual flexion is according to the 1. class: *pāvāi* = **prāpnōti* (Hc. 4,239). So M. *pāvāsi*, *pāvāi*, *pāvantī*, *pāra*, *pāvāū* (G. H. R.), also according to the *e*-conjugation *pāvēni* (G.); AMg. *pāvāi* (Uttar. 933. 939. 944. 954 etc.; Pannav. 135); opt. *pāvijjā* Nandis. 404); JM. *pāvāi* (Kk. 272,5), *pāvantī* (Rāshabhap. 41), and according to the *e*-conjugation *pāvei* (Erz. 50,34), *pāvēni* (Kk. 266,4; Erz. 46,1 [so to be read]); JS. *pāvadi* (Pav. 380,11; Kattig. 400,326; 403,370); S. *pāvantī* (Viddhaś. 63,2); absol. JS. *pāviya* (Kattig. 402,369), and according to the *e*-conjugation JS. S. *pāvedi* (Kattig. 399,307; Ratn. 316,5), *pāvehi* (Mälav. 30,11; so to be read); A. *pāvami* (Vikr. 71,8). From the same stem is built the future too

Ś. *pāvāssam* (Śak. 54,3). Hc. 4,302 read Mudrār. 187,2 in AMg. *pāvemi*; the MSS. and editions have *ācemi*, *jācemi*, *padicchemi* (Hc. 4, 141. 142 mentions also *vāvei* = *vyāpnoti* and *samāvei* = *samāpnoti*.

§ 505. *taks*, as already in Skt. too, behaves according to the 1. class: AMg. *tacchanti* (Sūyag. 274), *tacchiya* (Uttar. 596).—From *śak* very frequent is *sakkaṇomi* = *śaknomi* (§ 140. 195; Śak. 51,2; Ratn. 305,33; 327,17; Uttarar. 112,8) or *sakkunomi* (Mṛcch. 166,13; Vikr. 12,12; 15,3; 46,18; Mudrār. 242,3; 246,1; 252,2 [everywhere to be so read]; Nāgān. 14,8. 11; 27,15 etc.). In other dialects it behaves according to the 4. class: *sakkaī* = **śakyati* (Vr. 8,52; Hc. 4,230; Ki. 4,60). So JM. A. *sakkaī* (Erz.; Hc. 4,422,6. 441,2), JM. opt. *sakkejja* (Erz. 79,1), and according to the *e*-conjugation JM. *sakkei* (Āv. 42,28), *sakkeṇti* (Erz. 65,19), *sakkeha* (Sagara 10,13 [so to be read]). On the opt. *sakkā* see § 465.—*stī*, which in Skt. follows the 5. or 9. class is inflected in Pkt. according to the analogy of roots in *r* (§ 477): M. *ō'ttharaī* = *avastṛṇoti*, *ō'ttharia* = *avastṛta*, *vithharai*, *vitharanta*, *vithariūṇ*, *vitharia*(R.); JM. *vithariyā* = *vistṛta* (Erz.); Ś. *vitharanta-* (Mālatīm. 76,4; 258,3); A. *ō'ttharaī* (Vikr. 67,20). To it belongs also *utthāṅghai* (raises up; throws up; Hc. 4,36,144), past passive participle *utthāṅgia* (R. s.v. *stambh*) = **utstaghnoti* (PISCHEL, BB. 15,122 f.) Cf. § 333.

§ 506. The tottering flexion of the 7. class in Pkt. is completely lost. The nasal is taken from the weak forms to the stong ones and the stem is inflected according to the *a*- or *e*-conjugation: *chindaiī* = *chinatti* (Vr. 8,38; Hc. 4,124. 216; Ki. 4,46; Mk. fol. 56); *acchindaiī* (Hc. 4,125); M. *achindaiī* (G.), *vō'chindanta*-(R.); JM. *chindāmi* and *chindei* (Erz.) abs. *chinditū* (Kk.); AMg. *chindāmi* (Anuog. 528; Nirayāv. § 16); *chindasi* (Anuog. 528), *chindaiī* (Sūyag. 332; Vivāhap. 123.1306, (Nāyādh. 1436; Uttar. 789), *acchindaiī* *vicchindaiī* (Thān. 360), *vō'chindasi*, *vō'chindaiī* (Uttar. 321. 824), opt. *chindejjā* (Vivāhap. 123. 1306), *chinde* (Uttar. 217), *acchindejjā* (Āyār. 2,3,1,9; 2,9,2; 2,13,13), *vicchindejjā* (Āyār. 2,13,13), *chindāhi* (Dasav. 613,27), *chindaha* (Āyār. 1,7,2,4), present participle *chindanāna* (Anuog. 528), absol. *palicchindiyāñām* (Āyār. 1,3,2,4); Ś. absol. *paricchindia* (Vikr. 47,1). On AMg. *ache* see § 466. 516.—*pīsaī* for **piṁsāī* (§ 76) = *piṇasti* (Hc. 4,185); Ś. *pīsedi* (Mṛcch. 3,1. 21).—*bhañjaiī* = *bhanaktiī* (Hc. 4,106); M. *bhañjaiī*, *bhañjanta-* (H. R.); JM. *bhañjīūṇa*, *°je°* (Erz.); AMg. *bhañjaiī*, *bhañjae* (Uttar. 788. 789); Ś. future *bhañjaiṣsai* (Vikr. 22,2), absol. *bhañjia* (Mṛcch. 40,22; 97,23). Mg. *bhāyyadi* [text *bhajjadi*; ed. Calc. *bhajjedi*] (Mṛcch. 118,12) must be considered as passive and *vibhavya* (text *bibhajja* (Mṛcch. 118,21) as its imperative; against this stands Ś. imp. *bhajjeda* (Mṛcch. 155,4) in the active sense, to which may be compared *jujjai* (§ 507).—*bhindaiī* = *bhinatti* (Vr. 8,38; Hc. 4,216; Ki. 4,46; Mk. fol. 56); M. *bhindaiī*, *bhindanta-* (G. H. R.); JM. *bhindaiī* (Erz.); AMg. *bhindaiī* (Thān. 360; Vivāhap. 1327), *bhindēṇti*, *bhindamāne* Vivāhap. 1227. 1327), opt. *bhindejjā* (Āyār. 2,2,2,3; 2,3,1,9); Ś. Mg. absol. *bhindia* (Vikr. 16,1; Mṛcch. 112,17). On AMg. *abbhe* see § 466. 516.

§ 507. *bhuj* forms *bhuñjaiī* (Hc. 4,110,1; Mk. fol. 56), *uvahuñjaiī* (Hc. 4, 111); M. *bhuñjasu* (H.); JM. *bhuñjaiī* (Erz.), *bhuñjaiī* (Āv. 8,4,24), *bhuñjanti* (Erz.; Kk.), *bhuñjāe* (Ātmanep.; Erz.), *bhuñjāhi* (Āv. 10,40), *bhuñjasu* (Av. 12,20), *bhuñjaha*, *bhuñjamāna*, *bhuñjīyā*, *bhuñjittā* (Erz.); AMg. *bhuñjaiī* (Uttar. 12; Vivāhap. 163), *bhuñjaiī* (Sūyag. 209); *bhuñjāmo* (Vivāhap. 624), *bhuñjaha* (Sūyag. 194; Vivāhap. 623), *bhuñjanti* (Dasav. 613,18), *bhuñjējjā* (Āyār. 2,1,10,7; Vivāhap. 515. 516), *bhuñje* (Uttar. 37; Sūyag. 344), imp. *bhuñja* (Sūyag. 182), *bhuñjasu*, *bhuñjimo* (Uttar. 369.675), *bhuñjaha* (Āyār. 2,1, 10,7), *bhuñjamāna* (Pannav. 101.102 [°je°].103[°je°]; Kappas.); JS. *bhuñjade* (Kattig. 403. 382; 404,390); Ś. *bhuñjasu* (Mṛcch. 70,12), inf. *bhuñjidum* (Dhūrtas. 6,21); A. *bhuñjanti* inf. *bhuñjanahā*, *bhuñjanahī* (Hc. 4,335,441,1).

— From *yuj* the present are *juñjaï*, *jujjai* (Hc. 4,109), which may be compared with *bhajedha* § 506 and under *rudh*. M. *paiñjaüñ* (Karp. 7,1). M. *jujjae*, *jujjai* (H.), *jujjanta-* (R.) are passive forms. AMg. *juñjaï* (Panñav. 842 ff.; Ovav. § 145. 146), *paiñjai* (Vivāhap. 1312; Nāyādh. § 89), opt. *juñje* (Uttar. 29), *paiñje* (Samav. 86), *juñjamāna* (Panñav. 842 ff.), absol. *uvaññjiüñna* (Vivāhap. 1591); absol. M. *niuñjiya* (Erz.); Š. *paiñjadha* (Karp. (6,7), imp. passive from the present stem *paiñjadiu* (Mrcch. 9,7), whilst the very frequent Š. *jujjadi* (Mrcch. 61,10; 65,12; 141,3; 155,21; Šak. 71, 10; 122,11; 129,15; Vikr. 24,3; 32,17; 82,17 etc.) = *yujyate* is, as the future Š. *ahiujissadi* = *abhiyokyate* (Uttarar. 69,6). — *rudh* forms *rundhai* (Vr. 8,49; Hc. 4,133.218.239; Ki. 4,52; Mk. Sr. fol. 56). So M. *rundhasu* (H.); AMg. *rundhai* (Thāñ. 360); Š. *rundhedi* (Mallikām. 126,3; text. °ei); A. absol. *rundheviñu* (Vikr. 67,20) and *ruijhai* = * *rudhyati* (Hc. 4,218), also with the nasal inserted M. *niruñjhai* (H. 618), JŠ. absol. *niruñjhittā* (Pav. 386,70), with which is compared AMg. *vigiñcaï* = *vikñtayati* (§ 485). M. AMg. *rumbhai* (Vr. 8,49; Hc. 4,218; Ki. 4,52; Mk. Sr. fol. 56; H. R.; Uttar. 902), AMg. *nirumbhai* (Uttar. 834), passive M. JM. *rubbhai* (§ 546) belong to a root * *rubh*, which is an analogical formation according to the roots in gutturals (§ 266). — *hims* forms in AMg. *himsäi* = *hinasti* (Uttar. 927. 935. 940. 945. 950 etc.), *vihiñmsäi* (Āyār. 1, 1, 1, 4. 5, 5. 6, 3), *himanti* (Āyār. 1, 1, 6, 5).

§ 508. The forms of *kr*, built according to the 8. class, are still found in AMg. JM. JŠ. only, notwithstanding the carrying over of the weak stem *kuru-* to the *a-* class as *kurva-*: AMg. *kuvvai* = * *kurvati* (Sūyag. 321. 328 [°ai]. 359 [°ai]. 550. 551; Uttar. 43; Dasav. 613,19 [°ai]), *pakuvvai* (Āyār. 1,2,6,2), *viuvvai* (Vivāhap. 114; Rāyap. 60 ff. 79. 82; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kappas. etc.), *kuvvanti* = *kurvanti* (Sūyag. 231. 240. 359. 472. 646; Vivāhap. 409), *vikuvvanti* (Vivāhap. 214. 215), opt. *kuvvejjā*, °*jja* (Uttar. 19. 289), usually, however, *kujā* (§ 464), imp. *kuvvahā* (Āyār. 1,3,2,1), pres. part. ātmanep. *kuvvamāna* (Āyār. 1,1,3,1; Panñav. 104; Nāyādh. 930), *viuvvamāna* (Vivāhap. 1033 f. 1054), *pakuvvamāna* (Āyār. 1,2,3,5; 1,5,1,1); JM. *kuvvai* (Kk.), *kuvvanti* (Āv. 7,11), *viuvvai* (Āv. 35,6), *viuvvae* (Āv. 36,27), absol. *viuvviüñna*, past passive particip. *viuvviyā* (Erz.); JŠ. *kuvvadi* (Kattig. 399, 313; 400, 329; 401, 340; 402, 357), *kuvvam* (Pav. 384,58), *kuvvantam* (Kattig. 400,332), *kuvvanto* (Kattig. 403,378), also ātmanep. *kuvvade* (Kattig. 403,384). The Vedic flexion according to the 5. class has been retained in M. JM. JŠ. Š. A. Vedic. *kṛṇoti* becomes, according to § 502, *kunaï* (Vr. 8,13; Hc. 4,65; Ki. 4,54; Mk. 59). So M. *kunasi*, *kunaï*, *kunanti*, *kuna*, *kunasu* *kunaü*, *kunanta-* (G. H. R.); JM. *kunaï* (Kk.; Rśabhap.), *kunanti*, *kunaha* (Kk.), *kunasu* (Kk.; Erz.; Sagara 6,2. 11. 12), *kunanta-*, *kunamāna-* (Kk.; Erz.), *kunantena* (Ki. 15), also completely isolated *kunaï* in AMg. in the verse (Samav. 85); JŠ. *kunadi* (Kattig. 399,310. 319; 402,359. 367; 403,370. 371. 385; 404,388. 389. 391); A. *kunahu* (Pingala 1,16. 53. 79 [text. °*ha*] and *kunehu* (Pingala 1,90. 118). In Š. Mg. *kuna-* is never used (Vr. 12,15; Mk. fol. 72). It is correct, therefore, in the dramas only in the gāthās composed in M., as Ratn. 293,6; Mudrār. 83,3; Dhūrtas. 4,19; Nāgāñ. 25,4; 41,5; Bālar. 120,6; Viddhaś. 92,8; Karp. 8,9; 10,1; 55,3; 67,5,etc. Pratāp. 218,17; 220,15; 389,14 etc. Rājāśekhara wrongly uses *kuna-* in Š. too, as in Bālar. 69,13; 168,7; 195,13; 200,12; Viddhaś. 36,2; 48,9,11; 80,14; 83,3; 123,14. For *kunomi* (Karp. 107,6 ed. Bomb.) Konow reads 115,6 correct *kadiadu*, and so perhaps in Bālar. and Viddhaś. too in the critical editions. *kuna-* is to be removed from Š. It is found, however, elsewhere in later days dramas too, as Häsy. 32,12; 39,14; Cait. 36,11; 37,5;

39.1. 10; 44.12; 47.7; 80.14; 92.14; Karnas. 22.8; Jīvān. 39.15; 41.7; 81.14; 95.2; Mallikām. 69.1; 336.3 etc. perhaps a bit through the contribution of the publishers and authors. Out and out monstrous is Š. *kummo* = *kurmah* (Jīvān. 13.6), against this correctly Dh. *kulu* = *kuru* (Mṛcch. 31.16).

§ 509. *kṛ* is conjugated mostly as the roots in *r* of the first class (§477): *karai* (Vr. 8.13; Hc. 4.65. 234. 239; Mk. fol. 59), yet in M. JM. AMg. JS. almost, in Š. Mg. completely exclusively according to the *e*-conjugation. *a-* forms are: PG. opt. *karēyya*, *karēyyāma* (6.40; 7.41); M. *karanta-* (R.); JM. *karae* = *kuruto* (Kk. II, 506.5), *karanti* (Rsabhap. 39. 40); AMg. *kari* (uncertain; Rāyap. 233), *karanti* (Sūyag. 297; Uttar. 1101; Vivāhap. 62; Jīv. 102; Pañnav. 56. 574), *pakaranti* (Uttar. 15; Pañnav. 575), *viyāgaranti*, *vāgaranti* (Sūyag. 523. 695); JS. *karadi* (Kattig. 400.332); opt. AMg. *kare* (Sūyag. 348. 385 393), *nirākare* (Sūyag. 442), *karejjā* (§ 462), *viyāgarejjā* (Sūyag. 525. 527), *vāgarejjā* (Āyār. 2.3,2,17); frequently in A.: *karimi* (Vikr. 71.9), *karaü* (Hc. 4.370.2), *kari*, *karadi*, *karanti*, *karahi* (Hc. s. v. *Vkar*), opt. *kari* (Hc. 4.387.3; Sukasaptati 49.4; Prabandhac. 63.7), imp. *karahi* (Hc. 4.385; Piṅgala 1.149), *karu* (Hc. 4.330.3), *karahu* (Hc. 4.346; Piṅgala 1.102. 107. 121 [text ^{ha}]), inf. *karana*, absol. *karevi*, *kareppinu* (Hc. s.v. *Vkar*) — *e*-forms are very frequent: M. *karemi*, *karesi*, *karei*, *karenti*, *karehi*, *karesu*, *karenta-* (H. R.); JM. *karei* (Erz.; Kk.; Āv. 9.17; 14.14), *karemo* (Erz. 2.27; 5.35; Kk. 264.11. 14; Āv. 17.14; Sagara 2.14), *karenti* (Erz.; Kk.), *karehi*, *karesu*, *kareha* (Kk.), *karenta-*, *karemāna* (Erz.); AMg. *karemi* (Thān. 149. 476; Nāyādh. § 94; Uvās.), *karei* (Āyār. 1.2.5.6; 1.3.2.1; Sūyag. 403. 406. 853; Vivāhap. 915. 917. 931. 945; Nirayāv. 49; Uvās.; Kappas.), *karemo* (Sūyag. 734), *karenti* (Āyār. 1.3.2.1; Rāyap. 183; Jīv. 577. 597; Uvās.; Kappas.), imp. *viyāgarehi* (Sūyag. 962), *kareha* (Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.), *karemāna* (Uvās.), *viyāgaremāne*, *viyāgarei* (Āyār. 2.2, 3.1). Isolated is AMg. *kajjanti* (Uvās. § 197. 198) in the active sense; at the parallel place § 184 stands *karenti*. JS. *karedi* (Pav. 384.59; Kattig. 400.324; 402.369; 403.377. 383); Š. *karemi* (Lalitav. 561.15; Mṛcch. 16.4; 103.17; 151.22; Šak. 165.8 [to be taken from the v.l. over to the text], Vikr. 82.5; 83.5. 6 etc.), *karesi* (Ratn. 303.29; Mālatim. 265.2; Prab. 44.2 [so to be read with P. M. Bomb.]), *karedi* (Lalitav. 560.9; Mṛcch. 73.11; 147.18; 151.19. 20; Šak. 20.5; 56.16; Vikr. 75.5), *karemo* (Šak. 80.5 [so to be read]), *alañkarenti* (Mālatim. 273.5 [so to be read; cf. v. l.]), *karehi* (Mṛcch. 66.14; 325.18; 326.10), *karesu* (Ratn. 299.5; 316.6; 328.24; Venīs. 98.15; Pras. 84.9; Karnas. 21.7; 30.5; 37.20), *karedu* (Mālatim. 351.7), *karemha* (Šak. 81.16; Vikr. 6.15; 10.15; 53.14; Prab. 63.11; Ratn. 303.21; Uttarar. 101.8), *karedha* (Mālatim. 246.5), *karenta-* (Mṛcch. 6.13; 40.23; 60.25; 61.24; 105.1; 148.8) — Mg. *kalemi* (Mṛcch. 12.15; 31.17. 20; 97.4; 113.23 etc.; Šak. 114.3), *kaleśi* (Mṛcch. 151.25; 160.3), *kalide* (Mṛcch. 81.6; 127.6; 135.2; 158.25; Nāgān. 68.5 [so to be read]), *kalehi* (Mṛcch. 31.8; 123.10; 176.5), *kalemha* (Mṛcch. 167.19; 168.7; 170.21; Venīs. 36.6; Candak. 71.10), *aledha* (Mṛcch. 32.15; 112.2; 140.23), *kalēntaā* (voc.; Mṛcch. 30.9; 108.17).

§ 510. The forms built according to the 9. class are found in most of the dialects more frequently of only *jñā*, which after *na*, according to § 170, loses its initial *j* : M. *jāñāi* (Karp. 35.8); JM. *jāñāsi* (Erz. 57.8); AMg. *jāñāsi* (Vivāhap. 1271; Rāyap. 267; Uttar. 745), *anujāñāi* (Sūyag. 1.16), *na jāñāi*, *jāñāi* (Sūyag. 161. 520), *parijāñāi* (Vivāhap. 228; Rāyap. 252 [^o *jā*]); *viyāñāsi*, *viyāñāi* (Uttar. 745. 791); JS. *jāñādi* (Pav. 382.25; 348.48), *viyāñādi* (Pav. 388.2); Š. *jāñāsi* (Mṛcch. 57.9; 65.10; 82.12; Šak. 13.5; Mālatim. 102.3; Mudrār. 37.2); D. *āñāsi* (Mṛcch. 101.8.9).

10); Š. *jānādi* (Vikr. 9,4; Mālatīm. 264,5; Mahāv. 34,1; Mudrār. 36,3.4.6; 55,1 etc.); Mg. Š. D. *ānādi* (Mrcch. 37,25; 51,25; 101,11); Š. *viānādi* (Prab. 13,19), *jānādu* (Mrcch. 94,13; Mudrār. 36,7); Mg. *yānāsi* (Venīs. 34,18), *yānādi* (Mrcch. 114,1), *ānādi* (Mrcch. 37,25), *viānādi*, *paccabhiānādi* (Mrcch. 38,13; 173,7). Except in Š. Mg. *jñā* mostly behaves according to the *a*-conjugation: *jānāi* (Vr. 8,23; Hc. 4,7; Ki. 4,47). So: M. *jānimi*, *jānasi*, *jānase*, *jānai*, *jānimo* and *jānāmo*, *jāna*, *jānasu*(H.), after *na*: *ānasi*, *ānāi*, *ānimo* *ānaha* (H. R.); JM. *jānasi* (Dvār. 502,21), *na jānasi* (Erz. 52,17), *jānāi* (Erz. 11,2; Kk. 277,10), *na jānāi* (Āv. 21,18; 38,8; Erz. 30,3; 37,25); AMg. *jānasi* (Utar. 745), *jānai* (Vivāhap. 284. 363. 911. 1194. 1198 etc.; Sūyag. 476. 540; Uttar. 202; Āyār. 1,2,5,4; Pannav. 366. 432. 518 ff. 666; Jiv. 339 ff.), *parijānāi* (Āyār. p. 132,9 ff.), *anujānāi* (Vivāhap. 603 ff.), *samanujānāi* (Āyār. 1,1,3,6; 1,2,5,2,3), *jānāmo* (Vivāhap. 131. 144. 1180. 1406; Thān. 147; Sūyag. 578), *jānaha*, *parijānaha* (Vivāhap. 132,234), opt. *jāne* (Sūyag. 364), imp. *jāna* (Āyār. 1,3,1,1) and *jānāhi* (Sūyag. 249. 304; Kappas. S. § 52), *viyānāhi* (Pannav. 39), *samanujānāhi* (Sūyag. 247), *anujānāhi* (Kappas. § 28), *jānaha* (Āyār. 1,4,2,5), *jānamāna* (Samav. 82); JS. *jānādi* (Kattig. 398,302), *viyānādi* (Pav. 381,21), *jāna* (Kattig. 401, 342); Š. *jānāmo* [text false ^onā^o; cf. v. l.] (Mālatīm. 82,9; 94,3; 246,1; 248,1; 255,4; Viddhaś. 101,1), *na ānādha* (Mālatīm. 245,8), imp. *jāna* (Karp. 63,8) and *jānāhi* (Mrcch. 41,24 [so to be read]; 169,20; Vikr. 15,10; 41,5; Mālatīm. 239,1 [so to be read]), *anujānāhi* (Šak. 26,12; Vikr. 29,9); Mg. *yānāhi* (Mrcch. 80,21); A. *jānāu* (Hc. 4,391. 439,4), *jānāi* (Hc. 4,401, 4, 419,1), *jānu* Pingala 1,26 [text *jāna*]], *jānāhu* (Pingala 1,105. 106. 144). In Š. Mg. the flexion according to the *a*-class is restricted to *jānāmo*, *jāna*, *jānāhi*, which should not be considered to have been built from the strong stem of the 9. class, and to *jānadha*. Against the dialect is Š. *jānasi* (Lalitav. 560,18), falsely also *jānedi* (Nāgān. 67,3), for which we should, with the v.l., read *jānādi*, as also for Mg. *yānādi* (Hc. 4,292), *paccabhiānādi* (Mrcch. 132,24) is to be read ^onā^odi. On the other hand, correct is the *e*-form in JM. *jānei* (Kk. III, 512,4), JS. *viyānādi* (Kattig. 399,316; text ^oei) and A. *jānehu* (Pingala 1,5. 14). JS. has *nādi* = *jñāti (Pav. 382,25) too.

§ 511. *kri* forms *kiñāi* (Vr. 8,30; Hc. 4,52), with prefix *vi* *vikkīñāi* (Vr. 8,31; Hc. 4,52; Ki. 4,70; Mk. fol. 54). So: M. *vikkīñāi* (H. 238); JM. *kināmi* (Āv. 31,9), *kiñāi* (Erz. 29,28), absol. *kiñiya*, future *kiñihāmo* (Āv. 33,15), *vikkīñāmi*, *vikkīñāi* (Āv. 33,24. 26), *vikkīñanti* (Āv. 31,7), *pañi-vikkīñāi* (Āv. 33,15); AMg. *kiñāi* (Thān. 516), opt. *kiñe*, particip. pres. *kiñanta-* (Āyār. 1,2,5,3); S. imp. *kiñadha* (Çandak. 51,10. 11. 12; 53,7), future *kiñissadi* (Çandak. 52,4. 7), past passive particip. *kiñida* (Karp. 32, 9; 73,2), *nikkiñasi* (Mrcch. 61,16), *vikkīñida* (Mrcch. 50,4; Karp. 74,3; Laṭakam. 13,15; 18,10); Mg. *kiñadha* and with *i* fut. *kiñissām* (Mrcch. 32, 17; 118,14; 125,10); Dh. *vikkīñia* (Mrcch. 32,10. 12. 14). With the prefix *vi* *kri* is inflected also according to the analogy of the roots in *-i* of the 1. class: *vikkei* (Vr. 8,31; Hc. 4,52. 240; Ki. 4,71; Mk. fol. 54). So M. v. l. to H. 238. *vikkīñāi* (Hc. 4,240) is a denominative from *vikreya*, therefore = **vikreyati*.—*pū* forms *pūñāi* (Hc. 4,241), *lū* likewise *lūñāi* (Vr. 8,56; Hc. 4,241; Ki. 4,73; Mk. fol. 57). Besides both the roots may be inflected according to the analogy of the roots in *-u*, *-ū* of the 6. class: AMg. opt. *luējjā* (Vivāhap. 1186), passive *puvvāi*, *luvvāi* beside *pūñijāi*, *lūñijāi* (§ 536). The shortening of *i*, in *kiñāi* is explained from the old accent *krīndāti* and it corresponds to the shortening of *ū* in *pūñāi* = *pundāti*, *lūñāi* = *lundāti*. On M. JM. AMg. A. *jñāi*, Dh. *jñādi*, AMg. *samussināi* see § 473, on *muñāi* § 489.

§ 512. The old flexion of the roots ending in consonants occurs in AMg. *anñāi* = *asñāti* (Ovav. § 64. 65). The usual formation, however, is

aṇhai (Hc. 4,110). The roots are inflected the same according to the analogy of the roots of 7. class with the weak stem formed according to either *a-* or *e-*conjugation (§ 506 f.). The influence thereof was that a section of the roots, such as *granth*, *bandh*, *manth*, already had the nasal and another section as *aṇhai* = *aśnāti*, *gēṇhai* = *grhṇāti* was forced to take it according to the phonetic rules of Prākrit within the stem. So : *gant̄hai* = *graṇnāti* (§ 333; Hc. 4,120; Mg. fol. 54); Š. *niggan̄thida* (Bālār. 131,14).—*gēṇhai*=*grhṇāti* (Vr. 8,15; Hc. 4,209; Ki. 4,63); M. *gēṇhai*, *gēṇhāti*, *gēṇha*, *gēṇhai*, *gēṇhanta*- (G.H.R.); JM. *gēṇhasi* (Āv. 44,6), *gēṇhai*, *gīnhai*, *gīnhae* (Kk.); *gēṇhanti* (Āv. 35,3), *gēṇha* (Erz.; Kk.); *gēṇhāhi* (Āv. 31,11) and *gēṇhesu* (Erz.), *gēṇhaha*, *gīnhaha* (Āv. 33,17; Kk.); AMg. *gēṇhai* (Vivāhap. 916. 1032. 1659; Uvās.), *gēṇhējjā* (Vivāhap. 212. 214), *gīnhai* (Vivāhap. 1035; Paṇṇav. 377ff.; Nāyādh. 449; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nirayāv. etc.), also *gīnhei* (Uvās.), *abhiṇhāi* (Uvās.), *ogīn̄hai* (Vivāhap. 838), *gīnhaha* (Vivāhap. 623), *gīnhanti* (Vivāhap. 24; Nirayāv.), *gīnhāhi* (Nāyādh. 633), *gīnhaha*, *uṇagīnhaha* (Vivāhap. 332); JS. *gīnhadi* (Pav. 384,59 [text *gīnnādi*], Kattig. 399,310; 400,335), *gīnhedi* (Kattig. 400,335); Š. *gēṇhasi* (Mṛcch. 49,15), *gēṇhadi* (Mṛcch. 45,9; 74,18; Śak. 73,3; 159,13), *gēṇhanti* (Mṛcch. 70,3), *gēṇha* (Mṛcch. 16,3; 38,4; 55,1; 75,2 etc.); Ratn. 305,7), *gēṇhadu* (Mṛcch. 49,8; 74,14), *oṇugeṇhadu* (Śak. 56,11; Mudrār. 19,4), *gēṇhadha* (Mṛcch. 97,24), *anugeṇhantu* (Mudrār. 262,5 [so to be read]), absol. *gēṇhia* (Mṛcch. 41,12; 59,8; 75,8; 105,2; 107,10; Vikr. 10,2; 52,5; 72,15; 84,20), inf. *gēṇhidum* (Mṛcch. 94,12), particip. nec. *gēṇhidava* (Mṛcch. 150,14; Vikr. 30,9); Mg. *gēṇhadi* (Mṛcch. 128,19; 145,17), *gēṇha* (Mṛcch. 45,21; 132,13; Mudrār. 264,1; 265,1), *gēṇhadu* (Mṛcch. 22,3. 5), *gēṇhia* (Mṛcch. 12,14; 96,12; 18; 116,5; 126,16; 132,16; Candak. 64,8); Dh. *gēṇha* (Mṛcch. 29,16; 30,2); A. *gr̄n̄hai* (Hc. 4,336), *gēṇhai* (Piṅgala 1,60), absol. *gr̄n̄heṇpiṇu* (Hc. 4,394. 438,1). In A. *grah* is inflected according to the 6th class too: *grhanti* (Hc. 4,341,2).

§ 513. *bandh* is inflected: M. *bhandhai* (Hc. 1,187; H. R.; Pracandap. 47,6); *nibandhai* (R.), *bandhanti* (G. R.), *anubandhanti* (R.), *bandhasu* (R.), *ābandhantī* (Hc. 1,7), future *bandhīhī*, passive *bandhījāi* (Hc. 4,247), also according to the *e-*conjugation: *bandhēntī* (R.), inf. *bandheun* (Hc. 1,181); JM. *bandhaha*, *bandhiūna*, *bandhiyā* (Erz.), *bandhiūn*, *bandhittu* (Kk.); AMg. *bandhai* (Thān. 360; Vivāhap. 104. 136. 137. 331. 391 ff. 635 ff. 1810 ff.; Ovav. § 66; Paṇṇav. 638. 653. 657. 663 etc.), *padibandhai* (Sūyag. 179), *bandhanti* (Thān. 108; Vivāhap. 66. 1435; Paṇṇav. 638. 657. 663 etc.), *bandhējjā* (Vivāhap. 420. 421; Uvās. § 200), *bandhaha* (Vivāhap. 234. 1263), inf. *bandhiu* (Nirayāv. § 15); JS. *bandhade* (Kattig. 400,327); Š. *bandhāmi* (Latakam. 18,12), *anubandhasi* (Śak. 86,14), *anubandhanti* (Uttar. 60,7), absol. *bandhia* (Mṛcch. 155,3; Prab. 14,10 [so to be read]; Ratn. 317,11), *ubbandhia* (Ratn. 315,28; Nāgān. 34,15; 35,9), also according to the *e-*conjugation: *bandhesi* (Priyad. 4,16); *obandhedi* = *avabadhñāti* (Mṛcch. 89,5; 152,25); Mg. absol. *bandhia* (Mṛcch. 163,16), past passive participle *bandhida* (Mṛcch. 162,17), according to the *e-*conjugation imp. *padibandheda* (Śak. 113,12).—*manth* forms *manthāi* (Hc. 4,121). To the flexion of Skt. *mathati* corresponds AMg. opt. *mahejjā* (Uvās. § 200), where, however, the v. l. points to *manthējjā*.

§ 514. In Š. Mg. Dh. *bhaṇ* follows the analogy of the roots of the 9. class, because *bhaṇāmi*, should be construed as **bha-ṇā-mi*. In the 2. 3. sing. indic., 3. sing. imp., 2. plur. indic. imperative the length is retained as in the 1. sing. plur. Examples are extraordinarily numerous: Š. *bhaṇāsi* (Mṛcch. 51,7. 10; 52, 11; 53, 54; 57, 11; Vikr. 10,5; 22, 14; Mālav. 27,13; Mudrār. 71,1. 2. 4; 72,2. 4; 73,2 etc.), *bhaṇādi* (Mṛcch.

23,19; 67,14; 74,13; 94,11; Šak. 51,4; 158,2; Vikr. 16,5; 46,5; Mālav. 16, 18; 64,20 etc.), *bhanādu* (Mrcch. 18,25); Mg. *bhanādi* (Mrcch. 13,7), *bhanāda* (Mrcch. 32,18; 96,21; 97,1; Prab. 46,16; Caṇḍak. 64,6; Mudrār. 154,1; 257,6; 258,2 [so to be read throughout, as also in S. Uttarar. 123,7]); Dh. *bhanādi* (Mrcch. 34,12). The 2. sing. imp. is S.D. *bhana* (Mrcch. 88,19; Šak. 50,9; Vikr. 47,1; Nāgān. 30,1; D. Mrcch. 100,8) or S. *bhanāhi* (Vikr. 27,7; Mālav. 39,9; Venīs. 10,12; 100,14; Nāgān. 44,13; Jivān. 10,4); Mg. *bhana* (Šak. 114,5) and *bhanāhi* (Mrcch. 81,13; 15; 165,4). Beside them these dialects have also the *e*-conjugation: D. S. *bhanesi* (Mrcch. 105,8; Šak. 137,12 [so to be read]); Mg. *bhanesi* (Mrcch. 21,8; 20,22); Dh. *bhanesi* (Mrcch. 39,16); S. *bhanehi* (Mrcch. 61,13; 79,3). In the rest of the dialects *bhan* behaves regularly according to the *a*-conjugation: yet *bhanai* in JM. Āv. 22,41.42 beside the usual *bhanai*.

IMPERFECT.

§ 515. The single imperfect, that has been retained by dialects, more than one, is the imperfect of *as* (to be). However, only the 3. sing. *āsi* or *āsi = āsit*, which is used for all the persons in the sing. and plur., only is found (Vr. 7,25; Hc. 3,164; Ki. 4,11; Sr. fol. 54). So 1. sing. AMg. *ke aham āsi* (Āyār. 1,1,1,3); S. *aham khu...āsi* (Mrcch. 54,16)¹; 2. sing. S. *tumām...gadā āsi* (Mrcch. 28,14), *tumām kīm mantaanti āsi* (Mālatim. 71,4), *tumām khu...me piāsahī āsi* (Mālatim. 141,11 f.), *kilinto āsi* (Uttarar. 18,12), *kīsa tumām*, ed. *tumām*] *mantaanti āsi* (Karṇas. 37,7f.)²; 3. sing. M. *āsi* (G.H.); JM. *āsi*, *āsi* (KI. 2; Dvār. 495,19; 499,20; 504,19; Erz.); AMg. *āsi* (Sūyag. 896; Uvas. § 197; Ovav. § 170), *āsi* (Uttar. 660; Jiv. 239,452); S. very frequently; e. g. *āsi* (Lalitav. 560,14; 568,1; Mrcch. 41,21; Šak. 43,6; 105,10; 117,12; 129,13; 162,13; Vikr. 11,2; 27,21; 35,7,9), *āsi* (Uttarar. 20,12; 78,4; Venīs. 12,1,6); Dh. *āsi* (Mrcch. 36,18); 1. plur. AMg. *āsi mo*, *āsi mo*³ (Uttar. 402), *āsi amhe* (Uttar. 403); 3. plur. M. *je āsi...mahāñāipavakā* (G.449), *āsi rahā* (R.14,33), *je go'cchaā āsi...vañjula* (H.422); JM. *māhārāyāno catītā mittā āsi* (Ez. 4,36); AMg. *uvasaggā bhūmāsi* (Āyār. 1,8,2,7), *tassa bhājjā duve āsi* (Uttar. 660); S. *pasāmsanī āsi* (Bālar. 289,2).—Besides we find only AMg. *abbavi = abravīt* (Hc. 3,162; Uttar. 279, 281; Sūyag. 259), used also as 3. plur. too: *abambhacāriṇo bālā imām vajānam abbavī* (Uttar. 351).—On the hypothetical preterite *udāhare*, *care*, *pahare*, *pucche*, *accīa*, *gṝphīa* etc. see § 466. The preterite postulated by BOLLENSEN⁴ rests on false readings and forms wrongly understood⁵. See also § 517.

1. Notwithstanding Pāli *āsim*, we should not with the v. l. read here *āsim*, as BLOCH, Vr. and Hc. presumes.—2. At H. 805, *āsi* should not be considered, with WEBER, as = *āsih*, but as = *āsih* with the scholiasts.—3. *āsi* of the text should be so corrected. As the similar following *āsi amhe* and the other use of *āsi*, *āsi* point, it is not to be read with LEUMANN, WZKM. 5,134 *āsimō* or *āsimu*, but *mo* should, with the scholiast, be considered as a pronoun.—4. Mālavikā. p. 188. 230.—5. BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. p. 46.

AORIST.

§ 516. Of the strong aorist only AMg. *acche = *ācchet* from *chid*, *abbhe = Vedic. ābhēt* from *bhid* (Āyār. 1,1,2,5), which are used in the sense of the opt. (§ 466), are noticeable, in addition to *abhū = abhūt* in the verse in AMg. (Uttar. 116), which has been used there as the 3. plur.: *abhū jīñā atthi jīñā aduvā vi bhavissāi*. Very numerous, on the other hand, in AMg. are the many forms of the s-aorist in the parasmaip., frequently derived from the present stem. The very seldom 1. sing. parasmaip. shows, as in Pāli¹, doubled s : *akarissam c' aham* (Āyār. 1,1,1,5); *pucchiss' aham* (verse; Sūyag. 259). The 2. sing. occurs in

akāsi = *akārśih* (Samav. 82); *kāsi* (Uttar. 415) and in *vayāsi* = *avādīh* (Sūyag. 924), of a form, that is placed together with Pāli forms like *agamāsi*². Both the forms are more frequent as 3. sing. So *akāsi* (Āyār. 1,8,4,8; 2,2,2,4; Sūyag. 74; Kappas. § 146), *akāsi* (Sūyag. 120. 123. 298), behind *mā* also *kāsi* (Hc. 3,162; Sūyag. 234; Uttar. 14), according to Hc. 3,162; Sr. fol. 54 also *kāhi* and according to Deśin. 1,8 *akāsi* in the sense of *paryāptam*. The same forms are used also as 1. sing. *jām aham pūvavām akāsi* = *yad aham pūrvam akārśam* (Āyār. 1,1,4,3); *aham e yām akāsi* = *aham etad akārśam* (Sūyag. 621) and used as 1. plur.: *jāhā vayām dhammam a yānamānā pāvām purā kammam akāsi mohā* (Uttar. 433 f.). This corresponds to the use of the imp. *āsi* (§ 515). As 3. sing.: *vayāsi* (Sūyag. 578; Vivāhap. 165, 1260.1262.1268; Ovav.; Uvās.; Kappas.), very frequently in the meaning of the 3. plur. (Āyār. 1,4,2,4; Sūyag. 783; Vivāhap. 131.186.236.238.332, 809.951; Antag. 61; Nāyādh. § 68 ff. u.s. w.) etc., also *vayāsi* (Sūyag. 565. 841; Ovav. § 53.84ff.). Other examples for the 3. sing. are: *thāsi*, *thāhi* from *sthā* (Hc. 3,162); *paccāsi* from *aś* with *parti* (Āyār. 1,2,5,5); *acāri* (Āyār. 1,8,3,2); *kahesi* from *kathaya-* (Pañhāv. 303. 327). From *bhū* the 3. sing. is *bhuvi* = **abhūvit* (Vivāhap. 78. 844 [text here °im]; Nandis. 501. 502 [text *bhūvīm ca*]; Jiv. 239. 452 [text here °im]), or from the present stem *bhava-*: *ahesi* from **abhauiṣit*, **abhauiṣit*, **abhauiṣit* (§ 166; Hc. 3,164), according to Hc. used also as 1. 2. sing., and found in the text as 3. plur.: *samaṇā...tatiha viharantā puṭṭhapuvvā ahesi suṇaehim* (Āyār. 1,8,3,6). Likewise is explained AMg. *annesi* = **ājñāyiṣit* (cf. § 487; Āyār. 1,2,6,5; 1,5, 2,1. 3,4; 1,8,1,14). That the form is not = *anveṣi*, but is the aorist of *jñā*, has already been assumed by JACOBI³. One 1. plur. occurs in *vucchāmu* = *avātsma* from *vas* “to live” (Uttar. 410), formed from an aorist stem **vatsa-*. The 3. plur. ends in *-imsu* = Skt. *isuh*. So: *parivicitthimsu* (Āyār. 1,4,4,4); *pucchinīsu* (Āyār. 1,8,2,11; Sūyag. 301 [text °isu]); *ciniṁsu*, *uvaciniṁsu* (Vivāhap. 62; Thān. 107. 108 [text °na°]); *bandhīṁsu*, *udirīṁsu*, *vedīṁsu*, *nijjarīṁsu* (Thān. 108; Vivāhap. 62 [text °eṁsu]); *sijjhīṁsu*, *bujjhīṁsu* (Sūyag. 790; Vivāhap. 79); *āyāṁsu* from *jan* with *ā* (Kappas. § 17–19; cf. § 487); *parinivvāṁsu* (Sūyag. 790); *bhāśīṁsu*, *sevīṁsu* (Sūyag. 704); *atarīṁsu* (Sūyag. 424; Uttar. 567); *hiṁsīṁsu* (Āyār. 1,1,6,5; 1,8,1,2; 1,8,3,3); *viharīṁsu* (Āyār. 1,8,1,2; 1,8,3,5); *luñciṁsu*, *nihanīṁsu* (Āyār. (1,8, 3,11. 12.); *kandīṁsu* from *krand* (Āyār. 1,8,1,4; 1,8,3,10); *vinaīṁsu* = *ryanaīsu* (Sūyag. 454); *abhaivīṁsu* (Sūyag. 157. 551) and *bhaviīṁsu* (Vivāhap. 157). Beside the usual *akarīṁsu* (Thān. 149); *kariṁsu* (Vivāhap. 62. 79; Nāyādh. § 118; Sūyag. 790 [text *karesu*]), *uvakariṁsu* (Āyār. 1,8, 3,11), is found also *vikuvvīṁsu* (Vivāhap. 214. 215) from the present stem *kuva-* (§ 508). Aorists of the causative are *giñhāvīṁsu* (Nāyādh. § 123); *paṭṭhāvāīṁsu* from *sthā* with *pra* (Kappas. § 128); *saṁpahārīṁsu* from *dhar* with *sam* and *pra* (Sūyag. 585. 620); aor. of a denominative is *rikkāsi* (Āyār. 1,8,1,3) belonging to one **riknaya-*. The 3. plur. is used also for other persons. Thus for the 1. sing.: *kariṁsu vāham* (Thān. 476); for the 3. sing. *āhiṁsīṁsu* [text °so°] *vā hiṁsāt vā hiṁsāssāt vā* (Sūyag. 680); *puṭho vi nābhībhāsīṁsu* (Āyār. 1,8,1,6); *āsiṁsu* [text °so°] *bhagavām* (Āyār. 1,8,2, 6); *sevīṁsu* (Āyār. 1,8,3,2). An old Skt. form is *addakkhu* (Vivāhap. 332), *addakkhū* (Āyār. 1,5,1,3; may be sing. too) = *adrāksuh*, frequently used as 3. singular: *adakkhu* (Āyār. 1,2,5,2; Vivāhap. 1306), *addakkhu* (Āyār. 1,8,1,9), *addakkhū* (Āyār. 1,5,2,1,6,1; 1,8,1,16. 17)⁴. In Kappas. S. 19 *adakkhu* is a false reading for *adatthu*, as the v. l. has. Accordingly is formed, from *naks* with *nih*, *niṇṇakkhu* (Āyār. 2, 2, 1, 4. 5. 6) used as 3. singular.

². E. KUHN, Beiträge p. 111; E. MÜLLER, Simplified grammar p. 114.—³. E. KUHN

l. c. p. 114; E. MÜLLER, l. c. p. 116.—3. SBE. XXII, p. 44, note 2.—4. In certain places one may be doubtful, whether or not there is an adjective **ādrāksu*, as it certainly appears for *dakkhu*, *adakkhu* = **drāksu*, **adrāksu* or **ārāksu*, **adrāksu* in Sūyag. 151.

§ 517. In AMg. we frequently find a 3. sing. ātmanep. in *-itthā*. The form is built explicitly from the present stem, and this as well as the unusual dental, which Pāli too has, for the expected cerebral (§ 303), makes it doubtful, as to whether they derive it correctly from the s-aorist¹. Examples are *samuppajjithā* from *pad* with *sam* and *ud* (Vivāhap. 151. 170; Nāyādh. § 81. 87; p. 771; Uvās.; Kappas. etc.); *roitthā* from *ruc* (Hc. 3, 143); *vaddhitthā*, *abhvaddhitthā* from *vṛdh* (Kappas.); *rītthā* from *rīyate* (Āyār. 1, 8, 1, 1; 1, 8, 3, 13); *esitthā* (Āyār. 1, 8, 4, 12); *vihariththā* (Āyār. 1, 8, 1, 12); *bhuñjithā* (Āyār. 1, 8, 1, 17. 18), *sevittha*, *sevitthā* (Āyār. 1, 8, 2, 1; 1, 8, 4, 9); *apiittha*, *apiwiththā* [so to be read] from *pā* (to drink) with privative *a* (Āyār. 1, 8, 4, 5. 6); *anujānitthā* (Āyār. 1, 8, 4, 8); *kuvvitthā* from the present stem *kuvvai* (§ 508) (Āyār. 1, 8, 4, 15); *udāhariththā* (Uttar. 353. 408), *jajiththā*, *parājayiththā* (Vivāhap. 500); *dalayitthā* (Vivāhap. 502). From *bhū* is derived the form *hōtthā* from the present stem *ho-* = *bhava-* (§ 476) (Vivāhap. 5. 168. 182; Thān. 79; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.; Ovav. etc.); also with augment in the verse *aho'tthā* (Uttar. 619); with *prāduh* on the other hand, from the stem *bhava-*: *pāubbhavitthā* (Vivāhap. 1201). Of the causative the form ends in *-ētthā*, *-ōttha*: *kārētthā* from *kāre-* = *kāraya-* (Āyār. 1, 8, 4, 8); *pahārētthā*, mostly *ttha* from *pahāre-* = *pradhāraya-* (Sūyag. 1012; Vivāhap. 153. 831; Vivāgas. 123; Ovav. § 50; Nāyādh. § 81 etc.); but also *jāvāttha* from *yāpaya-* (Āyār. 1, 8, 4, 4). The same form is used also for persons other than 3. sing. Thus for the 2. plur. in *labhittha* [so the commentary; text *°bhē°*]: *jāi me na dāhittha ih'* [so the commentary; text *ah'*] *esānijjam kin ajja jannāna labhittha lābhām* (Uttar. 359); for the 3. plur.: *vippasariththā* (Nāyādh. 348); *bahave haithū. diso disim vippasariththā*, *kasātthā*, denominative from *kaśā* (Āyār. 1, 8, 2, 11); *pāubbhavitthā* (Nāyādh. § 59; Ovav. § 33 ff.); *bahave... devā anti yām pāubbhavitthā*; more often *hōtthā* (Āyār. 2, 15, 16; Thān. 197; Nāyādh. 628; Samav. 66. 229; Uvās. § 4. 184. 233. 234; Kappas. Th. § 5. 6; Ovav. § 77). — Cf. § 520. On the precative see § 466.

1. Hypotheses on the origin of the form in JOHANSSON, KZ. 32, 450 ff.

PERFECT.

§ 518. Of the perfect, only the 3. plur. parasmaip. has retained *āhu* = *āhuḥ* in AMg. (Āyār. 1, 4, 3, 1; Sūyag. 74 [text *āha*]. 132. 134. 150. 316. 468. 500); *udāhu* (Uttar. 424); also *āhū* (Āyār. 1, 5, 1, 3) and *udāhū* (Sūyag. 454). More frequent, as in Pāli, is the recent formation *āhamṣu* (Āyār. 2, 1, 4, 5; Sūyag. 37. 166. 202. 241. 356. 445. 456. 463. 465. 778. 842; Vivāhap. 130. 139. 142. 179. 438. 1033. 1402; Thān. 148. 438 ff.; Pañhāv. 95. 106; Jiv. 12. 13; Kappas. S. § 27). Both the forms are employed for other persons too. So *āhamṣu* for the 1. sing.: *tao 'ham evam āhamṣu* (Uttar. 623); for the 3. sing.: *evam āhamṣu nāyakulanandāṇo mahappā jīno varavirānāmadhe jjo kahesi ja* (Pañhāv. 303. 327); likewise for the 3. sing. *āhu* (Sūyag. 257. 308; Uttar. 365. 646; Kappas. and *udāhu* (Āyār. 1, 2, 4, 4; Sūyag. 159. 304. 387. 518. 974. 989. 992 ff.; Uttar. 756).

PLUPERECT.

§ 519. With the exception of the cases mentioned under § 515—518, in Pkt. the past tense is expressed either by the present, particularly in narration, or generally by the paraphrasis with the past passive participle, by which the person or thing spoken of, which in the case of transitive verbs

goes over to the instrumental *abalāṇa tāṇa ... vasio aṅgesu ... seo* “sweat clung to the limbs of those women” (G. 210); *kiṁ na bhaṇio si bālaa gāmaṇidhūāi* “have you, o boy, not been told by the daughter of the village-chief? (H. 370); *sīparimatiṭhena va vūḍho teṇa vi nīrantarām roṇīco* “he exhibited uninterrupted thrill of hair, as if touched by Sītā” (R. 1,42); JM. *pacchā rannā cintīyām* “later the king thought” (Āv. 32,19); *annayā bhūyādinnena vinnāyām* “once Bhūyatidinna learnt” (Erz. 1, 24); AMg. *su�am me āusamtenabhagavajā evam akkhāyam* “I, the long-lived one, have heard that the Lord had spoken thus” (Āyār. 1,1,1,1); *urālā nām tume devānuppie sumiṇā dīṭhā* “O beloved of gods, you have seen an excellent dream” (Kappas. § 9); S. *tā aāñantena edipā e vvām aruciṭhidaṁ* “so he has done thus unknowingly” (Mṛcch. 63,24); *sudām khu mae tādakanpasa muhādo* “I have heard from the mouth of the father Kanva (Śak. 14,12); Mg. *śudām tue yam mae gāidām* “did you hear what I sang?” (Mṛcch. 116, 20); *adha ekkadīsaṁ mae lohīdamaśake khaṇdaśo kapipide* “one day I cut the rohita fish into pieces” (Śak. 114,9); A. *tumheःkī amheःkī jam, kīāū dīṭhāū bahujanena* “many people have seen what was done by you and by us (Hc. 4,371); *sabadhu karēppinu kadhidu maī* “I have said on oath” (Hc. 4,396,3). Pkt. may form in this manner by insertion of *āsi* (was) the pluperfect from the past passive participle¹. So: M. *jo sīsammi viṇṇo majjhajuānehi ganavai āsi* “he, whom the young men had put over my head, was Gaṇapati” (H. 372); JM. *tayā ja so kumbhajāro...gāmām annām gao āsi* “and at that time the potter had gone to another village” (Sagara 10, 18); *jam te sukkhiyām āsi buddhileṇa addhalakkham* “that half lac, that Buddhila had spoken to ihee” (Erz. 10,34); S. *ahām khu radanachatthim uvavasidā āsi* “I had brought the (prescribed) fast to end on the ratnaṣaṣṭhi (for the vow)” (Mṛcch. 54,16); S. *tumām mae saha ... gadā āsi* “you had gone with me (Mṛcch. 28,14); *ajja devī ajjagandhālie pādavandanām kādum gadā āsi* “today the queen had gone to adore the feet of Her Majesty Gāndhāri (Venis. 12,6); *puno mandassa vi me tattha paccuppanām uttarām āsi*, “although dull, I had prepared the answer” (Mālav. 57,16); *tāe kku citaphalaṁ pabhāde hatthikidām āsi* “I had taken the picture into my hand in the morning” (Mālatīm. 78,3); Dh. *tassa jūḍialassa mutṭhippahāleṇa nāsikā bhaggā āsi* “the nose of the gambler was broken with a stroke of the fist (Mṛcch. 36,18). In many cases we may take them as adjectival participle.

1. FICK, Sagara p. 26.

FUTURE

§ 520. By far the most usual in S. Mg. exclusively attestable formation of the future of the roots ending in a consonant is that of the stem in *-i*. But Pkt. does not employ merely the crude one, but more frequently the present stem, as well as the stem in *-e*. The 1. sing. has in AMg. JM. often, in other dialects almost isolated, the ending *-mi*, mostly *-m*, the ending of the secondary tense, which in A. with *a* of the stem becomes *u* (351). In the 2. sing. *-issasi*, Mg. *-iśsasi*, in the 3. sing. *-issai*, S. Dh. *-issadi*, Mg. *-iśsadi* the regular, in S. Mg. Dh., except rarely in verses, is only the usual ending. In M. JM. AMg., in lieu of it, mostly enters 2. sing. *-ihisi*, 3. *-ihii*, also the contracted *-iḥi* and prosodically shortened *-ihi*, a phonetic transition that has disappeared from the roots and stems ending in long vowels and diphthongs. The grammarians mention for the 1. sing. too the ending *-ihāmi*, *-ihimi*: *kittāhimi* beside *kittāssam* = *kīrtayiṣāmi* (Hc. 3,169); *sōcchihimi*, *sōcchihāmi* from *śru*, *gacchihimi*, *gacchihāmi*.

beside *gacchissām* from *gam* (Hc. 3,172); *hasihimi* beside *hasissām*, *hasissāmi* (Sr. fol. 52). For the roots and stems ending in long vowels -*himi* too is mentioned: *kāhimi* from *kr*, *dāhimi* from *dā* (Hc. 3,170; Sr. fol. 52), *hohimi* from *bhū* (Bh. 7,14; Hc. 3,167.169; Ki 4,16), *hasehimi* beside *hasehāmi*, *hase^essāmi* from the *e*-stem of *has* (Sr. fol. 52), to which *hasehii* (Bh. 7,33; Hc. 3,157) too belongs. We find in the texts such forms with -*i* upto the present day only in A.: *pe^okkhīhimi* = *preksīsyē*, *sahīhimi* = *sahīsyē* (Vikr. 55,18. 19). According to Hc. 4,275 Š. has in the 3. sing. -*issidi*: *bhavissidi*, *karissidi*, *gacchissidi*, according to 4,302 in Mg. -*issidi*: *bhavissidi*. In South Indian manuscripts, several times the future forms in -*issidi* are found; they are unknown in the texts. Probably in Hc. by Š. is again meant by JS., in which the examples for the future are hitherto wanting. The 1. plur. mostly ends in -*issāmo*, in verses seldom in -*issāma* too, as M. *karissāma* (H. 897), after long vowels in -*hāmo*, metrically in -*hāmu* too. The grammarians recognise beside the forms like *hasissāmo* also *hasihimo* (Bh. 7, 15; Hc. 3,167; Sr. fol. 52), *hasihissā*, *hasihithā* (Bh. 7,15; Hc. 3,168; Sr. fol. 52), Bh. 7,15 also *hāsihāmo*, Sr. fol. 52, *hasehissā*, *hasehithā* *hase^essāmo*, *hase^essāmu*, *hasissāmu*, *hase^essāma*, *hasehāma*, *hasihāma*, *hasehimo*, *hasehimu*, *hasihim*; further *sōcchimo*, *sōcchimu*, *sōcchima*, *sōcchihimo*, *sōcchihim*, *sōcchihima* *sōcchissāmo*, *°mu*, *°ma*, *sōcchihāmo*, *sōcchihissā*, *sōcchihittā* (Bh. 7,17; Hc. 3, 172), *gacchimo*, *gacchihimo*, *gacchissāmo*, *gacchihāmo*, *gacchihissā*, *gacchihittā* (Hc. 3,172); *hohimo*, *hōssāmo*, *hohāmo*, *hohissā*, *hohitthā* (Bh. 7,13.15; Hc. 3, 168; Ki. 4,18), *hohissāmo*, *hohitthāmo* (Ki. 4,18). Cf. with it § 521.523.531. The ending -*ihissā* for the 1. plur. is completely obscure¹. The ending -*hitthā*, -*ihitthā* is mentioned for the 2. plural too: *hohitthā* (Hc. 3,166); *sōcchitthā*, *sōcchihitthā* (Bh. 7,17; Hc. 3,172) beside *sōcchiha*, *sōcchihīha*, *gacchitthā*, *gacchihitthā* (Hc. 3,172) beside *gacchiha*, *gacchihīha*, *hasehithā*, *hasihithā* (Sr. fol. 52) beside *hasehiha*, *hasihīha*. The one found in the text in AMg. is *dāhittha* = *dāsyaiha* (Uttar. 359). Accordingly this must have belonged to the 2. plur. and taken over to the 1. plur. It still remains undecided if it is connected with the ending -*ithā*, which is assigned to the aorist. The usual ending of the 2. plur. is -*issaha*, Š. Mg. -*issadha*. The 3. plur. ends in -*issanti*, in JM. AMg. very frequently in -*ihinti*, -*hinti* too Sr. fol. 51 teaches -*ire*: *hasehiire*, *hasihīre*.

1. According to Ki.'s *hohitthāmo* LASSEN, Inst. p. 353 will explain *hohissā*, *hohitthā* as abridgment of *hohissāmo*, *hohitthāmo*. But since *hohitthā* is also the 2. plural, the explanation is not plausible. One compares the free use of *āsi*, *ahesi*, *āhu*, *udāhu* and the 3. sing. preterite in -*ithā*. For the sake of brevity *i* is always pushed to the ending above.

§ 521. The examples for the future are regulated according to the present stem (§ 473) for the sake of facilitating abridgment. The future of *ji* is JM. *jīnissāi* (Erz. 22,29), AMg. *parājīnissāi* (Nirayāv. § 3); from *nī*, M. *nehīi*=*nesyati*(G.223), JM. *nīnehīi*=*nīresyati* (Erz. 52,13), *nehinti* (Erz. 29, 15), AMg. *wanekīi* (Ovav. §107), *vinehīi* (Nāyādh. §87); *wanekinti* (Ovav. § 106), but from the present stem Š. *onunaissām* (Ratn. 316,15), *avaṇaissām* (Šak.102,14;104,13), *uvaṇaissām* (Šak.137,3), *naissādi* (Mṛcch.58,3), *ānaissādi* (Mālatīm. 104,1), *näissadha* (Karp. 33,8); Mg. *ṇaissām* (Mṛcch. 169,13). On Š. *daissām*, Mg. *daissām* from *daya*-(to give) see §474.—In the future of *bhū* all the present stems are to be found, however, the dialects maintain distinction in their employment. M. A. use only *ho-*, which Š. Mg. do not know. JM. *bhavissām* (Dvār. 501,38); Š. *bhavissām* (Mṛcch. 9,12; Šak. 51,13; 85,7; Mālav. 52,19; Ratn. 315,16, 318,31; Karp. 8,7; 52,2), *anubhavissām* (Mālatīm. 278,9); Mg. *bhaviśśām* (Mṛcch.116,23); Š. *bhavissasi* (Mṛcch. 4,6; Ratn. 296,25); Mg. *bhaviśśāsi* (Šak. 116,4); AMg. JM.

bhavissaī (Vivāhap. 844; Jiv. 239, 452; Uttar. 116; Ovav. § 103. 109. 114. [115]; Kappas.; Dvār. 495, 27; 504, 5; Erz. 11, 35; Kk. 268, 33; 271, 13, 15); Š. *bhavissadi* (Mṛcch. 5, 2; 20, 24; Śak. 10, 3; 18, 3; Vikr. 20, 20; Mālav. 35, 20; 37, 5; Ratn. 291, 2; 294, 9; Mālatim. 78, 9; 89, 8; 125, 2 etc.); Mg. *bhaviśṣadi* (Prab. 50, 14); AMg. *bhavissāmo* (Āyār. 1, 2, 2, 1; Sūyag. 601); AMg. *bhavissaha* (Vivāhap. 234); JM. *bhavihinti* (Āv. 47, 20); Š. *bhavissanti* (Mālatim. 126, 3). False is *havissadi*, *havissām* (Mālav. 37, 19; 40, 22)¹, since the stem *hava* is used only after the prefix *pra*, as in Š. *pahavissām* (Uttarar. 32, 4). Š. Mg. use also the stem *huva-*: Mg. *huviśśām* (Mṛcch. 29, 24; 32, 19; 40, 1; 118, 17; 124, 12); Š. *huviśsasi* (Venis. 58, 18); Š. *huviśsadi* (Mṛcch. 22, 14; 24, 4; 64, 18; Vikr. 36, 6; 46, 4, 6; 53, 2, 13; 72, 19; Mālav. 70, 6; Venis. 9, 21; Vrsabh. 47, 11 etc.); Mg. *huviśṣadi* (Mṛcch. 21, 14, 15; 117, 15; 118, 16, 17; Venis. 33, 3); Š. *huviśsanti* (Mṛcch. 39, 4; Candak. 86, 14). From the stem *ho-* are derived: *hośsāmī* (Bh. 7, 14; Hc. 3, 167. 169; Ki. 4, 16); M. *hośsāmī* (Vr. 7, 14; Hc. 3, 169; Ki. 4, 17; H. 743); A. *hosāī* (Hc. 4, 388; 418, 4), and *hose* (Prabandhac. 56, 6; cf. § 166); *hośsāmo*, *hośsāmu*, *hośsāma* (Bh. 7, 13. 15; Hc. 3, 167. 168; Ki. 4, 18), mostly with *h* from § (§ 263); JM. *hohāmī* (Bh. 7, 14; Hc. 3, 167; Ki. 4, 16; Āv. 26, 36); *hohimi* (Bh. 7, 14; Hc. 3, 167; Ki. 4, 16); *hohissāmī* (Ki. 4, 17); JM. *hohisi* (Hc. 3, 166, 178; Erz. 62, 31); M. JM. *hohii* (Hc. 3, 166, 178; Ki. 4, 15; G H. R.; Āv. 43, 13; Erz. 37, 1), *hohi* (Erz. 6, 36; Dvār. 495, 15; T. 7, 10; Kk. 265, 41; 270, 43); before double consonants *hohi*: *hohi tti* (Dvār. 495, 24); 1. plur. *hohāmo*, *hohāmu*, *hohāma*, *hohimo*, *hohimu*, *hohima*, *hohissā*, *hohithā* (Bh. 7, 13. 15; Hc. 3, 167. 168), *hohissāmo*, *hohithāmo* (Ki. 4, 18); 2. plur. *hohithā* (Hc. 3, 166; Ki. 4, 15); 3. plur. M. JM. *hohinti* (Bh. 7, 12; Hc. 3, 166; Ki. 4, 15; H. 675; Sagara 2, 15). In AMg. sometimes the stem *hōkkha-* is met: *hōkkhāmī* (Uttar. 63, 202), *hōkkhañ* (Uttar. 63), *hōkkhai*, *hōkkhanti* (Samav. 240 ff.). It is probably merely false, a reading deduced from **bhosya-* (§ 265). Cf. also § 520. According to Hc. 37, 18 from the precative too a future is derived: *hojjahimi*, *hojjāhimi*, *hojjassāmī*, *hojjahāmī*, *hojjassāmī*; *hojjahisi*, *hojjāhisi*; *hojjahii*, *hojjāhii*. Sr. fol. 53 reads *hojjehii*, *hojjihii*, *hojjāhii*.

1. Further examples in BLOCH, Vr. und Hc. 42. — 2. The forms, that are hitherto incapable of verification, have been quoted here without indication of the dialects.

§ 522. The roots in *r*, *ṛ* according to the 1. and 6. classes form in all the dialects the future, as in Skt.: Š. *apusarissāmī* (Viddhaś. 115, 6), *visumarissāmī* = *vismarisyāmī* (Śak. 14, 3), *visumarissāsi* (Śak. 89, 7), *visumarissadha* (Śak. 86, 6); Š. *sumarissāsi* (Ratn. 313, 6); Š. *pariharissāmī* (Śak. 25, 1), *pariharissādi* (Vikr. 79, 7); Mg. *palihaliśśādi* (Prab. 42, 5; 47, 7); *vihaliśśāmī* (Mṛcch. 40, 6); AMg. *viharissāmī* (Ovav. § 114. [§ 115]), *viharissāmī* (Āyār. 2, 2, 3, 3; 2, 7, 1, 2; Vivāhap. 979), *viharissāha* (Vivāhap. 234); JM. *viharissānti* (Kk. 269, 38); *marissāsi* (Mṛcch. 72, 18); Mg. *malihīśī* (verse; Mṛcch. 9, 24); M. *anumarihī* (R. 14, 55); M. *harihī* (H. 143); AMg. *tarihīnti* (Uttar. 253) and *tarissānti* (Uttar. 567; Sūyag. 424), *nijariśānti* (Thāṇ. 108). — Of the roots in *-ai gai* forms: AMg. *gāhī* = *gāyati* (Thāṇ. 451); M. *uggāhī* (R. 11, 84); against that Š. *gāissāmī* (Śak. 2, 8; Viddhaś. 122, 11; 128, 4; Karīsav. 8, 16), Mg. *gāissāmī* (Mṛcch. 116, 20; 117, 3); from *trai* the fut. is Mg. *palittāiśśādi* (Mṛcch. 12, 10).

§ 523. Of the roots of the old *ska-* class *r* forms in JM. *acchihisi* (Āv. 11, 11), *yam* JM. *paẏacchissāmī* (Dvār. 503, 4). In the case of *gam* the formation from the stem *gami-* prevails, which is exclusively dominant Š. Mg. The form *gacchissādi*, taught by Hc. 4, 275 for Š. is not attested by the text. So: JM. *gamissāmī* (Erz. 60, 19); Š. *gamissāmī* (Mṛcch. 8, 24; 9, 7; 15, 10; 54, 19; Śak. 17, 4; Ratn. 293, 24; 296, 26; 297, 12; 314, 26; Karp.

35,3; 108,4; 109,2; Nāgān. 42,7. 15; 43,10; Jivān. 42,17. 23; 43,17 etc.), *āgamissām* (Karp. 22,7; 107,4); Mg. *gamiśām* (Mṛcch. 20,10. 14; 32,2; 97,1; 98,2; 112,18); Š. *gamissasi* (Mṛcch. 3,17; Šak. 24,15); AMg. *gamihi* (Uvās. § 125; Vivāhap. 175; Nirayāv. § 27); A. *gamihi* (Hc. 4,330,2); M. *samāgamissai* (H. 962); Š. *gammisi* (Mṛcch. 94,2; Šak. 56,14; Mālatīm. 103,7), *āgammisi* (Uttarar. 123,7; Karp. 105,3); Dh. *gammisi* (Mṛcch. 36, 23); AMg. Š. *gammisāmo* (Ovav. § 78; Karp. 36,6); AMg. *uvāgammisanti* (Āyār. 2,3,1,2 ff.). From the stem *gaccha-* are built: *gacchissāmi* (JM. Av. 21,10), *gacchissām*, *gacchihāmi*, *gacchihimi*; *gacchihisi* (Hc. 3,172); AMg. *gacchihii* (Hc. 3,172; Sr. fol. 52; Ovav. § 100. 101; Uvās. § 90); *āgacchissāi* (Uvās. § 188); according to Sr. also *gacchehi*; *gacchissāmo*, *gacchihāmo*, *gacchihimo*, *gacchihissā*, *gacchihitthā*, *gacchihīha* (AMg. Āyār. 2,3,3,5), *gacchihitthā*; *gacchihinti* (Hc. 3,172). Beside them is found a future AMg. *gacchām* (Vr. 7,16; Hc. 3,171; Ki. 4,19; Sr. fol. 53; Thān. 156. 285), according to Hc. also *gacchimi*, that according to the grammarians is inflected: *gacchisi*, *gacchii*, *gacchimo*, *gacchiha*, *gacchinti*, according to Sr. also *gacchei*. The hypothesis that *gacchām* may have been formed on the analogy of *daccham*, *mōcchām*, *viechām*, *rōcchām*, *vēcchām*, *vōcchām* (§ 525.526. 529) is convenient, but wholly improbable. We should assume a root *gacch*, deduced from *gacchāi*, and derive *gacchām* from **gacchsyāmi*, **gakshyāmi*. Cf. *sōcchām* § 531.

§ 524. Of the reduplicated roots of the first conjugation *pā* forms JM. *pāhāmi* = *pāsyāmi* (Av. 42,27); AMg. *pāham* (Uttar. 593 [text *pāhim*]), *pāhisi* (Kappas. S. § 18), *pāhāmo* (Āyār. 2,1,5,5; 2,1,9,6); M. *pāhīti* (R. 3,21; text false *hē*). The future of *sthā* is M. *thāhī* (Pracandap. 47,4), Š. *cīthīssām* (Šak. 30,9; Vikr. 15,5; Nāgān. 69,14; Karp. 22,2); Mg. *cīthīssām* (Candak. 42,11), *anuciśthīssām* (Mṛcch. 40,11; cf. v. l. and § 303); Š. *cīthīssadi* (Vikr. 43,8); AMg. Š. *cīthīssāmo* (Nāyādh. 908. 939; Viddhaś. 61,8).—Š. *utthīssāmo* (Mṛcch. 20,22) goes back to *utthāi*, AMg. *utthēhīnti* (Vivāhap. 1280) to *utthēi* (§ 483).

§ 525. The future from *dr̥s* in M. JM. AMg. is *dacchām* = *draksyāmi* (Vr. 7,16; Hc. 3,171; Sr. fol. 52). The rules for *gam* (§ 523) hold good in this case too. One says: M. *dacchāmi* (R. 11,77), *dacchimi* (R. 11,85); M. *dacchihisi* (H. 819; R. 11,93 [to be read so with C; false S. GOLD-SCHMIDT p. 286 note 1]); AMg. *dacchisi* (Uttar. 679 = Dasav. 613,35, where correct is the text); JM. *dacchīhi* (Erz. 24,12); M. *dacchīhi* (R. 14,55), *dacchāma* (R. 3,50), *dacchīha* (R. 3,23 [so to be read]). Beside them one says also AMg. *pāsihi* from *pāsaī* = *pāsyati* (Ovav. [§ 115]). To Š. Mg. Dh. both the verbs are unknown: they use *iks* with *pra*, that is known also to the rest of the dialects: M. *pēcchīssām* (H. 743), *pēcchīhīsi* (H. 566); JM. *pēcchīssāmo* (Dvār. 505,28); Š. *pekkhīssām* (Mṛcch. 4,11; 77,12; 93,16; Šak. 90,15; 125,15; Vikr. 11,2; 13,19; Prab. 37,13; 38,1 etc.), *pekkhīssati* (Ratn. 300,1; Uttarar. 66,7); Mg. *pekkhīssām* (Mṛcch. 40,10), *pekkhīssādi* (Mṛcch. 123,22); Dh. *pekkhīssām* (Mṛcch. 35,15. 17); A. *pekkhīhīsi* (Vikr. 55,18).—As in the present (§ 484), in the fut. too *labh* may take a nasal in the root: Š. *lambhīssām* = *lapṣye* (Cait. 83,2); Š. *uvālambhīssām* = *upāla-
pṣye* (Priyad. 19,15); but also Š. *lahīssām* (Mṛcch. 70,12); Š. *uvālahīssām* (Šak. 61,2; 130,4); AMg. *labhīssāmi* (Āyār. 2,1,4,5); JM. *lahīssāmo* (Erz. 13,30). From *sah* we find in AMg. the fut. *sakhāmo* = Epic *sākyāmāh* (Āyār. 1,8,2,14).—From the contracted stems *khā-* and *dhā-* from *khāda-* and *dhāva-* (§ 165) the fut. *khāhī* and *dhāhī* are formed (Bh. 8,27; Hc. 4,228). So Mg. *khāhīsi* (Mṛcch. 11,11) in the verse, against *khāīssām* (Mṛcch. 124,10) in prose.

§ 526. Of the roots of the 6. conjugation *pracch*, corresponding to the present *pūchhāi* = *pṛchhati*, forms the fut. Š. *pūchhīssām* (Mṛcch. 4,22;

81,1. 2. 10; Śak. 19,3; 50,4; Mälātim. 103,10; Venīs. 59,1; Karp. 3,4); Mg. *pūśīssām* (Prab. 50,46; 53,12); AMg. *pucchissāmo* (Āyār. 1,4,2,6; Ovav. § 38).—*sphuṭ* forms according to the present *phuttaī* (§ 488, note 1), A. *phuṭṭisu* (Hc. 4,422,12); M. *phuṭṭihisi*, *phuṭṭihii* (H. 768,281 [so to be read]). *muc* forms *mōccham*=*mokṣyāmī* (Hc. 3,172; Ki. 4,19; Sr.fol. 53). The rules for *gam* (§ 523) hold good in this case. So M. *mōccihii* (R. 4,49) and *mōccihī* (R. 3,30; 11,126). JM. says also *muñcīhī* (Dvār. 504,11), S. *muñcissadi* (Vikr. 72,20), as from *sic* S. *siñcissam* (Śak. 15,4). On *mṛ* see § 522.—From *viś* Ki. 4,19 teaches *vicchām*, for which, as already LASSEN (Inst. p. 351) has noted, *vēccham* would be expected, which the rest of the grammarians derive from *vid*. We find in the texts the forms of the *i*-stem only, as AMg. *anupavisissāmi*, *pavisissāmi* (Āyār. 2,1,4,5), *pavisissāmo* (Āyār. 1,8,2,14); JM. *pavisihii* (Erz. 29,16); Mg. *paviśīssām*, *uvavisiśīssām* (Mṛcch. 36,1; 124,8).

§ 527. The roots of the 4. class mostly use the present stem: M. *kilammihisi* (G. 954), *kilammihii* (H. 196) from *kilammaī* = *klāmyati* (§ 136); AMg. *sivvissāmi* from *sīvayati* (Āyār. 1,6,3,1); M. *kuppissām* (H. 898); S. *kuppissadi* (Mṛcch. 94,7, 8; Uttarar. 66,9); but also S. *kuvissām* (Uttarar. 32,3; Viddhaś. 71,3); S. *naccissām* (Viddhaś. 122,11; 128,5), *naccissadi* (Cait. 57,12) from *nṛt*; AMg. *sajjihii*, *rajjihii*, *gijjhīhī*, *mujjhīhī*, *ajjhovavajjhīhī* from *saj*, *raj*, *grdh*, *muh*, *pad* (Ovav. § 111); AMg. *bujjhīhī* from *budh* (Ovav. § 116), *sijjhīhī* from *sīdh* (Vivāhap. 175; Nirāyāv. § 27; Ovav. § 116), *sijjhīhīnti* (Ovav. § 128), *sijjhīssanti* (Āyār. 2,15,16); JM. *sijjhīhī* (Erz. 28,16; 34,20; Dvār. 508,8); M. S. *vivajjissām* from *pad* with *vi* (H. 865; Mṛcch. 25,15); AMg. *padivajjissāmī* (Uvās. § 12,210), S. *padivajjissāmī* (Mälātim. 117,5), S. *pađivajjissādi* (Śak. 70,12; Nāgān. 22,7), AMg. *pađivajjissāmo* (Ovav. § 38); M. *pavajjīhī* (H. 661); AMg. *wavajjīhī* (Vivāhap. 175; Nirāyāv. § 27; Ovav. § 100, 101), *wavajjissāha* (Vivāhap. 234), *samūp-pajjīhī* (Ovav. § 115), *uppajjissānti* (Thān. 80, 133); S. *samuppajjissādi* (Vikr. 43,12); JM. *vaccīhī* (Erz. 77,33), M. *vaccīhī* (H. 918) from *vaccaī* (§ 202), but JM. *pavaīssāmī* (Āv. 32,27), AMg. *pavaīhī* (Ovav. § 115) from *vraj*; M. *mannīhī* (G. 954; H. 663), JM. *mannīssāi* (Erz. 12,35), S. *mannīssādi* (Uttarar. 95,2 [so to be read]); JM. *vināsīhī* (Erz. 29,16), *vināsīhī* (Dvār. 495,17); M. *laggissām*, *laggihī* (H. 375, 21), *laggihī* (G. 70), Mg. *anulaggiśām* (Çandak. 42,12); A. *rūsesu* from the *e*-stem of *rūs* (Hc. 4,414; 4), like JM. *mannehī* (Āv. 12,12) from the *e*-stem of *man*. Deviating from the present (§ 489) *śram* forms the future in M. *visammīhī* (H. 576 [so to be read]).—Corresponding to the present *jāi*, AMg. *āyānti*, *paccāyānti* (§ 487) the future of *jan* are AMg. *pāyāhī* (Vivāhap. 946; Kappas. § 9; Nāyādh. § 26), *pāyāhī* (Ovav. § 104; Kappas. § 79; Nāyādh. § 51), *paccāyāhī* (Vivāhap. 1090; Thān. 523; Ovav. § 102), *āyāssānti* (Kappas. § 17). On Śak. see § 531.

§ 528. The verbs of the 10. conjugation and the similarly built causatives and denominatives form the future as in Skt. with regular elision of *y*: *kittāssān*, *kittāhīmī* = *kirtayiṣyāmī* (Hc. 3,169); AMg. *dalaīssāī* (Vivāhap. 1288), *dalaīssānti* (Ovav. § 108); S. *kutṭāssāmī* (Mṛcch. 18,5), *annūlāssān* = *anukūlayiṣyāmī* (Mälātim. 267,8), *cūraīssāmī* (Karp. 21,2), *vārāssādī*, *cintaīssādī*, *mattaīssādī* = *nivartayiṣyātī* (Śak. 55,2; 87,1; 91,6), *puloīssādī* (Vṛṣab. 22,9), *vinoḍaīssāmī* (Śak. 78,10), *visajjaīssādha* (Śak. 86, 5), *saddāvaīssāmī* = **sabḍapayiṣyāmī* (Mṛcch. 60,1), *moḍaīssāsī* = **mocāpayiṣyāsī* (Mṛcch. 60,13); Mg. *ganaīssāmī* (Śak. 154,6), *madamaḍaīssāmī*, *tādaīssāmī*, *lih-dvāiīssāmī*, *dūṣaīssāmī* (Mṛcch. 21,22; 80,5; 136,21; 176,6), *vāvādaīssādī* = *vyāpādayiṣyātī* (Venīs. 36,5). At Mṛcch. 128,14 Mg. *modaīssāmī* with the ending *-mi* as against *modaīssāmī* at Mṛcch. 113,1, is made certain prosodically.

On the contrary Š. *ṇikkāmaissāmi* at Mṛcch. 52,9 is to be corrected as *°aissām*. In M. AMg. JM. we find the future also from the contracted form in -e: M. *mārehisi* (H. 567); JM. *vattehāmi* = *vartayisyāmi* (Av. 47, 26); *vināsehāmi* = *vināśayisyāmi* (Dvār. 495,31); *nāsehii* (T. 5,20); *melavehisi* = *melayisyasi* (Av. 30,8); *janehī* (Erz. 12,28); *nivārehi* (Erz. 8,21); *kahehinti* (Av. 26,36); AMg. *sehāvehii* = **śaikṣāpayisyati*, *sikkhāvehii* = *śiksāpayisyati* (Ovav. § 107), *cejēssāmo* = *cetayisyāmah* (Āyār. 2,1,9,1;2,2, 2,10), *sakkārehinti*, *sammānehinti*, *padivisajjhēhinti* (Ovav. § 108), *uvanimante-hinti* (Ovav. § 110), *saddavehinti* (Vivāhap. 1276), *nōllavehinti* (Vivāhap. 1280). Not seldom are the future of the denominatives formed without a suffix (§ 491), to which are attached the causative (§ 533): Š. *kadhisām* (Mṛcch. 80,25), M. *kahissām* (H. 157), beside the usual Š. *kadhaissām* (Mṛcch. 19,2; Šak. 51,12; 105,7), Mg. *kadhaissām*, *kadhaissāsi* (Mṛcch. 139,23; 165, 15); AMg. **kārāvissām* = *kārāpayisyāmi* = *kārayisyāmi* (Āyār. 1,1,1,5); Š. *khandissām* = *khaṇdayisyāmi* (Karp. 18,7); M. *puloissām* = *pralokayisyāmi* (H. 743), Ā. *paloissām* (Mṛcch. 104,21); Š. *vaddhāissām* = **vardhāpayisyāmi* (Sak. 37,10), *vinnavissām* = *vijñāpayisyāmi*, *sussūissām* = *śuśrūṣayisyāmi* (Mṛcch. 58,11; 88,11); Mg. *mālissāsi* = *mārayisyasi* (Mṛcch. 125,7) ; Š. *takkissadi* = *tarkayisyati* (Vīkr. 79,9; v. l. *cintissadi*), *mantissadi* (Ratn. 299, 9) beside *mantaissadi* (Mṛcch. 54,1).

§ 529. Of the verbs of the 2. conjugation ending in -ā, *khyā* forms AMg. *paccākkhissāmi* = **pratyācikhyisyāmi* (Āyār. 2,1,9,2), *yā* AMg. *nijjāissāmi* according to § 487 (Ovav. § 40 [so to be read with Q for *nijjāhissāmi* of the text]), JM. *jāhī* (Erz. 29,12; 35,5), *vā* AMg. *parinivvōhī* (Vivāhap. 175; Nāyādh. 390 [so to be read]), *parinivvāsanti* (Āyār. 2,15,16), *snā* Š. *nhāissām* (Mṛcch. 27,14) according to § 487.—From i the fut. is AMg. *ēssāmi* (Thān. 142), *ēssanti* (Sūyag. 45. 56. 71); with the prefix ā M. *ehī* (H. 385), M. AMg. *ehī* (H. 137. 784 [so to be read]), 855. 918; R. 10,79; Āyār. 2,4,1,2 [so to be read]; Uvās. § 187), JM. *ehī* (Erz. 24,11), *ehinti* (Erz. 29,13), A. *esi* (Hc. 4, 414, 4). From this is found also a wholly isolated optative M. *ehijja* (H. 17) standing there.—rud forms *rōcchām* = **rotsyāmi* (Vr. 7,16; Hc. 3,171; Sr. fol. 53), in Ki. 4,19 *rucchām*, but M. *roissām* (H. 503), Š. *rodissām* (Mṛcch. 95,23; Nāgān. 3,1), also *rudissāmo* (Mallikām. 154,23).—From *svap* the fut. is Š. *swissām* (Mṛcch. 50,4; Priyad. 34,3), Mg. *śuviśām* (Mṛcch. 43,12; Prab. 60,15) :—*vid* has the fut. *vēcchām* = **vetsyāmi* (Vr. 7,16; Hc. 3,171; Sr. fol. 53), but Š. *vedissādi* (Prab. 37,15), AMg. *vedissanti* (Thān. 108).—*vac* forms *vōcchām* (§ 104; Vr. 7,16; Hc. 3,171; Sr. fol. 53). So M. AMg. *vōcchām* (Vajjälaga 324,10; Pañhāv. 331; Ovav. 184 [text *bō*°]; Nandis. 92 [text *bō*°]; Jīyāk. 1.60) and *vōcchāmi* (Vivāhap. 59; Pañhāv. 330; Uttar. 737. 897); but AMg. also *vakkhāmo* = *vaksyāmah* (Dasav. 627,23), *pañavakkhāmi* (Sūyag. 278. 284). In Ki. 4,21 *vacchihimi*, *vacchimi*, *vacchi* are edited. Cf. 4,20. The forms *rōcchām*, *vēcchām*, *vōcchām* and all similarly built ones are not used in Š. Mg., as Mk. fol. 70 expressly mentions and the texts attest. For their flexion the rules for *gacchām* (§ 523) hold good. — From *duh* the fut. is *duhīhī* (Hc. 4,245).

§ 530. From *dā* the future is AMg. JM. *dāhāmi* (Āyār. 2,1,10,1; Uttar. 743; Erz. 59,23. 34)¹ and *dāhāmī* (Vr. 7,16; Hc. 3,170; Ki. 4,19; Erz. 10,24), according to Hc. also *dāhīmi*; AMg. *dāhīsi* (Āyār. 2,1,2,4; 2,2, 3,18; 2,5,1,7; 2,6,1,5); JM. *dāhī* (Āv. 43,22; Erz.); AMg. *dāhāmo* (Āyār. 2,5,1,10), *dāhānu* (Sūyag. 178; Uttar. 355. 358), *dāhittha* (Uttar. 359); JM. *dāhīnti* (Erz. 80,22). In Š. Mg. the fut. Š. *dāissām* (Mṛcch. 80,20), Mg. *dāissām* = **dayisyāmi* (Mṛcch. 31,6.8.15; 32,9.24; 33,22; 35,8; 80,19 etc.; § 474); are formed from the stem *daya-*, corresponding to the present *dēdi* = **dayati*.

We should read *daissam* for Š. *dāissam* (Karp. 112,5; Šak. ed. BÖHTLINGK 25,6; Priyad. 23,4) and *daissanti* for *deissanti* (Kāleyak. 2,13). — *dhā* has attested the old reduplicated stem also in the future (cf. § 500) in combination with *śrad*: AMg. *saddahissai* (Nāyādh. 1114—1116). Otherwise in combination with prefixes, in the future in AMg. the flexion points to *°dhai*, *°hai* (§ 500): AMg. *pehissāmi*, metrically for *pi*°, as the ed. Calc. has (Āyār. 1,8,1,1), but Š. according to the 4. conjugation: *pīhāissam* (Viddhaś. 70,8); AMg. *sāndhissāmi*, *parihissāmi* (Āyār. 1,6,3,1); also in Š. *sāndhīhisi* (Bālar. 22,18), certainly against the dialect, in which **sāndhāssasi* is to be expected. — From *hā* AMg. has the fut. *vippajahissāmo* (Sūyag..633.635), from *bhī* Š. has *bhāissam*, *bhāissadi* (Šak. 140,11; 135,14).

i. At Āyār. 1,7,7,2 JACOBI twice reads *dāsāmi*, 2,5,1,11. 13 *dāsāmo* beside *dāhāmo*. At the first place the ed. Calc. reads *dalaissāmi*, as also the v. 1. has, at the second *dāssāmo*, at the third *dāsāmo*.

§ 531. Of the verbs of the 5. conjugation *ci* forms the fut. Š. *avacinnissam* (Ratn. 295,25; Vṛśabh. 58,20; Cait. 73,10), AMg. *ciniissantī*, *uvacinnissanti* (Thān. 107,108; Vivāhap. 62). According to Hc. 4,243 *cīñihii* would be passive; according to the form it is parasmaip.—*śru* forms according to the grammarians *so ccham* (Vr. 7,16; Hc. 3,171. 172; Kī. 4,19; Sr. fol. 53) with the flexion according to *gaccham* (§ 523). This *so ccham* does not belong to *śru*, but to Vedic *śrus*, and, therefore, stands regularly for **śrokyāmi*. The fut. from *śru* are Š. *sunissam* (Mṛcch. 60,7,9; Šak. 20,7; Vikr. 24,5; 31,1. 9; Mālav. 83,3 etc.), *sunissāmo* (Mallikām. 129,3; 132,9), MG. *sunissam* (Mṛcch. 21,21), JM. *sunissāmi* (Kk. 265,4), in AMg. also from the *e*-stem: *sunēssāmi* (Thān. 143), *sunēssāmo* (Ovav. § 38). — From *āp* AMg. forms the fut. *pāuṇissāmi* (Āyār. 1,6,3,1), *pāuṇihii* (Uvās. § 62; Ovav. § 100. 116)¹ corresponding to the present *pāuṇa* (§ 504). The rest of the dialects derive it from the present stem *pāva-*: A. *pāvisu* (Hc. 4,396,4); Š. *pāvissasi* (Kāleyak. 7,6); M. *pāvihisi* (H. 462. 510), and so also against the dialect in Š. (Vikr. 42,10); MG. *pāvihisi* (Mudrār. 177,6; [so to be read for *vahesi*; cf. v. 1. and ZDMG. 39,125]); M. *pāvihii* (H. 918). — *śak* forms the future according to the 4. conjugation (§ 505); M. *sakkīhisi* (Viddhaś. 64,1 [so to be read!]); Š. *sakkissāmo* (Cait. 75,15; text *sakkissamha*); JM. *sakkissaha* (Kk. 265,11); also with the *e*-stem: JM. *sakkehhii* (Āv.45,8), *sakkehi* (Dvār.501,39).

i. So correctly LEUMANN, Aup. Š. s. v. *pāuṇ*; wrongly HOERNLE, Uvās., Translation, note 108 on *vr.*

§ 532. The future of *chid*, *bhid*, *bhuj* according to the grammarians is formed: *chēccham*, *bhēccham*, *bho ccham* corresponding to Skt. *chestyāmi*, *bhetsyāmi*, *bhoksyāmi* (Hc. 3,171; Sr. fol. 53). The flexion is as that of *gaccham* (§ 523). From *chid* are found: AMg. *acchindihintī*, *vicchindihintī*, *vo cchindihintī* (Vivāhap. 1277), from *bhid*: AMg. *bhidissanti* (Āyār. 2,1,6,9), for which one could expect *bhindissanti*, as for *bhidanti*, rather *bhindanti* from *bhuj*: AMg. *bho kkhāmi* (Āyār. 2,1,11,1), *bho kkhasti* (Kappas. S. 18), *bho kkhāmo* (Āyār. 2,1,5,5; 2,1,9,6). JM. has *bhuñjīhi* (Erz. 6,36), likewise *bhuñjissa* (T. 5,18). According to Hc. 4,248 *samrundhīhī* would be fut. of the passive; according to the form it belongs to the parasmaipada.

§ 533. In all the dialects the fut. of *kr* is formed as in Skt.: AMg. JM. *karissāmi* (Āyār. 1,2,5,6; Thān. 149. 476; Dasav. 627,24; Nandis. 354; Uttar. 1; Erz. 46,7); M. JM. Š. *karissam* (H. 743,882; Erz. 11,31; Mudrār. 103,6; Nāgān. 43,7); MG. *kaliśām* (Mṛcch. 96,13); A. *karisu* (Hc. 4,396,4); M. *karihisi* (H. 844); Š. *karissasi* (Mṛcch. 9,12; Šak. 58,2); A. *karihisi* (Vikr. 55,19); AMg. *karihii* (Vivāhap. 175); JM. *karissāi* (Āv. 32,19; Erz. 5,22); AMg. *karissāi* (Dasav. 627,24); Š. *karissadi* (Prab. 39,9; 42,2;

Uttar. 197,11); Mg. *kaliśādi* (Prab. 51,1; 58,15 [so to be read; see v. l.]); AMg. JM. *karissāmo* (Kappas. § 91. 128; Ovav. § 38; Erz. 3,11); M. *karissāma* (H. 897); AMg. Š. *karissanti* (Vivāhap. 62; Ovav. [§ 105]; Nāgān. 43,11). Corresponding to the use of the *e*-stem in the present (§ 509), the same is used in fut. too, in Š. Mg., however, always in the uncontracted form: AMg. *kareśsām* (Vivāhap. 1255), but Š. *karaissām* (Mṛcch. 60,11; 120,8; Šak. 59,10; 60,15; 76,2; 142,2), Mg. *kalaīśām* (Mṛcch. 96,20; 124,11. 14; 125,5. 8; 127,6; 134,8; 165,1; Candak. 42,10), *kalaīśāsi* (Mṛcch. 32,19); M. JM. AMg. *karehii* (H. 724; Kk. 265,3 [so to be read]); Ovav. § 116 [the commentator has *kāhii*], but Š. *karaissādi* (Prab. 42,8), Mg. *kalaīśādi* (Mṛcch. 140,6); JM. *kareśsāmo* (Kk. 274,26) and *karehāmo* (Erz. 25,25); AMg. JM. *karehinti* (Ovav. § 105. 128; Āv. 43,18), AMg. *kareśsanti* (Āyār. 2,15,16), but Š. *karaissānti* (Šak. 142,4). In AMg. the fut. is formed from the stem *kuva-* (§ 508) too: *vivuvissāmi* (Vivāhap. 1397 f.), *vikuvvissānti* (Vivāhap. 214. 215). M. JM. AMg. have, besides, frequently the future *kāham* = **karyāmi*, that is inflected as *gaccām* (§ 523; Vr. 7,16; Hc. 3,170; Ki. 4,19; Sr. fol. 52). So: M. JM. *kāham* (H. 187; Erz. 80,18); JM. *kāhāmi* (Erz. 5,23; 83,8); according to Hc. and Sr. also *kāhimi*; M. AMg. *kāhisi* (H. 80. 90. 683; Uttar. 679 = Dasav. 613,35); M. AMg. JM. *kāhii* (Hc. 3,166; H. 410. 683; R. 5,4; Niraŷāv. § 27; Āv. 32,7); JM. AMg. *kāhi* (Erz. 8,21; 71,8; Dvār. 495,18 [*kāhi tti*]; Dasav. 617,28); JM. *kāhāmo* (Erz. 15,13; 80,18; Sagara 3,15), *kāhiha* (Āv. 33,27), AMg. JM. *kāhinti* (Ovav. § 105; Uttar. 253; Āv. 43,36). A. *kisu* (Hc. 4,389) presupposes one **kriyāmi*.

§ 534. From *jñā*, corresponding to Skt., AMg. forms *nāhisi* = *jñāsyasi* (Sūyag. 106); *nāhii* (Thān. 451), *nāhii* (Dasav. 617,28), *nāhi* (Dasav. 617,32. 34) = *jñāyati*. In all the dialects the formation from the present stem *jñā-* is usable. So: M. Š. *jñānissān* (H. 749; Mṛcch. 3,2; Ratn. 307, 26); M. *jñānihisi* (H. 528. 643), likewise A. (Vikr. 58,11); AMg. *jñānihii* (Ovav. § 115); Š. *jñānissadi* (Mālav. 87,9; Ratn. 299,5. 7; Viddhaś. 114,5; Latakam. 6,6), *abbhaṇujānissādi* (Mālav. 40,7), *ahijānissādi* (Šak. 102,15); AMg. Š. *jñānissāmo* (Sūyag. 962; Vikr. 23,18; 28,12); Mg. *yāniśāmha*, false for *yāniśāmo* (Lalitav. 565,9). — From *kri* the fut. is Š. *kinissādi* (Candak. 52,4. 7), Mg. *kiniśām* (Mṛcch. 32,17; 118,14; 125,10); JM. *kinīhāmo* (Āv. 15); from *grah* Š. *geṇhissām* (Mṛcch. 74,19; 95,22; Ratn. 316, 22; Mudrār. 103,9), *geṇhissādi* (Mṛcch. 54,5; 74,24; Kāleyak. 7,6), *apugēṇhissādi* (Pārvatīp. 30,18); AMg. *ginhissāmo* (Āyār. 2,2,3,2). JM. *ghēcchāmo* (Āv. 23,6) belongs, as *ghēppai* (§ 548), to a root **ghṛp*, present **ghivāi* (§ 212), is therefore = **ghṛpsyāmaḥ*. — *bandh* forms AMg. *bandhissāi* (Vivāhap. 1810 ff.), *bandhissānti* (Thān. 108); Š. *apubandhissām* (Viddhaś. 14,13). According to Hc. 4,247 *bandhīhii* would be the future of the passive: according to the form it is in parasmaipada. — *bhan* regularly forms AMg. *bhanīhāmi* (Jiyak. C. 11); M. Š. *bhanissām* (H. 12,604; Mṛcch. 21,24; 24,20; Viddhaś. 72,2; Mallikām. 83,4 [text *pha*°]; Mālatīm. 265,1; 276,7); Š. *bhanissāsi* (Mṛcch. 58,8); M. *bhanīhii* (H. 858,918); Š. *bhanissādi* (Ratn. 304,1); JM. *bhanissāha* (Kk. 274,19); Š. *bhanissādhā* (Mālatīm. 246, 7,); M. *bhanīhinti* (G. 965). From the *e*-stem is built Mg. *bhanīśām* (Mṛcch. 32,20).

PASSIVE.

§ 535. In Pkt. the passive is formed in a three-fold manner. Either 1) the Skt. form with *ya* is used after undergoing the alterations as required by the phonetic laws of Pkt.; after vowels, -*ya* then becomes -*jjā* in M. JM. JŠ. AMg. A. and -*yya* in P., in Š. Mg. it drops; after consonants it is assimilated with the consonant; or -*žya*, which in M. JM. JŠ.

AMg. A. becomes *-ija*, in S. Mg. *-ia*, in P. *-iyya*, 2) it enters into the root, or more frequently 3) into the present stem. Thence from *dā* one says M. JM. AMg. A. *dijjai*, JS. *dijjadi*, P. *tīyyate*, S. Mg. *diadi*; from *gam* M. JM. AMg. *gammai*, *gamijja*, P. **gamiyyate*, S. *gamiadi*, *gacchiadi*, Mg. **gaściadi*. Forms in S. *-ija*, Mg. *-iyya* (mostly printed *-ija*) are very often found in the texts; they are, however exceptional perhaps in verses, for S. Mg. false¹. In D. *kahijadi* (§ 103,15) for *kadhiadi* and *sāsijjai* (103,16) for *sāsiadi* (155,6) are not to be objected to (§ 26). The “uncharacteristic” passive in R. as *ārabbhante* (8,82; particip.), *rumbhai*, *rumbhanta* (s. v. *rudh*), *osumbhanta*, *nisumbhanta* (s.v. *sudh*) are false readings for *ārabbhante*, *rubbhai*, *rubbhanta*, *osubbhanta*, *nisubbhanta*, as the similar ones are often found in the manuscripts. Likewise the false reading *uwabhūñjanto* (IS. 15,429) for *uwabhūjanto* is false; *ōchundai* (R.10,55) for which the manuscript C has *apphundai* is not clear. The optative *vējja*, *lahējja*, *acchejja* for *vijējja*, *lahijējja*, *acchijējja* (Hc. 3,160) are presumably purely metrical shortenings, as the fut. pass. AMg. *samucchihinti* for *samuccijihinti* from *chid* (§ 549). Vr. 7,8; Hc. 3,160; Ki. 4,12; Mk. fol. 51 teach *-ia* and *-ija* without distinguishing between the dialects; Mk. fol. 71 mentions in S. only *-ia* and forbids the forms like *dubbhai*, *libbhai*, *gammai* for S., to whom the texts correspond, taught by Vr. 7,9;8,57—59; Hc. 4,242—249; Mk. fol. 62. The derivation of the “irregular passive”, as *sippai*, *juppai*, *ādhappai*, *dubbhai*, *rubbhai* etc. from the past passive participles according to false analogy, which JACOBI³ has given with the approbation of JOHANSSON⁴, is wholly wrong. See §266. 286. To the passive belong an indicative, an optative and an imperative; moreover, aor., fut., inf., pres. participle and preterite are formed from the passive. The endings as a rule are those of the parasmaip.; however, M. JM. JS. AMg. frequently, and P. according to the grammarians always have the endings of the ātmanep., especially in the participle.

1. BOLLENSSEN on Malav. p. 223. The following paragraphs give examples of false forms.—2. S. GOLDSCHMIDT on R. 8,82 note 4 p. 256.—3. KZ. 28,249 ff.—4. KZ. 32,446 ff., where further literature.

§ 536. As in the future (§ 521), the examples for the passive are regulated according to the present stem § 473 ff. The roots in *·u*, *·ū*, without distinction of the class, may be conjugated according to the 6. class of Skr. (§ 473) and build their passive accordingly : M. *nīnhuvijanti*. (H. 657), S. *nīnhuvīadi* (Ratn. 303, 9) from *hnu*; *ruvvai*, *ruvijjai*, (Hc. 2,249), M. *ruvvasu* in the sense of the middle (H. 10) from *ru*; M. *thuvvasi* *stūyase* (G. 298), *thuvvai* = *stūyate* (Hc. 4,242; Sr. fol. 54; G. 253); JS. *thuvvade* (Kattig. 401,351), AMg. *thuvvanti* (text *thuva°*) = *stūyante* (Vivāhap. 1232), JM. *thuvvanta-* (Erz. 24,2), *samīthuvvanta-* (Āv. 7,26); beside *thuṇijjai* (Hc.4,242)from *stu*; *dhuvvai*, *dhunijjai*, M. *vihuvvai*, *vihuvvanta*, *odhuvvanti*(R.), AMg. *uddhuvvamāññikim* (Ovav.; Kappas), from *dhū*, *puvvai*, *puṇijjai*, A. *puriye* (Pingala 2,107) from *pū*, *luvvai*, *luṇijjai* from *lū*, *huvvai*, *hunijjai* from *hu* (Vr. 8,57; Hc. 4,242; Ki. 4,74; Mk. fol. 58; Sr. fol. 54); from *śru*. M. JM. *suvvai*, *suvvanti*, *suvvamāna* (G. H. R.; Āv. 37,44; Erz.; Kk.), M. *suvvanta-* (Karp. 51,3); AMg. *suvvae* (Sūyag. 154), *suvvai* (Sūyag. 277; text °*cca°*), *suvvanti* (Uttar. 280; text °*cca°*); beside *sunijjai* (Vr. 8,57; Hc. 4,242; Sr. fol. 54), *sunijjae*, *sunīa*, *sunīae* (Sr. fol. 54); S. *sunīadi* (Mrccch. 29,2; 64,6; 97,7; Sak. 50,12; 139,6; Ratn. 315,21; Prab. 14,9; Karp. 3,3; 24,3; 45,3; Vṛṣabha. 47,14; 51,7 etc.), *sunīyanti* (sic; Lalitav. 555,2), *sunīanti* (Śak. 58,1; Uttarar. 127,6; Prab. 8,8), *sunīadu* (Vikr. 48,9); Mg. *sunīadi* (Mrccch. 45,1; 163,22; 169,18; Mudrār. 191,5; Venis. 35,18; 36,3); A. *sunijje* (Pingala 2,107). JM. has also *summaū* (Erz. 11,16), as one **sumāi* beside **suvaī* is presupposed in accordance with § 261.

According to the grammarians (Vr. 8,57; Hc. 4,242; Ki. 4,73; Mk. fol. 58) *ji* too, according to Hc. 4,243 *ci* too have the same formation of the passive: *civvai*, *cijjai*, fut. *civvihii*, *jivvai*, *jijjai*, according to Hc. also *cimmaii* fut. *cimmihi*, which is to be explained likewise as JM. *summaü*. It is not correct to presume analogical formation according to the roots in *-u*, *-ü* with JACOBI, whose hypothesis is erroneous¹, and JOHANSSON². *civvai* is a regular passive from *civ* (Dhātupāṭha 21,15 *civ̄ ādānasāṁvaraṇayoh*), *jivvai*, apparently from *jiv* (Dhātupāṭha 15,85 *jivī priṇānārthah*), that is equated as *jivu*. The case will be decided only when the meaning be settled with certainty. AMg. has *cijjanti*, *uvacijjanti*, *avacijjanti* (Pañnav. 628. 629), Š. *viciadu* (Vikr. 30,15). According to Hc. 3,160 the passive from *bhū* is *hoiāi*, *hoijjai*. In Š. it is *bhaviadi* in *anubhaviadi* (Ratn. 317,5), *anuhaviadi* (Nāgān. 4,5), and *anuhuviadi* (Kāleyak. 9,22), *abhibhaviadi* (Mālatīm. 130,5), particip. *abhibhūmāna* (Šak. 16,10), in Mg. *bhaviadi* (Mṛcch. 164,10) and *huvīadi* (Venīs. 33,6. 7; 35,8), both in the sense of the fut. parasmaip. (§ 550). On *pahuppai* see 286.—From *nī* the passive is M. *nijjai* (G.H.R.), JM. *nīnijjanta-* (Av. 24,4), Š. *nīadi* (Šak. 78,8), *ānīadi* (Vikr. 31,5; Karp. 26,8), *ānīadu* (Karp. 26,7), *ahiñīadu* (Šak. 3,5), *aññīamāna* (Mṛcch. 23,23. 25); Mg. *nīadi* (Mṛcch. 100,22).

¹. KZ. 28,255.—². KZ. 32,449. False also P. GOLDSCHMIDT, Specimen p. 71; GN. 1874, p. 513; Š. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 29,494.

§ 537. The roots in *-r* form the passive mostly from the present stem: M. *dharijjai* (R.), fut. *dharijjhii* (H. 778; so to be read); Mg. *dhaliadi* (Prab. 50,10); M. *anusarijjanti* (G. 627); M. *nīvarijjae* (H. 204); M. A., *samarijjai* = *smaryate* (R. 13,16; Hc. 4,426), JM. *sumarijjaü* (Erz. 15,3), Š. *sumariadi* (Mṛcch. 128,1). The roots in *-r̄* form the passive as in Skt. or according to the analogy of the roots in *-r̄*: Š. *kiranta-* from *kṛ* (Bālar. 199,10) against the dialect, in which *kiranta-* was to be expected.: *jirai* (also = *jiryati*) and *jarijjai* (Hc. 4,250), AMg. *nijjarijjai* (Uttar; 885; so correctly in the commentary); M. JM. *tirai* (Hc. 4,250 ; G. H. R.; Erz.), *tirae* (H. ; Erz. ; Dvār. 498,21) and M. *tarijjai* (Hc. 4,250 ; G.), AMg. *viyarijjai* (Uttar. 354). Conversely *hr* follows the roots in *-r̄*: M. AMg. *hirasi* (G. 726; Uttar. 711); M. JM. *hrai* (Vr. 8,60; Hc. 4,250; Ki. 4,79. 80; Mk. fol. 62; H. R.; Av. 35,13), M. *hīranti* (G.), *hīranta-* (H.), AMg. *avahīranti* (Vivāhap. 890; Pañnav. 398 ff.), *avahīramāna* (Vivāhap. 890; Pannav. 404); but Š. *avahīrāmi* (Uttarar. 97,1; text *°ri°*), *avahīrasi* (Nāgān. 95,14), *avahīradi* (Dhūrtas. 13,5), *avahīradu* (Mṛcch. 25,6), *uddhariadi* (Mālatīm. 246,5); Mg. *āhaliadi* (Prab. 63,4). Cf. Ki. 4,79.80. Thence false in Š. *hīrasi* (Bālar. 174,9). From *p̄r̄* are found M. *pūrijjanta-* (H. 116), *āhīrījanti* = *abhipūrījante* (G. 872); JM. *ātūrījamāna* (Erz. 24,5) and M. *pūraī*, *ātūramāna*, *paripūranta-* (R.). On *vāhīppai* beside *vāharijjai* see § 286, on *kṛ* § 547.

§ 538. From the *ai-* roots the passive are: M. JM. *gijjanta-* (H. 644; Kk. 264,2); JM. *gijjanti* (Erz. 40,19); AMg. *parigijjamāna* (Nāyādh. § 117); P. *giyyate* (Hc. 4,315); Š. *nījīhāadi* (Mālav. 60,6); from the verbs of the old *-ska*-class: M. *acchijjai* (H. 83); Š. *icchīadi* (Mudrār. 57,4), Mg. *iscīadi* (Šak. 118,6). Like *rammaī*, *ramijjai* (Vr. 8,58), P. *ramiyyate* (Hc. 4, 315) formed from *ram* are formed from *gam* M. JM. *gammaī* = *gamyate* (Vr. 8,58; Hc. 4,249; Ki. 4,13; Sr. fol. 54; H. R.; Erz.), AMg. *gammanti* (Ovav. 56, p. 63,13), *samanugammanta-* (Ovav. [§ 37]) and *gammamāna* (Nāyādh. § 103, 105); M. *gammaü* (H. 715), fut. *gammihii* (Hc. 4,249; H. 609), partly with an active meaning; M. *gamijjanti* (G. 846; so to be read); Š. *gamīadu* (Mālatīm. 285,5; printed *°mi°*), *gacchīadi* (Šak. 25,2; Vikr. 22, 10. 15), *avagacchīadi* (Mudrār. 58,4), *āacchīadi* (Nāgān. 19,11). For *anugacchījanti* in Mṛcch. 25,10 we have in Š. correctly *anugacchījanti*; M.

samjamijjanti (G. 289). — From *dhau* (to wash), corresponding to the flexion according to the 6. conjugation (§ 482), are formed the passive M. particip. *dhuvvanta-* (H. R.) and *dhuvvamāṇa*.

§ 539. From *pā* (to drink) the passive forms are M. *pijjaī* (H.), *pijjae* (Karp. 24,12), *pijjanti* (G.), *pijjanta-* (Karp. 10,8), S. *piviadi* (Mṛcch. 71,7; Vikr. 9,19), as we should read at Mṛcch. 87,13 too for *pīadi* and at Vikr. 48,15 with the v. l. for *pādi*, imp. S. *pīadu* (Mṛcch. 77,11). Against the dialect is S. *pijjanti* Śak. 29,5, for which we should read *pivianti*, in all cases with the remaining recensions *pianti* (Kashmir recension *pante*). False is Mg. *pijjae* (Prab. 28,15) too, as Bb. M. P. too have; *piviadi* would be correct.—*sthā* forms S. *anucittihādi* (Mṛcch. 4,13), imp. *anucittihādu* (Mṛcch. 3,7; Śak. 1,9; Ratn. 290,28; Prab. 3,5; Nāgān. 2,17). Ki. 4,14 teaches *thīāi*, *thījjāi*.

§ 540. Besides the usual formations *khanijjāi* (Hc. 4,244), JM. participle *khamnamāṇa* (Erz. 39,7), from *khan* is mentioned as passive *khammaī* too (Hc. 4,244; Sr. fol. 56). So M. *ukkhamanti*, *ukkhammanta*, *ukkhammiava* (R.). The form is not to be separated from *jammaī* from *jan* (Hc. 4,136) and *hammaī* from *han* (Vr. 8,45; Hc. 4,244; Sr. fol. 56) beside *hanijjāi*. So M. *āhammūm*, *nihammaī*, *nihammanti*, *phahammanī-* (R.); AMg. *hammaī* (Āyār. 1,3,3,2; Sūyag. 289), *hammantī* (Uttar. 668. 1008; Panhāv. 289 [commentary correctly]; Sūyag. 294. 431), *hammantū* (Panhāv. 129), *padīhammejjā* (Thān. 188), *vīñhammanti* (Uttar. 156); AMg. JM. *hamma-māṇa* (Sūyag. 278. 297. 393. 647. 863; Panhāv. 202; Vivāgas. 63; Nirāyāv. 67; Erz.); AMg. *vihammamāṇa* (Sūyag. 350), *suhammamāṇa* (Sūyag. 270). It is wholly improbable to assume a formation on the analogy of *gammaī* from *gam* with JACOBI¹ and JOHANSSON². *jammaī* points to the fact that there occurred denominatives from *janman*, Pkt. *jamma-*, *hanman*, Pkt. *hamma-*, **khanman*, Pkt. *khamma-*³. Cf. § 550. 557. On *khuppaī* see § 286.

1. KZ. 28,254.—2. KZ. 32,449.—3. Mk. fol. 57 teaches *khammaī* like *hammaī* (§ 550) as active.

§ 541. From *drś*, corresponding to Skt. *drśyate*, is regularly formed M. JM. *dīsai* (Hc. 3,161; Sr. fol. 56; G. H. R.; Erz.; Kk.); M. *dīsae* (Karp. 54,10), *aisanta-* (H. R.); M. AMg. *dīsanti* (Karp. 4,10; Dasav. 635,12); AMg. *dissai* (Āyār. 1,2,3,3), *adissamāṇa* (Āyār. 1,2,5,3; Sūyag. 646); S. *disadi* (Mṛcch. 50,24; 138,23; 139,8; Vikr. 7,3; 10,4; 39,6; 40,6; Ratn. 295,10; Nāgān. 52,8 etc.), *disadha* (Karp. 3,8), *disanti* (Śak. 99,12; Viddhaś. 71,9; 119,13; Mālatīm. 201,2), *dīsadu* (Karp. 54,4), Mg. *dīsadi* (Lalitav. 565,8; Mṛcch. 138,24; 139,10. 11; 147,4. 15; 168,18), *dīsanti* (Mṛcch. 14,11).—*labh* forms M. *labbhaī* = *labhyate* (Hc. 4,249; H. R.; Mṛcch. 153,17), as we should read in JM. too for *lajjhāi* (Erz. 60,16), that is wrongly read; AMg. fut. *lubbhiī* in the active sense (Dasav. 624,14); S. *labbhadi* (Śak. 23,14); beside it *lahijjāi* (Hc. 4,249), as in A. (Piṅgala 1,117), and in S. Mg. from the nasalised present stem (§ 484. 525); S. *lambhiādi* (Mālatīm. 217,3), *lambhiāmo* (Mālatīm. 240,4), *uvālambhiādi* (text °*bhijjāi*, Mallikām. 218,8); Mg. *ālambhiādi* (Mudrār. 194,2; to be so read; cf. v. l. and ed. Calc. samvat 1926 p. 162,8).—*vah* has the passivum M. AMg. JM. *vubbhaī* (Hc. 4,245; Ki. 4,79 [text *va°*]; Mk. fol. 62; G. H.; Erz.), M. *nivvubbhaī* (R.). So also we should at H. 275 read *vubbhasi* for *ujjhāsi* (cf. WEBER on it) and Dasav. 635,8 *vubbhaī* for misread *vujjhāi*. Cf. § 266. Hc. 4,245 teaches *vahijjāi* too. According to Mk. fol. 72 in S. *vahiadi* alone is used.

§ 542. Of the roots of the 6. conjugation *prach* forms the passivum M. JM. AMg. *pucchijjāi* : M. *pucchijjanti* (particip. ; H.); JM. *pucchijjāmi* (Erz.); AMg. *pucchijjanti* (Pannav. 388); S. *pucchāsi* (Viddhaś. 118,8), *puchchiadi*

(Mrcch. 57,18; 72,25).—*kṛt* has in AMg. *kiccaī* (Uttar. 177).—*muc* forms in M. JM. AMg. *muccāī* = *mucyate*; M. *muccāī*, *muccanti* (G.), *muccanta*- (R.); JM. *muccāmī*, *muccāe* (Erz.); AMg. *muccāī* (Vivāhap. 37), *muccae* (Uttar. 243), *muccanti* (Kappas.; Ovav.), *muccējjā* (1. sing.; Uttar. 624), *muccējjā* (3. sing.; Sūyag. 104; Uttar. 247), *pamuuccāī*, *vimuccāī* (Āyār. 1,3, 3,5; 2,16,12); JS. *vimuccadi* (Pav. 384,60); but Š. *muñciādu* (Mudrār. 247,7; [so to be read for *muñcijjadu*, *muñcadu* of the editions]) in the face of the fut. *muccissādī* (Šak. 138,1; Vikr. 77,16 [so to be read]).—From *lup* is found M. *luppanta-* (G. 384), AMg. *luppaī*, *luppanti* (Sūyag. 104), from *sic* JM. *siccanto* (Dvār. 504,10), AMg. *abhisiccāmānī*, *parisiccāmāna* (Kappas.), *samsiccāmāna* (Āyār. 1,3,2,2); Š. *siccanī* (Mudrār. 182,1); so to be read with the Calc. editions], *siccāmānā* (Mālatīm. 121,2). On *sippai* see § 286, on *mr* § 477. *chippaī*, *chivijjāī*, which Hc. 4,257 derives from *sprś*, belong to *kṣip* (§ 319).

§ 543. For the verbs of the 4. conjugation the characteristic examples are : M. *pañibujhijjāī* = *pratibudhyate* (G. 1172); A. *rūsijjāī* = *rūyate* (Hc. 4,418,4). The verbs of the 10. conjugation, the causatives and the similarly formed denominatives mostly build the passive, as in Skt., through the insertion of the passive element within either the root or the stem, excluding -ya, -aya, Pkt. -a, -e: *kāriāī*, *kārijjāī*, *karāvīāī*, *karāvijjāī*, *hāsiāī*, *hāsijjāī*, *hasāvīāī*, *hasāvijjāī* (Vr. 7,28. 29; Hc. 3,152. 153; Sr. fol. 55. 56). M. *cheijjanti* (G. 1198), Š. *chedianti* (Mrcch. 71,4) = *chedyante*; M. *tosijjāī* = *tosyate* (H. 508), *samatthijjāī* = *samarthyate* (H. 730), *kavalijjāī* = *kavalikriyate* (G. 172), *pahāmijjanta-* = *prabhārāmyamāna* (R. 7,69); JM. *mārijjāī* = *māryate* (Erz. 5,34), *mārijjāū*, *mārijjāmī* (Erz. 5,26; 32,26); AMg. *āghavijjanti* = *ākhyāpyante* (Nandis. 398. 427. 428. 451. 454. 456. 465 ff.), *pīddai* = *pīdyate* (Āyār. 1,2,5,4); Š. *pabodhīāmī* = *prabodhye* (Šak. 29,9), *vāvādiādi* = *vyāpādyate* (Mrcch. 41,7; Uttarar. 97,1; Mudrār. 250,2; Venīs. 35,20), *sāmpadhāriādu* = *sāmpadrāhyatām* (Vikr. 22,19), *vīgnāviādi* = *vīgnāpāyate* (Vikr. 30,21), *jīvāvādi* = *jīvāyate* (Mrcch. 176,7), *avadāriādu* = *avatāryatām* (Karp. 26,9), *sukkhāvānti* = *śoṣyante* (characteristically **śuṣkāpāyante*; Mrcch. 71, 4); A. *ṭhavīje* = *sthāpāyate* (Pingala 2,93. 101). Exceptions are found in the case of the denominatives in M. : *kajjalijjāī* (R. 5,50); *valājjāī* (G. 1028), *kandājjjanta-* (H. 67), *mandalājjjanta-* (S. 1034). From *kathaya-* the regular passive are: M. *kahijjāī* (Hc. 4,249), *kahijjanti*, *kahijjāū*, *kahijjanta-* (H.); AMg. *parikahijjāī* (Āyār. 1,2,5,5; 1,4,1,3); D. *kahijjādi* (Mrcch. 103,15); Mg. *kadhīyādu* (sic; Lalitav. 566,9); A. *kahijjāī* (Pingala 1,117), *kahīje* (Pingala 2,93.101). Beside Hc. 4,249 teaches also *katithāī*, that is found in AMg. (Āyār. 1,2,6,5) and phonetically must have been **kacchāī* (§ 280). Perhaps it belongs to *katih*. AMg. *pakatthāī* (Sūyag. 234) is = **pra-katthate*. On *āḍhappai*, *āḍhāvīāī*, *vidhappai*, *vidhāvījāī*, see § 286.

§ 544. Of the verbs of the 2. conjugation *yā* has the passivum A. *jājjjāī* (Hc. 4,419,3); in AMg. is found *pattiādi* (§ 487). On the roots in -u, -ū see § 356. *rud* has Š. *rodiādi* (§ 495), *suap* in M. *suppāū* = *supyatām* (H.), in Š. *suviādi* (Karnas. 18,20). *vac* forms *vuccāī* (Hc. 3,161; § 337); AMg. *vuccāī* (Uttar. 3; Vivāhap. 34. 35. 102. 928; Kappas.; Ovav.; Uvās. etc.), *vuccāī* (Uttar. 2). *pavuccāī* (Āyār. 1,1,4,3. 5,1,1. 1,6,1; 1,2,2,1. 6,2. 4; 1,4, 1,2; 1,5,3,3; Vivāhap. 202. 374 f. 409. 444; Rāyap. 144 ff.), *pavuccāī* (Sūyag. 351); *vuccanti* (Sūyag. 978. 979. 994 ff.; Dasav. 629,22), *vuccamāna* (Sūyag. 393; Vivāhap. 149); Š. *vuccāmī* (Karp. 32,9), *vuccasi* (Šak. 12,8), *vuccadi* (Mrcch. 77,12; 79,2; 87,12; 138,2. 3; Viddhaś. 128,1 [text *uccadi*]; Bālar. 96,12 [text *uccadi*]), *vuccanti* (Mrcch. 29,7); Mg. *vuccadi* (Mrcch. 36, 11).—Form *duh*, beside *duhijjāī* i; taught *dubbhai*, from *lih*, besides *lihi-jjāī*, also *libbhai* (Hc. 4,245; Kī. 4,79; Mk. fol. 62; so also at Vr. 8,59 we

should read *libbhaī*; cf. v. l.). On this see § 266. JM. has *dujjhaū* (Āv. 43, 11), fut. *dujjhīhī* (Āv. 43, 20), probably a false reading for *dubbhaū*, *dubbhīhī*. Cf. *lajjhaī*, *vujjhaī* § 541. On *sīsāi*, D. *sāsijjaī* from *sās* see § 499, on *hammai*, *hanjjai* from *han* § 540.

§ 545. From *dā*, corresponding to Skt. *dīyate*, the passive is M. JM. A. *dijjaī* (H. R.; Erz.; Hc. 4,438,1; Piṅgala 1,121), M. also *dijjaē* (H.; Karp. 76,7; 89,9), A. also *dīje* (Piṅgala 2,102. 105), *dijjaū* (Piṅgala 2,106) in the active sense, 3. plur. *dijjāhī* (Hc. 4,428; Piṅgala 2,59[so to be read]), JS. *dijjadi*(Kattig. 401,345); S. *diādi*(Mṛcch. 55,16; 71,6), false *dijjadi*(Mṛcch. 49,7; Karp. 61,9), *dijjantu* (Karp. 113,8), *dijjandu* (Viddhaś. 124,14), beside the correct *diādu* (Karp. 103,7); Mg. *diādi*, *diādu* (Mṛcch. 145,5); P. *tiyyate* (Hc. 4,315).—To *dhā* belong AMg. *āhījjaī*=*ādhiyate* (Sūyag. 603. 674 ff.), *āhījanti* (Āyār. 2,15,15; Jiv.12; Kappas.), explained by the commentators with *ākhyāyate*, *ākhyāyante*. From *hā* the passive forms are S. *parihāsi* (Šak. 51,5), *parihādi*(Mālatīm. 212,4), *parihāmāna*(Karp. 76,1). On *huwāi*, *hunjjai* from *hu* see § 536. Of the roots of the 5. conjugation the following form the passive: *ci*: *cīnijjaī*, *cīvāi*, AMg. *cījjanti*, S. *viciādu* (§536), *dhu*: *dhunjjai*, *dhuvvāi* (§ 536), *śru*: *sunjjai*, *suvvāi*, JM. *summaū*, S. *sunjādi*, Mg. *śunjādi* (§ 536), *āp*: S. *pāviādi* (Viddhaś. 43,2), A. *pāvīāi* (Hc. 4,366), Šak.: S. *sakkīadi* (Vidhāś. 87,2; Cait. 84,5; 85,13; 258,16), Mg. *śakkīadi* (Mṛcch. 116,6).

§ 546. The roots of the 7. conjugation form the passive mostly as in Skt., more seldom from the present stem: M. *chijjaī*, *chijjanti*, *vōcchijjaī* (R.); JM. A. *chijjaī* (Erz.; Hc. 4,357,1; 434,1); S. *chijjanti* (Mṛcch. 41,2), fut. *chijjissādi* (Mṛcch. 3,16).—M. JM. *bhajjāi*, *bhajjanti*, *bhajjanta-* (G. R.; Erz.), M. fut. *bhajjīhī* (H. 202); Mg. *bhayyādi*, imp. *vibhayya* (Mṛcch. 118, 12, 21; see § 506).—M. *bhijjāi*, *bhijjanti*, *bhijjanta-* (G. H. R.); AMg. *bhijjai* (Āyār. 1,3,3,2), *bhijjāū* (Vivāhap. 1230), *bhijjamāna* (Uvās. § 218); S. *ubbhijjādu* (Karp. 83,1), *ubbhijjanti* (Viddhaś. 72,3; text “ndi”).—M. *bhujjanta-*, *uvahujjanta-* (G.); JM. *bhujjāi* (Erz.); AMg. *bhujjāi* (Uttar. 354); but also *bhuñjījjaī* (H. 4,249); JM. *paribhuñjījjaī* (Dvār. 500,36); S. *bhuñjādi* (Šak. 29,6).—M. *jujjanta-* (R.), and in the meanings “it is proper”, “it accords with” = Skt. *yujjate* always M. *jujjāi* (H. 924), *ujjaē* (H. 12), JS. *jujjade* (Kattig. 403,380), S. *jujjādi* (Mṛcch. 61,10; 65, 12; 141,3; 155,21; Šak. 71,10; 122,11; 129,15; Vikr. 24,3; 32,17; 82,17 etc.), in the usual meaning, on the contrary: S. *niuñjāmi*, *niuñjāsi* (Karp. 18,3,2), *niuñjādi* (Mālatīm. 22,5 [so to be read; see p. 372]); *pauñjādi* (Karp. 19,8), *pauñjādu* (Mṛcch. 9,7). On *juppaī* see § 286. For *rudh* Hc. 4,245 teaches *rundhījjaī* and *rubbhāi*, in conjunction with the prefixes *anu*, *upa*, *sam* 4,248: *anu*-, *uva*-, *sam*- *rujjhaī*, *rundhījjaī*. In the text are found M. *parirujjhaī* (G. 434); S. *uvarujjhādi* (Vikr. 82,15 v. l.; cf. 131,10 ed. Bombay). M. *rubbhāi*, *rubbhāta-*, *rubbhamāna* (R.), JM. *rubbhāī* (Āv. 41,9) are passive from *rubbh* (§ 507).

§ 547. *kr* forms the passive in M. JM. usually *kiraī* (Vr. 8,60; Hc. 4,250; Ki. 4,79; Mk. fol. 62; Sr. fol. 54), therefore, as *hṛ*, according to the analogy of the roots in *-ṛ* (§ 537). So M. *kiraī*, *kīraē*, *kīrantī*, *kīraū*, *kīranta-* (G. H. R.); JM. *kiraī* (Erz.; Āv. 9,23; 13,26; Dvār. 497,7), *kīraū* (Kk. 269,37; so to be read); JS. *kirādi* (Kattig. 399,320; 401, 350). Sometimes AMg. has the same form (Vivāhap. 135. 796; Ovav. § 116. 127. 128), *kīramāna* (Dasav. 629,5), *kīranta-* (verse; Āyār. 1,8,4,8); it is mentioned as *kīrata* for P. by Hc. 4,316 and by Rājaśekhara (pro ex. Bālar. 176,16 [*kirādi*]; 224,17 [*kīraū*]; 228,8 [*kīraī*]); Karp. ed. Bomb. 22,4 [*kirādi*]) and used by later writers like Bilhaṇa, Karṇas. 53,16 (*kirādi*) in S. too, what perhaps is simply a contribution of the edition, as Karp. 22,4 Konow (p. 19,7) correctly has *kīrādi*. Hc. 4,250 mentions also *karijjaī*, and so A. has *karije* (Piṅgala 2,93. 101. 102. 105) and

karijjasu (Pingala 1,39. 41. 95. 144; 2,119). Hc. 1,97 further has *kijjaī* = *kriyate* in *duhākijjaī*, *dohākijjaī*, and according to Hc. 4,274 *kijjadī* and *kijjade* will be used in S. So stands *kijjadū* in S. (Lalitav. 562,24), elsewhere, however, in none of the texts. *kijjaī* is found in M. at R.13,16, and it is the usual form in A. : *kijjaū* (Hc. 4,338; 445,3) in the sense of the fut. active (§ 550), *kijjaū* (Pingala 1,81^a) in the active sense, *kijjahī* (so to be read; text *hi*) = *kriyante* (Pingala 2,59). On A. *kijjasu*, *karijjasu* see § 461. 466. In AMg. the only prevalent form in prose is *kajjai* = **karyate* (Āyār. 1,2,1,4; 1,2,2,3. 5,1; Sūyag. 656. 704. 838 ff.; Thān. 291; Vivāhap. 52. 99.136.137.182.346.444.1406; Paṇṇav. 636 ff.), *kajjanti* (Āyār. 1,2,5,1; Vivāhap. 47. 50. 52. 1302; Ovav. § 123. 125), *hajjamāṇa* (Sūyag. 368; Vivāhap. 840), *duhā*, *tihā* (Vivāhap. 141). In S. are used exclusively *kariadi* (Mṛcch. 18,11; 69,10; Śak. 19,6), *alamkariadi* (Śak. 19,5), *karianti* (Śak. 77,4; Ratn. 293,21), *kariadu* (Śak. 54,1; 168,15; Karp. 22,9; 26,3; 63,6; 68,2; 113,8; Viddhaś. 99,5), in Mg. *kaṭadi* (Mudrār. 154,4; 178,7), *kaliadu* (Mṛcch. 39,21; 160,6).

§ 548. *jñā* forms, according to Hc. 4,252, *najjaī*, *nājjai*, *jāñijjaī*, *navvai*, according to Ki. 4,81 *jāñiaī*, *āñiaī*, *najjai*, *navvai*, *najjaī*, *navvai*. Of them *najjaī* = *jñāpyate* is the current form in M. (G. H. R.), JM. (Erz.), AMg. (Uvās.; Nirayāv. [in JM. AMg. *najjaī*]). S. has *jāñjadi* (Ratn. 300,8; 318,12; Viṣabha. 45,10; 47,10; Karp. 28,2; Viddhaś. 119,4), *jāñiadu* (Nāgān. 84,5), behind *na* (not) *āñjadi* (§ 170; Mṛcch. 74,9; 88,25; Mālatīm. 285,5; Nāgān. 38,3 [so to be read]), A. *jāñiaī* (Hc. 4,330,4) corresponding to it. In lieu of *navvai* Triv. 2,4,84 and Sr. fol. 56 have *nappaī*, that stands in place of *ādhappāi*, *vidhappāi*, i.e. = *jñāpyate*. Accordingly one **navvai*, of which the regular passive is *navvai¹*, is to be deduced from the causatives such as S. *ānavedi*, *vinnavedi*. — *kri* forms S. *vikkiniādi* (Karp. 14,5), *vikkiniānti* (Mudrār. 108,9; [so to be read]); *pū* has *puvvai*, *punijjaī*, A. *punijje*; *lū* has *luvvai*, *lunijjaī* (§536), *granth* has S. *ganthianti* (Mṛcch. 71,3 [text *gatthī*]). From *grah* the passive forms are *geñhijjaī* (Hc. 4,256; Ki.4,82) and *gahijjaī* (Sr. fol. 56), S. *anuggahādū* (Vikr. 21,10). M. JM. AMg. A. use for it *ghēppai* = Pāli *ghēppati*, which the Indian grammarians (Hc. 4,256; Ki. 4,82; Mk. fol. 62; Sr. fol. 56) and the European scholars drag to *grahb*, however, belongs to the parallel root **ghṛhp* (§ 212). So M. *ghēppai*, *ghēppae*, *ghēppanti*, *ghēppanta-* (G. H. R.; Ānandavardhana in Dhvanyāloka 62,4; Viśvanātha, Sāhityadarpaṇa 178,3); JM. *ghēppai* (Kk. 273,37), *ghēppanti* (Erz. 67,12; Av. 36,42); AMg. *ghēppējjā* (Paṇhāv. 400); A. *ghēppai* (Hc. 4,341,1), *ghēppanti* (Hc. 4,335). Falsely in S. (Mallikām. 101,6; 144,8). In the verse stands *gejjhai* = *ghryate* in AMg. Dasav. N. 655,5. 6. Ki. 4, 82 teaches also *ghēppijjaī*. — *bandh* forms *bajjhāī* = *badhyate* (Hc. 4,247); AMg. *bajjhāī* (Uttar. 245); JŚ. *bajjhadi* (Pav. 384,47); S. *bajjhanti* (Mṛcch. 71,2); according to Hc. 4,247 also *bandhijjaī* (Pav. 384,47); S. *bajjhanti* (Mṛcch. 71,2); according to Hc. 4,247 also *bandhijjaī*. — From *bhan*, which in the present behaves according to the 9. conjugation (§ 514), the passive is M. *bhanṇai* = *bhanvate* (Hc. 4,249 [so to be read]; Ki.4,13; H.R.), *bhanṇau* (G. R.; Śak. 101,16), *bhanṇamāṇa* (H.), *bhanṇanāta-* (R.), and *bhanṇijjaī* (Hc. 4,249), *bhanṇijaū* (H.); A. *bhanṇijje* (Pingala 2,101), perhaps also *bhanṇijjasu* (Pingala 1,109; cf. § 461); JM. *bhanṇai* (Erz.; Kk.); S. *bhanṇiādi* (Mṛcch. 151,12; Prab. 39,3). False is S. *bhanṇijjanti* (Prab. 42,5; P. °*jjanti*, M. °*jjamāṇā*) for *bhanṇiānti*, as Bb. 93,4 reads (only wrongly °*m*).

1. Wholly wrong is S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 29,494; JACOBI, KZ. 28,255, JOHANSSON, KZ. 32,449 f.

§ 549. From the passive is found in AMg. an aorist : *muccīnsu* (Sūyag. 790), and in almost all the dialects a future, which too is wholly

likewise formed, like the fut. Parasmaip. from the present stem of the parasmaip. So: 1. M. *kalijjhisi* from *kal* (H. 225. 313), *khajjhii* from *khād* (H. 138), *dajjhisi* (H. 105), *dajjhii* (Hc. 4,246) from *dah*, *disihii* (H. 619; R. 3,33 [so to be read]), *dharijjhii* (H. 778); JM. *dajjhii* (Āv. 32,25); *khammihii* from *khan* (Hc. 4,244).—6. AMg. *muccihii* (Ovav. § 116; Nāyādh. 390 [text °*himītī*]; Vivāhap. 175), *muccissanti* (Āyār. 2,15.16), but also *pamoikkhasi* = *pramokṣyase* (Āyār. 1,3,1,2; 1,3,3,4); Š. *muccissadi* (Šak. 138,1; Vikr. 77,16 [so to be read]); AMg. *uwalippihii* (Ovav. § 112).—4. JM. *khoṭṭijjhii* (Āv. 32,2) from *khuṭṭai* (Hc. 4,116).—10. Causatives and denominatives: AMg. *mārijjissāmi* (Uvās. § 256); JM. *chiddijjhii* (Āv. 33,2) from *chidraya-*, *vāvājjissāi* (Erz. 43,22).—2. *hammihii* from *han* (Hc. 4,244; cf. § 540. 550. 557); AMg. *padihammihii* (Nāyādh. § 30); *dubbhiihii* (Hc. 4, 245), JM. *dujjihii* (Āv. 43,20; cf.; however § 544).—5. *civihii*, *cimmihii* from *ci* (Hc. 4,242. 243; cf. § 536); M. *jhijjhisi* from *kṣi* (H. 152. 628); M. *samappihii* (H. 734. 806; R. 5,4).—7. M. *bhajjhisi* from *bhañj* (H. 202); AMg. *vōcchijjhinti* from *chid* with *yud* (Sūyag. 1011), *samucchijhini*, metrically for *samucchijjhinti* (Sūyag. 869), Š. *chijjissadi* (Mṛcch. 3,16); Š. *ahiujjissadi* from *yuj* with *abhi* (Uttarar. 69,6); *saṃrujjjhii* (Hc. 4,248).—8. AMg. *kajjissāi* (Vivāhap. 492); JM. *kirihii* (Āv. 16,9).—9. *bajjhiihii* (Hc. 4,247), Š. *bajjhissāmo* (Mṛcch. 109,19; see § 488, note 4) from *bandh*; JM. *ghēppihii* from **ghṛtp* (Āv. 7,5).

§ 550. The passive is sometimes used in the sense of parasmaipadams. WEBER has rightly compared such forms with the deponentia of Latin¹. So: M. *gammihisi* (H.609)², uncertain *gammasu* (H. 819) in the sense of the causative; M. *gasijjhisi* (H. 804); M. *disihisi* (R. 15,86), where, however, C has *daksihisi*, i. e. *dacchihisi* (§ 525); M. *pījai* (Hc. 4,10; H. 678)²; M. *bhañnihisi* (H. 906)³; *hammaī* = *hanti* (Vr. 8,45; Hc. 4,244; Ki. 4,46; Mk. fol. 57; Sr. fol. 56; cf. § 540), particip. pres. ātmanep. AMg. *vihammāna* Uttar. 787); fut. AMg. *hammihanti* (Thān. 512); AMg. *labbhihii* (Dasav. 624,14); A. *dijjaū*, *kijjaū* (§ 545. 547; cf. § 461. 466). The future too is used prevalently in the active sense, in which the metre might have often associated. Very interesting is that the present passive in Mg. A. is sometimes used in the sense of the fut. parasmaip., therefore, “I am made” is used in lieu of “I shall make”. For Mg. Mk. fol. 75 teaches in the Parasmaip. *bhavisadi* and *bhuviadi*. So Mg. *bhaviadi* (Mṛcch. 164,10) and *huvīadi* (Venīs. 33,6. 7; 35,8) “he will be”, *vāvādiāśi* “thou wilt kill” (Mṛcch. 167,25), *pīvāsiāsi* (so to be read; Venīs. 34,6) “that thou wilt be thirsty”; A. *kijjaū* (Hc. 4,338. 445,3) “I shall make”.

1. WEBER, Hälā¹ p. 64, where, however, all the examples are false, likewise, excluding *samappihii* and *disihisi*, the examples in S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 29,492 and on R. 15,86 p. 325 note 10.—2. WEBER on Hälā 609.

CAUSATIVUM.

§ 551. As in Skt. the causative is formed by insertion of -e- = Skt. -aya- into the strengthened root: *kārei* = *kārayati*, *pādhei* = *pāthayati*, *uva-*
sāmei = *upaśāmyati*, *hāsei* = *hāsayati* (Vr. 7,26; Hc. 3,149; Ki. 4,44; Sr. fol. 55). Cf. § 490. The roots in -ā add -ve- = Skt. -paya-: M. *nīvāvēnti* = *nīrvāpayanti* (G. 524); Š. *nīvāvedi* (Mālatīm. 217,5), fut. *nīvāvāssam* (Mālatīm. 266,1), past passive participle *nīvāvida* (Mṛcch. 16,9); AMg. *āghārei* = *ākhyāpayati* (Thān. 569); Mg. *pattiāvāśam* (Mṛcch. 139,12) from *yā* with *prati* (§ 281. 487); PG. *anuvatthāveti* = *anuprasthāpayati* (7,45); AMg. *thāvei* = *sthāpayati* (Nirayāv. § 4; Kappas. § 116); AMg. *thāvemi* (Erz. 43,32); Š. *samavaatthāvemi* = *samavasthāpayāmi* (Vikr. 27,6); *pajjavatthā-*

vehi = *paryavasthāpaya* (Vikr. 7,17), *paṭṭhāvia* (absol.; Mrcch. 24,2), *paḍit-thāvehi* (Ratn. 295,26); Mg. *stāvemi*, *stāvia* (absol.), *stāvāiśśam* (Mrcch. 97, 5; 122,11; 132,20; 139,2), *paṭṭāvia* (absol. Mrcch. 21,12); A. *paṭṭhāvai* (passive; Hc. 4,422,7); AMg. *nhāveha* = *snāpayata* (Vivāhap. 1261). *jñā* forms the caus. from the present stem: JM. *jāñāvei* (Hc. 3,149; Erz.), *jāñāviyām*, *jāñāvium* (Kk.); M. *jāñāveum* (H.). With prefixes it is formed with shortening of the root-vowel, as in Skt. too: AMg. JM. *ānavei* (Nir-yāv.; Kappas.; Erz.); AMg. *ānavemāna* (Sūyag. 734), *paṇnavemāna* (Ovav. § 78); S. *ānavesi* (Mrcch. 94,9), *ānavedi* (Lalitav. 563,21. 29; 564,23; 568, 11; Mrcch. 4,19; 7,3; 16,2 and very frequently), *ānavedu* (Mrcch. 3,7; Šak. 1,8; Nāgān. 2,16 etc.), but *ānāvidavām* (Mrcch. 58,13) beside *vinnāidavāvā* (58,12), hence with GODABOLE 167,8 to be read *āna°*; *vinnavemā* (Mrcch. 78,10), *vinnavedi* (Mrcch. 74,6; 96,5; Šak. 138,10; Vikr. 12,13 etc.), *vinnavemo* (so to be read according to § 455; Šak. 27,7), *vinnavehi* (Mrcch. 27,14; 74,21; Vikr. 16,20; Mālatim. 218,1), *vinnavissām*, *vinnāidavāvā* (Mrcch. 58,11,12), *vinnavidām* (so to be read; Vikr. 48,8), *vinnavīadi* (Vikr. 30,21); Mg. *ānavedi* (Šak. 114,1), *vinnavia* (absol.; Mrcch. 138, 25; 139,1). As *jñā*, also the other roots ending in -ā shorten the vowel in M. JM. AMg. So particularly often *sthā*: M. JM. AMg. *thavei* (G.H.R.; Ez.; Kk.; Uvās.; Kappas. etc.; cf. Hc. 1,67); M. *thavijjanti* (G. 995), *utthavesi* (H. 390), *sāmīhavehi* (G. 997); AMg. *uvatthaveha* (Nāyādh. § 130); A. *thavehu* (Piṅgala 1,87. 125. 145).—M. *nimmavesi* = *nirmāpayasi* (G. 297); AMg. *āghavemāna* = *ākhyāpayaṁāna* (Ovav. § 78), *āghaviya* = *ākhyāpita* (Panhāv. 376. 431. 469), *āghavijjanti* = *ākhyāpyantē* (Nandis. 398.427.428. 451. 454. 456. 465 ff.), inf. *āghavittae* (Nāyādh. § 143). As in Skt., certain roots in -i, -ī too have the same formation: S. passive *jaāvīasi* = *jāpyase* (Šak. 31,11); AMg. *ūsaveha* (Vivāhap. 957), *ussaveha* (Kappas. § 100) = *ucchrāpayata*; S. *bhāāvesi* from *bhī* (§ 501; Mrcch. 91,19). From the present stem is built *kināvei* (Thān. 516), *kināvae* (Āyār. 1,2,5,3), *kināvemāna* (Sūyag. 609) from *kri*; S. *viciṇāvedi* (so to be read; Mudrār. 54,1) from *ci*; AMg. *alliyāvei* (Nāyādh. 434) from *lī*.

§ 552. The element *-ve* = Skt. *-paya* is employed in Pkt. for formation of the causative also from the roots in vowels other than -ā, -i, -ī, and in diphthongs and consonants. As a rule it is added to the present stem in -a, which is mostly lengthened, wherein the analogy according to the ā-roots might have taken a hand. This formation is seldomer than the causative in -e = *-aya*. So: *hasāvei* (Vr. 7,26; Hc. 3,149; Sr. fol. 55), *hasāvia* (Hc. 3,152), also M. *hāsāvia* (Hc. 3,153 = H. 123); AMg. *paṭṭāvemāna* from *pac* (Sūyag. 609); M. *ramāvēnti*, *sahāvēnti* (H. 325. 327); A. *kappāvemi* from *klop* (Mrcch. 105,3); S. *ghadāvehi* (Mrcch. 95,21), M. *vihadāvia* (G. 8) from *ghat*; S. *jivāvehi* (Uttarar. 63,14), *jīāvesu* (Viddhaś. 84,4), *jīāvedu* (Mrcch. 326,3), *jīāvādi* (Mrcch. 176,6), *jīāvāia* (absol.; Mālatim. 215,1), *jīāvīdā* (Mrcch. 173,4; 177,16); Mg. *yīāvīdā* (Mrcch. 171,14); AMg. *dalāvei* (Vivāgas. 168); AMg. *saṭārambhāvei* (Āyār. 1,1,2,3; 1,1,3,5), *saṭārambhāvējjā* (Āyār. 1,1,2,6; 1,1,3,8); S. *ṇīātāvēmi* (Mrcch. 77,15); Mg. *palivattāvehi* (Mrcch. 81,17,19); S. *vaddhāvemi* (Karnas. 21,8); S. *dhovāvedi* (Mrcch. 45,9); JM. *abbhuvagachāvia* from *gam* with *abhi* and *upa* (Āv. 30,9); AMg. *piyāvae* from *pā* (to drink; Dasav. 638,26).—AMg. *nicchubhāvei* (Nāyādh. 823,824. 1313) from *nicchubhai* from *kṣubh* with *ni* (Nāyādh. 1411; Vivāhap. 114; Panṇav. 827. 832. 834); S. *padicchāvīadi* (Mrcch. 69,12) from *is* with *prati*; S. *pucchāvedi* from *pracch* (Viddhaś. 42, 4); JM. *melavehisi* (Āv. 30,8); S. *moāvemi*, *moāvehi* (Šak. 27,11; 24,2), M. *moāvia* (Mrcch. 41,17) from *muc*; Mg. *lihāvemi* (Mrcch. 133,1) from *lihī*; *uwasamāvei* (Hc. 3,149) from *śam*; *tosavia* from *tuś*, *sosavia* from *śuś* (Hc. 3,150); S. *lohāvedi* (Šak. 61,3).—AMg. *vadhāvei* (Vivāgas. 170) from

vedhei (§ 304. 490). — M. *ruāvei*, *ruāvia*, *roāvia* (H.), Š. *rodāvida* (Mṛcch. 21,1) from *rud*. — From *dā* (to give) is built in JM. a double form *davāvei* in the meaning “to cause to give” (Erz.). — Š. *suñāvidā* (Mālav. 31,8). — AMg. *chindāvae* (Dasav. 638,30). — *karāvei*, *karāvia*, *kārāvci* (Vr. 7,27; Hc. 3, 149. 152. 153; Kī. 4,44); AMg. *kāravemi* (Uvās. § 13. 14. 15), *kāraveha* (Kappas. § 57. 100); JM. *kāravei* (Erz. 30,7), *kārāviyā* (Erz.). — JM. *geñhāvemi* (Āv. 34,19).

§ 553. For *-e*, *-ve-* are found dialectically, particularly in A., not seldom *-a*, *-va-*, it may be that here we have the formation according to the model of the denominativa or a flexion, which might have arisen from forms originally contracted and regularly shortened before doubled consonants (§ 491). So: *hasāvai* (Hc. 3,149; Sr. fol. 55); *ghadāvai* (Hc. 4, 340), *ugghādai* (Hc. 4,33) beside Š. *ghadāvehi* (Mṛcch. 95,21); *vippagālai* = *vipragālayati* (Hc. 4,31); *uddālai* = *uddālayati* (Hc. 4,125); *pādāi* = *pātayati* (Hc. 3,153) beside M. *pādei* (R. 4,50), Mg. *pādemi* (Mṛcch. 162,22); *bhamāvai* (Hc. 3,151) from *bhram*; A. *uttārahi* (Vikr. 69,2) beside Š. *odāredi* (Uttarar. 165,3), *padāredi* (so to be read; Prab. 15,10); JM. A. *mārai* (Hc. 3,153; Erz. 5,32; Hc. 4,330,3) beside M. *māresi*, *mārehisi* (H.), *mārei* (Mudrār. 34,10), Š. *māredha* (Mṛcch. 161,16; 165,25), Mg. *mālemi* (Mṛcch. 12,5; 123,3), *mālehi* (Mṛcch. 123,5; 124,2. 17; 165,24), *māledu* (Mṛcch. 125,8), *māledha* (Mṛcch. 165,23; 166,1; 168,8; 171,18); for Mg. *mālantām* (Mṛcch. 123,22) is to be read *mālēntām*; A. *mārei* (Hc. 4,337); *hāravai* (Hc. 4,31); A. *vāhahi* (Pingala 1,5^a) beside Ā. *vāhehi* (Mṛcch. 100,18), Mg. *vāhēśi* (Mṛcch. 122,15); *melavaī* (Hc. 4,28) from *mil* (§ 486) beside JM. *melavehisi* (§ 528); *nāsavaī*, *nāsai* (Hc. 4,31) from *naś*; AMg. *vedanti* (Pannav. 786 ff.), *veyanti* (Jiv. 281 ff.) = *vedayanti*; *nimmavai* = *nirmāpayati* (Hc. 4,19) beside M. *nimmavesi* (G. 297); *ādhavaī*, *vidhavaī* from *dhā* (§ 286. 500); M. *thāvai* (G. 980), *sāṁthavantī* (H. 39); *paṭhavaī*, *paṭhāvai* (Hc. 4,37); A. *parithavahu*, *sāṁthavahu* (Pingala 1,10. 85) beside *thāvai*, *thavei* (§ 551); *karāvai* (Hc. 3,149); *vinṇavai* (Hc. 4,38) beside Š. *vinṇavedi* (§ 551); *palāvai* from *lū* with *pra* (Hc. 4,31).

§ 554. From *dī* Hc. 4,32 teaches the causative *dāvai*, *daṁsai*, *dakkhavaī*, *darisai*. Of them *dāvai* is found (also in Sr. fol. 57) in M. *dāvantena* (H.); more often forms with *-e*: M. *dāvemi* (Ratn. 322,5; *tam te dāvemi* according to Dhanika on Daśarūpa 42,6 for *tam tam daṁsemi* of the editions), *dāvei*, *dāvēti*, *dāvæ*, *dāveha*, *dāvēñi*, *dāvia* (H. R.), *dāvijjāu* (Ratn. 321, 32), *dāvīāt* (Karp. 56,7); JM. *dāviyā* (Erz.), *dāvia*, *dāvijjasu* (Rsabhap. 10. 49); Š. *dāvida* (Mudrār. 44,1). The word is = Marāthī *dāvnem*¹. The derivation from *dī*² is false. *dāvei*, *dāvai* stand for *daripayati*, *darpati* from *dīpa samāpne* (Dhātupātha 34,14) according to § 62. To the same root belong Skt. *darpaṇa* (mirror) and M. *addāa*, AMg. JM. *addāga*, *addāya* (mirror; § 196, where we should read = **ādāpaka* = **ādarpana*³). *daṁsai* occurs in AMg. *daṁsanti* = *darśayanti* (Sūyag. 222), M. *daṁsantim* = *darśayantim* (G. 1055; without v. l.); more frequently with *-e-*; M. *daṁsintim* (G. 1054); JM. *daṁsei*, *daṁseha* (Erz.; Kk.); Š. *daṁsemi* (Mṛcch. 74,16; Mālatim. 38,9), *daṁsesi* (Mṛcch. 90,21; Śak. 167,10), *daṁsehi* (Ratn. 321, 20), *daṁsedum* (Mudrār. 81,4); before doubled consonants (§ 490): *daṁsa-*
anśe, *daṁsaamha* (Prab. 42,7; Uttarar. 77,3; 113,2); fut. *daṁsaüssam* (Śak. 63,9; Ratn. 311,4), *daṁsaüssasi* (Śak. 90,10), *daṁsaüssadi* (Mālatim. 74,3; 78,7); Mg. *daṁsaante* (Śak. 114,11). — *darisai* (also Hc. 3,149) occurs in JM. as *darisei* (Erz.). According to Mk. fol. 74 it occurs in the form *darisedi* in Āvanti. In the Mṛcch., that sports in Āvanti, at 70,5 the Vidūṣaka uses it: *darisaanti*; at 100,4 it stands in D.: *darisesi*. — *dakkhavaī*, in Sr. fol. 57 *dakkhāvai* is a causative form *dakkhai* and = Marāthī *dākhavinem*, Gujarātī *dākhavavum*³; A. *dēkkhāvahi* (Vikr. 66,16) is a causative from *dēkkhai*

The South Indian manuscripts of the dramas write *dakkhai*, whilst the Nāgari MSS., partly the South Indian too, write *dēkkhai*⁴, which Hc. 4, 181 teaches and what is frequent in A. (Hc. s. v. *dēkkhai*, Piṅgala 1,87a), on the other hand, it is false, for Ś. which requires *pēkkhai*⁵. *dakkhai* and *dēkkhai*, which the inscriptions of Aśoka too have, *dakkhai*, what is attested by Singhalese in *dakinawa*, *dēkkhai*, that all the modern Indian languages, including Gypsy, have⁶, both go back to one **dkṣati*, that occurs in *anū-dkṣa*, *īdkṣa*, *etādkṣa*, *kidkṣa*, *tādkṣa*, *sadkṣa*⁷. The derivation from the future⁸ is miscarried to *e*, which goes back to *i*; it is possible to explain⁹ the forms differently, likewise to assume an analogy according to *pēkkhai* for *dēkkhai*¹⁰. On AMg. *deha* see § 66.— From *bhram* the causative are also *bhamādai*, *bhamādei* according to Hc. 3,151; 4,30, for which the synonymous form *tamājai* (Hc. 4,30) stands, beside *bhamāei* *bhamāvai*. Gujarāti has the same causative formation¹¹. Hc. 4,161 teaches *bhammada*, *bhamada*, *bhamāda* also as a substitute for the simplex *bhram*. — On the fut. of the caus. see especially § 528 too, on the passive § 543.

1. GARREZ, JA. 1872,20,204.—2. WEBER, ZDMG. 26,741; 28,424; on H. 315.—
 3. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,32.—4. PISCHEL, GGA. 1873,46 f.; Vikramorvaśya p. 616 f.; Die Recensionen der Čākuntalā p. 11 f.—5. PISCHEL, De Kālidāse Čākuntali recensionibus p. 32 f.; KB. 7,453 ff.; 8,144 ff.—6. PISCHEL, KB. 7,458; 8,146; JOHANSSON KZ. 32, 463; BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 1,161; POTT, Zigeuner 2,304; MIKLOSICH, Über die Mundarten und die Wanderungen der Zigeuner Europas 7,43.—7. WEBER, KB. 7,764 who, however, wrongly sees therein at Bhag. 1,414,3; IStr. 3,150; H.1 p.260; KB.7,486; IS.14,69 ff. "an old reduplication of a hitherto wanting desiderative formation".—8. CHILDERS in MUIR, OST. 2,23 note 40; KB. 7,450 f.; Dictionary s. v. *passati*; PISCHEL, KB. 7,459; 8, 147.—9. P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN.1874,509ff.; JOHANSSON, KZ. 32,463f.; Shāhbāzgarhi 2,24.—10. BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 1,162; cf., however, 3,45 f.—11. BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 3,81; HOERNLE, Comp. Gr. p. 318 f.

DESIDERATIVE.

§ 555. The desiderative is formed as in Skt.: AMg. *digicchanta-* = *jighatsat-* (Āyār. 1,8,4,10); *jugucchaī*, *juucchaī* (Hc. 2,21; 4,4) = *jugupsate*; M. *juucchaī*, *juucchasu* (R.); AMg. *dugucchaī*, *dugumchaī*, *duucchaī*, *duumchāi* (Hc. 4,4; cf. § 74. 215), *dugumchamāna* (Āyār. 1,2,2,1; Sūyag. 472. 525), *dugamchamāna*, *dugamchanijja* (Uttar. 199,410), *adugucchiya* (Āyār. 2,1,2,2); Ś. *jugucchedi*, *juguchanti* (Mālatīm. 90,5; 243,5), *juucchida* (Anarghar. 149, 10; Bālar. 202,13), *adijuucchida* (Mallikām. 218,7. 12), *jugucchaṇia* (Vid-
dhaś. 121,10; so to be read); Mg. *adiyuuśida* (Mallikām. 143,4. 15; so to be read); *cūcchaī* (Hc. 2,21; 4,240) = *cikitsati*; AMg. *tigicchāi* (Uttar. 601), *tigochiya* (Uttar. 458), *vitigicchiya* (Thān. 194), *vitigichāmi* (Thān. 245), *vitigimchāi* (Sūyag. 727 f.), *vitigimchiya* (Vivāhap. 150); Ś. *cikicchidavva* (Sak. 123,14). Cf. § 74. 215.— Mg. *pivāśiāśi* (Venīs. 34,6; cf. § 550); Ś. *bubhukkhida* = *bubhusita* (Vṛṣabha. 19,5); *licchāi* = *lipsate* (Hc. 2,21); AMg. JM. *sussūsāi* (Dasav. 637,30. 32; Erz. 31,13) = *śūrūsate*; AMg. *sussūsamāna* (Dasav. 636,6. 10; Ovav.); Ś. *sussūsaissam* (Mṛcch. 88,11), *sussūsāidūm* (Mālav. 29,12), *sussūsidavva* (Mṛcch. 39,23); Mg. *śusśūśida* (Mṛcch. 37,11).

INTENSIVE.

§ 556. The intensive is formed as in classical Skt. strengthened vowel in the reduplication show: *cakkammaī* for **cākammaī* = **cākramyate* (Hc. 4,161).—AMg. *khokhubbhāmāna* (Panhāv. 169. 210; Ovav.; Kappas.) from *ksubh*.—AMg. *jāgarai* = *jāgarti*, *jāgaramāṇie* (Vivāhap. 116), *jāgaranti* (Āyār. 1,3,1,1), *jāgaramāṇassa* (Vivāhap. 170), *padijāgarejjā* (Dasav. 636,6),

padijāgaramāñī (Uvās.; Kappas.); M. *jagganti* (Dūt. 5,12), *jaggesu* (H. 335), *padiaggia* = **pratijagrita* (G.); S. *jaggedha* (Mrcch. 112,3); A. *jaggevā* (Hc. 4,438,3); causative AMg. *jaggāvai* (Āyār. 1,8,2,5); M. *jaggāvia* (R. 10,56); AMg. *bhibbisamīna* for **bhebbhisamīna*, **bhebbhisamīna* from *bhisāi* = *bhāsati* (§ 482; Nāyādh. § 122; Jiv. 481 [*bhijjhō*]. 493 [*mijjhō*]. 541 [*mijjhisamāni*]), *bhibbisamāna* (Jiv. 105; cf. v. l. on Nāyādh. § 122); AMg. *lälappaī* (Sūyag. 414), *lälappamāna* (Āyār. 1,2,3,3; 1,2,6,1). In the reduplication M. *camkammanta-* (H.), *camkamma* (R.), *camkamia* (Karp. 47, 6), JM. *camkamīava* (Āv. 23, 12) = *camkramyate*; *dhūndhullaī* (Hc. 4,161. 189), *dhāndhallaī* (Hc. 4,161), *dhāndholāī* (Hc. 4,189) have a nasal vowel. For *tuntunñanto* (Kāvyaprakāśa 271,5 = H. 985) we should, according to the best manuscripts or the text and the commentary read with Abhinavagupta or Dhvanyāloka 116,7 *dhūndhullanto*. This reading is proved also by the quotation of the shophe in other unpublished rhetoricians.

. DENOMINATIVE.

557. The denominatives are formed, as in Skt., either by 1) addition of the verbal endings directly to the stem of the noun, 2) or to the stem in -a = Skt. -ya, before which the final vowel of the noun is lengthened or 3) to the stem of the causative Pkt. -e-, -ve-, -va-. The first type of denominative is more frequent in Pkt. than in Skt.: AMg. *appināmi* = **arpañāmi* (Nirayāv. § 23; Nāyādh. 1313; text °*ppa°*), JM. *appiñāi* (Āv. 44,3) from *arpaṇa*; AMg. *paccappināmi* = **pratyarpañāmi* from *pratyarpañā* (Nirayāv. § 20), *paccappināi* (Vivāgas. 222; Rāyap. 231; Kappas. § 29; Ovav. § 42. 44. 46 [so to be here read throughout]), *paccappināmo* (Nirayāv. § 25), *paccappinānti* (Vivāhap. 503. 948; Jiv. 625. 626; Uvās. § 207; Kappas. § 58. 101; Nāyādh. § 33. 100. p. 610; Nirayāv. § 4. 24), *paccappinējjā* (Pañnav. 844; Ovav. § 150), *paccappināhi* (Ovav. § 40. 41. 43. 45; Nirayāv. § 22; Kappas. § 26), *paccappināha* (Vivāgas. 222; Vivāhap. 503. 948; Jiv. 625. 626; Kappas. § 57. 100; Nirayāv. § 20. 21. 24; Uvās. § 206), *paccappinijjai* (Nirayāv. § 25), *paccappinittā* (Nāyādh. 607. 610. 614); *khammāi* = **khāmati*, *jammai* = **janmati*, *hammai* = *hanmati* (§ 540); M. *dukkhāmi* (R. 11,127) from *dukkha*, as *suhāmi* (H. 617) from *sukha*; *dhavalāī* (Hc. 4,24); *nimmānāi* (Hc. 4,19; Ki. 4,46; Mk. fol. 54) from *nirmāna*; A. *padibimbi* (Hc. 4,439,3); A. *pāmañahu* = *pranānayata* (Piṅgala 1,105); *pahuppāi* = **prabhutvāi* (§ 286); M. *māñdanti* (G. 67); *missāi* (Hc. 4,28) from *misra*; *vikkeāi* (He. 4,240) from *vikreya*; A. *sukkahāī* (Hc. 4,427,1) from *śuska*. More examples see under § 491 and cf. § 553.

1. LEUMANN, Aup. S. v. seeks in *paccappin* the present stem of *praty-arp*. According to JACOBI, KZ. 35,573, note 2 *inai* is the derivative syllable, by which probably the same is meant as in LEUMANN. That a noun *paccappina* is not found is naturally no ground against the aforesaid explanation.—2. These and similar forms may be considered as contracted from *dukkhaāmi*, *suhāmi* (§ 558).

558. As in Skt., in Pkt. too, the usual formation of the denominative is that with -a- = Skt. -ya-. The syllables -āa- in M. JM. AMg. are not seldom contracted: M. *atthāai*, *atthāanti* = **astāyate*, **astāyante* from *asta* (G. R.); from the frequent *atthamia* (G. R.) = *astamita* have been deduced *atthamaī* (R.) and a substantive *atthamāṇa* (H. R.); AMg. *amarāyāi* (Āyār. 1,2,5,5); M. *alasāaī*, *alasāanti* (H.); M. *umhāi*, *umhāanta*, *umhāmāṇa* (G.) from *uśmāya*; S. *kuravaāadi* = *kurabakāyate* (Mrcch. 73,10); *garuāi*, *garuāī* (to become a teacher; to behave like a teacher; Hc. 3,138); Mg. *cilāadi* = *cirāyati* (Śak. 115,9); M. *tanuāi*, *tanuāaī*, *tanuāae* = **tanukāyati* (to become thin; H.); M. *dhūmāi* (H.); AMg. *mamāyamāna*, *amamāyamāṇa* from *mama* (Āyār. 1,2,3,3; 1,2,5,3); *lohiāi*, *lohiāaī* (Hc. 3,138); M. *sanjhāaī*

(G. 632), Š. *samjhāadi* (Mrcch. 73,12) = *samdhāyate*; Š. *sidalāadi* = *śitalāyati* (Mālatim. 121,2); M. *suhāai* (H.), Š. *suhāadi* (Šak. 49,8) = *sukhāyati*. Worthy of being particularly mentioned are the numerous denominativa that express onomatopoeically, a sound a strong physical or mental movement, etc. They are found mostly also in the modern Indian dialects, many in Skt. too, here partly only in the basic form, to which they are traced back¹. The examples *damadamāi*, *damadamāi* (Hc. 3,138) “does *damadama*”, used for the sound of a drum, = Marāthī *damadamnē*², indicate the type. More seldom they are formed as the causativa. So: Š. *kaḍakadāanta-* (Mālatim. 129,4). — Š. *kurukurāasi* (so to be read; cf. v. l.; Hāsy. 25,7), *kurukurāadi* (Mrcch. 71,16; Ratn. 302,8), *kurukurāanta-* (Karp. 14,3;70,1); *kurukuri* (ardently desired; Deśin. 2,42), according to which *kurukura* in Hc., Unādi-ganashūtra¹⁷; AMg. *kiḍikiḍiyābhūja* (Vivāgas. 201,242 [text here °*dibhū*°]). — AMg. *kuukūvamāna* (Vivāgas. 201); JM. *khalakkhalei* (Erz.); AMg. *gumagumāyanta-* (Kappas. § 37), also *gumagumanta*- (Ovav. § 4), *gumagumāyā* (Ovav. § 5); Š. *ghumaghūmādi* (Jivān. 43,3)³; AMg. *gulugulenta-* (for the trumpet of the elephant; Ovav. § 42), *gulagulenta-* (Uvās. § 102); AMg. JM. *gulu-gulāyā* (Panḥāv. 161 [*la*°]; Vivāhap. 253; Ovav. § 54 p. 59,7; Erz.); JM. *ghurughuranti* (for the grunting of the wild boar; Erz. 43,10); Mg. *ghulaghulāmāna* (Mrcch. 117,23), from which Skt. *ghurughura* in Hc. l. c. and others; *tīritillāi* with dissimilation (Hc. 4,161); M. *tharatharei* (H. 187 [so to be read with v. l.], 858), *tharathareṇti* (H. 165 [so to be read with R]); JM. *tharatharantī* (Āv. 12,25; text °*ha*°); Š. *tharatharedi* (Mrcch. 141,17; so to be read with GODABOLE 388,4), *tharatharāanta-* (Mālatim. 124,1) = Skt. *tharatharāyate*, Marāthī *tharatharṇē*, Urdū *tharatharānā*⁴, Gujarāti *tharatharvūm* “to tremble”; AMg. *dhagadhaganta-* (Nāyādh. 340), explained as *jājvalyamāna*, *dhagadhagāi* (Kappas. § 46); Š. *dhagadhaggaamāna* (Jivān. 89,2); JM. AMg. *dhamadhamēnta-* (Erz.; Uvās.), Š. *dhamadhamādi* (Nāgān. 18,3); JM. *phuraphuranta-* (Erz. 85,5); Š. *phuraphurāadi* (Mrcch. 17,15); AMg. *maghamagheṇta-* (Ovav. § 2; Nāyādh. § 21 [°*ghi*°]); Rāyap. 28. 111; Jiv. 543; Samav. 210), *maghamaghanta-* (Kappas. [also °*ghi*°]; Rāyap. 60. 190; Jiv. 499; Vivāhap. 941); M. *mahamahiāi* (Hc. 4,78; H.), JM. *mahamahiya* (Pāiyl. 197) = Marāthī *maghamaghṇē*, Gujarāti *maghamaghvūn*⁵; AMg. *masamasāvijjai* (Vivāhap. 270,383); AMg. JM. *misimisanta-*, *misimiseṇta-*, *misimisinta-* (Ovav.; Nāyādh.; Kappas.; Rāyap. 44; Āv. 40,6), usually *misimisimāna* or °*sēmāna* (Vivāgas. 121. 144; Nāyādh. 324. 456. 612. 651. 1172; Vivāhap. 236. 237. 251. 254. 505. 1217 etc.; Nirāyāv.; Uvās.) is explained as *dedipyamāna* and taken into Skt. as *miṣamiṣāyate*; Š. *silasilāadi* (Jivān. 43,3); M. *simisimanta* (H. 561), Š. *simisimāanta-* (Bālar. 264, 2); M. *surasuranta* (H. 74) = Marāthī *surasurṇē*⁶; JM. *sulusuleṇta-* (Erz. 24,29). — M. *dhukkādhukkai* (H. 584) = Marāthī *dhukadhuknē*⁷; AMg. *harāharāi* (Panḥāv. 161) have a strengthened vowel. Cf. also Š. *susuāadi* (Mrcch. 44,3) “does *su-su*” and Š. *sāsāsi*, Mg. *kākāsi* (Mrcch. 80, 14. 15) derived from *sā* and *kā* respectively.

1. BEAMES, Comp. Gr. 3,89 ff.; ZACHARIAE, GGA. 189,465 ff., who has collected together the Pkt. examples, particularly from H.—2. PISCHEL on Hc. 3,138.—3. JACOBI on Kappas. § 36 p. 105; cf. ZACHARIAE, I.c. p. 466 note 2.—4. STENZLER on Mrcch. 141, 17 p. 309.—5. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,78; cf. JACOBI on Kappas. § 32 p. 104.—6. WEBER on H. 74.—7. WEBER on H. 584.

§ 559. Built according to the pattern of the causativa the denominativa are : AMg. *uccārei* (caus.) *vā pāsavaṇei vā khelei vā singhānei vā vanlei vā piṭtei vā* (Vivāhap. 112); AMg. *uvakkhadei* = **upaskṛtayati* (Nāyādh. 425. 448), °*dinti* (Nāyādh. 856), °*dējja*, °*die* (Āyār. 2,2,2,2), °*deu* (Uvās. § 68),

^odeha (Nāyādh. 483), more frequently *uvakkhadāvēi* (Vivāgas. 124. 133. 195. 204. 205. 231. 233; Nāyādh. 430. 632. 734. 736. 1423. 1496), ^odāvinti, ^odāvē-
nti (Kappas. § 104; Nāyādh. § 114), ^odāvē^{itā} (Nāyādh. § 114; p. 425. 448. 482; Vivāhap. 228); AMg. *nhānei* = *snānayati (Jiv. 610), *nhāne*nti
(Vivāhap. 1265); *teavaī* = *tejapayati from *tea-* = *tejah* (Hc. 4,152); JM.
dukkhāvei (Āv. 42,14. 18), caus. from *dukkhāmi* (§ 557); *duhāvāi* = *dvidhā-
payati (splits; Hc. 4,124); JM. *dhirāvīa* (Sagara 8,14); AMg. *pinaddhei*
(Nāyādh. 775 [text ^oddhai]. 779); S. *pinaddhāvīda* (Śak. 74,1); M. *biunēi*
(text *vi^o*; H. 685) = *dviguṇayati*; M. *bhasanemi* (so to be read; H. 312) from
bhasman (ashes); AMg. *mailinti* (Panhāv. 111), *mailīya* (Vivāhap. 387), M.
mailei, *maile*nti, *mailanta*, *mailijjāi* from *mailā* (black)¹; M. *lahuei* = *laghayati*
(G.1148); M. *saccavāi* = *satyāpayati* (Hc. 4,181; Ki. 14 in DELIUS, Radices
p. 11; the edition has at 4,66 false *sacchara*), *saccavia* (Pāiyāl. 78; G.H.R.;
Sak. 120,7); S. *saddāvemi* = *sabdāpavāmi* (Mṛcch. 50,24), *saddāvesi* (Śak.
138,2); AMg. *saddāvei* (Kappas.; Ovav.; Nāyādh.; Nirājyāv. etc.); S.
saddāvedi (Mṛcch. 54,8; 141,16), *saddāvehi* (Mṛcch. 54,5), *saddāvāssanām*
(Mṛcch. 60,1), *saddāviadi* (Mṛcch. 150,17); JM. AMg. *saddāvē^{itā}*, ^o*vītā*,
saddāvīya (Erz.; Kappas. etc.), causative from *saddei* = *śabdayati*; AMg.
sikkhāvei (Nāyādh. 1421 f.), S. *sikkhāvehi* (Ratn. 293,17) from *siksā*; S. *sida-
lāvedi* (Uttarar. 121,7) from *sitala*; S. *sukhāvīianti* (Mṛcch. 71,4), Mg. *śuṣkā-
vāssām* (Mṛcch. 133,15) from *śuṣka*; M. *suhāvesi*, ^o*vei*, ^o*vēti* (G. H.), S.
suhāvedi (Mallikām. 201,17) from *sukha*.

1. Cf. ZACHARIAE, GN. 1896, 265 ff., who traces *maila* back to **mydila*'. See also § 505 note 5.

VERBAL NOUNS.

a) PARTICLES.

§ 560. The present participle of the parasmaipada is formed from the present stem with the ending *-anta*, extended from the strong ending *-ant*, which is inflected according to the *a*-declension (§ 397. 473–514). Dialectically, especially in AMg., we find numerous forms which show the flexion of Skt. (§ 396), in an isolated manner formed also according to the pattern of the nouns having a single stem, (§ 398). In all classes the feminine ends in *-anti*: AMg. *asanti*=*asatyām* (Ovav. § 183), JM. *santi* (Erz. 8,22), but in the meaning “chaste” M. *sāi* (H.) = *sati*, “not chaste” (Erz. 8,22); AMg. *ejjentim*=**eyantim* (cf. § 561; Dasav. 635,10), *vini-*
asaī (H.)=*asati*; AMg. *ejjentim*=**eyantim* (cf. § 561; Dasav. 635,10), *vini-*
mujanitim=*vinimūñcanitum* (Jiv. 542), *añuhōñiñ*=*anubhavanti* (Pannav. 137); M. *apāvānti*=*aprāpnuvatī* (H.483); S. *huvamī*, *pēkkharanti*, *gacchamī* (Lalitav. 555,5; 560,11; 561,14.), *pasāmsantī*=*praśamsantyāh* (Bālar. 289,2), *uddi-*
vantī, *bhanantī*, *padhantie* (Mṛcch. 2,22; 41,20; 44,2) etc. According to Vr. 7,11; Hc. 3,182 the feminine may be formed also from the weak stem of the verbs of the first conjugation: *hasāi* = **hasatī* = *hasantī*; *revāi* = **vepatī* = *vepamānā*.—Particip. fut. parasmaip. are: AMg. *āgamissam* (nom. neuter and accus. masc.; Āyār. 1,3,3,3), *bhavissam* = *bhavisyat* (Kappas. § 17), that may be derived also from *bhavisya*, as in JM. *bhavissacakkavatī* (Erz. 12,25) and S. *bhavissakutjāni* (Viddhaś. 51,11; Karp. 13,2). The participle in the parasmaipada has the same ending for the causative (§ 551-554), the desiderative (§ 555), the intensive (§ 556) and the denominatives.

§ 561. The participle present ātmanepada, without distinction of conjugation, is built from the present stem [§ 473-514] mostly in *-māna* = Skt. *māna* (Vr. 7,10; Hc. 3,181). It is particularly frequent in AMg. in which the particip. pres. parasmaipada has, on the other hand, entirely receded back¹. It often stands in AMg. beside the finite verb in the parasmaipada. So e.g. *adamāne adai* (Vivāhap.191); *phusamāne phusai* (Vivāhap.

354.355); *paccakkhaī paccakkhamāṇe* (Vivāhap. 607); *haṇamāṇe haṇai, saddahaī asaddahamāṇe, saṁvēllamāṇe saṁvelelei* (Vivāhap. 849 f. 1215. 1325); *pehaī, pehamāṇe* (Pannav. 435); *vigīcāmāṇe vigīcāī* (Āyār. 1.3, 4.3); *pāsamāṇe pāsai, suṇamāṇe sunei, mucchamāṇe mucchai* (Āyār. 1.1, 5.2.3), *āikkhamāṇā āikkhaha* (Ovav. § 59). As in Pāli in AMg. JM. too, from *as* (to be) is formed an ātmanepada present participle *samāṇa* (Āyār. 2.1, 1.1 ff.; Thān. 525. 526; Vivāgas. 13.116.239; Pāṇhāv. 67; Vivāhap. 263.271.1275.1388; Pannav. 436; Uvās.; Kappas.; Nirayāv.; Erz.; Sagara 4,9; Av. 29.16; 35.25 etc.).—*emāṇa=praviśan* (Deśin. 1.144) is = *ayamāṇa*, AMg. *ejjamāṇa* (Uvās. § 81.215. 261; Vivāgas. 229; Nāyādh. 487.491.514.575.758.760 etc.; Vivāhap. 1207)= *eyamāṇa*; cf. *ejjantī* § 560.—To the precative belongs *hōjjamāṇa* (§ 466).

i. WEBER, Bhag. 1,432.

§ 562. The ātmanepada participle has the same ending in the future: AMg. *esamāṇā* (Thān. 178), in the causative (§ 551-554), the desiderative (§ 555), intensive (§ 556), the denominatives (§ 557-559). In the passive partly the ending of the parasmaipada is used, particularly in S. Mg., partly that of the ātmanepada, especially in AMg. (§ 535-548).—In place of *-māṇa*, sometimes in AMg. is found *-mīṇa*: *āgamamīṇa* (Āyār. 1.6, 3.2; 1.7, 4.1; 1.7, 6.2; 1.7, 7.1); *samarujāṇamīṇa* (Āyār. 1.6, 4.2; 1.7, 1.3); *ādhāyamīṇa* (Āyār. 1.7, 1.1; 1.7, 2.4. 5); *aṇādhāyamīṇa* (Āyār. 1.7, 1.2); *apariggahamīṇa* (Āyār. 1.7, 3.1); *amamāyamīṇa* (Āyār. 1.7, 3.2); *āsāemīṇa*=*āsvādāyamāṇa* (Āyār. 1.7, 6.2); *aṇāsāyamīṇa* (Āyār. 2.3, 2.4); *nikāmamīṇa* (Sūyag. 405); *bhisamīṇa* (Nāyādh. § 122; Jiv. 481. 493 [commentary °mā°; cf. 541 *bhisamāṇī*]), *bhībbhisamīṇa* (§ 556). The form that is found also in the inscriptions of Aśoka¹ is almost restricted to Āyār., and in many cases has the v.l. *-māṇa*. Cf. § 110.—Very seldom is the ending *-āṇa*=Skt. *-āṇa*: AMg. *bujābujāṇā*=*bruvanto*, 'bruvantaś ca (Sūyag. 334). *vihammāṇa* stands for *vihammamāṇa*=*vighnan* (Uttar. 787), in case one does not like to equate it as = **vihanmāṇa* (cf. § 540.550), *vakkamāṇa* (Nāyādh. § 46-50) for *vakkamāṇa*, as e. g. at Kappas. § 74. 76.77 stands; the v.l., however, has there also under § 74. 76 *vakkamāṇa*. For *-āṇa* M. has *-īṇa* in *meliṇa* (H. 702) from *meliāi* from *mil* (§ 486). Cf. Skt. *āśīna*, as also Pkt. has.

i. BÜHLER, ZDMG. 46, 72, whose explanation, however, is incorrect. See § 110.

§ 563. The feminine has the ending *-māṇī* according to Vr. 7,11, but according to Hc. 3,182 *-māṇī*. In AMg. the ending throughout is *-māṇī*: *saṁnī*, *saṁlavamāṇī*, *āhāremāṇī*, *abhisiccamāṇī*, *uddhuvvamāṇīhiṁ* (Kappas.); *bhuñjamāṇī*, *āsāemāṇī*, *upadāmēmāṇī*, (Uvās.); *paccanubhava-māṇī*, *parihāyamāṇī*, *uddhuvvamāṇīhiṁ* (Ovav.); *visattamāṇī* (Thān. 312); *rojāmāṇī* (Vivāgas. 84; Vivāhap. 807); *sujāmāṇī* (Vivāhap. 116), *dehamāṇī* (Vivāhap. 794. 795); *vinīmmuyamāṇī* (Vivāhap. 822); *ejjamāṇī* (Nirayāv. 59); *duruhamāṇī* (Dasav. 620,33); *jāgaramāṇī* (Vivāhap. 116); *padijāgaramāṇī* (Kappas.; Uvās.); *dajjhāmāṇī*, *dijjamāṇī* (Uttar. 284. 362); *dhikkārijjamāṇī* (Kappas.; Uvās.); *dajjhāmāṇī*, *dijjamāṇī* (Uttar. 284. 362); *dhikkārijjamāṇī*, *thākkārijjamāṇī* (Nāyādh. 1175). Likewise in JM.: *saṁnī* (Kk. 260,29; Erz. 36,14; false °nā 53,5); *karemāṇī*, *pehamāṇī* (Av. 11,14; 17,10); *padicchamāṇī*, *jhāyamāṇī*, *palōemāṇī*, *kunamāṇī*, *khannamāṇī*, *nivada-māṇī*, *ruyāmāṇī* (Erz. 8,14; 11,19; 17,8; 23,13; 39,7; 43,19), *kuremāṇī* (Dvār. 503,30). From M. WEBER has in H.: *pasūamāṇī* (123), *bhanamāṇā* (145), *jampamāṇā* (198), *majjamāṇī* (246), *veamāṇī* (312), but *jampamāṇī* (389). The recension R has only at 198 °nā, otherwise throughout °nī, also at 145, where it reads *bhaṇamāṇī*, the recension of Bhuvanapāla (IS.16,1 ff.), throughout has °nī, °nī, as also mostly S and T. i is not merely a characteristic for R¹ and Bhuvanapāla, that is to say both Jaina recensions. On the basis of the usual agreement of M. with JM. AMg. for M. i, not a,

will be correct. In other texts in M. the examples are wanting. In Š. the femin. always ends in -ā: *nivattamānā*, *vattamānā*, °ñā (Vikr. 5,11; 35,11.12); *apadivajjamānā* (Vikr. 52,14); *ahibhūamānā*, *āuliamānā*, *ahinandiamānā* (Šak. 16,10; 17,12; 79,10); *bādhāmānā* (Vikr. 28,1); *aṇuniamānā* (Mṛcch. 23,23. 25); *siccāmānā* (Mālatim. 121,2).—Pē has *cintayamānī* (Hc. 4,310).

i. WEBER, Hāla² p. xxix; cf. on H. 123.

§ 564. The past passive participle, as in Skt., is formed with the suffixes -ta and -na. Deviating from Skt. -ta in certain cases is added directly to the roots, in which in Skt. it comes after the i-stem: *ohat̄ha* (ridiculed; Deśin. 1,153) = **apahasta* = *apahasita* (§ 155); *khuṭta* (torn; Deśin. 2,74; cf. § 568); *laṭṭha* (attracted towards another; lively; friendly; Deśin. 7,26) = **laṣṭa* = *laṣṭita*. In AMg. the word is *lāḍha* according to § 66.304 (Āyār. 2,3,1, 8; Sūyag. 401; Uttar. 76.453.454), explained by the commentators as *sādhu*, *sādhanuṣṭhāne taipara*, *sadanuṣṭhānatayā pradhāna*, etc. Cf. also Skt. *rāḍhā* M. *vuttha* (Pāiyal. 225; R. 11,88. 90), *uvvuttha* (G. 538), *pātitha* (H. R.); JM. *pavuttha* (Āv. 23,7; 25,7), *pātitha* (Erz.); M. *paduuttha* (R. 4,50), for which, according to C we should read *pariuttha* (Deśin. 6,13) or *parivuttha* (G. 540) from *vas* (to live) = **vasta* with secondary transition of a to u (§104. 303). Beside them is found the regular M. *usia* = *uṣita* (G. 484. 933) and from the present stem M. *vasia* (Pāiyal. 225; G. H.), *uvvasia*, *pavasia* (H.), Š. *uvavasida* (Mṛcch. 54,16).—M. *ṇiattha* = **nivasta* (Karp. 46,12) from *vas* (to dress), AMg. *paniyantha* = **pranivasta* (Ovav. [§ 38]). JM. *nijattiḥya* (Erz. 59,31) is *nivastrita*. Cf. § 337.—JM. *tūṭta* = *trūṭita* (Erz. 71,28), A. *tūṭtai* (Hc. 4,356).—AMg. *anālatta* = **anālapta* (Uvās. § 58); JM. *samlāta* (Erz.).—A. *tinta* = *timita* (Hc. 4,431,1).—M. *guttha* = **guphita* = *guphita* (H. 63; Karp. 69,8; 73,10)¹. *grah* adds, as in the infinitive (§ 574) and the absol. (§ 586), the ending to the -i-and not to the -i stem (Hc. 1,101); M. *gahia* (G. H. R.; Šak. 120,6) JM. *gahīya* (Erz.; Āv. 17,11; 18,4; Dvār. 500,20; 501,2; Kk.); AMg. *gahīya* (Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Nāyādh.); JŚ. Š. *gahida* (Pav. 389,1; Mṛcch. 3,23; 15,5; 50,2; 53,10; Šak. 33,14; 40,4; 96,9; Vikr. 19,16; 31,13; 80,15. 20); Mg. *gahida* (Mṛcch. 16,14. 17. 21; 133,7; 157,5) and *gihida* (Mṛcch. 112,10). The texts of the dramas very often have *gahida* and *gihida*, the forms, that are correct in verses only, as Mg. *gahida* (Mṛcch. 17,1; 170,15).

i. Differently WEBER on H. 63.

§ 565. Very frequently in all the dialects the past passive participle is formed from the present stem. Examples in order of sequence of the present stem are: *tavia* (Hc. 2,105) beside Š. *saṁtappida* (Mṛcch. 7,18; 8,16) according to the class 4 and the common *tatta* = *taptia*; AMg. *tasiya* beside *tattha* = *trasta* (Vivāhap. 1291); Š. *janida* = *jāṭa* (Lalitav. 561,3; Mṛcch. 28,8); M. *asahia* = *asodha* (G.); AMg. *jat̄tha* = *iṣṭa* (offered; Uttar. 753); A. *jinia* (§ 473); Š. *anubhavida* (Karp. 33,6) = *anubhūta*; M. *vāharia* = *vāhṛta* (Šak. 88,1); M. *osaria* = *avasṛta* (G. H. R.), *samosaria* (G. H.); AMg. JM. *samosarija* = *samavasṛta* (H.; Vivāgas. 151; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Āv. 31,22; cf. § 235); Mg. *ṇiśśalidaśśa* = *nihsṛtasya* (Lalitav. 566,15); Š. *sumarida*, Mg. *śumalida* = *smṛta*, M. *visaria*, *visaria*, JM. *vissariya*, JŚ. *visarida*, Š. *visumarida* = *vismṛta* (§ 478); Mg. *gāida* (Mṛcch. 117,4); Š. *ṇijjhāida* (Mṛcch. 93,15; Vikr. 52,11); JM. *acchiya* from r (Āv. 26,28; Erz. 33,30); M. *icchia* (H. R.), AMg. JM. *icchiya* (Uttar. 702; Vivāhap. 161.946; Ovav. § 54; Uvās.; Kappas.; Āv. 39,6; Kk. 274,26; Erz.); Š. *icchida* (Vikr. 20,19), AMg. JM. *padicchiya* (Ovav. § 54; Vivāhap. 161. 946; Āv. 39,6); Š. *padicchida* (Mṛcch. 77,25; 161,5; Šak. 79,9; Mālatim. 140,9; 250,5), both of them belong to *is*, not to *ips* (§ 328); *jigghia* = *ghrāta* (Deśin. 3,46); Š.

anuciitthida (Mrcch. 54,2; 63,25; Vikr. 80,15; Mälav. 45,14; 70,3; Mud. r. 266,3); M. *pucchia* (H.), JM. *pucchiya* (Erz.; Sagar. 2,8), Š. *pucchida* (Mrcch. 28,21; Mälav. 6,10), beside AMg. *puttha* (Uttar. 31. 113); Š. *nīhuvida* (Šak. 137,6); M. *naccia*, *panaccia* from *nrt* (H.); AMg. *padiyāikkhi ya* (Kappas.; Ovav. § 86) beside *paccakkhaa=pratyākhyāta* (Ovav. § 87); AMg. *buija* (Āyār. 1,8,1,20; 1,8,2,1; Uttar. 509)= **bruvita*, *ahabuija*= **yathā-bruvita* (Sūyag. 531) from the present stem *bruva-*(§494); *duhia=dugdha* (Desin. 1,7); A. *hania=hata* (Piṅgala 1,85. 146^a) beside *hatta* (§ 194); Š. *ācakkhida* (§ 499); M. AMg. JM. Š. *ādhatta* (Pāiyāl. 240; Hc. 2,138; G. H.; R. s. v. *rabh*; Thān. 511; Vivāhap. 34,433; Pannav. 540; Rāyāp. 78; Erz.; Dvār. 496,13; 498,14. 37; Sagara. 4,5; 7,11; T. 6,20; 7,3. 15; Āv. 12,24; 44,2; Mallikām. 223,12; 252,13); M. *samādhatta* (H.); M. JM. Š. *viḍhatta* (Hc. 4,258; G.R.; Erz.; Mrcch. 2,23; Anarghar. 275,7; 290,2), A. *viḍhattāū* (Hc. 4,422,4) from the stem *dadh-* from *dhā*= **dhatta* for *hita*, in case one does not like to drag it to the causative (§ 286). Cf. § 223. **dhatta* perhaps in AMg. sticks to *nidhatta* (v. l. *nihatta*; Thān. 496, explained by the commentator with *nikācīta* [sic], *niscita*; *jādha* (relinquished; Hc. 4,258), AMg. *vijādha* (Uttar. 1045. 1047. 1052. 1055. 1058. 1066. 1071. 1074. 1077. 1095; Jv. 236 f.), *vippajadha* (Āyār. 1,6,6,6; Nirāyāv. § 16; Vivāgas. 239; Nāyādh. 435. 442. 1167. 1444; Vivāhap. 454; Aṇuog. 50. 596 [text here **ddha*]) from a root *jah* for **jādha*, with a short vowel according to § 67, deduced from the present *jahai* (§ 500); AMg. also *vippajahiya* (Nāyādh. 1448); AMg. *tacchiya* (Uttar. 596); JM. *vitthariya=vistrī* (Erz.); Š. *viciṇīda=vicita* (Mālatīm. 297,5); A. *pāvia* (Hc. 4,387,1); A. *bhañjia* (Piṅgala 1,120^a); AMg. JM. *viuvviya* (Ovav.; Nāyādh.; Āv. 30,18) and *veuvviya* (Āyār. p.127,14; Dvār. 507,28) from *viuvvāi*(§508); cf. *vikurvita*; M. *jāṇīa* (Hc. 4,7), *jāṇīda* (Mrcch. 27,21; 28, 17. 24; 29,14; 82,15; 148,23; 166,9; Mudrār. 184,4; Viddhaś. 29,2), *anabhijāṇīda* (Mrcch. 153,2), *paccabhiāṇīda* (Uttarar. 61,7; 62,7); Mg. *yāṇīda* (Lalitav. 566,8); A. *jāṇīu* (Hc. 4,377; 423,1; Vikr. 55,1 [so to be read]). M. has *nāa* (R.), JM. *nāya* (Erz.; Kk.), Š. in compounds usual *nāda*=Skt. *jñāta*, as *abbhaṇṇāda* (Šak. 84,11; Vikr. 12,14; 29,13; 39,20; 46,3; 84,2; Mudrār. 46,8), *vinnāda* (Mrcch. 37,21; Šak. 73,5; 168,15; Vikr. 29,21; 80,4; Mälav. 46,16; 47,3), *avinnāda* (Mälav. 34,7), *padiṇṇāda* (Mälav. 13,9; 85,2); Š. *kīṇīda*, *vikkīṇīda* from *kri* (§511).—On *nia=nīta* and composita see § 81, on *khāa*, *dhāa* § 165, on *da* § 167, on *chūḍha* and composita § 166, on *uvvidha* § 126, on **vutta*, **vūḍha* and composita § 337, on the participle AMg. Mg. in -da § 219, on *usadha*, *nisaḍha*, *visadha*, *samosadha* § 67; on causative, desirative, intensive, denominative § 551-559. The feminine ends in -ā, only in A. sometimes also in -i, as *ruddhī*= *ruddhā*, *dīṭhī*= *dīṭīā* (Hc. 4,422,14; 431,1).

§ 566. The suffix -na is used not only in the same cases as in Skt., but has undergone a very great extension¹: *khanṇa* (cavity; Desin. 2,66), beside AMg. JM. *khatta* (Desin. 2,66; Vivāgas. 102; Erz.)², AMg. *ukkhatta* (Vivāgas. 214), M. *ukkhāa* (H.), *ukkanhaa* (G. R.), *samukkhaa* (H.); cf. Vr. 1,10; Hc. 1,67; JM. *khaya* (Erz.) and *khāṇiya* (Erz.), *ukkanja* (Erz.); Š. *ukkanānda* (Uttarar. 100,7; so to be read). — M. Š. *cukka* from **cukna* (Pāiyāl. 191; H. R.; Viddhaś. 63,1) from *cukkaī* (Hc. 4,177), Š. *cukkadi* (Viddhaś. 93,2), which is common in the new Indian languages³ and stands as *cukk* in Dhātupāṭha too⁴. — M. *chikka* (touched; Pāiyāl. 85; Hc. 2, 138; H. 481 [so to be read with R])= **chikna* from **chik*, a guttural side-form from **chip*, *chivai*⁵. — M. JM. AMg. *dakka* (bitten; Hc. 2,2; H. s. v. *daś*; Erz.; Pañhāv. 65,537; Thān. 431)= **dakna*, also in the sense of “siezed

with teeth" *Deśin.* 4,6). — *dīnna*, JM. AMg. also *dinna*, from **didna* with the old reduplication vowel *i*⁶, very frequent in all the dialects (Vr. 8,62; Hc. 1,46; 2,43; Pāiyāl. 184); M. (G.H.R.); JM. (KI. 11. 15; Āv. 17,20; 27,13; Erz.; Kk.; Rṣabhap.); AMg. (Uvās.; Kappas.; Ovay. etc.); JS. (Kattig. 402.363.364.366); S. (e.g. Mṛcch. 37,8;44,3;51,23; Śak. 59,7;159, 12; Vikr. 48,2; Ratn.291,1); Mg. (Mṛcch.113,20;117,7;126,7; Śak.113,8); A. (Vikr. 67,19; Hc. s. v. *dā*). Hc. 1,46 teaches also *datta*, that stands at PG. 7,48 as *datā* = *dattiā*, but elsewhere found only in proper nouns, as *dattajasa* (PG. 6,21), *divadaito* (Hc. 1,46), S. *somadatto* (Vikr. 7,2)⁷. — M. *budda*, *ābudda*, *nibudda* (H. 37; so to be read), *vinibudda* (G. 490) from *brud*, *vrud*, with the denominatives *buddā*, *āuddā*, *niuddā* (Hc.4,101; cf. Vr. 8,68). — S. *bhulla* for **bhulna* (Karp. 113,1) from which *bhullā*, occurring in the new Indian languages⁸ (Hc. 4,177). — M. *ummillā* (G. H. R.), *nimilla* (G. R.), *onimilla* (R.) = **mīlā* from *mīlā*. — *mukka* from **mukna* from *muc*, very frequent in the main dialects (Hc. 2,2); M. *mukka*, *ava*^o, *ā*^o, *ummukka*, *pa*^o, *padi*^o, *pari*^o (G. H. R.); JM. *mukka* (Āv. 23,21; Erz.; Rṣabhap.; Kk.), *āmukka* (Āv. 38,12), *pa*^o, *pari*^o, (Erz.), *vi*^o (Erz.; Rṣabhap.); AMg. *mukka* (Uttar. 706. 708; Uvās.; Kappas.), *ummukka* (Pañnav. 136; Uttar. 1037), *vinimukka* (Uttar. 755), *vippamukka* (Vivāhp. 186. 263. 455. 1351 [*a*^o]); Uttar. 1; Pañnav. 134. 483), *timukka* (Pañnav. 134. 136. 137. 848); S. *mukka* (Mṛcch. 71,9; 109,19; Vikr. 43,15; 47,2; Prab. 45,11; Bālar. 24,9; 195,9;202,16; 204,19 etc.), *tmukka* (Bālar. 246,13; Uttarar. 84,2), *vimukka* (Bālar. 170,14;203,14;210,2; Pias. 35,2; Venis. 62,7;63,11.12;65,8;66,9); Mg. *mukka* (Mṛcch. 29,19. 20; 31,23. 25; 32,5; 136,16;168,4; Pab. 50,14; 56,10); Dh. *mukka* (Mṛcch. 31,24;32,1); A. *mukkāhā* (Hc. 4,370,1). Hc. 2,12 mentions also *mutta*, that falsely occurs in S. *patutta* (Uttarar.20,12). *muktā* (pearl) always becomes *muttā* and *mauktika* always *mōttīa*⁹; cf. S. *mukka-mōttīa* (Bālar. 195,9). — *ragga* (Hc. 2,10) = **ragna* = Skt. *rakta*; therefore *ragga* (dress coloured in saffron; Pāiyāl. 261; Deśin.7,3); found in the text is only *ratī*: M. JM. S. (H.; Erz.; Mṛcch. 71,3; 73,12; Śak. 134,13; Mālav. 28,17; 45,11); M. *latta* (Mṛcch. 129,1; Nāgān. 67,6). — M. *rikka* = **rikṇa* from *ric* (Pāiyāl. 218; Deśin. 7,6) = "a little" (H.), *airikka* (H.), *pairikka*, *pavirikka* = *pravirikṇa* (G. H. R.); M. JM. *virikka* (G.; Āv. 47,21; Erz.), according to Deśin. 6,71 also in the meanings "wide", "exclusive"; *anarikka*, *avarikka* (deprived of favourable opportunity; Deśin.1,20); beside it M. *ritta* = *rikta* (Pāiyāl. 218; H.), *airitta* (R. 14,51; v. l. *rikka*). — M. *runna* (Vr. 8,62; Hc. 1,209; G. H. R.), *orunna*, *parunna* (R.), but S. *rudida* (Śak. 33,4; Ratn. 314,32; Uttarar. 20,12; Cāndak. 95,10; Vṛṣab. 50,5; Dhūrtas. 11,12). — M. JM. AMg. S. *lukka* (torn; separated by violence; with extracted hairs; extracted; concealed) = **lukna*, from *luñ*¹⁰ (Hc. 2,2; H. R.; Erz.; Kappas.; Viddhaś. 27,4); *ullukka* (Deśin. 1,92); M. S. *nilukka* (H. R.; Viddhaś. 51,7), JM. *nilukka* (Āv. 23,14) with the denominatives *lukkai*, *ullukkai*, *nilukkai* (Hc. 4,55. 116), JM. *nilukkantehim*, *nilukkanto*, (Āv. 23,17. 19). — M. *lhikkā* (concealed; abolished; Hc. 4,258; G.) beside **likka* = **ślikna* (§ 210), form which the denominatives *lhikkai*, *likkai* (Hc. 4,55). — M. *silkā* = **sikna* from *sic* (Karnas. 14,4) beside the usual *sitta* = *sikta*. — *sakka* = **svaśkna*¹¹ "in osakka (gone away; Pāiyāl. 178; Deśin. 1,149) beside M. *parisakkia* (H. 608). — AMg. *sölla* = *süd+na* = *südita*, *söllaja* (§ 244). — On *jūṇa* and composita see § 58, on *numaṇṇa* § 118, on *uvveḍla* § 107, on *hūṇa* and composita § 120. The femin. ends in -ā, in A. only sometimes in -i, as *dīnji* (Hc. 4,401,3).

1. On further extension of the suffix -na in Pkt. rightly S. GOLDSCHMIDT, Prākṛtica p. 8, note 2; JOHANSSON, Shāhbāzgarhi 1,185. Elsewhere, as already remarked by

JOHANSSON, all the etymologies of S. GOLDSCHMIDT, that come here into consideration, are false, likewise those of P. GOLDSCHMIDT, GN. 1874,520 ff. Cf. also FISCHER, BB. 6, 85 f.—2. Falsely equated as = *khātra* by JACOBI, Erz. s. v.; see § 90. — 3. FISCHER on Hc. 4,177. — 4. WEBER on *Hāla* 465. — 5. Not correct—WEBER, on *Hāla* 481. — 6. FISCHER, BB. 15,126. — 7. FISCHER on Hc. 1,46. — 8. FISCHER on Hc. 4,177. — 9. STENZLER on Mṛcch. 29,20; FISCHER on Hc. 2,2. Cf. § 61^a. — 10. False WEBER on *Hāla* 49.—11. WEBER on *Hāla* 608.

§ 567. *i* with *palā* is conjugated, as in Skt., according to the 1. class: M. *palāha* (R. 15,8; so to be read with C), *palāanta-* (G. H.), *palā-ivra* (R. 14,12; so to be read with the v.l.), *vivalāī*, *vivalānti*, *vivalānta-*, *vivalāmāṇa* (G. H. R.); JM. *palājai* (Āv. 19,22; Erz.), *palāyamāṇa* (Āv. 18,1; Erz.), *palājasu* (Erz. 9,37), *palāum* (Āv. 19,16); S. *palāidukāma* (Mallikām. 225,11); Mg. *palāśi* (Mṛcch. 9,23; 11,7; 132,3), imp. *palā mha* (Candak. 73,2), particip. pres. *palānti* (Mṛcch. 16,22), absolute *paalāia*, fut. *palāiśām* (Mṛcch. 120,13; 171,15). Contraction too is found according to the analogy of the roots in -ai and in -ā (§ 479. 487): Mg. *palāmi* (Mṛcch. 22,10), *palāsi* (Mṛcch. 11,21); Dh. *palāsi* (Mṛcch. 30,7); M. *vivalāī* (G. 934). Accordingly beside the usual M. *palāia* (H. R.), S. *palāida* (Vikr. 46,5), Mg. *palāida* (Mṛcch. 12,19) = Skt. *palāyita* is formed, from a contracted stem *palā*, also a present past passive participle M. *palā* = **palāta*, *vivalā* = **vi-palāta* (R.), JM. *palāja* (Āv. 23,15; 32,5; Erz.), to which belongs also *palā* (thief; Deśin. 6,8). Cf. § 129. 243. In JM. the participle has also the suffix -na: *palāna* (Erz.), for ā of which there appears ī in Dh. in *papalīṇu* = *prapalāyita* (Mṛcch. 29,15; 30,1), as in the pres. participle in -mīna, -īna (§ 562).

§ 568. Deviating from Skt., in Pkt. the past passive participle from a number of roots, is formed with -ta, which in Skt. have only -na: M. *khuḍia* (Hc. 1,53; G. H. R.), S. *khuḍida* (Mṛcch. 162,7; Anarghar. 157,9; Uttarar. 11,10 [so to be read]) = **kṣudita* = Skt. *kṣunna¹*; M. *ukkhuḍia* (H. R.); also *khutta* (broken into pieces; Deśin. 2,74), beside JM. *khunna*, M. *khunna* (Pāiyāl. 222; H. 445). To other roots belong *khunna* (wrapped round; Deśin. 2,75) and A. *khudida* (run away; Mṛcch. 100,12)². — *chaīa* (covered; Hc. 2,17; Triv. 1,4,22) = **chadita*, equated by grammarians as = *sthagita³*, beside the usual *chanṇa* = Skt. *channa*. — *viddā* (Hc. 1,107), JM. *viddāya* (Āv. 17,32) = **vidrāta* = Skt. *vidrāṇa*. — AMg. *amilāja* (Kappas. § 102) = **amlāta* = Skt. *amlāṇa*. — M. *lua* (Hc. 4,258; Deśin. 7,23; R.) = **lūta* = Skt. *lūṇa*.

1. FISCHER, BB. 15,125 f.—2. STENZLER on Mṛcch. 100,12 p. 288.—3. FISCHER, BB. 15,125.

§ 569. A parasmaipada past participle is found in AMg. *put̄havān* = *spr̄tavān* (Āyār. 1,7,8,8), but in the passive sense. Elsewhere only in later day writers and uncritical editions: S. *kidavanto* [sic], *sudavandepa* [sic], *bhuttavanteṇa*, *uttavanto* (Jivān. 40,26; 42,15; 53,11; 87,3); *bhaṇḍavanto*, *gadidavanto*, *calidavanto* (Cait. 38,13; 128,5; 130,18); *pēkkhīḍavanto* [text *pē-sī*], *āḍavanto*, *adivāhiḍavando* [sic], *apubhūḍavando* [sic] (Mallikām. 155,18; 209,1; 222,12); *sāmpāḍiḍavando* [sic], *pesiḍavanto* (Adhūtad. 58,10; 119,25); Mg. *gadidavanto* [sic], *giliavanie* (Cait. 150,5. 6); femin. S. *padicchidavadi* (Viddhaś. 43,6); *nīḍavādi* (Mallikām. 259,3).

§ 570. The particip. necessitatī *-tavya* is not seldom built from the present stem: *haseava*, *hasiava* = *hasitavya* (Hc. 3,157; Ki. 4,39); AMg. JM. *hojāvva* = *bhavitavya* (Kappas.; Erz.), S. Mg. *hodavva*, JS. S. also *bhavidavva*, Mg. also *huvidavva* (§ 475. 476); JM. *acchiyavva* (Dvār. 500,9; 501,8); S. *avagacchidavva* (Mṛcch. 66,3); AMg. *cittihīyavva* (Vivāhap. 163), S. *avagacchidavva* (Mṛcch. 66,3); AMg. *cittihīyavva* (Vivāhap. 163), S. *avucittihidavva* (Mudrār. 50,4); AMg. *pucchijavva* = *praṭītavya* (Sūyag. 986. 989. 992), *pucchejavva* (Kappas.), S. *pucchidavva* (Sāk. 50,5; Hāsy. 27,13); AMg.

vigīñicjavva from *vikṛt* (§ 485; Dasav. N. 646,3); M. *rūsiavva* (H.); AMg. *pāsiyavva* from *pas* (Panñav. 667; Kappas.); Š. *sāmtappidavva* (Mṛcch. 94,3), *naccidavva* (Priyad. 19,11,12; 26,6; 27,5; Karp. 4,1); AMg. *paritāveyavva* = *paritāpayitavya*, *uddavejyavva* = *uddrāvayitavya* (Āyār. 1,4,1,1), *damejyavva* = *damayitavya* (Uttar. 19); Š. *sumarāidavva* (Priyad. 14,7); Š. *āsidavva* (Priyad. 14,3); JM. *sojyavva* = *svaptiavva* (Āv. 39,16), Š. *suvidavva* = *suidavva* (Mṛcch. 90,20; Śak. 29,7); Š. *dādavva* (Cait. 84,6,13; Jivān. 43,12,15; Priyad. 10,23), *suqidavva* (Mudrār. 227,6) beside *sodavva* (Śak. 121,10), M. *soavva* (R. 2,10), JM. *sojyavva* (Āv. 33,19) from *śru*; AMg. *bhindiyavva* (Panhāv. 363,537); AMg. *bhuñijyavva* (Vivāhap. 163), beside *bhuñitavva* (Hc. 4,212; Ki. 4,78); AMg. *jāñiyavva* (Panñav. 666; Kappas.), *parijāñiyavva* (Āyār. 1,1,1,5,7); Š. *jāñidavva* (Priyad. 24,16); Mg. *yāñidavva* (Lalitav. 565,7); JS. *nādavva* (Kattig. 401,352; text °ja°); JS. *muñedavva* (Pav. 380,8; text °ja°); Š. *goñnidavva* (Mṛcch. 150,14; Vikr. 30,9), whilst *ghēttavva* (Vr. 8,16; Hc. 4,210), AMg. *parighēttavva* (Āyār. 1,4,1,1; 1,5,5,5,4; Sūyag. 647 f. 699,783,789), *oghe ttavva* (Kappas.) belong to **ghtp* (§ 212). According to Hc. 4,211 *vac* forms the particip. nec. *vōttum*, and so it stands in Š. in Vikr. 23,15. But since in Š. the infinitive is never *vōttum*, but always *vattum* (§ 574) we should read, with the ed. Bomb. 40,9 and the Drāvidian recension 630,14 ed. PISCHEL = 39,4 ed. PĀNDIT, *vattavva*, as stands also at Mṛcch. 153,15 and JM. AMg. have (Erz.; Sūyag. 994,996; Vivāhap. 139,204; Kappas.; Ovav.). *vōttavva* is to be assigned to M. — From *rud* is built *rōttavva* according to Vr. 8,55; Hc. 4,212. M. *riovva* is found in the text (H.). From *kṛ* are built the forms M. *kāvva* (Vr. 8,17; Hc. 4,214; H. R.), AMg. JM. *kāyavva* (Āyār. 2,1,10,7; Dasav. 630,11; Erz.), JS. Š. *kādavva* (Pav. 386,11 [text °ja°]); Lalitav. 554,6; Mṛcch. 166,4; 327,1; Vikr. 48,13; Prab. 11,7; Priyad. 11,10). Mg. *kādavva* (Mṛcch. 161,7) = *kartavya* (§ 62). From *muc* Hc. 4,212 teaches *mōttavva* = *moktavya*. — In A. the endings are -*ievvāñ*, -*evvāñ*-*evā*; *kariévvāñ* = *kartavyam*; *marievvāñ* = *martavyam*; *sahēvvāñ* = *sodhavyam*; *soevā* = *svaptiavayam*; *jaggevā* = *jāgartavyam* (Hc. 4,438; cf. Ki. 5,52). -*evva* is to be considered as the basic form, to which goes back -*evā*, from which the extended formation is -*evvāñ*, with the suffix -*ka*, nom. acc. neut. - *kam*. -*evva* is = Skt. -*eyya*, with transition of *ya* into *va* certainly visible elsewhere in A too (§ 254). The participial meaning occurs in Vedic *stuśeyya*, perhaps also *śapatheyya*; cf. *didikṣeya*. According to Ki. 5,55 -*evvāñ* is used also in the sense of the infinitive.

571. -*aniya* appears in M. JM. AMg. as -*anija*, in Š. Mg. as -*anīa*, corresponding to the formation of the passive (§ 535; cf. 91); AMg. *pūjanija* (Kappas.; Ovav.), Š. D. *pūlanīa* (Mṛcch. 28,7; 101,13); AMg. *vandanija* (Uvās.; Kappas.), Š. *vandanīa* (Mṛcch. 66,17); M. AMg. JM. *karanija* (H.; Āyār. 2,3,3,16; 2,4,2,5; Erz.), Š. *karanīa* (Vikr. 36,8; Nāgān. 4,15), false *karanijja* (Śak. 2,5; Vikr. 43,6), where the v. l. has the correct *karanīa* JM. *sārakkhanija* (Āv. 28,16,17) = *sañraksanīya*, Š. *rakkhaṇīa* (Śak. 74,8); AMg. *darisanijja* (Āyār. 2,4,2,2; Ovav.), *daṁsanijja* (Uvās.; Ovav.), Š. *daṁsaṇīa* (Śak. 132,6; Nāgān. 52,11). Yet stands in AMg. in Āyār. 2,4,2,2 *darisanijjam*, immediately beside *darisiṇīe* (sic; ed. Calc. correct °sa°) and in § 4 *darisanījam*, Sūyag. 565 *darisanīya* [sic], JM. *daṁsanīo* (Erz. 60,17), M. *dūsahanīo* (H. 363). On the other hand in Š. Mg. very often the forms in -*ijja*, as Mg. *palihalanijja* (Prab. 29,8), but ed. Bomb. 74,2; ed. P. p. 32 and ed. M. p. 37 rightly *palihalaṇīa*, as in Š. *pariharanīa* (Śak. 52,15). In Mālav. 32,5 all the manuscripts have Š. *sāhanīje*, at all other places in Mālav. the manuscripts waver (BOLLENSEN on Mālav. p. 223). Deviations from the rule, therefore, are simply the errors of manuscripts, as in the case of the passive, and are to be corrected. From the present stem

are built AMg. *vippajahanija* (Nāyādh. § 138) and Š. *pucchania* (Mṛcch. 142,6).

§ 572. -ya is employed essentially as in Skt.: *kajja*, Mg. *kayya* = *kārya* in all the dialects very frequently; JM. *dullaṅgha* = *durlaṅghya* (Sagara 3,16); *dujha* = *dohya* (Deśin. 1,7); JS. *neya*, JM. *neja* = *jñeya* (Pav. 381, 20; Erz.); AMg. *pējja* = *pēya* (Uvās.; Dasav. 629,1), *kāyapijja* = *kākapeya* (Dasav. 628,41; so to be read), whilst *pibba* (water; Deśin. 6,46; cf. Introduction p. 7; Triv. 2,1,30) = **pibya* is derived from the present stem *piba-*; AMg. *bhava* = *bhāuya* (Kappas. § 17.22); AMg. *āṇappa*, *vinnappa* = *ājñāpya*, *vijñāpya* (Sūyag. 253. 256); AMg. *vacca* = *vācyā* (Sūyag. 553 ff.); AMg. *vōjjha* from **vahya* = *vāhya* (§ 104; Nāyādh. § 65), therefore, from the present stem, as M. AMg. Š. *gejjha* (Hc. 1,78; Karp. 29,4; 81,4; Jiv. 500; Bālar. 75,19), M. *hatthaggejjha* = *hastagrāhya* (R. 10,43), M. *dugghejjha* (R. 1,3; Sāhityadarpaṇa 332,13 = Kāvyaprakāśa 330,8 [so according to the best manuscripts instead of *dukkhamjam* of the edition] and often cited; Sarasvatik. 155,3 [text °*jjam*]; Acyutaś. 62 [text °*gga*°]), Š. *anugējjha* (Mṛcch. 24,21), Mg. *duggejha* (Candak. 42,8; text *duggejja*, v. l. *duggea*), A. *duggējha* (Erz. 76,19) = **grhya* from the present stem *gr̥ha-* (§ 512).

b) INFINITIVE.

§ 573. The formation of the inf. in -*tum* is distinguished from that of Skt. insomuch as that in Pkt. the ending is added to the pure stem or to the present stem in *i*. So to the present stem: JM. *gāiūm* (Erz.), Š. *gāidūm* = *gātum* (Mudrār. 43,2); Š. *gacchidūm* (Šak. 62,11), *anugacchidūm* (Mudrār. 261,2) beside *gamiidūm* (Vṛṣabha. 19,11) and *gantum*, that is usual in all the dialects; JM. *pivium* (Āv. 42,8) beside *pāūm* (Āv. 42,8; 45,6), as also AMg. (Āyār. 1,1,3,7), M. (H. R.) and Š. *pādūm* (Šak. 105, 14) have; Š. *anucītihidūm* (Mṛcch. 102,19) beside *thādūm* (Nāgān. 14,9) and JM. *uttihūm* (Āv. 33,14); Mg. *khādūm* (Mṛcch. 123,7) from **khādi* from **khāadi* = *khādati*, beside JM. *khāiūm* (Erz.), Š. *khādidūm* (Vikr. 25,19); JM. *nīhanūm* = *nīkhātum* from *khan* (Erz. 66,2); *huseum* (Hc. 3,157) from the *e*-stem beside *hasium*; M. *pucchium* (Sarasvatik. 14,17), Š. *pucchidūm* (Mṛcch. 88,20; Mālav. 5,4. 17), Mg. *puścidūm* (Candak. 42,9) = *prāśtum*; M. *padimūciūm* (R. 14,2) beside *mōttum* = *moktum* (Hc. 4,212); M. *naccium* (H.); M. *rūseum* (H.), at the same time according to the *e*-conjugation. On the inf. of *bhū* see § 401. 402. The verbs of the 10. class and the similarly built causatives and denominatives generally add -*tum* to the present stem in -*e*, -*ve*: M. *jāṇāveum*, *nīvāheum* = *nīrvāhayitum*, *pasāeum* = *prasādayitum*, *laṅghēum* = *laṅghayitum* (H.); AMg. *vāreum* = *vārayitum* (Sūyag. 178); *parikaheum* = *parikathayitum* (Ovav. § 183); *paribhāeum* = *paribhājayitum* (Nāyādh. § 124); JS. *cāledūm* = *cālayitum* (Kattig. 400,322); Š. *kāmedūm* = *kāmayitum* (Mālatīm. 235,3), *kāredūm* (Mudrār. 46,9), *dhāredūm* (Mṛcch. 166,14; 326,12), *darśedūm* = *darśayitum* (Mudrār. 81, 4); Mg. *aṅgikalāvedūm*, *śośāvedūm*, *sodhāvedūm*, *pōśtāvedūm*, *lunāvedūm* (Mṛcch. 126,10; 140,9). More seldom is the uncontracted form: Š. *niattāidūm* = *nīvartayitum* (Vikr. 46,17), *tādāidūm* (Mālav. 44,16), *sabhājādūm* (Šak. 98,8), *sussūsāidūm* (Mālav. 29,12); Mg. *mālaidūm* (Mṛcch. 164,19). On the other hand, from the *a*-stem (§ 491) are frequently derived: M. *dhāriūm* (H.), Š. *dhāridūm* (Vikr. 15,3; 40,7); Š. *māridūm* (Mṛcch. 160,14; Šak. 146,8), Mg. *mālidūm* (Mṛcch. 170,2) beside *māledūm* (Mṛcch. 158,24), JM. *māreum* (Erz. 1,25); M. *vāṇiūm* = *varnayitum*, *veāriūm* = *vitārayitum* (H.); AMg. *sāmvediūm* (Āyār. p. 137,18); JM. *cintiūm*, *paḍibohiūm*, *vāhiūm* (Erz.); Š. *kadhidūm* (Šak. 101,

9; 144,12), *avatthāvidum* = *avasthāpayitum* (Uttarar. 112,9), *nivedidum* (Sak. 51,3); Mg. *paśtidum* = *prārthayitum* (Lalitav. 566,8).

§ 574. Examples of the 2. conjugation are : *paccāakkhidum* = **pratyācaṣṭum* (Sak. 104,8); S. *avacineḍum* (Lalitav. 561,8) beside M. *ucceum* (H.); JM. *pāveum* = *prāptum* (Erz.); S. *sunidum* (Vikr. 26,5; Mudrār. 38,2; Venis. 99,6; Anarghar. 61,6; 110,4), beside M. AMg. JM. *souṁ* (H.; Āyār. p. 136,14; Erz. as absol. § 576); S. *bhuñjidum* (Dhūrtas. 6,21) beside M. AMg. *bhōttum* = *bhoktum* (Vr. 8,55; Hc. 4,212; cf. Ki. 4,78; Nāyādh. § 124; Dasav. N. 649,16); AMg. *ubbhindum* (Dasav. 620,15) beside *bheṭtum* (Dasav. 634,9); S. *jāṇidum* (Lalitav. 567,18; Sak. 119,2; Ratn. 309,22) beside JM. *nāūn* (Erz.; as absol. § 576), S. *vīṇḍadum* (Vikr. 24,13); AMg. *gīnhium* (Nirayāv. § 20; as absol. § 576), JM. *geṇhium* (Erz.), S. *geṇhidum* (Mṛcch. 94,12) beside M. *gahium* (H.), whilst M. *gheṭtum* (Vr. 8,16; Hc. 4,210; R.) belongs to **ghṛp* (§ 212); S. *anubandhidum* (Mālav. 6,18) beside M. *bandheun* (citation under Hc. I,181).—From *rud* the inf. is M. *rōtum* (Vr. 8,55; Hc. 4,212; cf. Ki. 4,78; H.), but S. *rodiḍum* (Sak. 80,8); from *vid* according to Vr. 8,55 *vēttum*; from *vac* in M. JM. AMg. *vōttum* (Hc. 4, 211; H.; Erz.; Dasav. N. 646,21), but in S. *vattum* (Sak. 22,2; 50,9; Vikr. 30, 2,47,1); *svap* forms in M. *sōttum* = *svāptum* (H.), in JM. *souṁ* (Dvār. 501,7) from **sotum* of *sovaī* (§ 497); *kr* has in M. JM. AMg. *kāur̄h* = *kartum* (§ 62; Vr. 8,17; Hc. 4,214; G. H. R.; Erz.; Āv. 30,10; Dasav. N. 644,28), M. *paḍikāūn* (H.); S. *kādum* (Lalitav. 561,13; Mṛcch. 59,25; Sak. 24,12; Vikr. 29,14; Karp. 41,6; Venis. 12,6) and *karidum* (Sak. 144,12); Mg. *kādum* (Mṛcch. 123,7).

§ 575. Deviating from Skt., from the i-stem are formed : M. JM. *mariūm* = *martum* (H.; Erz.), S. *maridum* (Ratn. 316,5; 317,15; Candak. 93,9); JM. *pariharium* (Erz. 58,24), S. *viharidum* (Vikr. 52,6), beside M. *vāhattum* = *vyaḥartum* (R. 11,116); JM. *samākarisūm* = *samākraṣṭum* (Dvār. 498,31); M. *ukkhivium* = *uikṣeptum* (H.), S. *khividum* (Vikr. 25,16), *nikkhividum* (Mṛcch. 24,22); M. JM. *dahiūn* (R.; Erz.), S. *dahidum* (Sak. 72,12) = *dagdhum*; JM. *sañdhium* = *sañdhātum* from the present **sañdhai* (§ 500), S. *anusañdhidum* (Mṛcch. 5,4); S. *ramidum* = *rantuṁ*, *ahiramidum* = *abhirantuṁ* (Mṛcch. 28,4; 75,2).

§ 576. In AMg. the form in *-tum* is considerably seldom. In addition to the forms referred to in the foregoing paragraphs may be mentioned: *jīviūn* (Āyār. 1,1,7,1); *adaṭṭhūn*, *agghāūn*, *anūsāūn* (Āyār. p. 136, 22, 31; p. 137,7); *anuśāsiūn* (Sūyag. 59); *dāūn* = *dātum* (Āyār. 2,1,10,6; 2,5,1,10; Uvās. § 58; Nāyādh. § 124); *anupadāūn* = *anupradātum* (Uvās. § 58) = JS. *dādum* (Kattig. 403,380; text *dāūn*); *bhāsiūn* = *bhāṣitum*, *paviūn* = *plavitum* (Sūyag. 476, 531, 508). Most of these inf. stand in verses. More frequently the form is used as absolutive : *ujjhīūn* in the sense of *ujjhītvā* (Sūyag. 676); *tariūn* (Sūyag. 950); *gantum* (Sūyag. 178; Āyār. 2,4,2,11,12; Kappas. S. § 10); *dāṭṭhūn* = *draṣṭum* (Āyār. 1,4,4,3; Sūyag. 150); *niddēṭṭhūn* = *nirdeṣtum* (Dasav. N. 643,38); *laddhūn* = *labdhum* (Āyār. 1,2,4,4; 1,2,5,3; p. 15,32; Sūyag. 289,550; Uttar. 157, 158, 169, 170; Dasav. 631,26; 636, 20); *bhītum* = *bheṭtum* (Kappas. § 40); *kāūn* = *kartum* (Sūyag. 84; Dasav. N. 643,34), *puraokāūn* (Nandīs. 146; Kappas. S. § 46, 48; Ovāv. § 25,126); *āhantum* (Āyār. 1,8,3,4); *parigheṭtum* (Paphāv. 489, 495), *gaheūn* (Sūyag. 296). Prevalently is used the form in this meaning too in verses, but it is not restricted to AMg., but is used frequently in JM. too, more seldom in M. as absol.¹. Hc. teaches thus *dāṭṭhūn*, *mōtum* (2,146), *ramiūn* (3,136), *gheṭtum* (4,210). Examples from JM. are: *gantum* (Āv. 7,31; Erz. 5,22;

Kk. II, 508,18); *daṭṭhum* (Āv. 24,4; Kk. III, 510,31. 38); *jiniūm* = *jetum* (Āv. 36,42); *kahium* = *kathayitum* (Erz. 7,11); *kaddheum* (Erz. 74,30); *ṭhaviuṁ* = *sthāpayitum* (Erz. 7,5); *viheum* = *vidhātum* (Kk. s. v.); *souṁ* = *śrotum* (Erz. 2,9; 11,34; 12,5; Kk. s. v.); *kāuṁ* (Āv. 7,17); *nāuṁ* = *jñātum* (Erz. 12,11); *ghuṭtum* = **ghṛyptum* (Āv. 22,29; 23,7; 31,7). M. has: *palivium* = *pradīpayitum*; *bhaṇium*; *bharium*, *mōttuṁ*; *valium*; *lahiuṁ*; *pāvium* (H. 33. 298. 307. 334. 360. 364. 484. 490. 516. 595); *jāniūm* = *jñātum* (R. 14, 48). The form can be derived from the absol. in -ūna (§ 586), therefore, *kāuṁ* can be traced back to *kāuna*, with dropping off of the *a*, as in A. *putterē* = *putreṇa*. The similar change of meaning in A. (§ 579), however, makes it probable that in fact dialectically the inf. has been used as the absolute, as reversely the absol. too is employed as the infinitive (§ 585. 588. 590).

i. WEBER, Bhag. I,433; H.¹ p. 66.

§ 577. As in Skt., in Pkt. too, there stands the inf. in -tu before *kāma* and *manas*: AMg. *akkhiukāma* = *ākṣeptukāma*, *gīṇhiukāma* = *grahitukāma*, *uddāleukāma* = *uddālayitukāma* (Nirāyāv. § 19), *jiviukāma* (Āyār. 1,2, 3,3), *vāsukāma* = *varsitukāma* (Thāp. 155), *pāukāma* (from *pā* "to drink"; Nāyādh. 1430), *jāniukāma*, *pāsiukāma* (Pannav. 666. 667), *sāmpāviukāma* (Kappas. § 16; Ovav. § 20; Dasav. 634,39); JM. *padibohiukāma* = *pratibodhayitukāma* (Erz. 3,37), *kaḍḍhiukāma* (Dvār. 506,36); Š. *jīvidukāma* (Mudrār. 233,3), *vattukāma*, *ālihidukāma* (Śak. 130,11; 133,11), *viṇṇavidukāma* (Mahāv. 103,9), *sikkhidukāma* (Mṛcch. 51,24), *pamajjidukāma* = *pramāṛṣṭukāma* (Vikr. 38,18), *daṭṭhukāma* (Mālatīm. 72,2; 85,3); *tādiūmaṇā* = *tādayitumanā* (Karp. 70,7). It is used independently too with the entry of the suffix -ka: *ālēddhuān* = **ālegdhukam* = *āledhum* (§ 303; Hc. 1,24; 2,164); AMg. *aladdhu-yām* = **alabdhukam*, in the sense of the absol. (Dasav. 636,19). This last form makes it apparent that the forms in -tu, -ittu, used as the absol. too in AMg. JM., are originally infinitive, therefore, do not go back to Skt. -tvā, which regularly appears as -tā (§ 582). So AMg.: *kaṭtu* = *kartu*° in the sense of *krtvā* (Hc. 2,146; Āyār. 1,6,3,2; 2,1,3,2. 11,1; 2,2,2,3; 2,3,1,9. 2,21. 3,15. 16; Sūyag. 288. 358; Bhag.¹; Uvās.; Kappas.; Ovav.; Dasav. 631,29; 641,37 etc.); *puraokaṭtu* (Ovav.); *avahaṭtu* = *apahartu*° (Āyār. 2,6, 2,1; Sūyag. 233; Ovav.; Bhag.); *abhihaṭtu* (Āyār. 2,6,2,2), *āhaṭtu* (Āyār. 1,2,4,3; 1,7,2,1. 2. 3; 1,7,7,2; 1,8,2,12; 2,1,1,11; 2,1,2,4; 2,1,5,5. 6,4), *samāhaṭtu* (Sūyag. 410), *appāhaṭtu* (Sūyag. 582), *nihaṭtu* (Āyār. 2,1,10,6; 2,6,2,2), *uddhaṭtu* (Āyār. 2,3,1,6; Sūyag. 222. 243), *sāhaṭtu* = *samhartu*° (Āyār. 2,3,1,6; Vivāhap. 237. 254; Vivāgas. 90. 121. 144. 157; Uvās.; Kappas.; Ovav.; Nirāyāv. etc.); *adaṭṭhu* = *adraṣṭu*° (Kappas. S. § 19; so to be read); *sāndittu* (Kappas.); *caṭtu* = *tyaktu*° (Uttar. 45. 411); *sahētu* (Dasav. 614,27); *pavisittu* = *praveṣṭu*° (Dasav. 631,5); *āittu* from *ādriyate* (Āyār. 1,4,1,3; commentary = *ādāya*, *grhitvā*); *tarittu* = *tarītu*°, **khavitu* = *ksapayitū*° (Dasav. 636,3,4); *pamajjitu* = *pramāṛṣṭu*° (Dasav. 630,20); *viṇṇātu* (Āyār. 1,5,6,2); *uvasāmkamittu* (Āyār. 1,7,2,1. 3; 1,7,8,3); *viyāhittu* from *vijahā* from *hā* (§ 500; Āyār. 1,1,3,2); *suṇittu* = *śrotu*° (Dasav. 642, 16); *durūhittu* (Sūyag. 293); *chindittu*, *bhuṇjittu* (Dasav. 640,21; 641,36); *jāniṭṭu* (Āyār. 1,2,1,5; 1,2,4,2; 1,4,1,3; 1,5,2,2; 1,6,2,1; Dasav. 630,34). — JM. *gantu* (Kk. II, 506,34); *kaddhitu* (Erz. 10,38); *panamittu*, *thavittu* = *sthāpayitū*°, *vandittu* (Kk. 260,11; 268,4; 276,7); *uttarittu* (Kk. 506,25; 511,7); *jāniṭṭu*, *payādittu* = *prakatajītu*°, *thunittu* = *stotu*° (Kk. III, 514,16. 17. 20), *viṇṇhittu* = *vinidhātū*° (Erz. 72,23), almost exclusively in verses. The duplication of *t*, since in the inf. it goes back to AMg. -ttae = -tave (§ 478), can hardly be explained from dependence upon the absol. in -tā

= *-tvā*. Earlier the forms, in which reduplication must enter on phonetic grounds, such as *kaṭu*, *sāhaṭu*, had been of influence or there occurred a shifting of the accent. Cf. § 578.

i. WEBER, Bhag. 1,433 f.

§ 578. In AMg. the most usual form of the inf. is that in *-itae*, *-ittae*. Infinitive like *pāyae* (Āyār. 2,1,1,2; 2,1,9,1. 2; 2,1,10,7; 2,2,2,2; 2,6,1,10; 2,7,2,4. 5, 6; Nāyādh. § 144; Ovav. § 96) = Vedic *pātave* beside *pivittae* (Ovav. § 80. 98), *bho'itae* (Āyār.; Nāyādh. 1. c.; Ovav. § 96; Sūyag. 430) = Vedic **bho'ktave* beside *bhuñjittae* (Ovav. § 86), *vatthae* (Āyār. 2,2, 2,10; Kappas. S. § 62) = Vedic *vāstave* [in AMg., however, from *vas* "to live"] make it certain that one has not to think with WEBER¹ about the Vedic absolute in *-tvāya*, but rather with E. MÜLLER² about the Vedic inf. in *-tave* occurring in Pāli and in the Lena-dialect, in which the ending enters also into the *i*- and *ī*-stems : *āvitave*, *cāritave*, *srāvitave*, *hāvitave*³. The duplication of *t* points to the accent on the final (§ 194). Consequently one may more correctly base the infinitive on *-tava*, which in the Veda has a double accent. AMg. *ittae* (Kappas. S. § 27) is hence to be equated as = Vedic *e'tavai*, likewise *pāyave* = *pātavai*; with *gamittae* is compared Vedic *yāmitawai*, with *pīnidhittae* (Ovav. § 79) Vedic *dātavai*. This inf. is prevalently formed from the present stem: *hōttae* (Kappas. S. § 53) beside *pāubbhavittae* (Vivāhap.; Nāyādh.) from *bhū*; *viharittae* (Bhag.; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Kappas. etc.); *sumarittae*, *sarittae* (Āyār. p. 135, 17. 20); *tarittae* (Āyār. 1,2,3,6); *uttarittae* (Nāyādh. 1339; Ovav. § 96); *pariccaittae* (Uvās. § 95); *gacchittae* (Ovav. § 79), *āgacchittae* (Thān. 155), *uvāgacchittae* (Kappas.), beside *gamittae* (Āyār. 1,2,3,6; Bhag.); *cittittae* (Vivāhap. 513; Kappas.); beside *thāittae* (Āyār. 2,8,1ff.; Kappas.); *nisiittae* from *sad* with *ni* (Vivāhap. 513); *anulimpittae* (Ovav. § 79); *pucchittae* (Bhag.; Nāyādh.); *pāsittae* (Nāyādh.); *kahaūttae* (Āyār. p. 135, 6); *dūjjittae* from *dūtaya* (Kappas.; Thān. 365); *pariṭṭhāvittae* (Kappas.); *abhisiñcāvittae* (Nirāyāv.); *pūraittae* (Āyār. 1,3,2,2); *āghavittae* from *ākhyāpaya* (Nāyādh.); *dhārittae* (Āyār. 1,7,7,1; 2,5,2,5); *dhāreittae* (Āyār. 2,5,2,3); *esittae* (Āyār. 2,2,3,14. 18); *āsaittae*, *sāittae* from *śi* (Vivāhap. 513); *paḍisupēttae* (Āyār. 2,5,1,10), *dhunittae* (Sūyag. 139); *bhañjittae* (Uvās.); *bhindittae* (Vivāhap. 1228); *viuvittae* from *ki* with *vi* (Bhag.), beside *kariittae*, *kareittae* (Ovav. § 79. 85; Nāyādh.; Bhag.; Kappas.); *gīñhittae*, *ge*^o (Bhag.; Nirāyāv.; Ovav. § 86); *jāgarittae* (Kappas.).

i. Bhag. 1,434; *bavvāittae* is a false reading. — 2. Beiträge p. 61. — 3. DELBRÜCK, Altind. Verbum § 203.

§ 579. In A., according to Hc. 4,441, the inf. has the endings *-ana*, *-anahā*, *-anahī*, *-evā*. Ki. 5,55 teaches *-evi*, *-eppi*, *-eppīnu*, *-anam*, *-ām*, *-vvām*. Cf. § 570. 588. The infinitive in *-ana* are clearly infinitively used nouns in *-ana*, from which *-anahā*, according to the form is the genitive plural, and *-anahī* the loc. sing. plur. or the ins. plur. So: *e'cchana* = *epum* from *is* (to wish; Hc. 4,353); *karana* = *kartum* (Hc. 4,441,1); also with the suffix *-ka* in *akkhanaū* = *ākhyātum*, properly *-ākhyānakam* (Hc. 4,350,1); *bhuñjanahā* and *bhuñjanahī* (Hc. 4,441,1); *lahanam* (Ki. 5,55). — *evam* is found in *devam* = *dātum* (Hc. 4,441,1). It is clearly derived from the present stem *de-* = *daya-* (§ 474) with the ending *-vam*, which goes back to *-vana* = Vedic *-vane*, so that *devam* may correspond to Vedic *dāvne*. Someday when more examples will be available we may become certain about this form. An inf. in *-tu* is *bhajju* (Hc. 4,395,5), which is derived from the present stem of *bhañj* in active meaning, as also elsewhere in A. (§ 550), in case *bhamjiu* = *bhañjiu* is not read with one of the Pūṇā manuscripts. The inf. stands in the sense of the absol. (Hc. 4,439),

as reversely many forms of the absol. are used in the sense of the inf. (§ 588). Kī. 5,55 has *lahatūm* [text *lahatum*].

§ 580. Pkt. has a peculiar infinitive of the passive¹: M. *dīśum* from *diśai* = *dṛśyate* (R. 4,51; 8,30), *ghēppium* from *ghēppai* = **ghṛpyate* (R. 7, 71), *āhammiūm* from *hammai* from *han* (§ 540; R. 12,45); JM. *dījium* from *dījai* = *diyate* (Erz. 6,7). Besides there stands AMg. *marijjum* from *mriyat* (Dasav. 624,40) beside the usual *marium*, Š. *maridum* (§ 575). On A. *bhajiu* see § 579.

i. S. GOLDSCHMIDT, ZDMG. 28,491 ff.

ABSOLUTIVE.

§ 581. The distinction, that Skt. makes in the use of the absolutiva in *-tvā*, and *-ya*, is not maintained in Pkt. The suffixes enter likewise into the verbs with and without prefixes. *-tvā* is, however, not used in M., and in Š. Mg. Dh. it is limited to the two absolutives *kadua* from *kṛ* and *gadua* from *gam*, which according to Vr. 12,10; Kī. 5,74. 75; Mk. fol. 68 must always be used in Š., with which the texts are in accord¹. So Š. *kadua* (Mṛcch. 72,6; 74,6. 9; 77,25; 78,9; 95,8; Šak. 20,6; 33,3; 54,2; 77,13; 140,6; Vikr. 15,8; 44,10; 45,20; 52,11. 21; 84,2 etc.); Š. *gadua* (Mṛcch. 2,17; 51,4; 53,15; 74,24 etc.; Šak. 23,7; Vikr. 16,18; 30,3). Hc. 4,272 and Sr. fol. 61 permit in Š. *karia* and *karidūna*, *gacchia* and *gacchidūna* from which also *karia* and *gacchia* are found in bad texts², *karidūna*, which will belong to JŚ. (§ 21). It is doubtful whether *gacchia* and *karia* are not emended in composita: *āacchia* (Ratn. 308,30); *āgacchia* (Venīs. 35,21); *samāgacchia* (Mudrār. 44,5); *alāmkaria* (Mṛcch. 150,13). However, we find also *āadua* (Cait. 128,13; Mallikām. 225,1); *āgaadua* (Mallikām. 153,24; 177,21); *niggadua* (Mallikām. 215,5; 226,10; 229,15. 20), all admittedly in later bad texts. The two forms are peculiar to Mg. too. So *kadua* (Mṛcch. 19,6; 81,13; 108,17; 115,2 etc.; Šak. 133,7; Mudrār. 193,8 etc.); Mg. *gadua* (Mṛcch. 40,10 [so to be read with GODABOLE]; 43,12; 118,22; 136,21; 164,10; Šak. 116,9 etc.), likewise in Dh. (Mṛcch. 36,22). At Mṛcch. 132,25 stands in Mg. *gacchia*, for which we should in any case read *gacchia* with the v. l.; at 127,5 all the MSS. have Mg. *gadua*, which is to be equated as = *gatya*. All the MSS. of Hc. too have cerebralisation in 4,272. 302, according to which *kadua*, *gadua* would be established. Since, however, Triv. and Sr. teach *kadua* and *gadua* it is a pure case of error of writing. The forms are traced back to **kdduvā*, **gdduvā* with a separation vowel and weakening of the final *ā* (§ 113. 139). On *kāūna*, *āacchiūna*, *āgātūna* and others see § 584.

i. PISCHEL, KB. 6,140. — 2. PISCHEL, l. c. — At Mālav. at 67,15 E rightly has *gadua*.

§ 582. The suffix *-tvā*, Pkt. *-tā*, after nasals *-tā*, forms the most usual absol. in AMg.; in JŚ. too this form is frequent and in JM. not seldom¹. Generally the ending enters into the present stem: all that has been remarked under the infinitive is valid in sporadic cases. So: AMg. *vandittā* (Hc. 2,146; Ovav. § 20; Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Bhag. etc.); *vasittā* (Āyār. 1,4,4,2); *cāittā* = **tyajitvā* (Āyār. 1,4,4,1; 1,6,2,1; Ovav. § 23; Uttar. 450. 517. 541); *avukkamittā* (Āyār. 2,1,1,2); *gantā* = Pāli *gantvā* (Ovav. § 153) beside *āgameittā* (Āyār. 1,5,1,1; 1,7,2,3), *anugacchittā* (Kappas.), *uvāgacchittā* (Vivāhap. 236²; Ovav.; Kappas.; Nirāyāv.), *niggacchittā*, *padiniggacchittā* (Nirāyāv.); *vantā* = *vāntvā* (Āyār. 1,3,1,4; 1,6,5,5; 2,4,2,19; Sūyag. 321); *bhavittā* (Vivāhap. 844; Ovav.; Kappas.; Uvās. etc.); *jinitā* (Sūyag. 929); *uvaneittā* = **upanitvā* (Suyag. 896); *pivittā* (Āyār. 2,1,3,1); *uṭhittā* (Nirāyāv.), *abbhutittā* (Kappas.);

pāśittā (Rāyap. 21; Sūyag. 734; Ovav. § 54, p. 59, 15; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Nirayāv.; Kappas.); *nijjhāittā* = **nidhyātvā* (Āyār. 1, 1, 6, 2); *mujittā* (Vivāhap. 508), *omuyittā* (Kappas.) from *muc*; *āpuccittā* (Uvās.), *anāpuccittā* (Kappas.) from *pracch*; *lumpittā*, *vilumpittā* (Āyār. 1, 2, 1, 3; 1, 2, 5, 6; cf. Sūyag. 676. 716 ff.); *āpulimpittā* (Jiv. 610); *mantā* = *matvā* (Āyār. 1, 1, 5, 1; 1, 3, 1, 3; Sūyag. 403. 493 [so to be read throughout]); *uttāsittā* = **uttrāsayitvā* (Āyār. 1, 2, 1, 3); *vicchaddaittā*, *vigovāittā*, *janaittā* (Ovav.); *āmanteitā* (Sūyag. 578); *āphālittā* = **āspālāyitvā* (Sūyag. 728); *pagappaetā* = **prakalpayitvā* (Sūyag. 935); *thaveitā* = *sihāpayitvā* (Āyār. 2, 7, 1, 5; p. 129, 16; Uvās.); *sikkhāveitā*, *sehāveitā* = **sikṣāpayitvā*, **saikṣā*; *saddāvittā* = *sabdāpayitvā* (Kappas.; Nirayāv.), *anupālittā*, *nivesittā* (Kappas.); *ahittā* = **adhītvā* = *ādhitya* (Sūyag. 463); *vidittā* (Āyār. 1, 1, 5, 1; 1, 2, 6, 2); *sam̄thunittā* from *stu* (Jiv. 612); *hantā* (Āyār. 1, 2, 1, 3, 5, 6; Sūyag. 358. 676. 716 ff.; Kappas.); *parihittā* (Sūyag. 239), *paripihettā* (Āyār. 2, 2, 3, 27), *hittā* (Kappas.), *padipihittā* (Sūyag. 728; text °pe°) from *dhā* with *pari*, and *pari*, *prati* + *pi*; *jahittā* (Uttar. 753); *vippajahittā* (Āyār. p. 125, 1; Uttar. 881) from *hā*; *huṇittā* (Vivāhap. 910) from *hu* (to offer); *pānuṇitā* from *āp* with *pra* (Sūyag. 771; Vivāhap. 135. 235. 968. 969; Panṇav. 846; Nāyādh. 1225; Ovav.; Kappas.; Uvās. etc.); *sunittā* (Uvās.), *padisunittā* (Kappas.; Nirayāv.); *avidhūṇitā* (Sūyag. 859); *cheitā*, *bhetā* (Āyār. 1, 2, 1, 3; 1, 2, 5, 6; Sūyag. 676. 716 ff.); *viuvvittā* (Bhag.; Kappas.) beside *karōttā*, *kariittā* (Āyār. 2, 15, 5; Ovav.; Kappas.; Nirayāv.); *jāṇittā* (Āyār. 1, 3, 1, 1. 3, 1, 4, 2; 1, 6, 5, 2; Dasav. 630, 40), *apariyāṇittā* (Thān. 42), *viyāṇittā* (Dasav. N. 653, 14; Ovav.; Kappas.) from *jñā*; *kiṇittā* (Sūyag. 609) from *kri*; *abhibhūtā* (Āyār. 2, 15, 24), *ogiphittā* (Ovav.), *pagiphittā* (Nāyādh.) from *grah*. Examples from JM. are: *gantā* (Āv. 42, 7); *cadiittā* (Āv. 29, 1); *karisittā* = *kr̄stvā* (Āv. 28, 2); *laṅghittā* (Erz.); *vandittā* (Kk.; Erz.); *melittā* (Kk.); *uṭṭheitā* (Āv. 10, 41); *nhāttā* (Āv. 38, 2); *ussārittā*, *ulleitā* = *ārādrayitvā*, *thāvittā*, *bhuñjāvittā*, *māreitā*, *veḍheitā* (Erz.); *paḍigāhēitā*, *pāeitā* = *pāyayitvā*, *vāhittā* (Āv. 9, 3; 30, 9; 38, 6); *vinnavittā* (Kk.); *nevacchēitā* = **nepathyayitvā* (Āv. 26, 27); *āhanittā* (Āv. 29, 5); *paccakkhāittā* = **pratyākyāyitvā* (Erz.); *suneitā* (Āv. 7, 33; Erz.); *bhuṇittā* (Erz.); *jāṇittā* (Kk.); *giṇhittā* (Sagara 2, 17; Kk.).—According to Hc. 4, 271 in Š. the forms in -*ttā* too would be permissible, as *bhoitā* = *bhuktvā*, *hōttā* = *bhūtvā*, *paḍhittā* = *paṭhitvā*, *ranti* = *ratvā*. But they are altogether strange to the common Š⁴. On the other hand they are frequent in JS, to which the rule of Hc. may refer (§ 21). So: *cattā* = *tyaktvā* (Pav. 385, 64; Kattig. 403, 374); *namatāsittā* = *namasyitvā* (Pav. 386, 6); *ālocittā* = **ālocayitvā* (Pav. 386, 11); *niruṇjhittā* = *nirudhya* (Pav. 386, 70); *nihaṇittā* = *nihatya* (Kattig. 401, 339); *jāṇittā* = *jñātvā* (Pav. 385, 68; Kattig. 401, 340. 342. 350); *viyāṇittā* = *vijñāyi* (Pav. 387, 21); *bandhittā* = *badhvā* (Kattig. 402, 355). On AMg. *dissā*, *dissam̄*, *dissa* = *dṛṣṭvā*, *padissā* = **pradr̄ṣṭvā* see § 334.

1. The statement of JACOBI (Erz. § 61), that this absol. has rare use in JM, is wrong. Some of the forms in Erz. stand, of course, at the places, that are written in AMg., but yet there remain sufficiently others, as the above list shows, that can be multiplied easily.—2. The manuscripts indicate the absolutive very frequently only through -*ttā* behind the verbum finitum (WEBER, Bhag. I, 282). So here *uvāgacchanti* -*ttā*, which is to be read as *uvāgacchittā*. The editor of Vivāhap. has misunderstood this and he gives the forms as *uvāgacchāntītā*, *nigacchāntītā*, *bandhāntītā*, *edāntītā* (286), *saṁpehīttā* (152), *pāśāttā* (156), *duruheitā* (172), yet *vippajahāmīttā* behind *vippajahāmī* (1231. 1242 ff.), *anupāvisāmīttā* behind *anupāvisāmī* (1242 ff.) etc. So also *pāupāntītā* (Sūyag. 771). Such forms are silently emended above.—3. The commentators see in these analogous forms in Āyār. and Sūyag. often nomina agentis in -*t*. In some places one may be in doubt whether they are correct or not, when Phonetics does not present any difficulty.—4. PISCHEL on Hc. 4, 271.

§ 583. In addition to the absolutive in *-tānam* AMg. has an absol. in *-ttānam*, which presupposes one Vedic **-tvānam*¹ : *bhavittānam* (Nāyādh.; Bhag.), *pāubbhavittānam* (Uvās.); *vasittānam* (Kappas. § 227); *anuparijāttitānam* = **anuparivartitvānam* (Ovav. § 136; Bhag.); *abhinivatittānam* (Sūyag. 593 ff.); *duruhittānam* (Ovav. § 79, II. III.); *caittānam* = **tyajitvānam* (Ovav. § 169, Uttar. 12. 217. 294. 539. 576); *pāsittānam* from *paśya-* (Vivāhap. 942, 1322; Nirāyāv. § 7; Nāyādh. § 22. 23. 24. 44. 46 ff.; Kappas. § 3. 5. 6. 31. 32. 47. 70. 74 ff. 87. p. 96; Nandis. 169); *cittihittāna*, metrically for *°nam* (Dasav. 622, 28); *āpuccittānam* (Kappas. S. § 48); *phusittānam* from *sprś* (Ovav. § 131. 140; Bhag.); *sampajjittānam* (Bhag.); *uwasam̄pajjittānam* (Kappas. S. § 50; Ovav. § 30; VI; Bhag.; Uvās.); *jhūsittānam* (Thān. 56); *padivajjittānam* (Āyār. 2, 1, 11, 11); *āyāme ttānam* (Sūyag. 681); *vidittānam* (Āyār. 1, 7, 8, 2); *sampihittānam* = **sāmpidhitvānam* = *sāmpidhāya* (Samav. 81; text *°pa*); *sanvidhūnittānam* (Ovav. § 23); *karettānam* (Dasav. 614, 27); *oginhittānam* (Kappas. S. § 9; Uvās.); *paginhittānam*, *saṅgīnhittānam* (Nāyādh.) *cāttānam* in JM. (Kk. 272, 11) stands clearly in a metrical quotation in AMg.

1. The form *pītānam* referred to by the European grammarians (BENREY, Vollst. Gr. § 914, VI, 3; WEBER, Bhag. I, 423; WHITNEY¹, § 993c) rests, as already noted by WACKERNAGEL, Altind. Gr. p. xxiv, note 3, on a printing error in the annotation of the Calcutta edition of Pāṇini 7, 1, 48. The Kāśikā rightly has *pītānam*. That *nam* is not an appended particle, as meant by WEBER I. c., Hāla¹ p. 66 f., today no more needs to be pressed forward. In the AMg. texts published by JACOB, and partly in those published by LEUMANN too, therefore, the *nam* printed separately is to be combined with the preceding absol. That has rightly already been perceived by STEVENSON, Kalpa Sūtra p. 143).

§ 584. Instead of *-tānam* is found according to the grammarians also *-tuānam* from **-tuvānam* = **tvānam* (§ 139) and with loss of the nasal *-tuāna*; *kāuānam* (Hc. 1, 27); *haseuānam*, *hasiuānam*, *ghē ttuānam* (Sr. fol. 58. 59); *kāuāna* (Hc. 1, 27; Sr. fol. 59); *souāna*, *bhē ttuāna* (Hc. 2, 146); *haseuāna*, *hasiuāna*, *vōttuāna*, *mōttuāna*, *rōttuāna*, *bhōttuāna*, *daṭthuāna* (Sr. fol. 58. 59); *ghē ttuāna* (Hc. 4, 210; Sr. fol. 59). Such forms have not yet been found in the texts. On the other hand, the suffix in the forms *-tūnam*, *-ūnam* and particularly in *-tūna*, *-ūna*, JS.-*dūna*, P.-*tūna* builds the most usual absol. in M. JM. JS. P., that is found in AMg. too, particularly in verses (§ 585. 586.). According to Hc. 4, 271. 272 *-dūna* would be existing in S. too: *bhodūna*, *hodiūna*, *padhidūna*, *randūna*, *karidūna*, *gacchidūna*. In fact in S. and Mg. we find in many dramas the forms in *-tūna*, *-ūna* (seldom in *-dūna*, as would be expected for *-ūna*). So S. *āaccahiūna*, *pēkkhiūna*, *kāriūna* (Lalitav. 568, 1, 2. 5), *kāūna* (Vikr. 41, 11; 84, 8; Mālatim. 236, 2 [°u^o]; ed. Madras *kādūna*), *āgantūna* (Mālatim. 363, 7; text *attū^o* ed. Madras. °ndū^o), *ghē ttūna* (Karp. 7, 6; Mallikām. 57, 19; 159, 9 [text *ghakkūna*]; 177, 21; 191, 16 [text *ghēkkūna*]; 219, 13 [text *ghakkūna*]; 229, 8 [text *ghēkkūna*]) and *gheūna* (Mālatim. 149, 4; v. 1 *ghē ttūna*; ed. Madras *ghattūna*), *daṭṭhūna* (Cait. 38, 7), *dāūna* (Jivān. 18, 2) etc.; Mg. *pāviśūna* (Lalitav. 566, 7). In several Indian editions of dramas, as in Cait.; Mallikām.; Kāleyak.; Jivān., such forms are met with at every step. In verses probably they are correct, as in Mg. *ghē ttūna* (Mṛcch. 22, 8) and certainly in Ā. D. *bhē ttūna* (Mṛcch. 99, 17; 100, 5), D. *hantūna* (Mṛcch. 105, 22; so to be read). Elsewhere according to the testimony of the best texts and MSS. they are false in S. Mg., as also in Mālatim. 236, 2 B rightly has *kadua*. Somedeva and Kājaśekhara mix up the dialects with one another (§ 11. 22). JS. has the absol. in *-dūna*: *kādūna*, *nedūna*, *jāidūna*, *gamidūna*, *gahidūna*, *bhuñjāvidūna*, for which the texts mostly falsely have *-tūna* instead of *-dūna* (§ 21). The statement of Hc. on S. refers to JS. here as well.

§ 585. The ending *-tūnam*, *-ñam* is found pro ex in PG. *kātūnam*=**kartvānam* (6,10. 29) = AMg. JM. *kāñnam* (Dasav. N. 645,25; Áv. 9,18; 27,18; 31,14,15; Erz. 72,4; 78,3) beside JM. *viuvvīñam* (Áv. 31,13); PG. *nātūnam* = **jñātvānam* (6,39) = AMg. JM. *nāñnam* (Ovav. § 23; Erz. 85, 12); M. *uccariññam* (G. 260), *rōttūnam* (H. 869); *ghēttūnam* (Vajjälagna 324,25); AMg. *uvaññiñam*, *hoñnam* (Vivāhap. 550, 1281); *namiñnam*, *pannaveññam* (Dasav. N. 643,33,35), *bandhiñnam* (Süyag. 274, 292); JM. *gantūnam* (Erz. 69,24; 75,31; 76,10; 77,32; 78,9,11); Dvār. 506,16); *bhareñnam* (Áv. 9,13), *hoñnam* (Erz. 77,14), *nhaviñnam*, *dāñnam* (Erz. 69,30), *dañthūnam* (Áv. 9,11; 13,3; 25,17, 39; Erz. 79,6, 21; 82, 18), *paribhamiñnam* (Erz. 74,34), *jampiñnam*, *pañjampiñnam* = **prajalbitvānam* (Erz. 83,2; 79,15; 85,28), *viheñnam* = **vidhajitoñnam* = *vidhāya* (Kk. 267,16), *nisuniñnam* (Erz. 77,18) beside *souñnam* (Kk. 260,17), *chindiñnam* (Áv. 37,40), *bhuñjiñnam* (Dvār. 500,36). The form in *-ñnam*, which is considered as the older one, stands sometimes immediately beside that in *-ña*, in prose before *ca*, as JM. *bhañiñña* *āpucciñña* ... *gantūnam ca* (Dvār. 496,18), *bhañjiññam ca...* *gihitñna* (Dvār. 500,29), in verses on metrical grounds, as JM. *nimantiññam* *gantūna* (Erz. 80,23), *piñchiñña kumaro hasiñnam* (Erz. 82,8). In the sense of the inf. stands *malidñnam* = **mraditvānam* (Áv. 12,8); the prose order at 11,2 for it has *maddiññam* = *marditum*.

§ 586. The most usual form of the absol. in M. JM. is that in *-ña*. It is found in AMg. and JŚ. too, where it is spelt as *-dūna* (§ 584). The rules of the infinitive hold good for it. So M. *jeñña* (Hc. 4,241; G. 1197; R. 8,74) beside JM. *jiniñña* (Hc. 4,241; Erz. 22,16), *nijijniñña* (Erz. 82,13); M. JM. *hodiñña* (G. H.; Erz.; Dvār. 495,30), according to Hc. 4,240 also *hoañña*; AMg. JM. *caiñña* = **tyajitvāna* (Uttar. 30.277.303.552, Erz.); *haseñña* (Hc. 3,157; Ki. 4,39) beside M. JM. *hasiñña* (Ki. 4,39; H. R.; Sarasvatik. 135,12; Erz.), M. *vihasiñña* (G.); M. JM. AMg. *gantūña* (G. R.; Erz.; Áv. 19,3; Ovav. § 168, 169); M. AMg. JM. *dañthūña* (Hc. 4,213; G. H. R.; Karp. 74,7; Áyār. 2,3,1,6; Áv. 24,11; Dvār. 498,28; Erz.; Kk.); AMg. *vajjiñña* (Pannav. 104); M. JM. *pāñña* from *pā* (to drink; G.; Mudrār. 83,2; Dvār. 496,28); M. *vodhūña* (R.); AMg. JM. *vandiñña* (Kappas. Th. 13,9; Sagara 2,8; 11,12; Kk.); AMg. *laddhūña* = **labdhvāna* (Süyag. 846, 848); JM. *āpucciñña* (Erz.; Dvār. 496,18); M. JM. *mōttūña* = **muktvāna* (Hc. 4,212, 237; G. H. R.; Viddhaś. 11,8; Erz.; Kk.; Dvār. 497,18; 498, 38; Sagara 7,13); JM. *mariñña* (Sagara 11,7,9); AMg. *viddhūña* = **viddhvāna* (Süyag. 928); M. *padivajjiñña* = **pratipadyitvāna* = *pratipadaya* (H.); M. *uddeñña* (G.); *avahattiñña*, *pajjāliñña*, *āphāliñña* (H.), *uañheñña* = *upagūhya*, *niameñña* = *niyanya* (R.); JM. *sammāñeñña* (Erz.); *dhakkeñña* (Dvār. 499, 8), *raññiñña* (Kl. 11); *bheseñña* = **bhesayitvāna* (Kk.), *thaviñña* (Sagara 1, 10; Erz.); *thāiñña* = **sthāgavtāna* (Áv. 30,4); M. D. JM. *hantūña* (Hc. 4,244; R.; Mrcch. 105,22 [so to be read]; Erz.) beside M. *dhaniñña* (Mrcch. 41,16), JM. *haniñña* (Áv. 17,31); M. *rōttūña* (Bh. 8,55; Hc. 4,212; R.), M. also *roñña* (H.), whilst JM. *roviñña* (Sagara 7,11) belongs to *ru* (§ 473); *vēttūña* (Bh. 8,55); M. *wōttūña* to *wac* (Hc. 4,211; R.); JM. *piheñña* (Sagara 10,17); M. JM. AMg. *dāñña* (Bh. 4,23; G.); Kāvyaprakāśa 343, 3; Dvār. 500,19; Erz. 78,1; Panhāv. 367); M. *dhuriñña* (R. 6,20); JM. *hāviñña* (Erz.); M. JM. *souñña* (Bh. 4,23; Hc. 3,157; 4,237; G. H. R.; Erz.; Kk.); Sagara 7,8; 11,12; Áv. 18,20; 31,23) beside *suniñña* (Hc. 3,157); JM. *cheñña* (Erz.) and *chediñña* (Kk. II, 507,11); JM. *bhañjiñña* and *jeñña* (Erz.); A. D. JM. *bhēttūña* (Mrcch. 99,17; 100,5; Erz.), JM. also *bhindūña* (Sagara 3,1.6.18); AMg. *bhotiñña* (Vr. 8,55; Hc. 4,212; Ovav. § 185), JM. also *uvabhuñjiñña* (Erz.); VG. *kātūña* (101,9), JŚ. *kādūña* (§ 21. 584),

M. JM. *kāūṇa* (Bh. 4,23; 8,17; Hc. 2,146; 4,214; G. H. R.; Erz.; Kk.; Dvār. 499,39 etc.), falsely also in S. (§ 584), JM. also *viuviūṇa* (Dvār. 507,40; Erz.); M. JM. *gahīūṇa* (G. 282; Vaijālagga 326,9; Erz.; Dvār. 503,1; KI. 17; Kk. II, 505,29) beside J. M. *geṇhiūṇa* (Av. 43,7; Erz.); M. JM. AMg. *ghēttūṇa* (Vr. 8,16; Hc. 2,146; 4,210; G. H. R.; Erz.; Kk.; Sagara 5,14; Nāyādh. 960; Panhāv. 367), which is found in the verse in Mg. too (Mṛcch. 22,8) and falsely in S. too (§ 584), AMg. *parighēttūṇa* (Panhāv. 487) and M. *gheūṇa* (Bh. 4,23; Sarasvatik. 180,4 as v. l. to H. 130, but *ghēttūṇa* 347,9; Priyad. 33,15; falsely in S. § 584) belong to **ghṛṣp*; M. *nāūṇa*, JM. *nāūṇa* (Hc. 4,7; R. 11,21; Dvār. 496,16; Erz.; Kk.) beside M. JM. AMg. *jāniūṇa* (H.; Kk.; Av. 8,23; Panhāv. 394), JM. *vijāniūṇa* (Erz.); M. *ābandhiūṇa* (R. 12,60); AMg. *bandhiūṇa* (Sūyag. 285).—In P. the absol. ends, according to Hc., in *-tūṇa*; *samappetūṇa* = **samarpayitvāṇa* (2,164), *gantūṇa*, *rantūṇa*, *hasitūṇa*, *padhitūṇa*, *kadhitūṇa* (4,312), *naṭhūṇa*, *natthūṇa*, *datthūṇa* from *naś* and *dṛś* (4,313), according to Vr. 10,13; Mk. fol. 87 in *-tūṇam* : *dātūṇam*, *kātūṇam*, *ghēttūṇam*, *hasitūṇam*, *patitūṇam*; Sr. fol. 64,65 permits both the forms : *hasitūṇam*, *hasitūṇa*, *datthūṇa*, *datthūṇa*; Nāmisādhu on Rudraṭa, Kāvyālamikāra 2,12 p. 14,11 has *āgantūṇa*, Amaracandra in Kāvyakalpalatāvṛtti p. 9 *gantūṇa*.

§ 587. Beside *-ttā* = *-tvā* is found in AMg. J. S., very seldom in JM. too, also *-ccā*, beside *-ttāṇam* in AMg. also *-ccāṇam*, *-ccāṇa*. Since Vedic *-tyā* stands just metrically for *-tya*, which is added after compound roots ending in a short vowel and a nasal showing suffix, whilst *-ccā* always has *-ā* in prose, that is seldom shortened in verses, and enters also after every kind of root ending in a consonant, it is not correct to trace *-ccā* back directly to Vedic *-tyā*. There occurs the change of *-tvā* into *-tyā*, of *-tvāṇam* into *-tyāṇam*, that is found otherwise too in AMg. (§ 281. 299). So : AMg. *hōccā* = **bhūtyā* = *bhūtvā* (Sūyag. 859); AMg. J. S. *thiccā* = **sthityā* (Sūyag. 565; Viḥāhap. 739. 927; Kattig. 402,355); AMg. *suthiccā* (Sūyag. 938.941.950); AMg. *ciccā* (Sūyag. 117. 378; Uttar. 515; Kappas. § 112) and *cēccā* (Āyār. 1,6,2,2; 2,15,17; Ovav. § 23 from **tiyaktyā* (§ 280) = *tyaktvā*, *pēccā* = *pītvā* (Āyār. 2,1,4,5), *apicca* = *apītvā* (Sūyag. 994).—AMg. *pēccā* (Āyār. 1,1,1,3), *piccā* (Sūyag. 28) is also = **pretyā* = *pretya*.—AMg. *abhisameccā* = **abhisametyā* = *abhisametyā* (Āyār. 1,1,3,2 [so to be read]; 1,7,6,2,7,1), *vaccā* (Sūyag. 565 ff.), probably more correctly *vuccā* (Sūyag. 783) = **vakyā* = *uktvā*; *daccā* from *dā* (Vivāhap. 227); *hicca* from *hā* (to lose; Sūyag. 330. 345; Āyār. 1,4,4,1; 1,6,2,1. 4,1), also *hēccā* (Āyār. 1,6,4,3) and metrical *hēcca* (Sūyag. 144); *sōccā* from *śru* (Hc. 2,15; Āyār. 1,1,1,4. 2,4; 1,5,3,1; 1,6,4,1; 1,7,2,3; 2,4,1,1; Sūyag. 154.181.298 322 etc.); Dasav. 631,18; Ovav.; Kappas.; Uvās.), these also in J. S. (Pav. 386,6) and JM. (Kk.; where also *succā*), also *sōccam* in AMg. *sōccam idam* (§ 349; Āyār. 2,16,1); *bhōccā* from *bhuj* (Hc. 2,15; Āyār. 2,1,4,5. 9,4. 10,3; Sūyag. 194. 202. 203. 226; Vivāhap. 227; Kappas.); *abbhōccā* (Sūyag. 994), metrically also *abbhōcca* (Āyār. 1,8,1,10); AMg. J. S. *kiccā* from *kṛ* (Āyār. 2,3,1,14. 2,9; Sūyag. 26; Bhag.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.; Pav. 379,4; Kattig. 402,356 ff. 375 f.); AMg. *naccā*, *naccā* from *jñā* (Hc. 2,15; Āyār. 1,3,2,1. 3; 1,6,1,3. 4; 1,7,8,1. 25; 1,8,1,11. 14. 15; 2,1,2,5 ff.; Sūyag. 155. 228. 237; Dasav. 629,5; 631,35; 633,35). The ending *-ccāṇa* and *-ccāṇam* occurs in AMg. *hiccaṇam* (Sūyag. 86), *hēccāṇam* (Sūyag. 433), *naccāṇam* (Sūyag. 43); metrically also *hēccāṇa* (Sūyag. 551), *naccāṇa* (Sūyag. 188), *sōccāṇa* (Dasav. 634,41; 637,16), *ciccāṇa* (Sūyag. 378. 408). In prose *cēccāṇa* (Āyār. 1,7,6,5) is hardly correct. The ed. Calc. has *cēccā*.—On AMg. *bujhā* = *buddhvā* see § 299.

§ 588. The Vedic absol. in *-tvī* (DELBRÜCK, Altind. Verbum. § 221)

and *-tvīnam*, as *iṣṭvīnam*, *pītvīnam* (Pāṇini 7,1,48 and Kāśikā thereupon; cf. above § 583 note) have been retained in A. *-tvī* became *-ppī*, after nasals *-pi* (§ 300), which after long vowels, later after short vowels too became *-vi*: *-tvīnam*, corresponding to it, has become *-ppīnu*, *-piṇu*, *-viṇu* (Hc. 4, 439, 440; Ki. 5,53). The endings are mostly added to the present stem. So: *jīneppī* (Hc. 4,442,2) and *jēppī* (Hc. 4,440) from *jī*; *jhāvī* from *dhyai* (Hc. 4,331); *dēppīnu* = **detvīnam* from *daya-* (Hc. 4,440); *gampī* = **gantvī* = Vedic *gatvī'*, *gāmeppī*, *gampīnu*, *gāmeppīṇu* (Hc. 4,442; Ki. 5,59); *pēkkhevi* (Hc. 4,340,2), *pēkkhīvi* (Hc. 4,430,3; so to be read), *pēkkhevinu* (Hc. 4, 444,4); *dēkkhīvi* (Hc. 4,354); *chaddevīnu* from *chard* (Hc. 4,422,3); *mēllavi* (Hc. 4,353), *mēllēppīnu* (Hc. 4,341,1) from *mēlla* (gives up; Hc. 4,91. 430,3); *melavi* from *mil* (Hc. 4,429,1); *cumbīvi*, *vichodavī* (Hc. 4,439,3. 4); *bhanīvi* (Hc. 4,383,1; so to be read); *piavi* from **pibatvī* = Vedic *pītū'* (Hc. 4,401,3); *marēppī* (Ki. 5,60); *laggīvi* (Hc. 4,389); *buddavī* (Hc. 4,415); *lāvī* = **lāgoyitvī* (Hc. 4,331. 376,2); *levī* (Hc. 4,395,1. 440), *lēppīnu* (Hc. 4,370,3. 404), *levīnu* (Hc. 4,441,2) from *lā*; *broppī*, *broppīnu* from *brū* (Hc. 4,391; Ki. 5,58); *rundhevinu* (Vikr. 67,20); *kareppī*, *krppī* (Ki. 5,59), *karevi* (Hc. 4,340,2), *kareppīnu* (Hc. 4,396,3); *ramevi*, *ramēppī*, *ramēppīnu* from *ram* (Ki. 5,53); *lunēppī* (Ki. 5,57); *vñēppī*, *vñēppīnu* from *vraj* (§ 488; Hc. 4,392); *gñhēppīnu* (Hc. 4,394. 438,1), *gēñhēppī*, *gēñhēppīnu* (Ki. 5,62). Forms in *-ūṇa*, as *sōṇa*, *hasiūṇa* (Pingala 1,61^a. 62^a) are not A., but M., as reversely the forms, as *langhevi*, *pēcchāvi*, *nisuṇevi*, *vajjevi*, *jālevi*, that are found in JM. (Erz. 78,21; 81,19. 24; 84,5), do not belong to this dialect, but to A. In A. these absolutives are used in the sense of the infinitive too: *sañvarevi* (Hc. 4,422,6); *jēppī*, *caēppīnu* = **tyajitvīnam*, *levīnu*, *pālevi* (Hc. 4,441,2); *lahevi*, *lahēppī*, *lahēppīnu* (Ki. 5,55), as on the other hand, the inf. *bhajju* stands in the sense of the absol. (§ 579). On the absolutely used inf. in *-tum*, *-tu* see § 576, 577.

§ 589. The absolutiva in *-ia* = *-ya* are very rare in M., since M. employs the ending *-ūṇa*. In G. R. no example is found. In H. a single example would be *sāmilia* (137); hence we should write *sāmiliādātūṇā-*
ām = *sāmiliādakṣiṇākam* and take it as an adverb, parallel to *suīraṁ* and *avīaṇāṁ*, standing beside it. *pādia*(880) should not, with WEBER, be taken as a “gerundium”, but as a past passive participle with the scholiasts, likewise *anūṇā* (129) against WEBER and the scholiasts to be read, therefore, *anūṇiāpi*. At Kāvyaprakāśa 72,10 = H. 977, instead of *balāmodia*, with the best manuscripts we should read *balāmodī* (§ 238), as also Rājānākā-nanda has in his Kāvyaprakāśanadarśana; the second best manuscript of Kāvyapr. reads *balāmodhena*. H.879, where WEBER, earlier (H.¹Anhang No. 44) read *pēkkhīuna* with Kāvyapr. 68,5 and Sāhityad.102,20, now correctly he has *pēkkhīūṇa*, as also the best manuscripts of Kāvyapr. have and stands at Sarasvatīk. 48,21. In the verse of Dhanika at Daśarūpa 91,9 we should read *ṇijjhānehamuddham*, therefore, *ṇijjhā* = *nirdhyāta*. Of the examples collected by WEBER, H¹ p. 67, according to him *gahī* (Kāvyapr. 82,9) alone stands the test, for which we should read *lahī* according to the best manuscripts. Besides there comes *vinijjīa* = *vinirjitya* (Karp. 8,6) and *vajjīa* = *varjīa* (Bālar. 157,4), whilst at 10,10 *ōttiharia*, which the editor translates with *avatīrya*, is = *avastīta*, whilst *ōttihariārāhu* stands for *rāhuōttiharia*, as elsewhere too (§ 603). Nothing can be said with regard to the dialect whence originate *bhamīa*, *ramīa* in Hc. 2,146 and *gēñhīa* at 4,210. Vr. 4,23;8,16 does not mention for M. the ending *-ya*. Therefore, here too particularly Rājaśekhara has again erred against the dialect.

§ 590. The absol. in *-ya* is rare in the old Av. texts in JM. too, on the other hand, it is frequent in later passages in Erz. But here too it

enters again the endings *-ūṇa* and *-ttā*, as in AMg. against *-tiā*, *-ttāṇam*. In AMg. a number of absol. in *-ya*, which are formed, as in Skt., directly from the root, are current. Apart from in verses (§ 584) and excluding the absol. *kadua* and *gadua* (§ 581) *-ya* is the only prevalent ending in S.Mg.Dh. (Vr. 12,9; cf. § 581), in which it enters almost always into the crude from the present stem in *-i*. In AMg.JM. in verses the ending is often *-yā* (§73). In JS. too- *yā* is not seldom. Examples for the individual classes are: S. *nāū* = **nāyiya* = *nītvā* (Mrcch. 155,4), but *āṇīa* (Mäl. 236,3; Pras. 41,2), *avaṇīa* = *apāniya* (Ven. 66,21); S. *samassaīa* = **samīśrayiya* = *samāśṛtya* (Śak. 2,8); S. *dāīa* from *daya-* (Mrcch. 51,12), and *deīa* from *de-* (Mudrā. 203,7); S. Mg. *bhāvīa*, JS. *bhāviya* (§ 475); AMg. *vinikkassa* = *vinikṛṣya* (Sūyag. 280); S. *odaria* = *avatīrya* (Vikr. 23,17), Mg. *odalia* (Mrcch. 122, 11); Mg. *anūśālia* = *anūṣṛtya* (Prab. 51,12), *ośālia* = *ośasṛtya* (Mrcch. 129, 8); S. *pariharia* (Mrcch. 136,8), Mg. *palihalia* (Prab. 28,16; 51,12) = *parihrītya*; JM. *sumariyā* (Erz.), S. *sunaria* (Mrcch. 8,15; Śak. 63,14); JM. *pē’ochīya* (Sagara 4,2. 11; Erz.), *pikkhiyā* (Kk.), S. *pēkkhīa* (Mrcch. 41,6. 10.22; 73,2; 78,25; Śak. 18,10; Vikr. 15,16), Mg. *pēskīa* (Mrcch. 96,23), AMg. *pēhīyā*, *sāpehīyā*, *samupehīyā* (§ 323); AMg. *uvalabbha* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1), *labhīyā* (Āyār. 1,7,1,2; 2,4,1,2), but S. *lambhīa* (§ 484. 525. 541; Cait. 125,10; 132,17; 134,9), AMg. *nikkhamma* = *niśkramya* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1), but S. *niśkamīa* (Priyad. 34,3); AMg. *viukkamma* = *vṛutkramya* (Āyār. 1,7,1,2), but S. *adi-kamīa* = *atikramya* (Ratn. 295,9); AMg. *pakkhippa* = *prakṣipya* (Sūyag. 280. 282); AMg. *pāsiyā* (Āyār. 1,3,2,3); metrically (§ 73) also AMg. JM. *pāsiyā* (Uttar. 361; Erz. 38,36), and AMg. *passa* (Uttar. 222. 239. 240), *anupassiyā* (Sūyag. 122), *sāmpassiyā* (Dasav. 642,11); AMg. JM. *pariccajīa* (Āyār. 1,3,3,3; Uttar. 561; Erz.), JM. also *pariccaīya* (Erz.), S. *pariccaīa* (Mrcch. 28,10; Ratn. 298,12) = *parityajīya*¹; AMg. *samārabbha* (Samav. 81), JM. *ārabbha* (Erz.), but S. *ārambhīa* (Sak. 50,2); AMg. *abhikāñkha* = *abhikāñkṣya* (Āyār. 2,4,1,6 ff.); AMg. *abhirujha* = *abhiruhya* (Āyār. 1,8,1,2), but A. D. S. *ahiruhīa* (Mrcch. 99,19; 103,15; Vikr. 15,5), Mg. *ahiluhīa* (Mrcch. 99,4; 121,11; 164,3); AMg. *pavīsa* = *pravīṣya* (Āyār. 1,8,4,9), but S. *pavīśīa* (Mrcch. 18,10; 27,3; 93,2; Śak. 70,7; 115,6; 125,13; Vikr. 75,4), Mg. *pavīśīa* (Mrcch. 19,10; 29,24; 37,10; 112,11; 125,22; 131,18); JS. *āpīccha* (Pav. 386,1), JM. *āpucchiyā* (Dvār. 495,31 between *cintīūṇa* and *pañamīūṇam*), *anāpucchiyā* (Av. 11,23); S. *siñčīa* (Mrcch. 41,6); AMg. *nisamma* from *sām* (Āyār. 1,6,4,1; Kappas.); *vissamīa* from *śram* (Mäl. 34,1); JM. *padīvajīyā* = *pratīpadya* (Erz.); AMg. *paducca* for **padiucca* from *padivaccī* (§ 163. 202; Vivāhap. 29. 35. 99. 111. 127. 128. 136. 272 etc.); Thān. 185. 186; Āyār. 1,5,5,5; Sūyag. 332. 776; Uttar. 1019. 1044. 1047. 1051 ff.; Nandīs. 395 ff.; Jiv. 33. 118 ff.; Anuog. 14. 15. 154 ff.; 235 ff.; Dasav. N. 644,17; 649,9 etc.), in verses also *paduccā* (Sūyag. 266; Dasav. N. 644,13); S. *paṭṭhāvīa*, *ṭhāvīa* (Mrcch. 24,2; 59,7); JM. *āroviyā* (Erz.), *sāmāroviyā* (Dvār. 503,33); S. *vajjīa* = *varjayītvā* (Sak. 52,11 [so to be read]; Mäl. 98,6; Ratn. 316,16; Nāgan. 24,4), also in Dh. (Mrcch. 30,5); S. *corīa*, *vāvādīa* (Mrcch. 37, 14; 40, 22); Mg. *paveśīa* (Mrcch. 140, 14 [so to be read with *Gopābole*]); 158, 22), *ohālia* = *apahāryā* (Mrcch. 96,24). In the sense of the inf. is used AMg. *aṇupāliyā* = *anupālyā* (Uttar. 583).

1. Accordingly from *tyaj* the attested absol. are: JS. *cattā* (§ 582), AMg. *caṭṭā* (§ 582), AMg. *caṭṭāṇam* (§ 583), AMg. JM *caṭṭāṇa* (§ 586), AMg. *cīcā*, *cēccā*, *cīcāṇa*, *cēccāṇa* (§ 587); A. *caṭṭāpiṇu* (§ 588), AMg. JM. *°cājīa*, JM. *°caīyā*, S. *caīa* (§ 590). Besides the absolutely used inf. AMg. *caītta*.

§ 591. Examples of the 2. conjugation are: AMg. *samecca* = *sametya* (Āyār. 1,8,1,15); JM. *thuṇīyā* (Kk. II. 508,26) from *stu*; S. *ṇīsasia* from *śvas*

with *nis* (Mrcch. 41,22); AMg. *āhacca* = *āhatya* (Āyār. 1,1,4,6. 7,4; 1,7,2,4; 2,6,2,3), but S. *āhania* (Ratn. ed. Calc. 1871 p. 46,10); JŚ. *ādāya* (Pav. 386,6), AMg. *samādāya* (Āyār. 1,2,6,3), *padisamdhāya* (Sūyag. 720), *pañihāja* = *pranidhāya* (Uttar. 635. 914), *vippajahāja* from *hā* with *vi* and *pra* (Sūyag. 217. 628; Vivāhap. 146); falsely S. *nimmāya* (Lalitav. 554,13), for which **nimmāma* would be correct; AMg. *dhunīja* (Sūyag. 111; Dasav. 637,21), *vihūṇījā* (Āyār. 1,7,8,24; Sūyag. 54), *vihūṇījā* (Sūyag. 113), *samvidhūṇījā* (Āyār. 1,7,6,5); S. *odhunia* (Adbhutad. 52,12; so to be read), *avadhunia* (Mālatim. 351,6; Venīs. 61,5 [so to be read]; 63,9); JM. *sunīja* (Erz.; Kk.), S. *sunia* (Mrcch. 148,10; Śak. 62,11; 70,11; Vikr. 26,1; Ratn. 302,7; Priyad. 29,17), Mg. *śūṇia* (Mrcch. 37,10; 38,20). Dh. *padissudia* = *pratiśrutya* (Mrcch. 35,5) must in any case be spelt as *padisudia* (cf. v. l.); it is wanting in most of the MSS., in GODABOLE too. AMg. JŚ. *pappa* = *prāpya* (Āyār. 1,2,3,6; Thān. 188; Uttar. 1017. 1019; Pannav. 523. 540. 541. 665. 667. 712. 781; Dasav. N. 649,5. 8. 11 [°ā̄]; 653,1; Pav. 384,49), but JŚ. also *pāvīja* (Kattig. 402,369), as S. *samāvia* (Ratn. 323,2); S. *bhañjia* (Mrcch. 40,22; 97,23; Śak. 31,13; Cait. 134,12); AMg. *chindījā* (Āyār. 2,1,2,7), *chindījachindījā*, *bhindiyabhindiyā* (Vivāhap. 1192); S. *paricchindia* (Vikr. 47,1); AMg. *palicchindija* (§ 257); S. *bhindia* (Vikr. 16,1) and *bhedia* (Mrcch. 97,24; cf. § 586), Mg. *bhindia* (Mrcch. 112, 17); AMg. *bhuñjīja* (Āyār. 1,7,1,2; 2,4,1,2; Sūyag. 108), S. *bhuñjīja* (Cait. 126,10; 129,10); AMg. *abhijuñjīja* (Sūyag. 293 [°ya]; Thān. 111. 112. 194; Vivāhap. 178); JM. *niuñjīja* (Erz.); AMg. *parinnājā* (Āyār. 1,1,2,6 ff.; 1,2,6,2,5; Sūyag. 214) [°nnā̄], and *parijāñjīja* (Sūyag. 380. 381), *jāñjīja* (Dasav. 641,24), *vijāñjīja* (Dasav. 631,35; 637,5; 642,12); S. *jāñjīja* (Ratn. 314,25; Priyad. 15,15; Vṛṣabh. 46,7), *añjīja* (Śak. 50,13; Mudrār. 226,7 v. l.), Mg. *yāñjīja* (Mrcch. 36,12); S. *bandhia* (Mrcch. 155,3; Prab. 14,10 [so to be read with P. M.]); Ratn. 317,11), *ubbandhia* (Ratn. 315,28; Candak. 92,11; Nāgān. 34,15), Mg. *bandhia* (Mrcch. 163,16); JM. *geñhīja* (Dvār. 507,4), S. A. *geñhīja* (Mrcch. 41,12; 59,8; 75,8; 105,2 [A.]; 107,10; Śak. 136,15; Vikr. 10,2; 52,5; 72,15; 84,20; Mālatim. 72,7; Ratn. 303,20), Mg. *geñhīja* (Mrcch. 12,14; 20,3. 10; 96,12. 18; 116,5; 126,16; 132,16; Śak. 116,2; Candak. 64,8), JŚ. JM. *gahīja* (Kattig. 403,373; Erz.), but in AMg. JM. mostly *gahāja* (Āyār. 1,8,3,5; 2,3,1,16. 17. 2,2. 3. 16; 2,10,22; Sūyag. 136. 491. 783. 1017; Vivāhap. 229. 825. 826; Uvās.; Nirayāv.; Āv. 17,10; 35,12; 37,31; 46,2; E. z.) = Skt. *grahāya* (BÖHTLINGK s. v.), a retroversion into Skt., since *gahāja* is an absol. from a denominative **gahāi*, **gahāi* (§ 558) = **grahāyati*; in compounds also AMg. *abhinigijjha* = *abhinigrhya* (Āyār. 1,3,3,4), *parigijjha* = *parigrhya* (Āyār. 1,2,3,3. 5) and the double forms AMg. *avagijjhiya*, *nigijjhiya* (Kappas.), *pajijjhiya* (Āyār. 2,1,6,2; 2,3, 1,15; 2,3,3,1–3; Ovav.).

§ 592. Corresponding to the absol. in -*ttāñam*, -*ttāṇa* beside -*ttā* and -*ccāñam*, -*ccāṇa* beside -*ccā* AMg. has an absol. in -*jāñam*, -*jāṇa* too, beside that in -*ja*, metrically -*jàd̄*: *avilijāna*, *paripiliyāna*, *parissāvīyāna* from *piḍ* and *sru* (Āyār. 2,1,8,1); *ussiñciyānaṁ* from *sic* with *ud* (Āyār. 2,1,7,8); *sainsiñciyānaṁ* from *sic* with *sam*² (Āyār. 1,2,3 5); *samupehiyānaṁ* metrically for *ppē* from *ikṣ* with *samutpra* as *samupehiyā* (§ 323. 590; Erz. 38,36 in a citation from Āvaśyakaniryukti 17,41)³; *lahijāna* = *labdhvā* (Uttar. 627); *ārusijyānaṁ* = *āruṣya* (Āyār. 1,8,1,2); *takijānaṁ* = *tarkayitvā* (Āyār. 1,7,2, 4); *parivajjīyāna* = *parivarjya* (Āyār. 1,8,1,12. 18); *oattiñjānaṁ* = *apavartya* (Āyār. 2,1,7,8); *palicchindījānaṁ* = *paricchidya* (Āyār. 1,3,2,1); *palibhindiyānaṁ* = *paribhidya* (Sūyag. 243); *abhijuñjījānaṁ* = *abhiyujya* (Āyār. 1,2,3,5); *akiñjānaṁ* = *akṛtvā* (Ovav. § 142).

¹: There are insurmountable phonetic difficulties against the derivation of -*jāñam* from

-*ttānām*. JACOBI, in his edition of the Āyār. throughout, separates *naṁ* here too wrongly, as is proved by the form in -*jāṇa*.—2. So to be read with B, which is pointed to by the explanation as *sāṁsiya* of the scholiasts. Cf. *sāṁsiccāmānā* 1,3,2,1.—3. JACOBI, Erz. p. 158.

§ 593. In AMg. a number of forms are found in -*āe*, that are used absolutely: *āyāe* (Āyār. 1,6,2,1 2; 2,1,3,6 ff.; 2,1,9 2; Vivāhap. 136; Nirayāv. § 17. 19) = *ādāya*; *samāyāe* (Āyār. 1,5,3,5); *nīsāe* (Bhag.; Kappas.), *nīssāe* (Bhag.) = Pāli *nissaya* = Skt. **nīśrāya* from *śri* (cf. *gahāya* § 591); *sāṁkhāe* = *sāṁkhyāya* beside *utthāya* (Āyār. 1,8,1,1); *samuṭhāe* (Āyār. 1,2,2,1. 6,1); *pehāe* from *iks* with *pra* (§ 323); *anupehāe* (§ 323); *uvehāe* (Āyār. 1,3,3,1), *sāṁpehāe* (§ 323)¹. Since these forms are connected with the accusative, as in *egām appāṇām sāṁpehāe* (Āyār. 1,4,3,2), *āuraṁ logām āyāe* (Āyār. 1,6,2,1), it cannot be doubted that they have a verbal meaning. In very many cases, however, they certainly are nominal forms, as in the frequent *utthāe*, *utthei*, *utthāe utthittā* (Uvās. § 193; Nirayāv. § 5; Ovav. § 58.60; Vivāhap. 161. 1246), *utthāe utthēnti* (Ovav. § 61). The scholiasts see in *utthāe* an ins. sing. of a fem. **utthā*², what and what only the form can be according to meaning and order of words³. Likewise e. g. in *añāñāe puṭhā* = *anāñāyā* (in the sense of *anāñāñena*) *sṛṣṭāh* (Āyār. 1,2,2,1), and in cases like *aṭṭam eyām tu pehāe aparinnāe kandaī* (Āyār. 1,2,5,5), it can hardly be doubted that *aparinnāe* is = *aparijñāyā*, and not *aparijñāya*, as the scholiasts will⁴, whilst *pehāe*, standing beside it, undoubtedly stands in the sense of the absol., but according to the form is = *prekṣayā*. Consequently I believe that all these forms are originally in the instr. to the fem. in -*ā*, that would be used also as verbal forms. In support of this speak the cases like *annamannaviti gīmchāe* *paḍilehāe* too (Āyār. 1,3,3,1), where the compositum *annam* points to the nominal nature of *viti gīmchāe*, whilst a verbal meaning to *paḍilehāe*, that stands by it, can be deduced from examples like *nigganthā paḍilehāe buddhavuttam ahitthagā* (Dasav. 626,23), although it is elsewhere certainly substantival (e. g. Āyār. 1,2,6,2; 1,5,1,1; 1,7,2,3), whilst sometimes (Āyār. 1,2,5,5; 1,5,6,2) one may be in doubt. In the literal sense “to cleanse”, “to wipe off” the absolute is *paḍilehittā* (Āyār. 2,2,1,2 ff.) or *padilehiyā* (Āyār. 1,7,8,7; 2,1,1,2 [“yo”]); but *padilehittā* also in the transferred sense “to discuss”, “to consider” (Āyār. 1,1,6,2; 1,7,8,20). *pehāe*, *sāṁpehāe* are hardly to be explained otherwise. Forms like *āyāe*, *nīsāe* may be built according to such a pattern. In no case can -*e* be equated as = -*ya*⁵. AMg. *anuvii* (Āyār. 1,1,3,7; 1,4,3,1; 1,6,5,3; 2,2,3,3; 2,4,1,3. 2,19; 2,7,1,2. 2,1. 8; p. 133,8.10; 134,5 ff.; Sūyag. 474. 531; Dasav. 629,15; 630,1; Dasav. N. 661,3), with privative *a* *anuvivii* (Āyār. p. 133,9. 10; 134,6 ff.) is explained as *anucintya*, *anuvicintya*, *vicārya* by th: scholiasts. The frequent vv. ll. *anuvīyi*, *anuvījī*, *anuvīti*, *anuvitījā* show that in this case we have nothing to do with an absol. *anuvii* is an adverb = **anuviti* “deeply” “attentively” and belongs to Vedic *vīti*⁶.

1. JACOBI writes sometimes *sāṁpehāe*, sometimes *sāpehāe*, sometimes *sa pehāe*, even in the same paragraphs, as 1,4,3,2, where stands in line 10 *sāṁpehāe*, in line 14 *sa pehāe*. The manuscripts are not consistent; cf. pro ex. 1,2,2,4. Throughout it is to be read *sāṁpehāe*, in verses, where a short syllable is required, probably *sāpehāe*.—2. WEBER, Bhag. 1,435, note.—3. HOERNLE on Uvās. Transl. note 286 likes to see in it the dat. sing. of a masc. *uttha*.—4. The ed. Calc. reads *aparinnāyā*, but the scholiasts, as JACOBI, *aparinnā*.—5. E. MÜLLER, Beiträge p. 63 have. — 6. Cf. PISCHEL, Ved. Stud. 1,295 ff.; GELDNER, 2,156 ff. *vīti*, requires a new research.

§ 504. In A. -*ya* becomes -*i* (Hc. 4,439), that has originated from Pkt.-*ia*, through the dropping off of *a*: *dai* = S. *daīa* from *daya-* (Piṅgala 1,5^a [cf. BOLLENSEN, Vikr. p. 530]. 38. 39. 86^a. 122), also contracted (§ 166) de

(Pingala 1,33); *parihari, pasari* (P. 1,120^a. 143^a); *gai* from *gā* (to go; P. 2, 64); *bhaī* = **bhavi* = S. Mg. *bhavia* from *bhū* (P. 2,243); *cali* (P. 2,88); *vali* (IS. 15,394; Prabandhac. 159,1); *kōppi* = °*kupyā* (P. 1,123^a) from the present stem; *māri* = °*māryā* = *mārayitvā* (Hc. 4,439,1); *sāmcāri, vicāri* (P. 1,43. 107); *lai* from *lā* (to take; P. 1,37. 86^a. 107. 121); *kari* (Hc. 4, 357,4; P. 1,81. 82. 86); *jāṇi* from *jñā* (P. 1,119). Beside *thavi* (P. 1,102. 107) = S. *thavia* = °*sthāpyā* is found *thappi* (P. 1,123^a,137^a), that may be considered as a case of double formation. The reduplication may be purely metrical too, as probably this is the case in *jinni* = **jinjia* from *ji* (§ 473) and *sunni* = S. *sunja* from *śru* (P. 2, 112. 242). Whether forms in -ia as well are regulated, as *kaddhia laīa* (P. 1,107. 121), *nisuria, sunia* (Sarasvatik. 140,1; 216,9) may be ascertained only by leading critical texts. *mutti* (P. 1,116^a) presupposes one **muktya*, hence a hybrid formation from *muktvā* and °*mucya*.

IV. ON WORD-FORMATION AND COMPOUND

§ 595. Besides the suffixes of Skt. Pkt. possesses a number of suffixes, particularly the taddhita suffixes, that are wanting in Skt. Others, that in Skt. are little employed, are frequently employed in Pkt. To it particularly belong the *-i*-suffixes. The grammarians (Vr.4,25; C.2,20 and p.45; Hc. 2,159; Ki. 2,140; Mk.fol. 36) teach that *-āla, -ālu, -illa, -ulla* stand in the sense of *-mat* and *-vat*. So M. *sihāla* = *sikhāvat* (G.); AMg. *saddāla* = *sabdavat* (Bh. 4,25; Hc. 2,159; Ovav.); *dhaṇāla* = *dhanavat* (Bh. 4,25); *jadāla* = *jatāvat* (C.; Hc.), *jōṇhāla* = *jyotsnāvat* (Hc.), *phadāla* = **phatāvat* (C.; Hc.), *rasāla* = *rasavat* (Hc.); *niddāla* = **nidrāvat* (Ki.); *saddhāla* = *śraddhāvat* (C.); *hariṣāla* = *haryavat* (Mk.). — Without alteration of meaning *āla + ka* occurs in AMg. *mahālāya* = *mahat* (Āyār. 2,1,4,5; Uvās.; Ovav.; Bhag.), femin. *mahālijā* (Uvās.; Ovav.); *emahālāya*, femin. *emahālijā* (§ 149), femin. *kemahālijā* (§ 149; also Jiv. 216. 220 ff.); AMg. JM. *mahaī-mahālāya* (Āyār. 2,3,2,11. 3,13; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Erz.), femin. AMg. *mahaīmahālijā* (Uvās.; Ovav.; Nirayāv.). The form is an intensive formation. To see a double femininum in it (LEUMANN, Aup. S.), as already remarked by LEUMANN himself, is not possible, since the word is used as masc. and neuter. *-mīśālia* (Hc. 2,170) is past passive participle of a denominative from **mīśāla* = *mīśra*. — *ālu*, which Skt. too has (WHITNEY¹ § 1192. 1227), occurs in *niddālu* = *nindrālu* (Bh.; Ki.); *isālu* = *īrsyālu* (Hc.; Mk.); *nehālu* = *snehala* (C.; Hc.); *daālu* = *dayālu* (Hc.); with *kaḥ svārthe* in M. *lajjāluā* (Hc.; H.), *saṅkāluā* (G.), *saddhāluā* (H.). — Dialectically throughout frequent is *-illa*, that stands for *-ilā* (§ 194). So *viārilla* (Bh.), *sohilla* (C.; Hc.), *dhaṇailla* (Ki.), *gurilla* (Mk.), *chāilla, jamailla* (Hc.); *phadilla* (C.); M. *kiḍāilla, kesarillī, tulilla, thalailla, neurilla* (G.), *māṇilla, rāilla, lohilla, sohilla, harillī* (H.); M. AMg. *taṇailla* (grassy; G.; Jiv. 355); AMg. *kaṇṭailla* (Pañhāv. 61; Dasav. N. 660,14), metri causa also *kaṇṭailla* (Sūyag. 293), *tūṇailla* (Aṇuog. 118; Pañhāv. 465. 513. 522; Ovav.; Kappas.), *niyādilla* = *nikrtimat* (Uttar. 990), *māilla* = *māyāvin* (Sūyag. 233; Thān. 582), *amāilla* (Āyār. 1,8,4,16), also in the substantives *niyādillājā, māillajā* occurring with the suffix *-tā* (Thān. 338; Vivāhap. 687; Ovav.; cf. § 219), *arisilla* = *arśasa*, *kasilla* = *kāśavat*, *sāsilla* = *śvāśin* (Vivāhap. 177), *gaṇthilla* = *granthila* (Vivāhap. 1308), *bhāsilla* = *bhāśin* (Uttar. 791), *bhāllaga* = *bhāgin* (Thān. 120); JM. *kalānkilla* = *kalānkin* (Kk.), *satīthillāya* from *sārtha* (Erz.), *goṭīthillāya* = *gaugṛhika* (Āv. 26,37). Rājaśekhara and later day writers use *-illa* not in M. only, as in *muttāhālla*

(Karp. 2,5; 100,5), *thoratthanilla*, *kandalilla* (Karp. 81,4; 88,3), particularly against the dialect in S. too, as *kodūhalilla* (Bālār. 168,3); *lacchilla*, *kivālla* (Kāleyak. 2,8; 9,7); *tattilla* (Mallikām. 77,12), as in M. (Hc. 2, 203; H.) and D. (Mṛcch. 101,21). As in *tattilla* (Desīn. 5,3), *-illa* occurs also in other provincialisms like *kanailla* (parrot; Pāiyāl. 125; Desīn. 2,21) from *kāṇa*; *goilla* = *gomat* (Desīn. 2,98); M. S. *chailla* (wise; cunning; Pāiyāl. 101; Desīn. 3,24; H.; Karp. 1,2; 4,8 [S.]; 76,10 [S.]; Kāleyak. (3,7), which is probably rightly connected to *Vchad* by WEBER¹, and is to be completely separated from A. *chailla* (beautiful; Hc. 4,412), since this, as the NIA. languages show², stands for **charilla*, therefore, belongs to *chavī* (beauty; Pāiyāl. 113) = Skt. *chavi*, *chavī*, whilst *chailla* (lamp; similar; trifling; beautiful; Hc. 2,159; Desīn. 3,35) is to be derived from *chāyā*, as Triv. 2,1,30 teaches falsely from *chailla*. *-illa* stands also in the sense of "there-existing" (*tatrabbhe*; *bhave*; C. 2,20 p. 45; Hc. 2,163; Mk. fol. 37); *gāmilla* (a villager; C.), *gāmiliā* (a village woman; Hc.), AMg. *gāmēllaga* (Vivāgas. 31); M. *gharillaa* (master of the house; H.); *gharilli* (mistress of the house; Desīn. 2,106), and in M. JM. and particularly in AMg. it does not change the meaning of the word, to which it is added (*svārthe*; Hc. 2,164). So M. *mūllaa* = *mūka* (H.); AMg. *bāhirilla* = *bāhira* (Jīv. 879; Vivāhap. 198. 1876 ff.; Thān. 261 f.), M. *abāhirilla* (H.); AMg. *andhillaga* = *andha* (Panhāv. 79); *pallavilla* = *pallava* (Hc. 2,164). So above all are built adjectives, that express number, time or place, partly from adverbs. So AMg. *ādilla* = *ādi* (Vivāhap. 463. 858. 923. 1118. 1330; Jīv. 788. 1042; Pannav. 642. 646), *ādillaga* (Vivāhap. 1547); AMg. *paḍhamilla* = *prathama* (Vivāhap. 108. 177), *padhamillaga* (Nāyādh. 624); AMg. *uvarilla* (Thān. 341; Anuog. 427 ff.; Jīv. 240 ff. 710; Nāyādh. 867; Pannav. 478; Samav. 24. 36. 144; Vivāhap. 102. 198. 224. 392. 437. 1240. 1331 ff. 1777; Ovav.), in the meaning "upper garment" M. *avarilla*, *varilla* (§ 123), *savvaūvarilla* (Jīv. 878f.), *savvūpparilla* (Jīv. 879), AMg. *uttarilla* (Thān. 264ff. 358; Jīv. 227 ff.; Nāyādh. 1452. 1518. 1521; Pannav. 103 ff. 478; Rāyap. 68. 71; Vivāhap. 1331 ff.), *dāhinilla* and *dakhinilla* = *dakṣina* (§ 65), *purathi-milla*³ from *purastāt* (Thān. 264ff. 493; Jīv. 227ff. 345; Pannav. 478; Rāyap. 67. 72 f.; Samav. 106. 108. 113 ff.; Vivāgas. 181; Vivāhap. 1331ff.), *paccatthimilla*⁴ from **pratyastam* (Thān. 264ff.; Jīv. 227ff.; Pannav. 478; Samav. 106. 113 ff.; Vivāgas. 181; Vivāhap. 1331 ff. 1869), *uttarapaccatthimilla* (Thān. 268); AMg. JM. *majjhilla* = *madhya* (Thān. 341; Jīv. 710; Vivāhap. 104. 922. 1240 f.; Av. 46,29; Erz.); AMg. *majjhimilla* = *madhyama* (Anuog. 383); AMg. *heṭṭhilla* (§ 107); AMg. JM. *puvvilla* (Uttar. 764. 770; Av. 8,46); *puriṇa* (on Vr. 4,25; C. 2,20 p.45; Hc. 2,163.164; Mk. fol. 37; Desīn. 6,53) from *pūra* and *puras*, *puriṇadeva* (asura; Desīn. 6,55; Triv. in BB.13,12), *puriṇapahāṇā* (fang of a serpent; Desīn. 6,56), whereof the ultimate remnant probably is *praghāṇa*; AMg. *paccilla* (Vivāhap. 1118. 1520), *paccillaja* (Vivāhap. 1593f.). In AMg. *railliya* = *rajoyukta* (Vivāhap. 387)⁵, dialectical *thenilla* (taken; fearful; Desīn. 5,32; cf § 307) occur the past passive participle from the denominative of *rajas*, *stena*⁶. AMg. *āṇilliya* = *āṇita* (Vivāhap. 961) is explained from the fact that *āṇia* = *āṇita* is used adjectively and presumably also substantively (Desīn. 1,74). As the examples show, the final vowel of the stem falls off before *-illa*, partly it is retained. — *-ulla* stands in the same meaning as *-illa*, but is much more seldom: *viārulla* = *vikāravat* (Bh. 4, 25; C. 2,20 p. 45; Hc. 2,159); *māṁsulla* = *māṁsavat*, *dappulla* = *darpin* (Hc. 2, 159); *uvahārulla* from *upahāra* (Ki. 2,140; text *uvahārunpāṁ*); *appulla* from *āman* (Bh. 4,25; C. 2,20 p. 45; Hc. 2,163; Mk. fol. 36 [MS. *āṇullo*]); *piullaa* = *priya*, *muhulla* = *mukha*, *hatthullā* = *hastau* (Hc. 2,164); M. *chailla* (H.) beside *chailla*, *thaṇullaa* = *stana* (G.); AMg. *pāullāīm* = *pāduke*

(Sūyag. 253); AMg. JM. *kacchulla* = *kacchura* (Vivāgas 177; Erz.); *cūdullaa* = *cūdaka* (Hc. 4,395, 2, 430, 2), *kuḍullī* = *kuṭī* (Hc. 4,422, 14. 429, 3. 431, 2); *vāulla* = *vācāla* (Deśin. 7, 56). — *-alla* occurs for *-ala* in M. *eṄkalla* = *eka* (Hc. 2, 165; H.), JM. *eṄkallāya* (Erz.), also *ekalla* (Hc.); cf. Mālatīm. 348, 1; A. also *ekala* (Prabandī ac. 121, 10); M. AMg. *mahalla* = *mahat* (G.; Prabandhac. 113, 3; Āyār. 2, 4, 3, 11. 12), AMg. *mahallaya* (Āyār. 2, 4, 2, 10), femin. *mahallijā* (Āyār. 2, 1, 2, 7), *sumahalla* (Vivāhap. 246); AMg. *andhalla* = *andha* (Panhāv. 523), beside *andhala* (Hc. 2, 173); M. *pāsalla*, *pāsallia* from *pārśva* (G.); *navalla* = *nava* (Hc. 2, 165); *mūalla* beside *mūala* = *mūka* (Deśin. 6, 137), from which M. *mūal'ia* (R. 5, 41; so to be read), is a denominative. Also M. *pīsalla* = *pīśāca* is perhaps explained more correctly from **pīsalla* = *pīśāca* + *alla* than from *pīśācālaya* (§ 232). On *suhallī*, *suhēlli* see § 107. In Mg. *gāmelua* (Mṛcch. 97, 1) = *grāmya*, *grāmiṇa* occurs the suffix *-elua*, that is to say *-elu+ka*.

1. Under H. 720. Beside it is found also *chaillā*, mentioned below.—2. PISCHEL on Hc. 4, 412.—3. The texts often have false *purachimilla*, as in the case of its basis *puratiḥima*, also *purachima*—4. The texts often have *pavattimilla* and *paccachimilla*. The word perhaps does not belong to *pāśat*, from which originates *pacchilla*. Cf. § 149 and HOERNLE Uvās. s. v. *paccathima*.—5. *mailiya* = *kaṭhinamalayukta*, that stands beside it will be correct, since it belongs to AMg. *maiñti*, M. *maiñe* (§ 559).—6. Cf. e. g. Skt. *tundilita* with *tundila* and AMg. *tundilla* (Uttar. 229). That the reduplication of *l* is associated with accent makes the cases like *kudilla* = *kutila* (Pāiyal. 155), *kuḍillaa*, *koḍilla* (Deśin. 2, 40), *tundilla* = *tundila*, *gaṇhilla* = *granhila* (Uttar. 229; Vivāhap. 1308) certain.

§ 596. As a krt.-suffix dialectically very frequent is *-ira* (Vr. 4, 24; Hc. 2, 145; Ki. 2, 138; Mk fol. 36), which expresses the notion of the root as a “characteristicum” a “duty” or “noble” doing¹. So M. *aggāhātri* (femin.) from *ghrā* with *ā* (H.), *andolira* (G.), femin. *andoliri* (H.), *alajjira* (H.), *avalambirī* (femin.), *ullavirī*, *ullāvirī* (femin.; H.); *ūsasira* from *śvas* with *ud* (Hc.); *gamira* (Hc.; Ki.); M. *gholira* (G. H. R.), in later-day writers also in S. (Mallikām. 109, 9; 122, 12), M. *parigholira* (G.); M. A. *jampira*, AMg. *ayampira* from *jalp* (§ 296); AMg. *jhusira*, *ajhusira* (§ 211); M. *ṇacciri* (femin.) from *ṇaccaī* = *ṇṛtyati* (H.); *namira* (Hc.); AMg. *parisakkira* from *svaṣṭ* with *pari* (Nāyādh. ; cf. § 302), M. *pēcchira* from *īks* with *pra*, femin. *pēcchīri* (H. ; so to be read throughout); M. A. *bhamira* from *bhrām* (Bh. ; Hc. ; Mk. G. H. R. ; Hc. 4, 422, 15); *rovira* (Hc.), M. *roirī*, *rurī* from *ru* (H.); M. *lambira* (G.), *lasira* (R.); *lajjira* (Hc.), M. femin. *lajjīrī* (H.); M. A., in Rājaśekhara also in S. *vēllira*, *uvvēllira* (§ 107); M. JM. *nevira* from *nepeṭe* (Hc. ; G. H. R. ; Erz.), in later day writers in S. too (Mallikām. 119, 2; 123, 15); *sahira* (Mk), M. femin. *sahīrī* (H.); *hasira* (Bh. ; Hc.); M. femin. *hasīrī* (G. H.); *apadicchira* (stupid; Deśin. 1, 43) from *īs* with *prati*. Seldom is *-ira* used as a taddhita-suffix too, as in M. *gavvira*, femin. *īrī* from *garva* (H.) On *-uka* for *-ika* see § 118, 162 [*ūsuga*]. 326 [*jharua*].

1. PISCHEL on Hc. 2, 145. Cf. WEBER, H1. p. 68.

§ 597. *-tva* = Pkt. *-tta* (§ 298) can be used in AMg. JM. only, in AMg. often in the dative *-tiāe* (§ 361. 364); *pīpatta*, *pūpphatia* = *puspattva* (Hc. 2, 154); AMg. *mūlatta*, *kandatta*, *khandatta*, *tāyatta*, *sālatta*, *pavālatta*, *pattatta*, *pūphatta*, *phalatta*, *bīyatta* (Sūyag. 806); *āṇugāmīyatva* (Ovav. § 38, p. 49; Vivāhap. 162); *devatta* (Uttar. 235; Bhag.; Uvās.; Ovav.; Kappas.); *neraīyatta* = *nairayikatva* (Vivāgas. 244; Uvās.; Ovav.); *māṇusatta* (Uttar. 234 f.); *pumatta* = *pūnīstva* (§ 412); *rukkhatta* = **rukṣatva* (Sūyag. 812; cf. 811); *sāmitta*, *bhaṭṭītta*, *māhattaragatta* = *svāmitva*, *bhartṛtvā*, *māhattarakatva* (Pannav. 98, 100, 102, 112); JM. *ujjugatta*, *vāṅkatta* = *rjukatva*, *vakratva* (Av. 46, 31. 32), **manuyatta* = *manujatva*, *micchatta* = *mīthītvā*, *sījatta* = *śītātva* (Kk.), *asojatta* = *āśauatva* (Erz.). To *-tva* has further been added the suffix *-tā* in *māuttajā* = **mṛdukatvatā* (Hc. 2, 172). Very

frequent, particularly is M.Ś. in Vedic *-tvana* = Pkt. *-ttana*, A. also *-ppana* (§ 298.300; Vr. 4,22; Hc. 2,154; Ki. 2,139; Mk. fol. 45). So M. *amara-ttana*(R.), *alasattana*, *asahattana*, *āulattana*, *garuattana*, *cirajivittana*, *niupattana* (H.); *niddattana*, *tucchattana*, *dāruṇattana*, *dīhattana* (G.); *piattana* (H.); *pīnattana* (Bb.; Hc.; G. R.); *mahrattana* (G. H.); from ā-stems: *mahilattana* (G. H.); *vesattana* = **vesyātvana* (H.); from i-, ī-stems: *asaiittana* (H.); *juaiittana* (G.); *maūttana* = **matitvana* (G.); *dūittana* = **dūtitvana* (H.); from u-stems: *taruttana* (G.); AMg. *takkarattana* = **taskaratvana* (Panjhāv. 147); *tirikkhattana* = **tirakṣatvana* (Uttar. 234); *āyarijattana* = **ācāryatvana* beside *āyarijatta* (Uttar. 316); JM. *pādherattana* = **prātihāryatvana* (Āv. 13,25), *dhammattana* = **dharmatvana* (Kk. 259,12), *sāvayāttana* = **śrāvākatvana* (Dvār. 506,28), *turiyattana* = **tvaritativana* (Āv. 42,21; 43,3), *paravasattana* (Erz.); Ś. *apñahiaattana* = **anyahṛdayatvana* (Viddhaś. 41,8,9; Nāgān. 33,6), *pajjālūhiaattana* = **paryākula*° (Karnas. 19,10), *suññahiaattana* = **sūnya*° (Mṛcch. 27,19; Priyad. 20,4; Nāgān. 21,6); *ahirāmattana* (Vikr. 21,1); *nisāṁsattana* = **nīśāṁsatvana* (Ratn. 327,18); *niupattana* = **nīpuṇatvana* (Lalitav. 561,1); *dūdattana* = **dūtativana* (Jivān. 87,13); *bālattapa* (Lalitav. 561,2 [vā°]; Uttarar. 121,4; Mudrār. 43,5); *bamhattana* (Ratn. 308,5), *bamhanattana* (Pras. 46,12); *sahāattana* = **sahāyatvana* (Śak. 59,10; Jivān. 39,15; 78,2); *anujīvittana* (Mahāv. 54,19); *ucidakārittana* (Bālar. 54,17), *gharaṇittana* (Anarghar. 315,10); *bhaavadittana* (Mālatīm. 74,3); *medhāvittana* (Ratn. 303,32); *lajjāuittana* (Mahāv. 29,6 [PISCHEL. "lui"]); *sarasakāittana* (Karnas. 31,1); *pahuttana* = **prabhuṭivana* (Mālav. 14,3; 30,5); *bhīruttana* (Pras. 45,5); Mg. *aṇicattana* = **anityatvana* (Mṛcch. 177,10); *mahulattana*, *sulahittana* = **madhuratvana*, **surabhītvana* (Prab. 60,12,13); *śavvanṇattana* = **sarvajñatvana* (Prab. 51,6; 52,6); *śughalinittana* = **sugṛhītivana* (Venīs. 35,1); *pattattana* = **patratvana* (Hc. 4,370,1); *vaddattana* and *vaddappana* = **vadratvana* (Hc. 4,366); *suhadattana* = **subhatatvana* (Kk. 260,44); *gahilattapa* = **grahilatvana* (Piṅgala 1,2a).

§ 598. Without effecting alteration in the meaning of the word *-ka* enters into Pkt. more frequently than into Skt. (Hc. 2,164; Mk. fol. 37). In PG. P. CP., sometimes in S. Mg. too, it remains *-ka*, in AMg. JM. JS. it becomes *-ga*, *-ja*, in the other dialects *-a*. Numerous examples for all the dialects have been included in different paragraphs. Sometimes it is set in doubly, as *bahuja* (Hc. 2,164), also behind other suffixes (§ 595), further it is added to adverbs, as *ihajām* (Hc. 2,164) and to the infinitive, as *ālēddhuām* (§ 303.577), AMg. *aladdhujaṁ* (§ 577). The vowel of the stem is sometimes lengthened dialectically (§ 70). Beside *-ka*, we find dialectically also *-kha*, *-ha* (§ 206) and *-ika*, AMg. *-i ja*, as in PG. *vadhanika* = *vardhanaka* (6,9); AMg. *macciya* = **martyika* = *martyaka* (Āyār. 1,2,5,4; 1,3,2,1; Sūyag. 351); AMg. *tumbavīṇja* = *tumbavīṇaka* (Ovav.); Mg. *bhālikā* = **bhārika* = *bhāravat* (Mṛcch. 97,19, 20); M. *savvāṅgia* = *sarvāṅgiṇa* (Hc. 2,152; R.). — *-kya* occurs in *pārakka* (Hc. 2,148), *-ikya* in *rākka* = *rājakiya* (Hc. 2,148); *goṇikka* (herd of cattle; Deśin. 2,97; Triv. 1,3,105)¹; *caccikka* from *caccā* (besmearing the body with fragrant paste; Hc. 2,174; Triv. 1,4,121), according to Deśin. 3,4 also adjective in the meaning “decorated”²; *mahiskka* (herd of buffaloes; Deśin. 6,124)³.

1. PISCHEL, BB. 3,243.—2. PISCHEL, BB. 13,12.—3. PISCHEL, GGA 1881, p. 1320f.

§ 599. Like *-ka*, in A. *-da* = Skt. *-ta* too is added, without change in the meaning of the word. Very often, *-a* = *-ka* enters behind it, in addition (Hc. 4,429, 430). So: *kāṇṇada* = *karna* (Hc. 4,432); *davvada* = *dravya* (Sūkas. 32,3); *diāhada* = *divasa* (Hc. 4,333. 387,2); *dūadāa* = *dūta* (Hc. 4,419,1); *desada* (Hc. 4,418,6) *desadna* (Hc. 4,419,3) = *deśa*; *doṣada* =

doṣa (Hc. 4,379,1); *māṇusada* = *mānuṣa* (Prabandhac. 112,8); *māriada* = *mārita* (Hc. 4,379,2); *mittada* = *mitra* (Hc. 4,422,1); *rāṇadā* = *aranya* (Hc. 4,368); *rūḍadā* = *rūpaka* (Hc. 4,419,1); *hathada*, *hathhadā* = *hasta* (Hc. 4,439,1. 445,3); *hiada* = **hṛda* = *hṛd* (Ki. 5,15. 17; Hc. 4,422,12), *hiadā* (Hc. 4,350,2). In *maṇiada* = *maṇi* (Hc. 4,414,2) probably *ka+ta* = **maṇikāṭa* is to be assumed, that is pointed to by the hiatus, and not the suffix *-ada*. The femin. ends in *-di* (Hc. 4,431): *niddadi* = *nidrā* (Hc. 4, 418,1); *suavattaḍi* = *śrutavārttā* (Hc. 4,432). The ending *-adī* is pointed to also by the femin. in Skt. *-i*, *-ī*: *goradī* = *gaurī* (Hc. s. v. and s. v. *gorī*); *buddhadī* = *buddhi* (Hc. 4,424); *bhumhaḍi* = *bhūmi* (§ 210); *mabbhīsaḍi* from *mā bhaisih* (Hc. 4,422,22); *rattadi* = *rāṭri* (Hc. 4,330,2); *vibhantaḍi* = *vibhrānti* (Hc. 4,414,2); with *-ka*: *dhūlaḍī* = **dhūlatīkā* = *dhūli* (Hc. 4,432). Here in relation to Skt. the infix *-ad-* makes its appearance, and not the suffix *-da*, that is dialectical in A., and is combined also with other suffixes as *-ka*. So with *-ulla* (§ 595) in *bāhabalullada* = *bāhābala* and *bāhabalulladā* (Hc. 4,430,3), also in the last example *-ulla+da+ka*.

§ 600. According to the grammarians, in Pkt., *-itta* too is used in the sense of the taddhita suffixes *-mat*, *-vat* (Vr. 4,25 [so to be read for *-inta*]; C. 2,20 p. 45; Hc. 2,159; Ki. 2,140; Mk. fol. 36): *kavrāttā*, *māṇāttā* from *kārya*, *māna* (C.; Hc.); *rosāttā* from *roṣa* (Bh. 4,25 [so to be read]; Ki.); *pāṇāttā* (Bh. 4,25 [so to be read]) from *frāṇa*. With insertion of *kaḥ svārthe* (Kālidāsa used this suffix in Ś. *-ittā*, femin. *-ittā*: *pāharavithārāttā* = *poyodharavistārayukta* (cf. Candraśekhara); *ummādāttā* = *ummādin*, *unmādakārīn* (*ittakāśabdo matubarthāḥ*; Candraś.); *ucchāhāttā* = *utsāhāśalin* (*matubariha ittakāśabdhāḥ*; Candraś.); *āśātītā* = *āyāsakārīnī* (Candraś.); *sāṁtāvanivāṇāttā* = *sāṁtāpanirvāṇakārīnī* (Candraś.); *bahumānasuhāttā* *bahumānasukhayukta* (cf. Candraś.); *pīṇīveṇāttā* = *pīrāṇīvedaka* (Candraś.); *sāṁtāvanivāvāttā* = *sāṁtāpanirvāṇapaka* (Candraś.) (Śak. 11,3; 21,8; 35,7; 36,12; 51,12; 55,1; 79,14; 86,5; 140,14); *icchidasampādāttā* = *iṣṭasampādāttā* (Rāṅganātha; Vikr. 20,19); *juvadivesalajjāvāttā* = *yuvativeśalajjayitṛka* (Katayavema (Mālav. 33,17)); *ahilāsapūrāttā* = *abhilāsapūrāyitṛka* (Kāt.; Mālav. 34,14), *asoaviāsāttā* = *aschāvīkāśayitṛka* (Kāt. Mālav. 43,3). The suffix is to be derived with BÖHTLINGK¹ from *-yitṛ*, *-yitṛka*, and not with the Indians and BENFEY² from *-yitṛ*, *-yitṛka*. It forms, therefore, the derivatives from denominatives and causatives. One *vitthārāttā* is = **vistārayitṛka* from *vistāraya-*.

1. On Śak. 9,20 p. 161. Cf. BOLLENSON on Vikr. 20,19, p. 244; PISCHEL, De Kālidāsae Čak. rec. p. 33 f.—2. GGA. 18,6 p. 1226. LASSEN, Inst. p. 134, note has already assumed that the explanation with the help of, *hetuka* that rests on the false reading *-iua*, is wrong. Cf. Chandraśekhara on Śak. 36,12 (p. 180) *utsāhahetav iti saṁskārasya jñānam*.

§ 601. According to § 397 *-mat* and *-vat* become *-manta*, *-vanta* from the strong stems *-mant*, *-vant* (Vr. 4,25; C. 2,20 p. 45; Hc. 2,159; Ki. 2, 140; Mk. fol. 37). In the matter of the suffix Skt. and Pkt. do not agree closely. So AMG. *āyāramanta-* (Dasav. 633,33), but Śkt. *ācāravant-*; AMG. *cittamanta-* (Āyār. 2,1,5,2; p. 133,33; 136,3) = Śkt. *cittavant-*; AMG. *vāṇamanta-*, *gandhamanta-*, *rasamanta-*, *phāsamanta-* = *varṇavant-*, *gandhavant-*, *rasavant-*, *sparsavant-* (Āyār. 2,4,1,4; Sūyag. 565; Jiv. 26; Pannav. 379; Vivāhap. 144); AMG. *vijjāmanta-* = *vidyāvant-* (Uttar. 620); *silamanta-*, *guṇamanta-*, *vāīmanta-* = *śilavant-*, *guṇavant-*, *vāīvant-* (Āyār. 2,1,9,1); *pūpphamanta-* = *pūṣpavant-*, *bījāmanta-* = *bījavant-*, *mūlāmanta-* = *mūlavant-*, *sālāmanta-* = *sālavant-* (Ovav.); A. *guṇamanta-* (Pingala 1,132^a; 2,118), *dhanamanta-* (Pingala 2,45,118), *puṇamanta-* (Pingala 2,94), metrically for *puṇamanta-* (C.; Hc.) = *pūṇavant-*. As regards others, the

corresponding formations have not hitherto been found in the text, as AMg. *pannānamanta*- = **prajñānamant-* (Āyār. 1,4,4,3; 1,6,4,1), *pattamanta*- = **pattramant-*, *hariyamanta*- = **haritamant-* (Ovav.). According to § 398 also the suffix *-māna* in *dhaṇamāna* (C. 2,20 p. 45; Hc. 2,159) = *dhaṇamant*, **dhaṇaman* goes back to *-mant*. — *bhattiranta*- = *baktimant-* (Hc. 2,159).

§ 602. In AMg. numerous adjectives are formed with the *kṛt* suffix *ima*¹, partly from the present stem, which express, that something, connected with the root has happened, may or must happen. They often correspond to the German adjectives in *-bar*. So: *ganthima*, *vedhima*, *pūrima*, *sāṅghāima* from *granthi*, *vest*, *pūraya*-, *sāṅghātaya*- (Āyār. 2,12,1; 2,15,20; Nāyādh. 269; Vivāhap. 823; Jiv. 348; Nandis. 507 etc.; cf. 304, 333); *ubbheima* = *udbhida* (Dasav. 625,13); *khāima*, *sāima* from *khād*, *svādaya*- (Sūyag. 596; Vivāhap. 184; Dasav. 639,14; Uvās.; Nāyādh.; Ovav.; Kappas.); *pāima* from *pācaya*- (Āyār. 2,4,2,7); *pūima*, *apūima*, *māṇima*, *amāṇima* from *pūjaya*-, *mānaya*- (Dasav. 641,14, 15); *bahukhajjima* from *khādyā* from *khād* (Āyār. 2,4,2,15) *bahunivvaṭṭima* from *varlaya*- with *nis* (Āyār. 2,4,2,14 [so to be read]; Daśav. 628,31); *lāima*, *bhajjima* (Āyār. 2,4,2,15; Dasav. 628,34); *vandima*, *avandima* (Dasav. 641,12); *vāhima* (Āyār. 2,4,2,9); *vusima* from *vaśaya*- (Sūyag. 511); *vehima* (Dasav. 628,30); *samtārima*, *sāṁpāima* (Āyār. 2,3,1,13, 14). From the adverbs *purastāt* and **pratyastam* are derived AMg. *purathima* = **purastima* (Bhag.; Kappas., Nāyādh.; Uvās.; Ovav.), and *paccatthima* = **pratyastima* (Bhag.; Uvās.). *purathima* also in JM. *uttarapurathima* (Āv. 14, 10). From it is again derived *purathimilla*, *paccatthimilla* (§ 595). — In A., according to Hc. 4,443, there enters the suffix *-anā* for expressing a characteristical peculiarity: *māraṇā*, *bōllaṇā*, *vajjanā*, *bhasaṇā* = *māraṇāśīla*, *bhasaṇāśīla*, *vādanaśīla* [*vajja-* = *vādya-*], *bhasaṇāśīla*². They correspond to the adjectives in *-ana* in Skt. (WHITNEY § 1150) + *kah svārthe*.

i. HOERNLE, JACOBI, LEUMANN and STEINTHAL posit wrongly the substantive in *-iman*. Many of these adjectives in the neuter are substantively used.—2. Cf. PISCHEL on Hc. 4,443.

§ 603. In the matter of compounds Pkt. is distinguished from Skt. only to the extent that individual members do not follow one another in a strictly logical sequence (Mk. fol. 65)¹. They enter particularly into M., where the metre is often the cause. So M. *dhavalakaoavāvia* for *kaadhavalovavāia* = *kṛtadhavalopavīta* (G. 1); *kāśārvirakumūā* = *virakumudakāśārāh* (G. 271); *virahakaravattadūsaḥaphālijantammi* = *duḥsaḥavirahakarapatrasphālyamāne* (H. 153); *daralambīgo cchakākacchusaccha-hāni* = *daralambīkāpi kacchugucchasadrśam* (H. 533); *kañcuābharanamēttāo* = *kañcukamātrābharanāh* (H. 546); *muhalaghāṇapāvījantaaṁ* = *mukharaghāṇapīyamānapayasam* (R. 2,24); *sāṁkho huvvattanintaraanamaūhaṁ* = *sāṁkṣobhod-vṛttaratnaniryanmayūkham* (R. 5,40); *kaaṇibbharadasadisāṁ* = *nirbharikṛtadaśādiśam* (R. 8,27)²; AMg. *pacchannapalāśa* = *palāśaprachanna* (Āyār. 1,6,1,2); AMg. *lohāgaradhammamāṇḍhamadhamēntaṁ* = *dhmāyamānalohākaradhamamāṇḍhamāyamāṇaghoṣam* (Uvās. § 108)³; AMg. *taṭivimalasarisa* = *vimalaṭadītsa-dṛśa* (Kappas. § 35); AMg. *uḍuvaipāḍipuṇṇasomavaյaṇe* = *pratiपुर्णोduḍati-saumyavadanāh* (Ovav. p. 29,13).

i. JACOBI on Kalpas. § 35, p. 104; BHĀNDĀRKAR, Transactions of the Second Session of the International Congress of Orientalists, London 1876 p. 313, note 6; S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. p. 206, note 7. Cf. HOERNLE, Uvās., Translation, note 201. The scholiasts explain this with *prākyte pūrvanipātānyamah*, a rule that is wrongly assigned to Vṛ. by a scholion on H.546, and which is employed by scholiasts, often without necessity, as can be proved by the collection of instances in S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. p. 329 s. v. *pūrva[ni]pātānyama*. — 2. So rightly S. GOLDSCHMIDT, R. p. 251, note 3. — 3. Cf. Abhayadeva there up on p. 40.

*ADDENDUM AND CORRIGENDUM.

- § 10. The real publisher of KI. is KIELHORN, from whom originated the translation and to whom I am grateful for reference to the inscription. KIELHORN has laid me under hearty obligation not only by his painstaking reading of the latest press correctors, but also with a complete list of amendments in the Sanskrit renderings, in cases where I might have gone astray in the matter of reconstruction through Pkt.
- § 22. p. 21, line 5 ff. from the bottom. On the nature and style, as occurs in modern dramas, cf. GRIERSON, IA. XXIII, p. 110. So it has certainly been the case for many centuries.
- § 34, p. 39,6 read *ten** for *ten**
- § 40, p. 47. Mṛcchakaṭikā is mentioned as Mṛcchakatām by Mk.(fol.82) and Bhāgirathīvaraḍhamāṇa, (fol.79,82) As communicated to me by KIELHORN, the first part of the name Kāṭayavema is written also as Kāṭa and Kāṭama and the second also as Vemaya in the South Indian inscriptions. Accordingly Kāṭavema perhaps may not be wrong.
- § 53, p. 55,44 read Ś. *bahappadi* for *bahappai*.
- § 64, p. 64,3 read JS. *visarida* for *vīsarīja*.

* These corrections were in the original work itself. Through inadvertance they could not be inserted in the translation, where other corrections have been so incorporated.

ABBREVIATIONS AND LIST OF THE EDITIONS USED.

A. = Apabhrāṁśa.

Ā. = Āvanti (§ 26).

Acyutaś. = Acyutāśataka. Madras 1872.

Adbhutad. = Adbhutadarpaṇa ed. PARAB. Bombay 1896 = Kāvyamālā. 55.

AMg. = Ardhamāgadhi.

Amṛtodaya ed. ŚIVADATTA and PARAB. Bombay 1897 = Kāvyamālā. 59.

Anarghar. = Anargharāghava ed. DURGĀPRASĀDA and PARAB. Bombay 1887 = Kāvyamālā. 5.

Antag. = Antagadādasāo. Calcutta Saṁvat 1931.

Anuog. = Anuogadārasutta. Calcutta Saṁvat 1936.

Anuttar. = Anuttarovavāīyasutta. Calcutta Saṁvat 1931.

Arch. S. of W. I. = Archeological Survey of Western India.

Aup. S. = Aupapātiķa Sūtra. By ERNST LEUMANN. Leipzig 1883.

Av. = Āvaśyaka-Erzählungen ed. LEUMANN, Leipzig. 1897.

Ājār. = Ājāraṅgasutta ed. JACOBI. London 1812. The Calcutta edition of Saṁvat 1936 too has been used.

Bālar. = Bālarāmāyaṇa ed. GOVINDA DEVA ŠA'TRI, Benares 1869.

BB. = BEZZENBERGER's Beiträge zur Kunde der Indogermaischen Sprachen.

Bh. = Bhāmaha.

Bhag. = WEBER, Über ein Fragment der Bhagavatī. Berlin 1866. 1867.

Bhartṛharinirveda ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. BOMBAY 1892 = Kāvyamālā. 29.

BKSGW. = Berichte der Kgl. Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften.

B.-R. = BÖHTLINGK und ROTH, Sanskrit Wörterbuch.

BW. = BÖHTLINGK, Sanskrit-Wörterbuch in kürzerer Fassung.

C. = Cānda.

Cait. = Caitanyacandrodaya ed. RA'JENDRALA'L MITTRA. Calcutta 1854.

Cāṇḍak. = Cāṇḍakauśikam ed. JAGANMOHANAŚARMAN. Calcutta Saṁvat 1924.

Cat. Cat. = Catalogus Catalogarum.

CP. = Cūlikāpaiśācī.

D. = Dākṣiṇātyā.

Dasav. = Dasaveyāliyasyutta ed. LEUMANN, ZDMG. 46,581 ff.

Dasav. N. = Dasaveyāliyānijjutti see Dasav.

De gr. Pr. = De grammaticis Prācriticis. Vratislaviae 1874.

Deśin. = Hemacandra, Deśināmamālā ed. PISCHEL. Bombay 1880.

Dh. = Dhakki.

Dhanamajav. = Dhanamjayavijaya ed. ŚIVADATTA and PARAB, Bombay 1895 = Kāvyamālā. 54.

Dhūrtas. = Dhūrtasamāgama ed. CAPPELLER o. O. u. J.

Dhvanyāloka ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. Bombay 1891 = Kāvyamālā. 25.

Dūtāṅgada ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. Bombay 1891 = Kāvyamālā. 28.

Dvār. = Die Jaina Legende von dem Untergange Dvāravatis ... by H. JACOBI. ZDMG. 42,493 ff.

EI. = *Epigraphia Indica.*

Erz. = *Ausgewählte Erzählungen in Māhārāṣṭri* by H. JACOBI, Leipzig.
1886.

G.=*Gāudavaho* ed. Sh. P. PĀNDIT. Bombay 1887.

GGA. = *Göttingische gelehrte Anzeigen.*

GN. = *Nachrichten von der Königl. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen.*

Grr. = *Grammarians.*

H. = *Hāla, The Sapaśatakam of -.* Published by A. WEBER. Leipzig 1881. Cf. § 13. The edition of DURGĀPRASĀDA and PARAB, Bombay 1889 = Kāvyamālā. 2, too has been used.

Hāsy. = *Hāsyārṇava* ed. CAPPELLER o. O. u. J.

Hc.=Hemacandra.

IA.=*Indian Antiquary.*

IAtt.=*Indische Alterthumskunde.*

IF.=*Indogermanische Forschungen.*

Inst.=*Institutiones lingue Pracrittcae.*

IS. = *Indische Studien.*

IStr. = *Indische Streifen.*

JA. = *Journal Asiatique.*

JASB. = *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal.*

JBoAS. = *Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society.*

Jiv. = *Jīvābhigamasutta.* Ahmedābād saṁvat 1939.

Jivān. = *Jīvānandana* ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. Bombay 1891 = Kāvyamālā. 27.

JM. = *Jaina-Māhārāṣṭri.*

JRAS. = *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society.*

JS.=*Jaina-Śauraseni.*

Kāleyak.=Kāleyakutūhalam. o. O. 1882.

Kalpal.=Pkl.

Kalpasūtra ed. JACOBI. LEIPZIG 1879; see Kappas.

Karṇav. = Karṇavadha ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. Bombay 1888 = Kāvyamālā. 6.

Kappas. = Kappasutta; see Kalpasūtra.

Karṇas. = Karṇasundari ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. Bombay 1888 = Kāvyamālā. 7.

Karp. = Karpūramafijari ed. KONOW (cf. § 22, note 7). The edition of DURGĀPRASĀD and PARABA. Bombay 1887=Kāvyamālā. 4 too has been used.

Kattig.=Kattigeyānupekkhā (§ 21).

KB.=KUHN's. Beiträge.

KI.=Kakkuka Inscription (§ 10).

Ki. = Kramadisvara.

Kk.=Kālakācāryakathānakam. By H. JACOBI ZDMG. 34,247ff. Kk. II.
III. By E. LEUMANN. ZDMG. 37. 493 ff.

KZ.=KUHN's Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung.

Lalitav. = Lalitavigharājanātīka ed. KIELHORN, GN. 1893, p. 552 ff.

Laṭakam.=Laṭakamelaka ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. Bombay 1889=Kāvyamālā. 20,

M. = Māhārāṣṭri.

Mahāv. = Mahāviracarita ed. TRITHEN. London 1848. Beside it has been used the edition of AIYAR, RANGACHARIAR and PARAB. Bombay 1892.

Mālatīm. = Mālatimādhava ed. BHANDARKAR. Bombay 1876. Beside it have been used the editions of KAILASH CHANDRA DUTT, Calcutta 1866, of MANGESH RĀMAKRISHNA TELANG, Bombay 1892 and an edition in Telugu script, of which the title page is wanting in my copy.

Mālav. = Mālavikā ed. BOLLENSEN. Leipzig 1879. Besides the editions of TULLBERG, Bonnae ad Rhenum 1840 and of SH. P. PANDIT, Second edition, Bombay 1889 have been used.

Mallikām. = Mallikāmārutam ed. JIBANANDA VIDYASAGAR. Calcutta 1878.

Mg. = Māgadhi.

Mk. = Mārkaṇḍeya.

Mṛcch. = Mṛcchakaṭikā ed. STENZLER. Bonnae 1847. The editions Calcutta 1829, of Rāmamayaśarmatarkaratna, Calcutta śakābdāh 1792, and particularly the valuable edition of NĀRĀYANA BĀLAKRISHNA GODABOLE, Bombay 1884 too have been used.

Mudrār. = Mudrārāksasa ed. KĀSHINĀTH TR MBAK TELANG. Bombay 1884. Beside it the edition Calcutta 1831 and that of TARANĀTHA-TARKAVĀCASPATI, Calcutta saṁvat 1926 have been used.

Mukund. = Mukundānanda Bhāṇa ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. Bombay 1889 = Kāvyamālā. 16.

Nāgān. = Nāgānanda ed. GOVIND BAHIRAV BRAHME and SHIVARAM MAHADEO PARANJAPE. Poona 1893. Beside it the edition of JIBANANDA VIDYASAGARA, Calcutta 1873.

Nandis. = Nandisutta. Calcutta saṁvat 1936.

Nāyādh. = Nāyādhammakahā. Calcutta saṁvat 1933. In places where the quotations are given according to paragraphs and not according to page or without mention of details they refer to: Specimen der Nāyādhammakahā. Inaugural Dissertation ... of P. STEINTHAL. Leipzig 1881.

Nirayāv. = Nirayāvaliyāo. Benares saṁvat 1941. Citations according to paragraphs or without mention of details relate to Nirayāvalisuttam ... by S. WARREN. Amsterdam 1879.

OST. = Original Sanskrit Texts.

Ovav. = Ovavāyiśasutta. Calcutta saṁvat 1936. The citation is according to the edition: Das Aupapātiķa Sūtra ... by ERNST LEUMANN. Leipzig 1883.

P. = Paiśāci.

Paiyāl. = Pāiylacchi ed. BÜHLER. Göttingen 1878.

Pañhāv. = Panhāvāgarāṇām. Caicutta saṁvat 1933.

Paṇṇav. = Paṇṇavaṇā. Benares saṁvat 1940.

Pārvatip. = Pārvatipariṇaya ed. MANGESH RĀMAKRISHNA TELANG. Bombay 1892. Beside it the edition of GLASER, Wien 1883.

Pav. = Pavayaṇasāra (§ 21).

PG. = Pallava Grant (§ 10).

Piṅgala = The Prākṛita-Piṅgala-Sūtras ... ed. SIVADATTA and PARAB. Bombay 1884 = Kāvyamālā. 41.

Pkl. = Prākṛtakalpalatikā. Cited according to the sketch in RISHIKESH

SASTRI, A Prakrita Grammar. Calcutta 1883, a book to which the references are to pages.

Pkt. = Prākrit.

Prab.=Prabodhacandrodaya ed. BROCKHAUS. Lipsiae 1845. 1845. Beside it the editions Pūnā 1851 (P.), those of VĀSUDEVAŚARMĀN, Bombay 1898 (B.), and SARASVATI TIRUVEMKATĀCĀRYA, Madras 1884 (M.) in Telugu print have been used.

Pracāṇḍap.=Pracāṇḍapāṇḍava ... published by CARL CAPPELLER. Strassburg 1885. Beside it has been used the edition : The Bālabhārata ... ed. DURGĀPRASĀDA and PARABA. Bombay 1887. = Kāvyamālā 4.

Pras.=Prasannarāghava ed. GOVINDADEVAŚA'STRI. Benares 1868.

Pratāp.=Pratāparudriya. Madras 1868. Telugu print.

Priyad.=Priyadarśikā ed. VISHNU DĀJI GADRE'. Bombay 1884. The edition of JĪVĀNANDA VIDYĀSĀGARA, Calcutta saṁvat 1931 too has been used.

Proc. ASB.=Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal.

R.=Rāvanavaha or Setubandha...published by SIEGFRIED GOLDSCHMIDT, Strassburg 1880. The edition of ŚIVADĀTTA and PARAB, Bombay 1895=Kāvyamālā. 47 too has been used.

Ratn.=Ratnāvalī ed. CAPPELLER in : Sanskrit-Chrestomathie published by OTTO BÖHTLINGK. Second edition. St. Petersburg 1877, p.290 ff.

Rāyap.=Rāyapañenaiyāsutta [Calcutta saṁvat 1936].

Rśabhap.=Rishabhapañcācikā. By JOH. KLATT in ZDMG. 33,445 ff. Beside it the edition of DURGĀPRASĀDA and PARABA, Bombay 1890=Kāvyamālā. Part VII, p. 124 ff.

Rukmiṇip.=Rukmiṇipariṇaya ed. ŚIVADĀTTA and PARAB. Bombay 1894 Kāvyamālā. 40.

Rv. = Rāmatarkavāgiśa.

S. = Sauraseni.

Sagara=A Jainistische Bearbeitung der Sagara-sage. Inaugural-Dissertation ... of RICHARD FICK. Kiel 1888.

Sāhityad.=Sāhityadarpaṇa ed. RÖER. Calcutta 1853.

Sak.=Šakuntalā ed. PISCHEL. Kiel 1877.

Samav.=Samavāyāngasutta. Benares 1880.

Sarasvatik.=Sarasvatikanṭhbhāraṇa ed. BOROOAH. Calcutta 1883.

SAW.=Sitzungsberichte der Kais. Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien.

SBE.=Sacred Books of East.

Skt.=Sanskrit.

Sr.=Śimharāja.

Subhadr.=Subhadrāharaṇa ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. Bombay 1888=Kāvyamālā. 9.

Śukas.=Śukasaptati. Textus simplicior. Published by RICHARD SCHMIDT. Leipzig. 1893.

Sūyag.=Sūyagaḍāṅgasutta. Bombay saṁvat 1936.

T. = Tīrthakalpa=BÜHLER, A Legend of the Jaina Stūpa at Mathurā. Wien 1897=SAW. Vol. CXXXVII.

Thāṇ.=Thānaṅgasutta. Benares saṁvat 1937.

Triv.=Trivikrama.

Unmattar.=Unmattarāghava ed. DURGĀPRASĀD and PARAB. Bombay 1889=Kāvyamālā. 17.

Uttar. = *Uttarajjhāyāṇasutta*. Calcutta sāṁvat 1936.

Uttarar. = *Uttarārāmacarita* ed. TA'RA' KUMA'RĀ CHACKRAVARTI. Calcutta 1870. The edition Calcutta 1831 and that of PREMACHANDRA TARKABA'GĪŚA [sic], Calcutta 1862 too have been used.

Uvās. = *Uvāsagadasāo* ed. HOERNLE. Calcutta 1890.

Ved. Stud. = PISCHEL und GELDNER, *Vedische Studien*.

Venīs. = *Venīśamhāra*. Published by JULIUS GRILL, Leipzig. 1871. Beside it the edition of KEDARA NATHA TARKARATNA, Calcutta 1870.

VG. = *Vijayabuddhavarman* Grant (§ 10).

Viddhaś. = *Viddhaśālabhañjikā* ed. BHĀSKAR RĀMACHANDRA ĀTRE. Poona 1886. Beside it the edition of JIVĀNANDA VIDYĀ-SĀGĀRA, Calcutta 1873.

Vidyāp. = *Vidyāparinaya* ed. ŚIVADATTA and PARAB. Bombay 1893 = Kāvyamālā. 39.

Vikr. = *Vikramorvaśi* ... published by F. BOLLENSEN. St. Petersburg 1846.

Vivāgas. = *Vivāgasuṣya*. Calcutta sāṁvat 1933.

Vivāhap. = *Vivāhapannatti*. Benares sāṁvat 1938.

Vr. = Vararuci.

Vṛṣabh. = *Vṛṣabhānujā* ed. ŚIVADATTA and PARAB. Bombay 1895 = Kāvyamālā. 46.

WZKM. = Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes.

ZDMG. = Zeitschrift für Deutschen Morganlandes Gesellschaft.

ZWS. = Zeitschrift für die Wissenschaft der Sprache.

I. GENERAL INDEX.

The references are to paragraphs

- Absolutive 581-594;—in the sense of the infinitive 585, 588, 590.
Accent system of Prākrit 46.
Anunāsika 179,180.
Anusvāra 178—183;— in the final syllable with unsteady lengthening 75;— for nasal 269,348,349;— often disappears in the final syllable 350.
Aorist 516,517;— of the passive 549.
Ardhadandra 179,180,350.
Aspirates become *h* 188;— disinclination against survival of the second 214;— in lieu of non-aspirates 205—211; reduplication of 193.
Aspiration, loss of 213;— secondary 214.
Aspiration of nasals and semi-vowels 210;— of sibilants 211;— omission of 301 ff.
Assimilation, law of 54.
Assimilation of conjunct mutes 270.
Assimilation of vowels 177.
Ātmanepada 452,457.
Bindu 179, note 3,180.
Causative 490,491,551-554.
Cerebrals become dentals 225;— for dentals 289-294,308,309,333.
Comparison 414.
Composita, irregular 603.
Consonant groups, simplified after long vowels 87.
Consonants, reduplicated 90,92,193-197;— conjunct 268—334;— dropping off and entry of, in the initial syllable 335-338; in the final syllable 339-352; in the initial syllable 184-185; in the medial syllable 187-192.
Consonantal stems, remnants of 355, 413.
Constructions, unusual 362.
Courtésans 30,
Dative in Prākrit 361,364.
Declension of nouns 353—413;— of numerals 435-449;— of pronouns 414-434;— consonantal, lost 355.
Degrees of Comparison 414.
Denominative 490,491,557-559.
Dentals become cerebrals 218-224, become palatals 216; for palatals 215.
Desiderative 555.
Dissimilation, law of 54.
Dropping of syllables 150;— of vowels 141-146,171,175.
Dropping out of syllables 149;— of vowels 148.
Dual, loss of 360.
Elevation of vowels 77,78.
Endings of the verb 453-471.
Epenthesis 176.
Future 520-534;— of the passive 549.
Gutturals become labials 266.
Gender, change of, in Prākrit 356-359;
Imperative 467-471.
Imperfect 515.
Indicative of the ātmanepada 457-458;— of the parasmaipada 453-456.
Infinitive, 573—580; 453—456. in the sense of the absolutive 576, 577,579;— passive 580;— of *tyaj* 590, note 1.
Instrumental in *-sā* of *ā*-stems 364.
Intensive 556.
Labials for gutturals 215,230,231, 266,286.
Languages, three 4;— four 4;— six 4.
Lēna-dialect 7.
Lengthening of short vowels 62-73.
Masculine as feminine 358;— as neuter 358.
Mediae, shifting to tenues 190,191;— aspiration of 209.
Metathesis of syllables 354.

- Nasals become *anusvāra* 269.
 Nasal vowels 178-183;—in lieu of lengthened vowels 74;—short, lengthened with loss of nasalisation 76;—in lieu of long vowels 86;—long, retained with loss of nasalisation 89
 Neuter in *-as* as masculine 356;—in *-a* as masculine 357;—in *-an* as masculine 358;—from masculine 358;—as feminine 358.
 Nouns, declension of 355-413;—derived from the present stem 197.
 Numerals 435-451.
 Optative 459;—in the sense of other moods 466.
 Palatals become dentals 215;—for dentals 216.
 Participle 560-572.
 Participle, present *parasmaipada* 560;—present *ātmanepada* 561-563;—past *parasmaipada* 569;—past passive 564-568;—necessitatis 570-572.
 Passive 535-550;—in the sense of the pres. *parasmaip.* 550;—in the sense of the fut. *parasmaip.* 550.
 Perfect 518.
 Pluperfect 519.
 Positive degree in lieu of the comparative 414.
 Prākrit, the best 2;—in a wider sense 3;—four classes of 3;—syntax of 8;—of inscriptional monuments 10;—two types of 16;—language of women 30; spoken by men too 30.
 Prākrit grammarians, value of indigenous 42.
 Prākrit languages 1;—are artificial languages 5,6;—and Vedic 6;—and middle and new Indian popular languages 7,8.
- Precative 466.
 Present 453—514.
 Preterite, presumptive 515.
 Pronoun, declension of 415—434.
 Pronoun, taken into neuter from the masculine 357.
 Provincialisms 9.
 Reduplication of consonants 90,193-197.
 Saṁdhi Consonants 353.
 Saṁdhi, vocalic 156-175.
 Saṁprasāraṇa 151-155.
 Sanskrit, not the single source of Prākrit 6.
 Separation-vowels 131-140;—without influence on phonetic laws 131.
 Shortening of long vowels 79—100.
 Sibilant+semi-vowel 315;—+nasal 301-311;—=h 262-264.
 Suffix, doubled 206.
 Syllables, metathesis of 354.
 Tenues become mediae 192, 198-200, 202;—+sibilant 316.
 Thrusting out of consonants 186.
 Transfer of verb of the 1. class into those of the 6. Class 432.
 Turning about of breath 212.
 Variation of gender 356-359.
 Verb 452-494.
 Verbal nouns 560-594.
 Vowels, short, lengthened 62-76;—short, elevated 77-78;—long, shortened 79-100; long, contracted, not shortened 82; long, retained before consonant groups 87;—with bindu=long vowels 348;—in *Apabhrāma* 100;—falling off of 141-141;—dropping out of 148;—entry of 147.
 Women, as authors of Pkt. 14;—speak partly Skt., partly Pkt. 30.
 Writing, holy, of the Śvetāmbaras 10.
- B.
- a* becomes *i* 101-103, *u* 104-106, apparently *e* 107.
a-stems, declension of 363-373.
-a=*-ka*=598.
-am, *-am* becomes *-u* 351.
-ada, *-adi* 599.
- ana*, *-anahā*, *-añahī* 579.
-anaa 602.
Apabhrāma 3-5, 28,29;—*Nāgara*, *Vṛacāda*, *Upanāgara* 28.
Aparajita 13.
Appayajvan 41.

- Appayadikṣita 41.
 Abhimāna 13.
 Abhimānacihna 13,36.
 $a_r, ah=o$ 342,343.
 Ardhamāgadhi 16-19.
 Avantisundari 36.
 Avahaṭṭhabhāsā 28.
 $as, ah=o$ 345,347;—=e 345;—=a
 347;—=u 346.
 \bar{a} becomes i 108,109, ī 110, u 111,
 is shortened 113, interchanges
 with am , \bar{a} 114.
 \bar{a} , prefix, retained 88.
 \bar{a} -stems, declension of, 374-376.
 \bar{a} -roots, flexion of 487,492.
 $-\bar{ae}$ 593.
 Ānandavardhana 14.
 Ārṣam 16,17.
 $-\bar{al}a$, $-\bar{ala}$ 595.
 $-\bar{alu}$, $-\bar{ala}$ 595.
 Āvantī 26.
- i becomes u 117,118, ē 119, apparent-
 ly a 115,116.
 i -stems, declension of 377—388.
 i -roots, flexion of 473.
 $-\bar{i}$ 594.
 $-\bar{evvai}$ 570.
 $-\bar{ika}$ 598.
 $-\bar{itta}, -\bar{ittaa}$ 600.
 $-\bar{ittae}$ 578.
 $-\bar{ittu}$ 577.
 $-\bar{itthā}, -\bar{itha}$ 517.
 $-\bar{ima}$ 602.
 $-\bar{ija}$ 598.
 $-\bar{ira}$ 596.
 $-\bar{ire}$ 458.
 $-\bar{illa}, -\bar{illaa}$ 595.
- ī becomes e 121, ē, e 122.
 \bar{i} -stems, declension of 377—388.
 \bar{i} -roots, flexion of 474.
- u becomes a 123, i 124, ō 125, = am ,
 am 351.
 u -stems, declension of 377-388.
 u -roots, flexion of 473-494.
 $-\bar{ua}, -\bar{u}ja$ 118.
 $-\bar{u}na$ 584.
 $-\bar{um}, -\bar{u}, =-\bar{ham}$ 352.
 udaysaubhāgyaṇin 29,36.
 uddhṛta 164, note 1.
 udvṛtta 164.
 Upanāgara Apabhrāmśa 28,
- ulla, -ullaa 595.
 $-\bar{ulla}da, -\bar{ulla}daa$ 599.
 $-\bar{u}$ becomes ō 127.
 \bar{u} -stems, declension of 377-388.
 \bar{u} -roots, flexion of 473,494.
 $-\bar{u}na$ 584,586; - $\bar{u}nam$ 584,585.
 \bar{r} retained 47, becomes a, i, u 47-55,
 ri 56, li 56.
 r -stems, declension of 389-392.
 r -roots, flexion of 477,478.
 \bar{r} becomes ī, ū 58.
 \bar{r} roots, conjugation of 477,478.
- e becomes ē 85,94,95, i 79-82,85, ē, i
 84,85,128, =-ai from - ati 166, =
 a 129, =-ar, as, ah 344,345.
 \bar{e} 45, from ai before double conso-
 nants 60, from e 84,85, from i 122,
 becomes e 66,122.
 e -stems in the verb 472.
 $-\bar{evā}$ 570.
 $-\bar{evā}$ 579.
 $-\bar{evvai}$ 570.
- ai retained 60, becomes e, ē 60,61,
 ai 61, i 84.
 ai -roots, conjugation of 479.
- o becomes ō 85,94,95, becomes u 85,
 \bar{o} , u 84,85,130,346, =-ar, ah 342,
 343, =-as, ah 345,347.
 \bar{o} 45, from au before double consonants
 61^a , from o 84, lengthened 66,127,
 becomes u 84.
 o -stems, declension of 393.
- au retained 61^a, becomes o, ō, aū 61^a,
 u 84.
 au -stems, declension of 394.
 Auārdyacintāmaṇi 41.
- ka becomes ga 202, kha 206, ca 230,
 va 230.
 $-\bar{ka}$ 578.
 Kakkuka, inscription of 10.
 $-\bar{kam}$ becomes - um , - \bar{u} 352.
 Kātyāyana 32.
 Kālāpāḥ 36.
 $kupā ci$ p. 408.
 Kṛṣṇapāṇḍita 41.
 Kaikeyapaiśāca 27.
 Kohala 31.
 $kka=ska$ 302, =-ska 306, =- hka = 329.
 $khā=ska$, $skha$ 302;—=ska, skha
 306;—=hka, hkhā 329.

<i>kma</i> becomes <i>ppa</i> 277.	<i>j̄ha</i> = <i>dhya</i> 280; — = <i>dhva</i> 299; = <i>kṣa</i> 326; = <i>hya</i> 331.
Kramadiśvara 37.	<i>jñā</i> becomes <i>nna</i> 276, <i>jja</i> 276, <i>ñja</i> 276, <i>ñña</i> 276.
<i>kṣa</i> becomes <i>kkha</i> , <i>ccha</i> 317-322; — becomes <i>ha</i> 323; becomes <i>ska</i> <i>hka</i> 324; — becomes <i>j̄ha</i> 326.	<i>jha</i> = <i>hya</i> 331, becomes <i>yha</i> 236. — see <i>j̄ha</i> .
<i>kṣṇa</i> becomes <i>ñha</i> 312.	<i>ñna</i> 237.
<i>kṣma</i> becomes <i>mha</i> 312.	<i>ñca</i> becomes <i>ñna</i> 273.
<i>kha</i> becomes <i>gha</i> 202; — = <i>sa</i> 265; — = <i>kṣa</i> 317, 319, 320, 321.	<i>ñja</i> becomes <i>ñña</i> 274.
- <i>kha</i> 206, 598.	<i>ta</i> becomes <i>da</i> 198, <i>dh</i> 201, <i>la</i> 238.
<i>kkha</i> see <i>kṣa</i> , <i>kha</i> .	<i>tta</i> becomes <i>sta</i> 271, <i>śta</i> 271; — = <i>rta</i> 289; — = <i>tra</i> 292.
<i>ga</i> becomes <i>gha</i> 209, <i>va</i> 231, <i>ma</i> 231, from <i>va</i> 231, for <i>ja</i> 234, for <i>ya</i> 254.	<i>ṭṭu</i> 577.
- <i>ga</i> = - <i>ka</i> 598.	<i>t̄tha</i> = <i>śta</i> , <i>ś̄tha</i> 303; — = <i>sta</i> , <i>sthā</i> 308, 309; — = <i>r̄tha</i> 290.
Gāudavaho 15.	<i>tha</i> becomes <i>dha</i> 198, 239, <i>ha</i> 239; see <i>t̄ha</i> .
Gāhā 12.	<i>da</i> becomes <i>la</i> 240, <i>ra</i> 241.
Gitagovinda 32.	- <i>da</i> 599.
Guṇāḍhya 27.	<i>ḍḍa</i> = <i>rda</i> 291; — = <i>dra</i> 294.
Gopāla 36.	<i>ḍḍha</i> = <i>rdha</i> 291.
<i>gma</i> becomes <i>gga</i> , <i>mma</i> 277.	<i>ḍha</i> remains unchanged 242, secondary, becomes <i>lha</i> 242.
grāmyabhbā 27.	Dhakki 25.
<i>ca</i> becomes <i>ja</i> 202, <i>yca</i> 217.	<i>na</i> becomes <i>la</i> 243, <i>na</i> 225, 243, <i>ñna</i> 243.
Canda 34.	Nandiudḍha 13.
Cāndalī 24.	<i>nha</i> = <i>sna</i> , <i>śna</i> , <i>sna</i> 312, 313; — = <i>kṣna</i> 312; — = <i>hna</i> , <i>hnā</i> 330.
Candidevaśarman 37.	<i>t</i> stems, declension of 395-398.
Candra 34.	<i>ta</i> in AMg. JM. 203, becomes <i>da</i> 185, 192, 203, 204, <i>ta</i> 218, <i>da</i> 218, 219, <i>tha</i> 207, <i>la</i> , <i>la</i> 244, <i>ra</i> 245.
Candraśekhara 41.	<i>tajja</i> 8.
Campaarāa 13.	<i>tattulya</i> 8.
Caska (?) 27.	<i>tatsama</i> 8.
Cūlikāpaiśāci 27.	<i>tadbhava</i> 8.
<i>cca</i> = <i>t̄ya</i> 280; — = <i>tva</i> 299; — = <i>śca</i> 301.	- <i>tuāñna</i> 584.
- <i>ccā</i> , - <i>ccāñam</i> , - <i>wāñna</i> 587.	<i>tuāñam</i> 584.
<i>ccha</i> = <i>thya</i> 280; — = <i>thva</i> 299; — = <i>śca</i> , <i>ścha</i> 301; — = <i>kṣa</i> 317, 318, 320, 321; — = <i>tsa</i> 327; — = <i>psa</i> 328.	- <i>tuāñna</i> 584, 586.
<i>cha</i> = aspirate sibilant 211; — becomes <i>śca</i> 233; — see <i>ccha</i> .	<i>tta</i> = <i>t̄ya</i> 281; — = <i>tra</i> , <i>rta</i> 288; — = <i>tva</i> 298, 597; — = <i>sta</i> 307.
Chekotkivicāralilā 13.	- <i>ttae</i> 578.
<i>ja</i> becomes <i>ca</i> 202, <i>jha</i> 209, <i>yja</i> 217, <i>ga</i> 234, <i>ya</i> 236.	- <i>ttana</i> = <i>tvana</i> 597.
Jaavvallahām 14.	- <i>ttāñam</i> 583.
Jayadeva 32.	<i>t̄ha</i> = <i>tra</i> 293; — = <i>sta</i> , <i>sthā</i> 307.
Jayaballabha 12, 14.	Trivikrama 38
Jūmaranandin 37.	<i>tsa</i> , <i>tsa</i> becomes <i>ssa</i> , <i>sa</i> 327a.
Jaina Māhārāṣtri 16, 20.	
Jaina Prākrit 16.	
Jaina Śauraseni 21.	
Jaina Saurāṣtri 20.	
<i>jja</i> = <i>dya</i> 280; — = <i>yya</i> 284; — = <i>rya</i> 284; — = <i>dva</i> 299.	

<i>tha</i> becomes <i>dha</i> 203, <i>dhā</i> 221.	<i>-piṇu</i> 588.
<i>-tha</i> 207.	Puṣpavanānātha 41.
<i>-thi</i> 207.	<i>pūrvanipātāniyama</i> 603, note 1.
<i>da</i> becomes <i>dha</i> 209, <i>da</i> 222, <i>ta</i> 190, 191, <i>la</i> 244, 245, <i>la</i> 244, <i>ra</i> 245.	Paiśācīka, °ki 27.
Dahamuhavaho 15.	Paiśācī 3, 27; eleven kinds of 27;— three kinds of 27.
Dākṣiṇātyā 26.	Poṭṭisa 13.
Digambara, canon of 21.	<i>ppa=tma</i> 277, = <i>tva</i> 300; = <i>spha</i> 305; = <i>hpā</i> 329.
<i>-dūṇa</i> 584.	<i>-ppana</i> = <i>tvana</i> 300, 597.
Devarāja 13, 33, 36.	<i>-ppi</i> = <i>tvī</i> 300, 588, <i>-ppiṇu</i> = <i>tvīnam</i> 300, 588.
<i>desabhaśā</i> 4, 5.	<i>ppha</i> = <i>spha</i> . <i>spha</i> 305: = <i>spha</i> , <i>spha</i> 311; = <i>hpā</i> , <i>hpā</i> 329.
Deśī 8, 9.	Prakāśikā 36.
Deśināmamālā 36.	Pravarasena 13, 15.
Deśiprakāśa 41.	Prākṛta, derivation of 1, 9, 16, 30.
Deśiprasiddha 8.	Prākṛtakalpataru 41.
Deśimata 8.	Prākṛtakalpalatikā 43.
Deśisabdasaṃgraha 36.	Prākṛtakāmadhenu 41.
Deśya 8, 9.	Prākṛtalauḍudi 41.
Drona 36.	Prākṛtacandrikā 41.
Dronācārya 36.	Prākṛtadipikā 37.
<i>dha</i> becomes <i>dha</i> 223, <i>tha</i> 191.	Prākṛtapada 37.
Dhanapāla 35, 36.	Prākṛtapādatikā 37.
dhātvādeśa 9.	Prākṛtaprakāśa 33.
<i>n</i> becomes <i>anusvāra</i> 348.	Prākṛtaprabodha 36.
<i>n</i> -stems, declension of 399.	Prākṛtabhāśāntaravīdhāna 34.
<i>na</i> becomes <i>ṇa</i> 224, <i>ña</i> 243; <i>la</i> 247, remains <i>na</i> 224.	Prākṛtamāñjari 33.
<i>na</i> as the first member of a compound instead of <i>a</i> 171.	Prākṛtamāñidipa 41.
<i>naksatra</i> , etymology of 270, note 3.	Prākṛtarūpāvatāra 39.
Nandivṛddha 13.	Prākṛtalakṣaṇa 31, 34.
Narasimha 41.	Prākṛtalaṅkeśvara Rāvaṇa 41.
Narendracandraśūri 36.	Prākṛtavyākaraṇa 38, 41.
Nāgara Apabhramśa 28.	Prākṛtasābdapradipikā 41.
Nāgoba 39.	Prākṛtasāñjivani 40.
Nāraiyāṇa Vidyāvinodācārya 37.	Prākṛtasarvasva 40.
Nṛsiṁha 41.	Prākṛtasāroddhāravṛtti 34.
<i>nta</i> becomes <i>nda</i> 275.	Prākṛtasāhityaratnākara 41.
<i>nda</i> for <i>nta</i> 275.	Prākṛtānanda 39.
<i>pha</i> becomes <i>va</i> 199, <i>ba</i> 192, <i>pha</i> 208, <i>bha</i> 209, <i>ma</i> 248.	Prācyā 22.
Pañcabānalilā 14.	<i>pha</i> becomes <i>bha</i> 200, <i>ha</i> 188, 200.
Paiyālacchi 35.	<i>ba</i> becomes <i>va</i> 201, <i>ma</i> 250, <i>bha</i> 209.
Pāñcāla Paiśācīka 27.	Bappairā 15.
Pāṭaliputra 238, note 2, 292.	Bāhlīki 24.
Pāṇini 31.	Bṛhatkathā 27.
Pāḍalipta 36.	<i>bbā</i> = <i>dva</i> 300.
Pāḍaliptācārya 13.	<i>bbha</i> = <i>hva</i> 332.
Pālitta, Pālitaa 13.	<i>bha</i> = <i>hva</i> 332, from <i>ba</i> 209.
<i>-pi</i> 588.	Bhaṭṭendurāja 14.
Piṅgalachandahsūtra 29.	Bharata 31, 36.

Bhāṣābheda 41.

Bhāṣārṇava 41.

Bhāṣāvivecana 40.

bhāṣāḥ 3,4.

Bhuvanapāla 13.

Bhūtabhāṣā 27.

Bhūtabhāṣita 27.

Bhūtavacana 27.

Bhautika 27.

m becomes anusvāra 348; is retained before vowels, when a short syllable is required 348, when emphasis lies on the word ending in *m* 349;—false for *m̄* 349;—as a saṃdhi-consonant 353.

ma becomes ~*a* 251, *va* 251;—= *śma*, *sma* 312.

Madhumathanavijaya 13,14.

Manoramā 33.

-*manta* 601.

Malaasehara 13.

Mahumahavīa 13,15.

Māgadhapaiśācīka 27.

Māgadhi 17,18,23.

Mārkandeya Kavīndra 40.

Māhārāṣṭri 2,12—15,18.

-*mīna* 562.

Mṛgāṅkalekhākathā 13.

-*mīmī*=-*smin* 313.

-*mīsi*=-*smin* 312;=*smin* 313.

-*mīmī*= *smin* 313.

mha=*kṣma*, *śma*, *śma*, *sma* 312,313;=*hma* 330.

-*mhv*, ending of the 1. plur. of the imp. 470.

y in conjunction with consonants and semi-vowels 279-286, disappears behind *r* after *ī*, *ū* 284, thrust forward 336, as saṃdhi consonant 353.

ya becomes *ja* 252, *ra* 255, *la* 255, drops off 186,252, is retained 252, in PG. VG. 252.

-*ja* = -*ka* 598.

jaśruti 187.

jāñā,= *jāñām* 592.

yea=*ca* 217.

yja=*ja* 217.

yva=*dya* 280; *rya* 284.

yha=*hya* 331.

yyha=*dhyā* 280.

r becomes *l* 285, after consonants

retained 268, in conjunction with consonants and semi-vowels 287-295, in the final syllable 341-344, as saṃdhi-consonants 353.

ra becomes *la* 256,257, *da* 258.

Raghunāthaśarman 39.

Ratnadeva 14.

Rayañāvali 36.

Rasavatī 37.

Rājaśekhara 13,22.

Rāmatarkavāgiśa 41.

Rāmadāsa 15.

Rāvaṇa 41.

Rāvanavaho 15.

Rāhulaka 36.

l becomes *ili*, *li* 59.

l becomes *m* 260,296, in conjunction with consonants and semi-vowels 295.

la becomes *la* 260, *na*, *na* 260, for *ra* 259, in place of *la* 226.

la=*ta*, *da*, *na* 226, in place of *la* 240.

Lakṣmidhara 39.

laghuprayatnatarayakāra 187.

Lankeśvara 41.

Lalitavigraharājanāṭaka 10,11.

lla=*dda*=*dra* 294.

lha=*hla* 330.

v in conjunction with consonants and semi-vowels 297-300, prothesis of 337.

va becomes *ga* 231, *ṛva* 261, *ma* 261, for *ga* 231, for *ma* 251,277,312, for *ya* 254.

Vajjälagga 12,14.

-*vanta* 601.

Vararuci 32.

Vasantarāja 40.

Vākpatirāja 13,15.

Vāmanācārya 41.

Vārendri bhāṣā 28.

Vārttikārṇavabhbāṣya 32,41.

-*vi* 588.

Vijayabuddhavarman, inscription of the King 10.

-*vīnu* 588.

Vidyāvinodācārya 37.

vibhāṣāḥ 3,4,5.

Vibhrāṣṭa 8.

Viṣamabāṇalilā 14.

Viṣṇunātha 33.

Vyutpattiḍipikā 29,36.

Vrācaḍa Apabhramśa 28.	-sā, instr. in, of <i>a</i> -stems 364.
Vrācaḍa Paiśācika 27.	Sātavāhana 13,36.
śa becomes <i>sa</i> 227, <i>cha</i> 211, <i>ha</i> 262, remains <i>śa</i> 228,229.	Sādhyamānasāṁskṛtabhava 8.
Śakki 3,28.	Śimharāja 39.
Śabdacintāmaṇi 41.	Siddhasāṁskṛtabhava 8.
Śākalya 31.	Siddhahemacandra 36.
Śākāri 24.	Setūbandha 15.
Śābarī	Somadeva 11,22.
Śivaskandavarman, inscription of 10.	<i>ska</i> = <i>ska</i> 302; = <i>kṣa</i> 324.
Śilāṅka 36.	- <i>ska</i> - class, flexion of the verbs of the old 480.
Śubhacandra 41.	<i>skha</i> = <i>skha</i> 302.
Śeṣa 164, note 1.	<i>śta</i> = <i>śta</i> , <i>śtha</i> 303.
Śesakṛṣṇa 41.	<i>sna</i> = <i>sna</i> , <i>sna</i> 314.
Śaurasena Paiśācika 27.	<i>sta</i> = <i>rtha</i> 290; = <i>sta</i> , <i>sthā</i> 310.
Śaurasenī 21,22.	<i>spa</i> = <i>spa</i> 305.
śka=śka 302.	<i>spha</i> = <i>spha</i> 305.
śkha=śkha 303.	<i>sma</i> = <i>sma</i> , <i>sma</i> 314.
śca in Mg. 301; = <i>tsa</i> 327.	<i>ssa</i> = <i>tśa</i> , <i>tsa</i> 327 ^a .
śta=śta, <i>śtha</i> (?) 303.	<i>ssa</i> = <i>hśa</i> , <i>hśa</i> , <i>hsa</i> 329.
śta=sta 310.	- <i>ssim</i> =- <i>smin</i> 313.
Svetāmbara, canon of 19.	
śa becomes <i>sa</i> 227, <i>śa</i> 229, <i>cha</i> 211, <i>ha</i> 263, <i>va</i> 265.	<i>h</i> does not fall off 266, is not inserted 266, prothesis of 338; +nasal and semi-vowel 330—333.
Śadbhāśācandrikā 39.	ha becomes <i>gha</i> 267, for causing aspi- ration 267, <i>sa</i> 264,315; = <i>kṣa</i> 323. - <i>ha</i> 206,598.
Śadbhāśasubantarūpādarśa 39.	Harakelināṭaka 11.
sa becomes <i>śa</i> 229, <i>cha</i> 211, <i>ha</i> 264, <i>va</i> 265; <i>īśa</i> , <i>īśa</i> 327 ^a ; = <i>hśa</i> , <i>hśa</i> <i>hsa</i> 329.	Hariuddha 13.
<i>s</i> -stems, declension of 407-412.	Haripāla 15.
Samśkṛtabhava 8.	Harivijaya 13,14.
Samśkṛtayoni 8.	Harivṛddha 13.
Samśkṛtasama 8.	Halāyudha 36.
Samkṣiptasāra 37.	Hāla 12,13.
Sattasai 12,13.	- <i>hī</i> - <i>him</i> = - <i>smin</i> 312; = <i>smin</i> 313.
Satyabhāmāśamvāda 14.	Hugga 36.
Samantabhadra 41.	Hemacandra 36.
Samānaśabda 8.	hka=kṣa 324.
Sarvasena 13,14.	hka=kka, kkha 329.
	hpa=ppa, pphā 329.

II. GLOSSARY.

The references are to paragraphs:

a 184.	aññatta 293.	appulla 595.
äümükä 246.	aññatto 197.	appegaïya 174.
äümumtaa 246.	anhaga 231.	appege 174.
airähä 354.	atenä 307.	apsaras, declension of
aii 493.	atta- = ätman 277,401.	410.
äüña, °ä 128,444.	atto 197.	abbavi 515.
akarissäm 516.	attha=atra 293.	abbhañgä 234.
akasmäät 314.	attha=arthä 290.	abbhañgiya, °da 234.
akasmäddanda 314.	atthagha 88.	abbhañgei 234.
akäsi, akäsi 516.	atthabhaarän 293.	abbhe 516.
akkhanto 88,491,499.	atthamai 558.	abhü 516.
agada 231.	atthamañä 149,558.	abho'cca 587.
aggim 146.	atthäha 88.	amiläýa 568.
angäläia 102.	atthi=asti 145; used	amba = amla 295; =
angua 102.	pleonastically 417.	ämra 295.
acchäi 5,480.	adakkhu 516.	ambañu 295.
accharä 328,410.	adas 432.	ambira 137,295.
accharia, °riya, °ria 138.	adimo'ttaa 246.	ambila 137,295.
accharehim 328,376,410.	adu 155.	ammaýao 366°.
acchiya 565.	addakku 516.	ammo 366°.
acchivadaña 9.	addäa, °ga, °ya 196,554.	amhära 434.
acche 516.	addhuttha 290,450.	ayyuä 105.
acchera 138.	adharän 345.	Alacapura 354.
ajama 261.	ana- 430.	aladdhuyan 577.
ajuä 105.	anamatagga 251.	alasi 244.
ajü 105.	anta° 343.	alähi 365.
atäi 198.	antarän 342.	alla 111,294.
atäha 290.	antäo 342.	avaajjhäi 326.
atähi 308.	antävei 343.	avajjhää 28,123.
ada 149.	anteura 344.	avarim 123.
adhä 67,442,449.	anteuriä 344.	avarilla 123.
apa- 77.	antena 342.	avaroppara 195,311.
anañuvii 593.	antoanteura 344.	avaha 212.
anamilia 77.	antouvarim 343.	avahoäsa 123.
anarämaa 77.	antoväsa 230.	avahoväsa 123.
anavadagga 251.	antohirinto 342,365.	avi 143.
anavayagga 251.	antohutta 343.	as (to be) 145,498.
anälatta 564.	andhära 167.	asmäkam 314.
anñumtaa 246,251.	annesi 516.	aharän=adhadh 345.
aniñhubhaya 120.	apuñä° 343.	ahä° 335.
anuvli 593.	app 174.	ahittä 582.
anuvvasa 196.	appa-=ätman 277,401.	Ahimajju 283.
anusedhi 66.	appataro 414.	Ahimañju 283.
anelisa 121.	appaýaro 414.	Ahimañnu 283.
anñaütthiya 58.	appähäi 286.	Ahivañnu 251.
anñanña 130.	appinäi 557.	ahe=adhadh 345.

ahesi 516.	āsiao 28.	uṭṭhāe 593.
aho=adhaḥ 345.	āhamṣu 518.	utṭhubhaha, °bhanti 120.
aho ya rāo 386, 413.	āhittha 308.	uddasa 222.
āa=āgata 167.	āhu 518.	uddāsa 222.
āamba 295.	āhevacca 77.	uddha 330.
āambira 137.	i, flexion of 493.	uṇa 184, 342.
āikkhai 492.	i=iti 93.	uṇai 342.
āittu 577.	ia, iya 116.	uṇo 342.
āiriya 151.	ii 116.	uttūha 58.
āu=āpas 355.	im 184.	utthalaī 327 ^a .
āunṭhaṇa 232.	-imsu 516.	utthalia 327 ^a .
āusantāro 390.	īngāla 102.	udāhu 518.
āusanto 396.	īngāli 102.	udiṇa 165.
āū teū vāū 355.	icc 174.	uddha 83, 300.
āo=āpas 355.	īttagā 304.	uppiṁ 123, 148.
ācaskadi 324.	ītta 304.	ubbha=ūrdhvā 300.
ādahai 222.	in' 173.	ubbha = *tubhya 335,
ādhatta 223, 286, 565.	itto 197.	420.
ādhappai 223, 286.	īthiyā 147.	ubhao 123.
ādhavai 223, 286.	īthi 147.	ubhaopāśam, °sim 123.
ādhaviai 286.	idam 429.	umbara 165.
ādhai 223, 500.	idāṇim 144.	ummilla 566.
ādhia 223.	idha 266.	ummuggā 104.
ānamani 248.	indova 168.	umha 335, 420.
ānāla 354.	indovatta 168.	uyha 335, 420.
ānilliya 595.	īyāṇim 144.	uraaḍa 307.
ātman, declension of--	ira 184.	urāla 245.
401.	iva 143.	ulla 111.
āda- 88, 277, 401.	isi 102.	uvakkhadāvei 559.
ādu 155.	iharā 212, 354.	uvakkhadei 559.
āp, flexion of 504.	isa 102.	uvaha 471.
ābuḍḍa 566.	isattha 117, 148.	uvvinna 276.
āmeḷa 122.	isāṣṭhaṇa 117.	uvvivai 236.
āmoda 238.	īsi	uvvidha 126.
āya- 88, 277, 401.	īsim 102.	uvvuṇṇa 276.
āyambila 137.	īsiyā 102.	uvvuttha 564.
āra 165.	īsīsa 102.	uvve'llira 107.
°āra 167.	īsīsi 102.	usu 117.
āliddha 303.	uaha 471.	ūā 335.
āleddhuām 303, 577.	ukkera 107.	ūsadha 67.
āle ddhūm 303.	ukkosaī 112.	ūsalai 327 ^a .
āva° 335.	ukkoseṇam 112.	ūsalia 327 ^a .
āvai 254.	ukkhala 66, 148.	ūsava 327 ^a .
āvajja 130, 246.	ukkhā 194	ūsāra 111.
āvanti 335.	ukkhudia 568.	ūsua 327 ^a .
āveda 122.	ucchilla 294.	ūhaṭṭha 155.
āvedha 304.	ucchu 117.	ūhasia 155.
āvedhāṇa 304;	ucchūḍha 66.	eā° 435.
āsamgha 267.	ujjovemāṇa 246.	ekala 595.
āsamghai 267.	ujjhā 335, 420.	ekalla 595.
āsamghā 261.	ujjhāa 155.	e'kka 435.
āsi, āsi=āsit, used for all persons of the sing. and plur. 515.	uṭṭa 304.	e'kkalla, °ya 595.

ēkkasimbali 109.
 ēkkāra 306.
 ega 435.
 ēcchaṇa 579.
 ējjantirn 560.
 ējjamāna 561.
 eta- 426.
 ēttia 153.
 ēttha 107.
 ēddaha 122.
 ēddahame-tta 262.
 ena- 431.
 em 149.
 emahālaẏa, °liyā 149,
 595.
 emahiddhiya 149.
 emāi 149.
 emāṇa 561.
 emeva 149.
 Erāvaṇa 246.
 erisa 121,245.
 elikkha 121.
 elisa 121,244.
 evaikutto 149.
 evaiyā 149.
 evadḍa, °aga 149.
 esuhuma 149.
 eha 166,262,263.

 o 155.
 oandaī 275,485.
 oāa 150.
 oāava 165.
 o°kkaṇi 335.
 o°kkhala 66,148.
 o°ggāla 196.
 ojhara 326.
 o°jjhāa 155.
 onavia 251.
 onimilla 566.
 oma 154.
 omugganimuggiẏa 104,
 234.
 orāliya 245.
 oli 154.
 o°lla 111.
 ova, ovā 150.
 ovāaa 165.
 ovāsa 230.
 ovāhai 231.
 osaokai 302.
 osadha 223.
 osaha 223.
 osā 154.

osāa 154.
 ohaṭṭha 564.
 ohala 66,148.
 ohāia 261,286.
 ohāmai 261,286.
 ohāmiẏa 286.
 ohāvai 286.
 ohi 154.
 ohua 286.
 ohuppanta- 286.

 ka- 428.
 kaali 245.
 kaiavām 254.
 kaivāham 255.
 kauḍha 209.
 kauha 209.
 kamśāla 167.
 kacca 284.
 kacchabha, °bhi 208.
 kajjai 547.
 kañcuijja 252.
 kattu 577.
 kada 219.
 kadasi 238.
 kadhai 221.
 kaṇaila 595.
 kaṇavira 258.
 kaṇera 258.
 Kaneradaita 258.
 kaneru 354.
 kanṭhadināra 36.
 kanha 52.
 Kaṇha 52.
 katta 148.
 katto 197.
 kattha 293.
 katthai 543.
 kadua 113,139,581.
 kantu 283.
 kanda=skanda 306.
 kaphāda 208.
 kabhalla 208.
 kamandha 250.
 kamba 295.
 Kambhāra 120.
 kamṁāra 167.
 kammuṇā 104,404.
 Kamhāra 120.
 kaẏandha 250.
 karali 245.
 karasi 238.
 karije 547.
 kareṇu 354.

kare°ppi, °nu 588.
 kaiamba 244.
 kalera 149.
 kavaṭṭia 246.
 kasaṇa 132.
 kasaṇa 52,140.
 kasiṇa 52,133,140.
 kahāvana 263.
 kāurū 574.
 kāua 251.
 kādum 574.
 kāyāsā 364.
 kāsi 516.
 kāham 533.
 kāhala, °li 207.
 kāhāyaṇa 263.
 kāhii 533.
 kāhi 516,533.
 ki- 428.
 kiccā 299,587.
 kicci 271.
 kijjai 547.
 Kinha 52.
 kidha 103.
 kira 259.
 kisala 150.
 kirai 547.
 kisu 533.
 kuari 251.
 kukkhi 321.
 kucchimai 321.
 kujja 206.
 kuḍilla, °aa 232,595,
 note 6.
 kuḍulli 595.
 kuṇima 103,248.
 kuṇṭi 232:
 kuppala 277.
 kumpala 277.
 kulha 242.
 kuhāda 239,258.
 kṛ, flexion of 508,509.
 kṛt, flexion of 485.
 kṛppi 588.
 ke° 149.
 ke°ccira 149.
 keḍhava 212.
 kettia 153.
 ketthu 107.
 ke°ddaha 122.
 kemahāliyā 149,595.
 kemahiddhiya 149.
 kera 176.
 keraa, °ka 176.

kerisa 121,245.	khe ^{ll} lā 206.	ge nd ua 107.
kela 166.	khoshubhamāṇa 556.	geruya 60,118.
kelaa, °ka 176.	khodaa 311.	gehi 66.
keliśa 121.	khyā, flexion of 492.	go, declension of 393.
keli 166		goilla 595.
kevaiya 149.	gai 594.	goṇa 393.
kevacirām, °cci° 149.	gaūa 152,393.	goṇikka 598.
kesua 76.	gaccham 523.	goṇi 393.
keha 166,262.	gada 219.	Gothubha 208.
ko ^v jja 206.	gadhai 212.	Golā 244.
codilla 232,595, note 6.	gadhiya 221.	go ^v hā 242.
codha 66.	gan̄thai 333.	golhāphala 242.
codhi- 66.	gan̄thi 333.	grah, flexion of 512.
codhiya 66.	gan̄thilla 595, note 6,	
ko ^v ppi 594.	gadua 113;139,581.	Ghaḍukka 150.
ko ^v lhāhala 242,304.	gan̄thai 333.	ghatta 281.
ko ^v lhua 242,304.	gan̄thima 333.	gharillaa, °lli 595.
ko ^v han̄di 127.	gabbhiṇa 246,406.	gharola 168.
kohala 123.	gamē ^v ppi, game ^v ppiṇu	gharoliyā 168.
kohali 127.	588.	gharoli 168.
kkhu 94.	gamesai 261.	ghāṇa 209.
kram, flexion of 481.	gampi 588.	ghim̄su 101,105.
kri, flexion of 51.	garua, °ya 123.	ghisaī 103,209,482.
	garukka 299.	gheūṇa 586.
khaṇa 322.	garuḷa 240.	ghe ^v chāmo 212,534.
khaṇṇa 566.	galoi 127,	ghettavva 212,570.
khaṇṇu 90,309.	gavāni 165.	ghe ^v tuāṇa, °am 212,
khallihadaū 110,207, 242.	gahara 9,132.	584.
khalliḍa 110.	gahāya 591.	ghe ^v tūṇi 212,574.
khatta 566.	gahia, gahiya, gahida	ghe ^v tuāṇa 584,586.
khamā 322.	564.	ghe ^v ttūṇam 586.
khambha 306.	gāi 393.	ghe ^v ppai 107,212,286,
khammaī 540.	gāuẏa 65,80.	548.
khasia 232.	gāṇa 165.	ghe ^v ppiuṁ 580.
khahaṭaya, °cara 206.	gāṇi 165.	ghe ^v ppijai 548.
khāi 165.	gāmilla 595.	ghrā, flexion of 483.
khāṇu 309.	gāmiliā 595.	
khiṅkhiṇi 206.	gāmeni 161.	caīūṇa 586.
khila 206.	gāmelua 595.	caītta 281.
khu 94,148.	gāme ^v llaga 595.	caīttā 582.
khujja 206.	gāyari 62.	caīttu 577.
kuṭṭa 564,568.	gāra 142.	caükka 302.
khudia, °da 222,568.	gārava 61 ^a .	cakkā 167.
kuḍḍia 206.	gāva=gāyanti 254.	cakkiyā 465.
khunna 568.	gāvi 393.	cakkhai 202.
khutto 206.	gindu 107.	cakṣ, flexion of 499.
khuppaī 286.	gim̄ba 267.	caccara 299.
kuṭṭuha 139,206.	gim̄ha 314.	caccikka 508.
khedaa 311.	gihida 564.	cacchāi 216.
khedia 311.	guim̄cha 74.	cattāri for all genders
khēddā 90,206.	guḍāha 206.	439.
khēddāi 90,206.	guttha 564.	candimā 103.
kheladi 206.	gr̄nhe ^v ppiṇu 588.	carāṇa 257.
	ge ^v jha 109,572.	calāṇa 257.
	ge ^v jhai 548.	caviḍā 80,238.

cavilā 80,238.	chirā 211.	je ^v 166.
Cāuṇḍā 251.	chilla 294.	je ^v ttia 153.
cikkhalla 206, p. 412.	chivādī 211.	je ^v ddaha 122,262.
ciccā 587.	chihai 311.	je ^v ppi 588.
ciccāna 299,587.	chihā 311.	jeva 95,336.
cīṭhai 216,483.	chiya 124.	jevā 336.
cindha 267.	chīyamāṇa 124.	je ^v vā 95,336.
cindhāla 267.	chuī 211.	jeha 166,262.
cimidha 207,248.	chuhā 211.	joedi 246.
cimmai 536.	chuhia 211.	jogasā 364.
cīyatta 134,280.	chūḍha 66.	jodām, jodo, 9.
Cilāa 230.	che ^v cham 532.	Jonnīyā 154.
civvai 536.	che ^v ppa 211.	jo ^v nhā 334.
cihura 206.		jjeva, jjevā 95,336.
cia 165.		jñā, flexion of 510.
civandaṇa 165.	Jāuṇā 251.	
cukka 566.	Jāuṇā 251.	
cuccha 216.	jat̄tha 565.	jhadila 209.
culla 325.	jat̄thi 255.	jhattha 209.
culloḍaa 325.	jadha 67,565.	jhampai 326.
ceiya 134.	jattu 293.	jhampaṇi 326.
ce ^v cā 587.	jatto 197.	jhampia 326.
ce ^v cāna 299,587.	jattha 293.	jhaẏa 299.
co,co ^v 166.	jampai 296.	jharaa 326.
cha, cha°=ṣat 211,241.	jampaṇa 296.	jharai 326.
chaia 568.	jampira 296.	jharua 211,326.
chailla 595.	jammai 540.	jhalā 211.
chatilla 595.	Jahitt̄hila 118.	jhāma 326.
chat̄ha 211.	jahut̄hila 118.	jhāmiyā 326.
chana 322.	jā=yāt 427.	jhāmei 326.
chattivanna 103.	jāni 594.	jhāruā 211.
chamā 322.	jāma 261.	jhijjai 326.
chami 211.	jāmahī 261.	jhiyāi 134,280,326.
chara 328.	jālā 167.	jhiṇa 326.
charu 327.	ji 150,336.	jhuṇi 299.
chal° 211,240.	Vji flexion of 473.	jhusira 211.
Challuẏa 148.	jigghia 565.	jhūṣaṇā 209.
chaha 263.	jiṇe ^v ppi 588.	jhūsittā 209.
chāa 328.	jinṇi 594.	jhūsiyā 209.
chāilla 595.	jidha 103.	jhe ^v ndeū 107.
chāṇa 165.	jibbhā 332.	jhodai 326.
chāla 231.	jiva 143,336.	jhodia 326.
chāli 231.	jivvai 536.	jho ^v ndaliā 326.
chāva 211.	jiha 103.	
chāhā 206,255.	jiā 138.	tagara 218.
chāhī 206,255.	jihā 65.	timbaru 124,218.
chikka 124,566.	juṇṇa 58.	tūṭtai 292.
chid̄da 294.	juppaī 286.	tūṇtūṇnanto 556.
chippa 211.	jumma 277.	tūvara 218.
chippāla 211.	juvala 231.	
chippāluā 211.	Juhit̄hila 118.	thaḍḍha 333.
chippiṇdi 211.	jūā 335.	thambha 308.
chippira 211.	jūva 230.	thavi 594.
	jūha 221.	thicca 587.
	je 150,336.	thiṇa 181.

- | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|
| ṭhera 166,308. | navara, °ram 184. | nī 493. |
| dakka 222,566. | navari 184. | nīma, nīma 248. |
| daddhādi 222. | navvai 548. | nīmī 261. |
| daṇḍa 222. | navviai 548. | nīsanī, °nī 149. |
| dambhia 222. | nāhaara 301. | nīsāe 593. |
| dara 222. | nājjai 548. | nūmai 118,261. |
| darai 222. | nāū 152. | nūmajjai 118. |
| dasaī 222. | nāuna 586. | nūmaṇṇa 118. |
| dahai 222. | nakkha 194. | neura, °la 126. |
| dola 222. | nāliara 129. | nēdam 174. |
| dolā 222. | Nāhala 260. | neyāuya 60,118. |
| dolia 222. | nāhii, nāhi 534. | nēlaccha 66. |
| dohāla 222,224. | nīattha 564. | nevacche°tā 582. |
| dhakka 221. | nīandhaṇa 201. | nēha, neha 313. |
| dhakkai, °ei 221. | niura 126. | nōllai 244. |
| dhaṇka 213,223. | nikkamai, °adi 302. | nōhala 260. |
| dhaṇkanī 221. | nikkha 306. | nōhāru 255. |
| dhaṇkiśāñ 221. | nikkhamai, nī°302,481. | nōhāruni 255. |
| dhaṇkuna 107,212,267. | nigina 101,133. | nōhāvia 210,313. |
| dhaṇjai, °di 212. | nighasa 202,206. | nōhāviyā 210. |
| dhamḍhallai 556. | nijjūdha 221. | nōhusā 148,313. |
| dhayyadi 212. | nijjharaī 326. | |
| dhiṇka 213. | nijjhodai 326. | ta- 425. |
| dhīṇkuna 267. | nītthuhia 120. | taīa, taīja, taiya, tadia |
| dhilla 556. | nīdāla 260,354. | 82,91,1134,449. |
| dhūmḍhullai 556. | nīṇṇakkhu 516. | tacca 281,299. |
| dheṇki 213,223. | nīnnāra 167. | taṭṭha 308. |
| dheṇkuna 107,212,267. | nīphaga 231. | tattilla 595. |
| dheṇlla 150. | nīddha, nī° 313. | tattu 293. |
| nāīa 590. | nībuḍḍa 566. | tatto 197. |
| nae 411, note 2, 493. | nībelana 206,266. | tattha 293. |
| note 4. | nīmai 118,261. | taṭṭhabhavām 293. |
| nakka 306 | nīmillā 566. | tamādaī 554. |
| nagīna 133. | nīmela 122. | tamba=tāmra 295. |
| nāngala 260. | nimboliyā 167,247. | tamba=stamba 307. |
| nāngaliyā 260. | nīmmā 149. | tambakimi 295. |
| nāngula 260. | nīyattihi 564. | tambaratti 295. |
| nāngūla 260. | nīyamasā 364. | Tambavanni 295. |
| nāngola 127,260. | nīyāga 254. | tambasiha 295. |
| naccā, naccā 587. | nīrāgana 234. | tambā 295. |
| naccāṇa 587. | nīlāda 260,354. | tambira 137,295. |
| nāccāṇam 587. | nīsadha 67. | tambirā 137. |
| nājjai 548. | Nīsadha 223. | tambola 127. |
| nāḍāla 260,354. | nīsrai 235. | taraccha 123. |
| nāppai 548. | nīsidha 221. | talavo°nīta 53. |
| nāmī 150 | nīssāe 593. | talāra 167. |
| namo°kkāra 195,306. | nīsseṇī 149. | talāva 231. |
| nāmoyāra 306. | nīhasa 206. | talima 248. |
| nālāda 260,354. | nīhā 206. | tava=stava 307. |
| nāvāi 251. | nīhitta, nī° 286. | tahiya 281. |
| nāvakāra 251. | nīhippanta- 286. | tā = tāt 425. |
| nāvāyāra 306. | nīhelana 206,266. | tāṭṭha 76,304. |
| | nī “to go away 463, note
4, “to go” 493 note 4. | tāma 261. |
| | | tāmahī 261. |

tālavēnta 53.	thānu 309.	dāvai 275,554.
tālavōnta 53.	thāha 88.	dāvei 554.
tālā 167.	thippai 130,207.	dāhami, dāhāmi 530.
tāliyānta 53.	thimpai 207.	dāhiṇa 65.
tāvattiā 254.	thiyā 147.	di°=dvi° 298.
ti, tti 92,143.	thi 147.	dia 298.
tikkha 312.	thiṇa 151.	diahā 294.
tikkhābilaka 137.	thulla 127.	diāhama 298.
tikkhālia 312.	thuvaa 111.	digimchā 74.
tigicchai 215.	thuvvai 536.	dinṇa, dinna 566.
tigicchāya, °ga 215.	thūṇa 129.	divaddha 230,450.
tigicchā 215.	thūbhā 208.	Dīvvāsā 297.
tigicchiya 215.	thūbhīyā 208.	diso 355.
tinni, tinni, for all genders 438.	thūbhīyāgā 208.	dissa 334.
tingha 312.	thūha 208.	dissam 334.
tidha 103.	theṇa 307.	dissā 334.
tinta 564.	thenilla 129,307,595.	dihi 212.
timma 277.	theppai 207.	dije 545.
tiriccchi 151.	therāsana 166.	disiūm 580.
tiliśi 151.	therosana 166.	dihara 132,354.
tiha 103.	theva 130,207.	du 185.
tia 165.	thoṇā 127.	du°=dus 340.
tiyā 142.	thora 127.	dualla 90,126.
tutta 564.	thova 230.	duumchāi 74.
tudiya 222,258.	daī 594.	dugumchāi 74,123.
tundilla 565, note 6.	daia 590.	dugumchāi 74.
turukka 302.	daissam 530.	dugga 329.
tuhām 206.	dāṁś, flexion of 484.	Duggāvī 149.
tuhāra 434.	dāṁsai 554.	duggejjha 572.
tuhū 206.	dakkhai 504.	dujija 331.
tūthike 58.	dakkhavaī 554.	dubhhai 266,544.
tūha 58.	dakkhinattā 281.	dubhi 148.
teavai 559.	dakkhu 516,note 4,	duruhāi 118,139,141,482.
teicchā 215.	daga 141.	duvālasa 244.
teu=tejas 355.	daccā 587.	dussila 329.
tena 307.	datta 566.	duhavi 231.
teniya 134,307.	Damīla 261.	duhāvāi 559.
te ddaha 122,262.	Damīli 261.	duhia 565.
te llokkā 196.	dara 222.	duhitr, declension of
teha 166,262.	Davida 261.	392
to 142.	Davila 261.	dūhala 264.
tonā 127.	Dasāra 332.	dūhava 62,231.
tonīra 127.	daha 262,354.	de=te 185.
tohara 434.	dahiūm,dahidum 574.	de (absol of dā) 166,
tva- 420-422.	dā=tāvat 150.	594.
thaddha 333.	vdā 500.	deia 590
thappi 594.	dāgha 266.	deula 168.
thambha 308.	dādhā 76,304.	deuliyā 168.
tharatharei, °edi 207.	dādhi 76.	dekkhai 554.
tharu 327.	dāñim 144.	deppinu 588.
thaha 88.	dārā 298.	dera 112.
thău 251.	dāvā 185.	devām 579.
		devāṇuppiya 111.

dehai 66.
 dogamchi- 74.
 do^gga 215.
 donni, donni for all
 genders 436.
 dodhāra 167.
 do^ppadī 61.
 dosa 129.
 dosākarana 129.
 dosānia 215,
 dosinā 133,215.
 dosini 215.
 dohalā 222,244.
 dramma 268.
 draha 268,354.
 drehi 66.

 dhaa 299.
 Dhaṭṭhajjuna 278.
 dhaṇuha 263.
 dhammuṇā 104,404.
 dharamapatani p. 412.
 dbā, flexion of 500.
 dhāi 165.
 dhāri 292.
 dhippai 209.
 dhi-r-atthu 353.
 dhidā, dhīyā 65,148,392.
 dhuni 299.
 dhuvvai 536.
 dhūā,dhūdā, dhūyā 65,
 148,212,392,
 dhrum 268.

 n see ṇ

 paī=prati 220.
 paī 300.
 paüttha 564.
 paüma 139.
 paero 36.
 paogasā 364.
 paosa, padosa 129.
 pagambhai 296.
 pamkhi-, °nī 74.
 paṅgurana 213.
 pacisa 273.
 paccatthima 602.
 paccatthimilla 595.
 paccappinai 557.
 paccusa 263.
 paccūha 263.
 paccosakkai 302.
 pacchitta 165,

pacchi 293.	palivei 244.
pacchekamma- 112.	pallaṅka 285.
pajjava 254.	pallaṭṭa 130,285.
pajharai 326.	pallaṭṭai 130,285.
patimā 218.	pallattha 285.
paṭṭhi, piṭṭhi, puṭṭhi 53,194.	pallāna 285.
padai 218.	palhattha 285.
padāṁsuā 115.	palhatthaī 285.
padā, °gā, °yā 218.	pavaṭṭha 129.
padāyāna 163,258.	pavuttha 564.
padilehāe 593.	pasinā 133.
padilehittā 593.	pasuhatta 194.
padilehiyā 593.	pasedhi 66.
padina 165.	pahuccai 286,299.
paducca 163,202,590.	pahudi 218.
paducciya 163.	pahuppai 286.
paduppanna 163.	pā (to drink), flexion of 483.
padoyāra 163.	pāikka 165,194.
padhama, °du ²21.	pānittā 582.
paṇa=pañca 273.	pāuraṇa 104.
paṇiyattha 564.	pāuraṇi 104.
paṇuvisar 104,273.	pāulla 595.
paṇuvisā 273.	Pādaliutta 292.
paṇṇa=pañca 273.	pādikka 163.
pattiai, pattiyāi, pattiā- adi 281, 487.	pādihera 176.
patteyā 281.	pāṇia, °iyā 91.
patthi 293.	pānu 105.
padissā 334.	pāmaddā 291.
papalinu 567.	pāyae 578.
pabbhāra 270, note 4.	pāyam 342.
pamhusai 210.	pāra 167.
paravvasa 196.	pāraa 167.
parasuhatta 194.	pārakka 598.
pariuttha 564.	pāraddha 249.
parigheṭṭavva 570.	paraddhi 249.
parichchūdha 66.	pārāa 167.
parijhūsiya 209.	pārevayā 112.
paripiheṭṭā 582.	pāvadana 165.
pariyāga 254.	pāvāliā 167.
pariyāla 257.	pāviḍha 165.
parivuttha 564.	pāsa (eye) 9.
parisakkai 302.	pāsa (side) 63.
parisapha 315.	pāhanāo 141,354.
parihissāmi 530.	pāhamū 524.
paro ^v ppara 195,311.	pāhāṇa 263.
palakkha 132.	pāhāmi 524.
palāa 567.	pi 143.
palāna 567.	piavi 588.
palāha 262.	piucchā 148.
pal=pari 257.	piusiyā, piussiā °iyā 148.
paliucchūdha 66.	pikkā 101.
palila 244.	

piccā 587.	pusia 101.	Bahassai 53,212.
picchi 299.	puhai, puhavī 51,115, 139.	bahiṇīā 212.
pimcha 74.	puhuvi 139.	bahiṇī 212.
piñidhattae 142.	pūha 142,286.	bahiṇue 212.
piñ, declension of 391.	peūsa 121.	bahim 181.
pittijja 286.	peccā 587.	bahu, declension of 380 —382.
pibba 572.	pedha 122.	bahuaya 598.
pilakkhu 105.	pedhāla 122.	bahedaa 115.
pilañkhu 74, 105.	peñanta 176.	bāra 300.
piva 336.	peñkadi 324.	bāraha 300,443.
pisalla 150,232,595.	peñhāe 323,593.	bāha 305.
pisāji 202.	pehiyā 323,590.	bāhim 181.
pisumaa p. 412.	pehi-sāmi 530.	bāhimhimto 365.
pisesa p. 412.	pehuna 89.	bi°=dvi° 300.
pistī 53.	poñphala, °li 148.	bia=165.
pihada 239,258.	poñmma 139,166,195.	biia, biija, biiya 82, 91,134,165,300,449.
piluñtha 138.	pora- 176.	birāla 241.
pums, declension of 412.	porevacca 345.	Bihappadi 53,212.
pumsai 486.	posaha 141.	Bihaspadi 212.
pucchissam 516.	pha 200.	Bihassai 53,212.
purñcha 74.	phañasa 208.	bia, biya 165.
puta 238, note 2.	phañiha 206,	bihāna 213,263,501.
puttha=spr̥sta 311.	pharaa 259.	buiya 565.
putthavam 569.	pharasu 208.	bujjhā 299.
puda 292.	pharusa 208.	budda 566.
puñdhama, °du 221.	phalaga, °ya 206.	Buhaspadi 212.
puñdhavi 51,115,139.	phalahā, °haga 206.	Buhassai 212.
puñdho 78.	phaliha 208.	būra 166.
puñna 342.	phaliha 206,238.	be 300,436,437.
puñna° 343.	phaliñhā 208.	bemi 166,494.
puñapuñakkarana 329, 343.	phalihi 208.	besa 300.
puñā 343.	phadei 208.	bodraha 268.
puñāi, puñāim 342.	phaliya 206.	bora 166.
puñu 342.	phaliñhadda 208.	bohāri 166.
puño 342.	phasuya 208.	broñppi, broñppiñu 588.
puññama 231.	phusiyā 101,208.	Bhaappai, °pphai, °ssai 212.
puñduma 221.	baissa 300.	bhai 594.
pupphā 148.	bandra 268.	bhañtā 289.
pupphiā 148.	bandh, flexion of 513.	bhan, flexion of 514.
puratthima 602.	bappa 305.	bhattā 289.
purabbheyañi 238.	bappa 305.	bhante 165,366b.
purilla 595.	bambba- 267.	bhappa 313.
purilladeva 595.	bambha- 267.	bhamayā 123,124.
purillapahāñā 595.	bambahacerā 176.	bhamadaī 554.
purisa 124.	bambahāna 250,267.	bhamuhā 124,206,261.
purisoñtama 124.	bamhacera 176.	bharibhala 209.
Puruvva 139,195.	balasā 364.	bhayantaro 390.
pure 345.	Bahappai, °di, °pphai 53,212.	bhayasā 364.
pulañi 104,130.	bahave 345,380,381, 382.	bharadha 207.
puliša 124.		
puvvañi 536.		
puvvin 103.		
puñthaka 292.		

Bharaha 207.	bhōhā 124,166,251.	māhuliṅga 207.
bhartṛ, declension of 390.	bhrāsa 268.	mi 145,313,498.
bhalāi 313.	ma 415-419.	mimjā 77,101.
bhasanēmi 559.	māūattayā 597.	mintha 293.
bhasama 132,313.	māūda 123.	mirmdha 80.
bhasala 251.	māūra 123.	miriya 177.
bhassa 65,313.	māūla 123.	mirii 177.
bhāissam 530.	maghamaghanta, °gheṇta 266,558.	milakkhu 105,233.
bhāujjā 148.	maghono 403.	miva 336.
bhāna 165.	maccaī 202.	mīśālia 64,595.
bhāmīni 231.	macciyā 598.	mukka 566.
Bhāraha 207.	majjhanna 148,214.	muc, flexion of 485.
bhāriā 284.	majjhaththa 214.	muñai 489.
Bhāladha 207.	majjhaththadā 214.	murava 254.
bhālīka 598.	mada 219.	muravī 254.
bhāsa 65,313.	madhaī 294.	murukkha 131,139,195.
Bhiappai, °pphai °ssai, 212.	maṇasīlā 74,347.	mualla, °lliaa 595.
bhiudi 124.	maṇasīlā 347.	medambha 166.
bhīndimāla 248.	maṇāma 248.	medhi 221.
bhippha 312.	maṇāsīlā 64,347.	meṇtha 293.
bhibbhala 209.	maṇe 457,489.	meṁthi 86.
bhibbisamīna 556.	maṇosīlā 347.	memdha, °i 86.
bhimora 266.	madagala 192,202.	meṭṭa 109.
bhirmbhala 209.	mantakkha 283.	meṭṭapurisa 293.
Bhimbhisāra 209.	mantu 283.	merā 176.
bhisa 209.	manthu 105.	meliṇa 562.
bhisīā, °gā 209.	mammadha 251.	mo 313.
bhisa 209.	mayyhanna 148.	močcham 526.
bhisīā, °gā 209.	marai 313.	moṭṭima 238.
bhisīni 209.	maragaa 202.	moda 166,238.
bhisī 209.	Maraḍhi 67,354.	moṭṭavva 570.
bhi, flexion of 501.	Marahattha 354.	moṭṭūna 586.
Bhuappai, °pphai, °ssai 212.	marijjium 580.	mora 166.
bhukkai 209.	malai 244,294.	moha=mayükha 166.
bhukkhṇa 209.	mahaīmahālāya, °liyā 595.	mhi 145,498.
bhujjataro 414.	mahamāsa 74.	ya 45, 184, 187.
bhujjāyaro 414.	mahāmēṭtha 293.	ya- 427.
bhumā 124,261.	mahalla, °ya 595.	jampideṇa 296.
bhumagā 124,261.	mahānubhāga 231.	yā with prati, flexion of 487.
bhumayā 124,261.	mahāra 434.	yāvi 143.
bhumā 124,261.	mahālāya, °liyā 595,	yeva 336.
bhumhadī 210.	mahisikka 598.	yyeva 336.
bhulla 354,566.	mahesi 57.	raaña 132.
bhullai 354.	māūukka 299.	railliya 595.
bhuvi 516.	māuccchā 148.	ragga 566.
bhū, flexion of 475,476.	māusiyā, māussiā 148.	raccasi 202.
bhečcham 532.	mātr-, declension of 392.	raṇṇa 142.
bheṭṭūna 586.	māducchaa 148.	radāna 132.
bheṁbhala 209.	māducchiā 148.	rayāṇi 141.
bhočca 299,587.	māhāna 250.	ravaṇṇa 91,251.
bhočcham 532.	māhānatta 250.	rasa, raha=daśa 245.

rahaṭṭa 142.
 rahassa=hrasva 354.
 rāikka 598.
 rāinna 157.
 rāula 168.
 rāesi 57.
 rājan, declension of 399,
 400.
 rāyagai 65.
 riuvveya 139,195.
 rikka 566.
 rikkāsi 516.
 ricāim 56,358.
 Rittha, riṭṭha 142.
 ruila 257.
 rukkha (tree) 320.
 runna 566.
 rud, flexion of 495.
 Ruppi 277.
 Ruppiṇī 277.
 rubbhāi 266,546.
 rumbhai 266,507.
 ruvvaī 536.
 ruh, flexion of 482.
 roūṇa 586.
 roṄchām 529.
 roṄttavva 570.
 roṄttum 574.
 roṄttūṇa 586.

 lai 594.
 Lakkhaṇa 312.
 lacchi 312.
 latṭha 564.
 latṭhi 255.
 latṭhiā 255.
 latthi 255.
 laḍāla 260.
 lanha 315.
 ladāṇa 132.
 labbhā 465.
 labh, flexion of 484.
 lalāda 260.
 lahiyāṇa 592.
 lāu 141.
 läutta 168.
 läula 168.
 lāu 141.
 lädha 564.
 Lädhā 257.
 libbhai 266,544.
 limba 247.
 limbadaa 247.
 liṇa 57.

lua 568.
 lukka 566.
 lukkha 257.
 luvvai 536.
 lūha 257.
 leḍu 304.
 ledua 304.
 ledukka 304.
 ledhukka 304.
 leṇa 153.
 leṄpinu 588.
 lelu 304.
 levi, leviṇu 588.
 lodha 304.
 lonā 154.
 lhasuṇa 210.
 lhikka 566.
 lhikkai 210.

 va 143.
 vaamṣa 142.
 vāira=vajra 135.
 vakka=vākyā 279.
 vakkamai 142.
 vagguhim 99.
 vaggūhim 381.
 vaṅka 74.
 vacāha 206.
 vaccai 202.
 vaccā 587.
 vamjara 251.
 vaññadi 104,276,488.
 °vattha 53.
 vatthida 142.
 °vatthim 265.
 vadimā, °saga, °saya
 103.
 vadḍhi 52.
 vaḍha 207.
 vanappai, °di 311.
 vanassai 311.
 vanīmaga 248.
 °vattarim 265.
 vattavva 570.
 °vattiyām 281.
 vatthae 578.
 vanda 268.
 vammaha 251.
 vamhala 142.
 vayāsi 516.
 vali 594.
 vasahi 207.
 väutta 168.
 väuya 218.

väuļa 218.
 väga 62.
 vägala 62.
 Vāṇavantara 251.
 Vāṇarasi 354.
 Välāṇasī 354.
 vävāda, °vu° 218.
 vähitta 286.
 vähippaī 286.
 vi 143.
 via 143,336.
 viana 151.
 vianā 81.
 viuvittae 578.
 viuvviya 565.
 viola 166.
 viosire 235.
 vikkeai 557.
 vigiñcrai 485.
 vigiñciyava 570.
 vicca 202.
 viccham 526.
 vicchiya 50.
 vicchua, °uya 50.
 vicchūdha 66.
 vijadha 67,565.
 vijajjhara 216.
 vijjam 299.
 vijjulā, °li 244.
 vijjhāi 326.
 virūcua 50,301.
 vimchia 50.
 vimchua 50.
 vidima 103,248.
 vidda 240.
 vidhatta 223,286,565.
 vidhappai 223,286.
 vidhavai 223,286.
 vidhavijjai 286.
 vinibudda 566.
 vitigicchā 215.
 vitigicchāmi 215.
 vitigimchāi 74,215.
 vitigimchā 74,215.
 viddāa 568.
 viddhi 52.
 vippajadha 67,565.
 vippajahāya 591.
 vippalhatta 285.
 vippahūna 120.
 vibhhala 332.
 Vibbhāra 266.
 vibharai 313.
 Vibhāṣā 208.

- | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| viya 143,336. | vo'ccham 529. | samdhium 575. |
| viruva 80. | vo'nta 53. | saṁdhissāmi 530. |
| vilia 151. | vo'tavva 570. | saṁaccharehim 328. |
| viva 336,337. | vo'ttum 574. | saṁaṇāuso 396. |
| vivalhattha 285. | vo'ttūna 586. | samara 250. |
| visadha 67. | vodraha 268. | saṁassaīa 590. |
| visedhi 66. | vosirai 235. | saṁādhatta 223,286. |
| viha 263. | Vrāsa 268. | saṁāna 561. |
| vihatthi 207. | vva 143. | saṁijjhāi 326. |
| vihala 206,332. | śak, flexion of 505. | samilā 247. |
| vihasanti 206. | śam, flexion of 489. | saṁupehiyā 323,590. |
| vihūmdua 9,275. | śi 145,498. | saṁupehiyāñām 592. |
| vihañū 120. | śuṇahaka 206. | samosadha 67. |
| vimamsā 251. | śenām 176. | saṁpehāe 323,593. |
| vili 107. | śri, flexion of 473. | sāpehiyā 323,590. |
| visurū 152. | śru, flexion of 503. | saṁbharāṇa 313. |
| vuccai 337,544. | śvas, flexion of 496. | sarāa, °ya 355. |
| vuccattha 337. | sa- 423. | saraduya 259. |
| vuccā 587. | saadha 207. | salilā 244. |
| vucchāmu 516. | saṁlaitta 564. | savvīngia 598. |
| vuññai 104,237,488. | sakka 566. | savvattha 293. |
| vuññeppi 588. | sakkaa, sakkada,sakkaẏa
76. | sassiria 195. |
| vuddhi 52. | sakkai 302. | sahia 150, |
| vunña 276. | sakkānomi, °ku° 140,
505. | sahū 206. |
| vutta 337. | sakkā 465. | sāmaccha 281. |
| vuttha 303,337,564. | sañkala, °lä 213. | sāmattia 281,334. |
| vubbhai 266,337,541. | sañkaliya 213. | sāmari 88,109,259. |
| vūḍha 337. | sañkhalā 213. | sāmali 88. |
| vūhae 76. | sañghaaṇa 267. | Sāyavāhaṇa 244. |
| veuvviya 565. | sañghadi 267. | Sālavāhaṇa 244. |
| vē'ccham 529. | sañghāra 267. | Sālāhana 244. |
| veda 122,240. | saccavaī 559. | Sālivāhaṇa 244. |
| vedisa 101. | sajjhasa 299. | sāha 64,262. |
| vedujja 241. | sadai 222. | sāhai 264. |
| vedha 304. | saddhā 333. | sāhaṭtu 577. |
| vedhai 304. | sadħā 207. | sāhāra 167. |
| vedhana 304, | sadħila 115. | si 145,498. |
| vedhima 304. | saṇapphaya 148. | sikka 566. |
| ve'nta 53. | saṇicchara 84. | siñkhalā 213. |
| ve'ttum 574. | saṇiñcara 84. | simgha 267. |
| ve'tiūna 586 | saṇdeya 213. | Simghala 267. |
| Ve'bhbāra 266. | saṇha 315. | Simghali 267. |
| Vebhbāra 266. | sattari 245. | siṅghādaga 209. |
| vera=vajra 166. | sattāvisamjoano 9. | siṅghāna-267. |
| verulia, 'liya 80. | saddahai 333. | sijja 101. |
| velu 243. | saddhā 333. | siñāna 133. |
| ve'lla 107. | saddhim 103. | sippa 211. |
| ve'llai 107. | santi, pleonastically used
417. | sippai 286, |
| ve'llari 107. | saṁdaṭṭa 304. | sippira 211. |
| ve'lla 107. | saṁdāva 275. | simiña 133,177,248. |
| ve'llira 107. | | simpai 286. |
| Vesamaṇa 261. | | simbali 109 |
| vo'ccattha 337. | | simbha- 267. |

simbhiya 267.	seṁbha -267.	hali 375.
siyā, pleonastically used 417.	seṁbhā 267,	halīra 354.
Siri, siri=Śrī, śri 98.	seṁbhiya 267.	Haliccanda 301.
sirihā 206.	seram 313.	halua 354.
siviṇa, °aa 133,177,248.	soamalla 123,285.	hale 375.
sivvī 9.	soūṇa 586.	havvam 338.
sihai 311.	sōccam 587.	havvāe 338.
sibhara 206,266.	sōccā 299,587.	hassa=hrasva 354.
siyā 165.	sōccāna 587.	hia 150
siha 76.	sōcham 531.	hio 134.
sihara 206,266.	sonāra 66.	hicca 587.
sue 139.	sōnhā 139,143,263,313	hiccānam 299,587.
sukka =śuṣka 302.	sōttum 574.	hijjo 134.
sukkila 136, 195.	sōtthi 152.	hittha 107.
sukkhavianti 543.	somāra, °la 123.	hitthima 107.
sugga 329.	sōlla 566.	hitapa 50,191,254.
sun̄aha 206.	sōllai 244.	hitapaka 50,191,254.
sun̄ṇi 594.	st̄, flexion of 505.	hittha 308.
sun̄hā=sāsnā 111.	sthā, flexion of 483.	hitthā 308.
sun̄hā=snuṣā 139,148, 263,313,	spṛś, flexion of 486	hitthāhida 308.
sunusā 139,148,313.	smṛ, flexion of 478.	hiyā 150.
sundera 176.	svap, flexion of 497.	hira 338
subbhi 148.	haū 142,417.	Hiri,hiri=Hrī, hrī 98.
sumiṇa 133,177,248.	hage, hagge 42,202,417.	hirai 537.
summaū 536.	hadakka 50,150,194,222.	hu 94,148.
suýarāe 345.	Hanūā 251.	huṭṭha 338.
suviṇa 133,177,248.	hatta 194	huttam 206.
suve 139.	°hattari, °hattarim 264	hulaī 354.
suvo 139.	haddhi 71.	huvvai 536.
svvvai 536.	hamda 275.	hūṇa 120.
susā 313.	hamdi 275.	hēcca, hēccā 587.
susāṇa 104,312.	hamāra 434.	hēccānam 587.
susumāra 117.	hampho 267.	hēt̄ha 107.
suhalli 107.	hammai (goes) 188.	hēt̄thā 107.
suhavi 231.	hammai 540.	hēt̄thima 107.
suhēlli 107.	haradai 120.	hēt̄thilla 107.
sūhava 62,231.	haraya 132.	hēlli 107.
se, sē 423.	Harianda 301.	hoaūṇa 586.
sejjā 101.	hare 338.	hōkkha- 521.
seḍhi 66.	halaa, halaka 50,244.	hōccā 587.
sepha- 267,312,315.	haladdā, °i 115.	hōjjamāṇa 561.
	halā 375.	hose 521.
		hrassa 354.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION. § 1—44.	1
A. THE PRAKRIT LANGUAGES. § 1—30.	1
B. THE PRAKRIT GRAMMARIANS. § 31—44.	34
II. PHONETICS. § 45—354.	51
A. SONANTS AND VOWELS. § 45—183.	51
1. Sonants. § 47—59.	51
2. Vowels. § 60—183.	58
a) The diphthongs <i>ai</i> and <i>au</i> . § 60—61.	58
b) Lengthening of short vowels. § 62—76.	62
c) Elevation of vowels. § 77—78.	72
d) Shortening of long vowels. § 79—100.	74
e) The separate vowels. § 101—130.	89
f) Separation-vowels. § 131—140.	107
g) Dropping off and addition of vowels. § 141—147.	113
h) Dropping off of vowels. § 148.	116
i) Dropping off and weakening of syllables. § 149—150.	117
k) Samprasāraṇa. § 151—155.	119
l) Vocalic sandhi. § 156—175.	121
m) Epenthesis. § 176.	134
n) Assimilation of vowels. § 177	135
3. Anusvāra and nasal vowels. § 178.	135
B. THE CONSONANTS. § 184—354.	139
I. Consonants in free positions. § 184—267.	139
1. General remarks relating to whole or several classes. § 184—229.	139
2. Relating to particular consonants. § 230—267.	168
II. Conjunct consonants. § 268—334.	189
III. Droffing off and entry of consonants in the anlaut. § 335—338.	233
IV. Final consonants. § 339—352.	235
V. Sandhi-consonants. § 353—354.	243
III. MORPHOLOGY. § 355—594.	245
A. The noun. § 355—414.	245
B. Comparision. § 414.	295
C. The Pronoun. 415—434.	296
D. The Numerals. § 435—451	312
E. The Verb. § 452—594.	325
V. ON WORD-FORMATION AND COMPOUNDS. § 595—603.	406
ADDENDA AND CORRIGENDA	412
ABBREVIATIONS AND LIST OF USED EDITIONS.	413
I. GENERAL INDEX.	418
II. GLOSSARY.	425

OUR OTHER NOTABLE PUBLICATIONS IN ENGLISH

1. **Age of the Nandas and Mauryas**— edited by K. A Nilakanta Sastri in collaboration with other scholars of repute for the Bharatiya Itihas Parishad. 1952 Demy p pp. XII, 438 and 29 plates. Rs 20
2. **Ancient India** by Dr. R. C. Majumdar. 1952 Demy pp. XX, 574, and 24 plates, Cloth Binding Rs. 20
- *3. **Bhoja Prabandha**—of Ballaladeva of Banaras edited with Sanskrit comm. and purport, Hindi and English translations, Prose order and Vocabulary by Jagdishlal Shastri, M. A., M. O. L. 1955 Patna cr. pp. 14,465 Rs. 3/12
4. **Indian Theatre**—Past and Present by Dr. C. B Gupta 1954 Demy pp. XIV, 216 Rs. 10
5. **Indian Palaeography** by Dr. Rajbali Pandey. 2nd. revised edition with plates shortly.
6. **Position of Women in Hindu Civilisation** by Dr. A. S. Altekar second revised and enlarged edition. 1956 Demy pp. 16,380, with 11 plates Rs. 15
7. **Rigveda Pratishakhya** Complete English translation with several appendices by Dr. Mangala Deva Sastri and a Foreword by A.B. Keith 1937 Demy 16,432 Rs. 20
8. **Sahitya Darpana** or Mirror of Composition by Vishwanatha Kaviraj. Complete English translation by Dr. Ballantyne and Pramada Das Mitra 1956. Demy pp. X, VIII, 444. Rs. 15
9. **Songs of Vidyapati** text edited from the unique Nepal MSS. with an exhaustive intro. of 194 pages English translation on parallel pages and several appendices. 1954 cr. pp. 2,194.264. XXIII Rs. 10
10. **State and Government in Ancient India** by Dr. A. S. Altekar Third revised and enlarged edition brought upto date 1957 Demy, Rs. 15
11. **Status of Women in Ancient India** by Prof. Indra and a Foreword by Shri Vijaylakshmi Pandit Second revised and enlarged edition. Demy pp. 12,278 Rs. 10
12. **Studies in Hindu Political Thought and its Metaphysical Foundation** by Dr. V. P. Varma. Second revised and enlarged edition in the Press
13. **Vakataka Gupta Age**—(c 200-550 A. D.) by Drs. R. C. Majumdar and A. S. Altekar in collaboration with other scholars 1954 Demy pp. XIV, 471 and 15 plates one map. Rs. 15
14. **Quranic Sufism** by Dr. Mir Valiuddin M. A. The only complete study of the Doctrines of Sufism, its exact connotation, copious notes and references from the Holy Quran. Rs. 10

OUR AGENCY BOOKS

15. **Angavijja** Prakrit text edited for the first time by Muni Shri Punyavijayjee with English Intro. by Dr. Motichandra and Hindi Intro. by Dr. V. S. Agrawala 1957 Rs. 21
16. **Jainism in Bihar** by P. C. Roy Choudhry with a Foreword by Sri Sri Prakash with several plates 1956 Rs. 5
- *17. **Catalogue of the Gupta Gold Coins in the Bayana Hoard** with 48 plates by Dr. A. S. Altekar, M. A., LL. B. D. Litt. Patna University pages 556 plates 50 Rs. 60
- *18. **Studies in Ancient Indian Law and Justice** by Prof. Radhakrishna Chowdhary M. A. together with a Foreword by Prof. P. K. Gode 1953 Rs. 4/8
- *19. **Decline of the Kingdom of Magadha** (cir. 455—1000 A. D.) by Dr. B. P. Sinha M. A. Ph. D., with Foreword by Dr. L. D. Barnett. Royal 27,482 with plates cloth Bound Rs. 20
- *20. **Hindu Sanskaras** or a socio-religious study of the Hindu sacraments by Dr. Rajbali Pandey M. A., D. Litt. Rs. 25
- *21. **Vikramaditya** of Ujjayini (the Founder of the Vikrama era) by Dr. Rajbali Pandey M. A., D. Litt. Rs. 15
22. **India as Known to Panini**—a study of Cultural data in the Ashtadhyayi by Dr. Vasudeva S. Agrawal M. A. Ph. D., D. Litt 1953 Royal, pp. 500. Rs. 50
23. **Studies in Jaina Philosophy** by Nathmal Tatia M. A., D. Litt, with a Foreword by Mr. Gopinath Kaviraj M. A. D. Litt. Rs. 16
24. **Critique of Organ of Knowledge** or Hema Chandra's Pramana Mimansa translated with critical notes by Satkari Mookerjee M. A. Ph. D., in Collaboration with Nathmal Tatia M. A. Rs. 15
25. **Conception of Matter** according to Nyaya-Vaisesika by Dr. Mm. Umesh Mishra M. A. D. Litt. Rs. 16
26. **Jainism and the Way to Spiritual Realization** by Dr. Indra Chandra Shastri M. A. Ph. D. Ans. 12
27. **Studies in Jaina Art** by Dr. U.P. Shah with 36 plates containing 89 figures Rs. 10
28. **Jainism—the Oldest Religion** by J. Prasad Rs. 1/8
29. **Life of Lord Mahavira** by Dr. Boolchand Rs. 4/8
30. **Hastinapur** by Shri Prof. Amarachand M. A. The description being systematic and scholarly, throws light on archaeology and history of this ancient city. Banaras

PRESIDENT'S
SECRETARIAT

LIBRARY